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## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning, Counsel. Thank you for your patience with me. They liked to talk at the meeting a little longer than I expected.

Christy is getting the jury.
Please sit down. That's awfully nice. Not necessary.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll step out to get our counsel in
the hall.
THE COURT: Who is your next witness?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Casey Boyd.
(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Good morning. Have a seat. Thank you for your courtesy. I apologize. That 25-minute delay was my responsibility. Those people like to talk in my video conference.

We're back in session. Jury is present. Counsel is

## present. Parties are present.

And, Counsel, would you like to call your next witness?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call
Casey Boyd.
THE COURT: Enter through the courtroom door and stop at that location and raise your right hand, please.
$1 / 1$
$1 / 1$

664
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CASEY BOYD,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Be kind enough to approach the witness
box. The entrance is closest to the wall. There's steps at that location. And if you would be seated in the chair, please.

Would you face the jury and state your full name, please, and please spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Casey Boyd, B-O-Y-D.
THE COURT: All right. You have a quiet voice.
Would you move closer to the microphone or bend the microphone down towards you? Thank you.

Counsel, direct examination on behalf of the plaintiff.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Ms. Boyd, I'm over here, but please address the jury when you're answering questions. This is going to take a while, so take a deep breath. Can you just take a moment and tell us a little bit about yourself, where you're from, and a little about your educational background?
A. I'm from Springfield, Oregon, and I went to college and
got a forest management degree and then got a prelaw degree. And, from there, I -- I've worked for the Forest Service since 1989, when I was in high school, and was a firefighter most of that time, and then I transferred over to be a police officer with them at the time, which I graduated from Portland State with my administration of justice degree.
Q. So let's parse that out a little bit, so which -- which colleges did you attend?
A. Central Oregon Community College and Portland State University.
Q. And what degrees do you hold?
A. I hold an associate's in forest management and a
bachelor's in administration of justice from Portland State.
Q. When did you receive your degrees?
A. My forestry degree was in 1993, and my administration of justice was in 1998.
Q. So, starting in '89, you went to work for the forestry department?
A. Yes.
Q. And what were your job duties?
A. Well, that particular summer I started as an intern. I had a high school co-op. I was still a junior in high school, and so I was a co-op for my junior and senior year. And then as soon as I turned 18, all I wanted to be was a firefighter. I switched into fire management and was a firefighter.

## Q. And then walk us through your career up until law

 enforcement.A. So I was an engine firefighter out of one district office in Crescent. Then I transferred to Prineville. I was on the Prineville Hotshots in 1993, and that's when I decided I -there was a lot of traumatic things that happened in 1994, and that's what led me to move to Portland to pursue my law degree. And so during that time while I was working -- or going to college, I continued as a firefighter out in central Oregon during the summers and my off time from school. And after I graduated in 1998, I still continued in firefighting, because there wasn't any law enforcement jobs opened at the time. Q. Okay. Let's walk through your law enforcement career. What was your first law enforcement job?
A. I was a law enforcement officer. They called them LEOs . They had five positions opened in Region 6, which is Washington and Oregon. Out of all the applicants, they called and offered whichever position I would like, and I selected Zigzag, Oregon, is where I started.
Q. Tell us about your evolution within law enforcement. What jobs have you had, and which departments you've worked in?
A. So I've stayed within law enforcement with the Forest Service as an officer. We were just training our field training officers, FTOs, and I had transferred down to the Eugene/Springfield area and the McKenzie Bridge was my last
patrol area. McKenzie Drainage. I'm sorry.
Q. So I lost track. Where were we up to in the timeline?
A. When I transferred down to the Springfield/Eugene area --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and trained there.
Q. What were your positions there?
A. I was an LEO there. And as supervisors we have different
levels in law enforcement, so we have a level two, who can
write citations. They have very limited authorities to enforce certain laws. So I supervised a group of them and did training, and that was a position I held until my son was born premature.
Q. Okay. What year does that take us to?
A. My son was born in 2005.
Q. What did you do next for work after your son was born?
A. I didn't. My husband is a trooper with the Oregon State Police.
Q. How long has he been an Oregon State trooper for the police?
A. 12, I believe. 12 years.
Q. Okay.
A. So he was assigned to the Newport Patrol Office, and after my son was healthy and it was more feasible for me to go back to work, it was really hard to find daycare in Newport, and our goal was to get back to the Eugene/Springfield area, because
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his mother lives there and she has declining health, and so I didn't want to commit to an agency knowing I would have to leave as soon as he got transferred.

So in 2007 he was able to transfer back, and we just weren't able to sell our house until a year later, and that's when I moved back was in 2008.
Q. Is that when you went to work for the University of Oregon?
A. No.
Q. What happened next?
A. I believe it was during 2008. I don't remember the exact time frame, but they had put out an outreach for three lieutenant positions.
Q. Who's "they"? You have to be --
A. I think there was a different chief at the time.

Chief Williams and, I believe, Deputy McDermed at the time. So
the Department of Public Safety had put out an outreach for three lieutenant positions.
Q. Did you apply for those?
A. I did.
Q. What happened?
A. I believe they were having a lot of problems within the department, and so it was my understanding that those positions were frozen until they could deal with some of the other issues. And then it was the next year, so in 2009, when they
finally reopened one lieutenant position, and I applied for that.
Q. What were -- what were you initially brought in to do as a lieutenant?
A. Well, their ultimate goal was to become a fully sworn police department, and you could tell that was the driving force of the department, was to improve and to change, and so I was brought in essentially as an operational lieutenant because we had very little overhead at the time, meaning the deputy chief and the chief, and to support that mission.
Q. Okay. Let's walk through your career there. How did it start off for you?
A. It -- it started off all right. It was a -- it was a hard job new. I worked an awful lot of hours. They had just the chief, the deputy chief, and we had a captain at the time that I was hired, and so I supervised in rank from the chief to the deputy chief, and then there was myself, and our captain dealt with parking and transportation. So kind of below my operational side was all of the sergeants and the officers and dispatchers and had officers at the museum and student workers. And then we were always starting an auxillary program so -- for a very small command staff. That's a large group of people to supervise with a really large an agenda.

So I was excited. I was on board. I believed in their mission, their goals, and --
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Q. Did you get good reviews while you were there, initially?
A. Yeah. I never received a performance evaluation, but
always had commendations, you know, in one form or another whether it was an email or a letter or just positive feedback of, you know, the hard work I was doing.
Q. How many years were you there without getting any annual evaluation?
A. My entire time at the office.
Q. How many years was that?
A. Three. Approximately three.
Q. At other departments you had worked at, had you gone three years without getting an annual evaluation?
A. Never. No. You usually get one annual and then sometimes you get a mid-year review.
Q. Let's talk about the graveyard shift and your experiences with that. What was your primary shift that -- during that time period?
A. At the time that Lieutenant Lebrecht came, we divided up. Captain Horner had been demot ed to Lieutenant Horner, and so there were three of us on various watches. And I was on the swing shift, the third watch, and Lieutenant Lebrecht was on first watch graveyard, and it -- there was always frustration and issues, whether it was because calls weren't getting answered at their shift change and then longer into the shifts. We were hearing feedback from our assistant chief about --

MS. COIT: Object to the hearsay. THE COURT: I couldn't hear, Counsel.
MS. COIT: Object to the hearsay.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So let's set some context here. So graveyard shift is

11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.; right?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your normal shift starting -- beginning of 2011
when Lieutenant Lebrecht arrives?
A. I had 3:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. was the hours of the shift.
Q. How often during 2011 would you work past 11:00?
A. Most days.
Q. Why was that?
A. Just other responsibilities that were assigned, other projects or tasks, assignments, whether it was because I was the quartermaster, which was I dealt with all the uniforms, to we were working on the policing initiative during some of that. There was big events often happening.

One of the last ones was the Chris Kilcullen Memorial
Service. So it was oftentimes that I worked late or visiting with Lieutenant Lebrecht and -- during his transition, when he first started, and then as his shift would come on.
Q. So where was your office physically located in relation to Lieutenant Lebrecht?
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A. We shared the same office.
Q. And was that true throughout 2011?
A. Yes. When he was -- I was the only one in the office at the time, and then when we switched to three lieutenants, and Captain Horner was demoted to a lieutenant, then he changed his office and moved in with mine, and Lieutenant Lebrecht moved in, as well.
Q. I want you -- I know you have a soft voice. Try to speak up just a little bit. I want to be sure everybody hears you about this stuff.

When did you first start to have concerns about the discussions that were going on during the briefings? For the graveyard briefings.
A. Well, there was often concerns just because of the duration of their shift briefing. They were longer than normal, longer than the sergeant who had been there prior to Lieutenant Lebrecht --
Q. And who --
A. -- and problems were arising out of it. We were getting complaints about calls not being answered, and --
Q. Who was complaining?
A. Well, I would assume it was citizen complaints. I knew the dispatchers had complained to me, because calls weren't answered, and I believe our assistant chief sent out an email to us about responding to those calls.
Q. So during these shift briefings that Lieutenant Lebrecht was leading, instead of going out and patrolling, they were just sitting in the briefing room. For how long?
A. Yes. Extended periods of time. It was rare, once we switched over, that I would have been there his entire shift period. But they would be there for hours, and dispatch would also complain to me that they were there for extended periods of time, hadn't cleared their office or the squad room, or, oftentimes, if they did clear, some of them would go and then hang out at dispatch.
Q. How was that different than 2000 -- well, first of all, who ran the graveyard shift briefings in 2010?
A. It would have been myself or whichever sergeant was assigned to graveyard shift. And that rotated and changed throughout a year.
Q. So do you remember a distinct difference between how the graveyard shift briefings were held when Lieutenant Lebrecht was there in 2011 in comparison to 2010?
A. Yes. There -- it was a distinct difference.
Q. When do you first recall -- well, explain where is your -where are you sitting at your desk in comparison -- how many feet away is this shift briefing?
A. Oh, we had our office -- I'm not sure of the square
footage of it. And then there was just a hallway and squad rooms on the other side through a window and a door toward the
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end of the hallway.
Q. Did you make complaints to then-Assistant Chief Carolyn McDermed about your concerns of those shift briefings?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. Oh, I would let her know in conversations we had, and I believe in an email, also, that there was concerns that they were in there for a long period of time. There was also this issue with -- that I had noticed with one of the officers, that any time I was in the office he would always make sure to shut the door in the squad room so that I couldn't hear what was going on. You'd have to go through the squad room to get into where the sergeant's office was, and so I would have to come in, open the door, and go through, and they would make sure to, you know, shut the door really firm right behind me, and I -I'm certain it became just this game, because I was in the office and --
Q. Did you notice any changes about the shift briefings after you complained to McDermed?
A. No. No changes. They continued to last for, you know, their extended periods, like they always had.
Q. Did you ever overhear what they were actually discussing in there?
A. No. Because the door was always closed when I was there.
Q. Did you have concerns that things they were discussing
were inappropriate?
A. I would have suspected that they were, just because I was the only female there, and it just seemed inappropriate that they always had to shut the door, and that wasn't common practice for when you're having a shift briefing, because they're often quick. So unless there was a meeting in the captain's office, who was across the hallway from the squad room door, you might sometimes close the door so it didn't interrupt him. But at graveyard and our hour of the evening, there was nobody else in the office, so there was no need to shut the door.
Q. Did you hear Lieutenant Lebrecht discussing his political beliefs while you worked there?
A. He discussed a lot of things and had opinions on various things. I just -- they weren't necessarily like mine, so I -I didn't --
Q. On the political spectrum of things, where would you put his -- from right to left, where would you put his perspectives?
A. He was definitely more extreme, and, you know, definitely opposed Obama, and, you know, and some of those issues.
Q. Do you remember a phrase that Lieutenant Lebrecht used in relation to discussing people that he had problems with?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell the jurors. What was his phrase?
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A. Well, he liked to -- oftentimes when he referenced the police department he came from in Lincoln.
Q. Lincoln, California?
A. Yeah. Lincoln, California. There must have been a fair amount of conflict with him there, because he would tell stories about things that had happened there. And the phrase that he used a lot and liked to use is: Well, they tried to mother fuck me, and I was going to try to mother fuck them. So he would reference that a lot, and to the point that, you know, you just kind of took mental note not to cross him, or it would probably not go well.
Q. How often did you hear him talk like that?
A. Daily.
Q. How did you feel about it?
A. At first, I just -- you know, I just kind of let it go, and then when he talked like that a lot, I just -- definitely it was more concerning. Like I said, I just knew that was somebody I didn't want to cross, because I didn't want to end up on the other side of that opinion or that sentiment.
Q. Did you feel threatened by it?
A. Yes.
Q. Were -- tell us about Lieutenant Morrow and Lieutenant

Lebrecht. What was their relationship like during the time?
A. They were personal friends.
Q. How do you know that?
A. They were hanging out on a regular basis and, in their personal time, working out. I believe Lieutenant Lebrecht did go to Lieutenant Morrow's home to watch a football game or something, I believe. Lieutenant Morrow told me.
Q. Did you, at that time, have an issue with that, that a lieutenant had a personal friendship outside of work with the head of internal affairs?
A. I felt it was inappropriate. I think it sent the wrong message. We had several officers -- or I had several officers comment or complain to me that, you know, it seemed inappropriate that the professional standards lieutenant was befriending somebody that --

MS. COIT: Object to the hearsay.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Yes. They told you what?
A. That they had complained that they just felt it was inappropriate.
Q. Let's talk about Scott Cameron for a moment and Tasers.

Were you there when my client was hired in 2010?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. When -- what do you recall about Sergeant Cameron in relation to my client and Tasers?
A. Sergeant Cameron was the one who let me know about

James Cleavenger's participation. I guess I still don't recall
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the exact specifics, but the participation with some article or opposition when years prior to my arriving I believe they were trying to get Tasers. They had no firearms, and he brought it to my attention that -- and the way he framed it was that it was James Cleavenger that posed the issue and was the driving force behind it.
Q. Driving force behind the department not getting Tasers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And I believe publications or some kind of articles
through one of the newspapers.
Q. How would you describe Sergeant Cameron's mentality in relation to law enforcement?
A. I think he -- he -- he was hoping it would be a -- you know, a more paramilitary organization. I believe he might have had past military background, and so he was -- he was gung-ho, ready for it to be a police department.
Q. How did that compare to my client's perspective on law enforcement policing?
A. James is highly educated and well-trained. He's been through -- at that time when I had known Scott Cameron, James had been through an academy. He was very skilled. I believe he might have been a reserve officer at that time. Definitely more professional.
Q. Let's talk about your supervision of my client. When my
client first started, what was your role in terms of supervising him?
A. Well, I was the one that hired him.
Q. Tell us about that. Tell us about how that hiring decision went down.
A. So when James was in his reserve academy -- through Lane County Sheriff's Office, they did a reserve academy. He was attending at the same time that our chief and Captain Horner and other folks, including then-Sergeant, I believe, Phillips, and they had encouraged him to apply as an auxillary officer for us.

And so I was told that I needed to look at his application and hire him, and that's when Sergeant Cameron let me know his opposition to James coming on board with our department.
Q. Just for the court purposes, Mr. Cleavenger.
A. Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. Yes. Or James.

So what was going through your mind when you heard about
my client's Taser stance from Scott Cameron?
A. Well, I didn't know the background on it, but I -- I knew that Sergeant Cameron adamantly opposed hiring Mr. Cleavenger, due to his loyalties or that he was against the Department of Public Safety; that maybe he had an ulterior motive with being hired and was adamantly opposed.

But I was in a position that the chief and the captain
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and another sergeant were adamant that I hire him. In fact I was told I was going to hire him, because they thought he was a ten. They fully believed his skills and abilities, really liked him as a person, thought he did great work in training, and kind of left me no choice. I was definitely ordered to hire him, and so I did.
Q. That would be Chief Tripp at the time; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And these were people that had just spent seven months day to day with him in the academy training?
A. Yes.
Q. My client was actually the number one ranked person in the academy; is that correct?
A. I believe that's what I was told.
Q. Okay. So you hired him. Tell us about supervising him. What was your role?
A. I was his direct supervisor when he was hired on as a public safety officer, and he was great to work with. He would volunteer for shifts. We had a very heavy workload for special events, including baseball games and basketball, and just various different events, and he was always more than willing to work.

I knew I could trust in his -- his -- his skills and his ability to work, you know, unsupervised and handle himself in a professional manner out to the public.
Q. Throughout your time working with him, ever have any officer safety issues?
A. Never.
Q. Ever have any issues where you questioned his honesty?
A. Never.
Q. Did he seem to display good judgment out in the field?
A. At all times. And that's one of the reasons I liked
having him, and there was, you know, a few others, but I
just -- I had no worries.
Q. Defense counsel, in opening statement, said my client had a huge ego. Did you notice that about my client?
A. No. I actually thought Mr. Cleavenger was more reserved, and I actually thought he kept to himself more, so it wasn't an outward showing of -- of anything.
Q. There was also talk about how he wasn't good at receiving feedback. What was your experience with that?
A. I -- I had never encountered that problem. I -- I didn't have to give him too much feedback. He was always receptive to whenever we were briefing or meeting and talking about things that we needed to work on, whether it was in a group setting, or otherwise, but I don't recall ever having to give him any feedback, constructive or otherwise.
Q. Defense counsel also said that the department was a paramilitary force and that James wasn't a good fit because he believed too much in community policing.
Boyd - D

What was your --
MS. COIT: I object to the characterization of what I said in opening. That's incorrect.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that, Counsel.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. What was -THE COURT: It's not evidence. It's a summary. It may be inaccurate, so confine it to the questions, not to the opening.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Tell us about your understanding at the University of Oregon Department of Public Safety. What was the relation to community policing at that department?
A. Well, that was our mission statement. That was our driving force. It was like our pledge to our community that we were going to be a community-oriented policing department. We were going to be different than the Eugene Police Department. And as Chief Tripp would like to say "our sister agency," Oregon State Police, that had a presence at OSU, that the community of the University of Oregon was different, and we were going to operate differently, and that was through community-oriented policing, and that was -- that was our goal ; that was our ultimate goal.
Q. Did you ever hear my client bragging about the fact that he had legal education or anything along those lines?
A. Never.
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Q. I want to talk about some -- some of the people that you participated in discipline while you were there.
A. Okay.
Q. Who was your direct report?
A. My direct report was Chief McDermed and --

THE COURT: Sorry. Your words were Deputy -THE WITNESS: Deputy McDermed, and then her title
changed to Assistant McDermed during my time.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. How often did you meet with Deputy McDermed and the chief?
A. Daily. Unless it was on weekends.
Q. What -- do you recall what chief -- the chief and Deputy

McDermed's goal was for you in terms of helping shift to a police department?
A. Well, our ultimate goal in becoming a fully sworn
department was to create and build the best police department we could with the most qualified. And there had been a lot of past problems in that department. It had definitely a representation of being dysfunctional. And they wanted to clean house and improve the reputation of the department, and the goal was to bring on new qualified officers as part of this policing initiative.
Q. So what were you tasked to do?
A. I was the operational lieutenant at the time, the sole supervisor over the officers, and so it was to come clean house
and take care of those problems. And there were several people identified that were not going to be a part of the department moving forward, whether they just didn't fit the mold or they -Q. Who were those people? A. For example, Kent Abbott and Sam Brown. There was a host of officers that had either had past problems or they were around in a time where they were security, and so they locked/unlocked doors. So, moving ahead, thinking about people that needed to make it through an academy, a police academy, and, you know, the arduous physical training that was required. Q. What were some of these problems that these officers had that the chief and Deputy McDermed wanted to get rid of them ? A. Well, it could range from anything of their punctuality, you know, when they were late to work. They referred to a term of calloffs all the time; that there was a big problem of officers always just calling off work. They'd call right before shift. And it was more epidemic than the average place of employment, let alone a police department.

They didn't want to actually perform the duties they were assigned to. They would prefer to go sit in -- in a building somewhere and visit with people they had known for years than to be out engaging with the public and --
Q. So how did you go about trying to remove these people from the department?
A. Well, the only way that I knew how was through documentation. I wasn't about to terminate anybody without, you know, monitoring how they had performed, so I just felt it best to, you know, observe how they were and what our standards were, and if they were at a point to where the -- what they had done was enough to be let go, then that was the case.

There was, you know, people that I was definitely assigned that they needed to be gone, and I couldn't work at a fast enough pace for our executive leadership at times, but, you know, I could only do so much.
Q. Chief Tripp and Deputy McDermed were at these meetings,
giving you these orders, to remove these people; is that correct?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. And part of your job was to create a written record so that you could do that.
A. Yes.
Q. Is that accurate?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you have some examples of things that you would write people up for back then that were, looking back on it, maybe a little tikki takki?
A. Well, we had things that ranged from officer safety issues. We had one employ who was very bad with a radio, to where it was bringing up officer safety issues, and -- you
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know, so that was one of the higher issues, chiefer complaints, were the officer safety to, you know, being on their cell phones all day long or constant complaining.

So sometimes it was, you know -- it was just a hard position to be in. You know, I took it very personal because I felt and -- and mean, even in one particular instance of a female that was let go during her trial service period that, you know, regardless of how I may or may not have felt about any of the officers, you know, I'm, first and foremost, a mom, and I just felt that it always -- that during these situations it adversely affected somebody's life, and it was hard. Q. Do you feel bad, looking back on it? A. Yeah. I -- I feel bad for them. Q. So how many people, over those years, did you help get rid of?
A. Numerous. From a student worker on up to an officer. I know there was not quite a dozen. There was two that -- before I left I was given -- given orders from Assistant Chief, at the time, McDermed to let go, and we just fought tooth and nail to hang onto them, because they were good employees. But the bottom line with that one was because their paperwork wasn't done on time by the chief to be able to, I guess, carry on their contract would be a way to put it.

But, again, that was another example of here's two people that we're going to fire that are good employees, and because
we're not doing time ly work on our paperwork at a higher level, then somebody's life, you know, is affected adversely during a really hard time financially, you know, with our society.
Q. So at these meetings with McDermed and Chief Tripp, would you -- would you take your written discipline documents, give it to them for review. They would edit, make changes, and then you would hand it down to --

MS. COIT: Object to leading question.
THE COURT: It's leading, Counsel.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. I'll rephrase.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. How -- what was the editing process for taking your discipline letters and running them up the chain of command? A. Well, there were very few decisions that was ever made or things that were carried out that weren't approved by the chief or the assistant chief. They made the decision on just everything that went on in that department.

So if there was somebody that you knew that was not part of what the end game was or the ultimate goal, that they were coming up on the end of their trial service period, then you had to make that decision if they were going to move forward with the department or not, and you would write that up and -and let them know, either verbally or in writing.

And then I typically never did anything, especially
adverse, that was discipline, without also consulting even
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further with somebody who had far more experience, and that was Randy Wardlow, who -- I don't remember his title, but he worked in human resources and dealt with the union and -- so that there was another set of eyes.
Q. So you would take the documentation, run it up the command, and also share it with HR --
A. Uh-huh.
Q. -- to make sure everybody had seen it before you had handed it down?
A. Yes.
Q. Eric LeRoy, did you work with him directly?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your take on him as an officer?
A. When I first started, Eric LeRoy wasn't liked by many of the officers that were there. That was my first experience, which I had been warned that that department was known for being like a pack of wolves. When there was somebody that they didn't like, that was kind of the mentality of what they did, kind of ganged up on them, and Eric LeRoy was one of them at the time.

And I was there for a very short time, days, and Eric was new and in his trial service period, and there was a whole host of complaints about his performance and officer safety. And a lot of it was, you know, when they would go to remedy problems, his integrity of owning up to his actions, being accountable,
which was kind of a global problem with the department, was accountability.

And so they wanted him gone, and I pulled in his -- his field training officer and another officer. I don't believe he was a sergeant at the time. And essentially I told them that if he fails, we all fail, and demanded more from those two that were training him.

Unfortunately, with Eric LeRoy, we had numerous problems, and a lot of them were officer safety. And he was the only employee that we had that was given a last-chance warning. So he was progressively disciplined on more higher offenses, because they were officer safety, and then we -- and we were trying to give him every opportunity to succeed.

And through the union is when we gave him his -- what they called a last-chance warning; that if he did something again that affected officer safety, he was gone.
Q. And did you --
A. He's the only one in that position.
Q. But he never was terminated?
A. He was never terminated.
Q. And now he's a police officer?

MS. COIT: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did you form an opinion over the years working with him
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about his character for truthfulness?
A. I found it questionable. He -- like I say --

MS. COIT: Objection to the expanding on this.
THE COURT: Sorry. Sorry. I couldn't hear.
MS. COIT: Thank you. Oh, you can't hear me? I'm
sorry. He asked for character opinion on character for truthfulness, and she's expanding.

THE COURT: Well, restate the question. Make sure it's answered.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Over your years of working with Officer LeRoy, did you form an opinion about his character for truthfulness?
A. Yes, I found him to be untruthful at times, and a lot of it was dealt with his accountability when he would do something wrong, especially officer safety, and just admitting to what he had done.
Q. Did you ever see Kent Abbott taking notes in that notebook of his?
A. Kent Abbott was a habitual note-taker. He was very meticulous. Those were his lifeline.
Q. Would you be surprised to know that he burned all of those?
A. I would be very surprised if he burned them.
Q. Overall, throughout your tenure there, how would you describe the culture of the department from 2009 to 2012?

MS. COIT: Object. She wasn't in the department that long.

THE COURT: Counsel, let's make certain how long she was in the department.

THE WITNESS: I started in 2009 and I left in January of 2012.

THE COURT: 2009 to 2012.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. That's what I asked.

THE COURT: Okay. You may answer the question.
THE WITNESS: It was toxic from before I arrived to outgoing. It just was dysfunctional, and it pretty much stayed that way and --
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. How was the morale amongst people within the department while you were there?
A. It was low. It was low when I got there. You could just tell that there were -- they were happy to see somebody new, hopeful that there would be change. And then, unfortunately, I think as, you know, people would come on, we all felt hopeful, and we wanted to effect change and have positive change, and we believed in this policing initiative and where it was going, and then it was just stifling in our ability to progress. Decisions couldn't be made until both the chiefs bought on to whatever the decision was.
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Pretty much, you know, if you went to the assistant chief, needing something or a decision -- it could be simple, from ordering shorts for the officers who were on bicycle patrol in the summer, to something much larger or a shift schedule -- she wouldn't be able to make decisions until talking with Doug, with Chief Tripp; and Chief Tripp, likewise, if you spoke with him, he would need to talk to Carolyn, and it -- change was just very hard and progressing was very hard.

So morale was always low. We worked the officers too long. You know, everybody was short-staffed and it just killed morale.
Q. Were policies and procedures, while you were there, shifting continuously, depending on who the supervisor was? A. Will you restate the question?
Q. Yeah. The policies and procedures of the department, were they shifting, depending on who was a supervisor at different time periods when you were there?
A. Well, the policies were always a gray area, because there was never established very good policies when I got there , and we hired Lieutenant Morrow after I was hired, and his job and the intent there was to develop new policies for our department and especially as we were progressing to a police department, and that just -- it took a long time.

So the policies seemed to oftentimes be in a gray area. And if we were in an urgent need for something, usual ly because
something bad had happened or we had had a problem, then we quickly would develop a policy around one select thing and just kind of wing it moving forward.
Q. When you got there, were the -- were the policies up to date or not?
A. There were old policies in place, so, technically, I -- I suppose, they were there, but extremely outdated, and not only in their terminology, but concepts. And I believe there were things that were dated that were outdated, as well.
Q. What is -- what was the policing initiative?
A. That was when the police department went from being
originally the University of Oregon Public Safety, so more of a security atmosphere, to a fully sworn police department through the legislature.
Q. Did you ever search officers' Internet search history?
A. Yes.
Q. Who did you do that for?
A. There were three officers that -- or employees that come to mind. And that was Officer Myers, I believe at the time he was Sergeant Phillips, and Sergeant Bechdolt's.
Q. Who asked you to do those Internet search histories?
A. I didn't request Bechdolt's, and I requested Sergeant

Phillips', and I actually don't recall what transpired for Officer Myers.
Q. Why were you -- why were you -- well , let's deal with them
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individually.
A. Okay.
Q. Myers, and what was the other one besides Bechdolt?
A. Sergeant Phillips.
Q. Sergeant Phillips. Why were you going to search their Internet history?
A. Well, they were spending an exorbitant amount of time on the computer, such that they weren't getting out into the field patrolling and doing things they were assigned to do.

So when I had noticed, especially in Sergeant Phillips' case, that he was -- he was on the computer for a long period of time, hours and hours during his eight-hour shift -- for example, with Sergeant Phillips, I requested that from -Bill Anderson is the computer person or the IT person, and he did a printout in Sergeant Phillips' case, and it was an extensive stack.
Q. Was the reason you were doing this --

MS. COIT: Object. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. What was your goal in pulling all their Internet history?
A. Well, before I dealt with the issue, obviously it was a problem. But before I did that, I wanted to find out what was the subject matter. You know, were they researching as we were growing and progressing and changing in the department? Were
they possibly doing things I had asked them to do, or were they on Facebook or social media and looking at those kinds of things.

So before I confronted them about it and dealt with the problem, I wanted to -- to just have that information so that I was accurate with the way I was proceeding.
Q. Who asked you to do an Internet search history on Lieutenant Bechdolt?
A. I -- I wasn't --

THE COURT: Just a moment, Counsel. Was it sergeant
at that time or lieutenant at the time?
THE WITNESS: Sergeant.
THE COURT: It's a little confusing.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Sergeant Bechdolt.
THE WITNESS: He was a sergeant.
THE COURT: He was sergeant?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: That was Lieutenant Lebrecht at the
time that requested the computer Internet search on
Sergeant Bechdolt.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did Lieutenant Lebrecht tell you why he wanted to conduct an Internet search history on Lieutenant Bechdolt -- Sergeant Bechdolt?
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A. Well, that was dialogue that he and I had had.

Sergeant Bechdolt was -- while he was a good person, he just wasn't the most -- wasn't a go-getter, I guess. He spent an awful lot of time in the office, most of his shift, on the computer.

And speaking with Lieutenant Lebrecht, he was somebody that we no longer wanted to see. We didn't feel he could perform the functions of a sergeant. And so we were looking at some of these issues, and one of them being that he would spend hours, hours in his eight-hour shift, on the computer, and so he requested that history.
Q. I'd like to show you Plaintiffs' Exhibit 262. Without identifying any information in there --

THE COURT: 262?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: This is a new exhibit. She
brought it today, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Without identifying the contents of it, is that -- what is
that document?
A. It's an email.
Q. Okay. And who is it from?
A. The one is from Bill Anderson.
Q. And what's the other one from?
A. The originating email is from Lieutenant Lebrecht.
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Q. Did you receive this email?
A. Yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I would offer 262, Your Honor.
MS. COIT: No objection.
THE COURT: I would like to see it, please.
I'll receive 262, Counsel.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can I give it back to the
witness?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you have a copy of it there?
A. Yes.
Q. The bottom email there, what is the date on that email?
A. February 9, 2011.
Q. And what is the -- what is Lieutenant Lebrecht -- what is he asking for in that email?
A. Do you want me to read it?
Q. Sure.
A. It says: Hi, Bill. Can you provide me with the last six months of Sergeant Andy Bechdolt's Internet browsing history ? Thanks. Brandon.
Q. And what's the email above it?
A. It's from Bill Anderson saying: Here you go. Let me know
if you want me to analyze it.
THE COURT: Just a minute. Can I see that again? Is
this addressed to you?
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THE WITNESS: I'm copied on it.
THE COURT: You're copied on it?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Great. Thank you.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So in that email Bill Anderson sent you and

Lieutenant Lebrecht Andy Bechdolt's Internet search history; correct?
A. Can you rephrase the question -- or restate it? I'm sorry.
Q. In that email, that document indicates that Bill Anderson sent to you and Lieutenant Lebrecht Andy Bechdolt's Internet search history; correct?
A. Yes. He didn't want to print it out, because it was so extensive that it would take multiple reams of paper. He was just sending us a link for it.
Q. I want to talk about what happened with your career there. When did -- when did you -- well, I'll ask you. While you were there, did you feel you were retaliated against by Chief McDermed and Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Tell us about how that started.
A. Well, I was unaware of issues until it was spring. It was after the -- or I would say up until just after the Chris Kilcullen Memorial Service. I had worked hard. I had worked
many hours. I had been there for three shift periods. I worked double shifts often.
Q. Just to clarify, we're talking -- the time period is 2011; is that correct?
A. Yeah. So from 2009 to 2011 -- it was in May of 2011 -- I worked really hard, and, you know, it was a hard job being tasked with not only just supervising and the daily, you know, operation of the patrol division, but being tasked with dealing with all these personnel problems, and I worked really hard, and Assistant Chief McDermed knew that. She would sometimes refer to me as superwoman.
Q. What happened? It's okay. Take a deep breath. Tell us your story.
A. We worked really hard a lot of hours, a lot of stress, and it was -- it was the kind of mission that you dedicated yourself to this policing initiative. You either believed in it and you gave everything, or you didn't, and I did that.

I believed in it, and I believed in them. And so this memorial service -- and I just bring that up because it was very pivotal that it was just kind of after this that -- it was another situation where I worked around the clock. It was hard, it was stressful, and it was a hard time for all of us. And I received several commendations for this. Emails, numerous commendations from Chief -- Assistant Chief McDermed, and then I was just blindsided with a -- kind of an
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investigation packet. I didn't see it coming.
Q. Did you have a meeting?
A. Yes. She called me in with Lieutenant Morrow and hit me with this investigation with multiple allegations. Some of the things were my off duty time. I wasn't even working. And I just didn't even see it coming. And I knew there was a shift, and part of that shift I felt was because of Lieutenant Lebrecht and just things that had been transpiring and --
Q. Why did you feel like Lieutenant Lebrecht was retaliating against you?
A. Well, I -- that was all in the time period that we had multiple officers that were disgruntled and a few of them were the ones that they knew they were being progressively disciplined. There was one specific that Chief Tripp wanted gone, and he was really disappointed in me that I was not getting it done fast enough, and they were very verbal at making clear that they were going to -- things were going to change, and they were telling -- one of them had told Sergeant Cameron and myself that change was coming. They were going to make sure change happened. And, sure enough, in May this -- this packet came.
Q. Okay. What were some of the allegations against you? Do you remember?
A. One was for a parking permit of mine. We have -- do you
want me to explain it?
Q. Yeah. Just --
A. It's on a college campus, so you buy an annual parking permit, and it doesn't cost any more to add other vehicles. You just have to add them to it. And I had always had an annual parking permit. And the year prior I purchased a motorcycle, and I paid for the little bracket that your permit goes in that hooks onto your motorcycle, and it was on there the prior year, and so when I went and renewed the next year, our parking employees, which was a part of our whole public safety division, there were no problems. "We'll take care of it. We'll get you updated," and I paid my fees.

And the one time I brought my motorcycle -- I didn't know until later, but Officer Abbott filed a complaint that I didn't have a motorcycle permit, and -- or that my motorcycle wasn't registered to my permit. I had my permit. I was displaying my permit. But he went and did research to find out that it wasn't in the computer with my permit information. They just hadn't transferred all the vehicles over.
Q. That was one of the reasons that you were being investigated?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. And at the time we had numerous officers who had been cited for not having permits, that had parked illegally.
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Chief -- Assistant Chief McDermed at the time was one of them, where they didn't even receive citations or any sanctions, and, yet, I was being investigated, or it was one of the allegations of this large investigation that I was under.
Q. Tell us about the conversation with Tyler Maness that you were being investigated for.
A. So that was the second set of allegations that came in. There were two of them, and they came in as a direct result of a complaint that Lieutenant Lebrecht made to Lieutenant Morrow. And my conversation with Tyler Maness, he was -- he was an auxillary officer that we had hired. I knew him personally.

And at the time when I hired him, the chief had put out an order that I was to -- I had to hire a high quantity of officers. He had a certain level of them, and he wanted numbers. And I was under a lot of pressure and knew his performance and another one from knowing them previously and hired them, so we had been friends before.

I never engaged with him outside of work, but, you know, he knows my family. So Tyler and I had numerous personal conversations, just, how's the family; how are the kids; how's my husband; how is Amanda, which is one of our friends. A lot of times when we'd have these conversations, it was Tyler and Casey talking, not Lieutenant Boyd and Officer Maness.

So at the time this one particular conversation came up, Tyler had been getting teased about being gay, and I -- I
didn't have any idea that that was the case. I didn't care He was my friend. But they were making jokes about him at work and another officer, based on, I believe, a base -- a basketball game that they were at, because there was a girl that was there with him that he wasn't putting the moves on, and so the officers were teasing him that he must be gay.

And I didn't like it because Tyler was my friend. I just thought it was inappropriate that they would be teasing him at work about it, making the jokes, and so I -- I wanted it to stop.

And so one of our conversations Tyler and I were having was about this and just about, again, how are the kids, how is, you know, his friends, and just different things, and there was something that was -- he had said when we were having this conversation that led me to ask the question, "Are you gay?" And it would have been no different in my personal conversation with my friend for me to ask him if he was married, because, again, Tyler had been my friend for years. He was a very good friend. And I just asked him that question, and he said "No," and --
Q. Did Tyler ever complain about this conversation?
A. No.
Q. What happened?
A. So we continued our conversation, and I was just adamant that it needed to stop. And so at shift change, I asked -- or
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I didn't ask. I talked with Lieutenant Lebrecht about this and said, "Look, I talked with Tyler today and talked with him about this, and he is not gay, and you need to tell your guys to stop. This -- all this teasing and joking about him being gay needs to stop," and his immediate response is -- was, "Well, I think Michael Drake is gay. All of graveyard shift thinks Drake is gay."

That was kind of his response to this whole thing, and I just kind of let it go at that. I wasn't going to pursue it. But I had made my point that I had wanted the teasing to stop. Q. Who did Lieutenant Lebrecht report your conversation with Tyler to?
A. So he went to Lieutenant Morrow and complained to him that I had asked Tyler if he was gay.
Q. Did you ever hear Lieutenant Lebrecht make other derogatory homophobic statements about an athlete there at the University of Oregon?
A. I recall a general conversation. I don't recall specifically who the athlete was.
Q. I'll show you a document here to refresh your memory. Showing you Plaintiff's 119, without getting into the contents of this document in its entirety, what is this document?
A. It's a letter I wrote -- or it's not a letter. It's a
rebuttal to the reprimand I received.
Q. We'll talk about it more in detail, but I would like to
show you this paragraph. If you could read that paragraph in relation to -- see if that refreshes your memory. Does that refresh your memory --
A. Yes.
Q. -- about what Lieutenant Lebrecht said about one of the
athletes at the University of Oregon?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he say?
A. He had referred to one of the athletes as a faggot pussy.

THE COURT: A what? I couldn't hear you.
THE WITNESS: He called one of the athletes a faggot,
pussy.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did you ever have any written communication with Lieutenant Morrow where you felt that homophobic statements were made on his part?

MS. COIT: Object, Your Honor. Totally irrelevant. Lieutenant Morrow is not a defendant here.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, his conduct in this
case is completely at issue, and he's friends with
Lieutenant Lebrecht.
THE COURT: Well, it doesn't matter unless he's saying this in front of Lieutenant Lebrecht. So sustained.

If you have a nexus where they're having this kind of conversation, then I'll allow it.
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MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, he was investigated by the university, so they all know about it.

THE COURT: That's not my question. Is whatever you're about to ask concerning Lieutenant Morrow something that is said in front of Lieutenant Lebrecht, or is this an isolated statement?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: It is an isolated statement. THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. We were talking about allegations against you. You went through a couple of them. Let's talk about the other ones. What was the monster truck incident?
A. So for the first time the -- the monster truck rally had came to the Matthew Knight arena. I have a little boy. At the time he was maybe seven. He could have been younger than that. Five, maybe. And he loved Hot Wheels and monster trucks, so I bought tickets for our family to go.

So we had parked the car, and we were walking over there, through the Market of Choice parking lot, and somebody was selling T-shirts for the event, and my son went running up and was elated, wanting a monster truck T-shirt. It had Grave Digger on it, and he's saying, "I want a Grave Digger T-shirt." I went and asked the gentleman -- I was with my husband and two kids -- and asked the man how much, and I don't remember the exact dollar figure, but, for example, if the shirts were, you
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that I had said that I did this.
That was all I could suspect. I never talked to Lieutenant Lebrecht about it. It was just what was conveyed from Lieutenant Morrow.
Q. What was the May 7, 2011, EmX bus station allegation?
A. That incident, there was a -- I can't remember the original call, but there was a -- maybe a possible armed suspect or a subject, armed subject at a bus stop, that was near where our office was, kind of at the east end of campus. And it was off campus, but this had come over the radio. Our officers were all aware of it. And it was at a shift change. It was after our briefing.

And we have several officers who smoke. At this time they weren't fully sworn police officers. They were still the original Department of Public Safety officers, so they have not had any formal training, for the most part, on officer safety skills or otherwise, and when they go out after briefings and smoke between the cars, they get very complacent. They're chitchatting. Their guard is down.

So during this call I was very concerned that my officers were going to be out there not paying attention. If there was an armed subject that came running through or in their way -and one of the problems we always had was that -- or concerns was that a suspect could think our officers are an actual police officer and harm them, when they have no way to defend
themselves with training or weapons or otherwise.
So I had asked an officer to go post up at a separate location to have a vantage point, because we didn't have direct radio communications with the officers on the scene, and I knew the time delay to get from the Eugene police officers to their dispatch to ours and back to our officers and this whole thing could be the difference between somebody being fatally wounded or otherwise.

And so I asked an officer to go post up at a vantage point so he could relay what was going on, and that was met with resistance.

It was one of the officers who told Sergeant Cameron and I that he was, you know, going to be dealing with some stuff in the office, and he wasn't going to do this. He was starting to resist. So I went and asked another officer to do this. In the time I was communicating with him, the call had been cleared. I don't recall the disposition; if they had contacted the armed subject or if he was gone or if it was a false alarm, but it eventually dissipated.
Q. And the final incident, the Oregon Ducks basketball game . You're there with your kids. What happened?
A. That was a baseball game.
Q. Baseball. Sorry.
A. At PK Park. We're season ticket holders. I was there with my two children, and we were sitting in our seats, which
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there's the -- the Ducks team has a dugout. There's a concrete structure. There's a walkway and a row of chairs, and we were in the second row. And there was -- whoever has season tickets, or otherwise, the people that come and sit in front of us change all the time.

And at this particular game there was a man and his little boy, and the little boy kept resting his feet on the concrete dugout, and the Crowd Management Service staffs those games to -- as just a monitor, and he kept yelling at this little boy to put his feet down, and he was yelling at the dad. And there was a lot of people sitting around us that would, you know, start chipping on this CMS worker saying, you know, "Give him a break." It was just -- it was turning into quite the scene.

And so the little boy did it again, and so the man had come around -- because we were on the far edge of the section, and he was stationed over here. And so when he came up and around, he came straight over to my son and started yelling at my son about it. I pulled my son in, and I told him, "You will never talk to my son like this," and he had mistaken -- because he had went all the way around this crowd, he mistook my son, who doesn't even sit up in that the front row, for this other man and his boy, and started screaming at him.

So a situation ensued with that. And at the point when he started yelling at my son, and I kind of tucked my son into me and I said, "You're not going to talk to my son this way. It's
not my son. You have the wrong person."
But I felt really bad for this man who had never been to the game sitting there with his son. I had never seen him before. All the rest of the crowd started, that was around us, because they were season ticket holders, and you kind of get to know everybody around you, and they started chipping away at the CMS worker. And then at that point I just told my kids just to face forward, watch the game, don't pay attention.

Well, then one of the officers who was working that evening was definitely not one of my advocates. He was not a stronger employee, and he had numerous problems, and I think he was a part of this complaint process and went and alleged an allegation that something happened.

MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Which part, Counsel?
MS. COIT: Her speculating why this person may have
reported her was because he had a problem with her.
THE COURT: That portion is stricken. The rest remains.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. What is CMS?
A. Crowd Management Service.
Q. Do you know why Crowd Management Service is on the bowl of dicks list?
A. I don't know why they're on there.
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MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.

```
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
```

Q. Just, if you don't know -- I'm just asking.

THE COURT: That's overruled. You're there during
this period of time. That's the one foundation that's lacking, if she's aware of this list.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Oh.
THE COURT: I'll reverse that ruling. It's
incorrect. I'll sustain the objection. But if you can lay a foundation, if she's aware of the list, then you can ask her if she knows why Crowd Management Services is on the list. It hadn't been established yet that she's aware of this list, so it's sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. During 2011, during these shift briefings, were you aware of this bowl of dicks list people were being put on? A. No. Not at the time.
Q. So these five -- well, what was the actual allegation in relation to the EmX bus station? The smoking. What were you alleged to have done wrong?
A. At the EmX --
Q. Yeah. Can --
A. The allegation, I believe, was that I put -- or was -- I didn't put them in danger, but I was going to put them in danger by having them post up at an observation point.
Q. So these allegations come in in writing. Tell me about the meeting you had with McDermed.
A. When I received these allegations?
Q. When you received these allegations, yes. In June of 2011.
A. Well, I had been -- well, the first four -- there were the original four, and then there were the two additional ones that came from Lieutenant Lebrecht. So the first four I became aware when I got called in with Assistant Chief McDermed and Lieutenant Morrow, and I believe it was in Lieutenant Morrow's office, and they read me the allegations.
Q. Okay. And what happened next?
A. Other than?
Q. Well, what was going through your mind at that moment when you -- these allegations were sprung on you?
A. I was shocked. Really disappointed. I knew what the writing on the wall was when those four came in. Two -- some of them being off duty, which is completely irrelevant to my job, and they weren't even accurate. It was the worst feeling ever.
Q. What did you do about it?
A. I couldn't do anything. I was under investigation.

Assistant Chief McDermed said it would only take about a week.
It went on for over a month. And I kept asking her and Lieutenant Morrow when it would be done. They would say
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another week. It's going to be done after the weekend. It was a hard thing to move forward, trying to work really hard, long hours, excessive things, and knowing this is looming over your head and that the allegations aren't accurate, but knowing you can't do anything about it.

And so after another month they called me in to Lieutenant Morrow's office, and I thought finally we would see a conclusion on it, and Lieutenant Morrow had been leading me to believe that don't worry about the PK Park thing and don't -- you know, so when I came in I was quite surprised that not only was it not resolved, they added two more investigations or allegations from Lieutenant Lebrecht and that it was going to continue.

And eventually I was put on administrative leave because of them, and I knew that I wouldn't -- that that was definitely the beginning of the end.
Q. Did you speak up to anybody about this?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. During this period, I had spoke with a person from the EEO office.
Q. What is EEO?
A. Equal Employment Opportunity.

And I spoke with Linda King, who's the vice president of human resources.
Q. At the University of Oregon?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Why did you go to HR?
A. Other than the host of problems that had been happening at
the department, the -- one of the last reasons I went was,
after I received a written reprimand, I was scared. I didn't
know what my options were, and I didn't want to work in the
department anymore with the retaliation that was happening, and
I didn't want to work under Lieutenant Lebrecht, and so I went
to her for help, because I -- I didn't know what other options
I had.
Q. Did she help?
A. No. She told --
$\quad$ MS. COIT: Object to the hearsay.
speaking with the two chiefs, and then I was immediately
reassigned to our parking and transportation division, and I
wasn't going to get my contract renewed.
BY MR. JASon KAFouRY: (Continuing)
Q. Were you told right then you weren't going to get your
contract renewed?
A. At what point?
Q. Right when you were being reassigned to parking duty.
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A. Yes.
Q. Can you explain for the jurors the difference between a supervisor and their contracts versus a union officer, like my client, in terms of how the employment agreement works? A. So the officers are represented by the union, and they have a trial service period, and then once they're beyond that, then they're represented by the union. The supervisors are officers of administration, and we have an annual contract that gets renewed, and it either doesn't or it does.
Q. So the university basically every year can decide whether they want to keep you. Is that -A. Yes. Yes.
Q. How was that last six months to a year knowing you weren't going to be renewed there at the department?

MS. COIT: Object.
THE COURT: On what grounds?
MS. COIT: Relevance. Her damages aren't at issue here.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Punitive damages, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled. You can ask the question.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Tell the jurors what was it like.
A. It was horrible. I was reassigned to the parking office, which is separate from the main office, and pretty much it's like being exiled.
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Q. Tell us about what you did on your last day.
A. Well, I had hoped that -- well, prior to being reassigned to parking and transportation, which is still under the chief and the Department of Public Safety, I had hoped that if I wasn't there that maybe I could be reassigned to another department on the university campus. Anywhere else, whether it was student affairs, journalism, athletic, or anywhere else, and they would be able to see what a hard worker I was and what a good employee I was, and I would have the opportunity, then, to continue on there.

You know, having a family, two kids. And my daughter was just about to start college.

THE COURT: The question was what did you do the last day.

THE WITNESS: So I waited to file a response, my rebuttal, because -- to my reprimand, because I was afraid that if I filed that before I left that there would be further retaliation, and I had held out hope until the last day that they would do the right thing and renew my contract. I had been working very hard in parking and transportation, and the director of parking and transportation wanted me to stay on, and nothing had happened.

So the last day is when I waited to hand-deliver my rebuttal to the reprimand.

I hand-delivered it to Jamie Moffitt, who's the vice
MS. COIT: Object.
THE COURT: On what grounds?
MS. COIT: Relevance. Her damages aren't at issue
here.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Punitive damages, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled. You can ask the question.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Tell the jurors what was it like.
A. It was horrible. I was reassigned to the parking office,
which is separate from the main office, and pretty much it's
like being exiled.
president of finance and administration, who was Chief Tripp's supervisor, and I hand-delivered it to Linda King.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you know what happened to that letter after that?
A. No. I was told that it was going to go into my file and
that they would be passing it on.
Q. To the department?
A. Yes. Because it would need to go in my file.
Q. Do you know if -- have you seen your personnel file in the last three years?
A. No. I have not seen the actual files. I've seen copies of a few things, but I know it's not the total file. I know that's multiple files.
Q. In your letter you asked to have your letters of reprimand taken out -- removed from your personnel files. Do you know if that's happened?
A. It has not.
Q. Tell us, how has this time at the University of Oregon and not being renewed, how has it affected your employment?
A. I'm not employed.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because when you come from a department that has a reputation of being dysfunctional and all the problems, it's really hard moving forward. I -- I fear retaliation, if I apply for a job elsewhere, of what Chief McDermed will say
or -- or Lieutenant Lebrecht. I'm scared. So at this point I'm still unemployed.
Q. Have you done background checks in your -- over your years?
A. Yes.
Q. As part of a background check, if you applied at another department, would they contact -- would you assume they would contact the University of Oregon superiors to ask about you? A. Yes.
Q. I want to talk about Brady listing. When were you first made aware of the concept of a Brady list?
A. Well, I knew about Brady list from college, but I learned about it in detail at my police academy.
Q. What year was that?
A. 2000 .
Q. Why do you think Lieutenant Lebrecht and Chief McDermed retaliated against you?

MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is her personal opinion. I will allow it.

You can answer that question.
THE WITNESS: Well, as far as Lieutenant Lebrecht and his -- in previous conversations, when he talked about somebody mother fucking him or crossing him previously and how he was going to do the same, I figured that was probably what happened

## Boyd - D

to me, because I had complained about the issues going on, whether it was our auxillary officers -- they had complained about how they were being treated -- for the graveyard shift, about their excessive briefings and some of those issues, and I knew that making -- passing that information on to both Lieutenant Morrow and Assistant Chief McDermed that that would ultimately or possibly could be my consequences. And I'm not sure why with Assistant Chief McDermed.

THE COURT: All right, Counsel, she's answered. Your next question, please.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did you have discussions with Chief McDermed about the Brady list while you were there?

THE COURT: As it pertains to who? General discussion about it?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: General discussion about it or discussions about a specific person.

THE WITNESS: Yes, we had -- in one particular instance, we had an officer who we were in the process of terminating for a couple reasons. One was official misconduct. And we were in communications with the district attorney's office, and that was one of the topics of discussion that they were asking, is if we would be looking at putting her on the Brady list. And that was not the goal, but that had come up in that time.
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. What year was that?
A. It was 2009 or 2010.
Q. Which officer?
A. Her name was Officer Bowes.
Q. What was the allegation against her?
A. There were two, and I -- one of them was official
misconduct, and I don't recall the specifics of the second.
Q. What was the official misconduct?
A. She was utilizing her position for personal gain.
Q. So were there meetings about whether -- with the DA back in 2009/2010 about the Brady listing?
A. With the Deputy DA.
Q. Okay. Who was that?
A. Patty Perlow.
Q. You were at those meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. Was Chief McDermed at those meetings?
A. No.
Q. How do you know Chief McDermed was aware of that?
A. Because I had to report back to her.
Q. Do you believe that someone at the Eugene Police

Department who ran internal affairs would not know about the Brady listing?
A. No. I would not believe they wouldn't know.
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Q. Over your time working with Lieutenant Lebrecht, did you
form an opinion about his character for truthfulness?
A. I don't know if I formed an opinion about his
truthfulness. I just -- I just didn't trust him.
Q. What is your understanding, once you're on the Brady list, of how that affects your possible future employment in law enforcement?
A. Typically, it ends your profession in law enforcement, because it's about your integrity, and that's all you have. And when somebody is put on that Brady list, it's extremely rare they continue on. You have to have a police department -a police department has, you know, another position for you, but pretty much that ends your career and your future in law enforcement.
Q. Ms. Boyd, when did you figure out that you and your husband were actually the second-to-last items on the bowl of dicks list?
A. When I --

MS. COIT: Excuse me. Objection. Foundation.
THE COURT: I didn't hear the answer. I'm sorry.
When did you hear this?
THE WITNESS: When it came out in The Oregonian.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Can you tell the jurors, just briefly, what happened with
your husband at the football game, in October, against Washington State, of 2013?

MS. COIT: Objection. Relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: We were at this football game, and there were some people sitting next to us that were getting belligerent with somebody else in the stands, and they -- they were throwing popcorn. There was a highly intoxicated person down below us that wanted to fight with anybody and everybody, and other people had complained to this CMS who worked at the football game.

And so eventually -- it was quite a time delay, but Eugene Police Department, an officer, came walking right by us, and we kind of pointed down where he needed to go, because we knew it was to this one particular person. He contacted him.

In a very short amount of time he came back up and asked to talk with my husband. And we both just naturally assumed he recognized him because he's a -- he's worked in the local area and knows many of the officers, and asked him if he could talk with him away from where we were standing.

And my husband was like "Absolutely," thinking, you know, he could help point out what had happened. And so as my husband got up to walk away, the officer followed, and I saw him brandish his -- those big Maglite flashlights. But he took it out in such a manner that he wasn't using it, nor which you
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needed to, because it wasn't dark enough, but he -- he grabbed it like he was going to use it as an impact weapon. And I thought -- at that moment I knew instantly that it was -something bad was going to happen.

And they got over to the side, and my husband turned around to ask him what's going on, what -- you know, and the officer jabbed him in the stomach with the flashlight and then ordered him up to the top of the concourse level, screaming at my husband.

So, anyway, they got up to the top, and he had asked my husband for his identification. My husband had it out and asked him who he was, because he didn't have his ID visible, and what this was, you know, for, and why he just, you know, assaulted him, and they eventually ended up taking him into handcuffs and out down onto the ground level.

And they -- it's an ongoing situation where they've discovered that it was a -- they have the wrong guy.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Let's talk about how the University of Oregon -- what happened with them and the Department of Public Safety after that incident. Can you tell the jurors?
A. They had no involvement. In fact, the only part they participated in is when we were escorted down the stairs and my husband was sitting down and I was visiting with one of the officers, one of the Eugene police officers. They were --
there was Officer Abbott and one other. I believe it was possibly Zach Hermens was working that east gate, and I didn't know if they could see me or not, but they saw what was going on. But at no time did they participate in the situation.

And then later I noticed Sergeant Geeting was riding by on his Polaris, but they had no involvement in the contact or the situation.
Q. Was your understanding that after this incident the Eugene Police Department determined that it would be fine for you guys to attend future football games?
A. Yes. Captain Fellman, who came on scene, he told us -MS. COIT: Object. To the hearsay.
THE COURT: No. This is conduct. Overruled. THE WITNESS: He told us to come up. We had -- this year I got season tickets for my husband for a few games -- or for that season, and we were only able to go to a couple of games after this. But he told us to come back the next weekend and he would see us then. They didn't take our tickets. They didn't process us like anybody being ejected. They didn't write any citations. They made clear to tell us they were just -- he was writing out a memo. They weren't even doing a report. That was kind of it. And that was essentially how it was concluded.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Tell us about the trespass order you were given by
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## Sergeant Cameron.

A. Well, we actually didn't receive it until almost a month
later. Because even though it never came to our home and my husband -- it was well known he was on admin leave, because it was in the paper. They sent a letter of trespass. I believe it was a week later. They waited to issue this trespass, and then they sent it to my husband's office. And then we didn't receive it for a month. And it was just kind of out of the blue.
Q. So what -- what does that mean that your husband is trespassed from the University of Oregon?
A. Well, it meant that he wasn't able to come onto any

University of Oregon property, which isn't exclusive to just $U$ of $O$, but he, in any capacity, couldn't come onto University of Oregon campus.
Q. So your husband was not arrested; correct?
A. No.
Q. He was not charged with any crimes; correct?
A. No. Well, ultimately, that changed, but -- but at the time, no.
Q. And as a result of this incident, the University of Oregon Police Department, a week later, issued him a trespass notice; is that correct?
A. Yes. I would have to look at the dates, but give or take.
Q. Can you identify for us what Exhibit 120 is, please.
A. It's the letter of trespass issued to my husband.
Q. And that's signed by Sergeant Scott Cameron?
A. I believe that's his signature, but that's his badge or
officer number.
Q. What's the date on that document?
A. I'm sorry.
Q. I think it's down at the bottom.
A. Oh, October 28, 2013.
$\quad$ MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd offer 120, Your Honor.
$\quad$ THE COURT: Received.
BY MR. JASon KAFouRY: (Continuing)
Q. Ms. Boyd, do you feel that this letter of trespass given
to you by the University of Oregon Police Department was
retaliation for your employment there?
A. Yes.
Q. During your time while working there, was this a typical
pattern, that someone in a football situation like this would
get a trespass, a letter, a week later from the police
department?
A. No.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thanks. That's all I have.
before we start the cross-examination.
We'll resume in 15 minutes. Is that acceptable?
MS. COIT: Yes.
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THE COURT: Come in about 10:30. Don't discuss the matter amongst yourselves or form an opinion or express an opinion. Have a nice recess.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: Counsel, 10:30.
MS. COIT: I just wanted to show you something before
I show it to the witness.
THE COURT: You want to show me something?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Show it to the other parties.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can I see it?
MS. COIT: I'm showing it to you because I want -- I
want to use it as impeachment.
THE COURT: Now, on -- on the record. All counsel
are present. The jury is not present. What am I looking at? I got your notepad.

MS. COIT: I apologize. We didn't have this -- I
didn't realize this issue was going to come up.
THE COURT: Well, remember, I'm only going over plaintiff's exhibits.

MS. COIT: But that's why it's on my notepad and not printed out.

THE COURT: What is this?
MS. COIT: This is the incident having to do when she was at the baseball game.

THE COURT: Oh, and the boy was standing up? MS. COIT: And that's a picture of her son standing
up.
THE COURT: Well, that door was opened. You can certainly impeach. Have a nice recess.
(Recess taken.)
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, after this witness, I believe we're calling another one of the adverse witnesses, and maybe that would be a good time to get that -- remind me about the adverse witness.

THE COURT: That would be appropriate. I can do that now, but I would rather wait.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Let's do that.
THE COURT: Give me the cue, and I'll be happy to.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, can I have the Court ask counsel a question before the jury comes in ? He wasn't aware, obviously, of not talking to the witnesses beforehand, and she was sitting out there receiving texts --

THE COURT: I can't hear you.
(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Jury is present. All counsel are
present. The parties are present. Please be seated.
Will Lieutenant Boyd please retake the stand?
Do you know where she is? Does anybody know? Is she out in the hallway? She must be standing out in the hallway.
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Thanks, Christy.
Counsel, be seated.
Ms. Boyd, if you would take the witness stand, please.
Cross-examination, please.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Ms. Boyd, how many times did you meet with Mr. Cleavenger or his attorneys to prepare for your testimony?
A. I have not met with them.
Q. Did you speak on the phone?
A. Yes.
Q. How many times?
A. Once.
Q. When was that?
A. This past week.
Q. Did they give you any documents to review before you testified?
A. No.
Q. Did you look at anything?
A. I looked at my rebuttal document.
Q. Anything else?
A. I looked at this letter that I had. I have a bunch of papers that are time sheets, schedules, that kind of thing.
Q. Anything else?
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transferred?
A. Yes.
Q. That came from Chief McDermed; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And in that written reprimand there were two complaints
that were made by Lieutenant Lebrecht; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You were told about that before you were transferred;
isn't that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And right after you were told about that, you threw a fan
in the office, smashed it. Lieutenant Lebrecht's fan. Do you
remember that?
A. No.
Q. So do you agree with me that part of the reason you were
terminated was because of two complaints Lieutenant Lebrecht
made? Excuse me. Nonrenewed.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I'll object. She
already answered she doesn't know, and I think --
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know. I didn't make the
decision.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Who -- well, are you aware of who did the internal
investigation that led to you ultimately being nonrenewed?

```
A. Just miscellaneous documents that I have. I quickly had
```

A. Just miscellaneous documents that I have. I quickly had
to pack all my stuff when I had to leave the building, so
to pack all my stuff when I had to leave the building, so
there's no order to anything. I don't necessarily have
there's no order to anything. I don't necessarily have
anything organized.
anything organized.
Q. That's not my question, ma'am. Did you look at anything
Q. That's not my question, ma'am. Did you look at anything
else?
else?
A. Just what was there.
A. Just what was there.
Q. So you said you looked at your rebuttal letter. Is that
Q. So you said you looked at your rebuttal letter. Is that
the letter you wrote and gave to Jamie Moffitt?
the letter you wrote and gave to Jamie Moffitt?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. You blame Chief McDermed for being nonrenewed; right?
Q. You blame Chief McDermed for being nonrenewed; right?
A. I wouldn't say solely, no.
A. I wouldn't say solely, no.
Q. Who else do you blame?
Q. Who else do you blame?
A. Well, they were -- her and Chief Tripp made that decision.
A. Well, they were -- her and Chief Tripp made that decision.
Q. Are you angry at Chief McDermed for doing that?
Q. Are you angry at Chief McDermed for doing that?
A. No.
A. No.
Q. Do you think it was justified?
Q. Do you think it was justified?
A. No.
A. No.
Q. But you're not angry?
Q. But you're not angry?
A. It's been three years. I'm hurt more than I'm angered.
A. It's been three years. I'm hurt more than I'm angered.
Q. Part of the reason that you were transferred to parking
Q. Part of the reason that you were transferred to parking
and then nonrenewed was based on two complaints by Lieutenant
and then nonrenewed was based on two complaints by Lieutenant
Lebrecht; correct?
Lebrecht; correct?
A. I didn't make the decision.
A. I didn't make the decision.
Q. Did you receive a written reprimand right before you were

```
Q. Did you receive a written reprimand right before you were
```

A. That was Lieutenant Morrow and Assistant Chief McDermed.
Q. Do you also blame Lieutenant Morrow for not being renewed ?
A. No.
Q. Did you agree with any of the findings in that
investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Which ones?
A. I asked Tyler Maness if he was gay.
Q. Tyler Maness actually complained about that and said he
felt uncomfortable, didn't he?
A. No. They went to him.
Q. Did he tell them he felt uncomfortable?
A. No. They asked him the question, and he feared
retaliation from them if he didn't cooperate.
Q. How do you know that?
A. He told me.
Q. Retaliation from whom?
A. Chief McDermed and Lieutenant Morrow.
Q. One of the other bases for your nonrenewal was this
incident at the baseball game, correct, with your son?
A. Yes.
Q. You were on duty at that time, weren't you?
A. No.
Q. Weren't you in your duty pants? Your shoes?
A. It's what I came to work in.
A. That was Lieutenant Morrow and Assistant Chief McDermed.
Q. Do you also blame Lieutenant Morrow for not being renewed ?
A. No.
Q. Did you agree with any of the findings in that
investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Which ones?
A. I asked Tyler Maness if he was gay.
Q. Tyler Maness actually complained about that and said he felt uncomfortable, didn't he?
A. No. They went to him.
Q. Did he tell them he felt uncomfortable?
A. No. They asked him the question, and he feared
retaliation from them if he didn't cooperate.
Q. How do you know that?
A. He told me.
Q. Retaliation from whom?
A. Chief McDermed and Lieutenant Morrow.
Q. One of the other bases for your nonrenewal was this
incident at the baseball game, correct, with your son?
A. Yes.
Q. You were on duty at that time, weren't you?
A. No.
Q. Weren't you in your duty pants? Your shoes?
A. It's what I came to work in.
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Q. You had taken flex time or comp time go to the game?
A. My shoes were also my personal purchase.
Q. Had you taken some sort of comp time after the fact --
A. No.
Q. -- to go to the game?
A. I was splitting my shift.
Q. To go to the game?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it discovered during the course of that internal affairs investigation that that was a common practice for you? You actually had season tickets; right?
A. I had season tickets. It wasn't a common practice, no.
Q. Well, it was a sustained finding that you were misusing
your time, wasn't it?
A. That doesn't mean it was correct.
Q. Was the allegation correct that your son had been the one
that was causing the disturbance?
A. No.
Q. Was it your son with you there that day?
A. Yes.
Q. Was your son standing up, looking into the game, standing
on top of the bleachers or the dugout?
A. Never.
Q. He's never done that?
A. No.
Q. Is that your son?
A. That was at the end of a game.
Q. Is that your son?
A. Yes, that's my son.
Q. Is he standing on the bleachers?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that taken at the same game?
A. Actually, that's not the bleachers. No.
Q. The dugout. Excuse me.
A. No. That was his very first baseball game.
Q. Isn't it true that this is the -- or the picture that was
on your screensaver at the office the day after you had this incident at the baseball game?
A. I think it was always my screensaver.
Q. Answer my question, please. Did you put that up the day after you got in trouble at this baseball game?
A. No.
Q. Why did you put it up?
A. I believe it's been there for a long time.
Q. So, just to be clear, your testimony that your son has never sat in the front row or stood on top of the dugout at a baseball game is not true?
A. He's never sat in the front row. That was not during the game. It was after, when everybody always stands up to cheer for the team, and he was clapping as they were standing there
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facing the crowd.
Q. One of the findings in the internal affairs investigation was that your story on that wasn't credible; is that correct?
A. I don't recall.
Q. One of the findings was there never actually was a man with another little boy there; isn't that correct?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall telling Lieutenant Lebrecht, you know, everybody thinks you're a bitch, but you like to write people up?
A. No.
Q. You never said that?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Is it possible you said that to him?
A. Oh, you're saying I said that to him?
Q. Correct.
A. No, I don't recall that.
Q. Is it possible you said that to him?
A. I -- I wouldn't know. I don't recall saying that.
Q. You used the "F word" a lot in the office; right?
A. I don't recall it that way, no.
Q. Do you recall using it more than other officers?
A. No.
Q. Was it part of your common speech?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall telling Amanda Hayles that you wouldn't buy her a new duty vest until she lost her baby weight?
A. No.
Q. You never told her that?
A. No.
Q. Did you ever tell anyone that?
A. No.
Q. Now, the incident we talked about with your husband at the football game, do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. He was charged with a crime, right; resisting arrest? Was he charged with a crime of resisting arrest?
A. Yes. It's not quite so black and white, but --
Q. Your husband was an Oregon State police officer ; correct?
A. He is an Oregon State police officer.
Q. While you were lieutenant at the Department of Public

Safety, were you aware of the policy to trespass people, when to trespass people from campus?
A. I was aware we trespassed people from campus, yes.
Q. And when were people trespassed? What was the policy?
A. I don't recall what the hard-and-fast policy was. It was based on circumstances for each different situation, and each one was taken different.
Q. Would you agree with me that it would fit within that policy when a person who would come to a game armed with a gun
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gets in a fight and charged with resisting arrest with the Eugene Police Department, that that would qualify for trespass ?
A. My husband wasn't armed with a gun.
Q. I asked if you would agree with me based on those facts.
A. I wouldn't know any further details of it, so, no, not
necessarily. Especially when they're a police officer. They
are authorized to carry. My husband was not carrying, but a police officer would be authorized to carry on campus.
Q. You weren't trespassed, were you?
A. No.
Q. That was despite the fact that you had to be restrained by the police officers?
A. No, I didn't have to be restrained by the police officers.
Q. Who restrained you?
A. Nobody.
Q. Did you get in an altercation with anyone there?
A. No.
Q. Did you yell at anyone?
A. Nope.
Q. The trespass order was limited in time; correct?
A. The trespass was the standard 18 months.
Q. That's limited in time; right?
A. Well, it's not a full duration, yes.
Q. Your husband applied for an exception so he could take your daughter to campus; is that correct?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. He was given one; right? Wasn't he? He was given that
waiver?
A. Well, we did apply for an exception. It was an
unreasonable trespass, and so we wrote to the university
president. We never sent it to Chief McDermed. We sent it to
the president, requesting that the whole entirety of the
trespass be removed because he's a state trooper and has
conducted business in support of the University of Oregon.
Chief McDermed replied back only granting the ability to take
our daughter who was attending classes during high school. She
had a class that had to be taken in the middle of the day.
Q. And so Chief McDermed granted that exception to the
trespass; correct?
A. Only that exception.
Q. You were never trespassed, were you?
A. No.
Q. So in this internal affairs investigation, you were
actually found to be untruthful; is that correct?
A. I don't recall that, no.
BY ms. coit: (Continuing)
Q. I apologize. This is -- take a look at that document and
MS. COIT: May I approach?
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see if you recognize that.
A. Yes.
Q. Can you look at the bottom of page 3, please.
A. It says that the writer of this, Chief McDermed, she has concerns. It doesn't mean I was found with that.

THE COURT: What document are you referring to? What is the number?

MS. COIT: This is her written reprimand.
THE COURT: But it must have an exhibit number. If you're showing something to the witness, I need that number.

MS. COIT: It is not an exhibit. I was refreshing
her memory of it.
THE COURT: It's not an exhibit?
MS. COIT: It's not my exhibit.
THE COURT: Just a moment. I need a record of what the witness is looking at, at least. Is this something that's refreshing her recollection?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: What is it? Is it a letter of reprimand?
MS. COIT: It's her written letter of reprimand.
THE COURT: Isn't that Exhibit 119? Or is that the response to the --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's the response, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What exhibit number will this have? It may not be received by the Court, but I want it referenced in
some way.
Ladies and gentlemen, we'll get a number to this later on. It may not come into evidence, but something was shown to the witness -- apparently a letter of reprimand -- to refresh her recollection.

MS. COIT: It will be Exhibit 409.
THE COURT: 409.
MS. COIT: Defendant's 409.
THE COURT: Defendant's 409. Okay.
MS. COIT: For the record, it's the written reprimand dated August 24, 2011, to Casey Boyd from Carolyn McDermed.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Ms. Boyd, I showed you your written reprimand. Does that refresh your recollection of whether or not there were findings of untruthfulness about you in the course of that investigation?
A. No, there weren't findings of untruthfulness. It doesn't say that. It says she had concerns. Just because I said what happened and they talked with somebody else who had a different version, I was not found to be untruthful. She said she had concerns. So, no.
Q. Would you agree with her concerns that you were possibly untruthful in this reprimand?
A. No.
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Q. It also called into question your credibility and your integrity; is that correct?
A. I don't remember word for word what it says.
Q. Let's talk about that monster truck rally that you went to that we talked about earlier. That was also part of your written reprimand?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall telling the person selling the shirts that if you didn't get them two for $\$ 20$, you were going to refer him for illegally selling to the DPS officer standing nearby?
A. No.
Q. So you don't recall telling him that?
A. No.
Q. Do you agree that in the course of the investigation into that allegation, it was determined that you had told him that?
A. No.
Q. You don't agree with that?
A. That it was determined? No. Because that's not what I said. Q. The incident when you sent Mr. Brathwaite and another officer, unarmed DP officers, to respond to an armed incident, do you recall that one?
A. Yes. With Cole Parker.
Q. Did that allegation call into question your abilities as a
lieutenant?

```
A. No.
Q. Your professional judgment?
A. It questioned my officer safety judgment.
Q. Did you agree with the allegation that that was unsafe to
send unarmed officers to an armed call?
A. I didn't send them to the call. I was requesting that
they go to a position of observation that was at a safe
distance to provide greater safety to the officers who were all
standing and smoking their cigarettes while they were ready to
go out to their cars.
Q. Well, Officer Brathwaite actually had to fight with you
about that, didn't he?
A. No.
Q. He actually had to disobey an order, didn't he?
A. No, he didn't fight with me.
Q. He had to disobey your order?
A. He was starting to, and the call was dissipated in the
middle of our conversation.
Q. Did Officer Brathwaite get in trouble for disobeying
your order?
A. No. The situation was over at the point that the -- the
call with the Eugene department. I don't recall how the
resolution ended.
Q. Sitting here today, do you think that was a good idea, as
their lieutenant, to have them do that?
```
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A. To go and post up at the position of observation for safety? Yes.
Q. Now, that allegation was also sustained; is that correct?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall there being a finding that you forced the officers to wisely disobey your order?
A. That -- please repeat.
Q. Do you recall the finding being that you forced the officers to wisely disobey your order?
A. I -- that may be what the letter says. I don't remember verbatim.
Q. Now, that -- that issue where we talked about with your son standing up at the baseball game, Lieutenant Lebrecht is the one that reported that issue, isn't he?
A. I don't know. I assumed it was one of the officers working that day. They probably reported it to him, but I don't have that information.
Q. Were those the officers you said that probably didn't like you?
A. I don't know if they didn't like me. They didn't like that they were probably some of the officers that were the less competent officers.
Q. You felt they were less competent? Is that what you're saying?
A. Than others, yes.
Q. So for that reason, you thought that they would be the ones to report you?
A. No.
Q. I don't understand, then. Why did you think that them being less competent --
A. You were saying that they didn't like me, and I was correcting you, that it -- I didn't think it was about whether they liked me or not. I didn't know that was an issue.
Q. Are you aware that you had quite a reputation for being a bully and retaliatory at the department?
A. No.
Q. You're not aware of that sitting here today?
A. Well, I've since been told, yes. Today -- or yesterday.
Q. You were told yesterday?
A. Yes.
Q. Who told you that?
A. I don't remember. Somebody was telling me that people kind of aren't happy with me.
Q. You don't remember who told you yesterday that you had a reputation for being a bully in the department?
A. No.
Q. In this baseball game incident, in the course of that investigation, you actually admitted that you had the season tickets and often took comp time or changed your schedule to go to the games; correct?
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A. No.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That was exhibit -MS. COIT: This is 408. This is the same exhibit, Your Honor. I'll use it to refresh your memory. THE COURT: 408. Just a moment. 408, you say, is the same exhibit as what?

MS. COIT: The one I just used.
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
Christy, is that microphone off? I can barely hear her. DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: It should be on.
THE COURT: Counsel, I can't hear you.
DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Counsel, Exhibit 409?
MS. COIT: Yes. 409. Excuse me. It's the one I just used to refresh her memory.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: We'd like to get a copy of this before it's being used for this witness or see the document.

THE COURT: Don't you have a copy of the reprimand ?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. This is different. This is -- I don't have a copy of Casey Boyd's letter. I only have the rebuttal letter.

THE COURT: Is 409 the actual reprimand handed down

## to Lieutenant Boyd?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Well, in all of the discovery that we've had, you don't have a copy?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I -- I don't think I've ever been provided that, no.

THE COURT: Counsel, I don't know if that's factual or not.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: If it hasn't been produced, I
don't think you can use it.
MS. COIT: I don't know if it's been produced. I
just wanted to use it to refresh her memory.
THE COURT: Was it requested? I can't imagine that a
letter of reprimand comes as any surprise. Obviously, it has
to exist. The question is if counsel is prepared or received
it, and I won't get into the machinations right now if you requested it or if it wasn't given or if it wasn't requested and there was no duty to give it.

But somehow we have to get that information to both of you, even at this late date. How are we going to resolve that? MR. JASON KAFOURY: How many more questions do you
have that relate to it?
THE COURT: Well, why don't you two have a private
conversation? I don't think we have to do this in front of the jury.

Ladies and gentlemen, would you excuse us for a moment? I want to apologize to you. I'll have a discussion with both counsel for just a moment. It will go more smoothly. DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: I'll come get you in five or
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10 minutes at the most.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: Have a seat, Counsel. I say this with a smile on my face. I'm constantly amazed. Over the last year and a half, or so, of discovery, that either a letter of reprimand was not requested as part of the discovery or it wasn't produced by the defense. I could get into this in terms of who's at fault, but it's holding up the trial and a lot of taxpayer money.

How are we going to resolve this quickly? You certainly need to be prepared if you haven't received it; but if you didn't request it, then the fault lies with you. But if you did request it and it wasn't turned over, the fault lies with the defense.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, we turned over --
THE COURT: We have to get this trial on the road here, but we can sit up here for a month here in Oregon. It's a very nice place.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll have to look at the record.
I believe we asked for some time period of all letters of discipline.

THE COURT: We can do that later on. Go through the, you know, fault-finding, but the important thing is that we get the jury back in session, and I don't want to deter your cross-examination. So why don't you just hand him the copy of
the reprimand for a moment, and why don't you read that.
Now, also, Counsel, during the recess the record should reflect you asked if you could speak to your -- to this witness. I was a little unclear about who this witness was testifying for. Just because you called her, didn't mean she was necessarily adverse. But if you want to speak to her and you want to show her some document outside the presence of the jury, the more I think about it, I'm not going to preclude you.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: But you're going to be subject to
vigorous examination. Because I think you're right. I don't know of any rule that says you can't speak to any witness that you call as your own, but I will forewarn you that the witnesses aren't supposed to speak to each other. But there's no preclusion against speaking to an attorney, especially a sponsoring attorney.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: Which you tend to appear to be. So if you want to speak to her, I'm going to let you do that. Counsel is aware of that.

Counsel, for discovery purposes -- I don't want to get into the issue too deeply right now -- but was there a request made, do you know, or -- or was no request made and this simply was --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, there's been six requests for
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production. We've turned over tens of thousands of documents. If this was requested, it would have been turned over. I'm absolutely certain of that.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I believe I asked for all letters
of discipline in relation to the department for some period of time.

THE COURT: But you two are extraordinar ily bright on both sides, and I can't imagine each of you not being aware that there was a letter of reprimand.

MS. COIT: Well, the rebuttal letter that she wrote is in direct rebuttal of this letter of reprimand, so --

THE COURT: So we have to know that there's a letter of reprimand.

MS. COIT: And counsel put in the rebuttal letter, so I honestly -- I did not -- I was not aware he did not have this document, and I apologize for that.

THE COURT: That doesn't mean he did or didn't. I don't know.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I -- I'm not going to get into the document discovery, but it was a long arduous process to get what we wanted.

THE COURT: In other words, they were stonewalling
you?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's mine --
MS. COIT: For the record, I disagree. I don't need
to use this actual document if it's a problem.
THE COURT: Well, you're entitled to it, but if that document comes in, the rebuttal comes in to complete it.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I don't want to take up more time. We have a lot of people from out of town, so I think we should just -- if you're not going to ask questions directly out of the document, let's just move on.

THE COURT: Well, you're not bargaining with each other. She may decide to have the document received. But if so, I'll let in the rebuttal document.

MS. COIT: That's fine. Yeah, I'm going to offer it.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: You're going to offer this?
MS. COIT: Yes.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Fine. I'll offer the rebuttal.
THE COURT: Counsel, I'll receive both. So I will receive 409 and receive 119.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can I ask one question about this document? There's some handwriting at the top. I'm assuming that's the original handwriting from Casey Boyd?

MS. COIT: That is, and I need to make copies of that --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
MS. COIT: -- for you.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. So I --
MS. COIT: I'll get it after. You can have it while
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I question.
THE COURT: Christy, maybe we can do that and speed the process. Why don't we make a copy right now, otherwise you have to go back to your office.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: We also have a scanner here. We can scan stuff. We're very high-tech.

THE COURT: We can do it.
Counsel, Christy was kind enough to show me a newspaper article over the recess. I want you to be aware of this. And in most of the trials, I -- in many of the trials I preside over, there's a lot of notoriety, a jampacked courtroom. Here I moved it to Portland, hoping to at least delay some of that. Apparently, there's a Register-Guard. Christy was kind enough to educate me that that is a Eugene paper, which means that the jury here is shielded from the article in the Eugene paper, but, trust me, the press moves towards the center of gravity, and once this is picked up in the Eugene paper, it will make its way into The Oregonian, which I'm aware of, since I grew up here, and read it, and still do.

So at the end of the day, will one of you remind me to counsel the jury now not to refer to newspaper articles or, if they recognize anything about the case, to just set it aside on the initial recognition. I delayed that thus far, because I hoped not to get any press, but having had this come to me today from the Eugene paper, I can just assume that The

Oregonian is going to pick this up.
But has The Oregonian picked up any articles about this case in the past?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Well, then it's going to follow almost
immediately. It will be in tomorrow's paper or by Monday.
What I require my counsel to do and what I'm going to require each of you to do is any time you're aware of a newspaper article, besides Christy, who's my clerk, I want you to bring it to court and to quietly give it to me at the beginning or at the end of each day's session. The reason for this is as follows: Sometimes a juror, an individual juror, will disobey a Court's admonition. They will pick up a newspaper article, but they haven't spread that information to others, and you hear a conversation between two jurors, and we bring them out of the presence of the jury, and, quite frankly, the other jurors aren't aware of it, and it's in a way, in a sense, that the prejudice hasn't spread, and, therefore, a new trial is not required.

Oftentimes, courts just assume that there's jury-wide prejudice. Oftentimes, we can literally save a case, that's a lengthy case, just by making an inquiry or two.

So if you're aware of a newspaper article, just give it to
Christy. I don't need to read it, but I want to collect them so I know what they are reading if they're disobeying my
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MS. COIT: Can I also ask that you to broaden that to and urge them not to read anything on the Internet about this ? THE COURT: Yes. And radio, apparently.
Now, in Eugene, apparently this would be big news and you might have even more coverage than the local paper, but I don't know how that translates to Portland. I just assume that The Oregonian is going to write some article.

All right. Christy, would you be kind enough to bring the jury in?
(Jury present.)
THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. Outside your presence, I want you to know I received two documents that may save a lot of time.

The first document I received is what's been referred to as the letter of reprimand to Lieutenant Boyd. Casey. That's been marked for identification in your presence a few moments ago as Exhibit 409.

I've also received, outside your presence, but now note for you, her rebuttal, which is Exhibit 119. So both of those are in evidence now, and that will give you a fairly clear picture of what the reprimand was and what the response was close in time of these proceedings.

All right. Counsel, if you would like to continue your cross-examination, please.
staying in the department?
A. The decision was taken away from me.
Q. By whom?
A. I don't know who made the decision. I just know that when the two options were in the letter or whatever the option was to work for Lieutenant Lebrecht, I hadn't even read the letter fully, because it was -- it was more than a page, and so that's why I notated that I received it but didn't read it.

And so I wanted to talk with Linda King about what my options were, because I immediately knew working for Lieutenant Lebrecht probably wouldn't be a good -- good thing .
Q. Because you were angry at him at that point; correct?
A. No.
Q. You weren't angry with Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. No.
Q. So what option did you choose?
A. I didn't. I talked with Linda King, and then she made a call to Chief Tripp and Chief McDermed, and I was then notified that I was being immediately reassigned to parking and transportation and then my contract wouldn't be renewed.
Q. Do you know whose decision that was?
A. No.
Q. So the date of this letter is August 24, 2011. Do you recall the date that you were reassigned to parking?
A. I don't recall exact date, no.
Q. Do you recall it being September of 2011?
A. I'm sure it's within a week plus or minus of that date.
Q. And do you agree with me that once you were reassigned to
parking, you were moved out of that Department of Public Safety
building, the east building, moved to the west building, and you never came back to that department; correct?
A. It's all the same department that works underneath

Chief Tripp and Chief McDermed.
Q. You never came back to that building?
A. I think I did.
Q. When?
A. As part of my regular duties. I think there was -- the
fingerprint -- I can't say never, because it was still part of
the same department. Our -- our personnel, our administrative people, are there for the department.
Q. Sitting here today, do you remember one time that you went back to that building after you were reassigned to the parking department?
A. I don't recall a specific one.
Q. Have you -- so you said you reviewed your rebuttal letter prior to your testimony; correct?
A. I just saw it, yes. I didn't read it verbatim.
Q. And that rebuttal letter is in response to the reprimand
we just talked about; is that correct?
A. Yes.
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MS. COIT: (Continuing)
```

you were given the letter of reprimand?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you discuss it with her?
A. Briefly. Because I didn't read it.
Q. Who else was there?
A. Chief Tripp.
Q. Who did the talking? Chief McDermed or Chief Tripp?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall the conclusion of that meeting being you
were told that you were going to be transferred -- or demoted,
excuse me, to a sergeant and reporting to Lieutenant Lebrecht ?
A. That was an option that -- that I could take. It was in
there, I believe.
Q. And that was going to be immediate; correct?
A. I assume so.
Q. Were you also told that your contract was not going to be
renewed the next year?
A. No.
Q. At some point did you learn that?
A. That was a whole different conversation later after I
spoke with Linda King.
Q. So did you choose the option of demoting to sergeant and
Q. So it's your testimony that the FBI training, the federal training, is more intensive, a better training program than the Oregon DPSST?
A. I didn't say better. I just said more intensive. It's a longer academy.
Q. Now, you also talked about when Mr. Cleavenger was applying for the job and you said that Chief Tripp had met him at this reserve academy. Do you recall testifying about that?
A. Yes.
Q. And I think you said that it was an eight-month
intensive -- seven-month intensive reserve academy?
A. I don't recall saying the time frame, because I don't know. I didn't go through the academy, so I don't remember how long it was.
Q. Well, I wrote it down.
A. Okay.
Q. You said this was a seven-month, seven-days-a-week training program. Do you recall saying that?
A. No, I didn't say seven days a week. I think counsel might have said something about that they saw him daily or -- daily. I don't remember saying seven days a week, no.
Q. Do you agree with me that that program was actually a two-day-a-week part-time program?
A. I knew that it was so many days a week. I wasn't going to be rude and correct him. I understood what his point was ; that
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it was a regular academy.
Q. So in your direct exam, if counsel asked you a question that wasn't quite correct, you just didn't want to be rude and you would agree with him?
A. No, that's not accurate.
Q. Isn't that what you just said?
A. No.
Q. Were there any other questions counsel asked you that you didn't want to correct him on?
A. No.
Q. So in the letter of reprimand we looked at, was there anything in there that you agreed with that you had done wrong?
A. In the letter of reprimand, yes. I said I had asked Tyler Maness if he was gay. I asked that question.
Q. You were also found to have asked him that question and went and told his response to all the officers. That was the problem, wasn't it?
A. No.
Q. Did you go tell his response to all the other officers?
A. No. I told Lieutenant Lebrecht that evening, and I believe I talked with my sergeant about it, because the point being that he was being teased and harassed, and I wanted that behavior to stop, because no other supervisors had made that happen.
Q. Who's Jennifer Parker?
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A. She's a former officer at DPS.
Q. Did you recommend that Ms. Parker not make it out of her probationary period?
A. Yes.
Q. Why was that?
A. I had received numerous complaints from the sergeants working for me at the time about her performance, about her conduct. And so, with those, then I sent a message, I believe an email, to -- at the time I believe it was Deputy Chief McDermed. I don't know if it was cc'd to Chief Tripp on it, but I sent it to McDermed with that information.

And she, I believe, replied back that, you know, just make sure we had the documentation. We had several conversation s about this, as well. And so I asked the sergeants to document to me why, and they did.
Q. Do you agree that it was your recommendation not to allow her to come out of the probationary period, to terminate her employment?
A. Officially. I wrote the official to the chief.
Q. Was that your recommendation?
A. Sure. After she had been complained about by my sergeants who directly supervise her.
Q. Scott Cameron was under your direct supervision; is that correct?
A. Yes.
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## Q. For what period of time?

A. Well, from when I started in 2009. And when the other lieutenants were hired, I don't recall if it -- for a period of time if he was at another shift than I was, so probably most of the time, but I don't remember if Lieutenant Lebrecht had him on one of his shifts before I left.
Q. Did you ever take disciplinary action against Sergeant Cameron?
A. I -- I don't recall. I don't -- I don't recall if I did.
Q. Had you -- would that have been your job as his lieutenant, his supervisor, to take disciplinary action against him if that was called for?
A. Yes. Disciplinary action would have been a very adverse
action that I would have had to seek permission from
Chief McDermed for. I don't -- I don't recall if there was a circumstance.
Q. But you agree with me that if discipline was warranted for Sergeant Cameron, it would have been your job to either take or recommend discipline for him. And sitting here today, you don't recall any?
A. Well, that was a two-part question. I don't think that actually because I was a sergeant it would have been my sole responsibility; that that could have just come from Chief McDermed. And, no, I don't recall administering any discipline.
Q. Were you a good supervisor?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you hands-on?
A. I was a good supervisor.
Q. Were you aware of what was going on with the people you
were supervising?
A. As much as I could be when I couldn't be on all three
shifts, 24 hours a day, at all times.
Q. Does that include Sergeant Cameron? You were a good
supervisor for him?
A. I didn't try to individually be a better supervisor to one
or the other. I just tried very hard to be a good supervisor.
Q. As a lieutenant, you would appear with other lieutenant s;
correct? Lieutenant Lebrecht and Lieutenant Morrow?
A. Lieutenant Morrow was professional standards, so he was
actually at a different capacity. I wouldn't say I'm his peer,
because clearly he was able to investigate me.
Q. On the chain of command, are lieutenants on the same
level?
A. If they're a standard patrol lieutenant, yes.
Q. You weren't a member of the union?
A. No.
Q. So you weren't subject to the collective bargaining
agreement requirement for annual evaluations?
A. I believe that the university policy says its employees
A. I believe that the university policy says its employees
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get performance evaluations, but I wasn't a member of the union.
Q. What policy do you think says that?
A. Well, I'm assuming that the University of Oregon probably has a policy for providing performance evaluations for its employees.
Q. For lieutenants?
A. I was just an employee, as anybody else in the university .
Q. Have you ever seen this policy?
A. I don't recall, no.
Q. Do you know who Amanda Hayles is?
A. No.
Q. Amanda Williams?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that was her former name. She's gotten married
since then and is now Amanda Hayles.
A. Okay.
Q. Who was she -- is she?
A. She's an employee at the UOPD.
Q. Did you ever supervise Ms. Hayles?
A. Yes.
Q. And did she pose any problems for you as an employee?
A. No.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. Didn't Ms. Hayles file a BOLI complaint against you?
A. I didn't realize it was just to me. I wouldn't call it a
problem. That's something she can do, but it didn't change my
performance. And, in fact, I don't even think I received
information about that until the end of my time there.
Q. What were the allegations against you in that BOLI complaint?
A. I don't recall the specifics because I know that

Scott Cameron and Andy Johnson -- and there were others in it, so I've only seen the document one time. I don't recall the specifics.
Q. Ms. Boyd, have you been a defendant in a BOLI complaint several times?
A. Well, I don't know what level Amanda took hers to.

Ms. Williams -- sorry, I don't know her last name. I don't know what capacity, because I was kept in the dark about that, but prior to that there was one other one.
Q. Who filed that?
A. Jennifer Parker.
Q. What was that based on?
A. Sergeant Cameron grabbed her arm in dealing with another officer.
Q. What were the allegations against you?
A. I was his supervisor. I don't remember the specifics of that. That was some time ago.
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Q. So sitting here today, you don't recall the specifics of two BOLI complaints that were filed against you in the course of your professional career with DPS?
A. One of them I -- they didn't communicate with me about the specifics, and then I was in parking and transportation when all of that proceeded. All I knew, when that one resolved, is she got a suite at the football stadium for a football game and a day off. That's all I knew of how that worked out.
Q. It's your testimony no one ever showed you that BOLI complaint?
A. No, that's not my testimony. I said I did see the document. The initial one. I didn't see additional documents until -- I believe there was other documents that transpired through the process that they didn't discuss with me.
Q. Okay. So my question just goes to that first document that you saw. What were the allegations against you?
A. I don't recall what they specifically were.
Q. You don't recall it having anything to do with you telling her you wouldn't buy her a duty vest until she lost weight?
A. I knew that that was something stated in the document. I
didn't know that it was an actual allegation.
Q. Did you make that statement to her?
A. No.
Q. Did you make it to anyone?
A. No.
Q. She just made that up?
A. Yes.

The context of that conversation was that I was ordering uniforms, as I did for everybody, and I asked her what she would like to do for getting her uniforms, and she wanted to work hard at getting back down to where she was before she was pregnant, and I told her that we could divide up the amount of uniforms, and she said that she wanted to wait, because currently, at that time, she was having to wear men's uniforms, because that's all that she fit in, and she was hoping to get back into other uniforms. And I told her to let me know when she was ready to order more and I would do those -- do that. Q. And so it's your understanding, based on that conversation you say you had with her, she filed a BOLI complaint against you?
A. I assumed it was -- there was a lot more to it than that.
Q. You were also investigated for attacking a female parking
attendant. Do you recall that?
A. I know that there was a De Paul security person that worked there that had said that. I don't think it turned into an investigation, and actually it didn't -- it didn't quite go that way, but --
Q. But you know what I'm talking about; right?
A. Yes.
Q. A female parking attendant that works for Crowd Management
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Services accused you of attacking her. Do you recall that?
A. Yes. And then we pulled up the video footage and can show that that never happened and that employee was immediately terminated from De Paul security after saying those things.
Q. Are you sure about that?
A. Well, that's what I was told.
Q. Who told you that?
A. De Paul security.
Q. That she was immediately terminated for making those complaints?
A. That she was going to be terminated and she was immediately removed from the $U$ of $O$. She never returned. Q. Tell me about the naked photo you pulled up on your computer and showed to other officers.
A. It wasn't a naked photo, and it wasn't on my computer. We were at a football game. I think it was the spring game. And there was a professional photographer there, and he had taken several photos of our officers, some really good ones, and we had been working hard on our websites trying to put up photos. And I talked with him about it, and he had given me his card. He said, "I'll be posting them soon, and you can get all the photos."

So, as I go to his website, it was a complex website, many tabs going around to try to find photos, because he's photographed several events at the University of Oregon, and
amongst looking through the photos of finding the ones of the officers, there was one of Amelie Rousseau, and she was at an event where she was topless, and there was -- she -- I don't remember her position, president. And I showed that to another officer and asked, "Is this not Amelie Rousseau?"
Q. Michael Matchulat, do you know who that is?
A. Yes.
Q. He made a complaint against you for that. He thought it was very inappropriate. Is that your understanding?
A. No.
Q. You called him into the office and said, "Hey, look at this photo"; is that correct?
A. It could have been. I don't recall which officer I was asking. I didn't say, "Hey, look at this." I said, "Who is this," because I thought it was Amelie Rousseau.
Q. Did you show that photo to several other officers there that day?
A. No, I believe that was the one time that I was asking another officer who that was. I didn't remember if it was Michael Matchulat.
Q. So it's your testimony that you showed that photo to one officer. Michael Matchulat. Is that your memory?
A. I was showing it to an officer. There were other people in the room. It was in the squad room at one of the computers . Q. How about Royce Myers? Was he there?
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A. I don't recall.
Q. How about Andy Johnson?
A. I don't recall specifically who was in the room.
Q. Did you call them all over and say, "Hey, look at this"?
A. No.
Q. Do you think that's appropriate to do?
A. Probably not. I didn't call them all over to look.
Q. Let's say, if you did, would that have been appropriate?
A. It wasn't about looking at a naked woman. It was identifying that it was Amelie Rousseau, and she was completely painted, head to toe, and she had clothing from the waist down.
Q. So her clothing on top was paint?
A. Yes.
Q. And why was it important to determine who the photo of the naked woman was?
A. There was some -- there was something happening at the current time with Amelie Rousseau, who was adamantly opposed to our department, and there was something -- I don't recall exactly what it was, but there was something she was opposing about our officers and it seemed very hypocritical of her in that environment that she was in, so that's why I asked the question.
Q. So it was your intent to have them realize that it was this person opposing your department who was posing naked on the Internet. Is that your testimony?
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A. No. My -- my intent was to ask if that was her.
Q. Why did it matter to you?
A. Well, I was curious if that was Amelie Rousseau.
Q. As a lieutenant, supervising all of these officers, do you
think that was an appropriate thing to do, as a woman?
A. As a woman? Well, I wouldn't think whether it was male or
female it would be different. My intent wasn't to harm anybody
or to make problems. I was merely asking who it was.
Q. So is your answer to my question you didn't think there
was anything wrong with it?
A. No, that's not my testimony.
Q. What's your testimony?
A. You're taking out of context of what my intent was asking
about the photo. It's not about showing something that you're
assuming was a naked photo. That wasn't the intent. I was
just merely asking if it was a specific person.
Q. I'm not asking for your intent. I'm asking as a
lieutenant in the Department of Public Safety, supervising all
these officers, do you think that was an appropriate thing to
do?
A. Probably not.
Q. Do you think that was deserving of discipline?
A. No.
Q. Had a male officer done that and showed it, would you have
disciplined him?
```
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A. We deal with that all the time. There's -- whether it's country fair photos or photos when we have to look up student information on social media or dealing with university students when they're intoxicated, we deal with nudity all the time.
Q. So it's your testimony now it was job-related? A. Yes. I was actually asking who she was.
Q. Would you agree with me that when you were hired as a lieutenant at the University of Oregon, you did not have the qualifications to take that position?
A. No.
Q. What were your qualifications to become a lieutenant?
A. Whatever they were outlined in the --
Q. What was your experience that qualified you, in your mind, to have the role of lieutenant?
A. That I had the -- the adequate police experience. I have the adequate supervisory experience. I -- I met all the criteria in the job application.
Q. Did you feel a little out of your league when you got there?
A. No.
Q. Isn't it true Doug Tripp told you he was hiring you as a
lieutenant because he needed more females in the department?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. You don't recall him telling you that?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall anyone telling you that?
A. No.
Q. Didn't your law enforcement experience, prior to becoming
lieutenant, wasn't that basically being a BiMart security
guard?
A. No.
Q. Was your work at BiMart prior to working at the University of Oregon?
A. Yes. While I was waiting for the job to not be frozen, somebody offered me a job there, and I said yes.
Q. How long were you a security guard at BiMart?
A. I was not a security guard. I was in loss prevention.

And not even a year, I think. It was until this job opened up and then I reapplied for what then was the second lieutenant position.
Q. When you were at the department, did you feel there was a
culture of harassment or discrimination against women?
A. Yes. I believe that came from the top.
Q. The top. Who was at the top?
A. Well, the top of our department is Chief Tripp -- at the time.
Q. Do you feel you contributed to that culture?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever gotten angry at working, physically angry?
A. No.
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Q. How about going to or from a training in a car maybe with Lieutenant Lebrecht. Does that ring any bells?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall going through a drive-through window --
excuse me, going through a drive-through with
Lieutenant Lebrecht?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think she's got into a whole bunch of character evidence in relation to this witness. That's getting a little far afield.

THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: She has testified that all of the actions that she took with regard to other employees were done at the direction of Chief McDermed and Chief Tripp; that she had nothing to do with it; that she is not a vindicative, retaliatory person. The instances show that that character she is explaining is not the character she displayed at the department.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can ask the question.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall the incident I'm taking about? The drive-through at McDonald's where it took too long to get your drink?
A. No. I barely remember the training. I believe we drove a vehicle up there, a Tahoe. I don't remember specifics.
Q. Do you remember banging on the window with your duty
flashlight, saying, "Give me my fucking drink"?
A. No.
Q. No memory of that whatsoever?
A. No, I don't.
Q. How about a time that you were with Lieutenant Lebrecht
and I believe Lieutenant Morrow, and you had asked
Sergeant Cameron to cover for you, and Chief McDermed said that
that was not okay. Do you remember that incident? Does that
ring any bell to you?
A. No.
Q. You were on the phone with Sergeant Cameron, and you
called Chief McDermed a fucking liar. Do you remember that?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall Sergeant Cameron calling you back and
telling you that he had had the phone -- the radios on at that
time and you throwing your clipboard at the windshield and
screaming and hitting the dashboard?
A. No.
Q. No memory of that?
A. No.
Q. Are you saying it didn't happen or you just don't remember
it?
A. I don't remember anything like that.
Q. How about the time you took a command vehicle to a funeral
and you were told to bring it back? Do you remember that?
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A. Yes.
Q. And Lieutenant Morrow and Lieutenant Lebrecht had to come get it?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your reaction then?
A. I was upset because I had just worked an exorbitant amount of hours. I needed a vehicle to take over, and they made a big deal about having a -- the Tahoe that we had -- or that I had, and they came and got it.

And in the whole scheme of planning this massive memorial for this officer, I was just shocked that we were just wasting time over a Tahoe for two guys that weren't even participating over at the Matthew Knight arena, and they were at the office. And in the whole scheme of everything we were doing, it was all about the Tahoe.
Q. Well, what was your reaction when they came to take the car?
A. I had to come and get my stuff out of it, and I had to drop what I was doing dealing with this funeral to get it. I don't know if it was a box or whatever I had with all these papers for what was going on.
Q. What did you do with the box?
A. I -- I think it was a box. I don't want to say for sure. There was just stuff in there, and I got it, and I don't know what I did with it. I probably took it in to the arena.
Q. You don't recall throwing it all over the ground in front of an Oregon State police officer's patrol car?
A. No.
Q. No memory of that either?
A. I don't recall that incident, no.
Q. Well, do you recall yelling at Lieutenant Morrow during that incident?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall being told not to take that vehicle and that's why they had to come get it?
A. I do recall being told to take a different vehicle, and I don't remember what time it was. 4:00 in the morning or something. I took it because I had stuff to take over.
Q. You talked about an incident with Ms. Bowes. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. This had to do with, you said, there were conversations with the DA about possibly Brady-listing her. Do you recall that?
A. The conversation wasn't about Brady listing. The question did come up.
Q. Who raised that question?
A. I believe Patty Perlow asked if that was our intent, and I told her I didn't think so.
Q. Okay. Chief McDermed didn't make that statement, did she?
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A. No. Patty Perlow did.
Q. And Chief McDermed was not there with Patty Perlow and you when that statement was made; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And this investigation into Ms. Bowes was an EPD, a Eugene Police Department investigation; correct?
A. Chief McDermed had contacted -- I don't remember his rank -- Mozan, I believe, to ask him for his internal affairs, and she knew him. And we asked for his assistance so that it was an outside person investigating this; that it wasn't me.
It wasn't one of ours. And I think she made that call, because she knew that maybe it was Lieutenant Mozan.
Q. It was an EPD investigation; correct?
A. Yes. We asked them to investigate the separate side of
it.
Q. So any --
A. We had their own, and they had a separate side.
Q. Any findings in their report would have been an EPD
finding in that report; correct?
A. Yes. I believe so.
Q. You testified about Eric LeRoy. Do you remember that?
A. Yeah.
Q. Do you recall an incident you had with Eric LeRoy where you drove your motorcycle to work and you blocked in a car?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall Eric LeRoy having to move your motorcycle and your husband coming and confronting Mr. LeRoy?
A. No.
Q. You don't remember that?
A. No.
Q. All right. Who was it on the graveyard shift that
complained to you about -- well, maybe it wasn't someone on graveyard. Who complained to you about the graveyard shift not responding to calls?
A. Well, there was numerous. I don't remember specifically . I knew dispatch made complaints, and I believe Chief McDermed put out an email about if it -- that we needed to do a better job; that there was a problem.
Q. I believe I recall you testifying that Chief McDermed took no action. Is that what you recall you testifying?
A. Well, the problem continued.
Q. But she took action; correct?
A. I don't know. I mean, she sent an email. But the problem continued, so I don't know what action she would have corrected it -- that's not my shift, so I wouldn't have known.
Q. You said you were there almost every night for the entire shift, didn't you; that's how you knew they weren't answering calls?
A. No. I didn't say I was there for the entire shift.
Q. How many nights were you there?
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A. Well, most nights. If my shift ended at $11: 00$, I was still doing work and I'm still there. On nights that I went home at 11:00 or 11:10, I was, I guess, technically there during the graveyard shift.
Q. So your testimony that they were not answering calls is based on you being there for 10 minutes after your shift?
A. No.
Q. So how long were you generally there after your shift?
A. It varied.
Q. What did it vary from?
A. It could have varied from a majority of a shift. It could have varied from however long it took me to put everything away after 11:00 to go home.
Q. So it's your testimony you could have been there for a
majority of an eight-hour shift after your shift?
A. No. My testimony would be that it varied. I don't have a document and --
Q. Who in dispatch complained to you that they weren't answering calls?
A. Well, I was notified multiple times. I don't remember exactly the dispatcher.
Q. Give me one person. One person who notified you of that.
A. I don't remember which dispatcher it was.
Q. You can't think of one person?
A. No, because I wouldn't want to inaccurately say it was one
and it was the other, because there was also two dispatchers during that shift change. She changed dispatchers, and the dispatch schedule changed as well.
Q. Well, then how about you give me one call they didn't respond to that you were told about.
A. They wouldn't have been my calls, so I wouldn't know. I just know that there was a problem with them not responding to calls, which is what led to an email from Chief McDermed. Q. That's what I'm trying to get at. What are you basing that opinion on that there was a problem with them not responding to calls? You can't remember who complained to you or what the complaints were, so what are you basing that on? A. It was just common knowledge. It was a general problem.
Q. Among who? Whose common knowledge?
A. The officers. They were in briefing for an extended period of time.
Q. How long?
A. I wasn't there every day. I couldn't -- I couldn't say for certain.
Q. Now, isn't it correct that you're perfectly aware, sitting here today, it was Officer Abbott who was closing the door on those briefings?
A. I know some of the days it was, yes.
Q. And you even discussed this with Lieutenant Lebrecht and asked why Abbott was doing that; isn't that true?
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A. Yeah.
Q. What did Lieutenant Lebrecht tell you?
A. He didn't. No, I think he thought it was a joke.
Q. Officer Abbott was below you in rank; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You could have done something to Officer Abbott or complained or taken discipline against him if that was a problem; correct?
A. I believe I asked his direct supervisor, which was

Lieutenant Lebrecht on some nights and Sergeant -- now Lieutenant -- Bechdolt, and I told Chief McDermed. Q. What did you tell Chief McDermed? A. Well, I was telling her about this problem, because it was frustrating and it felt discriminatory that it was just me that was in there. And even every repeated time I would come in and out of the door, they would shut it. It seemed silly at midnight or 11:00 or whatever the time was.
Q. Well, you are aware it wasn't "they"; correct. It was Officer Abbott; correct?
A. I don't know. I don't know who always shut it. I know that some of the time it was Officer Abbott.
Q. Describe for us your relationship with Officer Abbott.
A. I don't have one.
Q. What was it like when you were working there?
A. He -- I don't know. I didn't -- he was just an officer.
I kept my distance from Officer Abbott. He, you know, was -- I
don't know, he just did his own thing. I didn't really
interact with him a whole lot.
Q. You didn't like him, did you?
A. No. Actually, I didn't have an opinion. I didn't really
care for him, but --
Q. When you shared an office with Lieutenant Lebrecht, how
often were you two in there together?
A. I would expect three to five days a week, based on our
days off. I don't know.
Q. For how long?
A. Uhm --
Q. Excuse me. On a specific day that you were both in the
office, what would the general time period be that you spent
together in the office? An hour? 15 minutes?
A. It varied.
Q. Give me the ranges.
A. Well, it -- it could have been 10,15 minutes to hours.
Q. Would you describe your relationship with
Lieutenant Lebrecht during that period as friendly?
A. In the beginning.
Q. Did it change at some point while you were still there?
A. Yes.
Q. When did it change?
A. I don't know the exact date.

I kept my distance from Officer Abbott. He, you know, was -- I don't know, he just did his own thing. I didn't really interact with him a whole lot.
Q. You didn't like him, did you?
A. No. Actually, I didn't have an opinion. I didn't really care for him, but --
Q. When you shared an office with Lieutenant Lebrecht, how often were you two in there together?
A. I would expect three to five days a week, based on our days off. I don't know.
Q. For how long?
A. Uhm --
Q. Excuse me. On a specific day that you were both in the office, what would the general time period be that you spent together in the office? An hour? 15 minutes?
A. It varied.
Q. Give me the ranges.
A. Well, it -- it could have been 10,15 minutes to hours.
Q. Would you describe your relationship with

Lieutenant Lebrecht during that period as friendly?
A. In the beginning.
Q. Did it change at some point while you were still there?
A. Yes.
Q. When did it change?
A. I don't know the exact date.
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## Q. What changed it?

A. I just became more withdrew -- withdrew more and guarded just because how I perceived he was, based on the things he said about his previous department, and then I knew things had changed -- I knew they had changed somewhere during the summer, and I just kept to myself. I had limited contact or conversation, I guess.
Q. Did something specific happen with Lieutenant Lebrecht that changed your relationship?
A. No. It was just his behavior and just how he talked, and then, like I said, I could tell something had changed, and so I kept to myself. I don't think we -- we hard ly talked much when I left.
Q. Was this change before or after you came in and told him that you had just confronted Tyler Maness and asked him if he was gay?
A. I had stayed guarded before then, just based on things he'd say. I never wanted to cross him.
Q. Why would you make that statement to Lieutenant Lebrecht, then? Why would you tell him that?
A. About Tyler Maness?
Q. Yeah.
A. Because I wanted his officers to stop teasing him about being gay. I didn't think it was appropriate for them to make jokes and tease him.
Q. Did the relationship with Lieutenant Lebrecht change after you found out he had actually reported you for confronting Tyler and then spreading it to other officers?
A. I don't think we were talking a whole lot up until that time.
Q. What officers complained to you about Lieutenant Lebrecht and Lieutenant Morrow lifting weights together?
A. I don't recall specifically who.
Q. You said several officers made these complaints to you. Tell me who they are. Tell me one.
A. I can't remember.
Q. You can't remember one?
A. I don't remember who it was.
Q. You gave some pretty broad opinions about

Mr. Cleavenger's -- his skills as an officer. Do you recall giving your opinion on that?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me, how often did you work with Mr. Cleavenger; actually observe him in the field?
A. I have seen him at special events, is typically where I would see him. I don't remember when he patrolled, if he was ever out on patrol, because he was under a different capacity as an auxillary when I -- he first hired than as an officer who would normally patrol, so I would see him at special events that he would work and how he would interact with the public
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Q. Can you recall any occasion, any one time that you were actually with Mr. Cleavenger when he made a contact with a member of the public or a student?
A. I don't remember the exact games that it was. I mean, he was a regular employee for some time. I saw all my employees often.
Q. Do you remember ever actually being in a situation with
him where you could observe his officer safety skills?
A. I can't remember an exact game.
Q. Well, what is your opinion based on, then, that you say he had good officer safety skills?
A. From working with him, I just -- it's been some time, and

I don't remember exact specifics to give to you.
Q. Well, what about his officer safety skills did you think was good?
A. Well, it could be anything based on, you know, his -- they
call it an F.I. stance and how he would stand, you know, to have his hands at a certain position. It could be anything from that or his tone with the public -- there's a lot of ways to judge officer safety.
Q. But you can't remember what it was specifically with

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Well, I'm saying that was all part of the totality of what
his officer safety skills were.
Q. So were you actually in charge of hiring him? Did you make the offer to him of employment?
A. I believe I did.
Q. Did you review his background file before you made that offer?
A. Yes.
Q. Did anything in that background concern you about

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. No. He was highly educated. While he had really good references, he was currently, when he hired on, at the top of -- I think he was applying for jobs elsewhere, and I believe he was, at the time, at the top of those hiring processes.

I was concerned once -- or not once, but when
Sergeant Cameron had told me about this whole Taser situation.
I wasn't there at the time, so I had no direct knowledge of the context or what it was, except for what he had said, and that caused me concern, because I worried after, you know, being told about it, you know, was there an ulterior motive.

And so when Chief Tripp and Captain Horner and Sergeant
Phillips said, "This guy is incredible. Hire him." And, "You're going to hire him." I said, "Okay."
Q. You were aware who had an ulterior motive?
A. I wasn't aware anybody was. I was just wondering that based on what --
Q. Who are you talking about, though?
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A. That had an ulterior motive or who was worried about it? Sergeant Cameron?
Q. No. You said you were concerned that he had an ulterior motive. I'm asking who "he" is.
A. Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. Now, this Taser information, that wasn't in his background, was it; the actual background investigation file? A. I don't think so.
Q. So in that specific document itself, was there anything in there that raised concerns for you, as a hiring lieutenant, about this officer that you were going to hire?
A. I don't recall, no.
Q. Do you recall having any conversations with

Lieutenant Morrow about anything in that background that concerned him?
A. That concerned?
Q. Lieutenant Morrow.
A. No. I don't recall that.
Q. Did Sergeant Cameron ever say to you that he was going to see to it that Mr. Cleavenger was disciplined or fired because of his stance on Tasers?
A. I don't recall that statement.
Q. You were his supervisor; correct?
A. Yes, I was his supervisor.
Q. You talked about meetings that you had with lieutenant --
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or, excuse me, Chief Tripp and Deputy -- or then-Assistant Chief McDermed about -- "cleaning house" is how you put it. Do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. I want you to tell me the actual context. How did a conversation like that come up and involve you?
A. When I got hired, there was only Deputy Chief McDermed and Chief Tripp and myself, and then Captain Horner was separate. So we had a very small command staff and an awful lot of officers and auxillary. And we had several meetings, the three of us, whether it was in Chief McDermed's office or Chief Tripp's, and, I mean, most -- most of them talked about the officers or what was going on with the patrol division, because that's really what we had.
Q. What were the concerns that were raised in those meetings about the officers?
A. Well, we had a department that was not very accountable; that was -- had been there at a time when they just did building lock and unlock, and they had a big mission ahead, and that was to become a fully sworn police department. And that's a huge leap from locking buildings to becoming fully sworn, armed department, and it was talked about there were a lot of people that probably were not going to be a part of that transition.

So everything from, you know, how we're going to get
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people up to speed, to, you know, having concern about those that are going to be left behind. And then as we distance ourselves further and further and progress, the concern for those who will be left behind and how to help them either in a new position or, you know, just how to handle that.

> There's a lot of change.
Q. So is that kind of a summary of what those conversations entailed?
A. Yeah.
Q. Did Chief McDermed ever tell you to go paper a file and terminate someone who she didn't like?
A. I don't recall her making that statement.
Q. How about anyone who she felt was a liberal or an activist?
A. I don't recall anybody making that statement.
Q. Was your understanding of the intent of those conversations to find people to retaliate against for something?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Object. I don't understand the question.

THE COURT: Do you understand the question?
THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Reask the question.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I'll ask it a different way.
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Officer Brown and Officer Abbott, and there were meetings about me not getting my job done and getting them terminated fast enough and having to work at that and --
Q. Okay. Let me stop you there.
A. -- we had meetings about, you know, when Officer Abbott -or Brown got moved on, in lieu of termination, you know, that finally I got the job done and disappointment that I hadn't done the same with Officer Abbott. And I -- you know, there was a whole range of meetings.
Q. Okay. Who made the statement to you that you hadn't gotten Officer Abbott fired fast enough?
A. Chief Tripp.
Q. Did Chief McDermed say anything to you in that regard?
A. She did not make that statement.
Q. How about this other officer you said? Brown. Who did that come from? Who did that statement come from?
A. Well, the one where I did a good job because I finally got the problem dealt with, that would have been Chief Tripp.
Q. During these meetings you're talking about, did you ever get the impression from anything that Chief McDermed said to you that she had retaliatory motives in what she was asking you to do?
A. No.
Q. Did you get the impression that she wanted to better the department?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: That's all I have. Thank you. THE COURT: Redirect.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Did your opinion of Chief McDermed and her ability to retaliate change as the course went on over your employment? A. Yeah.
Q. Why was that, or how did it change?
A. I'm not sure how it changed. I just knew that I became the target or the focus and how she treated me changed.
Q. No longer her superwoman?
A. No.
Q. Did you know, as you were going through the IA investigation, that you were going through the exact same pattern that you had done to other people?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you feel pressure in those meetings with the chief and McDermed to move more quickly to write people up so that the -there would be adequate documentation to move towards termination?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you work with human resources as part of that effort?
A. Yes.

```
disciplined and investigated over the summer of 2011; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In September 2011 you are put over to the parking
department; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In October of 2011 you're no longer supervising
Sergeant Cameron at that point.
A. Yes.
Q. And Sergeant Cameron had no supervision over my client
until October of 2011; isn't that correct?
A. I think that's correct.
Q. As a supervisor, the information that you're using for
discipline, you have to rely on the accuracy of what you're
getting from the sergeants and other people below you; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So if you're told something that's completely inaccurate
and you weren't there -- by a sergeant -- that could form the
basis for discipline potentially; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Mike Morrow also hired my client to work after you did,
didn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the capacity of that?
A. It was the -- I just remember the acronym was TPO. He
was -- so with Mr. Cleavenger's performance, as with auxillary,
```
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were involved; is that correct?
A. Well, we didn't make any decision about that. The -- the context was at -- I think the district attorney's office didn't want -- didn't want to take it that far and was asking if that's what we were expecting. And I kind of made the decision that, no, we weren't going that far, but I just relayed the information and just kind of clarifying that I was making the correct decision.
Q. So ultimately, at that point in 2011, Chief Tripp and Chief McDermed had a decision to make, after the DA brought up Brady listing, about whether they wanted to pursue Brady listing for Officer Bowes; is that right?
A. They could have made the decision. I don't think they would ever have pursued a Brady list.
Q. Why?
A. Well, because the district attorney's office didn't want
to go through those hoops of -- of doing that, because they were -- they were kind enough to investigate this separately for us, and so that would have been an even further step.
Q. Because the Brady listing is pretty darn serious to someone's career; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Not a decision you jump into lightly, is it?

MS. COIT: Objection. Argumentative. THE COURT: Sustained.
Boyd - ReD
BY Mr. JASon KAFoury: (Continuing)
Q. Let's talk about this Tahoe incident. Can you tell the
jurors -- give them a little context. What was this funeral
involving Kilcullen?
A. Officer Chris Kilcullen was a motorcycle officer with the
Eugene Police Department, and he was making a traffic stop in
Springfield, and the -- the subject in the vehicle shot him in
the armpit.
Q. This was a pretty emotional time for everyone, wasn't it,
down in Eugene?
A. Yes. And the circumstances around it were big for our
community. It hit very close to home for the folks in our
office. And because we had one of the biggest venues, we
coordinated with a multiagency task force to host this -- this
memorial service, and it was a -- at least a week long. It was
long hours, high stress. It was -- it was a hard task. And
myself, personally, I worked around the clock. I had my shifts
to cover, and then I was a major part of the coordination in
the arena and getting that ready. And the night before the
service I was there until almost midnight, I believe, and then
I had to get up at -- I had about three hours of sleep after I
got home and came back, because we were prepping that morning
and everybody coming in for the memorial service, so it was --
Q. And it was in this context --
A. It was a hard day.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Let's talk about this Tahoe incident. Can you tell the jurors -- give them a little context. What was this funeral involving Kilcullen?
A. Officer Chris Kilcullen was a motorcycle officer with the Eugene Police Department, and he was making a traffic stop in Springfield, and the -- the subject in the vehicle shot him in the armpit.
Q. This was a pretty emotional time for everyone, wasn't it, down in Eugene?
A. Yes. And the circumstances around it were big for our community. It hit very close to home for the folks in our office. And because we had one of the biggest venues, we coordinated with a multiagency task force to host this -- this memorial service, and it was a -- at least a week long. It was long hours, high stress. It was -- it was a hard task. And myself, personally, I worked around the clock. I had my shifts to cover, and then I was a major part of the coordination in the arena and getting that ready. And the night before the service I was there until almost midnight, I believe, and then I had to get up at -- I had about three hours of sleep after I got home and came back, because we were prepping that morning and everybody coming in for the memorial service, so it was -A. It was a hard day.
Q. It was in the context that you took the Tahoe at

4:00 a.m.; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Are the BOLI complaints that Amanda Williams and

Jen Parker filed, those were primarily related to
Sergeant Cameron and claims of sexual harassment; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. The photo, the painted photo of Ms. Rousseau, it was
actually from the country fair; isn't that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Counsel asked you about your qualifications when you were hired.

Isn't it a fact that Chief Tripp had never even been to
the police academy when you were there, when you were hired?
A. That's correct.
Q. How many sergeants have never been to the police academy
when you were hired?
A. All of them, I believe.
Q. How many college degrees did you have?
A. Two.
Q. How many years in law enforcement had you been there -had done?
A. Five, six -- five.
Q. I believe it was me that said "daily," so I will --

800

## Boyd - ReD

A. Thank you.
Q. It was a two-day-a-week-for-seven-months program?
A. I actually don't recall. By that, I knew it was a routine regular event.
Q. And I also, yesterday, told you that there were some officers who discussed your discipline in court, didn't I?
A. Yes. I -- I'm sorry. There was a -- I was -- I was very anxious yesterday. I couldn't recall which one it was.

```
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thanks.
```

THE COURT: Redirect -- recross.
MS. COIT: No more questions.
THE COURT: Can the witness be excused, Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Before she's excused, I want to make sure
the items of evidence we went over last night are not later being requested. There was a reference to the University of Oregon trespass notice, which was 120.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That was received.
THE COURT: Duplicate last night was also 141. It's the same one.

## MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.

THE COURT: Is this requested into evidence?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I think I offered 120.
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THE COURT: Received? Was it?
DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Correct.
THE COURT: There's a duplicate there. 150 is not
received at this time or is 253 . They weren't referred to.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.
THE COURT: Nor 254. Does that complete your record ?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. Along with Plaintiff's 119.
THE COURT: 119 was received and 409 was received on
behalf of the defense.
You're excused. Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: You may step down. Counsel informed me
they have a 1:00 witness by video from San Diego. And, therefore, if we finish by 5:00 tonight, can I manipulate you into a really quick lunch? Or is that too quick right now? If you need an hour, we can take an hour.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: We can call the place.
THE COURT: I thank you very much, but I am speaking
to the jury now.
Can you make it in 45 minutes? If not, we can make it an hour. If you can, let's try for 45 minutes. Come right back to work, and how about I promise we let you go at 5:00?

A JUROR: You promise we get out at 5:00, then?
THE COURT: Well, now you're bargaining with me. By 5:00. Okay? By 5:00. You have a nice lunch.

Counsel, we'll have lunch now and make sure it goes smoothly this afternoon.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Jury not present.) } \\
& \text { (Recess taken.) } \\
& \text { (Jury present.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

THE COURT: Back on the record. All counsel are present and the parties are present. The next witness is being presented to us by video. That person, I believe, Counsel, is located in San Diego; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
THE COURT: Well, thank you, sir.
Would you call your next witness, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. Plaintiff calls Daniel Pearse.
THE COURT: Mr. Pearse, would you stand up for a
moment? Stand, sir. Thank you.
Mr. Pearse, this is an extraordinary process on this court. Normally, I don't allow it. And I can't see you. I can see your tie.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's hard to stand up and be seen on camera, I'm afraid, but I'm standing.

THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand, sir? Christy is the clerk. She's going to administer an oath. Listen carefully.

## DANIEL PEARSE

called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Would you state your full name -- would you be seated, sir, and thank you. If you would sit down. Thank you. And would you state your full name for the jurors, sir?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. My name is Daniel Martin Pearse .
THE COURT: Thank you.
Counsel for the plaintiff is taking you on direct
examination. And, Counsel, would you state your name for the witness.

## MR. MCDOUGAL: Mark McDougal.

## THE COURT: Louder.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mark McDougal. Attorney for James

## Cleavenger.

THE COURT: Thank you. And your questions of Mr. Pearse, please. Direct examination.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Mr. Pearse, where are you from?
A. I'm from the UK.
Q. What brought you to the $U$ of $O$ ?

Pakistani representation as well.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger get along with all the players
regardless of where they came from?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger use an accent when he was coaching ?
A. He did.
Q. And what accent was that?
A. He used the British accent.
Q. Was it offensive?
A. No. It caused no offense to me.
Q. Did he even ask for your permission to use it?
A. He did after a while, yeah.
Q. Did he ever use it to make you think he was not American ?
A. Not particularly, no.
Q. Did you think the use of a British accent made him
untrustworthy?
A. No, I do not think so.
Q. Did he have a reputation for honesty among the cricket club community there?
A. Absolutely.
Q. What was that reputation?
A. I think Jim has the reputation as being a pretty
level-headed guy. He's pretty quiet. He goes about his business. He helps run the club. I think people would agree he's pretty dependable.
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A. Graduate studies.
Q. Did you get a degree?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. There's a cricket team at the $U$ of $O$ ?
A. Yes, there is.
Q. And that's an official University of Oregon club sport; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you were the team's student president; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And who was the official registered coach for the team?
A. That was James Cleavenger.
Q. Was he a good coach?
A. Yes. For sure.
Q. Was he paid?
A. I'm sorry? Did it pay?
Q. Yeah.
A. No. It was an unpaid position.
Q. Just briefly, I don't have that many questions for you, but could you give me an idea of the diversity of the cricket team?
A. Yes. The club's pretty diverse. We have representation
from most of the cricket-playing countries, but we predominantly were mostly an Indian cricket team. We have myself, British, Australian representatives. We've had some
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Q. Let me cut you off. I asked you if you had an opinion about his reputation.
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your opinion he has a reputation for honesty?
A. Yes, I have that opinion.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Cross-examination.
MS. COIT: No questions.
THE COURT: All right. May Mr. Pearse be excused?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Pearse, thank you very much. You're excused from these proceedings.

THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Good day.
Counsel, your next witness please.
MR. MCDOUGAL: John Ahlen.
THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, there's an image on
the screen at this time. Can you tell us who your next witness
is, please?
MR. MCDOUGAL: John Ahlen.
THE COURT: Can you see us? Be kind enough to stand and raise your right hand. Christy is the clerk. She's going right hand.

## Christy?

```
            JOHN AHLEN,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
        THE WITNESS: I do.
        THE COURT: If you would be seated, Mr. Ahlen.
    Mr. Ahlen, would you spell your last name for us?
        THE WITNESS: It's spelled A-H-L-E-N.
        THE COURT: Thank you. This is direct examination by
plaintiff's counsel.
            DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Ahlen. I'm Mark McDougal. Can you
tell me when you first had anything to do with Mr. Cleavenger ?
A. That would have been about three years ago , immediately
following his termination from the university.
Q. And what's your background?
A. I've been employed at the university for about five years.
I'm currently serving as chief steward for the union for
classified employees.
Q. Did you have anything to do with the police commission in
Eugene? And what was it, if you did?
A. That's correct. I served on the Eugene Police Commission
for about eight years. It's an appointment to a policy body.
```
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Q. What was your first role in relation to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I served as his union steward.
Q. At what point of the process did you become involved?
A. It would have been during the step three hearing held by Ryan Hagemann around the time of his termination.
Q. And Ryan Hagemann held a hearing on step three. About how long did it last?
A. No more than a couple of hours, if I remember correctly.

THE COURT: Counsel, perhaps the jury should know who
Ryan Hagemann is with this witness. It's just a name right now to the jurors.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Who is Ryan Hagemann?
A. He was serving as an attorney for the Oregon University System.
Q. He was the hearings officer for this?
A. That's correct.
Q. Step three letter of reprimand?
A. That's correct.
Q. Can you briefly explain what step three means?
A. Sure. So grievances that are brought forward by the union go through a multi-tier step process. So both sides have an interest in resolving disputes at the lowest possible level. So, ideally, that would be just by involving an employee and their supervisor, and, if not, if that doesn't work out, then
it gets escalated to step two and then step three, which is basically somebody's boss's boss and so on and so forth.

Step three, at that point, management designee was an attorney through the Oregon University System.
Q. And did Ryan Hagemann ever issue an opinion from a step three hearing on Mr. Cleavenger's appeal of his letter of reprimand?
A. He did not.
Q. Have you ever encountered in all your experience of doing
these any other occasion upon which a step three hearings officer who heard a hearing did not issue an opinion?
A. It would only be under extreme circumstances or if a settlement was reached.
Q. Were there any extreme circumstances that were involved in this step three hearing?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Is Mr. Hagemann still around? Was he still at the university?
A. I don't know the answer to that.
Q. Was he there shortly after the step three hearing process that he did not issue an opinion on?
A. Correct. He was still employed following the hearing.
Q. Was an opinion ever issued on the step three hearing that was heard by Ryan Hagemann?
A. There was a response issued by Brian Caufield following
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the termination, which I believe was intended to respond to both the letter of reprimand and termination.
Q. Who's Brian Caufield?
A. He was also serving as an attorney for the Oregon

University System.
Q. Have you -- do you have any knowledge of how

Brian Caufield made a decision if he wasn't at the hearing?
A. My understanding is that he reviewed Ryan Hagemann's notes
from the step three letter of reprimand hearing.
Q. How did you get that understanding?
A. That's what I recall him saying to me.
Q. Have you ever heard of a situation where one hearings
officer issues an opinion based on another hearings officer's notes?
A. It would have to be in extreme circumstances. It may have
come up. It would be unusual.
Q. No extreme circumstances here again?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Were you ever able to see the notes Ryan Hagemann made ?
A. No.
Q. Now, Brian Caufield, he was a hearings officer on the termination itself as well; correct?
A. That's correct. He was assigned to hear the termination grievance.
Q. Did he hear the termination grievance?
A. My belief is that, no, he did not formally have a step three hearing to the termination.
Q. What happened when you went to the step three hearing ? A. We scheduled a step three hearing, and we were both in the same room at the same time. We were not able to agree to ground rules for the meeting. Brian was abusive and I feel was not acting in good faith during that meeting, and so we said that we needed to reschedule the meeting when we could agree to proper ground rules.
Q. You say "abusive." How?
A. Shouting, yelling. The content of what he was saying was bullying. He was forcibly disconnecting the phone line for one of our meeting participants. He was being very unilateral in his decision-making and was not -- was not making decisions on how the meeting could be run jointly with us, as we felt would be required.
Q. So what happened?
A. We said that we would let -- still like to hold the step three meeting, but we needed to be able to agree on some basic rules, and we felt that there needed to be kind of a minimum level of professionalism and respect in the meeting for us to be able to properly participate, and what we had heard back was that Brian Caufield felt that -- that there had been a step three meeting that took place and that he felt like he had what he needed to issue a decision and a determination.
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Q. Did he accept any documents that you had to offer to him? At the step three hearing, did you have documents that you were going to provide?
A. We did have documents that we intended to provide. My understanding is that he did not accept them.
Q. You were there. You tried to give them to him. He wouldn't take them?

MS. COIT: Object. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Just reask the question.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Yeah. Can you tell me what -- more specifically, what
happened with regard to your efforts to give Mr. Caufield documents?
A. Sure. So towards the beginning of what would have been the meeting, we had prepared a list of documents, exhibits, and witnesses that we wanted to bring forward for purposes of the step three hearing. At that point we had begun the procedure exactly as we had done in the step three letter of reprimand hearing in which we planned to chronologically go through the evidence that we had compiled.

At that point Brian Caufield informed us that he intended to change the rules of the meeting and demanded that we immediately hand over all documents for his review.

At that point we suggested that that was different than
our expectations going into the meeting and that would be something we needed to talk about. And at that point Brian Caufield began to yell and became abusive and informed us that this was his meeting and that we needed to play by his rules and that this was our only chance to present evidence and informed us that if we didn't do things his way, then we would not have another opportunity to do so.

At that point our decision was rather than to continue to face the unilateral decision-making and the abusive behavior, to try to reconnect over email to see if there's a way we could find some common ground to be able to hold the meeting because the way it was being conducted was not healthy or professional or working for us.
Q. Are hearings officers supposed to be neutral?
A. My understanding is that they should be. They're designated by management to hear grievances that the union has brought forward, and they should be able to provide impartial disposition to be able to either uphold grievances brought forward by the union or to deny them and then allow us to progress to the next step as needed.
Q. And let me go back. I focused on step three with you, but let me ask you this: During this meeting, did Mr. Caufield at any time tell you before you presented evidence what he thought his decision was going to be? MS. COIT: Object. Hearsay.
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THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer the question, sir.

THE WITNESS: Brian Caufield was clear that he thought that this case would be denied at step three and we had already been talking as if this was the case that would be arbitrated.

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. And did -- did, in fact, Mr. Caufield even express an opinion on your chances at arbitration?

MS. COIT: Object. Hearsay.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. So --
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: -- during the step three termination hearing, Brian Caufield said that this case would be an insurmountable mountain for us to climb; that it had no chance at arbitration. He said that based on his experience he felt like the best that we could ever hope for would be what's called a resign and purge, meaning that Mr. Cleavenger would walk away with nothing. And the best that he could hope for would be a neutral reference from the university.

```
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
```

Q. This is all before --
A. Even that -- I'm sorry. Even that, I think he -- he didn't express that as an actual offer. He just said that would be the best that Mr. Cleavenger could hope for.
Q. And to be clear, this is all before you presented evidence or testimony?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, was there something about part of Mr. Cleavenger's
grievance process being held in abeyance? Do you recall anything about that?
A. Yes. So we had -- we had asked that a decision be issued per our contractural rights. We have a collective bargaining agreement that gives strict timelines on when a response would be due, and so we requested that within the timeline. And what we had heard back from Brian Caufield is that he had unilaterally held that in abeyance per his own wishes.
Q. Have you ever heard of this concept of holding it in abeyance in any other case?
A. That's something that wouldn't apply without mutual agreement from both sides, so a -- a change of timeline unilaterally, that's something that would have to be agreed upon by all parties.
Q. And this -- your -- what organization are you with? I'm sorry. I think I skipped that in the intro.
A. I work for the University of -- the classified employees and the SEIU.
Q. Okay. And isn't one of the key features of all this collective bargaining the requirement that both sides act in good faith by contract?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Caufield ever got a hearing on his step one letter of reprimand? I'm sorry. Mr. Cleavenger. I'm sorry. Let me restate it.
A. Go ahead.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Cleavenger ever got a hearing on his step one grievance for his letter of reprimand?
A. I don't recall. I wasn't serving as the steward during that time.
Q. That's fine. I won't ask it, then.

One -- I -- did you eventually go to arbitration?
A. That's correct.
Q. And was Chief McDermed at the arbitration proceeding?
A. Yes, she was.
Q. Was she there basically the whole time?
A. Yes. I recall her being there the entire time.
Q. Okay. Do you recall -- I want to make sure I get this
right -- Lieutenant Lebrecht testifying at the arbitration hearing?
A. I do.
Q. Do you recall Lieutenant Lebrecht using the phrase "bowl of dicks list"?
A. Yes.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Cross-examination, please.
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## BY MS. COIT:

Q. Mr. Ahlen, this is Andrea Coit. I'm the defense attorney. Was Chief McDermed involved at all at this step three hearing with Brian Caufield? Was she there? Was she part of his --
A. She was not present, no.
Q. Was Lieutenant Lebrecht present?
A. He was not, no.
Q. Was Sergeant Scott Cameron present?
A. He was not, no.
Q. Do you have any basis to believe that either Mr. Hagemann or Mr. Caufield were taking direction from Chief McDermed at this point?
A. I wouldn't know one way or the other.
Q. How about Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. I wouldn't know that either.
Q. Sergeant Cameron?
A. I also wouldn't know that.
Q. Do you know who Brian Caufield and Ryan Hagemann work for?
A. At the time they worked for the Oregon University System.
Q. That's not the University of Oregon, is it?
A. The answer to that might be somewhat complicated. It's a consortium based of a set of universities.
Q. It's the governing body for the seven universities; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Your contract, SEIU's collective bargaining agreement, it's with OUS; correct?
A. That -- yes. That's correct.
Q. Are you aware that Ryan Hagemann is or was -- at the time, Ryan Hagemann was Brian Caufield's boss?
A. I don't recall if I was aware of that or not.
Q. Are you aware if Ryan Hagemann was general counsel of OUS ?
A. I don't recall if I knew that.
Q. Do you know that now?
A. I'm assuming that if you're saying that, that's true.
Q. Okay.
A. But I don't know that.
Q. Do you know what Brian Caufield's title was?
A. No.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger did go to arbitration on the reprimand and termination; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. You were there every day; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did you feel he got a fair and impartial hearing on both the reprimand and the termination?
A. Are you talking about the step three hearing?
Q. No, sir. The arbitration.
A. I felt like the arbitration was fair.

MS. COIT: No further questions.
THE COURT: Redirect.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Nothing further.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Sir, thank you very much for your attendance today. You're excused from the proceedings.

Counsel, the next witness, please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Officer Bechdolt.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Step forward. Please come into the courtroom, please.

DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Sir, stop there.
THE COURT: Raise your right hand, please.

ANDREW BECHDOLT,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Be seated in the witness box. The entrance to the witness box is closest to the wall.

Now, after you're seated, would you face the jurors, state your full name, and spell your last name, sir?

THE WITNESS: My full name is Andrew Bechdolt,
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B-E-C-H-D-O-L-T.
THE COURT: Pull yourself a little closer to the
microphone or pull the microphone towards you. Thank you.
Direct examination by plaintiff's counsel.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good afternoon, Officer Bechdolt. I'm Mark McDougal. I represent Mr. Cleavenger. What's your current position at $U$ of $O$ ?
A. I'm a lieutenant with the police department.
Q. Okay. And did you ever have any supervision over

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And when was that?
A. 2010, 2011. Somewhere in that vicinity.
Q. And do you recall getting favorable reports about

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I'm not sure what you mean by "favorable reports."
Q. Let me hand you two documents and just see if these are documents that you were involved in.

THE COURT: Numbers, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm handing the witness number 90 and 91.

THE COURT: 90 and 91. Thank you.

```
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Can you identify -- first, do you have anything to do with
these documents?
A. Yes,I do.
Q. Okay. Can you identify Exhibits }90\mathrm{ and 91?
A. Let's see. }90\mathrm{ appears to be an end-of-phase turn-in
report and 91 is a phase evaluation summary.
Q. And these are documents pertaining to Mr. Cleavenger that
were made in the regular course of business at the U of O
Police Department --
A. Yes.
Q. -- by public safety officers?
A. Yes.
    MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission to publish or offer the
exhibits?
    THE COURT: 90 and 91 are received. You can publish
those, Counsel.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you have any independent memory of -- I'll strike that.
What was the purpose of Exhibit 90?
A. Sorry. I'll put my glasses on. I'm going to have to look
through it a little closer. It looks to me like it was -- the
purpose of it was the end-of-phase reports from his field
training officer.
Q. I would like to direct your attention -- I'm just going to
```
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focus on Mr. Cleavenger's attitude for a minute here.

## A. Okay.

Q. What does the document say about his attitude?
A. In Exhibit 90 it says: Attitude toward law enforcement. He demonstrates an active interest in this profession.
Q. At the very top of the document, what does it say, also, about his attitude?
A. He has a healthy desire to work in law enforcement and learns quickly.
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, could you go to page 3? And
I'll ask the witness also to go to page 3.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. And there's a heading called: Self-Initiated Activity.
A. Okay.
Q. And what does it say about Mr. Cleavenger in that regard?
A. It says he was very eager about this job. He's done a lot of self-initiated activity. He takes building security very seriously and will routinely close any open exterior windows. He initiates bicycle patrols and foot patrols. Cleavenger also cleaned out the bike shed and made sure all bicycles had lights and full tires. He volunteers for assignments and tends to take extra steps to provide full service to constituents.
Q. What does that heading, Self-Initiated Activity, what does
that mean to the department?
A. Sir, that section, in this context, pertains to the things that officers do in their unobligated time.
Q. Okay.
A. Even when they're not dispatched to a call for service.
Q. And this is a review of Mr. Cleavenger. How far along in his career at the $U$ of $O$ ?
A. Well, it's dated July 4, 2011. I honestly don't remember when his -- what his hire date was with the $U$ of $O$.
Q. Second sentence of the document on the first page will tell us a time frame it's relating to.
A. Okay. March 20 to July 3rd.

THE COURT: What year?
THE WITNESS: 2011. Sorry, Your Honor.

## THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. When did you stop having any supervisory role over Mr. Cleavenger?
A. When did I stop? I don't remember exactly when it was.
Q. Okay. At some point he's transitioned and he's under Sergeant Cameron. Does that ring any bells?
A. Yes.
Q. And how long after this letter, if you know, was that?
A. I honestly don't know, to tell you the truth. I don't remember.
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Q. Do you remember Mr. Cameron talking about Mr. Cleavenger and whether or not Mr. Cleavenger should even be at the department?
A. I remember Mr. Cameron talking about Mr. Cleavenger, but I don't -- I don't have any -- off the top of my head, I don't remember him saying anything about whether he should be there or not.
Q. Let me hand you a copy of your deposition, sir.
A. Sure.
Q. Yes. Yes. I would like to direct your attention to
page 56, lines 14 through 23.
A. 56. Okay. Surrounding body cameras?
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. 58. I'm sorry.
A. Okay.
Q. 58 , line 14 through 23.
A. Okay.
Q. Have you had a chance to look at it?
A. Lines 14 through --
Q. $\quad 23$.
A. Yes.
Q. Now I would also like you to look at page 59, lines 10 through 13 -- 10 through 14. 59, 10 through 14.
A. Okay.
Q. Then page 60, lines --

THE COURT: Just a moment. Let him read that,

Counsel. So page 59, 10 through 14.
THE WITNESS: 10 through 14. Okay.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. And then page 60, lines 19 through 23.
A. Okay.
Q. Isn't it a fact that Officer Cleavenger told you -- I
mean, Officer Cameron, I'm sorry, told you that Cleavenger shouldn't have been hired?
A. He did tell me at some point, yes.
Q. He also told you he didn't like Cleavenger's stance on Tasers?
A. Yes.
Q. And he said that while he was working there?
A. Yes. He was an employee there and -- yeah.
Q. Were you ever asked to investigate any callouts that Mr. Cleavenger had made?
A. Yes. In the -- the terminology "investigate" is -- isn't how I would put it, but, yes.
Q. Okay. What would your terminology be?
A. I was assisting someone else in an investigation and just gathering some facts.
Q. And those were three callouts?
A. Yes.
Q. Problematic callouts, is what they were referred to as?
A. That's what they were referred to as, yes.
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Q. Did you, after you looked into them, form an opinion as to whether or not there was a problem with the callouts?
A. I did.
Q. And what was that opinion?
A. That those, in and of themselves, were not problematic.
Q. Sorry. Give me one second.
A. Okay.
Q. And you even testified to that at the --

MS. COIT: Object to the impeachment. He's given his opinion.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm not impeaching.
THE COURT: Counsel, what is your question?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. You, in fact, testified that that was your opinion at the arbitration in this matter; correct?

THE COURT: Overruled. You can state that. You can state that.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. And I want to ask you very specifically: Is it your testimony that in your opinion you looked into these callouts and in your personal opinion you didn't find anything wrong with them and that's still your opinion?
A. I didn't -- that's not what I said. I said in and of themselves there was no problem with them.
Q. Okay.
A. As they stand on their own, there's no problem.
Q. Can you look at page 44 --
A. Sure.
Q. -- of your deposition? Lines 17 through 21.
A. Page 44. 17 through 21.
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Your Honor, I would like permission to play that.

THE COURT: Well, first, you're refreshing his recollection. I think the question should be asked.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Does that refresh your recollection of whether or not that's what you said?
A. That's exactly what I said, yes.

THE COURT: Counsel, you can repeat exactly what he said.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't want to -- I can read the question and answer or play it.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay. Play it.
THE COURT: Just verify that this is what you said.
Okay?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Page 44, line 17 through 21.
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MR. HESS: My apologies. I need to start over. THE COURT: Counsel, first of all, let's stop. This isn't what he said. He answered "yes," didn't he?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: You asked the question.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Right.
THE COURT: I'm not going to let you do that,
Counsel. This is a leading question. You can -- you can -you got a response to your question, but his answer is "yes."

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's correct.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Let me do it a different way.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. At your deposition were you asked the following question: In your opinion -- okay, okay, so in your opinion you looked into these callouts. In your personal opinion, you didn't find anything wrong with them, and that's still your opinion today.

Were you asked that question in your deposition?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. And what was your answer?
A. My answer was yes.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.
//1
//1

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Just a couple of points. These callouts that you looked into, Lieutenant Bechdolt, you gave the opinion that based on those alone you didn't find anything wrong with them; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Was that opinion based on your understanding that Mr. Cleavenger was acting in the authority of a public safety officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Would that opinion change if he was acting under the authority of a parking attendant?
A. Would my opinion change of whether they were problematic or not?
Q. Yes.
A. Probably not.
Q. And I just want to look at the exhibit, Exhibit 90, that you looked at earlier.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you see up at the first page the time period that this report applies to?
A. March 30, 2011, to July 3, 2011.
Q. And do you know who Mr. Cleavenger's field training officer was, the author of this document?
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A. I believe that was Officer Michael Drake.
Q. Do you know by this time in July of 2011 whether or not

Mr. Drake and Mr. Cleavenger had developed a personal friendship?
A. I believe they had, yes.
Q. Now, look at the acceptance of feedback. Halfway through
the paragraph, do you see where it says, "Mr. Cleavenger explains his thinking and logic behind his actions. This can come off as being defensive or argumentative." Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you agree with that statement?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Thank you. That's all I have. THE COURT: Redirect.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Can you put that back up? Can you just read the rest of that?

THE COURT: You can put that back up. I don't know if that entire paragraph was read, so --
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Part of this paragraph was just read. Can you read the rest of it?
A. I'll start with, "However, this is not his intent.

Cleavenger took my feedback and applied it in future similar situations."

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Recross?
MS. COIT: None.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused, Counsel?
Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: No, Your Honor. He's coming back in my
case.
THE COURT: Okay. Let me once again explain to the jury. It's a good time to constantly remind you. Remember I said either side can call what they believe are favorable witnesses or adverse witnesses, and remember that the defense, with many witnesses, has consented and agreed to take those witnesses at one time, and they may have normally called those witnesses as their witnesses during the case-in-chief, but Lieutenant is going to be returning to us in the next year or so. I'm kidding. He'll be with us next week.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: He'll be apparently one of the people
coming back in the presentation. So many of the questions are now reserved for the defense so they have a presentation.

Now, remember that's entirely plaintiff's right to call a favorable or an adverse witness or a witness who's testifying as to whatever, and that's the oddity of the civil matter. It's a significant change than if this was a criminal trial, which it's not.

You can step down.
Counsel, next witness.
We have a series of short witnesses, so if you're very good, it may be before 5:00. I'm just teasing you. They're very short witnesses, but there's six or seven people who are going to be presented to you rapidly. I apologize for the 45-minute lunch.

MR. MCDOUGAL: We're going to switch to Mr. Ranger
because Mr. Hoffman is not immediately --
THE COURT: I'm sorry?
MR. MCDOUGAL: We're switching witnesses because
Mr. Hoffman is not in the hallway.
THE COURT: That's fine.
Mr. Ranger, is that correct?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Raise your right hand.
///

TIMOTHY RANGER,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I'Il affirm.
DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Affirm. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. The entrance is closest to the wall. Be kind enough to be seated. Would you pull the chair close to the microphone and would you look at the jury and state your full name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: My full name is Timothy Roger Ranger . THE COURT: Thank you.
Direct examination, please.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Mr. Ranger, I'm Jason Kafoury. I'm an attorney for Mr. Cleavenger. I'm going to be talking to you here, but please address the jurors when you're talking. I want to talk a little bit about your background, a little about your relationship with my client, and how all this situation with the University of Oregon has affected him. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Where are you from originally?
A. London, England.
Q. And tell us a little bit about your educational
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## background.

A. Well, I'm a late bloomer. I actually went to the University of Oregon, which is where I met Jim. And I was a history major then, and I wanted eventually to get into education.

I actually got into education a little bit earlier than anticipated because I got into some voluntary work in schools and just found it was the place I needed to be.
Q. When did you come to the United States?
A. 1998.
Q. And did you move to Oregon right off the bat?
A. Yeah. Pretty much. My wife -- I don't mean to be flippant here, but my wife wanted a souvenir from England, and I was it, so --
Q. Okay. Just tell us briefly what kind of jobs you've had before your current employment.
A. Let's see. So in the United States, to begin with, I wasn't able to work because I was -- you know, how legally you have to even come to Oregon -- sorry if I'm not making this clear. I think you have to live in the state for a year before you can, you know, get a job, all right, in the state.

I ended up getting into hospitality. I worked at the Hilton Hotel for a while. That helped me with paying for my tuition as well when I was at the university.

So the university 2005 to 2008. Full time. But then I
did take classes beyond that up until winter of 2011.
Q. And tell us what you currently do for work.
A. I'm a librarian. K through 5. And I'm missing my little
ones, because this is the second day of school, and our school district just went into full-time kindergarten.
Q. Well, we won't keep you long.

When did you first meet my client, James Cleavenger?
A. That's going to be about 2006. And the reason why I'm pretty clear on the date is because we're soccer fans, or I would call it football, but we met during the World Cup, and there were places on campus we could watch it. I met Jim as well as a number of really cool people during that time. And we ultimately got together and created a club for like-minded people who, you know, really liked soccer.
Q. How big of a club was this?
A. Oh, it was pretty big. I mean, at least 50. You get emails, and the people that, you know, give us emails their emails, we send out their information. We had a website we called it "real football." At least "real football" was in the title of the website. And we had regular interactions with lots of members. We didn't always get them all at once. I think there was something in the region of a hundred people, but that type. But there were people from all over the world, okay, and plus there were Americans, too, that just loved the sport, wanted to be around people that were from, you know,
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different countries, and, so, yeah.
Q. Was this a club within the University of Oregon system?
A. No. It was -- it was -- it was primarily made up of university students because of, you know, the people we met. But, no, it was not an affiliation of the university, that I can recall.
Q. Was there a particular soccer team that you and my client connected around?
A. Oh, absolutely. Liverpool.
Q. Who was that?
A. Home of the Reds. Liverpool Football Cup.
Q. Why don't you help the jurors and explain out your guys' relationship as friends evolved since 2006.
A. Well, you know, Jim is a very charismatic person. Very caring and very giving. Jim is the sort of person -- he loves to organize things. Whether it's camping trips we've been on, particularly wilderness trips up at Mount Adams in Washington.

We would -- of course, as part of the club, we would get together -- usually weekends, but also weeknights as well -- to watch together. Like any friends, we would watch games. And then there were lots of social interactions. We would go out in the evening. Dinners, drinks, et cetera.
Q. While you were at the University of Oregon as a student, from what year to what year were you there as a student?
A. So I want to clarify. Full time between 2005 and 2008,
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and because I have other -- my wife, I have to look after her. I'm a caregiver to her. And so, you know, I had to kind of cut off my education at that point and revisit it a little bit later. So then after 2008, at least as far as my education on campus, I went back as late as winter of 2011.
Q. What -- what was the reputation of the University of Oregon Department of Public Safety while you were there? A. Well, at least around everybody that I hung out with, and I have a broad spectrum of friends, okay, from very different walks of life. I think, best characterized, as, excuse me, good ole boys. I don't mean to be disparaging of what they do, but, you know, many people were upset that the position of campus police, it was -- it was as though they -- they enjoyed being confrontational. And I don't necessarily mean physical. I just mean that their presence, it was a little overbearing, to say the least.

I mean, yeah, I never experienced -- I want to clarify. I never actually experienced that myself, but, you know, I had plenty of friends, and I understand that that's going to be considered hearsay, but this was a regular thing, okay, with all groups of friends of mine, that they would usually come up and say at some point --

MS. COIT: Okay. Hearsay.
THE COURT: Sustained.
//1
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. You can only testify about -- you can't testify about what --

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to strike
that answer. You have to not regard that as testimony in this matter.

Counsel?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall around 2008 a debate on the campus about whether or not the department should have Tasers?
A. Oh, yeah.
Q. Was that a hot button topic at that point?
A. Very much so, because many students I knew -- and not just students, just members of the community -- this was not a good idea, given the, I want to say, sort of hostile behavior, all right, and negative behavior towards the very people they're supposed to keep safe and, you know, serve.

So, yeah, having a Taser was not something that the people I knew thought was a good idea.
Q. I'm going to ask just a couple of questions about my client's British accent. That may come up at points during this trial. Have you heard my client's British accent?
A. Oh, sure. He has more than one, but definitely one that stands out very, very good.
Q. And which one is that that stands out?
A. (With an accent) "So have I been a scouser?" So scouser is somebody from Liverpool. I think mine is better than his, but he might argue otherwise.
Q. When would he use that accent when you knew him back then?
A. Sometimes, like I can -- I can think of one example, in particular. Not only am I a librarian and teach K through 5, as I said, but also a soccer coach, and this is voluntary. This is something I do in my spare time for the kids. And, you know, Jim is always giving time, all right, to his friends, but this was something I wanted him to do.

I mean, yeah, for me; but, I mean, for the kids. He came out. He's -- you know, he's good with kids, too, and, you know, the whole time he's using a British accent.

Sure, at the end he let them know, you know, he's not British. He was trying to see if anybody could pick up on it.

But the kids were so sharp and alert and watching him, and
I think they were amused as well that there was another Brit in their presence.
Q. Throughout all the times that you have heard him use this British accent, has he ever been using it to try to, you know, deceive other people to be untrustworthy?

MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Throughout the time that you have been around him over the
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years, how often does he use a British accent?
A. Well, he used a British accent. He uses different accents. I mean, he's just being sociable. You know, I do the same. And, actually, we've got a friend who's actually from Liverpool, and I'm a Southern. I'm from London. So the fact that I do a Liverpool accent in England, that's not always greatly appreciated.

My friend who actually lives in Portland, he's a scouser. He's a Liverpoolean, okay, somebody from Liverpool, and he chastises me. We're friends, but he chastises me because I will use a Liverpool accent when I'm around him and so he gives me a hard time about that.

But he'll tell you that James does an awesome Liverpool accent. So I think he just picks on me because I'm from the south.
Q. I guess I'll ask it differently. Have you ever seen him use this accent to try to gain personally from it?

MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Overruled. You can -- this is your
personal observation.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. In your personal observations.
A. No. Jim is just a fun-loving guy. That's all.
Q. Let's talk about his personality. How -- how often did you spend time with him, let's say, during the years of 2006 to

## 2008?

A. A lot. I mean, as I've already told you, you know, when we were getting together for the club and we would watch soccer. We were soccer fans, right, so we're going to get up at the crack of dawn. I mean, this is friendship for you, when somebody opens their doors to you, you know, make his apartment into, you know, a soccer clubhouse, and, you know, 4:00 or 5:00 in the morning to be able to watch live soccer. So we would do that every week. There'd be mid-week games. And as I've already explained, that, you know, definitely after the first couple of weeks, we were already bonding as a group. We would do other things, and that extended a little bit later on into campaign and other events that we'd do.
Q. How often did you see or talk to him over the years when -- that he worked at the University of Oregon? So that's 2010 to 2012.
A. Let's see. Well -- so 2010, I'm not at the university now, so I'm not seeing him on campus, but I was still -- we were still doing the club, and we were meeting each other for that.
2012. I remember that was really the time that he was beginning to have problems where he was at work, and he was already --
Q. Before we go into the problems, let's talk about who Jim Cleavenger was, in your mind, in terms of his personality
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before that.
A. That's easy. I already told you. A fun-loving guy, and I already gave an example of how, you know, he would give his time -- he's a very generous person. He cares tremendously. Q. How about his energy level? A. Well, he's the organizer. He's the guy. He's the guy. He organizes everything. The club, it was at his house. Camping trips, he organizes. So we're talking high energy here. Always available to his friends.
Q. You started talking about, in 2012, you started to notice some differences. Tell me, what do you remember?
A. Well, I just remember the -- I didn't -- James -- he doesn't wear his emotions on his sleeve, okay? Sometimes you have to kind of bait him to get him to get out of it, but he was already, you know, upset with what was going on. To be honest, I don't know the full details of that, but I know how he was feeling at the time.

He was very frustrated, and it just seemed to get worse as it progressed, and then, of course, ultimately, it culminated in his position finishing.

## And after that, well --

Q. How was he doing after the termination? What do you

## remember?

A. Not good at all. In fact, I want to say Christmas 2012 or thereabouts. I mean, it was winter. And, you know, going
through to sort of like spring of 2013, he was just -- it was like he -- you know, it was like he left town. He was gone. And, I mean, you know, I would contact him and text -- we did a lot of texting, and, you know, games. Like I said, he's the organizer. So he would be the one that would contact you, right and say, "Hey." Mass text, right? "We have a game Saturday. See you Saturday." There was no text. There was nothing going on.

So of course I'm going to contact him and say, "Where are you at? What are you doing?" I'm not really getting anything. I'm not getting any texts back. No messages. Nothing.

So I then reached out. I don't know his family, but I know other friends because of the club, so I reached out to other friends and said, "Look, what's going on with Jim? Where is he?" And, you know, there was -- there was chat that there were problems, right. There were issues with work. I wasn't aware at that time that, you know, he was no -- he was no longer with the campus police.

But I was worried about him. He's always there -- been there for me. So it was -- it was springtime 2013. I remember reaching out to, as I say, other friends. They had contacted him and said, you know, "Tim is worried about you."

Still, I'm not getting anything. I end up contacting him and telling him -- and this was a plan, okay. I came up with it with a friend of mine. And I said, "Look, he's not -- he's
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not talking. There's something really wrong here." So I contacted him and I said, "I'm having problems," which -- which was true, but it wasn't for -- you know, it wasn't for me. I was trying to get a hold of him to find out what was going on with him.

Wouldn't you know it? Of course he came out, and that's just Jim.

So he's there. He's there, but he's not there. It's like he's -- he's physically in front of you, but he's not -- it's not the Jim I know, right? It's not the Jim that makes a laugh and a joke and helps you with whatever your problem is. He was distant, he looked depressed, and, quite frankly, he looked sick. Okay? The sort of sickness that you see in people that are stressed out.
Q. So what did you do?
A. I tried to get him to talk to me about it, and, you know, to some extent, he did. I mean, at the beginning, not -- not wanting to make it look like it was a ruse. I was obviously sharing what was going on for me with my home care and everything else I was going through, but Jim, he -- well, he was in tears. He just was not -- he just wouldn't open up too much about it except to say that things felt like they were unraveling.
Q. Did he talk to you about the difficulties that he had had at the University of Oregon?
A. Yes. Now, he didn't go into too much detail about it, but except to say that --

MS. COIT: Object. Hearsay.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Goes to the state of mind.
THE WITNESS: No, I'm --
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Was it clear to you that losing his job and what had happened is what had him so down?
A. No, absolutely. In the same way that I've told you that, you know, I wanted to come and -- become an educator, right, it was clear I wanted to become an educator. I bore people to death when I go to parties because all I do is talk about kids. Remember I have K through 5. Jim, he will bore you to death about wanting to be a cop. So that was his deal.

So the fact that this was all going down, I didn't know what it meant to him at the time, but I didn't -- I didn't know what the consequence was of his not being in that department anymore, but I knew how much being a police officer meant to him. I mean, it was something that was always spoken of, right, so --
Q. How much have you seen Mr. Cleavenger over the last few years since that -- since 2013?
A. Well, a little bit more now, and you might attribute that to obviously the proceedings that we're dealing with right now,
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but it was starting to get a little bit better, you know, over the last couple of months.

He's still not himself, and, quite frankly, I look at him today, and he looks a little gaunt. Maybe it's just the stress that he's gone through, but the last few years it's been really, really hard to, you know, get him to get involved with things.

Only this year, which I was really happy about, until I threw my back out and couldn't go, he started up again with his camping trips, all right, to -- to Mount Adams, which is a really fun thing to do. He does a great job of organizing that.

But he didn't do that for a couple of years. He's been doing this for years. He's the one. He does it every year. For the last couple of years, prior, it was like he slipped off and he just wasn't anywhere. He wasn't organizing anything. We weren't doing any, you know, like soccer group activities.
Q. How many people historic ally go on this camping trip that he organizes?
A. I would say, because sometimes they have more people -you know, they maybe can't come for the first few days and they'll drive up separately and come later, but 20, 30.

I mean, you know, the campsite is full, all right. Full of people. Actually, we usually have little groups, because they're from all different places. We have international
students. You have -- I mean, I remember one time I was there with Jim and we had some military guys and just people from all different walks of life, and, you know, obviously people from -- from the University of Oregon, because I'm pretty sure that it's all organized through the outdoor program.
Q. How has -- how often have you seen him, let's say, in the last six months to a year?
A. Well, not as much as I want, but a couple of times a
month, you know, we'll get together. I mean, he has parties at his house. I'm always invited. I mean, you know, more so than anything else it's a lot more to do -- and we're talking recent, because, you know, it's only really been -- I mean, you're saying six months ago.
Q. Yeah. It's been three years since his termination, so -A. Yes.
Q. -- I'm just trying to get a sense of your understanding of how he's doing nowadays.
A. I would say he's improven, but he's not James that, you know, did all the organizing that I was used to when I was at the University of Oregon.
Q. How has this whole thing affected him in terms of trusting people?
A. Well, like I said at the beginning, you know, Jim is not the kind of person that, you know, wears his emotions on his sleeve. But having said that, he's very generous with his
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time. You know, he would open himself up to you. I would definitely say he's way more closed off. I'm not going to say that I don't think he trusts me, but he definitely -- he doesn't open up to me like he used to. We don't have those kind of conversations anymore, in-depth conversations, feelings.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thanks. Defense counsel may have
a few questions for you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Cross-examination, please.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Mr. Ranger, so when you met Mr. Cleavenger, he was in law school; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Did he ever talk to you about his career aspirations in law?
A. Yeah. Mostly law enforcement. But, yeah, law. I mean, if that's the umbrella that you're talking about.
Q. No. No. About being a lawyer.
A. A lawyer. Well, I mean, he was at law school, so I presume that he -- you know, that was originally maybe what he intended, but he didn't really talk too much about that.

You know, when we would go out together, he was usually
talking about becoming a police officer.
Q. Did you ever ask him why he was going to law school if he wanted to be a police officer?
A. Excuse me. If the conversation came up, I'm sure, you know -- I don't remember the answer if we had that conversation.

Oh, and, ma'am, I apologize. I -- I think it's really down to -- you know, I mean, law, and, you know, I just -- I just accepted it as something that was like a progression of, you know, his goals to become a police officer. I don't know what is involved in becoming a police officer, so it wasn't something I was questioning.
Q. So you thought he may have been going to law school to become a police officer. Is that what you're saying?
A. Sure.
Q. Okay. Did you ever hear Mr. Cleavenger tell anyone he was actually from Liverpool?
A. No.
Q. Anywhere in England?
A. No.
Q. Now, you were good friends, according to your testimony, with Mr. Cleavenger when you developed this opinion about the Department of Public Safety. Is that right?
A. You mean, the -- when I made the statement about that it was like a club of good ole boys? Do you mean that? Did I
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## formulate it?

Q. Yes.
A. Well, it was nothing to do with Jim. It was just, you know, what the feeling is on campus.

You don't really need my testimony for that. There's
plenty of documented, you know, articles about the behavior of the officers on campus.
Q. So when you had that opinion about the officers on campus --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- you and Mr. Cleavenger were friends. You were good friends at that time. You hung out all the time, doing the soccer stuff, football stuff?
A. Yeah. I think that the opinion I have is something that was really never spoken about. I mean, it wasn't -- it's something that is there in the background. It's something you're aware of because it's there, but it's never been relevant to me, you know, except for, as we say, we're talking about, you know, police having Tasers or learning how to -- or excuse me, I don't think it ever passed, but having firearms. That was like no way; did not want to see that.
Q. Did you ever talk with Mr. Cleavenger about his opinions about the Department of Public Safety officers during this time period?
A. No. Generally, we didn't talk about his job. I mean, we
were too busy, you know, having fun, so --
Q. I apologize. That might have been confusing. I mean during the time period that he's in law school and you're going to school there, did you talk with him about your opinion or his opinion about the Department of Public Safety?
A. You know, I'm not going to -- I'm not going to look at you and tell you that we never discussed that. I mean, there's -there isn't a moment that I can point to and say, "This was a specific thing we talked about." That didn't happen.

But, you know, in general conversation, talking about what's going on in campus, could that conversation have happened? You know, there were times of how maybe they were going to have Tasers. I'm sure that probably happened. But, you know -- you know, the outcome of that conversation, I don't recall, so I don't think it could have been, you know, that big of a deal.
Q. Did you ever get the impression from anything

Mr. Cleavenger said to you that he disagreed with your opinion of the Department of Public Safety?
A. Well, again, I don't recall having a conversation about it, so I'm not -- I can't give you an opinion about what his opinion was because I don't remember having a conversation about it.
Q. All right. Well, let me ask you this.
A. Yeah.
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Q. Given the opinion you had and apparently this general consensus about the Department of Public Safety, were you surprised when you found out that Mr. Cleavenger was going to work as a public safety officer at the university? A. I do remember this, okay, when he told me he was going to do it, and I said, "Don't you become one of the good ole boys." I made some comment like that. Just an offhanded comment like that. I do remember making some off -the-cuff comment to him about that.
Q. Did he give you an explanation as to why he had made that decision to go to work there?
A. I think it was -- I -- I think it was a steppingstone is
what he said. A steppingstone in order to become a
quote/unquote, you know, full police officer.
Q. A real police officer?
A. Well, that's your words, but I would say, you know, a full-time police officer.

```
MS. COIT: Okay. Thank you. No questions.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
THE COURT: Redirect examination?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Did he express to you that his goal was to some day run his own police department?
```

8
an explorer with the Eugene Police Department from 1989 to 1996. And as my employment as a police officer at the Junction City Police Department, I've -- I have over 2,000 training hours, and my assignments have been school resource officer. I've been on a motorcycle. I've been a detective. I've done patrol. I've been a public information officer. So I've had a variety of different assignments throughout the years that I've been there.
Q. When -- when did you first meet my client, James Cleavenger?
A. I met Mr. Cleavenger for the first time when I was instructing a traffic law class at the Lane Community College Reserve Police Academy in Eugene, Oregon.
Q. So that would have been 2010, when my client was attending this seven-month reserve academy?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Do you know how it was that my client started working at Junction City?
A. He went through a testing process and then was selected as
a reserve, which our standard testing process is a physical
fitness test, a written test, an oral board, and a background investigation.
Q. Tell us about how you started working directly with my client.
A. I began working directly with James Cleavenger as an FTO.
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He would come out and -- FTO stands for field training officer. I would -- James would ride with me as a reserve, and I would teach him about law enforcement.
Q. How -- how often were you working with him during that time period?
A. It -- the times varied. Sometimes it was, you know, he wouldn't show up at all, sometimes he would be out two, three times a week or even more.
Q. What were your observations about him back in 2010, 2011 how he was as an officer?
A. My observations were that he -- from a -- you know, I believe I can speak for most people in the department, is that he --

MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Just reask it, Counsel.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Without getting into everyone, just give us your --
A. My opinion of James is that he was by far, as far as that group of reserves, the most competent. He was -- had by far the greatest amount of knowledge and street skills, and I -you know, I think that -- you know, I felt very comfortable working with him. I didn't feel like I had to watch my back, per se, as I did other reserves or other people that were hired in the same class that he was.
Q. Tell us about what kind of complex cases you would work
with him on in Junction City.
A. James was unusual in the fact that he was, one, very intelligent and then, two, he was wanting to really go above and beyond what was expected or even required.

One of the examples was one day I was working and there was an armed robbery that occurred, and I was working dayshift, and it was towards the end of my shift, and James had been there with me, and James responded to the call, and he proceeded to assist myself and the police officer, legal advisor from the district attorney's office, and two Eugene Police Department major crime teams -- three officers -- write a search warrant for a suspect that we believed that did the robbery. He was there for over a 24 -hour period of time; not only writing the search warrant, but he also stayed and helped execute it and processed the evidence.

It's not unheard of that he would -- he would stay there, you know, much, much past the end of a single shift to complete reports.

Also during my time period of knowing James is that he assisted me, as I was the accreditation director, if you will, where I procured information and facts from the police department and compiled them to ensure that our department was within the Oregon Accreditation Alliance standards and training.

As part of that duty, it entailed writing policies,
directives, and other complicated and lengthy writings that would dictate how our department functioned. James directly assisted me with those tasks and was more than happy. He was there, you know, day in and day out, sitting there with me, helping me do this for -- just because. Q. Was he also working on the SWAT raid teams ? Oh, the event you talked about. The robbery?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he involved with a hostage situation?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. We, as in -- it was during, I believe, the Scandinavian Festival a few years ago. It was myself, the chief of police, the sergeant, and I believe -- and I know James was there, and as we responded to the situation, we -- at the time it was alleged that the suspect in the case had taken his family -meaning his wife and her sister and her parents -- hostage, with a firearm, inside the house.

As -- when we arrived, James was put in charge of, if you will, hostage negotiator, or negotiating or talking to the alleged suspect, and to try to resolve the situation with -without the use of force.

During the subsequent phone conversation Mr. Cleavenger had with the involved suspect, he did agree to come out, and no one was harmed.
Q. How would you describe Mr. Cleavenger's philosophy towards law enforcement throughout your working with him?
A. I believe that Mr. Cleavenger's philosophy was -- is
similar, quite honestly, to mine. It's to protect and serve.
It's to, you know, necessarily writing the ticket for a
first-time offender may not be the best way. Maybe just
talking to them. You know, I think that he's very community
oriented. He's in it for -- he would -- an example is when it
snowed. Nobody asked James, but he brought a snow shovel out
and went and shoveled elderly people's driveways. You know, those sort of things that go, you know, miles and miles and miles towards to help the police department, to help improve the image of the police department, and to really gain community support. And he has time and time again shown that he is willing to do that for our -- the Junction City Police Department.
Q. Are those, what you just described, kind of the pillars of community policing?
A. Certainly.
Q. Now, over that time, you also developed a friendship with my client; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Sort of walk us through from when you first met him in 2010, how your guys's relationship evolved.
A. I met James, and we -- we clicked personality -wise, and I
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infrequently, if ever, and we certainly associated much less frequently.

An example is that he has a Studebaker car that he had purchased to restore, and he put it in my garage, and James was working on it every single day after work. He would come over and work on it, and I'd help him. And James has -- you know, he quit -- when this whole thing started occurring, he really stopped doing and working on that and just became much more reclusive.
Q. How has it affected your guys's friendship over the last couple years?
A. It's affected it in a manner that -- you know, it's not a question of if we're still friends. How it's affected is that I seldom, if ever, see him. We hardly ever talk on the phone or through texts and it's -- it's more of kind of an acquaintance sort of relationship versus, you know, somebody that, you know, I would describe a year ago as my best friend and that, you know, talked to way more than anybody else.
Q. How has this affected his sleep?
A. His -- to the best -- I mean, I --
Q. You're not sleeping next to him, but has he described sleeping problems to you?
A. Yes, he's described the difficulty of sleeping. I know that I've gotten texts from him, you know, early hours of the morning, and he calls me. You know, I -- I tend to go to sleep
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at 9:00 and wake up at, you know, like, 7:00 in the morning every single day, and James does not, and it -- it has increased since this has begun.
Q. When you've seen him recently, how do you feel like he's doing recently? It's been almost three years since he was terminated.
A. James -- I believe it was the last time that I saw James, and it was approximately two weeks ago, and in -- just through conversation and his demeanor, I was honestly concerned about his mental health, his mental well-being. And one of his co-workers is a roommate of mine, and I asked the roommate to check on James the following day, when he was at work, to see how he was doing, because James was definitely not himself and definitely not the James that I once knew.
Q. So it's fair to say three years later he's still having a lot of problems?
A. A tremendous amount.
Q. I want to talk about Brady materials. You've been in law enforcement for 19 years; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about -- have you done background investigations as part of your training and experience?
A. Yes. As -- for a while as -- I was assigned as police detective. I also conducted background investigations on potential employees.
that you've worked with actually been Brady-listed?
A. No.
Q. From your experience working -- well, have you been involved in the hiring process before?
A. Yes. I've been involved in many hiring processes.
Q. Tell us what -- from a hiring standpoint, what -- what would happen if someone tried to apply with a Brady list -while they were on the Brady list?
A. Well, initially, probably -- the circumstances that I imagine, like with our testing process, would be that the Brady list necessarily wouldn't be discovered until we reached the background phase of the -- the hiring process, and certainly the background investigator, if -- discovered that, me personally, if somebody was on the Brady list, I would make the recommendation that they would not be hired. Granted, it's not -- wasn't my role as the background investigator to make the final hire or fire; however, it was my job to recommend if these people were to be hired or not.

And do you want me to talk about what the Brady list is or --
Q. Yeah. Just -- the jurors have heard some, but give your understanding.
A. So my understanding of what the Brady list is is that it's a list of -- if the district attorney has exculpatory evidence
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that a law enforcement officer has told a lie, then the district attorney is mandated by law to disclose that information to the defense counsel during a trial. And, as a result of that, it would essentially make a candidate not able to be a police officer.

And what I mean by that is that frequently, as a police
officer, it's your word against the suspect's word. There's no witnesses. There's oftentimes no cameras. There's -- it's -and if you -- credibility is the one thing that a police officer has to always have. And if you're putting somebody on a list that's saying they don't have credibility, then you're ruined.
Q. So Mr. Cleavenger was with the Junction City Police

Department from 2010 to 2013; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And you worked with him all throughout that time period?
A. Yes.
Q. The jurors will have his hours; but, generally, just to shorten things, he averaged about 16 hours a week at Junction City. Is that right?
A. I do not know that for sure, but I would not be surprised. He would -- he was a fixture there.
Q. And he would -- he was working at Junction City, both paid and volunteer; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And he did that on top of his normal work duties while he worked at the University of Oregon Department of Public Safety ; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Sometimes that required him to --

MS. COIT: Object to leading questions.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. I'll ask generally. In law enforcement, if you have two different shifts between different departments, how does that affect your sleep?
A. Well, I know that James would come in and work as a reserve and things would come up or cases, you know, that you just can't leave. And, you know, that's the, I guess, unfortunate thing about law enforcement is that if you, you know, get into something terribly time-consuming, you can't say, "Oh, my eight hours are over. I'm going to go home." You know, you're stuck there until it's taken care of or until somebody else comes on that can assist you in dealing with the situation.

And if, you know, you were working as a -- if a reserve was working and was a dedicated reserve and would stay there until they -- when they had to go to their other job. Q. Was that a policy within Junction City Police Department
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that if you were a reserve and you started investigating a case you had to stay there at the department until you finished the --

THE COURT: Excuse me, Counsel. Why don't we all stand for just a minute.

All right. Thank you, Counsel.
THE WITNESS: In reference to the question if there was a policy that a reserve had to stay, my answer would be no.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. But was that generally what Mr. Cleavenger did, was stay until his reports were done?
A. Yes. Which it was preferred, and the reason for that -and it used to be actual policy is that because the reserves -most reserves came in so infrequently that if, let's say, there were errors found in the report or an issue that arose with that particular incident, that we didn't know when the report would be done, and so that is why it was kind of somewhat encouraged. But to say it was policy, it wasn't verbal or written.
Q. You talked about the mental health stress that you've seen in your friend, Mr. Cleavenger, you know, recently. Before all this with the University of Oregon, ever see any emotional problems with him whatsoever?
A. No. None whatsoever.
Q. Do you think he's been suffering from depression?

```
```

A. As of recently.

```
```

A. As of recently.
MS. COIT: Object. Foundation. Qualifications.
MS. COIT: Object. Foundation. Qualifications.
THE COURT: Sustained.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. All the time you worked with my client, any officer safety
Q. All the time you worked with my client, any officer safety
issues that you recall?
issues that you recall?
A. None that were noted that were uncharacteristic for
A. None that were noted that were uncharacteristic for
somebody who was learning the trade, the profession.
somebody who was learning the trade, the profession.
Q. In terms of his character for truthfulness, did you form
Q. In terms of his character for truthfulness, did you form
an opinion about that?
an opinion about that?
A. I felt that he was very truthful and honest and I had no
A. I felt that he was very truthful and honest and I had no
evidence to indicate that he wasn't, and I still believe that
evidence to indicate that he wasn't, and I still believe that
he is.
he is.
Q. I want to talk about -- I want to show you Plaintiff's
Q. I want to talk about -- I want to show you Plaintiff's
Exhibit 172? Can you identify what that document is?
Exhibit 172? Can you identify what that document is?
A. This document is a memo that I had written in response to
A. This document is a memo that I had written in response to
the district attorney and as he was investigating
the district attorney and as he was investigating
Mr. Cleavenger for the Brady list and attached with the
Mr. Cleavenger for the Brady list and attached with the
information was a report that included the University of
information was a report that included the University of
Oregon's filing with the -- with the district attorney.
Oregon's filing with the -- with the district attorney.
Q. So you sent this in response to a request by the district
Q. So you sent this in response to a request by the district
attorney for information?
attorney for information?
A. Correct.
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
Q. Okay.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd offer Plaintiff's 172.

```
```

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd offer Plaintiff's 172.

```
```
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MS. COIT: No objection
THE COURT: I'm going to receive that document. 172.

Thank you, Counsel.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. I want to talk to you about a few things in here. So you wrote this document; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can we bring up, Mr. Hess,
Plaintiff's 172 can you go to page 3?
Can you blow up who signed the letter?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So while -- so what is AIC?
A. Acting in capacity.
Q. So at the time this letter was signed, Eric Markell was
the acting-in-capacity chief of Junction City; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And Brandon Nicol was the acting-in-capacity sergeant?
A. Correct.
Q. And this is dated $8 / 13 / 14$; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Can you see a copy of the letter there on your
screen?
A. I only can see the blown-up portion with the signatures.
Q. Let's go back to page 1. I'm going to go through a couple
of allegations that Lieutenant Lebrecht put into the Brady
materials.
MS. COIT: Object to foundation.
THE COURT: Sustained. Unless it's signed by Lebrecht, Counsel.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I think it's undisputed that Lieutenant Lebrecht wrote the Brady material cover letter.

THE COURT: 172 has been received.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Right.
THE COURT: Does this witness need to return? Lieutenant Lebrecht will be testifying tomorrow? That question is going to be asked, by either one of you, about who wrote this page; correct?

MS. COIT: Your Honor, he's referring to a different document.

THE COURT: Just a moment. 172 is up on the screen. What are you referring to?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm referring to the Brady
material. The actual packet of Brady material.
THE COURT: That has an exhibit number?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 150.
THE COURT: 150?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.
THE COURT: Then why is 172 on the screen?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 172 is a letter in response to
his Brady material. This is a letter where he's addressing the
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allegations within the Brady material, Your Honor. THE COURT: And what was your question? MR. JASON KAFOURY: I hadn't even asked it yet. What I was going to ask -- that was my next question, was did he read this Brady material in order to respond with this letter.

THE COURT: In 150?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Exhibit 150, yes.
THE COURT: All right. You can ask that question.
So did you read Exhibit 150, or do you even have that in front of you?

THE WITNESS: I don't have it in front of me.
THE COURT: Counsel --
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. To save time --

THE COURT: No, that's fine. Not to get confused.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. When you wrote the materials in that letter --

THE COURT: Exhibit 172.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. In Exhibit 172. When you wrote that letter, were you responding to this Brady submission regarding my client, which is Exhibit 150?
A. Yes, I did. The information that I had was not as vast as this one; but, however, the -- the summary part of it I did receive.
Q. Okay.
A. The actual text, but not the enclosed, I guess, what you'd call evidence.

THE COURT: You read the summary portion?
THE WITNESS: Yes. The narrative.
THE COURT: Was the rest of the material attached in
that kind of volume? Do you remember?
THE WITNESS: It was not.
THE COURT: You just had a summary?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. And let's go through this document. 172.

THE COURT: Counsel, I can take that subject to a motion to strike. Is there going to be any disagreement eventually that 150 is going to come into evidence; in other words, by some witness at some point? It might save this witness coming back.

MS. COIT: There will be no objection when it's offered.

THE COURT: Counsel, why don't I allow you to receive 150 with representation by defense counsel that eventually it's going to be coming into evidence --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: -- in light of the question, so
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Officer Mertz doesn't have to come back another day.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Now, the problem, though, is you're confining that to just the material that he read. I don't know what he read.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: It's a seven-page summary.
THE COURT: Okay. And you've got that.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. Which is a portion of it.
I want to go through this as quickly as possible.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. One of the allegations in the Brady materials was that

Junction City Police Department had three Chevy Tahoe patrols
that were pre-equipped with the WatchGuard video systems.
Just for a little context, the allegation of dishonesty --
MS. COIT: Your Honor, I object to the testimony by
Counsel.
THE COURT: Sustained. This is testimony, Counsel.
Ask your question.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you -- do you recall that one of the allegations in the

Brady materials was that my client had access to a vehicle while he worked at Junction City that had this recording equipment?
A. Yes.
Q. And as part of your response letter on $8 / 13 / 14$, did you
address that?
A. Yes, I did.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you pull up the third
paragraph?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. And so these two reserve vehicles, which Reserve Officer Cleavenger had, did not have the video equipment; is that correct?
A. Correct. It was never installed. They were older cars they were phasing out.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can we go to the next paragraph? BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So this was referring to an additional vehicle that had it, and this was assigned to you; is that correct?
A. Correct. That was my police car.
Q. And the system in your car was actually broken and had still not been replaced by August of 2014?
A. Correct. It had -- it had been removed.
Q. So when my client made the statement that at the Junction City Police Department the vehicle he was permitted to use did not have this MAV recording system, that was accurate; right? A. That's correct.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you go to the first paragraph on page 2? I should have said second paragraph. ///
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. An additional allegation in the Brady materials related to a statement my client made that he had been up for 35 hours when he gave his internal affairs recorded statement to Lieutenant Morrow. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you do as part of this letter to confirm that he had been working at Junction City right before that interview?
A. We pulled up the timecards and reviewed them and determined that he had worked a shift that prior evening to -prior to the interviews. There -- there's -- there is a typo on that year somehow I would like to point out.
Q. Oh $6 / 18 / 12$, you mean; not ' 14 ?
A. Yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. Can we pull up the next paragraph?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. This relates to my client having to work at both departments and having to pull long shifts at Junction City; what we discussed earlier?
A. Yes. And in the reports, per se, you know, is -- when we would talk about that, they don't have to complete the entire investigation, but, at the very least, the basic narrative of what had occurred.
Q. Can you -- before I pull up the next paragraph, did anyone
from the University of Oregon Department of Public Safety
contact you or any of the other officers, other than
Chief Chase, to ask about my client's truthfulness?
MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Reask the question, Counsel.
BY MR. JASon KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did anyone from the University of Oregon ever contact
you -- before materials were submitted for Brady materials, did
anyone contact you to ask about my client's character for
truthfulness?
A. No.
Q. Has anyone from the University of Oregon, after you sent
this letter, ever contacted you to ask about his character for
truthfulness?
$\quad$ MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
THE COURT: Overruled. To your knowledge.
BY MR. JASon KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Has anyone from the district attorney's office ever
contacted you in response to this letter to ask about my
client's truthfulness?
A. They had contacted me and I was advised that they were
going to wait to see how this hearing came -- went -- the
outcome of this hearing was. outcome of this hearing was.
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Q. Just to be clear, the final determination for Brady materials, they told you won't be determined until after this civil trial is over; is that correct?
A. Correct. They did tell me that in the interim that he -they would be forced to --

MS. COIT: Object. Hearsay.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I don't think I'm using it for
the truth of the matter asserted. I'm using it for the effect on the listener.

MS. COIT: Then the objection is relevance.
THE COURT: Well, let me ask you both: Is the DA testifying?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: And is it -- is this an appropriate area
for questions of the district attorney?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: He's no longer the district attorney.

THE COURT: Former district attorney.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Former district attorney.
THE COURT: Well, who's going to make the decision?
In other words, if the former district attorney is testifying, he's probably going to testify about what his thought process was. Who's relaying this information to you about holding this in abeyance pending the trial here in federal court?
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THE WITNESS: The district attorney told me.
THE COURT: Now, there's two district attorneys. The new district attorney?

THE WITNESS: The old one. Alex Gardner. THE COURT: The old one is telling you this? THE WITNESS: He told me this when he was the district attorney and upon receipt of this document.

THE COURT: Counsel, my question is: Are you both getting a fair opportunity to ask these questions through the former district attorney who allegedly made this statement to you about holding this in abeyance until the conclusion of this trial? And I'm just curious how he can hold it in abeyance if he's not the present district attorney.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Because we're -- I'll move on, from this witness, off of that question.

THE COURT: I think you both have a fair opportunity
of this. I want to make certain it's not hearsay. Talk to the Court about that afterwards in case we need the present district attorney up here, as well. Maybe we have a whole lot of district attorneys.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did you, in this letter here, respond on behalf of Mr. Markell, the acting chief; Brandon Nicol, the acting sergeant; and yourself, and respond to my client's representation for veracity?
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A. Yes. We -- we responded to the letter that the district attorney sent us and included this information within that letter.
Q. Explain here, in this paragraph, what involving trustworthiness is involved in doing evidence and property audits back in 2011 and 2012.
A. Well, evidence room audits and inspections are, you know -- clearly, you've seen the news, and it's a high-liability area in law enforcement. And to do such inspections and audits is very time-consuming to do them correctly, and -- which would result in a very thorough report that summarizes for them the findings, suggestions, and it's, you know, very time-consuming.

Not only that, but Mr. Cleavenger is allowed access with the evidence officer to the evidence room, which no other police officer is. And this was a major area for the accreditation that he helped out with as well.
Q. The letter also states that my client's personnel file does not contain any discipline or allegations of misconduct within the Junction City department. That's accurate? You checked?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. You drafted this letter. What role did Acting Chief Markell and Acting Sergeant Nicol play in helping create this? A. Well, I sat next to -- Eric and I have desks that are next
to each other. I type the letter up with him in the room, and he proofread it, you know, as I went, and -- and then he -- he and I signed it. And then later Officer Nicol signed it when -- after he had an opportunity to read it.
Q. Okay. I'm going to show you one more document.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 93. Do you recognize that document?
A. Yes. This was a letter of recommendation that was circulated through the police department, which subsequently all the police department employees signed, including dispatchers.
Q. And that's your signature there, the second line?
A. Yes.
Q. This was a letter of reference for my client,

Mr. Cleavenger; correct?
A. Correct.

THE COURT: What was the date on that letter,
Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: March 1, 2013. THE COURT: Thank you.
Counsel, can we find out if that's in response to the
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Did you ever -- did you ever submit this letter to anyone at the University of Oregon?
A. No.
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MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. Thanks.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Is it Officer Mertz?
A. Corey Mertz.
Q. Are you still employed at the Junction City Police

Department?
A. Yes.
Q. You're currently on leave, though, aren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. Why are you on leave from the department?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Object, Your Honor. Relevance.
THE COURT: I don't know what the answer is, so I
don't know if it's relevant or not.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We may have to have a sidebar on
it, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, this is a good time for the jury to take a break anyway, isn't it?

We'll come right back and see you in 20 minutes. Promise not to discuss this matter amongst yourselves. Don't express any opinion concerning this case. Have a nice recess.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: All right. The jury is no longer
present.
Counsel, what's the reason for the leave?
MS. COIT: I don't know.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: My client is under a stress --
MS. COIT: Your client?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Sorry. Mr. Mertz is under stress
leave. It somewhat relates to the fact that Mark Chase, the
chief, is now back at the department. Mark Chase has a
lawsuit -- or is involved potentially with litigation against
the department. He --
THE COURT: Is Mark Chase going to testify?
MS. COIT: Yes.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Mark Chase is going to testify,
apparently. It's a federal lawsuit, apparently, that he sued --

THE COURT: What is Mark Chase going to say, the present chief?

MS. COIT: About why he's on leave?
THE COURT: No.
MS. COIT: In general?
THE COURT: About this case.
MS. COIT: He is going to confirm some of the items
that are in the Brady materials. He's going to talk about his participation in these conferences that Alex Gardner was putting on.
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THE COURT: Is he going to have a different opinion concerning --

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: -- the plaintiff?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: So Officer Mertz is going to vary from the acting or present chief, Chase, and therefore puts the testimony of both Officer Mertz and Chase in direct contradiction to one another?

MS. COIT: Which makes his credibility --
THE COURT: So what's this stress? Is this a disagreement between the two?

THE WITNESS: Can I talk?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah, go ahead.
THE COURT: Please. I don't mean to embarrass you, but I don't know how to make a good ruling unless I've had an --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: He also has an attorney.
THE WITNESS: I appreciate that, Your Honor. I have pending litigation with the city.

THE COURT: Oh, you do?
THE WITNESS: Yes. It's for PTSD.
THE COURT: Were you in the service?
THE WITNESS: No. Almost 20 years in law enforcement.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And so, yeah, I have an attorney, and we have a -- a -- not a hearing, but a -- where we were going to mediate and try to settle. Mediation is set for next month.

THE COURT: Is there some personal or professional
disagreement between you and Chase?
THE WITNESS: No. As pertaining to this case? No.
THE COURT: So you haven't -- you haven't gotten into
a personal discussion with him about Mr. Cleavenger?
THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: All right. So, Counsel, help me. Other than his present, you know, mental condition, you could argue that PTSD causes -- what? Untruthfulness? I don't think so. Stress? I imagine every police officer is under stress. It's a stressful position.

MS. COIT: I didn't know why he was on leave. He's
at the department testifying as a -- on -- as a spokesman for the department for Mr. Cleavenger's credibility. I think I can ask him if he's currently been working there.

THE COURT: Tell me why. I don't follow. MS. COIT: I think it goes to his credibility as a
witness. A lot of the things he's saying are going to be called into question by Chief Chase.

THE COURT: Well, as to his truthfulness?
MS. COIT: Yeah.
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THE COURT: His perception?
MS. COIT: Both.
THE COURT: So you think he's untruthful because he
has PTSD?
MS. COIT: I don't personally think he's untruthful .
THE COURT: Okay. So let's move that off to the
side.
MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Is it a matter of his perception? He
can't perceive things because he has PTSD?
Did you have PTSD when you wrote these letters, when you
signed these letters, back in 2013?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Did you?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Perception?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Explain that to me. It's in the DSM-IV.
It wasn't in DSM-III. They simply discovered this recently.
It used to be with the military and then they expanded it into the big population, and rightfully so.

Explain to me how somebody with PTSD has less perception and less credibility and why every law enforcement wouldn't almost eventually qualify for it in the profession after 20 years? I'm not sure that they should or if they should get
paid, but it's a pretty tough profession.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, I have no intent to argue that his PTSD has somehow affected his perception. I didn't know that that's why he's on leave.

THE COURT: Well, help me. If you really want this
in, I've got wide open ears, but then I, you know --
MS. COIT: I understand. It's not a huge deal, given
that it's a medical condition, you know. The fact that he's on leave I think should be able to come in.

THE COURT: On leave is fair. On leave is fair. And then if plaintiff wants to open that door, that's their decision. But if I go down that route with this gentleman, I don't know where that leads me with other people in this case.

MS. COIT: Or me, Your Honor. I agree with you.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We're willing to stipulate that he's currently on medical leave.

MS. COIT: Your stipulation is fine. I can ask him that in front of the jury.

THE COURT: You can ask him that question. You don't have to accept the stipulation.

MS. COIT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, can I add something? I am
still a police officer in the state of Oregon. I still have police authority, and I have not had my police authority removed by the police department or the State of Oregon, and
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I'm still --
THE COURT: You're still -- but you're on medical
leave?
THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm still considered a police department employee.

THE COURT: So you --
MS. COIT: I won't delve into the background at all or the reasons for leaving.

THE COURT: But the other side can. He's still a police officer and medical leave and -- but if you really want to get into PTSD, I mean, tell me, because I want to give both of you as broad a trial as possible without bending all of the rules.

MS. COIT: No, Your Honor. I don't think that's anywhere I want to go.

THE COURT: All right. So then let's take about 10 or 15 minutes, okay, to go use the restrooms.

> (Recess taken.)
> (Jury present.)

THE COURT: The jury is present. Be seated, please. Counsel is present. Parties are present. The witness is present.

Counsel, please continue your cross-examination.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Mr. Mertz, when we left off, I was asking if you were on
leave with the Junction City Police Department.
A. Yes.
Q. How long have you been on leave?
A. I'm on medical leave. I've been on medical leave for about four months.
Q. You testified -- I think I heard correctly that you and Mr. Cleavenger were best friends. Is that what you said? A. Yes.
Q. While he worked at Junction City, you were his supervisor ; correct?
A. At one point in time. All full-time police officers are supervisors of all reserves. And, as an example, even the most-senior officer -- which I am the most-senior officer at the police department -- you're in charge of less-senior officers when they're working.
Q. So you were his supervisor while you were his field training officer; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You were his supervisor the entire time you worked at Junction City; correct?
A. Correct. When I was there. I mean, I'm not -- like, we have a reserve coordinator who is the reserve supervisor at all times, if that makes sense. So if -- if we have reserves that come out and take their -- take their own vehicle, go drive around, and, you know, the -- necessarily just because they're
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an officer there it doesn't mean they're supervising them, per se. It's the coordinator that's ultimately responsible for them. Q. Any time you were on a call with Mr. Cleavenger, either as FTO or as a police officer, while he was a reserve, were you his supervisor?
A. Yes.
Q. In your opinion, in your experience in law enforcement, do you think it's a good idea for supervisors and their supervisees to be best friends?
A. I think that there's a fine line. I believe that it's okay as long as -- one, I didn't do evaluations, I had no hire or fire capability over them. I had no disciplinary capability over them. Police officers frequently are best friends with other police officers. I don't think that that's uncommon. Q. Well, would you agree with me it's uncommon when it's a supervisor/subordinate position?
A. No, I wouldn't. I used to go fishing with the chief of police all the time. With --
Q. With Mark Chase?
A. No. The other chiefs. In fact, I have taken Mark Chase.

I've gone golfing with him. I've taken him crabbing.
Mark Chase.
Q. Okay. Do you believe that your relationship with

Mr. Cleavenger colors your testimony on how you view his
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performance at Junction City?
A. If you're suggesting that I would be compromising my integrity for anybody, the answer is no.
Q. Are you more favorable to him because of your personal relationship to him?
A. No.
Q. There's different background checks for reserves than regular police officers at Junction City; correct?
A. Not when I did them. I don't know if they've changed.
Q. Well, did you do the background checks for the first group of reserves that came in after Mark Chase took over?
A. No.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger was part of that first group; correct?
A. I don't know. I -- quite honestly, the reserves over the years have come and gone. It's -- I don't keep, necessarily, track of them.
Q. Is it kind of an informal program? A. Informal in what way?
Q. Well, you just said that sometimes reserves can just bring their cars, their own cars, and drive around Junction City. A. No, not their personal vehicles. They're allowed to drive the three vehicles that were listed in that memorandum and there is -- not all of them, but those that have been deemed worthy enough can have the ability to do that. Q. Are you aware of any training requirements for the reserve

## Mertz - X

program at Junction City for the reserves?
A. As far as -- I know that they're mandated to keep up on the state minimums and required to attend a reserve academy . Q. Did you do performance evaluations for the reserves? A. I have done a few, but not very many. As far as, like, we have performance evaluations, there's a monthly, a daily, a yearly. If you're talking about the -- like a DOR, a daily observation report, that an FTO would do, yes. I've done DORs on reserves that have been assigned to me.
Q. Have you done performance evaluations for Mr. Cleavenger while he was at Junction City?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger ever write any of your reports for you?
A. As -- as far as writing them for me, that's -- could you clarify?
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger ever write a police report for you?
A. When reserve police officers ride with police officers, that's what the reserve police officers do, is they go handle the calls, they get the information and write the reports -and they come back and write their reports. Their -- in essence, their job is to write reports when they're riding with a police officer. So did he do me a favor by writing my report? No. But did he do what reserves do? Yes.
Q. So it's your testimony that other reserves, other than Mr. Cleavenger, would write reports for the officers at

```
Junction City?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger write reports for you for calls that he
was not on? Ever?
A. Not that I remember.
Q. Is it possible?
A. I -- I don't think that he would have -- I don't see how
he would, but I -- I don't know.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger writing a report for you and
actually signing your name to that report?
A. No.
Q. Would that be acceptable? On a call he wasn't on.
A. To -- to write a report and forge my name? No.
Q. You don't recall that happening?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger writing a search warrant for
a call you guys were on? It ended up being the wrong house,
the wrong person. Eugene SWAT was called out. Do you recall
that?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell me about that.
A. Erik Hasselman was there, who was the police legal advisor
at the time for the district attorney's office, and there was
also three other detectives from the Eugene Police Department
Major Crime Team Division. We had probable cause to write the
```
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search warrant based upon witnesses who observed the suspect fleeing the scene during the course of the robbery, and we -and Cleavenger, with the guidance of Erik Hasselman, the other police officers from the Eugene Police Department, and myself, wrote the search warrant.
Q. You didn't have probable cause for a search warrant, ultimately, it was decided; right? It was the wrong person?
A. We did have probable cause for the search warrant; but, correct, it turned out that it was not the correct house and it was the wrong person.
Q. You actually went into the wrong house in the middle of the night, correct, with the SWAT team, and it was based on Mr. Cleavenger's incorrect identification of the photo lineup? A. No.
Q. What was Mr. Cleavenger's role in that search warrant?
A. Mr. Cleavenger sat there with Erik Hasselman, Dan Rizzo, and several other of the Eugene Police Department investigators. We sat there, went over the evidence of the case, and we wrote a search warrant based upon the probable cause that we had from eyewitnesses who stated that they had saw the person that we wrote the search warrant for do the robbery and then flee the location. It was based upon more than one witness, and they named her. They named her. Q. In your opinion, sitting here today, did Mr. Cleavenger make any mistakes that led to that search warrant being
executed on the wrong house?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever been disciplined for putting false information into a -- I think a probable cause affidavit is what I'm thinking of.
A. I've never been disciplined.
Q. Do you know what incident I'm talking about?
A. A PC affidavit?

MS. COIT: Yeah.
THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, can you repeat that?
THE WITNESS: "A PC affidavit" is what I asked. My
disciplinary record is -- I've never been disciplined. There's
nothing in my -- if anybody's got a copy of it, there's no
discipline in my file at all.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. You told us a story about a kidnapping and a hostage situation. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it your testimony that you had a volunteer reserve officer actually do hostage negotiations?
A. I did not make that decision. I'm not sure if the chief who was also there and/or the sergeant did.
Q. So somebody actually made the decision to have Mr. Cleavenger do the hostage negotiations?
A. Correct.
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## Q. And you think it was Chief Chase?

A. Or John Thornburg, who was a sergeant at the time.
Q. Do you think that's an appropriate call?
A. The laws --
Q. I'm asking for your opinion.
A. I can't testify to what their mindset was.
Q. Why did Mr. Cleavenger suddenly resign from Junction City?
A. I'm -- for an exact reason, I'm not sure. I know that the chief had suspended him, but outside of that, I didn't talk specifics.
Q. Why did the chief suspend him?
A. The chief suspended him because the University of Oregon had -- I don't know, suspended/fired, or whatever, taken some action against James, and then he had two of our officers conduct an investigation into the facts that were claimed in the $U$ of $O$ report. Our officers determined that they were unfounded and that he did nothing wrong and suggested that James be reinstated. And Mark, the chief, did not reinstate him and subsequently suspended him.
Q. Well, isn't it true that Sergeant Salsbury did this investigation and he discovered that Mr. Cleavenger, while acting solo, had pulled over someone at a gas station, given them a ticket, completely beyond the authority of reserve officers at Junction City, and Mr. Salsbury went to him and told him that he was going to be fired, and Mr. Cleavenger
resigned at 12:02 a.m., in the middle of the night, on
March 3rd?
A. I was not aware of that.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger was your best friend during this time period?
A. He was a close friend, yes.
Q. You never asked him why he resigned sudden ly in the middle of the night?
A. It did not come up. I was not aware of the fact that you just told me.
Q. Okay. But sitting here today, is it your testimony you had never asked Mr. Cleavenger, your best friend, why he resigned in the middle of the night from his job at Junction City?
A. No. We've never discussed that, frankly, as far as -- I was not aware of that incident that you just described. I've never heard that story.
Q. Well, are reserves at Junction City allowed to take solo enforcement action?
A. Yes.
Q. When did that become a policy?
A. It's been hit and miss, depending on who the chief wants to do it or not or doesn't want to do it.
Q. How about under Chief Chase, Mr. Cleavenger's chief?
A. That's what I'm saying. It's a hit and miss. Sometimes
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the chief let's repeat reserves do it and sometimes he doesn't.
Q. Was Mr. Cleavenger, as far as you know, ever given
authority by the chief to act as a solo officer while he's a reserve?
A. I don't know.
Q. You never discussed that with Mr. Cleavenger either?
A. No. When we typically got together we did not talk about work.
Q. So you talked about Mr. Cleavenger staying at Junction City for an extended period of time -- periods of time, excuse me, and then going to work at the University of Oregon. Do you recall talking about that?
A. Yes.
Q. Give me an estimate on the longest period of time you believe he worked at Junction City and then went to work for a full shift at the university. How long would he be up?
A. I worked -- he rode with a variety of different people. I normally worked during the daytime, so he would -- if he was there, he wouldn't ride with me until we had our -- he had to go to work. He would frequently ride with other people. So -I mean, I know that when we worked one case he was there for over 24 hours that I personally observed. But as far as the longest? He was riding with a lot of different people during that time period.
Q. So that 24 -hour shift he worked, did he go to work at the

University of Oregon after that?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Do you recall him often working shifts at Junction City during the day and then going to work his night shift at the University of Oregon?
A. I do. He was there a lot. Like I said, he was kind of the fixture. You know, some people, you walk in and you're surprised they're there. You walk into Junction City and you're surprised James is not there.
Q. In your opinion, does it demonstrate good judgment for an employee to go volunteer his time somewhere for 10 to 12 hours and go to work sleep-deprived where he's working as a public safety officer? Does that show good judgment?
A. I honestly did the same thing when I was a reserve. I wanted to be a police officer, and I felt volunteering my time and showing dedication was an opportunity to get my foot in the door, and it paid off when I got a job.
Q. Would you agree with me that perhaps that shows dedication to his job at Junction City rather than the University of Oregon?
A. It could, certainly.
Q. When you were training Mr. Cleavenger, as his field training officer, were you aware of the law in Oregon that police officers and public safety officers have to advise people that they're recording them?

```
Q. He rode with other people; correct?
A. Sometimes.
Q. Did those other cars have video recorders?
A. Some of them did. Some of them did not.
Q. Do you ever advise people when you're recording them?
A. I -- my camera is broke. I don't have a camera, so no.
Q. In your }19\mathrm{ years of law enforcement, you've never recorded
anyone?
A. We didn't have our cameras until Mark came. And he got
them in the new Tahoes in 2009, and mine immediately broke.
When I did operate some of the other cars, yes, I did advise
people that they were being recorded.
Q. Was Mr. Cleavenger ever with you on those occasions?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Is it possible?
A. It could be, yes.
Q. Tell me when you have been involved in the hiring process
for officers.
A. I've been involved in the hiring process for dispatchers,
full-time police officers, and reserve officers.
Q. At Junction City?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your role?
A. I have done background investigations. I've administered
written tests. I've been on oral boards. And I've assisted
```
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with the ORPAT, setting it up.
Q. You said Mr. Cleavenger worked paid and unpaid shifts. Do
you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me how many times he worked as a paid officer
at Junction City?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Would it be a handful?
A. I don't -- I'm not sure. I mean, we have a large --
fairly large group of reserves, and I -- and sometimes they
work paid shifts. It doesn't necessarily -- I don't know if
they're working paid or if they're not working paid. It's the
chief, and he sets it up, and that's kind of how it is. We're
not included in the loop a lot of times.
Q. So you don't actually know if Mr. Cleavenger has ever
worked a paid shift. The chief would know that. Is that your
testimony?
A. Chances are he has. But for a hundred percent fact, I do
not know.
Q. And you think Chief Chase would know that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever ask Mr. Cleavenger how he was able to stay up
for days at a time?
A. I'm sorry. Did I ask him?
Q. Yeah.
A. No. I --
Q. Were you curious about that?
A. I -- I mean, I didn't know he was -- he would stay up for days and days -- or days at a time, like you said. I was not aware of that.
Q. Well, okay, maybe a day and a half.
A. No, I did not ask him.
Q. So you looked at -- it was Plaintiff's Exhibit 172, the letter you said you wrote on behalf of yourself and the acting chief and Officer Nicol. Do you remember that?
A. Correct.
Q. You -- did you actually write that letter?
A. I -- I typed it out, and Eric Markell sat next to me at the office.
Q. What were you looking at when you typed that up? What did you type it off of?
A. A computer.
Q. What were you using as your basis of knowledge when you were typing that up?
A. I read the report that was sent over that -- what the U of O had written and submitted to the district attorney's office for having James listed on the Brady list.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger send you a proposed draft or suggestions on what to write in that letter? A. I know that we discussed it. I do not believe -- I wrote
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it. I sat there all day and wrote it. Eric and I did.
Q. Did you look at any document prepared by Mr. Cleavenger as you were writing that?
A. As far as timelines and stuff, possibly. As far as the substantive issues that were outlined in the document that I prepared and Eric Markell prepared, no, they were not -- I don't believe they were provided to me by Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. What document was provided to you by Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I do not remember.
Q. But it was a document? Or was it an email?
A. I do not remember.
Q. Do you recall how long it was? Pages?
A. Well, I mean, it was quite a while ago when it was written, and there was a lot of things going on in our organization. And I know that the district attorney had sent an email or letter that was attached. One that was asking for the response from Junction City Police Department in reference to the report that was submitted to the DA's office by the University of Oregon, and that's what led to this letter being written, is because I read it and there was errors in it. It was not correct.

And so we wrote -- I and Eric Markell wrote the letter correcting the statements.
Q. Okay. Is it your testimony, sitting here today, under oath, that Mr. Cleavenger did not provide you with any sort of
document or email for you to look at, for you to rely on, when you were writing that letter?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Object. That misstates his testimony, Your Honor.

MS. COIT: I'm just asking the question. He can correct me.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.
THE WITNESS: I said I don't remember. I -- I'm sure
that he had some involvement in it, but as far as to say for sure, I don't remember.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. So once you wrote the document you wrote, did Mr. Cleavenger review it before it went to the district attorney?
A. If I had to guess, I would say probably, yes.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger make edits to the document?
A. I'm not aware. He could have. But I do not remember specifically that he did. Eric Markell, who was a good writer, also was sitting there with me when we penned it.
Q. So Mr. Cleavenger was actually there with you when you wrote it?
A. Eric Markell.
Q. I know, but you said it could have been Mr. Cleavenger or Eric Markell.
A. If I said "Mr. Cleavenger," I misspoke.
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Q. So Mr. Cleavenger was not there when you wrote it?
A. Correct.
Q. But you gave it to him at some point to review?
A. I believe so. I do not know for sure.
Q. Do you know what changes he made to the document?
A. I do not. If any.
Q. Did you send it to him in electronic form?
A. I would imagine so.
Q. And then he returned it to you in electronic form or a hard copy?
A. I would imagine if I did send it to him that it would have been sent back and forth through an email.
Q. How many times did it go back and forth?
A. I don't know. I mean, to be quite honest, it was -- at the time I didn't realize all this was going on. I read a letter that there was mistakes in it, and it was prepared by another agency, and it was representing my agency incorrect ly, and I wanted to correct it. I didn't -- I wasn't, you know, sitting there putting tons of thought into that this would end up in federal court. And so it was just something that I wrote to correct some mistakes.
Q. Okay. My question was how many times did what you wrote -- did that document go back and forth between you and Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't know.
Q. More than once?
A. I don't know. I --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Asked and answered, Your Honor. THE COURT: One more time, Counsel. Your question is more than once.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if it was once. None? I clearly do not remember.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What authority did you have to send a document on behalf of the Junction City Police Department to the district attorney?
A. Well, we -- he, acting chief in capacity, gave me
permission to respond. He received it and requested that I respond to the -- to the letter.
Q. So it's your testimony that Acting-in-Capacity

Chief Markell asked you to prepare that letter?
A. Markell, yeah. We were there together that day and when the letter was written.
Q. And he asked you to prepare the letter?
A. I would believe so, because it's not something that I
would just arbitrarily start doing.
Q. Even for your best friend?
A. I would not -- it's not something I would arbitrarily start doing.
Q. Do you still have the document that Mr. Cleavenger sent
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you prior to you drafting that letter?
A. No.
Q. What did you do with it?
A. I don't know.
Q. You just know you don't have it anymore?
A. It could be on my work email. I -- I don't know.

MS. COIT: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Markell was the acting chief because Chief Chase was
suspended in August of 2014; isn't that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. That suspension of Chief Chase was for six months, wasn't
it?
A. Correct.
Q. That letterhead on that letter, Junction City letterhead, only one place to get that; right? Your department?
A. Correct.
Q. Counsel asked you about the MAV policy, the recording
policy. You said Chief Chase was the one who implemented the recording. Is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Wasn't it a fact that at the time my client was working at
stuff to that story.
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violations, does it?
A. Correct.
Q. Counsel asked you about the process of writing police
reports. Did my client help edit police reports from time to time?
A. Certainly. All reserves do.
Q. And is that -- is writing police reports a common collaborative process when you get back to the station together generally?
A. Absolutely. As an example, for a vehicle crash report, one officer will take the DMV face page, which is a bunch of information from all the vehicles, and the other officer will write the narrative for the report. Quite frequently, you know, we'll go to call four or five suspects, and each officer will write a part of the report involving their interview with the suspect. If you're working with people, that's just part of teamwork.
Q. Was that the same teamwork that you, my client, the DA did when you wrote this warrant that we discussed earlier?
A. Yes. I -- as part of my law enforcement career, I've written search warrants for other police officers on other cases that I had nothing to do with.
Q. Wasn't the issue that it was the right house but the wrong person on that search warrant that went wrong?
A. Correct. There's obviously a whole bunch of surrounding

Junction City the policy at Junction City, in relation to recording, was all field contacts involving actual or potential criminal conduct. That's where there was a required activation of that system. Wasn't that the policy?
A. I don't -- I don't know for sure. I would -- I mean, I guess I would have to see a date on the policy.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Is this an exhibit? I believe it
is. This is from Exhibit 150. The Bradymaterials.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Does that document look familiar to you?
A. Yes, this document is actually a directive that was
written in lieu of a policy that governs the use of MAV. I
never understood what that stands for, but car video cameras.
Q. What's the date on that document?
A. That is February 12, 2011.
Q. And doesn't it say on policy 446.3 .1 the required activation of the MAV is all field contacts involving actual or potential criminal conduct? Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So according to Junction City, back when this directive was given, you weren't required to hit the button and record unless you were looking into actual or potential criminal conduct; right?
A. According to that, yes.
Q. And actual or potential criminal conduct does not include

```
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We won't make it a subject of trial.
We have four more witnesses. We only have an hour, so I think that's all I'm going to ask you. Thanks.
THE COURT: Recross?
MS. COIT: No questions.
THE COURT: Can the witness be excused, Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Ken Jackson.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: Counsel, may the witness be excused?
MS. COIT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.
DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Mr. Jackson please raise your right hand.
KENNETH JACKSON,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Please be seated here at the witness stand. The door is closest to the wall. Thank you.
Bring your chair as close as possible to the microphone so we can hear you. Sir, would you state your full name for the jury and spell your last name.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: We won't make it a subject of
trial.
Thanks.
```
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share information, that he was often there.
You know, I've seen -- you know, I don't know exactly how
much, but I just know there were, you know, several times. I got to know him and met him and we talked about cases or things that we were doing out in the field.
Q. Did you get to observe him at times working out in the field?
A. Not on a regular basis. I'll say that you know -- because when I'm in my patrol car, I'm usually in my patrol car by myself and I'm responding to a call. If somebody needs a cover unit or something like that, then I would go. There were times we crossed paths, but I don't have any -- I don't know of any specific, you know, cases or anything; but, yes, we -- we crossed paths on calls.
Q. While working with him, did you ever see any big officer safety issues that -- by his conduct?
A. Nothing that stood out to me or that wasn't -- that, you know, was abnormal or that caused me concern.
Q. Did you hear -- were you on the same radio frequencies when you were working the same shifts?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever hear him calling out things that were inaccurate or not really happening?
A. Nothing that I recall that I -- that stood out to me or that I did not hear him make any mistakes or errors during
radio traffic, no.
Q. From your experience, did he seem to have good judgment as a police officer?
A. Yeah. In my opinion, yes. I thought he had good judgment. As a matter of fact, I think James would -- or your client would be included in conversation, and I would accept his, you know, opinion and information just as I would, you know, another officer that I was discussing a case with. While, you know, speaking in the patrol room or even out on a call, maybe, if we were standing at the location and we start to discuss what the -- you know, the elements or what's going on there at the scene, I would, you know, appreciate his input. Q. Ever question my client's truthfulness throughout all the years you worked around him?
A. No.
Q. I'll show you what's previously been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 93. Did you sign onto this letter March 1, 2013? Signatures are on the back.
A. Yes, I did.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have. THE COURT: Cross-examination.
$1 / 1$
//1
///
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CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Mr. Jackson, why did you leave the Yamhill County Sheriff's Department?
A. My wife and I, we originally lived in Eugene, and we wanted to live back down in that area. If you're familiar with McMinnville, Yamhill County area, it's a more conservative area, and it's -- you know, so we decided we wanted to live back in Eugene again, which is probably more of my wife's decision than mine.
Q. The opinions that you just gave about Mr. Cleavenger's judgment and safety, officer safety skills, those are based on the few times you think you may have crossed path s with him in the field; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Exhibit 93 that counsel just showed you, did you write that document?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Was it given to you by Mr. Cleavenger to sign?
A. I do not recall exactly that it was handed to me by Mr. Cleavenger. I think, from what I recall, it was in the patrol room. Mr. Cleavenger, if I recall correctly, mentioned it to me. There were other officers that were -- you know, had -- were talking about it. I saw it. It seems like it might have been in the patrol room, and so I just -- you know,

```
I picked it up, I read it, and then I signed it.
Q. Was it your understanding that Mr. Cleavenger had written
that document?
A. Yes.
    MS. COIT: Thank you.
    THE COURT: Redirect?
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Nothing.
        REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. I'll just say:When you read the letter, did you agree
with it?
A. Yes, I did.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Nothing further.
    THE COURT: Recross?
    MS. COIT: Nothing, Your Honor.
    THE COURT: May the witness be excused, Counsel?
    MS. COIT: Yes.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
    THE COURT: Officer, thank you. You're excused.
    Counsel, call your next witness, please.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: We'll call Eric Markell to the
stand.
    THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Raise your right hand
please.
```


Q. You were the reserve coordinator supervisor during my client's tenure there?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. What are the duties of the reserve coordinator supervisor?
A. Just make sure everybody is getting their hours in and
they're following our training plan. We had meetings mostly once a month, and I'd just be there as a liaison to make sure they're getting everything they need to do their job.
Q. I want to talk about how -- how often did you interact with my client during those years, 2010 to 2013, that he was there at the department?
A. I don't know the exact number. He rode with me several times, but we also worked a lot of shifts where he wasn't necessarily riding with me but we overlapped the time. I was in the office quite a bit when he was working on our accreditation.
Q. Tell us, what is the whole accreditation process?
A. I'm not familiar with it. It's a lot of paperwork to make
sure our policies are up to date and we're doing the best practices. Mostly a lot of legal wording and documents.
Q. From your observations of my client, did you ever have any officer safety concerns?
A. No, I did not.
Q. Did you ever hear him call out over the radio things that weren't actually happening or things that you -- that you had
concerns about?
A. No.
Q. Can you recall during those years how many -- what percentage of the time my client was working paid versus unpaid at Junction City?
A. It seemed that he had more volunteer time; quite a bit more volunteer time than the paid shifts.
Q. And in 2012 did my client work 829 hours at Junction City ?
A. I believe that's the number. It was over 800.
Q. Since January of 2010, he contributed almost 2,000 hours
as a reserve police officer within your department?
A. Correct.
Q. You were -- you were an acting chief. And what's your
current title?
A. Sergeant.
Q. You're sergeant now. Was my client ever subject to any discipline at Junction
City?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Was -- did my client have a big ego while he worked there ?
A. No.
Q. Did he act like he knew more than everybody else about
things?
Q. Did my client ever do anything that you thought was
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untruthful while he worked there?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. We heard -- I'll show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 172. This
is the Brady response letter. Do you recognize that document?
A. Yes,I do.
Q. Speak into the microphone so we can all hear.
A. Sorry. Yes, I do.
Q. That's your signature there on page 3?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Officer Mertz testified just now that you and he --
    MS. COIT: Objection.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll just ask it differently.
    THE COURT: You can lay the foundation, but -- well,
strike that. There's another way to do that counsel without
suggesting to the witness.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall working with Officer Mertz to prepare this
document?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you remember about putting this together?
A. That Officer Mertz presented me with an initial draft.
Myself and another officer reviewed that and made small changes
to it before signing.
Q. You agreed with everything in that letter?
```
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A. Yes, I do.
Q. You also signed Exhibit 93, the March 1, 2013, letter of
reference for Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. I'll show it to you quickly. And you read that letter?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Did you agree with everything in there?
A. Yes.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Cross-examination?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. I'm sorry. Sergeant? Sergeant Markell?
A. Yes.
Q. Thanks.
It was Chief Chase that put you in the position of acting
chief; correct?
A. When he was away from the office, yes, the city
administrator put me in as acting chief.
Q. It was Chief Chase who made that recommendation that it
was you that would be put in that position; correct?
A. I'm not aware of that.
Q. So you were the -- the coordinator for the reserve
training program at Junction City? Is that what you said?
A. For about two years, yes.
Q. Were you in that position when Mr. Cleavenger went through the training program?
A. Yes.
Q. As part of that training program, were reserves taught to
always advise people when they're being audio-recorded or video-recorded?
A. That's one of our policies. I'm not sure if they cover
that in the reserve academy or not.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
Outside the scope.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Did they cover that in the training program at Junction

City?
A. I believe so. I can't say a hundred percent.
Q. The car that you drove at Junction City between 2010 and

2013, was that the same car, or did you get a new car?
A. I believe it's been the same.
Q. So in that car did you have a MAV recording system?
A. Yes.
Q. And when Mr. Cleavenger would ride with you in that car, was that system operating?
A. Some of the times. We've had a lot of travel with him.
Q. Do you recall advising people that you were recording
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them?
A. If we had the audio, the actual microphone that we wear on our uniform, we would do that upon initial contact with the person.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger ever being the one to advise people they were being recorded?
A. I don't believe he ever wore the microphone when he was with me.
Q. Now, you looked at Exhibit 172; correct?
A. I forget.
Q. I'm sorry.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Do you want a copy? You're going
to ask him questions about it.
MS. COIT: Yeah, I am. Thanks, Jason.
Your Honor, may I put it on the screen?
THE COURT: Has 172 been received yet?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you. You may.
Counsel, your question?
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Yeah. Can you look at the bottom of the first page? In the last sentence there it says, "So should he so choose to return to work with our department, we would gladly welcome him back without any reservations." Do you see that sentence? A. I do.
Q. Did you agree with that when you signed that letter?
A. Yes.
Q. When you made that statement, were you aware that

Chief Chase was planning to terminate Mr. Cleavenger right before he resigned?
A. I was not.
Q. Are you aware of that sitting here today?
A. I've heard rumors, but I don't know a hundred percent.
Q. Are you aware of the reason Chief Chase was going to terminate him?
A. No.
Q. Have you heard rumors on that, or are you not aware at all?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Objection. Hearsay.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Before making --

THE COURT: Just a minute. This goes to his knowledge about Mr. Cleavenger when he signed this document.

Ladies and gentlemen, the way that that question is asked makes you assume that that is a fact. We don't know that. We don't know what Chief Chase was or wasn't going to say, so listen carefully to the question.

Counsel, I'll strike your question, and you're going to ask if there was testimony from a witness that -- not a conclusion that there was. We haven't heard from Chief Chase
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BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Let me ask it differently. Are you aware -- well --

THE COURT: Counsel, would you have a seat? MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: I think that distracts from counsel's
presentation. You're to be seated from now on.
Thank you, Counsel.
Please.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I'll ask you a background question first. The reserves at Junction City in 2013, did they have the ability -- the
authority to ride solo?
A. I don't recall. We haven't had a solo reserve in quite a while. We do have one reserve --

THE COURT: "We have the authority to ride solo"?
Reanswer the question.
THE WITNESS: We don't have anybody currently that is
solo; that we have an ordinance officer who is a reserve that can go out and do warrants.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. When Mr. Cleavenger was a reserve in 2013 at Junction City, did he have the authority to ride solo and enforce laws on his own?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. If you knew at the time you wrote exhibit -- or signed onto Exhibit 172 that Mr. Cleavenger had, in fact, been acting solo and pulled a car over while working for Junction City and issued a ticket, would that change your opinion on whether or not Junction City would gladly welcome him back?
A. It would not change my opinion.
Q. Would you agree with me that that would be a violation of Junction City policy?
A. If it occurred, yes, it would be a violation.
Q. Would it also be him acting outside of his authority as an officer at Junction City?
A. It would depend on the severity of the traffic top. But
if something is emergent, then outside the lines is acceptable in some circumstances.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let's assume that it was a broken taillight that he wrote a ticket for. Would that be outside of his authority?
A. I believe so, if he wasn't allowed to be doing that on his own.
Q. And as far as you know, he wasn't allowed to act on his own; correct?
A. As far as I know, yes.

MS. COIT: Thank you, sir. I have no more questions. THE COURT: Redirect?

BRANDON NICOL,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Please be seated near this box that's just to my right and the entrance is the stairway closest to the wall.

Thank you, sir. Be seated.
After you're seated, move your chair as close as possible to the microphone. And now would you state your full name for the jurors, please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Brandon Nicol.
THE COURT: Spell your last name, sir.
THE WITNESS: N-I-C-O-L.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Direct examination.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. One quick issue that just came up. Was it commonplace for sergeants to allow reserves to drive solo back in 2010 to 2013 range?
A. I would say it would be on a case -by-case basis. I wasn't a sergeant at the time, so I -- it would be up to each individual sergeant.
of my client's tenure there?
A. That's correct.
Q. How often did you work with my client over those years, 2010 to 2013?
A. Quite a bit. We handled -- well, at least a couple of bigger cases together. He assisted on other ones that I was working on, you know. So we worked some long hours together . And usually it was -- the most memorable are the bigger cases, which for our town is -- they're pretty significant.
Q. What was the memorable one?
A. One of them was an identity theft case, and

Officer Cleavenger at the time was pretty instrumental in, you know, helping to uncover a bunch of unauthorized entries into motor vehicles that ultimately ended up leading to multiple arrests for that crime and also Burglary I. Ultimately, it ended up in a prison sentence.
Q. Any other big cases that stand out to you that you worked with my client on?
A. I worked kind of -- it wasn't my case, but I worked with him on a fairly significant burglary case that we had -- or robbery case. I'm trying to recall. There was another one that stands out. Yes. There was another -- what was that? Q. That's all right. A. I'm having trouble recalling. Seems like there was one other.
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Q. Was there any clear policy one way or another back then?
A. Just the policy that, you know, reserves would baker up together; but, again, a sergeant could change that if they felt that it fit the needs of the department.

THE COURT REPORTER: Can I clarify? Did you say "baker up"?

THE WITNESS: "Baker up." It means to have two officers in a vehicle.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. But sergeants have the authority to let someone go out on their own?
A. Yes.
Q. Quickly, because we still have to get his father on the stand, in a nutshell, tell is about your law enforcement career.
A. Well, I started out in the Eugene Police Department for a couple of years and then I moved to -- took a little bit of a break and then and went to Junction City PD, where I stayed for almost six years. Before that, I was a reserve myself for about a year and a half. So it's spanning about a 10-year time frame.
Q. And what are you doing now?
A. I'm a deputy chief at the fire department where I actually also volunteered prior to this for the last 21 years.
Q. You were also reserve coordinator supervisor during part
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So throughout working with him over the years, any officer safety issues that ever arose?
A. None that I noted.
Q. Any discipline he ever faced?
A. Again, not to my knowledge.
Q. Any callouts over the radio that you thought were unwarranted?
A. No.
Q. Did he seem to exercise good officer judgment, in your opinion?
A. I believe so. I enjoyed working with him because of that. Sometimes when you're an FTO out there it's tough with new trainees, and with his legal background, you know, and the skills that he was sharpening as a police officer, made it a little bit easier. We could kind of relate on the law level.
Q. How did he take feedback and criticism?
A. Always good.
Q. Didn't try to act like he was smarter than everybody else ?
A. No.
Q. Ever have an issue regarding my client being truthful?
A. I haven't.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: For time purposes, I think that's
all I'll question you about.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.
Q. Did you ever give Mr. Cleavenger feedback in the field? Criticism?
A. Yeah. Yeah.
Q. Tell me about that.
A. You know, there's -- the only time I could really -- the
one that stands out is just a radio traffic as in speaking on the radio, and it was just to clarify maybe a procedural thing here and there. The way I like to see it done.
Q. So it was something he called out over the radio that you found inappropriate?
A. No. Not inappropriate. Just maybe out of order.
Q. What did he say?
A. When I say "out of order," I don't mean "inappropriate."

There would be a procedure that I liked specifically that I would want, you know, like a traffic stop to be called out a certain way and, you know, that's -- so I would sometimes -- I
would -- I know I corrected him before on that; but, again, he accepts that criticism well. And if he reversed that, I wouldn't have an issue and I wouldn't have to address it again.
Q. Okay. Is that the only time you can recall?
A. That's -- yeah.

MS. COIT: That's all. Thank you.
THE COURT: Redirect?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
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REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. You were the last supervisor for my client; correct?
A. One of them. I mean, well, technically as the reserve coordinator, in addition to the sergeant that was on at the time.
Q. Do you recall that Chief Chase, because of all this $U$ of $O$ stuff, was going to suspend my client before he resigned?

MS. COIT: Beyond the scope of my cross. I asked one question about feedback.

THE COURT: Well, you did, Counsel, but he's here, and -- I'm going to allow you to answer the question. You can go beyond the cross. I recognize it's beyond the cross, Counsel, but let's get as much information now as possible for both of you while he's here.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat that real quick?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Yeah. Do you remember that time period in 2013 where my client was going to be suspended by Chief Chase because of what was happening with the University of Oregon situation ? Do you remember that time period?
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't remember the dates specifically, but I do remember that.
Q. And wasn't it true that my client resigned before he was suspended?
A. That's the way I remember it.

THE COURT: Recross, Counsel? And you can go into any area as broadly as you would like to.

MS. COIT: Tempting as that is, no thank you. No questions.

THE COURT: May the witness be excused, Counsel? Sir, thank you very much. Call one other witness. Mr. Hoffman?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Not testifying.
THE COURT: He will not be testifying. You can take

## him off the list.

Thank you. Would you like to call Mr. Cleavenger?
Thank you, sir. Please step forward and raise your right hand, sir.

MICHAEL CLEAVENGER
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Be seated here in the witness box. The entrance is closest to the wall. There's a stairwell.

Sir, would you be seated and pull the chair as close as you can to the microphone so we're certain to hear you.
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Sir, state your full name, please, to the jury.
THE WITNESS: Michael Cleavenger.
THE COURT: Spell your last name, sir.
THE WITNESS: C-L-E-A-V-E-N-G-E-R. THE COURT: Thank you. Direct examination, please. MR. JASON KAFOURY: We've got thirty minutes. We promised the jurors, so we're going to try to make this short and punchy.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Where are you from, sir?
A. I live in Bend, Oregon.
Q. And tell us about -- a little bit about your family.
A. Well, I come from a family of 13 children. Those 13 children ended up with about a hundred immediate family members. I'm 63. Married 35 years. Four kids.
Q. Kids? Four kids.

Tell us a little bit about law enforcement background within your family.
A. There are 12 members of my family that were in law enforcement, starting with my great grandfather, and ending with James, my son James Cleavenger.
Q. How many family members are currently involved in law enforcement?
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counselor with Benton County, Washington, a little over a year.
First -- back up just a minute. During that time, I was a member and president of a public service club. I acquired my AA degree and my bachelor's degree and then moved to Bend, Oregon, where I was the manager of a -- of an in-custody program. A new detention facility was built in Bend, and I was the manager of an in-custody program for juveniles trying to transition back into the community that had been charged and convicted for sex abuse offenses.

I was a manager of that program for about a year and then was hired by the state of Oregon.

For the past 28 years I've worked for the State of Oregon in Child Protective Services.
Q. What have you done for Child Protective Services for the last 28 years?
A. The first 15 years or so I was assigned a full caseload of investigating allegations of child abuse and then became a manager, a supervisor, and I supervised the Protective Services Unit. I supervised the Child Abuse Reporting Hotline. I transferred from Bend, up to Madras, for a couple years and filled in there as the manager and was supervising the entire branch for all the services that we provide to families with children and care.

During that 28-year period of time, I served as a volunteer with the Department of Police Standards and Training
as an instructor and traveled around Oregon and in our tri-county area, which was Crook, Deschutes, and Jefferson counties, teaching law enforcement officer s, defense attorneys, prosecutors, judges, teachers, other Child Protective Services workers, how to identify and respond to child abuse.
Q. Can we talk about some of the volunteer stuff that you and your son were involved with growing up?
A. Well, I was the -- I was the president of a public service club in Richland when I was a police officer there. James quite often would help us when we were raising funds to -- to raise money to do good things in the community, like work with the elderly, work with child abuse prevention programs, fire prevention programs, and just other community service projects. We had a booth and on weekends we sold ice cream cones, and he was volunteering there at a very young age in raising -raising funds.

As he became an adult, he became a soccer official and was promoted to level seven.
Q. What's level seven as a soccer ref?
A. Under the United States Soccer Federation Program in the
U.S., there's a number system, and you can be promoted up to a certain level, and you get assigned a certain level of soccer games that you are approved to officiate.

Level seven -- somewhere less than five percent of all officials ever graduate to level seven. We were both level
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seven soccer officials. We would meet at tournaments throughout Oregon and referee youth soccer as well as adult soccer. In high school we were both certified as high school soccer officials, and we would -- we would officiate high school soccer, including playoffs.
Q. Let's talk about when your son first told you he wanted to get involved with law enforcement.
A. I thought he was -- I thought he was destined to be in law enforcement because he -- way back when he was seven and eight years old he would actually ride with me on patrol and loved to come to the police department. That was back in the day when there was a perception that you were safe around the police department.

I'm not sure I would do that today, but he had -- he had an interest in -- as a -- at a very young age of being in law enforcement.

And later on, when he was in college and was going to law school, I would quiz him about what he planned to do with his law degree, what he wanted to do. I kind of wanted to steer him in the direction of thinking he might be a prosecuting attorney, but he always was set on wanting to be a police chief somewhere.
Q. How important was that to him, being a police chief? A. I think it was very important that he wanted to use his law degree to become a police chief somewhere and knew that he
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had to -- a lot of time was going to be spent going to college, between going to college and going to law school, but he knew he was going to have to start someplace and work his way up the ranks, and I think he -- from what he told me, he found his -he found that place in the work he was doing at Junction City and the University of Oregon Police Department.
Q. Was he excited to start at the University of Oregon Police Department?
A. Yes.
Q. When do you -- well, before we get into that, let's talk about your relationship with your son and the activities you guys did together before all this stuff happened at $U$ of $O$.
A. It was very common for us to meet in different tournaments around Oregon to referee soccer. He has a younger brother, and he's also a soccer official, and at times the three of us would meet and we would referee youth soccer. Again, we did high school playoffs together.

When our association would get an assignment, a lot of times it was in the Eugene area and Corvallis, and we would meet up and form a team of four and referee these soccer matches.

We would meet at Odell Lake in the summer for fishing and camping. A family friend had a cabin there. When I did a little maintenance work, then I got to stay at the cabin for free. We fished the Columbia for salmon and steelhead
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students took a position about the use of Tasers by -- I can't remember if it was Eugene Police Department's use of Tasers on students on campus or if it was the new University of Oregon Police. One or the other. But it was an issue of -- basically an argument that was presented to prevent the use of Tasers on students.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. When do you remember first seeing your son after he was terminated? Just for time purposes, he was terminated end of October of 2012.
A. Well, I'm not sure I saw him during that period of time. He really checked out. A couple of important things came up that he wasn't there. I expected him to be there and was hoping that he would be there. One was my 60th birthday in May where the ' 54 Chevy came out of the garage and everybody saw it for the first time and then the death of -- of a sister-in-law, who was really the matriarch of the family and kind of the center of the hub, and everything kind of circled around -- a hundred family members really circled around her. She coordinated and organized everything. She came down with cancer and died, and he didn't make those events.

I'm not even sure I saw him during the -- during that whole period of time.
Q. What would happen when you would reach out to him? A. Well, he -- he just seemed really flat, and he seemed
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without emotion. He seemed like a different person. He assured me everything was okay and he was working on issues. Not to worry. But we -- I couldn't coordinate anything with him. I couldn't get together with him. I couldn't make anything happen. There was -- there was no soccer or fishing trips or camping. Just everything went from multiple contacts a year to maybe one. Just -- it just wasn't happening, and I -- I would come to -- have to come to Eugene to make that happen, and that was a couple of -- couple football games.
Q. How has your son been doing since you've seen him in the last year or so?
A. Well, I'm worried about how he looks. I don't think he looks very healthy. I don't think he looks well. He's lost his spark. He's lost his free-spirited, kind of, jovial self. He's not himself. He's -- at football games -- best example, he'll sit through an entire football game and I'm not sure he's even watching it. He's just emotionless. And it's very difficult for him to talk about it when I encourage him to talk about it and try to get him to talk about it. I encouraged him to actually seek professional help.
Q. Looking as a father, how do you think all this stuff has affected him overall?
A. Well, I lost my son, and his -- sorry -- his siblings have lost their brother. I have seven grandchildren that have lost their uncle. Thirteen members of my family, there's 11 still,
they've lost their nephew. And he's -- he's checked out. It's a huge loss for us.
Q. What happens when my client sees police officers now when you've been with him?
A. Well, that could be -- it could have something to do with it, but I have a fear that he is going to get himself hurt. I cautioned him about even having a beer and driving a car. When I've been with him in a car, if he sees -- he's got his head on a swivel. He's a little paranoid.

I told him that -- that as serious as this is, that I have that same worry something is going to happen to him and it's going to be bad.
Q. Let's talk about Brady listing.
A. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that.
Q. Brady listing. Do you -- throughout your career, you
understand -- did you learn about what Brady listing was?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have -- did you do background investigations as part of your work?
A. Yes. As a -- as a sergeant, I did background
investigations to determine fitness for hiring employees as police officers.
Q. If someone is on a Brady list, how do you think that affects their legal career -- or their law enforcement career? A. They're unemployable.

## M. Cleavenger - D

Q. You were talking about seeing your son at a football game and concern about his physical well-being. Is that because the University of Oregon Police Department folks are there at the games? Is that part of the problem?
A. Yes. And, in fact, he pointed a couple out to me and he said, "Let's go this way," and he would avoid them by going a hundred yards down to a different entrance to the stadium and go a different way.
Q. Have you seen retaliation before within law enforcement ?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you communicated that with your son?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. Well, when I was --

MS. COIT: Object to the relevance of this.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: It goes to his damages.
THE COURT: Sustained. It's general retaliation,
Counsel. Sustained. If it's specific as to this case, if there's a nexus to this case, you can present that, but not general.

```
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
```

Q. Was it experience that you personally went through?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Had -- have you discussed over the years with your son that experience?

```
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And while --
THE COURT: Counsel, if there's a nexus to a Brady
violation or a Brady list or something like that, I'll allow
it. If it's just generally speaking, I'm not.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Does any of it involve honesty and Brady listing?
A. No.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thank you.
    THE COURT: Cross-examination.
                                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Mr. Cleavenger, did your son ever tell you that he was
offered a job as a police officer somewhere in the Seattle
area?
A. No. I don't think so.
Q. Do you know any --
A. Can I back up for just a second?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. His sister was an officer in Seattle, and she was married
to an officer in Seattle that was recruiting, and I think I
encouraged him to apply, but I don't know -- I don't know the
result of that, but I know that that was being discussed.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Are you aware of the facts underlying

\section*{M. Cleavenger - X}
the discipline at issue in Mr. Cleavenger's -- in your son's case and why he was disciplined at the University of Oregon? A. I know some of it, and I read the -- got online and I read pleadings, and I'm aware of some of it.
Q. The pleadings being Mr. Cleavenger's complaint in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that your son transported a distraught woman in the back of his car while she had a loaded gun in her lap?
A. I think I did hear that that happened, yes.
Q. Is that concerning to you as a father?
A. Well, it happened to me as a police officer. I've missed
it.
Q. You missed it, having the gun?
A. I missed things, and I've had -- I've taken over prisoners that were armed, and I've -- I've missed things and I've had things missed that others have missed. Mistakes are made.
Q. So when it happened to you, your experience is something you didn't know the person was armed. Is that what you're saying?
A. I've missed weapons on people that I've taken into custody. I didn't know they had -- they had a weapon. MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, what's the relevance of this?
MS. COIT: I'll move on.
M. Cleavenger - X

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. You said that Mr. -- your son is paranoid and you're worried that something is going to happen to him. Something bad?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Object. Misstates testimony. BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I wrote it down. Something is going to happen to him and it's going to be bad. Do you recall giving that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. What you mean by that?
A. I think that as serious as this is and the number of
people involved, that I have a fear -- and I related that fear to him -- that I had a fear -- I gave him an example that he was going to get stopped some night and end up beat down or in a hospital over this.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge of the three defendants in this case? Have you ever met them?
A. No.
Q. Never met Chief McDermed?
A. Is that the chief at the University of Oregon?
Q. Yes.
A. I have not met her.

MS. COIT: All right. Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Redirect.
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REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Have you seen physical retaliation, someone getting hurt, in whistleblower cases within law enforcement?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Is that a personal experience?
A. Yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Recross.
MS. COIT: No questions.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused, Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Sir, thank you very much. You're excused
from the proceedings.
THE WITNESS: Dismissed?
THE COURT: Well, not dismissed. Just excused.
Thank you, sir.
Counsel and I have been discussing a very sensitive subject, and I think that this would be an appropriate time just to alert you. There's been prior press coverage about this case and on occasion -- this, of course, centered in Eugene. The case is being heard here in Portland. I could have moved it to Seattle, quite frankly, but I thought, for
economy sake, the case was better presided over here in Portland or Seattle, but not Eugene. My concern was there would be so many jurors who might know so many witnesses on both sides, and so, therefore, here we are in Portland.

The press has picked up this case again in the Eugene press, but there's been some notoriety about this case in the past in The Oregonian, and therefore I expect from that press in the Eugene press that soon The Oregonian will write an article of some kind. Whether it's on page 35 or the first page, I have no idea. And I think being transparent about that and in the past cases I have been involved in, at least my part of the country and different parts I've visited as a visiting judge, the press then follows.

I'm hopeful that that decreases during the lawsuit, but I want to forewarn you that you might read or you may be subject to even radio. Has that occurred, Counsel, in the past down in Eugene? Radio, Counsel? MS. COIT: Not that I know of. THE COURT: Not the radio. Mostly the press; is that correct? MS. COIT: And the Internet. THE COURT: And the Internet.
I'm not cutting off your First Amendment right to read, okay? Go home and read, but not about this case. The newspapers can be accurate or inaccurate, but sometimes -- you
know, I told you once before I had a nine-month case involving the Aryan Brotherhood and 30 murders. The press came in when we got to John Gotti because he was a high publicity figure, but the other 29 murders weren't of much interest, apparently. Now, I'm joking a little bit, but I'm not.

We're not governed by what somebody is writing. You're the only eight jurors who are ever going to hear these facts at one time. Trust me. Nobody else is going to get the panoply of these facts. There may be bits and pieces that people pick up and viewpoints that they share in their private lives or the press. That's not our concern.

So if you start to read something that looks like it's anything about this, just put it away. I just have to trust you. Just turn it down or give it to somebody for future reading if you want to, and don't go to the Internet.

In case it does get picked up on some radio program, that's usually the next step in some of the cases I presided over, and even TV, put it aside. Okay?

Now, afterwards, you can read anything. You can go to the Internet after your deliberations and you've reached a decision. You can talk to anybody. You have all these First Amendment rights, but I'm just imploring you. And if you do, I have to start the case all over again.

Let me just make it very simple. It's your time, your money. You're the taxpayers. You're the citizens of this
great country. I won't even hesitate. Okay? So I trust you. Fair enough?

Now I want to speak to the one juror. You have a wife who has a doctor's appointment on Thursday?

\section*{A JUROR: The 17th.}

THE COURT: The 17th. Is that Thursday? A JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: Could you stay for just a moment? I want
to inquire about the time and make sure it's comfortable. I think we're going to recess the entire day. I just don't think it makes sense to come in for a couple hours and then send you on the way because we're bringing in witnesses. But let me speak to you just for a moment with counsel present. I'll -stay right with us.

A JUROR: I'm making arrangements for someone to take her to the doctor.

THE COURT: Oh, you are? Okay. That may change things. Okay. All right. You still may be in recess that day, but I'm not sure. Now I've got to change some flights, and I'm going to try to do that as soon as I leave the bench today. And if I can, I will. I'll take that midnight flight out across the country. Yes, sir.

A JUROR: Are you implying that we're going to be free Friday?

THE COURT: You're going to be free Friday no matter
what. I've got to be in New York. And I apologize to you. Months ago I made that commitment, and I didn't want to delay this case over one day or maybe one evening redeye flight. I just didn't want to start again.

A JUROR: I just thank you for that because that's my birthday.

THE COURT: Is Friday your birthday?
A JUROR: Next Friday.
THE COURT: We're definitely not in session. I have this incredible need to not be here, so Happy Birthday to you. Maybe we'll celebrate on Thursday.

Now, 8:00 tomorrow. Let me tell you where we're at. I think tomorrow you're going to hear from Lieutenant Bechdolt, who will be called -- Lebrecht. I'm sorry. I think that the chief is going to be called tomorrow. Maybe Sergeant Cameron. And I want you to remember what I said and that is these are normally witnesses who would be called by the defense, but the plaintiff is entitled by law and it's absolutely appropriate that the plaintiff can call a witness even though they're not, you would say, a favorable witness, but they're entitled to call an adverse witness or a person on the other side. And adverse does not mean not truthful, by the way. It's just somebody on the other side. That's entirely appropriate.

So where are we? We're about on schedule. We're about four to five hours -- three to five hours, maybe, behind
schedule. Okay? And if we can get through Sergeant Cameron tomorrow and Lieutenant Lebrecht and possibly Chief McDermed, we're doing very well. But these are all critical witnesses, and I'm not going to push in terms of time. I've let counsel know, both counsel, and they've done exceedingly well, and I've paid both sides the compliment, but getting through most of these witnesses has been rather short, but with main players in this, let's give them the time that they need. So we're not going to be on a time clock.

I think -- it's my best guess that the plaintiff will probably conclude their case on Tuesday sometime because Mr. Cleavenger is going to testify, obviously, and counsel informed us on both sides that he's going to be subject for at least half a day on direct examination and I imagine a significant amount of time on cross-examination.

What I'm hoping, out of fairness, is we hear him at one time as well as most of these witnesses in a block. What I don't want to do is hopefully recess halfway between a witness so you're coming back on Monday to hear the remainder. Now, that may not be possible. But certainly with Mr. Cleavenger, I imagine he will be testifying on Monday.

After the plaintiff rests, the defendant is going to be entitled to present their case in an orderly fashion. So don't be frustrated or concerned if the defendant brings back some of the witnesses you've heard and maybe for some of the testimony,
it, so I think my flight goes from \(\$ 300\) to \(\$ 1,200\), believe it or not. Yeah. That's why you may be in recess that day. It's your taxpayer dollar. That extra money may just put you out of business, so let me check with the State Department.

Let me tell you what it's about for a moment. I go across the world in conflict zones. So you know I'm not just out playing hooky. We've been involved in Afghanistan and Pakistan and Indonesia with a lot terrorism, and we decided to try to write a complex bench book on terrorist case s, bombings. How do you handle those? Some countries in the world, they don't even know how to gather bomb materials and create a chain of credibility concerning a piece of evidence. In Pakistan the Chief Justice shares with me they've had 200 acquittals out of 210 cases. Not because the judges are soft or lazy. The evidence isn't being gathered.

So in ten sessions across the world, we've gathered in southeast Asian countries and tried to sit down with the judges and write a complex manual of how do you try a really complex terrorist case. That's what this is all about in a joint presentation with the United Nations and the United States Judiciary in New York.

So I'm not one-upping you. I'm just telling you, after all that effort, that's why Friday I'm not here. And if I can take the 12:00 and stumble around to get there at 6:00, I'll do it. If it costs money, guess what? You'll be out of session.
but they have the right to put on an orderly case, just as the plaintiff does, and they can call witnesses who are favorable or they can call adverse witnesses, witnesses who might not be favorable. And right now they're estimating about two to three days. We're not holding either side to that, but that's a rough estimate.

And I want to compliment both counsel. They've been extremely competent and professional. Both sides are trying to get these witnesses on and not have them return, and that's why you've heard a courtesy being displayed between counsel. And they're not personal advocates. They weren't there. They weren't at the scene. They're just putting on evidence. Trying to get some of these folks on the stand, as you've seen a lot of. The Junction City police, for instance, would have been called by the plaintiff, and I think equal courtesy has gone out to the plaintiff -- to the defendant and defendant back to the plaintiff.

So hopefully tomorrow we'll be through those three critical witnesses. If we're not, then there may be some carryover of those witnesses to Monday, but I think you'll get the case rested, as far as the plaintiff is concerned, by -- by Tuesday. That's my best guess.

That means we'll probably have Wednesday, and I'm going to start working on my plane flight in about 10 minutes. I think there's a redeye out. But the State Department is paying for

If it's triples the cost, I imagine they'll say, "You did what? \(\$ 300\) to \(\$ 1,200\) ?" So let me see. So you may be taking your wife anyway, and it may simply be my responsibility. Not yours. Okay? But let me see if I can change that and get a decent price.

Now, that's as much as I know. Okay? You're going to help me by returning at 8:00 tomorrow? You're not going to speak to anybody about the case. You're not going to read anything about the case. You're not going to do any independent research. You're not going to go on the Internet. I don't want to have to start the case over.

Goodnight and please drive safely. DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: You're welcome to leave your notes in the seat or bring them to the jury room. Whatever you want to do.

> (Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Counsel, have a seat. My wisdom says tonight I'm going to send you home right now. You've finally gotten to some very critical witnesses. I want you well rested. I want your best performance. I want you sharp tomorrow. And this is a rather short trial for me, but you'll start wearing down after a while. You've done enough to help the Court. It's much appreciated. I'll state that on the record with the evidence coming in tomorrow. But I would encourage you to get to it. I don't know how important Officer

Brian Paterson is. He may be critical. You're more than welcome to call him. I question, you know, when -- when you've got people like Sergeant Cameron and you're focusing on the chief who may be testifying and Lieutenant Lebrecht, but I leave that up to you. Presentation is yours. But you want a fair shot for both sides.

Now, do you have any questions of me? If so, I'm happy to answer them if it can make the trial move more smoothly for you. Counsel, thank you. You've complied with the Court's wishes. We're right at 5:00.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: We could potentially use Officer Paterson as a rebuttal witness. He's another Junction City person with personal knowledge of the --

THE COURT: Rebuttal is -- if it's truly rebuttal, you know I allow that. You know I backtracked from my original position. I said to you if you really want to present Junction City as rebuttal, but you know I was probably going to cut it down. A lot of it is redundant. Counsel represented on the defendant's side that he was going -- or she was going to go into that area. I've given you a lot of latitude to present six or seven witnesses, if you wanted to, from Junction City. Normally, I would have cut that down, frankly, to two to three. It would have been unduly consumptive of time and redundant. I would have stopped it.

But here you've had complete latitude. You don't have to
curtail him if you don't want to. You are certainly going to be able to call him, but I'm not representing to you he's rebuttal at this time at all. We've heard from Junction City.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: If you want to, you're more than welcome to.

Chief Larry Larson, fine. You can keep your order. But what may happen to you is you may get the chief's testimony split, and you have to consider tactically for both of you if that's an advantage or not. It may not be.

Any more questions?
MS. COIT: Did we talk about the exhibits that they
were going to put on during Chief McDermed's case?
THE COURT: Not yet.
MS. COIT: If we can do that, that would be great.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I have them right here.
MS. COIT: And Scott Cameron. I didn't get that either.

THE COURT: Scott Cameron you've already got. You
can have the Court look at it if you would like to. Just return these to me in this order. These were the exhibits given to me for Cameron.

MS. COIT: Thank you.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Your Honor, these are the exhibits for Chief McDermed. Underlined means that the defense has
stipulated to them.
THE COURT: Thank you. That's very helpful.
Well, Counsel, 149. It's a police officer one. It's
archived. It's a classification. And is this apparently
his -- one of the evaluations, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No. 149 is actually a job posting.
THE COURT: A job posting about the duties in the
position?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. It says you have to be Brady
qualified.
THE COURT: Counsel, any objection to 149 ?
MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: 168 is the --
MR. MCDOUGAL: We've already gone over this with
other -- we talked about it. I didn't use it, but we talked about it. This is the Brady listing.

THE COURT: Opinion and award from the arbiter. This
is from Andrew Bechdolt.
MR. MCDOUGAL: From Carolyn McDermed.
THE COURT: And is this what defense counsel referred
to as the vetting?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: 168. Any objection?
MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: 170,171, and 173 are agreed upon. Is

\section*{that correct?}

MS. COIT: 170, 171, and 172?
THE COURT: No. 170, 171, and 173.
MS. COIT: Oh, 3. Yes.
THE COURT: 178. I believe that that is the Brady
material, isn't it?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No. It's the arbitration award.
THE COURT: The arbitration. I'm sorry. There's
been quite a tussle. I gave you an all-or-nothing call on that, didn't I?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: And you decided to put that in?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: And then you were objecting, but it came
```

in, all of it came in.

```

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Then you changed your position and you

\section*{would like all of it in?}

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: So you both have reached a consensus that it all comes in?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: At least the plaintiff started in the position that you wanted it all in and then you changed your position that you wanted part of it in.

```

    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Could I change it again?
    THE COURT: You can.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: No, I think we're -- I think
    we're going to offer it.
THE COURT: Okay. 183, 209, and 215 I have as
underlined, and so, by representation of counsel, you agree to
183, 209, and 215?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: 230 is not underlined. Let me look at
230. It's an email from Carolyn McDermed to Douglas Park,
dated July 11, 2014.
MS. COIT: Oh, that's fine, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. So that's in also.
Counsel, I suggest you get home, get some rest, get some
food, and get prepared for tomorrow.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Anything further?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Can I confirm with your clerk, did you
get an email from my office with jury instructions?
THE LAW CLERK: Yes. And I replied with a
confirmation.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay. And here's a hard copy,
including what he gave me yesterday.
THE COURT: Very much appreciated.
MR. MCDOUGAL: You're welcome.

```
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THE COURT: Anything further?
MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Goodnight. We're not resuming until
8:00. So unless you have another issue come up, I'll see you just before 8:00. If not, I'll see you at 7:30. So do we have any other issues?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: The only issue that I think we need to deal with by the end of the day tomorrow is just this question of she wants to talk about the infidelity allegation and I -- I can get the transcript, but I think she elicited --
THE COURT: I'll deal with that at the end of direct examination. I'll see how you handle that in terms of damages first. I can't make a good decision about that. Obviously, it's prejudicial. But, obviously, when you're claiming damages, I need to know the weight and gravity of what's going through Mr. Cleavenger's personal life at the same time and if this is the sole reason, if it's the firing, or if he has other stress.
Of course I recognize the prejudicial effect, but, also, when you're claiming damages, there's the appropriate value. And that's a judgment call for the Court.
I won't make that until after direct examination, and a lot of that depends upon your presentation.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Of Mr. Cleavenger?
THE COURT: Absolutely.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: The only issue that I think we
nd I -- I can get the transcript, but I think she elicited --
THE COURT: I'll deal with that at the end of direct
examination. I'll see how you handle that in terms of damages
t's prejudicial. But, obviously, when you're claiming
rough Mr. Cleavenger's personal life at the same time and if
this is the sole reason, if it's the firing, or if he has other
ress.
when you're claiming damages, there's the appropriate value.
I won't make that until after direct examination, and a
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Of Mr. Cleavenger?
C

```


\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.}

THE COURT: Counsel, have a good night.
Oh, Counsel, also, if you pick up more articles coming out of Eugene and any of the parties, let me know what those are okay, just so I can keep track. Because The Oregonian will follow in close pursuit and they'll probably duplicate the article.
\[
\text { (Trial Day } 3 \text { adjourned.) }
\]

\footnotetext{
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you let me know by tomorrow your witness schedules?

MS. COIT: It will depend on the date. Do you think you'll finish Monday?

THE COURT: Let's see how far we get tomorrow afternoon.
}
\(\qquad\)
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THE COURT REPORTER: [2] 893/9 928/4
THE COURT: [366]
THE LAW CLERK: [1] 963/19 THE WITNESS: [88] 664/3 664/10 683/6 691/4 691/10 695/11 695/14 695/16 695/18 697/25 698/2 705/10 715/16 717/14 719/21 720/17 722/21 723/4 725/13 732/20 790/21 801/10 802/9 802/18 803/3 803/8 806/13 807/4 807/7 814/2 814/10 814/12 819/19 819/24 823/13 825/1 826/17 831/23 832/21 833/3 833/9 845/4 848/8 852/18 853/4 853/7 853/14 854/3 854/9 866/6 870/10 871/4 871/7 871/9 872/1 875/17 876/25 877/3 877/5 882/12 882/18 882/21 882/23 883/1 883/6 883/9 884/12 884/14 885/21 886/3 893/10 903/7 905/5 909/19 909/25 916/3 916/9 924/17 927/3 927/11 927/13 928/6 932/15 933/19 934/1 934/3 942/19 950/16

\section*{\$}
\$1,200 [2] 957/1 958/2
\$10 [1] 707/1
\$15 [1] 707/1
\$20 [2] 707/3 742/9
\$300 [2] 957/1 958/2
\$5 [1] 707/4
'
'14 [1] 874/14
'54 [2] 941/15 943/15
'54 Chevy [1] 943/15
'89 [1] 665/17
\(l\)
/s/Jill [1] 966/14
0
0346 [1] 966/16

\section*{1}

10 [15] 659/7 748/1 780/6 783/18
794/5 824/21 824/22 824/22 825/1
825/2 886/16 897/11 940/5 956/24 966/6
10-year [1] 928/20
1000 [1] 660/21
10:30 [2] 728/1 728/5
10th [2] 660/8 794/15
11 [2] 944/25 963/11
119 [6] 704/21 740/21 751/16 754/20
801/7 801/8
11:00 [2] 671/12 780/1
11:00 or [2] 780/3 782/17
11:00 p.m [2] 671/7 671/11
11:00 to [1] 780/13

11:10 [1] 780/3
12 [6] 667/20 667/20 874/14 897/11 907/15 934/21
12/28/15 [1] 966/15
120 [4] 726/25 727/9 800/19 800/25
12:00 and [1] 957/24
12:02 a.m [1] 895/1
13 [4] 824/22 934/15 934/15 941/15
14 [9] 824/11 824/15 824/18 824/22
824/22 825/1 825/2 868/19 872/25
141 [1] 800/21
149 [3] 961/3 961/6 961/11
15 [8] 727/24 783/15 783/18 886/17 936/16 940/5 940/12 966/15
150 [10] 801/3 869/20 869/21 870/6 870/7 870/9 870/22 871/16 871/22 907/8
16 [2] 864/20 916/18
168 [2] 961/13 961/23
17 [4] 827/5 827/6 827/25 966/16
170 [3] 961/25 962/2 962/3
171 [3] 961/25 962/2 962/3
172 [17] 867/15 867/25 868/2 868/9 869/7 869/15 869/23 869/24 870/18 870/20 871/13 901/8 919/3 922/9 922/16 925/2 962/2
173 [2] 961/25 962/3
178 [1] 962/5
17th [2] 953/5 953/6
18 [4] 665/24 738/21 910/20 935/9
183 [2] 963/5 963/7
19 [5] 825/4 862/19 863/1 899/7 935/12
1954 [1] 941/14
1970 [1] 935/9
1989 [2] 665/3 855/1
1993 [2] 665/15 666/5
1994 [1] 666/6
1996 [1] 855/2
1997 [1] 854/23
1998 [3] 665/16 666/11 834/10
1:00 witness [1] 801/13
2
2,000 [2] 855/3 918/10
20 [7] 707/5 823/12 846/22 880/21
882/24 884/24 940/15
200 [2] 660/5 957/13
2000 [3] 673/11 719/15 758/16
2004 [1] 910/13
2005 [3] 667/14 834/25 836/25
2006 [3] 835/8 836/13 840/25
2007 [1] 668/4
2008 [9] 668/6 668/11 834/25 836/25 837/4 838/9 841/1 910/18 916/22
2009 [9] 668/25 690/25 691/5 691/7 699/5 721/3 762/2 899/10 910/20
2009/2010 [1] 721/12
2010 [18] 673/12 673/18 677/20 721/3 721/12 820/16 841/16 841/17 855/14 856/9 859/24 864/14 911/19 917/10 918/10 921/17 927/21 929/4
2011 [33] 671/9 671/12 672/2 673/18 697/13 699/3 699/5 699/5 708/5 712/15 713/5 741/11 756/23 757/1 794/6 794/12 795/1 795/3 795/6 795/10 797/9 820/16 823/8 823/14
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 2 & 60th [2] 941/17 943/14 & 839/20 840/1 840/2 840/6 840/11 \\
\hline 2011... [9] 829/23 829/23 830/2 835/1 & 63 [1] 934/17 & \\
\hline 837/5 856/9 878/6 907/15 941/4 & 6:00 [1] 957/2 & cents [1] 840/3 \\
\hline 2012 [12] 690/25 691/6 691/7 841/16 & 6:13-cv-01908-DOC [2] 659/5 966 & accept [4] 812/1 812/5 885/20 913 \\
\hline 841/21 842/10 842/24 878/6 918/8 & 7 & \\
\hline 941/17 942/11 943/10 & 7:00 a.m [1] 671/7 & accepted [1] 849/9 \\
\hline 2013 [19] 723/2 727/8 843/1 843/20 845/23 864/14 879/18 884/12 911/19 & 7:00 in [1] 862/1 & accepts [1] 931/20 \\
\hline 913/17 917/10 920/2 921/18 924/12 & 7:30 [1] 964/5 & access [2] 872/21 878/14 \\
\hline 924/22 926/12 927/21 929/4 932/18 & 8 & according [3] 849/21 907/20 907 \\
\hline 2014 [3] 873/17 906/13 963/11 & 8/13/14 [2] 868/19 872/25 & accountable [2] 688/25 789/17 \\
\hline 2015 [2] 659/7 966/6 & 800 [1] 918/9 & accreditation [5] 857/20 857/23 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
209 [2] 963/5 963/7 \\
21 [5] 827/5 827/6 827/25 928/24
\end{tabular} & 8191 [1] 660/22 & 878/17 917/16 917/17 \\
\hline 21 [5] 827/5 827/6 827/25 928/24 935/15 & 829 [2] 661/14 918/8 & accuracy [1] 795/13 \\
\hline 210 [1] 957/14 & 8:00 [2] 964/4 964/5 & accurate [8] 685/18 695/6 713/19 \\
\hline 215 [2] 963/5 963/7 & 8:00 tomorrow [2] 95 & 714/4 760/5 873/21 878/20 951/25 \\
\hline 23 [4] 824/11 824/15 824/19 825/4 & 9 & accused [1] 768/1 \\
\hline 230 [2] 963/9 963/10 & 9/30/17 [1] 966/16 & acquired [1] 936/3 \\
\hline 24 [5] 741/11 756/23 763/8 796/8 896/22 & 90 [8] 820/23 820/25 821/5 821/6 & acquittals [1] 957/13 \\
\hline 24-hour [2] 857/13 896/25 & 91 [5] 820/24 820/25 821/5 821/7 & acronym [1] 795/24 \\
\hline 25-minute [1] 663/13 & 91[5] 820/24 820/25 821/5 821/7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
across [4] 675/7 953/22 957/5 957/16 \\
act [5] 815/24 896/3 918/22 925/20
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 253 [1] 801/4 & 914 [1] 662/6 & act [5] 815/24 896/3 918/22 925/20 \\
\hline 254 [1] 801/6 & 93 [4] 879/6 913/17 914/16 920/2 & acting [23] 811/7 829/9 829/12 868/13 \\
\hline 262 [4] 696/12 696/14 697/3 697/6 & 97204 [2] 660/5 660/21 & \[
868 / 15868 / 17877 / 23877 / 23878 / 23
\] \\
\hline 28 [3] 727/8 936/12 936/15 & 97401 [1] 660/9 & 878/24 882/7 894/22 901/9 905/12 \\
\hline 28-year [1] 936/24 & 98-0346 [1] 966/16 & 905/15 906/12 916/24 916/25 918/13 \\
\hline 29 [1] 952/4 & 9:00 and [1] 862/1 & 920/17 920/20 925/2 925/10 \\
\hline 3 & A & acting-in-capacity [3] 868/15 868/17 \\
\hline 30 [3] 829/23 846/22 952/2 & a base [1] 703/3 & \\
\hline 300 [1] 660/9 & A-H-L-E-N [1] 807/8 & action [9] \(762 / 7\) 762/11 \(762 / 13762 / 14\) \\
\hline 301 [1] 660/21 & a.m [3] 671/7 799/2 895/1 & actions [3] 688/25 774/11 830/8 \\
\hline \(326-8191\) [1] 660/22
35 [3] 874/3 934/17 & \[
\text { AA [1] } 936 / 4
\] & activation [2] 907/3 907/17 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 35 \text { [3] 874/3 934/17 } \\
& \mathbf{3 6 0} \text { [1] 660/8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & Abbott [17] 684/6 690/17 690/19 & active [1] 822/5 \\
\hline 3:00 p.m [1] 671/11 & 701/14 725/1 781/21 781/25 782/4 & actively [1] 791/10 \\
\hline 3rd [2] 823/12 895/2 & 782/6 782/19 782/21 782/22 783/1 & activist [1] 790/14 \\
\hline 4 & abeyance [6] 815/5 815/12 815/14 & activity [5] 822/15 822/19 822/25 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 408 \text { [3] } 746 / 3746 / 5746 / 5 \\
& 409 \text { [10] } 741 / 6741 / 7741 / 8741 / 9
\end{aligned}
\] & abilities [2] 680/3 742/24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 911/7 911/9 } \\
& \text { actual [17] } 708 / 24712 / 18718 / 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 746/12 746/13 746/21 751/16 754/18 & ability [6] 680/24 691/23 739/11 793/7 & 746/21 751/1 766/21 788/7 789/5 \\
\hline 801/8 & 889/24 924/ & 814/24 866/13 869/18 871/2 907/2 \\
\hline 411 [1] 660/4 & able [23] 668/4 668/5 686/22 692/5 & 907/17 907/22 907/25 922/2 \\
\hline 44 [3] 827/3 827/6 827/25 &  & actually [54] 674/22 680/12 681/12 \\
\hline 446.3.1 [1] 907/16 &  & 681/13 684/20 693/23 722/16 726/2 \\
\hline 45 [2] 801/20 801/21 & & 733/9 734/11 735/8 736/5 739/20 \\
\hline 45-minute [1] 832/14 & ab & 743/11 743/14 745/23 759/22 762/22 \\
\hline 4:00 [1] 777/12 & ab & 763/16 767/21 772/6 783/5 785/2 \\
\hline 4:00 a.m [1] 799/2 & & 785/19 786/3 786/8 787/1 799/10 \\
\hline 4:00 or [1] 841/7 & above [3] 697/21 857/3 96 & 800/3 834/2 834/6 837/18 840/4 840/4 \\
\hline 5 & absolutely [9] 723/21 750/3 805/20 & 891/10 892/11 893/20 893/23 900/15 \\
\hline 50 [1] 835/16 & 836/9 845/10 891/2 908/10 954/18 & 901/12 903/20 907/11 917/25 928/23 \\
\hline 503 [1] 660/22 & 964/25 & 938/10 942/8 942/13 944/20 961/6 \\
\hline 56 [2] 824/11 824/12 & abuse [5] 936/9 936/17 936/19 937/5 & ADAM [1] 660/3 \\
\hline 58 [2] 824/13 824/15 & abusive [4] 811/6 811/10 813/3 813/9 & adamant [2] 680/1 703/24 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
59 [3] 824/21 824/22 825/1 \\
5:00 [7] 801/22 801/23 801/25 801/25
\end{tabular} & academy [22] 678/22 679/6 679/7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
adamantly [3] 679/21 679/24 770/17 \\
Adams [2] 836/17 846/10
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 832/11 841/7 959/10 & 680/10 680/13 684/10 684/10 719/13 & add [3] 701/4 701/5 885/22 \\
\hline 5:00 tonight [1] 801/14 & 759/11 759/13 760/1 799/15 799/17 & added [1] 714/11 \\
\hline 6 & 855/13 855/15 890/3 921/9 & additional [4] 713/7 766/12 873/13 \\
\hline 6/18/12 [1] 874/14 60 [2] 824/24 825/4 & accent [16] 805/5 805/7 805/8 805/15 838/21 838/22 839/1 839/4 839/13 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
874 / 2 \\
\text { address [5] 664/20 833/18 854/18 }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A
address... [2] 873/1 931/21
addressed [1] 697/25
addressing [1] 869/25
adequate [3] 772/15 772/16 793/21
adjourned [1] 965/14
admin [1] 726/4
administer [2] 802/22 806/24
administered [1] 899/24
administering [1] 762/24
administration [5] 665/6 665/13
665/15 716/8 718/1
administrative [2] 714/14 757/14
administrator [1] 920/20
admitted [1] 745/23
admitting [1] 690/15
admonition [2] 753/13 754/1
adult [2] 937/17 938/2
advantage [1] 960/10
adverse [10] 687/25 729/8 729/10
749/6 762/13 831/18 832/4 954/21
954/22 956/3
adversely [2] 686/11 687/2
advice [1] 942/9
advise [7] 897/24 898/20 898/22 899/5
899/11 921/6 922/5
advised [1] 875/23
advising [1] 921/25
advisor [2] 857/10 891/22
advocates [2] 711/10 956/11
affairs [8] \(677 / 7717 / 7721 / 23734 / 10\)
736/2 739/19 778/8 874/4
affect [1] 865/12
affected [12] 686/11 687/2 689/16 718/19 833/21 847/21 861/10 861/12
861/13 861/19 885/3 944/22
affects [2] 722/6 945/24
affidavit [3] 893/4 893/8 893/11
affiliation [2] 836/5 942/23
affirm [2] 833/4 833/5
Afghanistan [1] 957/7
afield [1] 774/9
afraid [2] 717/16 802/20
after [69] 666/10 667/15 667/22
674/18 692/20 698/24 698/24 699/20
708/12 708/17 714/1 714/6 715/6
718/4 724/20 725/8 725/17 729/7
732/11 734/3 735/12 735/16 735/24
751/25 755/23 757/17 761/21 768/4
780/6 780/8 780/13 780/15 784/14
785/1 787/17 795/20 796/22 797/10
798/21 805/12 809/20 819/23 823/23
826/1 837/1 837/4 841/10 842/21
842/22 861/5 875/13 876/2 879/4
884/24 889/11 897/1 916/7 927/9
935/19 935/20 935/23 940/6 942/12
943/8 952/20 955/22 957/22 958/22
964/22
afternoon [4] 802/2 807/14 820/8 965/13
afterwards [2] 877/18 952/19
again [22] 686/24 689/15 697/24
703/12 703/18 710/14 758/11 810/17 831/15 846/9 851/20 859/14 914/9 928/3 930/6 931/19 931/21 939/16 951/5 952/23 954/4 963/1
against [24] 679/22 698/19 700/11

700/23 706/10 719/17 721/6 723/1 749/15 762/7 762/11 765/1 765/6 765/23 766/2 766/16 767/14 769/8 773/17 782/7 790/17 864/7 881/9 894/14
age [5] 935/9 935/12 935/15 937/15 938/15
age 21 [1] 935/15
agencies [1] 758/24
agency [4] 668/2 682/17 904/17 904/17
agenda [1] 669/23
ago [9] 754/18 765/25 807/16 847/13 858/13 861/17 862/8 902/13 954/2
agree [28] 732/15 733/4 737/24 738/4 741/23 742/14 742/17 743/4 757/3 759/22 760/4 761/16 762/17 772/7 805/24 811/5 811/8 811/19 830/12 858/24 885/14 888/16 897/18 915/11 920/7 923/1 925/7 963/6
agreed [5] 760/12 815/17 831/19 919/25 961/25
agreement [5] 716/4 763/24 815/9 815/16 818/2
ahead [5] 684/9 789/19 816/5 827/21 882/14
Ahlen [7] 806/17 806/21 807/2 807/6 807/7 807/14 817/3
ahold [1] 941/11
AIC [1] 868/12
al [1] \(966 / 3\)
alarm [1] 709/18
alert [2] 839/16 950/22
Alex [2] 877/4 881/24
all [169]
all-or-nothing [1] 962/9
allegation [17] 707/11 707/16 707/25
708/5 711/13 712/18 712/23 721/6
734/16 742/15 742/24 743/4 744/3
766/21 872/14 874/2 964/9
allegations [21] 700/4 700/23 702/3
702/7 706/10 713/1 713/3 713/4
713/11 713/15 714/4 714/12 765/6
765/23 766/16 868/25 870/1 872/11 872/20 878/19 936/17
alleged [5] 707/19 711/12 712/20 858/16 858/21
allegedly [1] 877/10
Alliance [1] 857/23
allow [10] 705/25 719/20 761/16
802/17 813/19 871/21 927/21 932/12 947/4 959/15
allowed [6] 878/14 889/21 895/18 925/18 925/20 926/3
almost [9] 726/2 753/5 779/21 798/20
862/5 882/24 884/24 918/10 928/19
alone [2] 684/19 829/5
along [6] 682/24 801/7 805/2 823/6 941/22 941/23
already [11] 732/19 814/5 841/2
841/10 841/11 841/23 842/2 842/3 842/15 960/19 961/14
also [53] 673/7 674/7 674/8 681/15 681/23 687/25 688/6 733/2 734/2 742/1 742/5 744/3 749/2 752/5 754/2 754/19 755/19 759/6 760/15 767/17 781/1 795/20 800/5 800/21 810/4

817/18 822/6 822/13 822/21 824/21 825/10 836/19 839/7 857/14 857/19 858/6 859/20 862/24 878/18 882/18 891/24 893/22 903/19 917/13 920/2 925/10 928/24 928/25 929/15 939/15 963/13 964/19 965/3
altercation [1] 738/16
always [31] 669/21 670/3 670/22
674/10 674/21 674/24 675/4 680/21 681/18 684/17 686/10 692/9 692/18
701/5 708/23 735/14 735/24 782/20 835/21 839/9 840/6 842/9 843/19 845/20 847/10 860/20 864/10 911/22 921/6 930/18 938/21
am [7] 728/15 791/22 801/18 839/6 885/22 887/13 922/14
Amanda [7] 702/21 737/1 764/11
764/13 764/16 765/14 799/4
amazed [1] 748/4
Amelie [6] 769/2 769/5 769/15 770/10 770/17 771/3
Amendment [2] 951/23 952/22
American [1] 805/13
Americans [1] 835/24
among [2] 781/14 805/18
amongst [4] 691/15 728/2 769/1 880/22
amount [9] 676/5 694/7 723/16 767/7 776/6 856/20 862/17 916/15 955/15
amused [1] 839/17
analyze [1] 697/23
and another [1] 680/1
and/or [1] 893/22
Anderson [5] 694/14 696/23 697/22 698/6 698/11
ANDREA [2] 660/7 817/3
ANDREW [3] 819/17 819/25 961/18
Andy [5] 697/19 698/7 698/12 765/9 770/2
angered [1] 731/20
angry [6] 731/15 731/19 756/12
756/14 773/24 773/24
annual [7] 670/6 670/12 670/13 701/3 701/6 716/8 763/24
another [35] 670/3 680/1 686/24 688/4 689/4 699/21 702/16 703/3 709/15
714/1 714/6 717/5 719/6 722/12 729/8 736/6 742/20 762/4 765/21 769/4 769/19 810/13 813/7 839/17 872/1 882/9 904/17 913/8 919/14 919/23 928/1 929/21 929/22 959/12 964/4 answer [23] 691/10 715/16 719/21 722/20 735/15 771/9 809/19 814/1 817/22 827/20 828/9 828/20 828/21 838/5 849/5 866/8 880/15 889/3 903/7 932/12 942/4 942/19 959/8
answered [8] 670/24 672/20 672/24
690/9 720/9 732/19 828/3 905/3
answering [4] 664/21 779/22 780/5 780/19
anticipated [1] 834/7
anxious [1] 800/8
any [95] 666/12 670/6 674/10 674/18 681/1 681/4 681/21 686/9 696/13 701/4 702/2 703/1 705/14 708/16 725/20 726/12 726/14 726/18 730/17 733/4 738/5 747/10 749/12 749/12

assured [1] 944/2
ATF [1] 758/25
athlete [2] 704/16 704/19
athletes [3] 705/6 705/9 705/11
athletic [1] 717/7
atmosphere [1] 693/13
attached [3] 867/18 871/6 902/16
attacking [2] 767/17 768/1
attend [3] 665/8 725/10 890/3
attendance [1] 819/9
attendant [3] 767/18 767/25 829/13
attending [3] 679/8 739/12 855/14
attention [5] 678/4 708/21 711/8
821/25 824/10
attitude [4] 822/1 822/3 822/4 822/7 attorney [32] 749/15 749/16 796/16 803/16 808/14 809/4 810/4 817/3 833/16 863/25 864/2 867/17 867/20 867/22 876/16 876/18 876/19 876/20 876/22 877/1 877/3 877/7 877/10
877/13 877/19 878/2 882/18 883/2 902/15 903/14 905/11 938/21
attorney's [7] 720/21 797/3 797/16 857/10 875/20 891/23 901/21
attorneys [4] 730/9 877/2 877/20 937/3
attribute [1] 845/24
audio [2] 921/6 922/2
audio-recorded [1] 921/6
audits [3] 878/6 878/7 878/10
August [5] 741/11 756/23 794/5 873/17 906/13
August 10 [1] 794/5
August 24 [1] 741/11
Australian [1] 804/25
author [1] 829/25
authorities [1] 667/9
authority [15] 829/9 829/13 885/24
885/24 894/23 896/3 905/9 911/8 924/13 924/16 924/23 925/10 925/17 926/9 928/10
authorized [2] 738/7 738/8 auxillary [7] 669/21 679/10 702/11 720/2 785/23 789/10 795/25
available [1] 842/9
Avenue [3] 660/4 660/8 660/21
average [1] 684/18
averaged [1] 864/20
avoid [1] 946/6
award [2] 961/17 962/7
aware [51] 708/11 712/6 712/10 712/12 712/15 713/9 719/11 721/20 729/16 732/24 737/17 737/19 745/9 745/12 749/20 750/8 750/15 752/9 752/18 753/8 753/17 753/23 763/5 781/20 782/18 787/22 787/23 818/5 818/7 818/8 843/17 850/17 889/25 895/3 895/9 895/16 897/23 901/5 903/17 918/19 919/2 920/23 923/3 923/7 923/9 923/12 924/3 926/3 947/25 948/4 948/8
away [12] 673/22 707/7 711/6 723/20 723/23 756/2 758/5 780/12 791/3 814/19 920/19 952/13
awesome [1] 840/13
awful [3] 669/14 696/4 789/9
awfully [1] 663/6
B
B-E-C-H-D-O-L-T [1] 820/1
B-O-Y-D [1] 664/11
baby [1] 737/2
Bachelor [1] 940/9
bachelor's [2] 665/13 936/4
back [78] 663/16 667/23 667/25 668/4
668/6 685/21 685/21 686/12 697/7
709/6 721/11 721/21 723/16 725/17
739/11 748/24 752/4 757/6 757/9
757/17 761/12 767/6 767/11 775/14
775/25 796/18 798/22 801/21 802/6
811/22 813/21 815/11 830/19 830/21
831/13 832/1 837/5 839/4 843/11
846/9 853/15 856/9 856/22 860/23
868/24 871/18 872/1 878/6 880/21
881/8 884/12 890/20 904/12 904/13
904/23 907/20 908/8 913/18 914/6
914/9 922/24 925/5 927/21 928/1
935/17 936/2 936/8 938/9 938/11
941/12 941/12 942/6 942/7 947/19
948/9 955/19 955/24 956/17
background [33] 664/24 678/16
679/20 719/3 719/6 787/4 787/7 788/7
788/7 788/14 807/18 833/19 834/1
850/16 854/21 855/21 862/21 862/24
863/13 863/14 863/17 886/7 889/7
889/10 899/24 910/10 910/11 916/16
924/11 930/14 934/19 945/18 945/20
backtracked [1] 959/15
bad [9] 685/24 686/12 686/13 693/1
711/2 724/4 945/12 949/4 949/8
badge [1] 727/3
bags [1] 940/9
bait [1] 842/14
baker [3] 928/2 928/6 928/7
banging [1] 774/25
barely [2] 746/9 774/23
bargaining [6] 751/8 763/23 801/24
815/8 815/24 818/2
base [1] 703/3
baseball [11] 680/20 709/22 709/23
728/25 733/20 735/10 735/13 735/16
735/22 744/13 745/22
based [23] 703/3 731/22 737/22 738/4
765/20 767/13 780/6 783/9 784/3
784/17 786/11 786/17 787/24 810/13
814/16 817/23 829/4 829/8 892/1
892/12 892/19 892/22 914/12
bases [1] 733/19
basic [2] 811/19 874/24
basically [6] 716/10 773/4 809/2
816/15 942/12 943/4
basing [2] 781/9 781/12
basis [7] 677/1 791/6 795/18 817/11
901/18 912/8 927/23
basketball [3] 680/20 703/4 709/20
bat [1] 834/11
be [268]
beat [1] 949/14
became [14] 674/16 713/8 784/2
793/11 813/3 860/5 860/23 860/25
861/8 935/21 935/24 936/17 937/17
937/17
because [145] 666/11 667/25 669/8

670/23 671/16 672/14 672/23 674/16 674/24 675/2 675/5 676/5 676/18 680/2 681/24 686/5 686/20 686/21 686/25 689/12 692/18 692/25 698/14 699/19 700/7 703/4 703/7 703/17 707/12 709/3 710/15 710/19 711/5 711/17 714/14 715/10 717/16 717/16 718/8 718/22 720/1 721/21 722/9 723/14 723/18 724/1 724/12 726/3 726/4 728/12 732/16 739/8 741/19 742/18 749/5 749/11 752/23 755/7 756/7 756/10 756/12 757/13 759/12 760/21 760/23 762/22 763/17 765/8 765/16 767/8 767/10 768/24 769/15 772/22 776/6 777/13 778/11 780/25 781/1 782/13 784/3 784/23 785/22 787/17 788/20 789/14 792/17 796/25 797/16 797/17 797/20 798/13 798/22 813/11 832/16 832/18 834/7 834/18 835/4 835/9 836/4 837/1 838/13 840/10 840/14 843/13 845/13 846/20 846/24 847/4 847/12 850/17 851/22 858/5 862/13 866/13 877/14 884/3 884/10 886/11 887/25 889/4 894/12 898/3 898/24 902/20 905/20 906/12 912/8 928/13 930/12 932/7 932/19 938/9 941/5 942/21 942/23 942/24 946/2 952/3 953/12 954/5 955/11 957/14 965/5
Bechdolt [15] 694/3 695/8 695/14 695/21 695/24 695/25 696/2 782/11 819/11 819/17 819/25 820/8 829/4 954/13 961/18
Bechdolt's [5] 693/20 693/22 697/19 698/7 698/12
become [12] 669/5 772/11 789/20 808/3 845/11 845/12 849/10 849/14 852/6 852/13 895/21 938/25
becoming [6] 683/15 773/3 789/21 849/1 849/11 860/16
been [135] 667/18 670/19 672/16 673/5 673/13 676/4 678/20 678/22 678/23 683/17 688/16 699/1 700/8 701/24 702/17 702/25 703/16 703/18 706/15 709/16 711/2 712/12 713/6 714/8 715/4 717/20 731/20 734/16 735/19 745/13 747/1 747/5 747/7 749/25 750/2 754/15 754/17 761/21 762/10 762/13 762/18 762/22 765/12 768/19 769/13 770/8 778/18 780/14 781/6 783/18 786/13 789/18 792/18 794/14 797/19 799/14 799/17 799/22 807/16 807/19 808/4 811/23 812/15 814/5 825/8 836/16 839/1 839/20 839/25 843/19 846/5 846/13 847/12 847/14 849/13 850/17 851/2 851/15 854/22 855/4 855/5 855/5 855/6 855/8 855/14 857/7 862/5 862/18 863/1 863/2 863/4 863/6 866/25 869/7 873/17 873/18 874/3 874/8 883/19 887/3 887/4 889/23 890/9 893/3 893/6 893/12 895/22 899/17 899/19 899/25 903/23 904/12 910/13 913/16 914/25 916/18 921/19 922/16 925/2 936/8 942/12 942/13 944/10 945/4 945/8 950/20 950/22 951/6 951/11 955/7 956/7 956/15 957/7 959/23 962/9


B
briefings... [9] 673/12 673/17 674/3 674/18 708/17 712/15 720/4 781/22 911/4
briefly [6] 722/25 755/7 804/19 808/20 834/15 854/19
bright [1] 750/7
bring [11] 683/21 699/19 753/10
753/16 754/9 775/25 812/17 868/8
889/19 909/23 958/14
bringing [2] 685/25 953/12
brings [1] 955/24
Brit [1] 839/17
British [10] 804/25 805/8 805/15
838/21 838/22 839/13 839/15 839/20 840/1 840/2
broad [3] 785/14 837/9 886/12
broaden [1] 754/2
broadly [1] 933/5
broke [2] 899/6 899/10
broken [2] 873/16 925/15
brother [2] 939/14 944/24
Brotherhood [1] 952/2
brought [11] 669/3 669/8 678/3
696/16 701/13 797/10 803/25 808/21
813/17 813/18 859/9
Brown [4] 684/6 792/1 792/6 792/15
browsing [1] 697/19
build [1] 683/16
building [9] 684/21 731/2 757/5 757/5
757/5 757/9 757/17 789/19 822/19
buildings [1] 789/21
built [1] 936/6
bully [2] 745/10 745/20
bullying [1] 811/12
bunch [5] 730/23 774/8 908/11 908/25 929/13
burglary [2] 929/15 929/20
burned [2] 690/21 690/23
bus [4] 707/18 708/5 708/8 712/19
business [4] 739/9 805/24 821/9 957/4
busy [2] 851/1 941/20
button [2] 838/12 907/21
buy [3] 701/3 737/1 766/19

\section*{C}

C-L-E-A-V-E-N-G-E-R [1] 934/4
cabin [2] 939/23 939/24
cadet [1] 935/10
California [3] 676/3 676/4 935/2 call [53] 663/18 663/19 684/17 707/14 708/7 708/20 709/16 742/24 743/5 743/6 743/17 743/22 749/13 756/18 765/2 770/4 770/7 778/11 781/4 786/18 801/17 802/12 823/5 831/17 832/3 835/10 857/8 860/22 871/3 888/4 891/12 891/17 894/3 908/14 912/10 913/10 915/21 915/22 917/24 926/21 926/23 933/10 933/14 941/11 942/15 954/19 954/21 956/2 956/3 959/2 960/2 962/9 964/21
called [35] 664/2 666/15 666/17 689/15 700/3 705/11 713/9 714/6 742/1 749/5 762/12 769/11 775/12 796/14 803/2 807/3 814/18 819/18 822/15 831/20 833/2 835/19 854/2

883/23 891/18 909/18 916/2 927/2 931/11 931/17 933/18 954/14 954/15 954/17 956/15
calling [5] 684/17 729/8 775/14 853/17 912/22
calloffs [1] 684/16
callouts [8] 825/15 825/22 825/24
826/2 826/22 828/16 829/3 930/7
calls [17] 670/23 672/20 672/23 672/25 779/9 779/23 780/5 780/19 781/6 781/8 781/11 802/13 861/25 890/19 891/3 912/14 940/25
came [46] 670/18 676/2 700/22 702/7 702/8 702/24 706/14 707/11 708/22 710/16 710/17 713/8 713/17 714/10 722/22 723/13 723/16 725/11 726/3 732/3 733/25 757/6 757/9 773/18 776/9 776/16 784/14 798/22 805/3 839/11 843/24 844/6 849/4 866/14 875/24 889/11 899/9 927/20 941/22 941/23 943/12 943/15 943/20 952/2 962/14 962/15
camera [4] 802/20 898/4 899/6 899/6 cameras [5] 824/12 864/8 898/25 899/9 907/13
CAMERON [39] 659/8 677/19 677/22 677/24 678/21 679/13 679/19 679/21 700/20 709/12 726/1 727/2 761/23 762/8 762/18 763/9 765/9 765/21 775/7 775/11 775/14 787/14 788/2 788/19 795/7 795/9 799/6 817/9 817/17 823/21 824/1 824/4 825/7 954/15 955/1 959/3 960/17 960/19 960/22
Cameron's [1] 678/12
campaign [1] 841/13
camping [7] 836/16 842/8 846/10 846/18 860/8 939/23 944/6
campsite [1] 846/23
campus [20] 701/3 708/9 708/10 717/6 726/15 737/18 737/19 738/8 738/25 835/11 837/5 837/13 838/9 841/18 843/18 850/4 850/7 850/9 851/11 943/3
can [151] 664/22 667/8 697/7 697/18 697/24 698/9 712/9 712/10 712/22 715/16 716/2 716/10 716/20 719/21 722/25 724/21 726/25 728/11 729/4 729/11 729/15 740/3 746/9 747/6 748/17 748/22 751/17 751/25 752/2 752/6 752/7 752/25 753/21 754/2 765/3 768/2 768/21 774/18 786/2 798/2 800/12 801/14 801/16 801/17 801/20 801/20 801/21 802/18 806/19 806/22 807/14 808/20 812/12 814/1 821/2 821/5 821/16 826/16 826/16 827/3 827/17 827/19 828/8 828/8 830/8 830/19 830/19 830/21 830/24 831/17 832/8 834/21 836/6 838/2 839/5 839/5 840/19 851/8 854/19 856/12 865/20 867/15 868/8 868/9 868/10 868/21 868/23 870/8 871/14 873/3 873/11 873/23 874/16 875/1 877/12 879/20 882/13 883/18 885/17 885/19 885/22 886/9 889/19 889/24 893/10 900/5 903/5 903/7 909/8 909/24 910/9 910/25 916/8 916/16

918/3 919/6 919/13 922/21 924/20 928/5 931/22 932/12 933/4 933/12 933/25 935/7 937/6 937/21 942/19 946/19 947/19 951/25 952/19 952/19 952/21 953/21 954/19 955/1 956/2 956/3 957/23 958/4 959/8 960/7 960/15 960/20 963/2 963/18 964/10 965/5 965/8
can't [26] 690/5 708/6 714/5 729/19 746/11 747/9 749/12 750/8 757/13 780/24 781/11 785/11 785/12 786/10 786/22 802/17 838/2 846/21 851/21 865/15 865/17 884/10 894/6 921/16 943/1 964/13
cancer [1] 943/21
candidate [1] 864/4
capability [2] 888/13 888/13
capacity [10] 726/14 763/16 765/16 785/22 795/23 868/13 868/15 868/17 905/12 905/15
captain [9] 669/15 669/17 670/19 672/5 679/8 679/25 725/11 787/19 789/8
captain's [1] 675/7
car [21] 706/18 774/1 776/17 777/2 778/24 794/20 861/3 873/15 873/16 907/13 912/9 912/9 921/17 921/18 921/18 921/20 921/22 925/3 945/7 945/8 948/9
card [1] 768/20
care [7] 684/1 701/11 703/1 783/6 844/19 865/19 936/23
career [17] 666/1 666/13 669/11 698/17 722/13 766/3 797/21 823/7 848/17 908/20 916/17 928/15 935/7 935/8 945/15 945/24 945/24
carefully [2] 802/23 923/22
caregiver [1] 837/2
cares [1] 842/4
caring [1] 836/15
CAROLYN [6] 659/7 674/2 692/7 741/11 961/19 963/10
Carolyn McDermed [1] 741/11
carried [2] 687/15 911/5
carry [3] 686/22 738/7 738/8
carrying [1] 738/7
carryover [1] 956/20
cars [8] 708/18 743/10 873/9 889/20 889/20 898/24 899/3 899/11
CARTER [1] 659/15
case [56] 659/5 685/6 694/11 694/15 703/1 705/20 752/22 753/3 753/21 753/22 814/4 814/5 814/14 815/14 831/14 831/21 858/16 866/1 877/18 880/23 881/21 883/7 885/13 892/19 896/21 913/8 927/23 927/23 929/11 929/19 929/20 929/21 946/18 946/19 948/2 948/6 949/17 950/23 950/24 951/1 951/5 951/6 951/24 952/1 952/16 952/23 954/3 955/11 955/23 956/1 956/21 957/19 958/8 958/9 958/11 960/13
case-by-case [1] 927/23
case-in-chief [1] 831/21
caseload [1] 936/16
cases [14] 856/25 865/14 908/22 911/21 912/4 912/13 929/6 929/8

client... [2] 933/1 945/3
client's [12] 678/18 679/19 838/21 838/22 875/4 875/10 875/22 877/24 878/18 913/13 917/2 929/1
climb [1] 814/15
clipboard [1] 775/16
clock [3] 699/21 798/17 955/9 close [12] 675/8 754/23 798/12 822/20
833/8 860/23 895/6 909/23 916/8 927/9 933/24 965/6
closed [3] 674/24 796/5 848/2
closer [3] 664/13 820/2 821/22
closest [8] 664/6 819/22 833/6 854/6
909/22 916/7 927/7 933/22
closing [1] 781/21
clothing [2] 770/11 770/12
club [14] 804/6 805/19 805/24 835/13
835/15 836/2 836/18 841/3 841/19
842/7 843/13 849/25 936/3 937/9 club's [1] 804/22
clubhouse [1] 841/7
CMS [4] 710/12 711/7 711/21 723/10
co [3] 665/22 665/23 862/11
co-op [2] 665/22 665/23
co-workers [1] 862/11
coach [3] 804/11 804/13 839/7
coaching [1] 805/5
COIT [11] 660/7 730/7 817/2 817/3
829/2 848/13 880/5 914/2 920/13
931/2 947/13
Cole [1] 742/23
collaborative [1] 908/8
collect [1] 753/24
collective [4] 763/23 815/8 815/24 818/2
college [11] 664/25 665/9 666/9 701/3
717/12 719/12 799/20 855/12 938/17 939/1 939/2
colleges [1] 665/8
colors [1] 888/25
Columbia [1] 939/25
come [66] 671/23 674/13 683/25
691/20 693/18 708/10 710/4 710/15 713/1 718/22 720/24 725/14 725/17 726/12 726/14 728/1 728/18 737/25 741/3 747/25 752/24 761/17 762/23 776/2 776/18 777/10 777/21 782/15 789/6 792/16 792/16 801/21 810/16 819/13 830/9 834/9 834/19 837/21 838/21 845/11 846/21 846/22 853/19 853/22 856/1 858/24 860/6 861/5 865/13 865/14 871/16 872/1 880/21 885/9 887/24 889/15 890/20 895/9 898/12 934/15 938/11 941/18 944/8 944/8 953/11 964/4
comes [6] 729/16 747/10 751/3 751/3 865/20 962/21
comfortable [2] 856/21 953/9 coming [16] 679/14 687/20 700/1
700/6 700/20 779/2 798/23 831/13 832/1 871/18 871/23 941/1 941/17 955/19 958/24 965/3
command [6] 669/22 687/13 688/6 763/18 775/24 789/9
commendations [3] 670/3 699/23 699/24
comment [4] 677/10 852/7 852/7 852/8
commission [2] 807/22 807/24
commit [1] 668/2
commitment [1] 954/2
common [10] 675/4 734/10 734/12
736/24 781/13 781/14 813/11 908/7
939/13 940/23
commonplace [1] 927/20
communicate [2] 766/4 940/19
communicated [1] 946/11
communicating [1] 709/16
communication [1] 705/14
communications [2] 709/4 720/21
community [17] 665/9 681/25 682/12
682/14 682/15 682/19 682/21 798/12 805/19 838/14 855/12 859/7 859/14 859/18 936/8 937/11 937/13
community-oriented [2] 682/15 682/21
comp [3] 734/1 734/3 745/24
compare [2] 678/18 910/25
comparison [2] 673/18 673/21
competent [5] 744/22 744/23 745/5
856/19 956/8
compiled [2] 812/21 857/22
complacent [1] 708/18
complain [3] 673/7 677/10 703/21
complained [15] 672/23 674/19
677/17 704/13 720/1 720/2 723/10 733/9 761/21 779/7 779/8 780/18 781/11 782/7 785/6
complaining [2] 672/21 686/3 complaint [10] 701/14 702/9 711/12
765/1 765/7 765/12 766/10 767/14 769/8 948/5
complaints [15] 672/20 672/22 674/2 686/1 688/23 731/22 732/5 732/16 761/6 766/2 768/10 779/11 781/12 785/9 799/4
complete [5] 751/3 801/6 857/17 874/23 959/25
completely [6] 705/20 713/18 770/10 795/16 894/23 941/16
complex[5] 768/23 856/25 957/9 957/18 957/18
complicated [2] 817/22 858/1
complied [1] 959/9
compliment [2] 955/6 956/7
compromising [1] 889/2
computer [10] 694/8 694/11 694/14 695/20 696/5 696/10 701/18 768/14 768/15 901/17
computers [1] 769/24
concept [2] 719/11 815/13
concepts [1] 693/8
concern [8] 787/7 787/17 790/1 790/3
912/18 946/2 951/2 952/11
concerned [8] 708/20 787/13 788/3
788/15 788/16 862/9 955/24 956/21
concerning [6] 676/17 706/4 880/23
882/2 948/11 957/12
concerns [14] 672/11 672/14 674/3
674/7 674/25 708/23 740/5 741/19
741/22 741/23 788/10 789/15 917/22 918/1
conclude [1] 955/11
concluded [1] 725/23
conclusion [4] 714/8 755/12 877/11 923/25
concourse [1] 724/8
concrete [2] 710/1 710/7
condition [2] 883/12 885/8
conduct [11] 695/23 705/19 715/16
725/13 761/8 894/15 907/3 907/18 907/23 907/25 912/16
conducted [3] 739/9 813/12 862/24
cones [1] 937/14
conference [1] 663/15
conferences [1] 881/24
confine [1] 682/7
confining [1] 872/4
confirm [3] 874/7 881/22 963/18
confirmation [1] 963/21
conflict [2] 676/5 957/6
conformed [1] 966/11
confrontational [1] 837/14
confronted [2] 695/4 784/15
confronting [2] 779/2 785/2
confused [1] 870/15
confusing [2] 695/13 851/2
connected [1] 836/8
consensus [2] 852/2 962/20
consented [1] 831/19
consequence [1] 845/18
consequences [1] 720/7
conservative [1] 914/7
consider [1] 960/9
considered [2] 837/20 886/4
consortium [1] 817/23
constant [1] 686/3
constantly [2] 748/4 831/16
constituents [1] 822/24
constructive [1] 681/22
consulting [1] 687/25
consuming [3] 865/17 878/10 878/13
consumptive [1] 959/23
contact [15] 719/7 719/8 725/6 784/6
786/3 843/3 843/5 843/9 875/3 875/8
875/10 922/3 935/3 940/23 940/24
contacted [8] 709/17 723/15 778/7
843/21 844/2 875/14 875/21 875/23
contacting [1] 843/23
contacts [3] 907/2 907/17 944/6
contain [1] 878/19
content [1] 811/11
contents [2] 696/19 704/21
context [11] 671/6 767/3 771/13 787/16 789/5 797/3 798/3 798/24 799/1 823/2 872/14
continue [6] 714/13 717/10 722/11
754/24 813/8 886/23
continued [6] 666/9 666/11 674/20
703/24 779/16 779/19
Continuing [1] 662/2
continuously [1] 692/13
contract [9] 686/23 715/20 715/23
716/8 717/19 755/19 756/20 815/25 818/2
contracts [1] 716/3
contractural [1] 815/8
contradiction [1] 882/9
contributed [2] 773/22 918/10
conversation [34] 702/5 702/10

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline D & defendants [3] 659/9 660/7 949/16 & detention [1] 936/6 \\
\hline date [18] 693/5 697/12 727/5 747/16 & & \\
\hline 756/23 756/24 756/25 757/2 783/25 & 848/7 864/3 871/22 937/3 954/1 & determine [2] 770/14 945/21 \\
\hline 917/19 965/10 966/15 966/16 & 960/25 961/20 & determined [6] 725/9 742/15 742/1 \\
\hline dated [5] 693/9 741/11 823/8 868/19 & defensive [1] 830 & 874/11 876/2 8 \\
\hline 963/11 & & \\
\hline dates [2] 726/24 932/24 & 678/23 680/5 683/18 685/7 71 & developed [3] 830/3 849/22 859/20 \\
\hline daughter [4] 707/1 717/11 738/25 & 714/15 838/23 841/10 848/2 & \[
\text { dicks [4] } 711 / 24712 / 16722 / 17816 / 22
\] \\
\hline 739/12 & degree [10] 665/1 665/1 665/6 665/15 & dictate [1] 858/2 \\
\hline DAVID [1] 659/15 dawn [1] 841/5 & 666/7 804/2 936/4 936/4 938/19 & did [354] \\
\hline  & 938/25 & didn't [158] \\
\hline 717/1 717/14 717/18 717/23 734/19 & degrees [3] 665/11 665/14 799/20 & died [1] 943/21 \\
\hline 735/12 735/15 739/13 744/16 752/20 & delay [5] 663/13 709/5 723/12 752/12 & Diego [2] 801/13 \\
\hline 759/23 763/8 766/8 769/17 781/18 & 954/2 & difference [5] 673/16 673/19 709 \\
\hline 783/13 794/23 798/25 800/2 806/15 & \begin{tabular}{l}
delayed [1] 752/23 \\
deliberations [1] 952/20
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
716/2 911/13 \\
differences [1] 842/11
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 818/19 835/4 852/24 853/1 857/5 & deliver [1] 717/23 & different [39] 667/7 668/15 673/11 \\
\hline 858/4 858/4 861/5 862/2 862/12 872/1
\(897 / 4901 / 6902 / 1905 / 17\) 938/11 & delivered [2] 717/25 718/2 & 680/21 682/16 682/19 692/16 703/13 \\
\hline 953/10 953/19 954/3 955/14 957/2 & delve [1] 886/7 & 703/16 737/22 737/23 741/20 7 \\
\hline 964/8 965/14 966/5 & demanded [2] 689/6 812/2 & 755/23 763/16 771/7 777/11 78 \\
\hline day's [1] 753/11 & demeanor [1] 862/9 & 790/25 812/25 8 \\
\hline daycare [1] 667/24 & demonstrate [1] 897 & 840/2 846/25 847/3 \\
\hline days [16] 671/13 688/21 759/17 & demonstrates [1] 822/5 & \(11869 / 13\) 882/1 8 \\
\hline 759/19 759/21 759/24 781/23 783/9 & demote [1] & 896/23 939/13 944/1 946/7 946/8 \\
\hline 783/10 846/21 900/23 901/4 901/4 & demoted [3] 670/19 672/5 7 demoting [1] 755/25 &  \\
\hline 901/4 940/7 956/5 & demoting [1] 755/25 denied [1] 814/4 & differently [4] 682/20 840/16 919/12
924/3 \\
\hline dayshift [1] 857/6 & deny [1] 813/19 & difficult [1] 944/1 \\
\hline daytime [1] 896/18 & department [158] & difficulties [1] 844/24 \\
\hline De [3] 767/19 768/4 768/8 deal [10] 668/24 693/25 77 & Department's [1] 943/2 & difficulty [1] 861/23 \\
\hline 776/8 845/15 851/16 885/7 964/8 & departments [4] 666/21 670/11 & Digger [2] 706/22 706/2 \\
\hline \[
964 / 11
\] & 865/11 874/20 & digitally [1] 966/12 \\
\hline dealing [8] 699/8 709/13 765/21 772/3 & depend [2] 925 & dinner [1] 860 \\
\hline 776/19 791/24 845/25 865/20 & dependable [1] & Dinners [1] \\
\hline dealt [7] 669/17 671/17 688/3 690/14 694/22 695/4 792/18 & depending [3] 692/13 692/16 895/22 depends [1] 964/23 & direct [47] 661/4 661/8 661/10 661/13
\(661 / 17661 / 21662 / 5662 / 9662 / 13\) \\
\hline death [3] 845/13 845/14 & deposition [4] 824/8 827/5 828/14 & 662/17 664/15 664/18 680/17 683 \\
\hline debate [1] 838/9 & 828/18 & 702/8 709/3 750/11 760/2 \\
\hline deceive [1] 839/21 & depression [1] 866/25 & 803/21 807/9 807/12 820/4 820/6 \\
\hline December [1] 910/20 & deprived [1] 897/12 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 803 / 21807 / 9807 / 12820 / 4820 / 6 \\
& 821 / 25824 / 10833 / 12833 / 14854 / 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline decent [1] 958/5 & \[
\text { depth [1] } 848 / 5
\] & 854/15 882/8 910/3 910/5 916/11 \\
\hline decide [2] 716/10 751/9 decided [5] 666/5 \(892 / 7\) & deputy [19] 668/16 669/9 669/15 & 916/13 927/16 927/18 934/5 934/10 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { decided } \\
962 / 12
\end{array}
\] & 669/17 683/6 683/7 683/10 683/12 & 955/14 964/11 964/22 \\
\hline decision [33] 679/5 687/16 687/21 & 684/13 685/11 721/13 761/9 789/1 & direction [3] 774/13 817/12 938/2 \\
\hline 691/25 692/2 731/14 731/24 732/22 & 789/7 910/14 910/16 910/17 928/23 & directive [2] 907/11 907/20 \\
\hline 756/2 756/4 756/21 796/24 797/2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
935/15 \\
derogatory [1] 704/16
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
directives [1] 858/1 \\
directly [8] 688/11 751/6 761/22
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 797/5 797/8 797/10 797/13 797/23 & Deschutes [1] 937/2 & 855/23 855/25 858/2 898/6 911/23 \\
\hline 810/7 811/14 811/25 813/8 813/9 813/24 815/7 852/11 876/21 885/12 & describe [8] 678/12 690/25 782/22 & director [2] 717/21 857/20 \\
\hline 893/21 893/23 914/10 952/21 964/13 & 783/19 859/1 860/11 861/17 940/18 & disagree [1] 750/25 \\
\hline decision-making [2] 811/14 813/9 & described [5] 791/20 859/17 861/21 & disagreed [1] 851/18 \\
\hline decisions [6] 687/14 691/24 692/5 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
861 / 23895 / 16 \\
\text { deserving [1] } 771 / 2
\end{array}
\] & disagreement [3] 871/15 882/12 883/6 disappointed [2] 700/16 713/16 \\
\hline declining [1] 668/1 & designated [1] 813/1 & disappointment [1] 792/7 \\
\hline decreases [1] 951/14 & designee [1] 809/3 & disciplinary [5] 762/7 762/11 762/13 \\
\hline dedicated [2] 699/15 865/23 & desire [1] 822/8 desk [2] 673/21 & \begin{tabular}{l}
888/13 893/12 \\
discipline [19]
\end{tabular} \\
\hline dedication [2] 897/16 897/18 & desks [1] 878/25 & 687/25 748/21 750/5 762/17 762/19 \\
\hline deemed [1] 889/23 & \[
\text { despite [1] } 738 / 1
\] & 762/25 771/22 782/7 795/13 795/18 \\
\hline p [2] 664/22 699/12 ply [1] 749/22 & destined [1] 938/8 & 800/6 878/19 893/14 918/17 930/5 \\
\hline  & detail [3] 704/25 719/13 845/1 & 948/1 \\
\hline defendant [6] 705/18 765/12 955/22 955/24 956/16 956/16 & \begin{tabular}{l}
details [2] 738/5 842/16 \\
detective [3] 855/5 862/24 935/20 \\
detectives [2] 891/24 935/19
\end{tabular} & disciplined [10] 689/11 700/15 771/25 788/20 794/21 795/1 893/3 893/6 893/12 948/2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

easy [1] 842/2
economy [1] 951/1
edge [1] 710/15
edit [2] 687/6 908/4
editing [1] 687/12
edits [1] 903/16
educate [1] 752/14
educated [2] 678/20 787/9
education [5] 682/24 834/5 834/6
837/3 837/4
educational [3] 664/24 833/25 854/20 educator [2] 845/11 845/12
Edward [1] 910/1
EEO [2] 714/20 714/22
effect [3] 691/21 876/9 964/19
effort [2] 793/24 957/23
efforts [1] 812/13
ego [2] 681/11 918/20
eight [9] 694/12 696/10 759/10 780/15
807/25 865/18 935/23 938/9 952/7
eight-hour [3] 694/12 696/10 780/15
eight-month [1] 759/10
either [18] 684/7 687/23 699/16 716/9
748/5 762/18 777/4 790/4 813/18
817/11 817/16 831/17 860/6 869/11
888/4 896/6 956/5 960/18
ejected [1] 725/19
elated [1] 706/21
elderly [2] 859/10 937/12
electronic [2] 904/7 904/9
elements [1] 913/11
elicited [1] 964/10
eligible [1] 935/21
else [20] 675/10 717/6 717/7 723/7
730/22 730/25 731/6 731/13 741/20 755/8 764/8 825/20 844/20 847/11 861/18 865/20 918/22 930/19 935/6 952/8
elsewhere [2] 718/25 787/11
email [26] 670/4 672/24 674/7 696/21
696/25 697/1 697/12 697/12 697/15 697/21 698/6 698/11 761/9 779/12 779/18 781/8 813/10 902/10 902/16 903/1 904/12 906/6 940/23 941/12 963/10 963/19
emails [4] 699/23 835/17 835/17 835/18
embarrass [1] 882/15
emergent [1] 925/13
emotion [1] 944/1
emotional [2] 798/9 866/22
emotionless [1] 944/17
emotions [2] 842/13 847/24
employ [1] 685/24
employed [5] 718/20 807/19 809/22
880/8 910/22
employee [12] 689/10 711/11 717/9
764/8 764/19 764/22 768/3 786/6 808/24 825/14 886/5 897/11
employees [13] 686/20 686/25 693/18
701/10 763/25 764/6 774/12 786/6 807/21 815/21 862/25 879/9 945/21 employment [11] 684/19 714/23 716/4 718/19 722/6 727/14 761/18 787/2 793/8 834/16 855/2
EmX [4] 707/18 708/5 712/19 712/21
enclosed [1] 871/2
encountered [2] 681/17 809/9
encourage [2] 944/18 958/25
encouraged [4] 679/10 866/18 944/19 947/23
end [21] 674/1 676/18 687/19 687/20
708/9 714/16 735/2 752/20 753/11
765/5 821/6 821/23 839/14 843/23 857/7 857/17 904/19 943/9 949/14 964/8 964/11
end-of-phase [2] 821/6 821/23
ended [8] 724/14 743/23 780/1 834/22 891/17 929/14 929/16 934/16
ending [1] 934/22
ends [2] 722/8 722/13
energy [2] 842/5 842/8
enforce [2] 667/9 924/23
enforcement [52] 666/2 666/12
666/13 666/14 666/15 666/20 666/22 667/8 678/13 678/19 722/7 722/8 722/14 758/9 758/19 773/3 799/22 822/4 822/8 848/19 856/3 859/2 860/2 862/19 864/1 865/10 865/16 878/9 882/25 884/23 888/8 895/19 899/7 908/20 910/11 910/13 916/17 928/14 934/19 934/22 934/25 935/3 935/4 935/8 935/24 937/3 938/7 938/9 938/16 945/24 946/9 950/4
engaged [1] 702/18
engaging [1] 684/23
engine [1] 666/3
England [4] 833/24 834/13 840/6 849/19
enjoyed [2] 837/13 930/12
enough [18] 664/5 685/6 685/9 700/17
700/21 724/1 752/8 752/13 754/9
792/3 792/11 797/18 806/22 833/7
889/24 925/15 953/2 958/22
ensued [1] 710/23
ensure [1] 857/22
entailed [2] 790/8 857/25
Enter [1] 663/21
enthusiastic [1] 941/18
entire [11] 670/8 673/5 779/21 779/24 816/16 830/22 874/23 887/19 936/21 944/16 953/10
entirely [2] 832/3 954/23
entirety [2] 704/22 739/7
entitled [5] 751/2 954/18 954/20
955/23 966/10
entrance [8] 664/6 819/22 833/6 854/6
916/6 927/6 933/22 946/7
entries [1] 929/13
environment [1] 770/21
EPD [3] 778/5 778/13 778/18
epidemic [1] 684/18
equal [2] 714/23 956/15
equipment [3] 872/23 873/7 911/15
equipped [1] \(872 / 13\)
Eric [20] 688/11 688/14 688/19 688/21
689/8 778/21 778/23 779/1 868/14
878/25 901/13 902/1 902/6 902/22
903/18 903/22 903/24 915/22 916/1 916/10
Erik [3] 891/22 892/3 892/16
errors [3] 866/15 902/20 912/25
escalated [1] 809/1
escorted [1] 724/23
especially [7] 687/24 690/15 692/22
694/10 738/6 749/15 796/13
essence [1] 890/21
essentially [4] 669/8 689/5 725/22 864/4
established [2] 692/19 712/12
estimate [2] 896/14 956/6
estimating [1] 956/4
et [2] 836/22 966/3
EUGENE [44] 659/3 660/9 666/25
667/3 667/25 682/16 709/5 721/22
723/12 724/25 725/8 738/2 743/22
752/14 752/15 752/17 752/25 754/5
778/5 796/25 798/6 798/10 807/23
807/24 855/1 855/13 857/10 891/18 891/24 892/4 892/17 914/5 914/9 928/16 939/19 940/14 943/2 944/8 950/24 951/2 951/5 951/8 951/17 965/4
Eugene/Springfield [2] 666/25 667/25 evaluation [4] 670/2 670/7 670/12 821/7
evaluations [8] 763/24 764/1 764/5 888/12 890/4 890/6 890/10 961/5 even [45] 686/6 687/25 700/5 700/6 702/2 710/21 713/19 725/21 726/3 747/16 754/6 756/6 765/4 773/13 776/12 781/24 782/15 797/19 799/14 805/11 814/8 814/23 814/23 823/5 824/2 826/8 834/19 856/8 857/4 860/21 870/3 870/9 887/12 905/22 913/9 940/14 940/19 943/22 944/17 945/7 951/16 952/18 953/1 954/19 957/11
evening [6] 675/9 711/10 760/20 836/22 874/11 954/3
event [5] 706/20 707/10 769/3 800/4 858/6
events [8] 671/19 680/20 680/21 768/25 785/20 785/24 841/13 943/21
eventually [10] 709/19 714/14 723/12
724/14 816/11 834/4 871/16 871/22 884/24 910/15
ever [84] 674/22 681/1 681/4 681/21 682/23 687/14 690/17 693/15 703/21 704/15 705/14 713/20 737/6 747/1 758/13 762/7 764/9 764/20 766/9 773/24 785/22 786/8 788/19 790/10 792/19 796/7 797/14 805/13 809/5 809/9 809/23 810/12 810/19 814/17 815/13 816/2 816/6 820/12 825/15 839/20 840/16 848/17 849/2 849/16 850/20 850/22 851/17 861/1 861/14 861/14 866/22 875/8 875/14 875/20 879/23 879/23 890/13 890/16 891/4 893/3 896/2 898/18 898/22 899/5 899/13 900/15 900/22 912/15 912/22 913/13 917/21 917/24 918/17 918/25 922/5 922/7 930/3 930/5 930/21 931/3 937/25 947/14 949/17 952/7
every [15] 689/13 716/10 779/21
781/18 782/15 818/19 841/9 846/14 861/5 862/2 883/14 884/23 898/5 940/4 940/10
everybody [16] 672/9 688/8 692/10 711/6 723/9 735/24 736/9 767/4


\section*{F}
feelings [1] 848/6
fees [1] 701/12
feet [3] 673/22 710/7 710/10
Fellman [1] 725/11
felt [26] 677/8 677/17 685/3 686/6
686/8 686/10 691/20 700/7 705/15
711/2 733/10 733/12 744/23 782/14
790/13 811/15 811/20 811/23 811/24 814/16 818/25 844/22 856/21 867/11 897/15 928/3
female [5] 675/3 686/7 767/17 767/25 771/7
females [1] 772/22
Festival [1] 858/13
few [14] 681/8 687/14 700/13 718/12 725/15 754/17 845/22 846/5 846/21 848/8 858/13 868/5 890/5 914/13 field [16] 666/23 681/6 689/4 694/8 785/19 821/23 829/24 856/1 887/16 897/22 907/2 907/17 912/5 912/7 914/14 931/3
fight [4] 723/9 738/1 743/11 743/15
figure [3] 706/25 722/15 952/3
figured [1] 719/25
file [11] 717/15 718/5 718/8 718/9
718/12 765/1 787/4 788/7 790/10 878/18 893/14
filed [6] 701/14 717/17 765/18 766/2
767/14 799/5
files [3] 718/11 718/13 718/15
filing [1] 867/20
filled [1] 936/21
final [5] 709/20 863/18 876/1 926/11 926/12
finally [5] 669/1 714/7 792/7 792/17 958/18
finance [1] 718/1
financially [1] 687/3
find [12] 667/24 694/23 701/17 768/24
790/17 813/11 826/22 828/16 829/5
844/4 879/20 941/23
finding [7] 734/13 744/5 744/8 748/23 769/1 778/19 942/20
findings [7] 733/4 736/2 736/5 741/15 741/18 778/18 878/12
fine [10] 725/9 751/11 751/14 816/10 832/20 870/15 885/17 888/11 960/7 963/12
fingerprint [1] 757/13
finish [2] 801/14 965/11
finished [1] 866/2
finishing [1] 842/20
fire [6] 665/25 686/25 863/18 888/13 928/23 937/12
firearm [2] 858/18 911/13
firearms [2] 678/3 850/20
fired [5] 788/20 792/11 894/13 894/25 942/14
firefighter [5] 665/3 665/24 665/25
666/3 666/9
firefighting [1] 666/11
firing [1] 964/17
firm [1] 674/15
first [64] 664/2 666/14 670/22 671/23
672/11 673/11 673/20 676/15 679/1 686/9 688/14 688/15 706/13 713/6

713/8 719/10 735/10 754/15 766/15 785/23 803/2 807/3 807/15 808/1 819/18 821/2 823/10 827/11 828/2 829/21 833/2 835/7 841/10 846/21 854/2 855/9 855/11 859/6 859/23 873/23 889/10 889/13 909/18 916/2 922/21 924/11 927/2 933/18 935/12 936/2 936/16 938/6 941/2 941/4 941/14 942/1 942/1 942/3 943/8 943/16 951/9 951/23 952/21 964/13 first-time [1] 859/6
fished [1] 939/25
fishing [4] 888/18 939/22 940/2 944/5 fit [5] 681/24 684/3 737/24 767/10 928/4
fitness [2] 855/21 945/21
five [12] 666/16 706/16 712/18 747/25
783/9 799/24 799/24 807/19 908/14
937/24 954/25 954/25
fixture [2] 864/23 897/7
flashlight [2] 724/7 775/1
flashlights [1] 723/24
flat [1] 943/25
flee [1] 892/22
fleeing [1] 892/2
flex [1] 734/1
flight [4] 953/21 954/3 956/24 957/1
flights [1] 953/19
flippant [1] 834/13
focus [2] 793/12 822/1
focused [1] 813/21
focusing [1] 959/3
folks [4] 679/9 798/12 946/3 956/13
follow [3] 753/5 883/20 965/6
followed [2] 723/23 796/23
following [6] 807/17 809/22 809/25 828/14 862/12 917/6
follows [12] 664/3 753/12 803/3 807/4
819/19 833/3 854/3 909/19 916/3
927/3 933/19 951/13
food [1] 963/15
foot [2] 822/21 897/16
footage [2] 673/24 768/2
football [22] 677/3 723/1 723/5 723/11 725/10 727/17 737/9 766/7 766/7 768/16 794/11 794/14 835/10 835/19 835/19 836/11 850/13 940/12 944/9 944/15 944/16 946/1
force [7] 669/7 678/6 678/7 681/24
682/14 798/14 858/22
forced [3] 744/5 744/8 876/5
forcibly [1] 811/12
foregoing [1] 966/8
foremost [1] 686/9
forest [4] 665/1 665/2 665/12 666/22
forestry [2] 665/15 665/17
forewarn [2] 749/13 951/15
forge [1] 891/13
forget [1] 922/10
form [11] 670/3 689/25 690/12 722/2
728/2 795/17 826/1 867/9 904/7 904/9 939/20
formal [1] 708/16
formally [1] 811/1
formed [1] 722/3
former [6] 761/1 764/15 876/19 876/20 876/22 877/10
formulate [1] 850/1
forth [5] 809/2 860/23 904/12 904/13 904/23
forward [13] 684/3 687/21 693/3 711/8 714/2 718/24 808/21 812/17 813/17 813/19 819/12 860/15 933/15
fought [1] 686/19
found [13] 690/2 690/13 739/20 740/5 741/21 760/15 785/2 834/8 852/3 866/15 931/12 939/4 939/5
foundation [14] 711/14 712/1 712/5
712/10 719/18 722/19 839/22 840/18 856/14 867/2 869/2 875/5 875/16 919/13
four [14] 713/6 713/7 713/8 713/17 887/5 898/11 908/14 909/4 910/7 934/17 934/18 939/20 941/11 954/25 frame [4] 668/12 759/12 823/11 928/21
framed [1] 678/4
frankly [6] 753/16 844/12 846/3 895/15 950/25 959/22
free [4] 939/25 944/14 953/24 953/25
free-spirited [1] 944/14
frequencies [1] 912/19
frequently [5] 861/2 864/6 888/14 896/20 908/13
Friday [5] 953/24 953/25 954/7 954/8 957/23
friend [15] 703/2 703/7 703/17 703/18
703/19 840/4 840/8 843/25 861/17
866/21 895/4 895/6 895/12 905/22 939/23
friendly [1] 783/20
friends [24] 676/24 702/17 702/21 703/13 705/20 836/13 836/20 837/9 837/19 837/21 839/9 840/10 842/9 843/13 843/14 843/21 849/21 850/11 850/12 860/5 861/13 887/7 888/10 888/14
friendship [5] 677/6 830/4 841/5 859/20 861/10
frisk [1] 911/8
front [13] 705/23 706/5 707/22 710/4 710/21 735/21 735/23 747/20 777/1 844/9 870/10 870/11 885/18
frozen [2] 668/24 773/9
frustrated [2] 842/18 955/24
frustrating [1] 782/14
frustration [1] 670/22
FTO [7] 855/25 856/1 888/5 890/8 898/5 898/5 930/13
FTOs [2] 666/24 898/7
fuck [2] 676/8 676/8
fucking [3] 719/24 775/1 775/12 full [30] 664/9 738/23 803/6 803/7 819/24 819/25 822/23 822/24 833/9 833/10 834/25 835/5 836/25 842/16 846/23 846/23 852/14 852/17 854/8 854/22 860/16 887/11 896/16 899/20 909/24 910/16 916/18 927/10 934/1 936/16
full-time [8] 835/5 852/17 854/22 860/16 887/11 899/20 910/16 916/18 fully [8] 669/5 680/3 683/15 693/13
708/14 756/7 789/20 789/21
fun [5] 840/23 842/2 846/11 851/1

\section*{F}
fun... [1] 860/19
fun-loving [2] 840/23 842/2
functioned [1] 858/2
functions [1] 696/8
funds [2] 937/10 937/16
funeral [3] 775/24 776/19 798/3
further [11] 688/1 717/17 738/5 790/3
790/3 797/19 819/1 819/3 915/14
963/17 964/1
future [5] 722/6 722/13 725/10 831/2 952/14

\section*{G}
gain [3] 721/10 840/17 859/13
game [38] 674/16 677/3 687/19 703/4
709/20 709/22 710/6 711/3 711/8
723/1 723/5 723/11 728/25 733/20
734/1 734/5 734/7 734/21 735/2 735/7 735/10 735/13 735/16 735/22 735/24 737/9 737/25 744/13 745/22 766/7 768/16 768/16 786/10 794/11 794/14 843/6 944/16 946/1
games [15] 680/20 710/8 725/10 725/15 725/17 745/25 786/5 836/20 841/9 843/4 937/23 940/12 944/9 944/15 946/4
ganged [1] 688/19
garage [2] 861/4 943/15
Gardner [2] 877/4 881/24
Gary [1] 660/8
gas [1] 894/22
gate [1] 725/2
gather [1] 957/11
gathered [2] 957/15 957/16
gathering [1] 825/21
gaunt [1] 846/4
gave [14] 689/14 699/17 731/9 785/14 791/21 829/4 842/3 874/4 904/3 905/12 914/11 949/13 962/9 963/23 gay [12] 702/25 703/6 703/15 704/3 704/5 704/6 704/7 704/14 733/8 760/14 784/16 784/24
Geeting [1] 725/5
general [11] 704/18 720/14 720/16
781/13 783/14 818/8 851/10 852/1 881/20 946/17 946/20
generally [7] 780/8 850/25 864/19 865/10 866/10 908/9 947/5
generous [2] 842/4 847/25
gentleman [2] 706/23 885/12
gentlemen [6] 719/19 741/2 747/22
754/12 838/4 923/19
Georgia [1] 758/20
get [110] 663/7 667/25 670/1 670/13 670/14 674/12 678/3 684/13 686/14 701/12 708/18 709/5 711/5 715/20 715/22 727/18 729/9 738/16 741/2 742/9 743/19 746/15 747/12 747/15 747/25 748/7 748/16 748/23 749/21 750/19 750/21 751/25 752/24 764/1 767/10 768/21 774/21 776/3 776/18 776/19 777/10 781/9 789/25 792/20 792/24 798/21 801/23 804/2 805/2 810/10 816/17 834/4 834/21 835/16 835/21 836/18 841/4 842/14 842/14 842/18 844/4 844/16 846/1 846/6

846/6 847/9 847/16 851/17 853/15 865/17 870/15 884/25 886/11 890/19 897/16 906/19 908/8 912/6 921/18 928/13 932/14 937/22 938/7 939/10 939/18 940/13 940/15 942/3 944/4 944/19 945/6 949/14 952/8 952/16 955/1 956/9 956/13 956/20 957/24 958/4 958/25 960/8 960/17 963/14 963/14 963/14 963/15 963/19 964/10 965/12
gets [3] 716/9 738/1 809/1
getter [1] 696/3
getting [31] 663/5 670/6 670/12 670/23 672/19 678/7 694/8 700/17 702/25 704/21 723/6 767/5 767/6 774/9 792/2 792/2 795/14 798/19 820/17 834/22 841/3 843/10 843/11 843/23 856/17 877/9 917/5 917/8 941/10 950/3 955/6
girl [1] 703/4
give [37] 681/18 681/21 687/5 689/13 697/7 707/14 710/12 726/24 729/14 730/17 747/14 753/10 753/23 754/21 775/1 780/22 781/4 783/17 786/14 798/3 804/20 812/6 812/13 826/6 835/17 842/3 851/21 852/10 856/17 863/22 886/11 896/14 910/9 916/16 931/3 952/14 955/8
give a [1] 916/16
given [21] 686/18 686/18 689/10
725/25 727/12 739/2 739/2 747/13 755/4 758/21 768/20 826/9 838/15 852/1 885/7 894/22 896/2 907/21 914/19 959/20 960/22
gives [2] 815/9 840/11
giving [5] 685/12 785/16 836/15 839/9 949/8
gladly [2] 922/23 925/5
glasses [1] 821/21
Glencoe [1] 758/20
global [1] 689/1
go [109] 667/23 673/9 674/12 674/14 676/11 676/15 677/3 684/21 684/24 685/6 686/7 686/19 688/24 696/3 697/22 704/9 706/17 707/10 708/17 709/2 709/9 715/3 718/5 718/8 723/14 725/16 734/1 734/5 734/7 743/7 743/10 744/1 745/24 747/24 748/22 752/4 758/13 758/25 759/13 760/19 767/21 768/23 780/13 790/10 791/20 797/17 801/22 808/22 812/20 813/21 816/5 816/11 818/16 822/12 822/13 827/21 836/21 841/24 845/1 845/13 846/9 846/18 848/25 852/11 853/1 857/3 859/11 860/7 860/7 860/8 861/25 865/18 865/24 868/9 868/24 868/24 871/13 872/9 873/11 873/23 882/14 885/12 886/15 886/17 887/24 888/18 890/18 896/20 896/25 897/11 897/12 898/5 904/13 904/23 908/14 912/11 924/20 926/4 928/10 932/13 933/4 946/6 946/8 951/24 952/15 952/19 957/5 958/10 959/19
go-getter [1] 696/3
goal [13] 667/25 669/5 682/21 682/22 683/13 683/15 683/21 687/19 694/21 720/24 852/24 860/17 941/5
goals [2] 669/25 849/10
goes [11] 701/8 766/15 802/1 805/23 845/4 853/2 883/21 911/15 923/17
946/16 957/1
going [200]
golfing [1] 888/22
gone [13] 670/11 685/8 689/3 689/16 700/16 709/18 843/2 846/5 888/22 889/15 935/5 956/16 961/14
good [58] 663/2 663/12 670/1 681/6
681/15 681/24 686/20 686/25 692/19 696/2 703/18 717/9 729/9 743/24 756/11 756/11 763/1 763/4 763/9 763/12 768/18 786/12 786/16 787/9 792/17 804/13 806/15 807/14 811/7 815/25 820/8 831/16 832/11 837/11 838/14 838/19 838/24 839/12 842/24 849/21 849/25 850/11 852/6 880/19 882/16 888/9 897/10 897/13 903/18 913/2 913/4 930/10 930/18 937/11 941/20 942/4 964/13 965/2
Goodnight [2] 958/12 964/3
got [49] 665/1 665/1 668/3 691/17 692/19 693/4 713/9 723/23 724/5 724/10 725/15 728/16 735/16 766/7 772/18 774/8 776/9 776/24 789/7 792/6 792/7 792/17 798/22 816/2 816/6 818/21 828/9 834/6 834/7 835/13 840/4 872/7 885/6 893/13 896/7 897/17 899/9 912/4 934/6
939/24 942/6 942/7 945/8 948/3 952/3 953/19 954/1 959/3 960/19
gotten [6] 764/15 773/24 792/11
861/24 883/8 958/19
Gotti [1] 952/3
governed [1] 952/6
governing [1] 817/24
governs [1] 907/12
grabbed [2] 724/1 765/21
graduate [3] 758/15 804/1 937/25
graduated [2] 665/5 666/11
grandchildren [1] 944/24
grandfather [1] 934/22
granted [2] 739/14 863/16
granting [1] 739/11
Grave [2] 706/21 706/22
graveyard [14] 670/15 670/22 671/6 672/13 673/12 673/14 673/17 675/9 704/6 720/3 779/6 779/8 779/8 780/4
gravity [2] 752/16 964/15
gray [2] 692/18 692/24
great [7] 680/4 680/18 698/4 846/11 934/22 953/1 960/15
greater [2] 743/8 758/24
greatest [1] 856/20
greatly [1] 840/7
GREGORY [1] 660/3
grew [2] 752/18 941/14
grievance [4] 810/24 810/25 815/5 816/7
grievances [3] 808/21 813/16 813/18 ground [5] 724/15 777/1 811/6 811/9 813/11
grounds [1] 716/16
group [10] 667/10 669/22 681/20 841/11 846/17 856/19 889/10 889/13 900/10 942/25
groups [2] 837/21 846/24
growing [2] 694/25 937/7
guard [5] 708/19 752/13 773/5 773/11 773/12
guarded [2] 784/2 784/17
guess [13] 677/25 686/22 696/3 780/3 784/7 840/16 865/15 871/2 903/15 907/6 955/10 956/22 957/25
guessing [1] 942/21
guidance [1] 892/3
guide [2] 940/1 940/2
gun [4] 737/25 738/3 948/9 948/14
gung [1] 678/17
gung-ho [1] 678/17
guy [7] 724/17 787/20 805/23 840/23 842/2 842/6 842/6
guys [7] 704/3 725/9 776/12 847/2
891/17 939/12 940/14
guys' [1] 836/12
guys's [2] 859/24 861/10

\section*{H}
habitual [1] 690/19
had [355]
hadn't [7] 673/8 701/19 712/12 756/6 792/7 792/10 870/3
Hagemann [13] 808/5 808/6 808/10
808/13 809/5 809/17 809/24 810/19
817/11 817/19 818/5 818/6 818/8
Hagemann's [1] 810/8
half [4] 748/5 901/6 928/20 955/14
halfway [2] 830/6 955/18
hall [1] 663/8
hallway [6] 673/24 674/1 675/7 729/25 729/25 832/19
hand [20] 663/22 687/7 717/23 717/25
718/2 748/25 802/21 806/23 806/25
812/24 819/15 820/20 824/8 832/23
853/20 853/23 909/15 915/24 926/24
933/16
hand-deliver [1] 717/23
hand-delivered [2] 717/25 718/2
handcuffs [1] 724/15
handed [3] 688/9 746/21 914/20
handful [1] 900/8
handing [1] 820/23
handle [5] 680/24 790/5 890/18
957/10 964/12
handled [1] 929/5
hands [3] 707/5 763/3 786/19
hands-on [1] 763/3
handwriting [2] 751/18 751/19
hang [2] 673/10 686/20
hanging [1] 677/1
happen [14] 724/4 760/24 775/21
784/8 851/9 863/8 941/19 943/24
944/5 944/9 945/11 949/3 949/7 960/8
happened [35] 666/6 668/10 668/21 676/6 693/1 698/17 699/12 700/21
703/23 707/18 709/21 711/13 713/12
717/22 718/4 718/16 719/25 722/25
723/22 724/20 741/20 768/3 811/3
811/17 812/13 845/9 851/12 851/13
939/12 940/10 940/21 940/22 948/10 948/12 948/18
happening [9] 671/19 715/4 715/8

770/16 891/14 912/23 917/25 932/20 944/7
happens [1] 945/3
happy [7] 691/18 729/14 745/18 846/8 858/3 954/10 959/7
harassed [1] 760/22
harassment [2] 773/17 799/6
hard [32] 667/24 669/13 670/5 686/4 686/11 687/3 692/8 692/8 698/25 699/6 699/6 699/9 699/14 699/22
699/22 714/2 714/2 717/8 717/20 718/24 737/21 763/12 767/6 768/19 798/16 798/25 802/19 840/12 846/6 904/10 941/10 963/22
hard-and-fast [1] 737/21
hardly [2] 784/12 861/14
harm [2] 708/25 771/7
harmed [1] 858/25
Harrang [1] 660/8
has [62] 667/18 668/1 710/1 710/3 718/17 718/18 718/19 718/22 722/12 735/20 739/8 740/4 747/10 753/2 764/5 774/11 805/22 806/4 813/16 822/8 831/19 833/21 838/23 839/20 847/6 847/9 847/21 859/14 861/3 861/6 861/10 861/19 861/21 862/2 862/3 863/1 863/25 864/1 864/10 869/7 869/19 875/13 875/20 881/8 882/18 884/4 884/10 884/22 885/3 900/15 900/18 922/16 939/14 944/10 944/21 951/5 951/16 953/4 955/7 956/15 960/25 964/17
hasn't [2] 747/5 753/18
Hasselman [3] 891/22 892/3 892/16 have [380]
haven't [8] 707/12 748/11 753/14 883/8 883/8 923/25 924/14 930/22
having [39] 668/22 675/5 681/8 681/21 701/25 703/11 703/14 705/24 707/22 712/25 717/11 728/24 752/24 766/18 767/9 776/8 779/1 788/13 790/1 792/3 823/17 838/18 844/2 847/25 850/19 850/20 851/1 851/20 851/22 860/6 862/15 874/19 874/20 901/22 911/21 929/24 941/10 945/7 948/14
Hayles [5] 737/1 764/11 764/16 764/20 765/1
he [630]
he'd [2] 784/18 942/12
he'll [4] 831/23 831/25 840/13 944/16
he's [92] 678/20 689/18 689/21 705/20 705/22 706/22 707/7 723/18 723/18 734/24 735/23 739/8 768/24 805/23 805/25 822/18 823/20 823/20 826/9 831/13 839/12 839/12 839/13 839/14 840/3 840/8 840/9 842/4 842/6 842/6 842/6 843/4 843/19 843/25 843/25 844/8 844/8 844/8 844/9 844/9 844/9 846/3 846/5 846/13 846/14 847/17 847/18 847/18 847/25 848/2 851/3 859/7 859/8 861/23 862/4 862/15 866/25 869/13 869/25 876/17 876/23 877/13 881/18 881/23 883/16 883/19 883/22 884/3 884/5 885/4 885/8 885/16 886/9 896/3 897/12 898/20 932/11 932/15 939/15 944/13 944/14

944/15 944/15 944/16 944/17 945/1 945/1 945/8 945/9 955/13 959/12 960/2
head [5] 677/7 714/4 770/11 824/5 945/8
headed [1] 805/23
heading [2] 822/15 822/25
health [3] 668/1 862/10 866/20
healthy [4] 667/23 813/12 822/8 944/13
hear [36] 671/2 674/11 675/12 676/12 682/23 690/4 690/5 704/15 705/10 722/20 722/21 729/19 746/9 746/11 753/15 810/23 810/25 813/16 849/16 909/24 912/19 912/22 912/25 916/8 917/24 919/6 933/25 941/12 941/22 941/23 945/14 948/10 952/7 954/13 955/16 955/19
heard [21] 679/18 809/11 809/24 810/12 811/22 815/11 815/13 838/22 839/19 860/25 863/22 887/6 895/17 919/3 923/8 923/12 923/25 950/24 955/25 956/10 960/3
hearing [29] 670/25 808/4 808/6 809/6 809/11 809/15 809/20 809/22 809/23 810/7 810/9 811/2 811/3 811/4 812/2 812/18 812/20 814/14 816/2 816/6 816/19 817/4 818/21 818/23 875/24 875/25 883/3 898/22 941/2
hearings [6] 808/16 809/10 810/12 810/13 810/21 813/14
hears [1] 672/9
hearsay [14] 671/1 671/3 677/13
715/14 725/12 813/25 814/10 837/20 837/23 845/3 876/6 877/17 923/14 942/17
heavy [1] 680/19
held [7] 667/11 673/17 717/18 808/4 808/6 815/5 815/12
help [17] 686/14 715/10 715/12 723/22 790/4 836/12 859/12 859/12 861/6 883/11 885/5 908/4 937/10 941/18 944/20 958/7 958/22
helped [3] 834/23 857/14 878/17 helpful [1] 961/2
helping [4] 683/13 858/5 878/24 929/13
helps [2] 805/24 844/11
her [76] 674/6 683/7 686/7 711/16 711/17 711/17 712/10 713/24 715/10 715/17 716/17 719/19 720/23 721/5 721/6 721/10 721/21 729/2 731/14 737/2 737/2 737/4 740/8 740/12 740/17 740/20 741/4 741/23 746/9 746/14 747/8 749/5 749/6 749/7 749/19 754/20 755/6 761/2 761/7 761/7 761/17 761/17 761/22 764/15 765/15 765/21 766/19 766/19 766/22 767/4 767/5 767/7 767/11 767/14 768/1 769/4 770/12 770/20 771/1 777/18 777/24 782/13 790/12 793/7 793/13 816/16 837/1 837/2 858/17 858/17 892/23 892/23 943/19 948/9 949/22 953/16
here [50] 664/20 671/6 697/22 704/20 705/18 710/16 716/18 743/24 745/12 748/17 748/17 748/17 752/5 752/11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline H & 831/13 854/13 869/13 870/1 872/2 & I \\
\hline here... [36] 752/15 752/19 757/16 & & sked [1] 703/25 \\
\hline 762/19 766/1 781/21 810/17 822/1 & 915/16 921/10 922/15 & I'd [7] 696/12 727/9 860/24 861/6 \\
\hline 833/17 834/13 842/9 844/1 854/17 &  & 867/25 917/7 941 \\
\hline 854/18 868/5 876/25 877/19 877/22 &  & I'Il [49] 663/7 687/10 697/6 698/18 \\
\hline 878/4 892/24 895/11 902/24 909/21 &  & 704/20 705/25 712/8 712/9 729/14 \\
\hline 916/6 923/7 931/10 932/11 932/15 &  & 732/18 746/4 747/23 \\
\hline 933/21 950/24 951/1 951/4 954/10 & ; [1 & 751/10 751/14 751/15 751/25 768/21 \\
\hline 957/23 959/25 960/16 & hoops [1] 797/17 & 774/7 790/25 794/9 821/19 821/21 \\
\hline here's [2] 686/24 963/22 & hope [4] 717/18 814/17 814/19 814/25 & 822/13 831/1 833/4 840/16 865/10 \\
\hline Hermens [1] 725/2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{h} \\
& \mathrm{~h}
\end{aligned}
\] & 877/14 912/8 913/16 915/11 919/3 \\
\hline hers [1] 765/14 & hopeful [3] 6 & 919/12 920/5 923/23 924/11 930/24 \\
\hline hesitate [1] 953/1 & hopefully [2] 955/18 956/18 & 947/4 948/25 953/13 953/21 957/24 \\
\hline Hess [2] 822/12 868/8 & hoping [5] 678/14 752/12 767/10 & 958/23 964/4 964/5 964/11 964/12 \\
\hline Hey [4] 769/11 769/14 770/4 843/6 & \[
943 / 14955 / 16
\] & I'm [184] \\
\hline Hi [1] 697/18 & & I's [1] 794/4 \\
\hline high [14] 665/3 665/22 665/22 702/13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Horner [8] } \\
& 707 / 2070 / 21787 / 19789 / 8
\end{aligned}
\] & I've [54] 665/2 666/22 718/11 745/13 \\
\hline 739/12 752/6 798/16 842/8 878/9 & horrible [1] 716/23 & 747/1 754/19 765/10 807/19 841/2 \\
\hline 938/3 938/3 938/4 939/16 952/3 & hospital [1] 949/15 & 841/9 845/10 854/22 855/3 855/5 \\
\hline high-liability [1] 878/9 & hospitality [1] 834/22 & 855/5 855/5 855/6 855/6 855/7 861/2 \\
\hline high-tech [1] 752/6 & hospitality [1] 834/22 & 863/6 882/16 885/6 887/4 888/22 \\
\hline higher [3] 686/1 687/1 689/11 &  & 888/22 890/8 893/6 893/12 895/16 \\
\hline highly [3] 678/20 723/8 787/9 & & 899/19 899/24 899/25 899/25 908/20 \\
\hline Hilton [1] 834/23 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { hostage [6] } 858 \\
& 893 / 16893 / 208
\end{aligned}\right.
\] & 912/2 916/18 923/8 936/12 945/8 \\
\hline him [255] & & 948/12 948/15 948/15 948/16 948/16 \\
\hline himself [7] 680/24 681/13 846/3 848/1 & hot [2] 706/16 838/12 & 948/16 948/21 948/21 951/12 953/19 \\
\hline 862/13 944/15 945/6 & Hotel [1] 834/23 & 954/1 955/4 955/5 959/20 \\
\hline hire [11] 679/13 680/1 680/2 680/6 & Hotline [1] 936/19 & IA [1] 793/15 \\
\hline 702/13 787/20 787/21 788/11 823/9 & shots [1] 666/ & ice [1] 937/14 \\
\hline 863/18 888/12 &  & ID [1] 724/12 \\
\hline hired [26] 669/16 677/20 679/3 679/24 & & idea [9] 703/1 743/24 804/20 838/15 \\
\hline 680/15 680/17 692/20 692/20 702/ & 896/25 909/4 910/7 & 838/19 888/9 898/14 900/7 951/10 \\
\hline 702/12 702/17 762/3 772/7 785/23 &  & ideally [1] 808/24 \\
\hline 787/10 789/7 795/20 796/1 799/13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hours [33] } 669 / 146 / 1 / 116 / 3 / 6 \\
& 694 / 12694 / 12696 / 10696 / 1069
\end{aligned}
\] & identification [3] 724/11 754/17 \\
\hline 799/15 799/18 825/8 856/23 863/16 & 699/14 714/3 763/8 & 892/13 \\
\hline 863/19 936/11 &  & identified [1] 684/2 \\
\hline hiring [14] 679/4 679/21 772/21 787/1 & \[
85
\] & identify [5] 726/25 821/2 821/5 867/1 \\
\hline 787/12 788/10 863/5 863/6 863/7 & 874/3 896/22 897/11 917/5 918/8 & 937/5 \\
\hline 863/13 899/17 899/19 926/9 945/21 & 918/10 929/7 953/11 954/25 954/25 & identifying [3] 696/13 696/19 770/10 \\
\hline his [228] & & identity [1] 929/11 \\
\hline historically [1] 846/18 &  & III [1] 884/19 \\
\hline histories [1] 693/21 &  & illegally [2] 701/25 742/10 \\
\hline history [12] 693/15 694/6 694/21 & 908/23 940/5 & image [2] 806/18 859/13 \\
\hline 695/7 695/24 696/11 697/19 698/7 & how [172] & imagine [9] 747/9 750/8 863/11 \\
\hline 698/13 834/4 935/20 935/22 &  & 883/14 904/8 904/11 955/14 955/2 \\
\hline hit [6] 700/3 798/12 895/22 895/25 898/13 907/21 & however [4] 780/12 831/1 863/18 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { 958/1 } \\
\text { immediate 「31 704/5 755/17 934/16 }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline hitting [1] 775/17 & & immediately [12] 707/2 715/18 753/6 \\
\hline ho [1] 678/17 & HR [2] 688/6 715/3 hub [1] 943/18 & 756/10 756/19 768/3 768/9 768/12 \\
\hline Hoffman [3] 832/16 832/19 933/10 & huge [4] 681/11 789/21 885/7 945/2 & 807/16 812/24 832/16 899/10 \\
\hline hold [6] 665/11 665/12 811/18 813/11 844/4 877/12 & huh [2] 688/7 947/20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
impact [1] 724/2 \\
impartial [2] 813/17 818/21
\end{tabular} \\
\hline holders [2] 709/24 711/5 & human [4] 688/3 714/25 793/24 794/1 & impeach [1] 729/5 \\
\hline holding [5] 748/8 815/13 876/24 877/11 956/5 & 923/8 934/16 943/19 946/7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
impeaching [1] 826/11 \\
impeachment [2] 728/13 826/9
\end{tabular} \\
\hline home [13] 677/3 726/3 780/3 780/13 & hung [2] 837/8 850/12 & implemented [1] 906/22 \\
\hline 798/12 798/22 836/11 844/19 865/18 & \begin{tabular}{l}
hurt [3] 731/20 945/6 950/3 \\
husband [24] 667/16 702/21 706/23
\end{tabular} & imploring [1] 952/22 \\
\hline 935/17 951/24 958/18 963/14 & husband [24] 667/16 702/21 706/23 & implying [1] 953/23 \\
\hline homophobic [2] 704/16 705/15 & 724/5 724/9 & important [6] 748/23 770/14 938/23 \\
\hline honest [3] 842/16 867/11 904/14 & 725/15 726/4 726/10 726/16 727/1 & 938/24 943/12 958/25 \\
\hline honestly [7] 750/15 823/8 823/24 859/4 862/9 889/14 897/14 & 737/8 737/14 738/3 738/7 738/24 & impression [4] 791/22 792/20 792/24 \\
\hline honesty [4] 681/4 805/18 806/4 947/7 & & improve [3] 669/7 683/20 859/12 \\
\hline Honor [41] 663/19 664/16 696/16 697/3 705/17 705/19 716/19 727/9 729/7 729/15 732/18 740/23 746/4 748/15 749/25 774/7 823/14 827/9 & hypocritical [1] 770/20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
improven [1] 847/18 \\
in-custody [2] 936/5 936/7 \\
in-depth [1] 848/5 \\
inaccurate [4] 682/7 795/16 912/23
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
inaccurate... [1] 951/25
inaccurately [1] 780/25
inappropriate [10] 675/1 675/3 677/8 677/11 677/18 703/8 769/9 931/12 931/13 931/15
incident [25] 706/12 707/18 708/6 709/20 724/21 725/8 726/21 728/24 733/20 735/13 737/8 742/20 742/21 745/22 774/20 775/8 777/5 777/7 777/14 778/23 798/2 860/14 866/16 893/7 895/16
incidents [1] 860/13
include [2] 763/9 907/25
included [4] 867/19 878/2 900/14 913/6
including [6] 679/9 680/20 879/9
938/5 940/11 963/23
incorrect [3] 682/3 712/9 892/13
incorrectly [1] 904/17
increased [1] 862/3
incredible [2] 787/20 954/10
independent [2] 821/19 958/10
INDEX [2] 661/1 662/1
Indian [1] 804/24
indicate [1] 867/12
indicates [1] 698/11
individual [2] 753/12 927/25
individually [2] 694/1 763/11
individuals [1] 942/23
Indonesia [1] 957/8
infidelity [1] 964/9
info [1] 879/21
informal [2] 889/17 889/18
information [31] 695/5 696/13 701/18 720/5 744/17 747/15 753/14 761/11 765/5 772/3 788/6 795/12 796/17 796/22 797/7 835/18 855/6 857/21 864/3 867/19 867/22 870/23 876/24 878/2 890/19 893/4 908/12 911/22 912/1 913/7 932/14
informed [5] 801/12 812/22 813/3 813/6 955/13
infrequently [2] 861/1 866/14
initial [4] 752/23 766/12 919/22 922/3
initially [3] 669/3 670/1 863/10
initiated [3] 822/15 822/19 822/25
initiates [1] 822/21
initiative [5] 671/18 683/22 691/22
693/10 699/16
input [1] 913/12
inquire [1] 953/9
inquiry [1] 753/22
inside [1] 858/18
inspections [2] 878/7 878/10
installed [1] 873/9
instance [3] 686/6 720/19 956/14
instances [1] 774/15
instantly [1] 724/3
instead [1] 673/2
instructing [1] 855/12
instruction [4] 791/11 791/12 791/15 791/16
instructions [1] 963/19
instructor [1] 937/1
instrumental [1] 929/12
insurmountable [1] 814/15
integrity [4] 688/25 722/9 742/2 889/3 intelligent [1] 857/3
intended [4] 810/1 812/4 812/22 848/24
intensive [5] 758/20 759/2 759/4 759/11 759/11
intent [11] 692/21 770/23 771/1 771/7
771/13 771/15 771/17 777/23 790/16 831/1 885/2
interact [3] 783/3 785/25 917/9
interactions [2] 835/20 836/21
interest [4] 808/23 822/5 938/15 952/4
interim [1] 876/4
intern [1] 665/21
internal [8] 677/7 721/23 732/24 734/9
736/2 739/19 778/8 874/4
international [1] 846/25
Internet [17] 693/15 693/21 694/6
694/21 695/7 695/20 695/24 697/19
698/7 698/12 754/3 770/25 951/21
951/22 952/15 952/20 958/10
interrupt [1] 675/9
interview [2] 874/9 908/15
interviews [1] 874/12
intoxicated [2] 723/8 772/4
intro [1] 815/20
introduce [1] 854/19
intrusion [1] 791/23
inundated [1] 940/5
investigate [5] 763/17 778/14 797/18
825/15 825/17
investigated [6] 701/21 702/3 702/6 706/1 767/17 795/1
investigating [6] 778/10 866/1 867/17
911/11 911/12 936/17
investigation [23] 700/1 700/4 702/4 713/22 732/25 733/5 734/10 736/2 739/19 741/17 742/14 745/23 767/21 778/5 778/6 778/13 788/7 793/16 825/20 855/22 874/24 894/15 894/21 investigations [6] 714/12 862/21 862/24 899/24 945/18 945/21 investigator [2] 863/14 863/17 investigators [1] 892/18 invited [1] 847/10
involve [2] 789/6 947/7
involved [22] 797/1 808/3 809/14 817/4 820/21 846/6 849/11 858/9 858/24 863/5 863/6 878/5 881/9 899/17 899/19 926/11 934/24 937/7 938/7 949/12 951/11 957/7 involvement [3] 724/22 725/6 903/9 involving [7] 798/4 808/24 878/4
907/2 907/17 908/15 952/1
irrelevant [2] 705/17 713/18
is [452]
isn't [24] 726/13 732/9 735/11 736/6 740/21 744/14 760/6 772/21 781/20 781/25 795/10 799/6 799/10 799/14 815/23 825/6 825/17 828/3 851/8 880/20 894/20 906/13 957/15 962/6 isolated [2] 706/5 706/7
issue [25] 674/9 677/5 678/5 694/22 705/20 716/17 726/6 728/18 744/12 744/14 745/8 749/22 809/5 809/11 809/21 811/25 866/15 908/23 927/20 930/21 931/21 943/4 948/1 964/4

964/7
issued [6] 726/22 727/1 809/23 809/25

\section*{815/7 925/4}
issues [22] 668/25 670/23 675/21
681/2 681/4 685/24 685/25 686/1
696/9 698/23 720/1 720/4 791/25
794/18 810/13 843/16 867/6 902/5
912/16 930/3 944/2 964/6
it [840]
it's [164]
items [3] 722/16 800/17 881/22
its [4] 704/22 752/18 763/25 764/5
itself [2] 788/9 810/22
IV [1] 884/18

\section*{J}

J-A-C-K-S-O-N [1] 910/2
jabbed [1] 724/7
Jackson [5] 909/9 909/14 909/17 910/1 914/3
jail [1] 935/13
JAMES [52] 659/4 677/25 678/5
678/20 678/21 679/6 679/14 679/17 681/24 803/16 804/12 835/7 840/13 842/12 847/18 855/10 855/25 856/2 856/18 857/2 857/7 857/8 857/19 858/2 858/14 858/19 859/9 859/25 860/3 860/9 860/14 860/20 860/21 860/24 861/4 861/6 862/2 862/7 862/7 862/12 862/13 862/14 865/13 894/14 894/18 897/9 898/12 901/22 913/5 934/23 934/23 937/9
James Cleavenger [1] 855/10
James Cleavenger's [1] 677/25
Jamie [2] 717/25 731/9
jampacked [1] 752/11
January [2] 691/5 918/10
JASON [18] 660/2 664/19 793/6 803/22 833/15 833/16 852/23 854/16 906/11 910/6 915/10 916/14 922/14 926/2 927/19 932/2 934/11 950/2
Jefferson [1] 937/2
Jen [1] 799/5
Jen Parker [1] 799/5
Jennifer [2] 760/25 765/19
Jessup [2] 660/20 966/14
Jill [2] 660/20 966/14
\(\operatorname{Jim}[17]\) 805/22 834/3 835/11 836/14 836/15 839/9 840/23 841/25 843/14 844/7 844/10 844/10 844/20 845/14 847/2 847/23 850/3
Jim Cleavenger [1] 841/25
job [38] 665/20 666/14 669/14 685/15 692/20 699/6 713/19 718/25 759/7 762/10 762/18 772/5 772/17 773/9 773/10 773/13 779/13 792/2 792/7 792/17 822/18 834/21 845/8 846/11 850/25 853/3 863/18 865/24 890/21 895/13 897/17 897/19 917/8 935/4 942/2 947/15 961/6 961/7
job-related [1] 772/5
jobs [5] 666/12 666/21 758/9 787/11 834/15
John [5] 806/17 806/21 807/2 894/2 952/3
John Ahlen [2] 806/17 806/21
John Gotti [1] 952/3
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(J\) & 916/14 926/2 927/19 932/2 934/11 & Larry [1] 96 \\
\hline Johnson [2] 765/9 770/2 & keep [7] 716/11 835/6 838/17 889/15 & last [40] 664/10 666/25 671/20 674/2 \\
\hline joint [1] 957/19 & 890/2 960/7 965/5 & 689/10 689/15 697/18 715/5 716/13 \\
\hline & Ken [1] 909/9 & 717/1 717/13 717/18 717/23 718/10 \\
\hline [4] 703/2 703/9 784/25 860/20 & KENNETH [2] 909/17 910/1 & 722/16 748/4 765/15 800/17 800/21 \\
\hline 2] 704/4 952/5 & Kent [3] 684/6 690/17 690/19 & 807/7 808/7 819/24 833/9 845/22 \\
\hline JONATHAN [1] & kept [8] 681/13 710/7 710/9 713/24 & 846/2 846/5 846/15 847/7 854/9 \\
\hline journalism [1] 717/7 & 765/16 783/ & 61/10 862/7 909/25 916/9 922/22 \\
\hline jovial [2] 860/19 944/14 & key [1] 815/23 & 927/13 928/24 932/3 934/3 936/15 \\
\hline judge [3] 659/16 786/21 951/13 & kidding [1] 831/23 & 944 \\
\hline judges [3] 937/4 957/14 957/17 & \begin{tabular}{l}
kidnapping [1] 893/16 \\
kids [19] 702/20 703/12 706/24 707/9
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
last-chance [2] 689/10 689/15 \\
late [5] 671/21 684/15 747/16 834/2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline judgment [10] 681/6 743/2 743/3 & 709/21 711/7 717/11 839/8 839/11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { late [5] } \\
& 837 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 897/10 897/13 913/2 913/5 914/12
\[
930 / 10 \text { 964/21 }
\] & 839/12 839/16 845/13 853/1 853/15 & later [18] 668/5 701/14 725/5 726/3 \\
\hline Judiciary [1] 957/21 & 934/17 934/18 934/18 940/7 941/15 & 726/6 726/22 727/18 741/2 748/22 \\
\hline July [6] 823/8 823/12 829/23 830/2 & KIEL [1] 660/3 & 755/23 794/18 800/17 837/4 841/1 \\
\hline 854/23 963/11 & Kilcullen [4] 671/20 698/25 79 & 846/22 862/15 879/3 938/17 \\
\hline July 1 [1] 854/23 & 798/5 & latitude [2] 959/20 959/25 \\
\hline July 11 [1] 963/11 & killed [1] 692/10 & laugh [1] 844/10 \\
\hline July 3 [1] 829/23 & kind [59] 664/5 669/18 676/10 676/15 & laughed [1] 860/19 \\
\hline July 3rd [1] 823/12 & 678/10 680/5 688/18 688/19 689/1 & law [74] 666/1 666/7 666/12 666/13 \\
\hline July 4 [1] 823/8 & 693/3 699/15 699/20 699/25 70 & 666/14 666/15 666/20 666/22 6 \\
\hline jump [1] 797/23 & 04/9 705/24 707/9 708/9 710/24 & 678/13 678/18 722/6 722/8 722/ \\
\hline Junction [72] 854/23 854/24 855/2 & & \(9773 / 3799 / 2282214\) \\
\hline 855/18 857/1 859/15 864/13 864/20 & 745/18 752/8 \(752 / 13\) &  \\
\hline 864/24 865/25 868/15 872/12 872/22 & \(797 / 5\) 797/7 \(797 / 18\) 806/22 811/20 &  \\
\hline 873/19 874/8 874/20 878/20 880/8 & 833/7 834/15 837/2 842/14 & 855/12 856/3 859/2 860/1 862/18 \\
\hline 887/1 887/9 887/20 889/1 889/8 & 848/5 856/25 859/17 860/4 860/21 & 864/1 864/2 865/10 865/16 878/9 \\
\hline 889/20 890/1 890/11 891/1 894/7 & 871/7 889/17 897/6 & 84 884/23 888/8 897/23 8 \\
\hline 894/24 895/13 895/18 896/9 896/15 & 898/8 898/9 900/13 929/19 930/16 & 899/7 908/20 910/11 910/13 916/17 \\
\hline 897/3 897/8 897/19 898/19 899/21 & 938/19 943/17 943/18 944/14 951/9 & 928/14 930/16 934/19 934/21 934/24 \\
\hline 900/6 902/17 905/10 906/18 907/1 & kindergarten [1] 835/5 & 935/2 935/3 935/8 935/24 937/3 938 \\
\hline 907/1 907/20 910/21 910/23 911/2 & kinds [1] 695/2 & 938/8 938/15 938/17 938/19 938/25 \\
\hline 911/17 916/20 916/23 918/5 918/8 & King [5] 714/24 718/2 755/24 756/9 & 939/2 940/4 942/25 942/25 943/16 \\
\hline 918/17 920/25 921/14 921/17 924/12 & 756/17 & 945/24 946/9 950/4 954 \\
\hline 924/22 925/3 925/5 925/8 925/11 & knew [42] 672/22 676/17 679/20 & laws [3] 667/10 894/4 924/23 \\
\hline 926/9 928/18 939/5 941/7 956/14 & 680/23 685/1 687/18 699/10 700/6 & lawsuit [3] 881/9 881/14 951/14 \\
\hline 959/12 959/16 959/21 960/3 & 700/14 702/11 702/15 709/4 713/16 & lawyer [2] 848/21 848/22 \\
\hline June [1] 713/4 & 714/15 719/12 720/5 723/14 724/3 & lay [2] 712/9 919/13 \\
\hline junior [2] 665/22 665/23 & 756/10 759/24 766/6 766/8 766/20 & lazy [1] 957/14 \\
\hline juror [3] 753/12 753/12 953/3 & 78/9 778/12 779/11 779/22 & leadership [1] 685/9 \\
\hline jurors [21] 675/25 716/2 716/22 & 784/5 793/11 796/22 800/3 818/9 & leading [10] 673/2 687/8 687/9 689/22 \\
\hline 722/25 724/21 753/15 753/17 798/3 & 838/13 838/19 839/4 845/19 862/14 & 694/18 714/8 812/8 828/8 865/7 \\
\hline 803/8 808/11 819/23 833/18 836/12 & Knight [2] 706/14 776/13 & leads [1] 885/13 \\
\hline 854/8 863/22 864/19 927/11 934/7 & Knight [2] 706/14 776/13 know [381] & leads [1] 885/13 league [1] 772/18 \\
\hline 935/8 951/3 952/7 & knowing [6] 668/2 702/16 714/3 714/4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
league [1] 772/18 \\
leap [1] 789/21
\end{tabular} \\
\hline jury [40] 663/5 663/11 663/16 664/9 & knowing [6] 668/2 702/16 714/3 714/4
\[
716 / 13857 / 19
\] & learn [2] 755/22 \\
\hline 664/20 728/4 728/15 729/16 729/20 & & learned [1] 719/12 \\
\hline 729/21 747/21 748/2 748/24 749/8 & 809/16 810/6 810/18 856/20 875/17 & learning [2] 850/19 \\
\hline 752/15 752/21 753/16 753/20 754/10 & 901/18 923/18 930/6 949/16 959/13 & learns [1] 822/9 \\
\hline 754/11 801/19 802/3 802/5 808/9 & & least [13] 740/16 752/12 798/15 \\
\hline 831/16 833/8 854/18 880/19 880/24 & \[
726 / 4779 / 20
\] & 835/16 835/19 837/4 837/8 837/16 \\
\hline 910/9 916/17 934/1 958/14 958/16 & knows [5] 702/19 707/23 712/11 & 874/24 929/5 951/11 955/14 962/23 \\
\hline 963/19 & 723/19 860/9 & leave [26] 668/3 714/14 726/4 731/2 \\
\hline jury-wide [1] 753/20 & L & 881/18 883/16 885/4 885/9 885/10 \\
\hline just [274] \({ }^{\text {justice [4] }} 665 / 6\) 665/13 665/16 957/13 & lacking [1] 712/5 & 885/10 885/16 886/3 886/10 887/1 \\
\hline justice [4] 665/6 665/13 665/16 957/13 justified [1] 731/17 & ladies [6] 719/19 741/2 747/22 754/12 & 887/3 887/4 887/4 914/3 953/20 \\
\hline juvenile [2] 935/25 935/25 & Lake [2] 939/22 940/2 & 2] 758/8 886/8 \\
\hline juveniles [1] 936/7 & Lane [2] 679/6 855/12 & BRECHT [80] 659/8 670/18 67 \\
\hline K & lap [1] 948/9 & 671/10 671/22 671/25 672/6 672/17 \\
\hline KAFOURY [19] 660/2 660/3 660/4 664/19 793/6 803/22 833/15 833/16 852/23 854/16 906/11 910/6 915/10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
large [5] 669/22 669/23 702/4 900/9 900/10 \\
larger [2] 692/4 758/18
\end{tabular} & 673/1 673/17 675/12 675/22 676/23 677/2 695/19 695/23 696/6 696/25 697/14 698/7 698/12 698/20 700/8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L & 906/18 913/17 915/11 919/4 919/25 & 675/15 676/12 \\
\hline LEBRECHT... [57] 700/10 702/9 704/1 & 920/2 920/5 923/1 & 682/14 682/17 688/17 688/18 690 \\
\hline 704/11 704/15 705/5 705/21 705/23 & letterhead [2] 906/18 906/18 & 696/12 697/5 700/10 703/7 704/25 \\
\hline 706/5 707/17 707/19 707/21 708/3 & letters [7] 687/13 718/14 748/20 750/4 & 707/9 710/19 716/3 716/22 716/25 \\
\hline 713/8 714/12 715/9 719/1 719/16 & 794/2 884/11 884/12 & 723/21 724/2 725/19 727/17 736/9 \\
\hline 719/22 722/1 731/23 732/6 732/16 & level [19] 667/8 687/1 702/14 724/8 & 744/18 744/20 744/20 745/6 746/1 \\
\hline 736/8 744/13 755/14 756/6 756/11 & 724/15 763/19 765/14 805/23 808/23 & 754/24 767/5 775/23 782/24 783/4 \\
\hline 756/14 758/2 760/20 762/5 763/14 & 811/21 842/5 930/16 937/18 937/19 & 784/11 789/6 790/11 811/18 811/24 \\
\hline 774/2 774/6 775/5 776/2 781/24 782/2 & 937/22 937/22 937/24 937/25 937/25 & 814/17 818/25 \\
\hline 782/10 783/7 783/20 784/8 784/19 & level-headed [1] 805/23 & 824/21 825/10 827/9 835/13 836/20 \\
\hline 785/1 785/6 816/18 816/21 817/7 & levels [1] 667/8 & 839/5 843/1 843/2 843/2 843/4 844/8 \\
\hline 817/15 868/25 869/4 869/6 869/10 & liability [1] 878/9 & 844/18 844/22 846/15 846/17 847/23 \\
\hline 954/14 955/2 959/4 & liaison [1] 917/7 & 848/4 849/9 849/25 850/21 852/7 \\
\hline Lebrecht's [1] 73 & liar [1] 775/12 & 852/7 856/22 860/2 862/1 862/4 \\
\hline led [7] 666/7 703/15 715/17 732/25 & liberal [1] 790/13 & 863/11 874/13 887/21 890/5 890/7 \\
\hline 781/8 892/25 902/19 & librarian [2] 835/3 839/6 & 897/6 901/4 912/11 914/24 918/22 \\
\hline left [14] 675/18 680/5 686/18 691/5 & lie [1] 864/1 & 929/24 930/19 931/10 \\
\hline 717/17 762/6 784/13 790/2 790/4 & lies [2] 748/12 748/13 & 933/14 937/11 944/1 947/4 952/12 \\
\hline 796/2 843/2 886/25 910/18 935/24 & lieu [2] 792/6 907/12 & 959/3 960/20 962/18 \\
\hline legal [7] 682/24 857/9 891/22 898/19 & lieutenant [147] 668/13 668/18 66 & like-minded [1] 835/13 \\
\hline 917/20 930/14 945/24 & 669/4 669/8 670/18 670/19 670/21 & liked [9] 663/3 676/1 676/7 680/4 \\
\hline legally [1] 834/18 & 671/10 671/22 671/25 672/5 672/6 & 681/7 688/14 745/8 835/14 931/16 \\
\hline legislature [1] 693 & 672/17 673/1 673/17 675/12 675/22 & likewise [1] 692/6 \\
\hline lengthy [2] 753/22 858/1 & 676/22 676/22 677/2 677/3 677/4 & limited [5] 667/9 738/20 738/22 784/6 \\
\hline LEO [1] 667/7 & 677/6 677/11 683/24 692/20 695/8 & 935/3 \\
\hline LEOs [1] 666/15 & & Lincoln [3] \\
\hline LeRoy [10] 688/11 688/14 688/19 & & Linda [5] 714/24 718/2 755/24 75 \\
\hline 689/8 690/11 778/21 778/23 779/1 & & \\
\hline 779/2 794/20 & & \[
6]
\] \\
\hline less [10] 707/4 744/21 744/23 745/5 & & \\
\hline 860/25 861/1 884/22 884/23 887/14 &  & lines [8] 682/24 824/11 824/18 824/21 824/24 825/4 827/5 925/13 \\
\hline 937/24 & \[
713 / 10713 / 25714 / 7714 / 8714 / 12
\] & neup \\
\hline less-senior [1] 887/14 & 715/9 719/1 719/16 719/22 720/6 & link [1] 698/16 \\
\hline let [44] 674/6 676/15 677/24 679/13 & 722/1 729/23 731/22 732/6 732/12 & list [31] 711/24 712/6 712/10 712/11 \\
\hline 684/19 685/6 686/7 686/19 687/23 & 732/16 733/1 733/2 733/18 736/8 & 712/12 712/16 719/11 719/12 720/13 \\
\hline 697/22 704/9 749/19 751/10 767/11 & 737/16 742/25 743/25 744/13 746/22 & 720/24 722/5 722/10 722/17 796/16 \\
\hline 792/4 801/22 806/1 811/18 813/21 & 754/16 755/14 756/6 756/11 756/14 & 796/17 797/14 812/16 816/22 863/8 \\
\hline 813/22 816/4 & 758/2 760/20 762/5 762/11 763/13 & 863/9 863/12 863/15 863/20 863/24 \\
\hline & 763/14 763/14 763/15 763/20 771/4 & 863/25 864/11 867/18 901/22 933/13 \\
\hline & 771/18 772/8 772/11 772/14 772/22 & 945/23 947/4 \\
\hline & 773/4 773/14 774/2 774/6 775/5 775/6 & listed [3] 863/2 889/22 901/22 \\
\hline let's [41] 665/7 666/13 669/11 670/15 & 776/2 776/2 777/6 778/12 781/24 & listen [2] 802/23 923/22 \\
\hline 671/6 677/19 678/25 691/3 693/25 & 782/2 782/10 782/11 783/7 783/20 & listener [1] 876/10 \\
\hline 706/11 724/19 729/13 742/4 751/7 & 784/8 784/19 785/1 785/6 785/7 & listing [14] 719/10 721/12 721/24 \\
\hline 770/8 798/2 801/21 821/6 828/2 & 788/10 788/14 788/17 788/25 816/18 & 777/18 777/20 796/24 797/11 797/12 \\
\hline 834/17 840/24 840/25 841/17 841/24 & 816/21 817/7 817/15 820/11 829/4 & 797/20 945/13 945/15 945/16 947/7 \\
\hline 847/6 866/14 868/24 871/13 884/6 & 831/22 868/25 869/6 869/10 874/5 & 961/16 \\
\hline 886/16 896/1 911/16 925/15 932/14 & 954/13 955/2 959/4 & literally [1] 753 \\
\hline 935/7 938/6 939/10 945/13 946/6 & Lieutenant Lebrecht [8] 696/6 698/7 & litigation [2] 881/9 882/20 \\
\hline 955/8 965/12 & 700/8 705/21 714/12 756/11 774/6 & little [45] 663/4 664/23 664/23 665/7 \\
\hline letter [87] 670/4 704/23 704/23 718/4 & 783/20 & 669/9 672/9 685/22 695 \\
\hline 718/14 726/5 727/1 727/12 727/18 & Lieutenant Morrow [13] 714/8 720/6 & 706/14 710/6 710/7 710/9 710/14 \\
\hline 730/23 731/8 731/9 740/19 740/20 & 733/1 733/2 733/18 763/14 763/15 & 736/6 749/4 772/18 774/9 798/3 820/2 \\
\hline 741/4 744/10 746/19 746/20 747/10 & 775/6 776/2 777/6 785/7 788/14 & 821/22 833/19 833/19 833/25 834/6 \\
\hline 748/5 750/9 750/10 750/11 750/12 & 788/17 & 835/3 837/3 837/15 841/12 845/24 \\
\hline 750/14 754/16 755/4 756/5 756/6 & Lieutenant Morrow's [1] 714/7 & 846/1 846/4 846/24 854/20 872/14 \\
\hline 756/23 757/20 757/23 758/1 760/11 & lieutenants [6] 672/4 762/3 763/13 & 928/17 930/16 934/14 934/19 935/1 \\
\hline 760/13 808/18 809/6 810/2 810/9 & 763/18 764/7 796/12 & 936/1 939/24 942/5 945/9 952/5 \\
\hline 812/19 816/3 816/7 823/23 868/10 & life [5] 686/11 687/2 837/10 847/3 & live [5] 834/20 841/8 914/6 914/8 \\
\hline 868/14 868/21 869/6 869/24 869/25 & 964/16 & 934/13 \\
\hline 870/5 870/17 870/20 872/25 874/7 & lifeline [1] 690/20 & lived [1] 914/5 \\
\hline 875/14 875/21 877/22 878/1 878/3 & lifting [1] 785/7 & Liverpool [9] 836/9 836/11 839/2 \\
\hline 878/18 878/23 879/1 879/7 879/13 & light [1] 871/25 & 840/5 840/6 840/9 840/11 840/13 \\
\hline 879/16 879/23 901/9 901/12 901/24 & lightly [1] 797/23 & 849/17 \\
\hline 902/16 902/19 902/22 903/2 904/16 & lights [1] 822/22 & Liverpoolean [1] 840/9 \\
\hline 905/14 905/16 905/18 905/19 906/1 & like [98] 663/14 663/18 666/18 674/21 & lives [3] 668/1 840/8 952/10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
loaded [1] 948/9
local [2] 723/18 754/6
located [2] 671/24 802/9
location [6] 663/22 664/7 709/3
892/22 911/8 913/10
lock [1] 789/19
locked [1] 684/9
locked/unlocked [1] 684/9
locking [1] 789/21
logic [1] 830/8
London [2] 833/24 840/5
long [36] 660/8 667/18 673/3 674/8
686/3 691/2 691/3 692/10 692/23
694/11 714/2 735/19 750/20 759/14
773/11 774/21 780/8 780/12 781/17
783/11 796/9 796/11 796/11 798/15
798/16 808/7 823/23 835/6 874/20
887/3 888/12 896/16 902/12 916/20 929/7 940/25
longer [11] 663/4 670/24 672/15 672/16 696/7 759/5 793/13 795/6 843/18 876/17 880/25
longest [3] 796/7 896/14 896/23 look [32] 679/12 704/2 726/24 730/20
731/5 739/25 740/3 748/19 769/11 769/14 770/4 770/7 772/2 821/21 824/17 824/21 827/3 829/18 830/6 833/8 837/1 843/14 843/25 844/18 846/3 851/6 902/2 903/1 907/10 922/21 960/20 963/9
looked [17] 707/12 730/21 730/23
731/8 760/11 826/1 826/21 828/15 829/3 829/19 844/12 844/12 860/1 860/15 901/8 911/7 922/9
looking [14] 685/21 686/12 695/2 696/8 720/23 728/15 734/21 740/16 769/1 770/9 796/2 901/15 907/22 944/21
looks [6] 821/22 846/4 944/12 944/13 944/13 952/12
looming [1] 714/3
loop [1] 900/14
losing [1] 845/8
loss [2] 773/12 945/2
lost [9] 667/2 737/2 766/19 944/13 944/14 944/23 944/24 944/24 945/1 lot [57] 666/6 668/22 669/14 675/14 676/7 676/9 676/16 683/17 688/24 689/9 690/13 696/4 699/14 699/14 702/15 702/21 706/19 710/11 736/20 748/8 751/5 752/11 754/14 767/16 783/3 785/4 786/20 789/9 789/22
790/6 822/18 841/2 843/4 847/11 860/1 860/19 862/16 877/19 883/22 896/23 897/6 900/14 902/14 911/21 911/22 911/22 917/13 917/18 917/20 921/24 939/1 939/18 956/14 957/8 959/18 959/20 964/23
lots [2] 835/21 836/21
loud [1] 707/24
Louder [1] 803/15
loved [3] 706/16 835/24 938/10
loves [1] 836/15
loving [2] 840/23 842/2
low [3] 691/17 691/17 692/9
lowest [1] 808/23
loyalties [1] 679/22
lunch [4] 801/15 801/25 802/1 832/14

\section*{M}

M-A-R-K-E-L-L [1] 916/10
M-E-R-T-Z [1] 854/11
ma'am [2] 731/5 849/7
machinations [1] 747/12
made [51] 687/14 687/16 691/24 702/9
704/10 705/16 707/16 719/11 725/20
731/14 732/6 732/17 749/23 749/23
756/4 756/17 760/23 767/1 769/8
776/7 778/3 778/11 779/11 785/9
786/3 787/4 792/10 797/5 797/13
805/15 810/7 810/19 821/9 822/22
825/16 836/3 849/24 852/7 852/10
860/19 873/19 874/3 877/10 893/23
904/5 919/23 920/21 923/3 930/15
948/17 954/2
Madras [1] 936/20
Maglite [1] 723/24
main [2] 716/24 955/7
maintenance [1] 939/24
major [6] 798/18 834/4 857/11 878/16 891/25 911/13
majority [2] 780/11 780/15
make [64] 674/2 674/10 674/14 684/10 687/6 687/21 688/8 690/8 691/3 692/5 700/21 704/15 731/24 732/21 751/20 752/3 752/17 761/2 761/12 766/22 766/24 771/8 777/25 784/19 784/24 787/2 792/14 794/25 796/25 797/2 797/10 800/16 801/20 801/20 802/1 805/13 816/17 841/6 844/18 863/15 863/17 864/4 876/21 877/17 882/16 892/25 893/21 903/16 909/2 910/7 912/25 917/5 917/7 917/18 934/7 940/24 943/21 944/4 944/8 952/24 953/9 959/8 964/13 964/22
makes [5] 844/10 882/10 887/23 923/20 953/11
making [17] 700/18 703/2 703/9 720/5 753/22 768/9 790/12 790/15 797/7 798/6 811/14 811/14 813/9 834/19 852/8 923/16 953/15
male [2] 771/6 771/24
\(\operatorname{man}\) [7] 706/24 707/13 710/6 710/14 710/22 711/2 736/5
management [10] 665/1 665/12
665/25 710/8 711/22 711/23 712/11 767/25 809/3 813/16
manager [5] 936/5 936/7 936/10 936/18 936/21
mandated [2] 864/2 890/2
Maness [8] 702/5 702/10 702/23 733/8
733/9 760/14 784/15 784/21
manipulate [1] 801/14
manner [3] 680/25 723/25 861/12
manual [1] 957/18
many [32] 670/6 670/9 673/21 686/14 688/14 699/1 723/19 730/8 730/13
747/17 752/10 759/24 768/23 779/25
799/17 799/20 799/22 804/19 831/19
832/1 837/12 838/13 846/18 863/6
890/5 900/5 904/13 904/22 918/3
934/24 951/3 951/3
March [6] 823/12 829/23 879/18 895/2

913/17 920/2
March 1 [3] 879/18 913/17 920/2
March 20 [1] 823/12
March 30 [1] 829/23
March 3rd [1] 895/2
MARK [16] 660/2 803/14 803/16
807/14 820/8 881/7 881/8 881/11
881/13 881/16 888/20 888/21 888/23 889/11 894/18 899/9
Mark Chase [1] 888/23
marked [2] 754/17 913/16
Markell [16] 868/14 877/23 878/24
901/13 902/6 902/22 903/18 903/22
903/24 905/16 905/17 906/12 915/22
916/1 916/10 920/14
Market [1] 706/19
married [4] 703/17 764/15 934/17
947/21
Martin [1] 803/9
Mass [1] 843/6
massive [1] 776/10
matches [1] 939/21
Matchulat [3] 769/6 769/20 769/22 material [9] 869/6 869/18 869/18 869/25 870/1 870/5 871/6 872/4 962/6 materials [12] 862/18 869/1 870/17
872/11 872/21 874/2 875/9 875/9 876/2 881/23 907/8 957/11
matriarch [1] 943/17
matter [13] 694/24 705/22 728/2 771/2
826/15 832/5 838/6 860/2 876/9
880/22 884/9 913/5 953/25
Matthew [2] 706/14 776/13
MAV [5] 873/21 906/21 907/12 907/17 921/20
may [55] 682/7 686/8 686/8 691/10 699/5 700/21 708/5 711/16 739/22 739/23 740/25 741/3 744/10 751/9 754/14 801/12 806/10 810/15 819/4 831/7 831/10 831/20 832/11 838/21 848/7 849/13 853/9 853/13 859/6 880/17 909/12 914/13 915/17 922/15 922/18 926/17 933/8 941/17 943/14 950/11 951/15 952/9 953/17 953/18 955/20 956/19 957/2 957/3 958/2 958/3 959/1 959/4 960/8 960/8 960/10 May 7 [1] 708/5
maybe [27] 679/23 685/21 706/15 706/16 708/7 717/5 729/9 752/2 774/1 778/12 779/7 846/4 846/21 848/23 851/12 859/6 877/19 901/6 913/10 931/9 931/13 944/7 954/3 954/11 954/15 954/25 955/25
McDERMED [73] 659/7 668/16 674/3 674/19 683/5 683/7 683/8 683/10 684/13 685/11 686/19 687/4 698/20 699/10 699/24 702/1 713/2 713/9 713/23 718/25 719/16 720/6 720/8 720/12 721/18 721/20 731/11 731/15 732/3 733/1 733/18 739/6 739/11 739/14 740/4 741/11 755/3 755/10 756/18 757/8 761/10 761/11 762/15 762/24 774/13 775/7 775/12 777/25 778/2 778/7 779/11 779/14 781/8 782/11 782/12 789/2 789/7 790/10 792/13 792/20 793/7 793/20 796/18 797/10 816/13 817/4 817/12 949/19

M
McDERMED... [5] 955/2 960/25 961/19 963/10 966/3
McDermed's [3] 683/13 789/11 960/13 McDonald's [1] 774/21
McDOUGAL [9] 660/2 660/4 803/14
803/16 807/13 807/14 820/7 820/8 830/18
McKenzie [2] 666/25 667/1
McMinnville [1] 914/7
me [222]
mean [60] 686/6 726/10 734/15 740/5 749/5 750/17 779/18 786/5 789/12 820/19 823/1 825/7 834/12 835/16 837/11 837/14 837/15 837/17 839/11 839/11 840/3 841/2 841/5 842/25 843/3 844/17 845/20 846/23 847/1 847/9 847/10 847/12 848/19 848/22 849/8 849/24 849/25 850/15 850/25 851/2 851/7 860/21 861/20 864/6 874/14 882/15 886/11 887/21 888/1 896/21 900/9 901/3 902/13 904/14 907/5 911/21 931/15 932/4 949/10 954/22
meaning [3] 669/9 814/18 858/17 means [6] 752/14 808/20 928/7 956/23 960/25 966/10
meant [3] 726/12 845/17 845/19
media [2] 695/2 772/3
mediate [1] 883/4
Mediation [1] 883/4
medical [6] 885/8 885/16 886/2 886/10 887/4 887/4
meet [10] 683/10 730/8 835/7 855/9 938/1 939/13 939/16 939/20 939/22 940/11
meeting [24] 663/3 675/6 681/19
700/2 713/2 755/3 755/12 791/17 811/6 811/7 811/8 811/13 811/15 811/19 811/21 811/24 812/16 812/23 813/1 813/4 813/11 813/22 841/19 941/5
meetings [21] 685/11 687/4 721/11 721/16 721/18 788/25 789/10 789/15 791/1 791/3 791/5 791/16 791/20 791/25 792/1 792/5 792/9 792/19 793/19 794/1 917/6
member [4] 763/21 764/1 786/4 936/3 members [7] 835/21 838/14 934/17
934/21 934/24 943/19 944/25
memo [2] 725/21 867/16
memorable [2] 929/8 929/10
memorandum [1] 889/22
memorial [6] 671/20 698/25 699/19 776/10 798/15 798/23
memory [12] 704/20 705/2 705/3 740/12 746/4 746/14 747/8 769/22 775/3 775/19 777/4 821/19
men's [1] 767/9
mental [5] 676/10 862/10 862/10
866/20 883/12
mentality [2] 678/12 688/18
mentioned [1] 914/22
merely [2] 771/8 771/16
Mertz [15] 853/18 853/21 854/1 854/10
854/17 872/1 880/6 880/7 881/6 882/6 882/8 886/25 919/10 919/18 919/22
message [2] 677/9 761/8
messages [1] 843/11
met [17] 709/10 730/10 759/7 772/16 791/6 834/3 835/10 835/11 836/4 848/14 855/11 859/23 859/25 912/4 949/17 949/19 949/22
meticulous [1] 690/20
Michael [7] 704/6 769/6 769/20 769/22 830/1 933/17 934/2
microphone [13] 664/13 664/13 746/9
820/3 820/3 833/8 909/23 916/8 919/6
922/2 922/7 927/10 933/25
mid [2] 670/14 841/9
mid-week [1] 841/9
mid-year [1] 670/14
middle [6] 739/13 743/18 892/11 895/1 895/7 895/13
midnight [3] 782/17 798/20 953/21
might [15] 675/8 678/15 678/23 754/6
759/19 817/22 839/3 845/24 851/2 871/17 914/25 938/20 951/3 951/15 956/3
Mike [1] 795/20
miles [3] 859/11 859/11 859/12
military [3] 678/16 847/2 884/20
mind [7] 679/18 693/19 713/14 772/13
841/25 845/4 860/3
minded [1] 835/13
mindset [1] 894/6
mine [11] 672/6 675/15 700/25 750/24
837/21 839/2 843/25 859/4 862/11
899/10 914/10
minimum [1] 811/20
minimums [1] 890/3
minus [1] 757/2
minute [8] 663/13 697/24 822/1
832/14 866/5 923/17 935/1 936/2
minutes [11] 727/24 748/1 780/6
783/15 783/18 801/20 801/21 880/21 886/17 934/6 956/24
miscellaneous [1] 731/1
misconduct [4] 720/20 721/8 721/9
878/19
miss [3] 895/22 895/25 898/13
missed [7] 948/12 948/14 948/15
948/16 948/17 948/17 948/21
missing [1] 835/3
mission [5] 669/10 669/25 682/13 699/15 789/19
misspoke [1] 903/25
misstates [2] 903/3 949/5
mistaken [1] 710/19
mistakes [5] 892/25 904/16 904/21
912/25 948/17
mistook [1] 710/20
misunderstanding [1] 791/22
misusing [1] 734/13
Moffitt [2] 717/25 731/9
mold [1] 684/3
mom [2] 686/9 860/9
moment [18] 664/22 677/19 695/10
713/14 724/3 740/15 746/5 747/22
747/24 749/1 802/15 824/25 851/8
854/19 869/15 953/8 953/13 957/5
moments [1] 754/17
Monday [5] 753/6 955/19 955/21
956/20 965/11
money [6] 707/7 748/9 937/11 952/25 957/3 957/25
monitor [1] 710/9
monitoring [1] 685/3
monster [5] 706/12 706/13 706/16 706/21 742/4
month [13] 713/24 714/6 726/2 726/8 748/17 759/10 759/11 759/17 847/9 855/15 883/4 917/7 952/1
monthly [1] 890/6
months [12] 680/9 697/19 716/13 738/21 800/2 846/2 847/7 847/13 887/5 906/15 910/20 954/2
morale [3] 691/15 692/9 692/11
more [67] 667/23 675/20 676/17 678/15 678/24 680/21 681/12 681/13 684/18 688/1 689/6 689/11 693/12
701/4 704/25 714/11 731/20 736/22
747/17 747/24 749/8 751/4 754/6 756/7 758/20 759/2 759/4 767/12 767/16 772/22 784/2 784/2 793/20 794/9 794/17 800/11 808/8 812/12 838/23 845/24 846/20 847/10 847/11 848/2 856/8 858/3 861/8 861/15 861/18 879/5 889/4 892/22 905/1 905/4 905/5 909/4 914/7 914/9 918/6 918/7 918/22 925/23 959/1 959/8 960/5 960/11 965/3
morning [7] 663/2 663/12 777/12
798/22 841/8 861/25 862/1
Morrow [27] 676/22 677/4 692/20
700/3 702/9 704/13 705/15 705/18
706/4 708/4 713/10 713/25 714/8
720/6 733/1 733/2 733/18 763/14
763/15 775/6 776/2 777/6 785/7
788/14 788/17 795/20 874/5
Morrow's [3] 677/3 713/10 714/7 most [26] 665/3 671/13 683/17 696/3 696/4 708/16 748/1 752/10 762/4 780/1 789/12 789/12 791/12 804/23 856/12 856/19 866/14 887/13 887/13 929/8 935/1 935/4 935/4 940/6 955/6 955/17
most-senior [2] 887/13 887/13
mostly [6] 804/24 848/19 917/6
917/20 940/25 951/19
mother [4] 668/1 676/8 676/8 719/24
motion [1] 871/15
motive [5] 679/23 787/18 787/22
788/1 788/4
motives [1] 792/21
motor [1] 929/14
motorcycle [9] 701/7 701/8 701/13
701/15 701/15 778/24 779/1 798/5 855/5
Mount [2] 836/17 846/10
Mount Adams [2] 836/17 846/10 mountain [1] 814/15
move [15] 664/13 666/7 687/21 714/2 751/7 779/1 793/20 793/21 834/11 877/14 884/6 916/7 927/9 948/25 959/8
moved [10] 668/6 672/6 672/6 752/12 757/4 757/5 792/6 928/17 936/4 950/25
moves [2] 703/5 752/16
moving [4] 684/3 684/9 693/3 718/24

Mozan [2] 778/8 778/12
MR [26] 664/19 793/6 802/14 802/16 803/22 803/23 806/10 806/12 807/6 807/13 820/7 824/1 830/18 833/15 852/23 854/16 906/11 910/6 915/10 916/14 926/2 927/19 932/2 934/11 949/2 950/2
Mr. [161]
Mr. Ahlen [3] 807/7 807/14 817/3
Mr. Brathwaite [1] 742/20
Mr. Cameron [1] 824/4
Mr. Caufield [5] 812/13 813/22 814/8 816/2 817/12
Mr. Cleavenger [114] 679/15 679/16 679/21 681/12 730/8 759/6 785/18 786/3 786/23 787/8 788/5 788/20 796/3 805/2 805/5 807/15 808/1 814/18 814/25 816/3 816/6 818/16 820/9 820/13 820/18 821/8 822/17 823/6 823/18 824/1 824/2 824/4 825/16 829/9 830/3 830/7 833/17 845/22 848/14 849/16 849/22 850/11 850/22 851/18 852/3 855/11 858/23 864/13 866/10 866/21 867/18 878/14 879/14 883/9 887/7 888/4 888/25 889/13 890/10 890/13 890/16 890/25 891/3 891/9 891/16 892/16 892/24 893/24 894/7 894/21 894/25 895/4 895/12 896/2 896/6 896/9 897/22 898/2 898/18 899/13 900/2 900/15 900/22 901/23 902/2 902/7 902/8 902/25 903/13 903/16 903/20 903/23 903/25 904/1 904/24 905/25 914/19 914/21 914/22 915/2 920/3 921/2 921/22 922/5 923/4 923/18 924/22 925/2 931/3 933/14 947/14 955/12 955/20 964/24
Mr. Cleavenger's [16] 785/15 795/25 809/6 815/4 822/1 829/24 859/1 859/3 883/18 892/13 892/15 895/24 914/11
948/1 948/5 964/16
Mr. Drake [1] 830/3
Mr. Hagemann [2] 809/17 817/11
Mr. Hess [2] 822/12 868/8
Mr. Hoffman [3] 832/16 832/19 933/10
Mr. Jackson [2] 909/14 914/3
Mr. LeRoy [1] 779/2
Mr. Markell [1] 877/23
Mr. Mertz [3] 854/17 881/6 886/25
Mr. Pearse [1] 803/19
Mr. Ranger [4] 832/15 832/21 833/16 848/14
Mr. Salsbury [1] 894/24
MS [11] 730/7 764/20 765/1 817/2 829/2 848/13 880/5 914/2 920/13 931/2 947/13
Ms. [12] 664/20 722/15 727/12 730/3 730/8 741/14 761/2 765/12 765/15 777/14 778/5 799/9
Ms. Bowes [2] 777/14 778/5
Ms. Boyd [7] 664/20 722/15 727/12 730/3 730/8 741/14 765/12
Ms. Parker [1] 761/2
Ms. Rousseau [1] 799/9
Ms. Williams [1] 765/15
Mt [1] 940/9
much [38] 681/18 681/25 685/10 691/12 692/1 692/4 706/24 716/24 722/13 758/20 763/7 784/12 801/10 801/18 806/12 806/14 819/8 834/12 838/13 844/22 845/1 845/19 845/22 847/8 848/24 857/17 857/17 860/25 861/1 861/8 912/3 932/14 933/9 950/15 952/4 958/6 958/23 963/24 multi [1] 808/22
multi-tier [1] 808/22
multiagency [1] 798/14
multiple [8] 698/15 700/4 700/13
718/13 780/20 796/10 929/14 944/6
murders [2] 952/2 952/4
museum [1] 669/20
must [4] 676/4 703/6 729/25 740/9
mutual [1] 815/15
my [290]
Myers [4] 693/19 693/24 694/3 769/25 myself [16] 669/17 673/13 700/20 707/20 784/6 784/12 789/8 798/17 804/25 837/18 857/9 858/13 892/4 912/10 919/23 928/19

\section*{N}

N-I-C-O-L [1] 927/14
nail [1] 686/19
naked [6] 768/13 768/15 770/9 770/15 770/24 771/15
name [32] 664/9 664/10 721/5 764/15
765/15 803/6 803/7 803/9 803/12
807/7 808/10 819/24 819/24 819/25
833/9 833/9 833/10 854/8 854/9
854/10 891/10 891/13 909/24 909/25 910/1 916/8 916/9 927/10 927/12 927/13 934/1 934/3
named [2] 892/23 892/23
narrative [3] 871/5 874/24 908/13
Nations [1] 957/20
naturally [1] 723/17
near [2] 708/9 927/5
nearby [1] 742/10
necessarily [12] 675/15 731/3 738/6 749/6 791/16 837/14 859/5 863/12 887/25 889/15 900/11 917/14
necessary [1] 663/6
need [21] 675/10 692/7 692/25 704/3 718/8 740/10 740/15 748/11 750/25 751/20 753/24 801/16 828/1 850/5 869/9 877/18 917/8 954/10 955/8 964/8 964/15
needed [19] 679/12 681/20 684/10
685/8 703/25 723/14 724/1 772/22
776/7 779/12 796/25 811/8 811/19
811/20 811/25 813/2 813/4 813/20 834/8
needing [1] 692/2
needs [3] 704/5 912/10 928/4
negative [1] 838/16
negotiating [1] 858/20
negotiations [2] 893/20 893/24
negotiator [1] 858/20
nephew [1] 945/1
nephews [1] 935/2
neutral [2] 813/14 814/20
never [51] 670/2 670/13 681/3 681/5 681/17 682/25 687/24 689/19 689/20

692/19 702/18 708/2 710/19 711/2 711/3 726/3 734/23 734/24 735/21 735/23 736/5 736/12 737/4 739/6 739/17 757/6 757/9 757/13 758/11 768/3 768/12 784/18 799/14 799/17 837/17 837/18 850/15 850/17 851/7 873/9 893/6 893/12 895/7 895/12 895/15 895/17 896/6 899/7 907/13 926/12 949/19
new [17] 669/14 683/21 688/22 691/18 692/21 696/15 737/2 753/18 790/5 877/3 899/10 921/18 930/13 936/6 943/3 954/1 957/21
Newport [2] 667/22 667/24
news [2] 754/5 878/8
newspaper [5] 752/8 752/21 753/9 753/14 753/23
newspapers [2] 678/11 951/25
next [35] 663/9 663/18 667/15 668/10 668/25 701/9 713/12 720/10 723/6 725/17 755/20 802/7 802/12 806/16 806/19 813/20 819/10 831/22 831/23 832/9 853/14 853/16 861/21 870/4 873/11 874/16 875/1 878/25 878/25 883/4 901/13 915/21 926/21 952/17 954/8
nexus [3] 705/24 946/19 947/3
nice [6] 663/6 728/3 729/5 748/18 801/25 880/23
Nicol [9] 868/17 877/23 878/24 879/3
901/10 926/3 926/23 927/1 927/12
night [11] 779/21 798/19 800/17
800/21 892/12 895/1 895/8 895/13 897/4 949/14 965/2
nights [4] 779/25 780/1 780/2 782/10
nine [1] 952/1
nine-month [1] 952/1
no [292]
nobody [4] 675/10 738/15 859/9 952/8
none [6] 831/6 866/24 867/7 905/6 930/4 942/21
nonrenewal [1] 733/19
nonrenewed [4] 731/11 731/22 732/17 732/25
Nope [1] 738/19
normal [4] 671/9 672/16 796/9 865/2
normally [6] 785/24 802/17 831/20
896/18 954/17 959/22
not [348]
notated [1] 756/8
note [3] 676/10 690/19 754/19
note-taker [1] 690/19
notebook [1] 690/17
noted [2] 867/7 930/4
notepad [2] 728/16 728/21
notes [5] 690/17 810/8 810/14 810/19 958/14
nothing [16] 717/22 774/14 814/19
819/3 843/8 843/11 850/3 893/13 894/17 908/22 912/17 912/24 915/7 915/14 915/16 962/9
notice [5] 674/18 681/11 726/22
800/19 842/10
noticed [3] 674/9 694/10 725/5
notified [3] 756/18 780/20 780/22
notoriety [2] 752/11 951/6
November [1] 916/22

N
now [61] 689/21 728/14 729/12 737/8 744/3 744/12 747/12 749/2 749/22 752/3 752/21 754/5 754/19 754/21 759/6 764/16 772/5 781/20 782/10 788/6 801/15 801/19 801/24 802/1 808/10 810/21 815/4 818/10 819/23 824/21 830/6 832/2 832/3 841/18 845/1 845/24 845/25 849/21 859/20 872/3 877/2 881/8 918/16 919/10 922/9 924/7 927/10 928/22 932/14 935/5 945/3 952/5 952/19 953/3 953/19 954/12 955/19 956/4 958/6 958/18 959/7
nowadays [1] 847/17 nudity [1] 772/4
number [14] 680/12 727/4 740/7 740/9
740/10 740/24 741/2 820/23 835/12 869/19 917/12 918/9 937/21 949/11
numbers [2] 702/15 820/22
numerous [8] 686/16 689/8 699/24
701/24 702/19 711/11 761/6 779/10 nuts [1] 941/16
nutshell [1] 928/14

\section*{0}
oath [3] 802/22 806/24 902/25
Obama [1] 675/21
object [38] 671/1 671/3 677/13 682/2
687/8 691/1 694/18 705/17 711/14 712/1 715/14 716/15 719/18 725/12 732/18 774/7 790/19 812/8 813/25 814/10 826/9 839/22 840/18 845/3 856/14 865/7 867/2 869/2 872/15 875/5 875/16 876/6 880/14 903/3 921/10 942/17 946/15 949/5
objecting [1] 962/14
objection [14] 689/22 690/3 697/4 712/9 722/19 723/3 797/24 868/1 871/19 876/11 919/11 923/14 961/11 961/23
obligation [1] 898/19
observation [5] 712/25 743/7 744/1 840/20 890/8
observations [4] 840/22 856/9 856/11 917/21
observe [4] 685/4 785/19 786/9 912/6 observed [2] 892/1 896/22
obtain [1] 860/18
obviously [10] 694/22 729/17 747/10 844/18 845/25 847/3 908/25 955/12 964/13 964/14
occasion [3] 786/2 809/10 950/23
occasions [1] 899/13
occurred [5] 857/6 860/14 874/25
925/9 951/16
occurring [1] 861/7
October [7] 723/1 727/8 794/12
794/15 795/6 795/10 943/10
October 10th [1] 794/15
October 28 [1] 727/8
oddity [1] 832/5
Odell [1] 939/22
off [28] 666/10 669/12 669/13 684/17
700/5 707/10 708/10 713/18 746/9
766/8 783/10 796/14 806/1 824/5
830/9 834/11 837/3 846/15 848/2

852/8 877/15 884/6 886/25 897/17 901/16 910/14 933/13 951/23
off-the-cuff [1] 852/8
offender [1] 859/6
offense [1] 805/10
offenses [2] 689/11 936/9
offensive [1] 805/9
offer [12] 697/3 727/9 751/11 751/12 751/14 787/2 787/5 812/1 814/24
821/14 867/25 963/4
offered [5] 666/17 773/10 800/25 871/20 947/15
offhanded [1] 852/7
office [51] 666/3 667/22 670/8 671/24
672/1 672/3 672/6 673/8 673/23
674/10 674/13 674/17 675/7 675/10 679/7 696/4 708/9 709/14 713/11 714/7 714/21 716/23 716/24 720/22 726/7 732/12 735/12 736/20 752/4 769/11 776/13 783/7 783/14 783/15 789/11 796/22 797/3 797/16 798/13 857/10 875/20 891/23 901/14 901/22 902/18 910/15 910/17 910/18 917/15 920/19 963/19
officer [193]
Officer Cleavenger [1] 929/12
Officer Mertz [1] 872/1
officer's [2] 777/2 810/13
officers [103] 666/24 669/19 669/20 674/9 677/9 677/9 683/21 683/25 684/7 684/12 684/17 686/9 688/15 692/3 692/9 693/18 700/13 701/24 702/14 703/6 708/11 708/13 708/14 708/15 708/20 708/24 709/4 709/5 709/6 709/12 711/9 716/5 716/8 720/2 723/19 724/25 724/25 736/22 738/12 738/13 742/21 743/5 743/8 744/6 744/9 744/15 744/18 744/21 744/22 760/16 760/19 768/14 768/18 769/2 769/16 770/20 771/4 771/19 781/15 784/23 785/3 785/6 785/9 789/10 789/13 789/16 796/12 800/6 813/14 821/12 823/3 850/7 850/8 850/23 857/11 875/3 887/11 887/15 888/14 888/15 889/8 890/17 890/17 890/18 890/25 892/4 894/14 894/16 894/24 897/24 897/24 898/8 898/10 898/11 899/18 899/20 899/20 908/21 914/23 928/8 937/3 945/3 945/22
officers' [1] 693/15
official [9] 720/20 721/7 721/9 761/19 804/6 804/11 937/17 939/15 966/15
Officially [1] 761/19
officials [3] 937/25 938/1 938/4
officiate [2] 937/23 938/4
often [25] 671/12 671/19 672/14 675/6 676/12 683/10 699/2 745/24 783/8 785/18 786/7 796/13 840/1 840/24 841/14 847/6 856/4 897/3 911/17 911/21 912/1 917/9 929/3 937/10 940/18
oftentimes [7] 671/21 673/9 676/1 692/24 753/20 753/21 864/8 oh [23] 673/23 674/6 690/5 707/19 712/7 727/8 729/1 736/15 824/13 835/16 836/9 838/11 838/23 849/7 858/6 865/18 874/14 882/21 909/13

953/17 962/4 963/12 965/3
okay [132] 666/13 667/4 667/13 667/21 669/11 677/22 678/9 680/15 682/5 683/3 687/10 691/10 694/2 696/17 696/22 698/22 699/12 700/23 701/23 706/8 713/12 715/3 721/14 741/9 741/12 749/9 749/17 751/22 751/24 755/3 759/16 764/15 764/17 766/15 775/8 777/17 777/25 787/21 791/19 792/4 792/10 801/25 815/23 816/17 818/12 820/12 821/5 822/2 822/11 822/16 823/4 823/12 823/20 824/12 824/14 824/16 824/23 825/2 825/5 825/19 826/7 826/20 827/1 827/8 827/22 827/24 828/15 828/15 829/20 831/15 833/21 833/22 834/15 835/24 837/9 837/20 837/23 840/9 842/13 843/24 844/13 849/16 852/5 852/18 854/22 855/17 859/23 864/16 867/24 868/21 871/1 871/11 871/24 872/7 874/16 879/5 880/1 883/1 884/6 884/8 884/16 885/21 886/17 888/12 888/24 895/11 898/18 901/6 902/24 903/12 904/22 919/16 925/15 931/22 932/23 944/2 946/24 947/25 951/24 952/18 953/1 953/17 953/18 955/1 958/4 958/6 960/4 963/5 963/13 963/22 965/1 965/5
old [4] 693/6 877/4 877/5 938/10 older [1] 873/9
ole [3] 837/11 849/25 852/6
once [18] 673/4 716/6 722/5 730/14 752/17 757/3 787/13 787/13 831/15 835/21 862/14 903/12 905/1 905/5 905/6 917/7 926/6 952/1
one [166]
one-upping [1] 957/22
ones [10] 671/20 700/14 706/11 713/7 733/7 745/2 768/18 769/1 835/4 929/6 ongoing [1] 724/16 online [1] 948/3
only [33] 672/3 675/3 685/1 685/10 689/9 689/18 693/7 699/7 713/23 714/11 724/22 725/16 728/19 739/11 739/16 746/19 765/10 789/7 809/12 813/5 838/2 839/6 846/8 847/12 857/14 868/23 878/14 906/19 909/4 931/7 931/22 952/7 964/7
op [2] 665/22 665/23
open [7] 674/14 822/20 844/21 848/1 848/4 885/6 885/11
opened [4] 666/12 666/16 729/4 773/13
opening [3] 681/10 682/3 682/8 opens [1] 841/6 operate [2] 682/20 899/11
operating [1] 921/23
operation [1] 699/8
operational [3] 669/8 669/19 683/24 opinion [61] 676/19 689/25 690/6 690/12 719/20 722/2 722/3 728/2 728/3 781/10 783/5 785/16 786/11 793/7 806/1 806/4 806/5 809/5 809/11 809/21 809/23 810/13 814/9 826/1 826/4 826/10 826/14 826/21 826/22 826/23 828/15 828/15 828/16 828/17 829/4 829/8 829/12 829/14 849/22
opinion... [22] 850/8 850/14 851/4 851/5 851/18 851/21 851/22 852/1 856/18 867/10 880/23 882/1 888/8 892/24 894/5 897/10 913/4 913/7 925/4 925/6 930/11 961/17 opinions [4] 675/14 785/14 850/22 914/11
opportunities [1] 940/11 opportunity [8] 689/13 714/23 717/9 813/7 877/9 877/16 879/4 897/16 opposed [4] 675/21 679/21 679/24 770/17
opposing [2] 770/19 770/24
opposition [2] 678/2 679/14
option [6] 755/15 755/25 756/5 756/16 758/4 758/5
options [4] 715/7 715/10 756/5 756/10 oral [2] 855/21 899/25 order [16] 702/13 725/25 731/3 738/20 743/14 743/16 743/20 744/6 744/9 767/12 852/13 870/5 931/13 931/15 960/7 960/21
ordered [2] 680/5 724/8
ordering [2] 692/3 767/3
orderly [2] 955/23 956/1
orders [2] 685/12 686/18
ordinance [1] 924/19
OREGON [96] 659/2 659/9 664/25
665/9 666/9 666/17 666/18 667/16 667/18 668/8 682/11 682/18 682/19 693/12 704/17 705/6 709/20 715/1 718/18 719/8 724/19 726/11 726/13 726/15 726/21 727/13 737/14 737/15 739/9 748/17 759/3 764/4 768/25 772/8 773/8 777/2 800/19 804/6 808/14 809/4 810/4 817/20 817/21 833/21 834/3 834/11 834/19 836/2 836/23 837/7 841/15 844/25 847/4 847/20 855/13 857/23 860/10 860/14 865/3 866/22 875/2 875/8 875/13 879/24 885/23 885/25 894/12 896/11 897/1 897/5 897/20 897/23 902/19 910/19 911/1 932/20 934/13 936/5 936/11 936/12 937/1 938/2 939/6 939/7 939/14 940/2 940/5 940/13 941/3 941/8 941/21 943/3 946/3 948/2 949/20 966/16
Oregon's [1] 867/20
Oregonian [8] 722/22 752/18 753/1 753/2 754/8 951/7 951/8 965/5
organization [3] 678/15 815/19 902/15
organize [1] 836/16
organized [3] 731/4 847/5 943/20 organizer [2] 842/6 843/5 organizes [3] 842/7 842/8 846/19 organizing [3] 846/11 846/16 847/19 oriented [3] 682/15 682/21 859/8 original [6] 708/7 708/15 713/7 751/19 959/15 966/11
originally [4] 693/12 833/23 848/23 914/5
originating [1] 696/25
ORPAT [1] 900/1
ORS [1] 898/6
ORS that's [1] 898/6

OSU [1] 682/18
other [100] 668/24 670/11 671/15 671/15 673/25 676/19 679/9 694/3 696/24 701/4 704/15 706/11 710/21 713/13 715/4 715/10 723/10 725/1 728/10 733/19 736/22 749/14 750/22 751/9 753/17 760/8 760/19 760/23 762/2 763/12 763/13 765/17 766/13 767/11 768/14 769/16 769/23 774/12 781/1 785/3 792/15 793/17 795/14 796/12 809/10 815/14 817/14 837/1 839/21 841/12 841/13 841/19 843/13 843/14 843/21 856/23 856/23 858/1 865/24 871/16 875/3 875/3 876/22 878/15 879/1 883/11 885/13 886/9 888/15 888/21 890/24 890/24 891/24 892/3 892/17 896/20 898/7 898/11 899/1 899/3 899/11 908/12 908/21 908/21 914/23 929/6 929/17 929/25 933/10 935/5 937/4 937/13 940/11 943/4 952/4 954/21 954/23 961/15 964/6 964/17
others [5] 681/8 744/25 753/15 765/9 948/17
otherwise [8] 681/21 681/22 708/17 709/1 709/8 710/4 752/3 839/3
our [88] 663/7 666/23 667/24 668/5 669/17 670/25 672/24 673/23 675/9 679/8 679/14 682/13 682/13 682/14 682/14 682/17 682/21 682/22 683/15 685/4 685/9 687/1 687/3 691/23 692/21 701/10 701/10 702/21 703/11 703/24 706/17 708/9 708/10 708/12 708/24 709/6 709/25 715/19 720/2 725/18 726/3 739/12 743/18 757/14 757/14 757/14 768/18 768/19 770/18 770/20 773/20 777/23 783/9 794/25 796/13 798/11 798/12 811/13 813/1 813/5 813/8 815/8 835/4 855/20 857/22 858/2 859/15 860/4 863/11 894/14 894/16 896/19 898/9 899/9 902/14 917/6 917/15 917/19 921/8 922/3 922/23 929/9 937/1 939/18 940/5 940/11 941/14 952/11
ours [2] 709/6 778/11
ourselves [1] 790/3
OUS [2] 818/3 818/8 out [125] 663/7 665/7 666/3 666/9 666/17 668/12 668/17 672/19 672/24 673/2 673/10 677/1 677/2 680/25 681/6 684/23 687/15 694/8 694/23 698/14 701/17 702/12 708/17 708/21 717/18 718/15 722/15 722/22 723/22 723/25 724/11 724/15 725/21 726/8 728/22 729/18 729/24 729/25 743/10 751/5 751/7 753/16 757/4 761/2 761/17 766/8 771/13 772/18 776/18 779/12 782/16 785/2 785/22 791/21 796/3 801/23 808/25 822/22 835/18 836/12 836/21 837/8 838/24 838/25 839/12 842/14 843/12 843/13 843/21 844/4 844/6 844/14 846/9 848/25 850/12 852/3 856/1 856/7 858/4 858/24 859/9 860/7 873/10 874/13 878/17 879/20 887/24 891/18 892/9 898/9 901/13 912/5 912/6 912/17 912/22 912/24 913/9 917/24 924/20

926/4 928/10 928/16 929/17 929/22 930/13 931/8 931/11 931/13 931/15 931/17 941/18 943/12 943/15 943/24 945/1 946/5 953/22 955/16 956/16 956/25 957/3 957/6 957/13 957/25 965/3
out your [1] 836/12
outcome [2] 851/14 875/25
outdated [2] 693/7 693/9
outdoor [1] 847/5
outgoing [1] 691/12
outlined [2] 772/12 902/5
outreach [2] 668/12 668/17
outside [12] 677/6 702/18 749/7
754/12 754/19 778/10 860/6 894/9 921/11 925/10 925/13 925/16
outward [1] 681/14
over [88] 664/20 665/4 673/5 683/25 686/14 689/25 690/11 701/19 706/18 707/5 708/10 710/16 710/17 713/24 714/3 719/3 722/1 724/5 728/19 743/21 748/4 748/13 748/15 750/1 750/2 752/9 752/11 770/4 770/7 776/7 776/12 776/13 777/1 777/13 793/8 795/1 795/3 795/9 800/17 812/24 813/10 820/12 823/17 828/1 835/23 839/25 841/14 845/22 846/1 854/17 854/18 855/3 857/13 859/20 860/5 860/6 861/5 861/10 865/18 876/3 888/13 888/14 889/11 889/14 892/18 894/22 896/22 901/20 917/24 918/9 925/3 929/3 930/2 930/7 931/11 935/16 936/1 940/8 941/18 946/24 948/15 949/15 951/1 952/18 952/23 954/3 958/11 961/14
overall [2] 690/24 944/22
overbearing [1] 837/15
overhead [1] 669/9
overhear [1] 674/22
overlapped [1] 917/14
overruled [19] 671/4 677/14 712/4
715/15 716/20 722/23 723/4 725/13 732/20 774/18 814/1 814/12 826/16 840/19 845/6 875/17 903/7 921/12 942/18
overview [1] 910/9
own [13] 749/13 778/17 783/2 815/12 827/2 852/25 887/24 889/20 924/24 925/19 925/21 926/4 928/11 owning [1] 688/25

\section*{\(\mathbf{P}\)}
P.C [1] 660/8
p.m [3] 671/7 671/11 671/11
pace [1] 685/9
pack [2] 688/17 731/2
packet [3] 700/1 700/22 869/18
page [27] 740/3 756/7 822/12 822/13 823/10 824/11 824/21 824/24 825/1 825/4 827/3 827/6 827/25 829/21 868/9 868/24 869/12 872/6 873/24 898/10 898/11 898/12 908/11 919/8 922/21 951/9 951/10
page 1 [1] 868/24
page 2 [1] 873/24
page 3 [5] 740/3 822/12 822/13 868/9 919/8
page 35 [1] 951/9
page 44 [3] 827/3 827/6 827/25
page 56 [1] 824/11
page 59 [2] 824/21 825/1
page 60 [2] 824/24 825/4
page the [1] 829/21
page will [1] 823/10
pages [2] 898/11 902/12
paid [16] 701/7 701/12 804/15 864/24
885/1 897/17 900/2 900/5 900/11 900/12 900/12 900/16 918/4 918/7 935/12 955/6
paint [1] 770/12
painted [2] 770/11 799/9
Pakistan [2] 957/7 957/12
Pakistani [1] 805/1
panoply [1] 952/8
pants [1] 733/24
paper [9] 698/15 726/5 752/14 752/15
752/17 752/25 753/6 754/6 790/10
papers [2] 730/24 776/21
paperwork [3] 686/21 687/1 917/18
paragraph [12] 705/1 705/1 830/7
830/22 830/24 873/4 873/11 873/23
873/24 874/17 875/1 878/4
paramilitary [2] 678/15 681/24
paranoid [2] 945/9 949/2
parceled [1] 898/9
parents [1] 858/17
Park [3] 709/24 714/9 963/10 parked [2] 701/25 706/18
Parker [5] 742/23 760/25 761/2 765/19 799/5
parking [22] 669/18 700/25 701/3 701/6 701/10 706/19 715/19 715/25 716/23 717/3 717/20 717/21 731/21 756/19 756/24 757/4 757/17 766/5 767/17 767/25 795/3 829/13
parole [1] 935/25
parse [1] 665/7
part [44] 683/21 684/2 685/15 687/18 700/7 701/10 705/16 708/16 711/12 711/15 719/6 724/22 731/21 732/15 736/24 742/5 748/6 757/12 757/13 759/23 762/21 786/24 789/23 793/24 798/18 815/4 817/5 830/24 836/18 857/25 862/22 870/24 872/25 874/7 889/13 908/15 908/16 908/20 921/5 928/25 945/19 946/4 951/11 962/25
part-time [1] 759/23
participant [1] 791/8
participants [1] 811/13
participate [2] 725/4 811/22
participated [2] 683/2 724/23
participating [2] 776/12 791/10
participation [3] 677/25 678/1 881/24
particular [9] 665/21 686/6 702/24 710/6 720/18 723/15 836/7 839/6 866/16
particularly [2] 805/14 836/17
parties [9] 663/17 728/10 729/22 802/7 815/18 845/13 847/9 886/21 965/4
parts [1] 951/12
passed [1] 850/20
passing [3] 718/6 720/5 796/21
past [11] 671/12 678/16 683/18 684/7 730/16 753/3 857/17 936/12 951/7 951/11 951/16
Paterson [2] 959/1 959/12
paths [3] 912/12 912/14 914/13
patience [1] 663/3
patrol [22] 667/1 667/22 692/3 699/8
763/20 777/2 785/22 785/24 789/13
855/6 910/17 911/3 911/24 911/24
912/9 912/9 913/9 914/22 914/25 916/24 935/18 938/10
patrolled [3] 785/21 911/5 911/6 patrolling [2] 673/2 694/9
patrols [3] 822/21 822/21 872/12
pattern [2] 727/17 793/17
Patty [5] 721/15 777/23 778/1 778/2 796/22
Paul [3] 767/19 768/4 768/8
pay [2] 711/8 804/16
paying [3] 708/21 834/23 956/25
PC [2] 893/8 893/11
PD [1] 928/18
Pearse [9] 802/13 802/14 802/16 803/1 803/9 803/19 803/23 806/10 806/12
peer [1] 763/16
pending [2] 876/25 882/20
penned [1] 903/19
people [83] 663/14 669/22 675/23
680/9 683/1 684/1 684/5 684/9 684/22 684/24 685/7 685/12 685/21 686/14 686/24 691/15 691/20 710/4 710/11 712/16 723/6 723/10 736/9 737/17 737/18 737/19 737/20 745/17 751/5 757/15 763/5 769/23 789/23 790/1 790/17 793/17 793/20 795/14 805/24 831/25 832/12 835/12 835/14 835/17 835/22 835/23 835/25 836/4 837/12 838/16 838/18 839/21 844/13 845/12 846/18 846/20 846/24 847/2 847/3 847/22 856/12 856/23 860/2 863/19 885/13 896/17 896/20 896/23 897/7 897/25 898/20 898/20 899/1 899/5 899/12 908/16 921/6 921/25 922/6 948/21 949/12 952/9 959/3
people's [1] 859/10
per [5] 815/8 815/12 856/23 874/22 888/1
perceive [1] 884/10
perceived [1] 784/3
percent [4] 900/18 921/16 923/8 937/24
percentage [1] 918/4
perception [6] 884/1 884/9 884/16
884/22 885/3 938/12
perfectly [1] 781/20
perform [2] 684/20 696/8
performance [13] 670/2 688/23
702/16 761/7 764/1 764/5 765/4 795/25 889/1 890/4 890/6 890/10 958/20
performed [1] 685/3
perhaps [2] 808/9 897/18
period [40] 670/17 673/6 674/8 686/7 687/20 688/22 694/11 699/3 700/12 712/5 714/20 716/6 748/20 750/5 761/3 761/17 762/1 762/3 781/16

783/14 783/20 829/21 850/24 851/3 856/5 857/13 857/19 864/17 895/5 896/10 896/14 896/24 911/18 926/12 932/18 932/21 936/24 942/10 943/11 943/23
periods [6] 673/4 673/7 674/21 692/17 699/1 896/10
Perlow [4] 721/15 777/23 778/1 778/2
Perlow's [1] 796/22
permission [5] 762/14 805/11 821/14 827/9 905/13
permit [9] 700/25 701/4 701/6 701/7 701/15 701/16 701/16 701/17 701/18
permits [1] 701/25
permitted [1] 873/20
person [40] 680/4 680/12 694/14 694/14 696/2 711/1 711/16 714/20 720/17 723/8 723/15 737/25 742/8 767/19 770/24 771/16 774/15 778/10 780/22 780/22 780/24 802/8 836/14 836/15 842/4 847/24 853/16 860/12 860/15 891/18 892/7 892/10 892/21 908/24 922/4 940/20 944/1 948/19 954/21 959/13
personal [23] 676/24 677/2 677/6 686/5 702/19 703/16 719/20 721/10 734/2 826/22 828/16 830/3 840/20 840/22 883/5 883/9 889/4 889/21 949/16 950/6 956/11 959/13 964/16
personality [5] 840/24 841/25 859/25 860/12 860/18
personality-wise [2] 859/25 860/18
personally [7] 702/11 798/17 840/17 863/15 884/5 896/22 946/22
personnel [5] 699/9 718/9 718/15 757/14 878/18
perspective [1] 678/18
perspectives [1] 675/19
pertaining [2] 821/8 883/7
pertains [2] 720/14 823/2
phase [4] 821/6 821/7 821/23 863/13
phasing [1] 873/10
Phillips [6] 679/9 693/20 694/4 694/5 694/13 787/20
Phillips' [3] 693/23 694/10 694/15
philosophy [2] 859/1 859/3
phone [8] 730/11 775/11 775/15 811/12 858/23 861/14 940/23 940/24 phones [1] 686/3
photo [11] 768/13 768/15 769/12
769/16 769/21 770/14 771/14 771/15 799/9 799/9 892/13
photographed [1] 768/25
photographer [1] 768/17
photos [7] 768/18 768/19 768/22 768/24 769/1 772/2 772/2
phrase [4] 675/22 675/25 676/6 816/21
physical [5] 684/11 837/14 855/20 946/2 950/3
physically [3] 671/24 773/24 844/9 pick [5] 753/1 753/13 839/15 952/9 965/3
picked [5] 752/17 753/2 915/1 951/5 952/16
picks [1] 840/14
picture [3] 729/2 735/11 754/22

P
piece [1] 957/12
pieces [1] 952/9
pillars [1] 859/17
pillows [1] 940/9
pivotal [1] 699/20
PK [2] 709/24 714/9
place [9] 684/18 693/6 748/18 801/17 811/24 834/8 906/19 939/5 940/8 places [2] 835/11 846/25
plaintiff [27] 659/5 660/2 664/2 664/15 802/13 803/2 803/11 807/3 819/18 833/2 854/2 882/4 885/11 909/18 916/2 927/2 933/18 954/18 954/19 955/10 955/22 956/2 956/15 956/16 956/17 956/21 962/23
plaintiff's [15] 661/2 662/3 704/21 728/20 801/7 807/10 820/4 832/3 867/14 867/25 868/9 879/6 901/8 913/16 919/3
plaintiffs [1] 663/19
Plaintiffs' [1] 696/12
plan [2] 843/24 917/6
plane [1] 956/24
planned [2] 812/20 938/18
planning [3] 776/10 923/4 941/1
play [5] 813/4 827/10 827/20 827/22 878/24
players [2] 805/2 955/7
playing [2] 804/23 957/7
playoffs [2] 938/5 939/17
pleadings [2] 948/4 948/5
please [52] 663/6 663/22 664/8 664/9
664/10 664/20 697/5 720/10 726/25
729/22 729/23 730/3 730/4 735/15
740/3 744/7 754/25 803/19 806/16
806/20 816/25 819/10 819/12 819/13
819/15 833/12 833/18 848/10 853/14
854/9 854/12 854/18 882/15 886/20
886/23 909/14 909/21 910/3 915/21
915/25 916/5 916/9 916/11 924/9
926/22 926/25 927/5 927/11 933/15
934/1 934/5 958/12
pleasure [1] 853/5
pledge [1] 682/14
plenty [2] 837/19 850/6
plus [2] 757/2 835/24
pocket [1] 707/3
point [41] 676/9 685/5 704/10 709/3
709/9 710/23 711/7 712/25 715/24
719/1 723/22 743/21 755/22 756/12
759/25 760/21 783/22 794/9 795/7
796/4 796/25 797/9 808/3 809/3
812/18 812/22 812/25 813/2 813/8
817/13 823/20 825/9 837/3 837/22
838/12 851/8 871/17 874/13 887/11
898/4 904/3
pointed [2] 723/14 946/5
points [2] 829/3 838/21
Polaris [1] 725/6
police [164]
policies [11] 692/12 692/15 692/18 692/19 692/21 692/24 693/4 693/6 857/25 917/19 921/8
policing [11] 671/18 678/19 681/25
682/12 682/15 682/21 683/22 691/22 693/10 699/16 859/18
policy [25] 693/2 737/17 737/20 737/21 737/25 763/25 764/3 764/5 764/9 807/25 865/25 866/8 866/13 866/18 895/21 906/21 906/22 907/1 907/4 907/6 907/12 907/16 925/8 928/1 928/2
political [3] 675/12 675/17 942/23
popcorn [1] 723/8
population [1] 884/21
portion [4] 711/18 868/23 871/4 872/8
Portland [13] 659/9 660/5 660/21
665/5 665/9 665/13 666/7 752/12
754/7 840/8 950/24 951/2 951/4
pose [1] 764/22
posed [1] 678/5
posing [1] 770/24
position [35] 666/18 667/11 669/1
679/25 686/5 689/18 721/10 722/12
743/7 744/1 769/4 772/9 773/15
786/19 790/5 796/1 796/2 804/18
820/9 837/12 842/20 883/15 888/17
910/23 920/17 920/22 921/2 935/12
942/25 943/1 959/16 961/8 962/17 962/24 962/25
positions [5] 666/16 667/6 668/13 668/18 668/23
positive [2] 670/4 691/21
possible [13] 708/7 722/6 736/14 736/18 808/23 872/9 886/12 891/6 899/15 909/23 927/9 932/14 955/20
possibly [7] 695/1 720/7 725/2 741/23
777/18 902/4 955/2
post [4] 709/2 709/9 712/25 744/1
posting [3] 768/21 961/6 961/7 potatoes [1] 930/1
potential [5] 862/25 907/2 907/18 907/22 907/25
potentially [3] 795/18 881/9 959/11
practice [3] 675/5 734/10 734/12
practices [1] 917/20
pre [1] 872/13
pre-equipped [1] 872/13
preclude [1] 749/8
preclusion [1] 749/15
predominantly [1] 804/24
prefer [1] 684/21
preferred [1] 866/12
pregnant [1] 767/7
prejudice [2] 753/18 753/21
prejudicial [2] 964/14 964/19
prelaw [1] 665/1
premature [1] 667/12
prepare [4] 730/9 905/16 905/19
919/18
prepared [8] 747/11 748/11 812/16 902/2 902/6 902/6 904/16 963/15
prepping [1] 798/22
presence [8] 682/18 749/7 753/16
754/13 754/17 754/19 837/15 839/18 present [39] 663/11 663/16 663/17 663/17 728/4 728/15 728/15 729/20 729/21 729/22 729/22 748/2 754/11 802/3 802/5 802/7 802/7 813/5 817/6 817/7 817/9 877/13 877/18 880/24 881/1 881/17 882/7 883/12 886/19 886/20 886/21 886/21 886/22 946/19 953/13 955/23 958/16 959/16 959/20
presentation [6] 832/1 832/2 924/7 957/20 959/5 964/23
presented [6] 802/8 813/23 815/1 832/13 919/22 943/5
preside [1] 752/10
presided [2] 951/1 952/17
president [8] 714/24 718/1 739/6 739/7 769/4 804/9 936/3 937/8
press [11] 752/16 752/24 950/22
951/5 951/6 951/7 951/8 951/13
951/19 952/2 952/11
pressure [2] 702/15 793/19
presume [1] 848/23
pretty [19] 691/12 692/1 716/24
722/13 785/14 797/20 798/9 804/22 805/22 805/23 805/25 834/12 835/9 835/16 847/4 885/1 911/20 929/9 929/12
prevent [1] 943/5
prevention [3] 773/12 937/12 937/13
previous [2] 719/23 784/4
previously [3] 702/16 719/24 913/16
price [1] 958/5
primarily [2] 799/5 836/3
primary [1] 670/16
Prineville [2] 666/4 666/5
print [1] 698/14
printed [1] 728/22
printout [1] 694/15
prior [20] 672/16 678/2 701/6 701/9
717/2 757/21 765/17 773/3 773/7 846/15 854/23 854/25 860/13 860/13 874/11 874/12 906/1 916/19 928/24 950/22
prison [1] 929/16
prisoners [1] 948/15
private [2] 747/19 952/10
probable [5] 891/25 892/6 892/8 892/19 893/4
probably [23] 676/11 719/25 744/16 744/18 744/21 756/11 762/4 764/4 770/7 771/21 776/25 789/23 829/17 851/13 863/10 876/23 903/15 914/9 940/12 955/11 956/23 959/17 965/6 probation [1] 935/25
probationary [2] 761/3 761/17
problem [25] 681/17 684/16 689/1 693/1 694/23 695/5 711/17 751/1 760/17 765/3 779/13 779/16 779/18 781/7 781/10 781/13 782/8 782/13 792/18 826/2 826/25 827/2 844/11 872/3 946/4
problematic [3] 825/24 826/5 829/14 problems [25] 668/22 672/19 675/23 683/18 684/1 684/7 684/12 688/24 689/8 699/9 701/11 708/23 711/11 715/4 718/23 764/22 771/8 841/22 841/24 843/16 844/2 861/22 862/16 866/23 941/2
procedural [1] 931/9
procedure [2] 812/18 931/16
procedures [2] 692/12 692/15
proceeded [2] 766/6 857/9
proceeding [2] 695/6 816/13
proceedings [8] 659/14 663/1 754/23
806/13 819/9 845/25 950/16 966/10 process [25] 687/12 711/12 720/19
process... [22] 725/19 750/20 752/3 766/14 802/16 808/3 808/22 809/20 815/5 855/19 855/20 863/5 863/11 863/13 876/23 898/5 899/17 899/19 908/3 908/8 917/17 926/11
processed [1] 857/15 processes [2] 787/12 863/6 procured [1] 857/21 produced [3] 747/5 747/7 748/7 production [1] 750/1 profession [6] 722/8 822/5 863/1 867/8 884/24 885/1
professional [11] 677/11 678/24
680/25 743/2 763/15 766/3 768/17
813/12 883/5 944/20 956/8
professionalism [1] 811/21
program [20] 669/21 758/23 759/2
759/18 759/22 759/23 800/2 847/5
889/17 890/1 920/25 921/3 921/5
921/14 926/7 936/6 936/7 936/10
937/20 952/16
programs [2] 937/12 937/13
progress [3] 691/23 790/3 813/20
progressed [1] 842/19
progressing [3] 692/8 692/22 694/25
progression [1] 849/9
progressively [2] 689/11 700/14
projects [2] 671/16 937/13
promise [3] 801/22 801/23 880/21
promised [1] 934/7
promoted [2] 937/18 937/21
promotional [1] 935/21
proofread [1] 879/2
proper [1] 811/9
properly [1] 811/22
property [2] 726/13 878/5
proposed [1] 901/23
prosecuting [1] 938/20
prosecutors [1] 937/4
protect [1] 859/4
Protective [4] 936/13 936/14 936/18 937/4
provide [8] 697/18 743/8 812/3 812/4 813/17 822/24 902/25 936/22
provided [3] 747/2 902/7 902/8
providing [1] 764/5
PTSD [8] 882/22 883/13 884/4 884/10 884/11 884/22 885/3 886/11
public [41] 668/17 679/23 680/18 680/25 682/11 684/23 693/12 701/10 708/15 717/4 724/20 737/16 757/4 758/8 758/9 758/21 771/18 785/25
786/4 786/20 821/12 829/9 837/7
849/23 850/23 851/5 851/19 852/2
852/4 855/6 865/3 875/2 897/12
897/24 910/19 911/1 911/4 911/6
911/10 936/3 937/8
publications [1] 678/10
publicity [1] 952/3
publish [2] 821/14 821/16
pull [8] 820/2 820/3 833/7 873/3
874/16 874/20 875/1 933/24
pulled [7] 689/3 710/18 768/2 768/13
874/10 894/22 925/3
pulling [1] 694/21
punchy [1] 934/8
punctuality [1] 684/14
Punitive [1] 716/19
purchase [1] 734/2
purchased [2] 701/6 861/4
purge [1] 814/18
purpose [3] 791/2 821/20 821/23
purposes [5] 679/15 749/21 812/17
930/23 943/9
pursue [3] 666/7 704/9 797/11
pursued [1] 797/14
pursuit [1] 965/6
push [1] 955/4
pussy [2] 705/9 705/12
put [39] 668/12 668/17 675/17 675/18
686/23 702/12 707/10 710/10 712/16 712/23 712/24 712/24 714/14 722/10 735/15 735/18 750/14 768/19 779/12 780/12 789/2 795/3 821/21 825/18 830/19 830/21 858/19 861/4 868/25 920/17 920/20 920/22 922/15 952/13 952/18 956/1 957/3 960/13 962/12 puts [1] 882/7
putting [9] 703/5 707/7 720/23 864/10 881/25 893/3 904/19 919/21 956/12
qualifications [4] 772/9 772/11 799/12 867/2
qualified [4] 683/17 683/21 772/13 961/10
qualify [2] 738/2 884/24
quantity [1] 702/13
quartermaster [1] 671/17
question [74] 687/8 690/8 691/10
692/14 698/9 703/15 703/19 706/3 715/16 716/20 717/13 719/21 720/10 729/16 731/5 733/13 735/15 742/1 742/24 747/11 751/17 752/1 760/2 760/14 760/15 762/21 766/15 770/22 771/9 774/18 777/20 777/22 790/20 790/21 790/23 791/14 812/10 814/1 826/12 827/12 827/20 828/5 828/8 828/9 828/14 828/18 861/13 866/7 869/10 870/2 870/4 870/8 871/25 872/18 875/6 877/8 877/15 883/23 885/19 903/5 904/22 905/4 913/13 922/19 923/19 923/22 923/23 924/11 924/17 930/24 932/10 932/12 959/2 964/9
questionable [1] 690/2
questioned [2] 681/4 743/3
questioning [1] 849/12
questions [26] 664/21 682/7 747/17
751/6 760/8 800/11 803/18 804/19 806/9 819/1 832/1 838/20 848/8
852/18 853/7 865/7 876/16 877/9
909/7 922/13 925/23 926/16 933/7 950/10 959/7 960/11
quick [5] 675/6 801/15 801/15 927/20 932/16
quickly [9] 693/2 731/1 748/10 793/20
822/9 872/9 916/16 920/5 928/13
quiet [2] 664/12 805/23
quietly [1] 753/10
quit [1] 861/7
quite [24] 686/17 710/13 714/10
723/12 737/13 745/9 753/16 760/3

767/21 796/11 844/12 846/3 859/4 889/14 902/13 904/14 908/13 917/15 918/6 924/14 929/5 937/10 950/25 962/9
quiz [1] 938/18
quote [1] 852/14
quote/unquote [1] 852/14

\section*{R}
radio [16] 685/24 708/10 709/4 754/4 898/16 912/19 913/1 917/24 930/7 931/8 931/9 931/11 951/16 951/17 951/19 952/16
radios [2] 775/15 911/5
raid [1] 858/6
raise [13] 663/22 802/21 806/23
806/24 819/15 832/23 853/19 853/22 909/14 915/24 926/24 933/15 937/11
raised [4] 777/22 788/10 789/15 941/15
raising [3] 937/10 937/15 937/16
rally [2] 706/13 742/4
ran [2] 673/12 721/23
Randy [1] 688/2
range [3] 684/14 792/9 927/22
ranged [1] 685/23
Ranger [6] 832/15 832/21 833/1
833/10 833/16 848/14
ranges [1] 783/17
rank [3] 669/16 778/8 782/4
ranked [1] 680/12
ranks [1] 939/4
rapidly [1] 832/13
rare [2] 673/4 722/11
rather [5] 729/12 813/8 897/19 955/7 958/21
RDR [2] 660/20 966/14
reach [2] 941/24 943/24
reached [6] 809/13 843/12 843/13
863/12 952/20 962/20
reaching [1] 843/21
reaction [2] 776/5 776/16
read [37] 697/16 705/1 713/11 749/1 752/19 753/24 754/3 755/7 756/6 756/8 757/22 824/25 827/19 830/19 830/22 830/24 830/24 870/5 870/9 871/4 872/4 872/5 879/4 901/20 902/20 904/15 915/1 915/11 920/5 948/3 948/3 951/15 951/23 951/24 952/12 952/19 958/8
reading [2] 753/25 952/15
ready [4] 678/17 743/9 767/12 798/19 real [4] 835/19 835/19 852/15 932/16 realize [5] 728/18 765/2 770/23 904/15 942/1
really [51] 667/24 669/23 674/15 680/3 687/3 699/6 699/9 699/14 700/16 711/2 713/16 714/2 718/24 768/18 783/2 783/5 787/9 789/14 801/15 835/12 835/14 841/21 843/10 844/1 846/6 846/6 846/8 846/11 847/12 848/24 849/7 850/5 850/15 857/3 859/13 860/25 861/7 885/5 886/10 898/13 910/8 911/13 912/23 931/7 941/15 943/12 943/17 943/19 943/25 957/18 959/16
reams [1] 698/15

Reanswer [1] 924/17
reapplied [1] 773/14
reask [4] 790/23 812/10 856/15 875/6 reason [11] 694/17 731/21 732/15 745/1 753/11 835/8 866/12 881/2 894/8 923/9 964/17
reasons [5] 681/7 701/20 715/5 720/20 886/8
reassigned [9] 715/19 715/25 716/23
717/2 717/5 756/19 756/24 757/3 757/17
rebuttal [21] 704/24 717/16 717/24 730/21 731/8 746/20 750/10 750/11 750/14 751/3 751/10 751/14 754/20 757/20 757/23 758/1 959/12 959/14 959/14 959/17 960/3
recall [128] 673/20 677/22 677/25
681/21 683/12 693/23 704/18 704/18 709/17 721/8 736/4 736/7 736/8 736/13 736/17 736/19 736/21 736/22 737/1 737/9 737/21 739/21 742/8 742/12 742/22 743/22 744/4 744/5 744/8 755/11 755/12 756/24 756/25 757/1 757/19 758/1 759/8 759/12 759/18 762/3 762/9 762/9 762/15 762/20 762/24 764/10 765/8 765/10 766/1 766/17 766/18 767/18 768/1 769/13 770/1 770/3 770/18 772/23 772/24 773/1 774/4 774/20 775/14 777/1 777/5 777/6 777/9777/11 777/15 777/19 778/23 779/1 779/14 779/15 785/8 785/15 786/2 788/12 788/13 788/18 788/22 789/3 790/12 790/15 794/17 800/3 800/8 810/11 815/5 816/8 816/16 816/17 816/21 818/7 818/9 820/17 836/6 838/9
851/15 851/20 867/6 872/20 874/5 890/12 891/9 891/14 891/16 891/18 893/17 896/12 897/3 898/18 898/22 902/12 911/17 912/24 914/20 914/21 914/22 918/3 919/18 921/25 922/5 924/14 929/21 931/22 932/7 949/8
recalling [1] 929/24
receipt [1] 877/7
receive [13] 665/14 697/1 697/6 702/2 726/2 726/8 731/25 751/15 751/16 751/16 868/2 870/25 871/21
received [26] 670/2 699/23 704/24
713/3 713/4 715/6 727/10 740/25 747/11 748/11 751/9 754/13 754/15 754/19 756/8 761/6 765/4 800/20 801/1 801/4 801/8 801/8 821/16 869/7 905/13 922/16
receiving [2] 681/15 729/18 recent [1] 847/12
recently [5] 862/4 862/5 866/21 867/1 884/19
receptive [1] 681/18
recess [13] 727/22 728/3 729/5 729/6 749/2 752/9 802/4 880/23 886/18
953/10 953/18 955/18 957/2
reclusive [1] 861/9
recognition [1] 752/23
recognize [6] 740/1 752/22 879/6 919/4 932/13 964/19 recognized [2] 723/18 758/23
recollection [5] 740/17 741/5 741/15 827/12 827/14
recommend [3] 761/2 762/19 863/18 recommendation [5] 761/16 761/20 863/16 879/7 920/21
recon [1] 942/5
reconnect [1] 813/10
record [14] 685/15 728/14 740/15 741/10 748/19 749/2 750/25 801/6 802/6 893/12 898/20 907/21 958/24 966/9
recorded [6] 874/4 899/7 899/12 921/6 921/7 922/6
recorders [1] 899/3
recording [11] 872/22 873/21 897/25 898/20 898/23 899/5 906/21 906/23 907/2 921/20 921/25
records [1] 935/14
recross [7] 800/10 831/5 853/6 909/6 915/15 933/4 950/9
Recross-examination [1] 853/6
recruiting [1] 947/22
redeye [2] 954/3 956/25
Redirect [26] 661/6 661/15 661/19
661/23 662/7 662/11 662/15 662/19 793/3 793/5 800/10 819/2 830/15 830/17 852/20 852/22 906/8 906/10 915/6 915/9 925/24 926/1 931/25 932/1 949/24 950/1
Reds [1] 836/11
redundant [2] 959/18 959/23
ref [1] 937/19
refer [3] 699/11 742/9 752/21
referee [4] 938/2 939/14 939/16 939/20
reference [7] 676/9 800/18 814/20 866/7 879/13 902/17 920/3
referenced [2] 676/1 740/25
references [1] 787/10
referred [7] 684/15 705/9 754/15
801/4 825/24 825/25 961/20
referring [6] 740/6 791/17 869/13
869/16 869/17 873/13
reflect [1] 749/3
refresh [8] 704/20 705/3 741/4 741/15
746/4 746/14 747/8 827/14
refreshes [1] 705/2
refreshing [3] 740/11 740/17 827/11
refused [1] 758/2
regard [5] 774/12 792/13 812/13
822/17 838/5
regarding [2] 870/21 930/21
regardless [2] 686/8 805/3
region [2] 666/16 835/22
Register [1] 752/13
Register-Guard [1] 752/13
registered [2] 701/16 804/11
regular [11] 677/1 757/12 760/1 786/6
791/6 800/4 821/9 835/20 837/20
889/8 912/8
regularly [2] 940/1 940/25
reinstate [1] 894/18
reinstated [1] 894/18
relate [2] 747/18 930/16
related [4] 772/5 799/5 874/2 949/12
relates [2] 874/19 881/7
relating [1] 823/11
relation [11] 671/24 675/23 677/23 678/13 682/11 705/2 712/19 750/5 774/8 808/1 907/1
relationship [14] 676/23 782/22
783/19 784/9 785/1 833/20 836/13 859/24 860/4 861/16 888/24 889/5 939/11 940/18
relay [2] 709/10 796/17
relayed [1] 797/6
relaying [1] 876/24
relevance [6] 716/17 723/3 876/11
880/14 946/15 948/23
relevant [2] 850/18 880/16
rely [2] 795/13 903/1
remainder [1] 955/19
remains [1] 711/19
remedy [1] 688/24
remember [96] 668/11 673/16 675/22
688/2 700/24 706/24 708/6 728/19
732/13 742/3 744/10 745/17 745/19
757/16 759/13 759/21 762/5 765/24
769/4 769/19 774/23 774/24 774/25
775/8 775/12 775/21 775/23 775/25
777/12 778/7 778/21 779/4 779/10
780/20 780/23 781/11 785/11 785/12
785/13 785/21 786/5 786/8 786/10
786/14 786/22 794/5 794/11 794/14
794/23 795/24 796/20 796/21 808/8
823/8 823/19 823/25 824/1 824/4
824/6 831/16 831/18 832/3 841/21
842/11 842/12 842/23 843/20 845/14 847/1 849/5 851/22 852/5 852/8 871/7 891/5 897/2 899/14 900/3 901/10 902/9 902/11 903/8 903/10 903/17
905/7 919/21 932/18 932/21 932/24 932/24 933/3 941/2 942/15 943/2 943/8 954/16
remind [3] 729/9 752/20 831/16
remove [2] 684/24 685/12
removed [5] 718/15 739/8 768/12 873/18 885/25
renew [1] 717/19
renewed [9] 701/9 715/20 715/23
716/9 716/14 718/19 733/2 755/20
756/20
reopened [1] 669/1
repeat [5] 744/7 827/17 893/10 896/1 932/16
repeated [1] 782/15
rephrase [2] 687/10 698/9
replaced [1] 873/17
replied [3] 739/11 761/12 963/20
report [26] 683/4 683/5 704/11 721/21
725/22 745/2 778/18 778/19 821/7
829/22 866/15 866/16 867/19 878/11 890/8 890/16 890/23 891/9 891/10 891/13 894/16 901/20 902/18 908/10 908/13 908/15
reported [4] 711/17 744/14 744/16 785/2
REPORTER [2] 660/20 966/15
reporting [2] 755/14 936/19
reports [19] 820/17 820/19 821/23 857/18 866/11 874/22 890/13 890/19 890/20 890/21 890/25 891/3 908/4 908/4 908/7 911/11 911/12 911/22 911/25
represent [1] 820/9
representation [6] 683/19 804/22
805/1 871/22 877/25 963/6
representatives [1] 804/25
represented [3] 716/5 716/7 959/18
representing [2] 904/17 960/2
reprimand [38] 704/24 715/6 717/16
717/24 718/14 731/25 732/5 740/8 740/19 740/20 741/4 741/10 741/14 741/24 742/6 746/17 746/21 747/10 748/6 749/1 750/9 750/11 750/13 754/16 754/22 755/4 757/23 760/11 760/13 808/18 809/7 810/2 810/9 812/19 816/3 816/7 818/16 818/22 reputation [10] 683/20 718/23 745/9 745/20 805/18 805/21 805/22 806/2 806/4 837/6
request [6] 693/22 748/12 748/13 749/22 749/23 867/21
requested [14] 693/22 694/13 695/20
696/11 739/10 747/9 747/13 747/13
748/6 750/2 800/18 800/24 815/10 905/13
requesting [2] 739/7 743/6
requests [1] 749/25
require [2] 753/7 753/8
required [9] 684/11 753/19 811/16
857/4 865/6 890/3 907/3 907/16 907/21
requirement [2] 763/24 815/24
requirements [2] 889/25 926/6
reschedule [1] 811/8
research [2] 701/17 958/10
researching [1] 694/24
reservations [1] 922/24
reserve [44] 678/23 679/6 679/7 759/8
759/11 854/24 855/13 855/15 855/20 856/2 865/14 865/22 865/23 866/1 866/8 873/6 873/6 887/22 887/22 888/5 889/25 890/3 890/17 890/18 893/19 894/23 896/4 897/14 898/8 899/20 910/14 917/1 917/4 918/11 920/24 921/9 924/14 924/15 924/19 924/22 926/7 928/19 928/25 932/4 reserved [2] 681/12 832/2 reserves [25] 856/19 856/23 866/13 866/14 887/12 887/23 889/7 889/11 889/14 889/19 890/1 890/4 890/9 890/23 890/24 895/18 896/1 898/14 900/10 908/6 921/5 924/11 926/4 927/21 928/2
resign [2] 814/18 894/7
resigned [6] 895/1 895/7 895/13 923/5 932/8 933/1
resist [1] 709/15
resistance [1] 709/11
resisting [3] 737/11 737/12 738/1
resolution [1] 743/23
resolve [3] 747/16 748/10 858/21
resolved [2] 714/11 766/6
resolving [1] 808/23
Resort [1] 940/2
resource [1] 855/4
resources [4] 688/3 714/25 793/24 794/1
respect [1] 811/21
respond [9] 742/21 781/5 810/1 870/5 877/22 877/24 905/13 905/14 937/5
responded [3] 857/8 858/15 878/1 responding [6] 672/25 779/9 781/7 781/11 870/21 912/10
response [21] 704/5 704/8 707/1
717/15 740/22 740/23 754/22 757/23
760/16 760/19 809/25 815/9 828/9 867/16 867/21 869/24 872/25 875/21 879/20 902/17 919/4
responses [1] 854/18
responsibilities [1] 671/15
responsibility [3] 663/14 762/23 958/3
responsible [1] 888/2
rest [6] 711/4 711/18 830/19 830/25 871/6 963/14
restate [4] 690/8 692/14 698/9 816/4
rested [2] 956/21 958/20
resting [1] 710/7
restore [1] 861/4
restoring [2] 941/13 941/16
restrained [3] 738/11 738/13 738/14
restrooms [1] 886/17
rests [1] 955/22
result [5] 702/8 726/21 864/4 878/11 947/24
resume [1] 727/24
resuming [1] 964/3
retake [1] 729/23
retaliate [2] 790/17 793/8
retaliated [2] 698/19 719/17
retaliating [1] 700/10
retaliation [9] 715/8 717/18 718/24
727/14 733/14 733/17 946/9 946/17 950/3
retaliatory [3] 745/10 774/15 792/21
retired [2] 935/1 935/5
return [4] 869/9 922/23 956/9 960/21
returned [3] 768/12 904/9 935/17
returning [2] 831/22 958/7
reverse [1] 712/8
reversed [1] 931/20
review [8] 670/14 687/6 730/17 787/4 812/24 823/6 903/13 904/3
reviewed [4] 757/20 810/8 874/10 919/23
reviews [1] 670/1
revisit [1] 837/3
Richland [5] 935/10 935/17 935/18 935/23 937/9
rid [2] 684/13 686/14
ride [10] 856/2 890/17 896/19 896/20 898/12 921/22 924/13 924/16 924/23 938/10
riding [6] 725/5 860/2 860/3 890/21 896/23 917/14
right [105] 663/22 664/12 669/13 671/7 674/15 675/18 684/17 698/4 715/22 715/25 717/19 720/9 723/13 727/22 731/11 731/25 732/11 734/11 736/20 737/11 738/22 739/2 747/12 749/11 749/22 752/3 754/9 754/24 767/23 779/6 795/18 797/12 797/21 799/2 799/7 799/10 801/15 801/21 802/21 806/10 806/23 806/25 808/10 816/18 819/15 828/6 828/11 832/3

832/23 834/11 834/21 838/16 839/9 841/4 843/6 843/6 843/16 844/10 845/11 845/21 845/25 846/10 846/23 848/15 849/23 851/24 853/20 853/23 862/19 864/21 864/25 865/4 866/6 868/19 869/8 870/8 873/21 874/8 880/21 880/25 883/11 886/16 892/7 906/19 907/23 908/23 909/15 915/24 916/6 923/4 926/17 926/24 927/6 929/23 933/15 942/12 949/23 951/23 953/14 953/18 956/1 956/4 958/18 959/10 960/16
rightfully [1] 884/21
rights [2] 815/8 952/22
ring [3] 774/2 775/9 823/21
Rizzo [1] 892/16
RMR [2] 660/20 966/14
road [2] 748/16 941/5
robbery [6] 857/6 857/13 858/7 892/2 892/22 929/21
rode [4] 896/17 898/8 899/1 917/12 Roger [1] 833/10
Roger Ranger [1] 833/10
role [9] 679/1 680/16 772/14 808/1
823/17 863/17 878/23 892/15 899/23
room [19] 660/21 673/3 673/8 674/11
674/12 675/8 769/24 769/24 770/3
811/5 878/7 878/15 879/1 911/24
911/24 913/9 914/22 914/25 958/14
roommate [2] 862/11 862/11
rooms [2] 673/25 796/5
rotated [1] 673/14
rough [1] 956/6
roughly [1] 940/16
Rousseau [7] 769/2 769/5 769/15
770/10 770/17 771/3 799/9
route [1] 885/12
routine [1] 800/3
routinely [1] 822/20
row [5] 710/2 710/3 710/21 735/21 735/23
Royce [1] 769/25
rude [2] 759/25 760/3
Rudnick [1] 660/8
ruined [1] 864/12
rule [1] 749/12
rules [6] 811/6 811/9 811/20 812/23 813/5 886/13
ruling [2] 712/8 882/16
rumors [2] 923/8 923/12
run [4] 688/5 805/24 811/15 852/24 running [3] 687/13 706/20 708/22 ruse [1] 844/18
Ryan [12] 808/5 808/6 808/10 808/13 809/5 809/24 810/8 810/19 817/19 818/5 818/6 818/8

\section*{S}
safe [3] 743/7 838/17 938/12
safely [1] 958/12
safety [57] 668/17 679/23 680/18
681/2 682/11 685/23 685/25 686/2 688/23 689/9 689/12 689/16 690/15 693/12 701/11 708/15 708/16 717/4 724/20 737/17 743/3 743/8 744/2 757/4 758/8 758/9 771/18 786/9 786/12 786/15 786/21 786/25 794/18
safety...[24] 821/12 829/9 837/7
849/23 850/23 851/5 851/19 852/2 852/4 865/3 867/5 875/2 897/13 897/24 910/19 911/1 911/4 911/6 911/10 912/16 914/12 914/12 917/22 930/3
said [97] 676/17 681/10 681/23 682/3 703/14 703/19 704/2 705/5 706/5 707/2 707/4 707/5 707/13 708/1 710/25 713/23 731/8 733/9 736/12 736/14 736/15 736/18 741/19 741/21 742/19 744/18 757/20 759/4 759/7 759/10 759/17 759/20 760/6 760/13 766/11 767/8 767/20 768/21 769/11 769/14 773/10 775/7 777/17 779/21 784/4 784/11 785/9 787/16 787/20 787/21 788/3 792/15 792/20 799/25 811/7 811/18 814/14 814/16 814/24 825/13 826/24 826/24 827/15 827/16 827/18 827/23 828/3 831/17 839/7 843/4 843/14 843/22 843/25 844/2 847/23 847/25 851/18 852/6 852/13 873/24 887/7 889/19 897/6 900/2 901/4 901/9 903/8 903/23 903/25 906/22 920/25 942/16 942/20 946/6 949/2 954/16 959/16
sake [1] 951/1
salmon [1] 939/25
Salsbury [3] 894/20 894/24 926/3
Sam [1] 684/6
same [25] 672/1 679/8 719/25 735/7 746/3 746/6 757/7 757/14 763/18 792/8 793/16 800/22 811/5 811/5 840/4 845/10 856/24 897/14 908/18 912/19 912/20 921/18 921/19 945/11 964/16
San [2] 801/13 802/9
sanctions [1] 702/2
sat [8] 735/21 735/23 796/4 878/25 892/16 892/18 901/13 902/1
Saturday [2] 843/7 843/7
save [4] 753/21 754/14 870/14 871/17 saw [12] 723/23 725/3 757/22 759/20 766/16 786/6 862/7 892/21 914/24 943/11 943/15 943/22
say [70] 682/17 690/2 698/24 705/8 713/25 718/25 731/12 741/19 746/5 748/3 757/13 759/4 759/19 763/16 767/14 769/14 770/4 770/8 776/23 779/24 780/25 781/18 784/18 786/11 788/19 792/13 811/10 822/3 822/6 822/17 837/16 837/22 838/15 840/25 842/24 843/6 843/9 843/21 844/22 845/2 846/20 847/6 847/18 848/2 848/2 850/18 851/8 852/16 853/1 860/24 862/15 865/18 866/14 866/18 881/16 903/9 903/15 907/16 912/8 915/11 921/16 923/21 926/11 926/12 927/23 928/5 931/14 931/15 954/20 958/1
saying [25] 697/22 705/23 706/22 710/12 736/15 736/19 744/24 745/6 759/12 759/18 759/21 768/4 775/1 775/21 786/24 810/11 811/11 818/11 824/6 847/13 849/14 864/11 883/22 895/25 948/20
saying I [1] 736/15
says [14] 697/18 740/4 741/19 742/3
744/10 749/12 763/25 764/3 822/4 822/18 830/7 922/22 958/17 961/9
scan [1] 752/6
Scandinavian [1] 858/12
scanner [1] 752/5
scared [2] 715/6 719/1
scene [6] 709/4 710/13 725/11 892/2 913/12 956/12
schedule [5] 692/4 745/24 781/3 954/24 955/1
scheduled [1] 811/4
schedules [2] 730/24 965/9
scheme [2] 776/10 776/14
school [23] 665/3 665/22 665/22
666/10 739/12 835/4 835/4 848/15 848/22 849/2 849/13 851/3 851/4 855/4 938/3 938/3 938/5 938/18 939/2 939/17 940/4 942/25 942/25
schools [1] 834/7
scope [2] 921/11 932/9
SCOTT [10] 659/8 677/19 678/21 679/19 727/2 761/23 765/9 817/9 960/17 960/19
Scott Cameron [1] 765/9
scouser [3] 839/1 839/1 840/8
scout [1] 935/10
screaming [3] 710/22 724/8 775/17
screen [5] 806/19 868/22 869/15
869/23 922/15
screensaver [2] 735/12 735/14 se [3] 856/23 874/22 888/2
search [22] 693/15 693/15 693/21
694/5 695/7 695/20 695/24 698/7 698/13 857/12 857/14 891/16 892/1 892/5 892/6 892/8 892/15 892/19
892/21 892/25 908/21 908/24
season [8] 709/24 710/3 711/5 725/15 725/16 734/11 734/12 745/23
seat [5] 663/12 748/3 924/4 958/14 958/17
seated [20] 664/7 729/22 730/2 803/6 807/6 819/21 819/23 833/7 854/5 854/7 886/20 909/21 916/6 916/7 924/7 927/5 927/8 927/9 933/21 933/24
seats [1] 709/25
Seattle [5] 947/15 947/21 947/22 950/25 951/2
second [13] 660/4 702/7 710/3 721/8 722/16 773/14 823/10 826/6 835/4 873/24 879/11 942/21 947/19
second-guessing [1] 942/21
second-to-last [1] 722/16
section [2] 710/15 823/2
security [9] 684/8 693/13 767/19 768/4 768/8 773/4 773/11 773/12 822/19
see [56] 690/17 691/18 696/7 697/5
697/24 700/1 700/6 705/2 714/7 717/8 725/3 725/18 728/11 740/1 746/16 766/11 766/12 785/21 785/24 788/20 796/5 802/17 802/18 806/22 810/19 813/10 820/20 821/6 829/21 830/7 830/9 834/17 839/15 841/14 841/17 843/7 844/13 850/21 861/14 862/12

866/22 868/21 868/23 875/24 880/21 891/7 907/6 912/15 922/24 931/10 958/2 958/4 964/4 964/5 964/12 965/12
seeing [4] 841/18 940/19 943/8 946/1 seek [2] 762/14 944/20
seem [3] 681/6 913/2 930/10
seemed [11] 675/3 677/10 692/24 770/20 782/16 842/18 918/6 942/22 943/25 943/25 944/1
seems [2] 914/24 929/24
seen [20] 688/8 711/3 718/9 718/11 718/11 764/9 765/10 785/20 802/19 840/16 845/22 847/6 862/4 866/20 878/8 912/2 944/10 946/9 950/3 956/13
sees [2] 945/3 945/8
SEIU [1] 815/22
SEIU's [1] 818/2
seldom [1] 861/14
select [1] 693/2
selected [2] 666/18 855/19
self [4] \(822 / 15822 / 19822 / 25944 / 14\)
self-initiated [3] 822/15 822/19 822/25
sell [1] 668/5
selling [4] 706/20 707/4 742/8 742/10
send [9] 743/5 743/6 835/18 901/23
904/7 904/11 905/9 953/11 958/18
sending [1] 698/16
senior [4] 665/23 887/13 887/13 887/14
sense [4] 753/18 847/16 887/23 953/11
sensitive [1] 950/20
sent [19] 672/24 677/8 698/6 698/12 726/5 726/7 739/6 739/6 742/20 761/8 761/11 779/18 867/21 875/13 878/2 901/20 902/15 904/12 905/25
sentence [4] 823/10 922/22 922/24 929/16
sentiment [1] 676/19
separate [5] 709/2 716/24 778/14 778/17 789/8
separately [2] 797/18 846/22
September [4] 659/7 757/1 795/3 966/6
sergeant [81] 672/16 673/13 677/22 677/24 678/12 679/9 679/13 679/21 680/1 689/5 693/20 693/20 693/22 694/4 694/5 694/10 694/13 694/15 695/10 695/12 695/14 695/15 695/16 695/21 695/24 696/2 696/8 697/19 700/20 709/12 725/5 726/1 727/2 755/14 755/25 758/3 760/21 762/8 762/18 762/22 763/9 765/21 775/7 775/11 775/14 782/10 787/14 787/19 788/2 788/19 795/7 795/9 795/17 799/6 817/9 817/17 823/21 858/14 868/17 877/24 878/24 893/22 894/2 894/20 916/24 916/25 918/15 918/16 920/14 920/14 926/3 927/24 927/25 928/3 932/5 935/22 935/23 945/20 954/15 955/1 959/3
Sergeant Bechdolt [2] 695/21 696/2 Sergeant Cameron [7] 700/20 762/8 775/7 775/11 787/14 795/7 799/6 sergeant's [1] 674/13
sergeants [9] 669/19 761/6 761/14 761/21 795/14 796/13 799/17 927/21 928/10
series [1] 832/10
serious [4] 794/17 797/20 945/10 949/11
seriously [2] 822/20 942/2
serve [2] 838/17 859/4
served [4] 807/24 808/2 935/11 936/24
service [21] 665/2 666/23 671/21 686/7 687/20 688/22 698/25 699/19 710/8 711/22 711/23 716/6 798/15 798/20 798/23 822/24 823/5 882/23 936/3 937/8 937/13
services [7] 712/11 768/1 936/13
936/14 936/18 936/22 937/4
serving [4] \(807 / 20808 / 14810 / 4816 / 8\) session [5] 663/16 748/24 753/11 954/9 957/25
sessions [1] 957/16
set [7] 671/6 688/4 702/7 752/22
817/23 883/4 938/21
sets [1] 900/13
setting [2] 681/20 900/1
settle [1] 883/4
settlement [1] 809/13
seven [20] 680/9 706/15 759/11
759/17 759/17 759/19 759/21 800/2 817/24 832/12 855/15 872/6 937/18 937/19 937/24 937/25 938/1 938/9 944/24 959/21
seven-days-a-week [1] 759/17 seven-month [3] 759/11 759/17 855/15
seven-page summary [1] 872/6
several [16] 677/9 677/9 684/1 699/23 708/13 761/13 765/13 768/18 768/25 769/16 785/9 789/10 860/22 892/17 912/3 917/12
severity [1] 925/12
sex [1] 936/9
sexual [1] 799/6
share [4] 688/6 912/1 942/7 952/10
shared [2] 672/1 783/7
shares [1] 957/13
sharing [1] 844/19
sharp [2] 839/16 958/20
sharpening [1] 930/15
she [94] 668/1 691/1 691/3 692/4
696/15 699/10 700/3 712/11 715/12
715/13 721/10 728/24 729/17 729/24
729/24 729/25 732/18 732/19 737/2 739/12 740/4 741/19 741/21 741/21 749/5 750/10 751/9 756/17 761/12 761/21 764/18 764/18 764/22 765/3 766/7 766/19 767/1 767/4 767/5 767/6 767/6 767/8 767/8 767/9 767/10
767/10 767/12 767/14 768/9 768/11 768/11 768/12 769/2 769/3 769/3 770/10 770/11 770/19 770/21 772/6 774/11 774/12 774/13 774/14 774/15 774/16 777/25 778/9 778/11 778/12 779/17 779/18 779/19 781/2 790/11 790/13 792/14 792/21 792/21 792/24 793/12 796/22 816/14 816/15 817/5

817/5 817/6 943/19 943/20 947/21 948/9 959/19 964/9 964/10
she's [12] 690/7 712/6 712/10 712/12 720/9 761/1 764/15 764/19 774/8 800/16 802/22 806/23
shed [1] 822/22
sheets [1] 730/24
sheriff [1] 935/15
sheriff's [5] 679/7 910/15 910/17 910/18 914/4
shielded [1] 752/15
shift [56] 670/15 670/16 670/21 670/24 671/6 671/9 671/11 671/23 672/15 673/1 673/5 673/12 673/14 673/17 673/22 674/3 674/18 675/5 683/13 684/18 692/4 694/12 696/4 696/10 699/1 700/6 700/7 703/25 704/6 708/11 712/15 720/3 734/6 762/4 779/6 779/8 779/20 779/22 779/24 780/1 780/4 780/6 780/8 780/11 780/15 780/15 781/2 796/5 796/7 857/7 857/17 874/11 896/16 896/25 897/4 900/16
shifting [2] 692/13 692/16
shifts [17] 670/24 680/19 699/2 762/6 763/8 796/10 796/11 796/13 798/17 865/11 874/20 897/3 900/2 900/11 912/20 917/13 918/7
shirt [2] 706/21 706/22
shirts [8] 706/20 706/25 707/4 707/6
707/10 707/14 707/25 742/8
shocked [2] 713/16 776/11
shoes [2] 733/24 734/2
short [10] 688/21 692/10 723/16
832/10 832/12 916/15 934/7 941/12 955/7 958/21
short-staffed [1] 692/10
shorten [1] 864/20
shortly [1] 809/20
shorts [1] 692/3
shot [2] 798/7 959/6
should [15] 746/10 749/2 751/6 808/9
813/15 813/17 824/2 824/6 827/12 838/10 873/24 884/25 884/25 885/9 922/22
shouldn't [1] 825/8
Shouting [1] 811/11
shovel [1] 859/9
shoveled [1] 859/10
show [21] 696/12 704/20 705/1 728/6
728/7 728/8 728/10 749/7 752/8 768/2
769/16 774/15 856/7 867/14 879/5
897/13 913/16 919/3 920/5 940/7 940/8
showed [8] 741/14 766/9 768/14 769/4 769/21 771/24 898/14 914/16
showing [7] 681/14 704/21 728/12
740/10 769/23 771/14 897/16
shown [2] 741/3 859/14
shows [2] 715/16 897/18
shut [6] 674/10 674/15 675/4 675/11
782/16 782/20
shy [1] 796/8
siblings [2] 942/5 944/23
sick [1] 844/13
sickness [1] 844/13
side [13] 669/19 673/25 676/19 724/5

778/14 778/17 831/17 884/7 886/9 954/21 954/23 956/5 959/19
sidebar [1] 880/17
sides [9] 750/8 808/22 815/16 815/24 951/4 955/6 955/13 956/8 959/6
sign [2] 913/17 914/19
signature [7] 727/3 879/11 919/8 966/11 966/11 966/12 966/15
signatures [2] 868/23 913/18
signed [14] 727/2 868/10 868/14
869/3 879/3 879/3 879/9 884/12 915/1 920/2 923/1 923/18 925/1 966/12
significant [4] 832/6 929/9 929/20 955/15
signing [3] 891/10 919/24 966/8
silly [1] 782/16
similar [2] 831/2 859/4
simple [2] 692/2 952/24
simply [3] 749/23 884/19 958/3
since [17] 665/2 745/13 752/18 758/8
764/16 836/13 845/23 845/23 847/14
854/23 862/3 862/5 910/13 916/22
918/10 926/12 944/10
single [4] 857/17 861/5 862/2 898/6
sir [40] 698/3 802/11 802/15 802/21
803/5 803/6 803/8 806/24 814/2 818/24 819/8 819/12 819/14 819/24 823/2 824/8 831/24 853/13 854/5 854/8 909/24 915/24 916/5 925/23 926/24 927/5 927/8 927/13 933/9
933/15 933/16 933/21 933/24 934/1 934/3 934/12 949/23 950/15 950/19 953/22
sister [4] 682/17 858/17 943/16 947/21
sister-in-law [1] 943/16
sit [8] 663/6 684/21 710/4 710/21
748/17 803/7 944/16 957/17
sitting [22] 673/3 673/21 707/22 709/25 710/11 711/3 723/6 724/24 729/18 743/24 745/12 757/16 762/19 766/1 781/20 858/4 892/24 895/11 902/24 903/19 904/19 923/7
situation [20] 699/21 707/19 710/23 724/16 725/4 725/7 727/17 737/22 743/21 786/8 787/14 810/12 833/20 858/9 858/15 858/21 860/10 865/21 893/17 932/20
situations [2] 686/10 831/3
six [10] 697/18 716/13 749/25 799/24
832/12 847/7 847/13 906/15 928/19 959/21
ski [1] 940/9
skilled [1] 678/22
skills [11] 680/3 680/23 708/17 785/15 786/9 786/12 786/15 786/25 856/20 914/12 930/15
skipped [1] 815/20
sleep [5] 798/21 861/19 861/25 865/12 897/12
sleep-deprived [1] 897/12
sleeping [4] 861/21 861/22 861/23 940/9
sleeve [2] 842/13 847/25
slipped [1] 846/15
small [7] 669/22 789/9 796/4 911/20 911/24 919/23 930/1
smarter [1] 930/19
smashed [1] 732/12
smelly [1] 707/24
smile [1] 748/4
smoke [2] 708/13 708/18
smoking [2] 712/19 743/9
smoothly [3] 747/24 802/2 959/8
snow [1] 859/9
snowed [1] 859/9
so [392]
soccer [24] 835/9 835/14 836/7 839/7
841/4 841/4 841/7 841/8 846/17
850/13 937/17 937/19 937/20 937/22
938/1 938/2 938/3 938/4 938/5 939/14
939/15 939/16 939/20 944/5
sociable [1] 840/3
social [4] 695/2 772/3 836/21 860/25
society [1] 687/3
soft [2] 672/8 957/14
sold [1] 937/14
sole [3] 683/24 762/22 964/17
solely [1] 731/12
solo [10] 894/22 895/18 896/3 924/13
924/14 924/16 924/19 924/23 925/3
927/21
some [92] 668/24 671/6 671/18 673/9
675/21 678/1 678/10 683/1 683/1
684/12 685/20 696/9 700/4 700/23
709/13 713/17 720/4 723/6 734/3
741/1 744/21 748/20 749/7 750/5
751/18 752/12 754/8 755/22 765/25
768/18 770/16 781/23 782/10 782/21
783/22 785/14 786/6 786/13 800/5
804/25 811/19 813/11 823/20 825/9
825/21 834/7 837/22 842/11 844/17
847/2 852/7 852/8 852/24 863/22
871/17 871/17 881/22 883/5 894/13 897/7 898/10 899/4 899/4 899/11 903/9 904/3 904/21 921/24 925/14 929/7 935/3 935/5 937/6 942/5 942/8 942/9 948/3 948/4 949/14 951/6 951/9 952/16 952/17 953/19 955/24 955/25 956/13 956/19 957/10 958/19 963/14 963/14
somebody [31] 676/18 677/12 687/18 688/1 688/17 691/18 696/6 706/19 709/7 719/23 722/10 723/7 741/20 745/17 773/10 796/1 839/2 840/9 841/6 860/20 861/16 863/15 864/10 865/20 867/8 884/22 893/23 912/10 952/6 952/14 954/23
somebody's [3] 686/11 687/2 809/2
somehow [3] 747/15 874/13 885/3
someone [13] 721/22 727/17 779/7
790/11 796/2 825/20 863/8 894/22
898/22 928/10 945/23 950/3 953/15
someone's [1] 797/21
someplace [1] 939/3
something [66] 677/4 689/15 690/14 692/2 692/4 692/25 693/1 703/14 706/4 711/13 724/4 728/6 728/8 740/10 740/16 741/3 759/20 765/3 766/20 770/16 770/18 770/19 771/14 777/13 782/6 784/8 784/11 790/18 795/16 813/2 815/4 815/15 815/17 835/22 838/18 839/8 839/10 844/1

845/20 849/9 849/12 850/14 850/16 850/16 860/17 865/17 885/22 904/20 905/20 905/23 912/11 925/13 931/11 935/6 940/10 941/25 942/2 942/24 945/5 945/11 947/4 948/18 949/3 949/3 949/7 952/12
sometime [1] 955/11
sometimes [22] 670/13 675/8 686/4 699/10 753/12 794/3 839/5 842/13 846/20 856/6 856/7 865/6 889/19 895/25 896/1 898/13 899/2 900/10 930/13 931/18 941/11 951/25 somewhat [3] 817/22 866/17 881/7 somewhere [11] 684/22 784/5 820/16 897/11 937/24 938/22 938/25 940/13 942/9 942/10 947/15
son [39] 667/11 667/14 667/15 667/23 706/20 710/17 710/18 710/18 710/19 710/20 710/24 710/24 710/25 711/1 711/3 729/2 733/20 734/16 734/19 734/21 735/1 735/3 735/4 735/20 744/13 934/23 937/7 938/6 939/11 940/19 943/8 944/10 944/23 946/1 946/11 946/25 947/14 948/8 949/2 son's [1] 948/1
soon [5] 665/24 668/3 768/21 951/8 953/20
sorry [36] 667/1 683/6 690/4 690/4 690/6 698/10 709/23 722/20 727/6 \(746 / 8\) 765/15 800/7 804/16 814/23 815/20 816/3 816/4 821/21 823/14 824/13 824/13 825/7 826/6 832/17 834/19 881/6 893/10 900/24 909/13 919/7 920/14 922/11 944/23 945/14 954/14 962/8
sort [10] 734/3 836/15 838/15 843/1 844/13 859/11 859/23 861/16 902/25 935/7
sorts [1] 860/8
sought [1] 796/3
south [1] 840/15
southeast [1] 957/17
Southern [1] 840/5
souvenir [1] 834/13
spanning [1] 928/20
spare [1] 839/8
spark [1] 944/14
speak [13] 672/8 714/17 730/11 749/3 749/6 749/12 749/14 749/19 856/12 919/6 953/3 953/13 958/8
speaking [7] 696/6 715/18 749/15 801/18 913/9 931/8 947/5
special [3] 680/19 785/20 785/24
specific [11] 700/15 720/17 757/19
771/16 783/13 784/8 788/9 791/17 851/9 912/13 946/18
specifically [11] 704/19 766/17 770/3
779/10 785/8 786/22 812/12 826/20
903/18 931/16 932/24
specifics [10] 678/1 721/8 765/8
765/11 765/24 766/1 766/5 774/24 786/14 894/10
spectrum [2] 675/17 837/9
speculating [1] 711/16
speech [1] 736/24
speed [2] 752/2 790/1
spell [9] 664/10 807/7 819/24 833/9

854/9 909/25 916/9 927/13 934/3
spelled [1] 807/8
spend [2] 696/9 840/25
spending [1] 694/7
spent [5] 680/9 696/3 783/14 939/1
940/1
spirited [1] 944/14
split [1] 960/9
splitting [1] 734/6
spoke [4] 692/6 714/20 714/24 755/24
spoken [2] 845/20 850/15
spokesman [1] 883/17
sponsoring [1] 749/16
sport [2] 804/6 835/25
spread [2] 753/14 753/18
spreading [1] 785/3
spring [3] 698/23 768/16 843/1
Springfield [5] 664/25 666/25 667/3 667/25 798/7
Springfield/Eugene [1] 667/3
springtime [1] 843/20
sprung [1] 713/15
squad [6] 673/8 673/24 674/11 674/12 675/7 769/24
square [1] 673/23
stack [1] 694/16
stadium [2] 766/7 946/7
staff [2] 669/22 789/9
staffed [1] 692/10
staffs [1] 710/8
stairs [1] 724/23
stairway [1] 927/7
stairwell [1] 933/23
stance [4] 679/19 786/18 788/21 825/10
stand [14] 729/23 730/3 786/18
802/14 802/15 802/19 806/22 827/2 866/5 909/22 915/23 928/14 929/17 956/13
standard [3] 738/21 763/20 855/20 standards [5] 677/11 685/4 763/15 857/23 936/25
standing [13] 723/20 729/1 729/2 729/25 734/21 734/21 735/5 735/25 742/10 743/9 744/13 802/20 913/10 standpoint [1] 863/7
stands [8] 723/7 735/24 838/24 838/25 856/1 907/13 929/22 931/8 start [18] 669/12 672/11 710/12 717/12 727/23 828/1 831/1 905/21 905/24 913/10 939/3 939/7 952/12 952/23 954/4 956/24 958/11 958/22 started [25] 665/21 666/19 669/13 671/23 679/1 688/14 691/5 698/22 710/17 710/22 710/24 711/4 711/6 762/2 842/10 842/10 846/9 855/17 855/23 861/7 866/1 910/13 928/16 935/9 962/23
starting [8] 665/17 669/21 671/9 709/14 743/17 846/1 934/22 941/3 state [38] 664/9 665/5 665/9 665/13 667/16 667/18 682/18 723/2 737/14 737/15 739/8 777/2 803/5 803/7 803/12 819/23 826/16 826/17 833/9 834/20 834/21 845/4 854/8 885/23 885/25 890/3 909/24 916/8 927/10 934/1 935/11 935/20 935/25 936/11
state... [4] 936/12 956/25 957/4 958/23
stated [2] 766/20 892/20
statement [22] 681/10 682/13 706/6
706/7 758/5 766/22 777/25 778/3
784/19 788/22 790/12 790/15 792/10
792/14 792/16 830/12 849/24 873/19 874/3 874/4 877/10 923/3
statements [3] 704/16 705/15 902/23
states [8] 659/1 659/16 660/20 834/9
834/17 878/18 937/20 957/20
stating [1] 758/1
station [5] 707/18 708/5 712/19
894/22 908/8
stationed [1] 710/16
stay [11] 717/21 857/16 865/23 866/2
866/8 866/10 900/22 901/3 939/24
953/8 953/14
stayed [6] 666/22 691/12 784/17
857/14 910/20 928/18
staying [2] 756/1 896/9
steelhead [1] 939/25
steer [1] 938/19
stenographic [1] 966/9
step [38] 663/7 797/19 801/12 808/4
808/6 808/18 808/20 808/22 809/1 809/1 809/3 809/5 809/10 809/15 809/20 809/23 810/9 811/1 811/3 811/4 811/18 811/23 812/2 812/18 812/19 813/20 813/21 814/4 814/13 816/2 816/7 817/4 818/23 819/12 832/8 853/13 933/15 952/17
steppingstone [2] 852/12 852/13
steps [2] 664/6 822/24
steward [3] 807/20 808/2 816/8 stifling [1] 691/23
still [40] 665/22 666/11 677/25 708/14
717/3 719/2 752/19 757/13 780/2
780/2 783/22 794/23 809/17 809/17
809/22 811/18 826/23 828/17 841/18
841/19 843/23 846/3 861/13 862/15
867/12 873/17 880/8 885/23 885/23
886/1 886/2 886/4 886/9 905/25
928/13 935/2 935/3 940/15 944/25
953/18
stipulate [1] 885/15
stipulated [1] 961/1
stipulation [2] 885/17 885/20
stomach [1] 724/7
stonewalling [1] 750/22
stood [3] 735/21 912/17 912/24
stop [18] 663/21 703/10 703/25 704/4
704/5 704/10 708/8 760/23 784/23
792/4 798/6 819/14 823/17 823/19
828/2 898/16 911/8 931/17
stop-and-frisk [1] 911/8
stoplight [1] 707/8
stopped [3] 861/8 949/14 959/24
stories [1] 676/6
story [5] 699/13 736/3 893/16 895/17
909/1
straight [1] 710/17
street [1] 856/20
stress [10] 699/14 798/16 846/4 866/20 881/4 881/6 882/11 883/14 883/14 964/18
stressed [1] 844/14
stressful [2] 699/22 883/15
stricken [1] 711/18
strict [1] 815/9
strike [6] 791/2 821/19 838/4 871/15
919/14 923/23
striving [1] 860/17
stronger [1] 711/11
structure [1] 710/2
stuck [1] 865/19
Studebaker [1] 861/3
student [8] 669/20 686/16 717/7 772/2
786/4 804/9 836/23 836/24
students [10] 772/3 836/4 838/13
838/14 847/1 940/5 940/8 943/1 943/3
943/6
studies [1] 804/1
stuff [15] 672/10 709/13 731/2 752/6
776/18 776/24 777/13 850/13 850/13
902/4 909/1 932/8 937/6 939/12 944/21
stumble [1] 957/24
subject [14] 694/24 708/8 708/8
708/22 709/18 749/10 763/23 798/7
871/14 909/2 918/17 950/21 951/15 955/13
submission [1] 870/21
submit [1] 879/23
submitted [3] 875/9 901/21 902/18
subordinate [1] 888/17
subsequent [1] 858/23
subsequently [2] 879/8 894/19
substantive [1] 902/5
succeed [1] 689/13
such [3] 694/8 723/25 878/9
suddenly [2] 894/7 895/7
sued [1] \(881 / 15\)
suffering [1] 866/25
suggest [1] 963/14
suggested [2] 812/25 894/17
suggesting [2] 889/2 919/15
suggestions [2] 878/12 901/24
suite [3] 660/5 660/9 766/7
summarizes [1] 878/12
summary [7] 682/6 790/7 821/7
870/24 871/4 871/9 872/6
summer [7] 665/21 692/4 784/5 795/1
939/22 941/4 941/4
summers [2] 666/10 940/1
superiors [1] 719/8
supervise [3] 669/23 761/22 764/20
supervised [4] 667/10 669/16 936/18 936/19
supervisees [1] 888/10
supervising [9] 679/2 680/15 699/7
763/6 771/4 771/18 795/6 888/1 936/21
supervision [4] 678/25 761/23 795/9 820/12
supervisor [29] 680/17 683/25 692/13 692/16 716/3 718/2 762/11 763/1 763/4 763/10 763/11 763/12 765/24 782/9 788/23 788/24 795/12 808/25 887/9 887/16 887/19 887/22 888/6 888/17 917/1 917/4 928/25 932/3 936/18
supervisor/subordinate [1] 888/17
supervisors [6] 667/7 716/7 760/23

791/13 887/12 888/9
supervisory [2] 772/16 823/17
superwoman [2] 699/11 793/13
support [3] 669/10 739/9 859/14 suppose [1] 693/7
supposed [3] 749/14 813/14 838/17
sure [54] 672/9 673/23 674/10 674/14
688/8 690/8 697/17 700/21 700/21
720/8 757/2 761/13 761/21 768/5
776/23 793/11 794/25 800/16 802/1
804/14 808/21 812/15 816/17 820/19 822/22 824/9 827/4 838/23 839/14 847/4 849/4 849/15 851/13 864/22 884/25 893/21 894/8 900/9 903/8
903/10 904/4 907/5 917/5 917/7 917/19 921/8 926/6 926/7 938/14 943/11 943/22 944/16 953/9 953/19 surprise [1] 747/10
surprised [7] 690/21 690/23 714/10 852/3 864/22 897/8 897/9
surrounding [2] 824/12 908/25
suspect [9] 708/2 708/8 708/24
857/12 858/16 858/21 858/24 892/1 908/16
suspect's [1] 864/7
suspected [1] 675/2
suspects [1] 908/14
suspend [2] 894/11 932/8
suspended [7] 894/9 894/12 894/13
894/19 906/13 932/19 933/2
suspended/fired [1] 894/13
suspension [1] 906/15
suspicious [2] 911/7 911/9
sustain [2] 682/4 712/9
sustained [17] 689/23 694/19 705/23
706/8 712/13 734/13 744/3 797/25
812/9 837/24 839/23 865/8 867/3
869/3 872/17 946/17 946/18
SW [2] 660/4 660/21
SWAT [3] 858/6 891/18 892/12
swing [1] 670/21
switch [1] 832/15
switched [3] 665/25 672/4 673/5
switching [1] 832/18
swivel [1] 945/9
sworn [16] 664/3 669/5 683/15 693/13
708/14 789/20 789/21 803/3 807/4 819/19 833/3 854/3 909/19 916/3 927/3 933/19
system [11] 808/15 809/4 810/5
817/20 836/2 873/16 873/21 907/4 921/20 921/23 937/21
systems [1] 872/13
T
T's [1] 794/4
T-shirt [2] 706/21 706/22
T-shirts [3] 706/20 707/6 707/14
tabs [1] 768/24
tactically [1] 960/9
Tahoe [7] 774/24 776/8 776/12 776/15
798/2 799/1 872/12
Tahoes [1] 899/10
taillight [1] 925/16
take [54] 664/21 664/22 664/22 667/13
684/1 687/5 688/5 688/13 698/15
699/12 701/11 707/5 713/23 725/18
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & \[
18
\] & 902/24 903/4 905/15 923/24 949/5 \\
\hline take... [40] 726/24 727/22 730/3 & & \\
\hline 738/24 739/11 739/25 751/4 755/15 & 702/25 760/22 & tests [2] 899/25 941/15 \\
\hline 762/7 762/11 762/18 772/9 776/7 776/16 777/9 777/11 777/13 791/3 & teased [2] 70 703/6 703/8 704/4 704/10 & text [5] 843/3 843/6 843/7 860/23 \\
\hline 791/11 797/4 801/16 812/7 822/24 & 784/23 832/11 & 871/2 \\
\hline 831/19 835/1 854/19 871/14 880/20 & tech [1] 752/6 & texting [1] 843/4 \\
\hline 886/16 887/24 887/24 895/18 908/11 & \begin{tabular}{l}
technically [3] 693/6 780/3 932/4 \\
tell [76] 664/22 666/20 669/6 674/5
\end{tabular} & texts [4] 729/18 843/11 861/15 861/24 than [46] 663/4 672/15 672/16 673/11 \\
\hline 930/17 933/12 935/21 940/8 953/15 & 675/25 676/5 676/22 679/4 679/4 & 680/21 682/16 684/18 684/22 70 \\
\hline 953/21 9 & 680/15 682/10 691/18 695/23 698/22 & 707/4 713/13 715/4 \\
\hline taken [21] 718/15 729/6 734/1 734/3 & 699/12 702/5 704/3 713/1 714/19 & 744/25 75 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
735/7 737/23 739/13 756/2 758/5 \\
768/17 782/7 802/4 858/16 865/19
\end{tabular} & 716/22 717/1 718/18 722/25 724/21 & 767/16 785/23 808/8 812/25 \\
\hline 886/18 888/21 888/22 894/13 948/15 & 725/20 725/25 733/12 737/6 760/19 & 832/6 834/6 838/23 839/2 847/10 \\
\hline 948/21 966/9 & 768/13 782/2 782/12 784/11 784/20 & 858/3 861/18 875/3 883/12 88 \\
\hline tak & 785/10 785/10 785/18 789/5 790/10 & 890/24 892/23 897/19 \\
\hline takes [1] 8 & 5 812/12 813/23 & 914/10 918/7 918/22 930/19 937/2 \\
\hline taking [8] 687/12 690/17 724/14 & 833/25 834/15 & 959 \\
\hline 771/13 774/20 803/11 817/12 958/2 & 855/23 856/25 858/11 862/21 863/7 & 664/16 690/5 695/18 696/17 698 \\
\hline takki [1] 685/22 & 876/4 883/20 886/11 891/21 899/17 & 741/12 754/12 755/1 793/2 800/1 \\
\hline talk [61] 663/3 663/14 670/15 676/12 & 900/5 910/25 916/23 917/17 928/14 & 801/10 801/11 801/18 802/11 802/ \\
\hline 6 & 931/6 934/14 934/19 946/13 947/14 & 803/5 803/6 803/7 803 \\
\hline & 954/12 957/5 & 806/7 806/12 806/14 806/18 807/9 \\
\hline 756 & telling [14] 700/19 736/8 737/1 742/8 & 819/8 819/12 820/3 820/25 823/15 \\
\hline 841/14 841/24 844/16 844/24 845/1 & 742/12 745/17 766/18 772/24 773/1 & 830/14 833/5 833/6 833 \\
\hline 848/17 848/24 850/22 850/25 851/4 & 775/15 782/13 843/24 877/5 957/22 & 852/18 853/8 853/9 853/13 854/5 \\
\hline 861/14 862/18 863/20 867/14 868/5 & Tempting & 854/12 854/13 866/6 868/3 872/2 \\
\hline 874/23 877/17 881/23 882/13 894/9 & ten [2] 680/3 957/16 & 879/19 906/7 909/22 910/3 9 \\
\hline 896/7 898/6 898/16 911/16 917/9 & tend [3] 749/18 794/17 861/2 & 5/20 915/24 916/5 916/11 922 \\
\hline 926/5 935/7 937/6 938/6 939/10 & tends [1] 822/23 & 924/8 925/23 926/21 926/24 927/5 \\
\hline 944/18 944/18 944/19 945/13 952 & tens [1] 750/1 & 927/8 927/15 931/24 933/6 933 \\
\hline 960/12 964/9 & tenure [3] & 14 933/15 933/21 934/5 947/ \\
\hline talked [31] 676/16 704/1 704/2 704/2 & term [1] 684/15 & 947/25 949/23 950/15 950/19 954/5 \\
\hline 708/2 719/23 737/8 741/20 742/5 & terminate [5] 685/2 761/17 790/ & 959/9 960/23 961/2 963 \\
\hline 744/12 756/17 757/24 759/6 760/21 & 923/4 923/10 & Th \\
\hline 768/20 777/14 784/10 784/12 788/25 & terminated [12] 689/19 689/20 732/ 768/4 768/9 768/11 792/2 860/11 & 800/9 848/7 853/4 880/1 909/5 \\
\hline 789/12 789/22 851/9 858/7 861/18 & \[
768 / 4 \text { 768/9 768/11 792/2 860/11 }
\]
862/6 942/12 943/9 943/9 & Thanksgiving [2] 941/22 942/10 \\
\hline 866/20 896/9 912/4 940/25 942/14 961/15 961/15 & terminating [1] 720/20 & that [1686] \\
\hline talking [37] 681/ & termination [15] 792/6 793/22 807/17 & that reserve [1] 898/8 \\
\hline 702/23 706/10 707/24 729/17 755/10 & 808/5 810/1 810/2 810/22 810/23 & that's [169] \\
\hline 767/23 785/4 787/25 791/24 792/19 & 810/25 811/2 814/13 818/17 818/2 & The Oregonian [1] 754/8 \\
\hline 814/5 818/23 824/1 824/4 833/17 & 842/22 847/14 & \\
\hline 833/18 842/8 842/10 844/1 847/11 & terminology [3] 693/8 825/17 825/19 terms [9] 679/1 683/13 716/4 748/7 & their [61] 669/5 669/24 669/25 670/24 672/15 673/8 674/21 676/23 677/1 \\
\hline 848/20 849/1 850/18 851/10 854/17 & \[
841 / 25847 / 21 \text { 867/9 955/4 964/12 }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 672 / 15673 / 8 \text { 674/21 676/23 677/1 } \\
& 684 / 14686 / 2686 / 21686 / 23687 / 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 858/20 859/7 890/7 893/7 896/12 898/18 914/24 940/21 946/1 & terribly [1] 865/17 & 693/8 694/5 694/21 708/19 708/22 \\
\hline target [1] 793/12 & terrorism [1] 957/8 & 709/5 716/3 720/4 743/9 743/10 \\
\hline Taser [4] 679/19 787/14 788/6 838/18 & terrorist [2] 957/9 957/ & 743/25 778/17 778/18 808/25 823 \\
\hline Tasers [12] 677/19 677/23 678/3 & test [2] 855/21 855/2 & 27/2 831/21 835/17 835/18 837/ \\
\hline 678/7 788/21 825/11 838/10 850/19 & testified [17] 664/3 730/18 774/11 & 839/18 841/6 865/24 885/11 887/2 \\
\hline 851/13 943/1 943/2 943/5 & 778/21 803/3 807/4 819/19 826/8 & 887/24 888/9 889/20 889/20 889/21 \\
\hline \[
798
\] & 826/14 833/3 854/3 887/6 909/19 & 890/20 890/20 890/21 894/6 908/1 \\
\hline [2] [3] 683/23 699/7 & 916/3 919/10 927/3 933/19 & 917/5 917/8 926/4 928/11 944/24 \\
\hline ks [2] 671/16 858/3 & testify [7] 838/2 838/2 876/23 881/11 881/13 894/6 955/12 & 944/25 945/1 945/24 945/24 952/10 955/11 955/23 \\
\hline aught [1] 921/5 & testifying [14] 749/5 759/8 779/14 & them [107] 665/5 666/15 667/10 673/9 \\
\hline axpayer [2] 748/9 957 & \[
779 / 15 \text { 816/18 832/4 869/10 876/13 }
\] & 676/8 684/13 686/13 686/20 687/6 \\
\hline ch [3] 839/6 856/3 898/2 & 876/22 883/17 933/11 933/12 955/21 & 687/13 687/23 688/19 688/19 689/ \\
\hline \[
\text { achers [1] } 937 / 4
\] & 959 & 689/9 690/23 693/25 695/1 695 \\
\hline teaching [1] 937/3 & testimony [36] 730/9 735/20 757/21 & 696/9 699/18 700/13 700/19 701/5 \\
\hline team [10] 710/1 735/25 804/4 804/11 & 9/1 766/9 766/11 769/21 770/25 & 702/1 702/8 702/14 702/16 702/17 \\
\hline 804/21 804/24 836/7 891/25 892/12 & 771/11 771/12 772/5 780/5 780/14 & 706/11 708/25 712/24 712/24 712/25 \\
\hline 939/20 & 780/16 789/3 815/2 826/21 838/5 & 713/18 714/15 721/7 724/20 730/10 \\
\hline team's [1] & 849/21 850/5 872/15 872/17 882/8 & 733/12 733/14 742/9 743/6 743/25 \\
\hline teams [2] 857/11 858/6 & 888/25 890/24 893/19 895/11 900/17 & 745/4 753/16 753/24 754/3 766/4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
them... [58] 768/21 770/4 770/7 770/23 778/14 781/7 781/10 784/24 789/12 790/4 792/2 794/3 798/3 799/19 812/5 812/6 812/7 813/19 826/1 826/23 826/25 828/17 829/5 835/21 839/14 857/22 859/7 874/10 878/10 878/12 888/1 888/3 888/13 888/14 889/9 889/16 889/23 890/14 894/23 897/25 898/6 898/20 898/23 899/4 899/4 899/5 899/10 922/1 929/11 932/4 946/6 949/17 955/8 956/9 958/14 959/8 960/16 961/1 themselves [3] 709/1 826/5 826/25 then [133] 665/1 665/4 665/23 666/1 666/4 668/25 669/17 669/21 670/13 670/24 671/23 672/4 672/5 673/9 673/24 674/2 676/16 679/9 683/7 685/6 685/21 687/2 687/6 687/20 687/24 689/12 691/19 691/23 693/1 699/25 705/25 707/11 711/7 711/9 712/10 713/7 715/18 715/22 716/6 716/7 717/9 724/7 725/5 725/18 726/7 726/7 731/22 745/4 748/12 753/5 756/17 756/18 756/20 761/8 764/16 766/5 768/2 773/14 773/14 776/5 781/4 784/4 784/11 784/17 784/20 785/3 786/11 789/1 789/8 790/2 796/12 798/18 798/20 801/23 808/25 809/1 813/6 813/19 816/10 824/24 825/4 834/4 834/25 836/21 837/4 839/4 842/19 843/12 854/25 855/19 857/3 860/5 864/1 864/11 869/23 876/11 879/2 879/3 884/20 885/6 885/11 886/16 892/22 894/14 896/11 896/15 897/4 898/4 904/9 910/16 912/11 915/1 916/25 925/13 928/1 928/17 928/18 935/16 935/24 936/4 936/10 936/17 939/24 941/10 941/12 941/21 943/16 951/13 953/11 956/19 962/14 962/17 962/24
then I [1] 885/6
then-Assistant [2] 674/2 789/1
then-Sergeant [1] 679/9
there [345]
There'd [1] 841/9
there's [49] 664/6 707/8 710/1 710/1 710/2 731/3 749/14 749/25 750/12 751/18 752/11 752/13 753/20 772/1 786/20 790/6 801/3 804/4 806/18 813/10 822/15 827/2 832/12 844/1 850/5 851/7 864/7 864/8 864/8 874/12 877/2 888/11 889/7 890/6 893/12 893/13 908/25 919/14 931/7 933/22 937/21 944/25 946/19 947/3 950/22 951/6 956/25 962/8 964/20
thereabouts [1] 842/25
therefore [5] 753/18 801/14 882/7 951/4 951/7
these [53] 673/1 680/9 684/12 684/12 684/24 685/11 685/12 685/12 686/10 687/4 696/9 699/9 702/22 712/15 712/18 713/1 713/3 713/4 713/15 754/23 771/4 771/19 776/20 785/9 791/20 792/19 806/13 809/10 820/20 821/3 821/8 826/21 828/16 829/3 863/19 873/6 877/9 881/24 884/11

884/12 939/20 952/7 952/9 952/21 954/16 955/3 955/7 955/17 956/9 956/13 960/21 960/21 960/24
they [265]
They'd [1] 684/17
they'Il [3] 846/22 958/1 965/6
they're [35] 675/6 705/24 708/18 711/25 716/6 716/7 738/6 753/25 763/20 772/4 813/15 823/5 832/11 838/16 846/25 887/15 887/25 888/1 889/21 890/2 890/21 897/8 897/25 900/12 900/12 917/6 917/8 921/6 929/9 945/25 954/19 954/20 956/4 956/11 956/12
they've [6] 724/16 889/9 945/1 955/5 956/7 957/13
thing [23] 693/2 704/8 709/6 714/2 714/9 717/19 730/24 739/10 748/23 756/11 771/5 771/19 783/2 837/20 846/11 847/21 851/9 860/24 861/7 864/9 865/16 897/14 931/9
things [54] 666/6 674/25 675/14 675/15 675/17 676/6 681/19 685/20 685/23 687/15 693/9 694/9 695/1 695/3 700/5 700/8 700/18 703/13 714/3 718/12 768/4 784/3 784/4 784/17 794/17 813/6 823/2 836/16 841/12 844/22 846/7 859/11 860/8 864/20 865/14 868/5 883/22 884/10 902/14 912/4 912/22 917/24 917/25 918/23 937/11 940/13 941/1 942/6 942/8 943/12 948/15 948/16 948/17 953/18
think [134] 668/15 677/8 678/14 691/20 704/6 707/3 707/8 708/24 711/11 719/16 727/7 731/17 732/19 735/14 743/24 745/4 745/7 747/1 747/6 747/20 749/8 749/11 751/5 757/10 757/12 759/10 759/19 762/21 764/3 765/4 767/20 768/16 770/6 771/5 771/6 771/9 771/19 771/22 773/13 774/7 776/23 777/24 778/11 780/24 782/3 784/12 784/24 785/4 786/15 787/11 788/8 795/11 797/3 797/13 800/25 805/13 805/15 805/17 805/22 805/24 814/23 815/20 827/12 834/20 835/22 837/10 839/2 839/5 839/17 840/14 848/3 849/7 850/14 850/20 851/15 852/12 852/12 856/21 859/7 860/1 866/25 869/5 876/8 877/16 883/13 883/18 883/21 884/3 884/5 885/9 886/14 887/6 888/9 888/11 888/15 891/7 893/4 894/1 894/3 900/20 909/5 913/5 914/13 914/21 924/6 930/23 935/1 938/24 939/4 942/3 944/12 944/13 944/21 945/23 947/17 947/22 948/10 949/11 950/21 951/10 953/10 953/10 954/13 954/14 955/10 956/15 956/20 956/24 957/1 963/3 963/3 964/7 964/10 965/10
thinking [5] 684/9 723/21 830/8 893/5 938/20
thinks [2] 704/7 736/9
third [4] 660/21 670/21 794/12 873/3
Thirteen [1] 944/25
thirty [1] 934/6
this [359]
Thornburg [1] 894/2 thorough [1] 878/11
those [65] 663/14 668/19 668/23 672/25 674/3 675/21 682/24 684/1 684/5 686/14 689/6 690/20 690/22 693/21 695/2 710/8 713/17 720/4 721/16 721/18 723/24 738/4 744/18 754/20 761/8 767/12 768/4 768/9 781/22 787/12 789/15 790/1 790/4 790/7 790/16 791/1 791/3 791/8 793/19 797/17 799/5 821/17 825/22 826/5 829/5 831/19 831/20 848/4 858/3 859/11 859/17 860/8 889/23 899/3 899/13 914/12 917/10 918/3 929/3 934/15 943/21 956/18 956/20 957/10 965/4
though [7] 726/3 787/25 837/13 872/3 880/11 940/14 954/19
thought [28] 680/2 680/4 681/12 681/13 703/8 714/7 724/3 745/1 769/8 769/15 782/3 791/22 813/23 814/4 838/19 849/13 876/23 904/19 913/4 918/25 930/7 938/8 938/8 941/5 941/8 941/20 941/24 950/25
thousands [1] 750/1
threatened [2] 676/20 707/13
three [58] 668/12 668/18 670/10 670/10 670/11 670/20 672/4 693/18 699/1 718/10 731/20 763/7 783/9 789/10 798/21 807/16 808/4 808/6 808/18 808/20 809/1 809/3 809/6 809/10 809/15 809/20 809/23 810/9 811/2 811/3 811/4 811/19 811/24 812/2 812/18 812/19 813/21 814/4 814/13 817/4 818/23 825/22 847/14 856/7 857/11 862/5 862/15 872/12 889/22 891/24 939/15 941/11 942/5 949/16 954/25 956/4 956/18 959/22 threw [2] 732/11 846/9
through [81] 663/21 666/1 666/13 669/11 673/25 674/12 674/14 678/11 678/21 678/22 679/6 679/18 682/20 684/10 685/1 689/14 693/13 706/11 706/19 708/22 713/14 748/22 758/17 758/21 759/13 766/14 769/1 774/4 774/4 774/5 774/5 774/21 793/15 793/16 797/17 808/22 809/4 812/20 821/22 824/11 824/15 824/18 824/22 824/22 824/22 825/1 825/2 825/4 827/5 827/6 827/25 830/6 835/3 839/6 843/1 844/20 845/14 846/5 847/5 855/19 859/23 861/15 862/8 868/24 871/13 872/9 877/9 879/8 898/4 898/5 898/9 898/10 904/12 921/2 935/8 944/16 946/22 955/1 955/6 956/18 964/16
throughout [13] 672/2 673/15 681/1 690/24 839/19 839/25 855/7 859/2 864/16 913/13 930/2 938/2 945/15 throwing [3] 723/8 775/16 777/1 Thursday [3] 953/4 953/6 954/11 thus [1] 752/23
ticket [6] 709/24 711/5 859/5 894/23 925/4 925/16
tickets [8] 706/17 710/4 725/15 725/18 734/11 734/12 745/24 898/15
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & 751/18 770/12 773/18 773/19 773/19 & [21 \\
\hline tie [1] 802/18 & \(773 / 20\) 787/10 787/12 822/6 824/5
\(853 / 3\) 865/2 925/12 & tried [6] 676/7 763/12 812/6 844/16 863/8 957/17 \\
\hline tier [1] 808/22 & topic [1] 838/12 & \[
\text { trip [1] } 846 / 18
\] \\
\hline \[
\text { Tim [1] } 843 / 22
\] & topics [2] 720/22 791/7 & triples [1] 958/1 \\
\hline time [244] & topless [1] 769/3 & Tripp [24] 680/7 682/17 685/11 687/ \\
\hline me-consuming [3] 865/17 878/10 & total [1] 718/12 & 692/6 692/6 70 \\
\hline 878/13 & totality [1] 786/2 & 755/10 756 \\
\hline timecards [1] 874/10 & Totally [1] 705/17 & 772/21 773/20 774/13 787/19 789 \\
\hline timeline [4] 667/2 794/25 815/10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
touch [1] 942/7 \\
tough [2] 885/1 930/13
\end{tabular} & 789/8 792/12 792/18 797/9 799/14 Tripp's [2] 718/1 789/12 \\
\hline 815/16 & tough [2] 885/1 930/13 tournaments [2] 938/1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tripp's [2] 718/1 789/12 \\
trips [5] 836/16 836/17 842/8 846/
\end{tabular} \\
\hline timelines [2] 815/9 902/4 & toward [2] 673/25 822/4 & \[
944 / 6
\] \\
\hline es [32] 681/7 685/9 690/13 702/22 & towards [10] 664/14 752/16 793/21 & trooper [3] 667/16 667/18 739 \\
\hline 730/8 730/13 763/8 765/13 780/20 & 812/15 820/3 838/16 857/7 859/ & trouble [3] 735/16 743/19 929/2 \\
\hline 839/19 847/8 851/12 856/6 856/8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
859/12 941/10 \\
town [3] 751/5 843/2 929/9
\end{tabular} & truck [4] 706/12 706/13 706/21 742/4 trucks [1] 706/16 \\
\hline 860/22 887/23 900/5 900/14 904/13
904/22 911/23 912/3 912/6 & toxic [1] 691/11 & true [12] 672/2 735/11 735/22 758 \\
\hline 914/13 917/13 921/24 939/15 939/19 & TPO [1] 795/24 & 758/7 772/21 781/25 818/11 844/3 \\
\hline 940/12 940/15 941/11 & track [3] 667/2 889/16 965/5 & 894/20 933/ \\
\hline TIMOTHY [2] 833/1 833/10 & trade [1] 867/8 & truly [1] \\
\hline tires [1] 822/23 & traffic [7] 798/6 & trust [6] 680/23 722/4 752/16 95 \\
\hline title [5] 683/7 688/2 818/14 835/20 & trained [2] 667/5 678/20 & trusting [1] 847/2 \\
\hline & trainees [1] 930/14 & trusts [1] 848/3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { today [19] } \\
& 745 / 12745
\end{aligned}
\] & training [34] 666/23 & trustworthiness [1] 878 \\
\hline 766/1 781/21 819/9 828/17 846/4 & 680/4 680/10 684/11 689/4 689/7 & truth [2] 823/24 876/9 \\
\hline 892/24 895/11 902/24 923/7 938/14 & 707/21 708/16 709/1 758/19 759/1 & truthful [3] 867/11 930/2 \\
\hline 953/21 & 759/2 759/2 759/18 774/1 774/23 & truthfulness [12] 690/1 690/7 690/12 \\
\hline toe [1] 770/11 & 821/24 829/24 855/3 856/1 85 & 722/2 722/4 867/9 87 \\
\hline together [22] 783/8 783/15 785/7 & \[
92
\] &  \\
\hline 835/13 836/19 836/20 841/3 847/9 & \[
936 / 25
\] & 801/21 813/10 839/20 840/17 858/21 \\
\hline 848/25 860/8 896/7 905/17 908/8 & transcript [5] 659/14 663/1 964/10 & 883/4 930/19 934/7 944/19 953/20 \\
\hline 919/21 928/3 929/6 929/7 939/12 939/17 940/13 940/15 944/4 & 966/9 966/11 & 957/8 957/18 \\
\hline told [67] 677/4 677/16 679/12 & transfer [1] & trying [14] 678/3 684/24 689/13 714/2 \\
\hline 680/14 689/5 700/19 707/24 709/12 & transferred [11] 665/4 666/4 666/24 & 768/19 781/9 839/15 844/4 84 \\
\hline 710/18 711/7 715/13 715/22 718/5 & 667/3 668/3 701/19 731/21 732/1 & 929/21 936/7 941/20 956/8 956/ \\
\hline 725/11 725/14 725/17 732/8 732/11 & 732/8 755/13 936/20 & tucked [1] 710/24 \\
\hline 733/16 737/4 742/15 745/13 745/14 & transition [3] 671/22 789/24 936/8 & Tuesday [2] 955/11 956/2 \\
\hline 745/16 745/19 755/13 755/19 760/16 & transitioned [1] 823 & \\
\hline 760/20 767/7 767/11 768/6 768/7 & translates [1] 754/7 & turn [2] 821/6 952/ \\
\hline 772/21 775/25 777/9 777/11 777/24 & transparent [1] 951/10 & turn-in [1] \\
\hline 781/5 782/11 784/14 787/14 787/18 & transpired [2] 693/23 766 & turned [8] 665/24 724/5 748 \\
\hline 791/20 795/16 800/5 825/6 825/7 & transpiring [1] 700/8 & 750/1 750/2 767/20 892 \\
\hline 825/10 841/2 842/2 845/10 852/5 & \[
717 / 3717 / 20717 / 21756 / 20766 / 5
\] & turning [1] 710/13 tussle [1] 962/9 \\
\hline 864/1 876/2 877/1 877/6 893/16 & transported [1] 948/8 & \[
\text { TV [1] } 952 / 18
\] \\
\hline 894/25 895/10 938/6 939/4 941/4
942/6 945/10 952/1 & traumatic [1] 666/6 & two [50] 667/8 686/17 686/24 689 \\
\hline tomorrow [13] 869/10 95 & travel [1] 921 & 702/8 706/23 707/5 709/25 713/7 \\
\hline 954/15 955/2 956/18 958/7 958/21 & traveled [1] 937/1 & \(13 / 1771\) \\
\hline 958/24 963/15 964/8 965/8 965/12 & treated [2] 720/3 793/12 & 731/22 732/5 732/16 742/9 747/19 \\
\hline tomorrow's [1] 753/6 & tremendous [1] & 750/7 753/15 753/22 754/13 756 \\
\hline tone [1] 786/20 & tremendously [1] 842/4 & 76 \\
\hline & trespass [16] 725/25 726/5 726/6 & 783/8 799/21 800/2 809/1 820/2 \\
\hline \[
\text { [1] } 904 / 19
\] & 726/22 727/1 727/12 727/18 737/17 & 856/7 857/3 857/10 862/8 865/10 \\
\hline & 737/18 738/2 738/20 738/21 739/5 & 873/6 877/2 882/12 894/14 916/19 \\
\hline \[
749 / 22 \text { 774/21 801/15 835/24 839/12 }
\] & 739/8 739/15 800/19 & 921/1 928/7 935/19 935/21 956/4 \\
\hline 844/21 845/1 848/24 851/1 & trespassed [5] 726/11 737/19 737/20 & 959/22 \\
\hline took [25] 676/10 686/5 692/23 723/24 & 738/9 739/17 & two-day-a-week [1] 759/23 \\
\hline 745/24 765/14 774/12 774/21 775/24 & tri [1] 937/2 & two-day-a-week-for-seven-months [1] 800/2 \\
\hline 776/25 777/13 779/14 779/17 780/12 & trial [20] 659/13 686/7 687/20 688/22 & two-part [1] 762/21 \\
\hline 791/12 799/1 811/24 831/2 889/11 & \[
716 / 6748 / 8748 / 16753 / 19832 / 6
\] & Tyler [18] 702/5 702/10 702/19 702/22 \\
\hline 928/17 935/12 941/14 941/15 942/25 & 838/22 864/3 876/3 876/25 877/12 & 702/25 703/7 703/11 703/18 703/21 \\
\hline tooth [1] 686/19 & 886/12 909/3 958/21 959/8 965/14 & 704/2 704/12 704/14 733/8 733/9 \\
\hline top [17] 724/8 724/10 734/22 735/21 & 966/5 & 760/14 784/15 784/21 785/3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Tyler Maness [1] 760/14
type [4] 835/23 860/12 879/1 901/16 typed [2] 901/13 901/15 typical [1] 727/16 typically [4] 687/24 722/8 785/20 896/7
typing [1] 901/19
typo [1] 874/12

\section*{U}

U of O [5] 726/14 768/12 820/10
901/21 939/12
U.S [1] 937/21

Uh [2] 688/7 947/20
Uh-huh [2] 688/7 947/20
Uhm [1] 783/12
UK [1] 803/24
ulterior [5] 679/23 787/18 787/22 788/1 788/3
ultimate [4] 669/5 682/22 683/15 687/19
ultimately [11] 715/17 720/7 726/19 732/25 797/9 835/13 842/19 888/2 892/7 929/14 929/15
umbrella [1] 848/20 unarmed [2] 742/21 743/5
unauthorized [1] 929/13
unaware [1] 698/23
uncharacteristic [1] 867/7
uncle [1] 944/25
unclear [1] 749/4
uncomfortable [2] 733/10 733/12 uncommon [2] 888/15 888/16 uncover [1] 929/13 under [17] 702/4 702/15 713/22 715/9 717/3 758/2 761/23 785/22 809/12 823/20 829/12 881/4 881/6 883/14 895/24 902/24 937/20
underlined [3] 960/25 963/6 963/9
underlying [1] 947/25
underneath [1] 757/7
understand [7] 745/4 790/19 790/21
791/14 837/19 885/7 945/16
understanding [17] 668/23 682/10
722/5 725/8 767/13 769/9 790/16
791/1 810/8 810/10 812/5 813/15
829/8 847/16 863/23 863/24 915/2
understood [2] 759/25 907/13
undisputed [1] 869/5
unduly [1] 959/23
unemployable [1] 945/25
unemployed [1] 719/2
unfold [1] 860/24
unfolding [1] 860/13
unfortunate [1] 865/16 unfortunately [2] 689/8 691/19 unfounded [1] 894/17
unheard [1] 857/16
uniform [1] 922/3
uniforms [6] 671/17 767/4 767/5
767/8 767/9 767/11
unilateral [2] 811/13 813/9 unilaterally [2] 815/12 815/17 union [12] 688/3 689/14 716/3 716/5 716/7 763/21 764/2 807/20 808/2 808/21 813/16 813/19
unit [2] 912/11 936/19
UNITED [8] 659/1 659/16 660/20 834/9 834/17 937/20 957/20 957/20 universities [2] 817/23 817/24 university [85] 665/10 668/7 682/10 682/19 693/12 704/17 705/6 706/2 715/1 716/10 717/6 718/18 719/8 724/19 726/11 726/13 726/14 726/21 727/13 739/5 739/9 763/25 764/4 764/8 768/25 772/3 772/8 773/7 800/18 804/6 807/17 807/19 808/14 809/4 809/18 810/5 814/20 815/21 817/20 817/21 833/21 834/3 834/24 834/25 836/2 836/4 836/5 836/23 837/6 841/15 841/17 844/25 847/4 847/20 852/4 860/10 860/14 860/24 865/3 866/22 867/19 875/2 875/8 875/13 879/24 894/12 896/11 896/16 897/1 897/5 897/19 902/19 910/19 911/1 932/20 939/6 939/7 940/4 941/3 941/8 941/21 943/3 946/3 948/2 949/20
unless [7] 675/6 683/11 705/22 869/3
882/16 907/22 964/4
unlock [1] 789/19
unlocked [1] 684/9
unobligated [1] 823/3
unpaid [3] 804/18 900/2 918/4
unquote [1] 852/14
unraveling [1] 844/23
unreasonable [1] 739/5
unsafe [1] 743/4
unsupervised [1] 680/24
until [34] 666/1 667/11 668/5 668/24
691/24 692/5 698/23 698/24 701/14 717/18 726/2 737/2 765/5 766/13 766/19 773/13 785/4 795/10 798/20 835/1 846/8 863/12 865/19 865/19 865/24 866/2 866/11 876/2 877/11 896/19 899/9 910/20 964/3 964/22 untrustworthy [2] 805/16 839/21 untruthful [7] 690/13 739/20 741/21 741/24 884/3 884/5 919/1 untruthfulness [3] 741/16 741/18 883/13
unusual [2] 810/16 857/2
unwarranted [1] 930/8
UOPD [1] 764/19
up [146] 666/1 667/2 670/18 672/9 676/19 685/21 685/25 686/16 687/13 687/20 687/22 688/5 688/19 688/25 693/4 698/24 699/19 702/24 706/20 709/2 709/9 710/16 710/21 712/25 714/17 720/24 723/16 723/23 724/8 724/10 724/14 725/14 728/18 729/1 729/3 734/21 735/15 735/18 735/24 736/10 744/1 744/13 748/8 748/17 751/4 752/17 752/18 753/1 753/2 753/13 767/1 767/7 768/2 768/13 768/19 772/2 773/13 774/24 777/21 785/4 789/6 790/1 793/20 796/23 797/10 798/21 802/14 802/19 810/16 829/21 830/19 830/21 834/22 835/1 836/3 836/17 837/21 838/21 839/15 841/4 843/23 843/24 844/21 846/9 846/22 848/1 848/4 849/4 856/7 862/1 865/14 868/8 868/10 868/23 869/15

873/3 874/3 874/10 874/16 875/1 877/19 879/1 890/2 891/17 895/9 896/16 898/14 898/16 900/1 900/13 900/22 901/3 901/15 901/19 904/20 910/15 915/1 917/19 927/20 927/24 928/2 928/6 928/7 929/14 929/16 934/16 936/2 936/20 937/7 937/21 939/3 939/20 940/7 940/8 941/1 941/14 941/17 943/12 947/19 949/14 951/5 952/10 952/16 959/5 964/4 965/3
updated [1] 701/12
uphold [1] 813/18
upon [9] 809/10 815/18 877/7 892/1 892/19 892/22 922/3 961/25 964/23
upping [1] 957/22
upset [3] 776/6 837/12 842/15 urge [1] 754/3
urgent [1] 692/25
us [79] 664/22 666/1 666/20 667/13 670/20 672/25 674/5 676/22 679/4 679/4 679/11 680/15 682/10 698/16 698/22 699/12 699/22 702/5 710/5 710/11 711/4 714/19 717/1 718/18 723/6 723/9 723/13 725/11 725/14 725/17 725/18 725/19 725/20 725/25 726/25 747/22 782/22 789/11 797/19 802/8 806/19 806/22 807/7 811/15 811/21 812/22 813/3 813/6 813/13 813/19 814/15 823/11 831/22 831/23 833/25 834/15 835/2 835/17 855/23 856/17 856/25 858/11 859/23 862/21 863/7 878/2 893/16 910/25 916/23 917/17 934/14 934/19 937/10 939/13 939/15 945/2 946/13 953/14 955/13 use [27] 676/7 724/2 728/13 746/4 747/6 747/8 751/1 805/5 805/11 805/13 805/15 839/4 839/19 840/1 840/11 840/17 858/22 873/20 886/17 907/12 938/24 940/24 943/1 943/2 943/5 959/11 961/15
used [13] 675/22 676/7 736/20 746/7
746/14 746/16 805/8 840/2 847/19 848/4 866/13 884/20 888/18
uses [1] 840/2
using [9] 723/25 736/22 795/12 816/21 839/13 839/20 876/8 876/9 901/18
usually [9] 670/13 692/25 836/19 837/21 846/24 848/25 912/9 929/8 952/17
utilizing [1] 721/10
V
value [1] 964/20
vans [1] 940/7
vantage [2] 709/3 709/9
varied [7] 780/9 780/11 780/12 780/16 783/16 856/6 898/17
variety [2] 855/7 896/17
various [3] 670/20 675/14 680/21
vary [2] 780/10 882/6
vast [1] 870/23
vehicle [12] 774/24 775/24 776/7
777/9 777/11 798/7 872/21 873/13
873/20 887/24 908/10 928/8
vehicles [7] 701/4 701/19 873/6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline V & walking [2] 7 & 927/23 929/19 933/1 943/13 944/7 \\
\hline vehicles... [4] 889/21 889/22 908/12 & walks [2] 837/10 847/3 walkway [1] 710/2 & wasting [1] 776/11 \\
\hline \[
929 / 14
\] & wall [9] 664/6 713/17 819/22 833/7 & 835/11 836/20 836/20 841/3 841/8 \\
\hline venues [1] 798/13 & 854/7 909/22 916/7 927/7 933/22 & 856 \\
\hline [3] 700/17 79 & Walla [4] 935/12 935/13 935/15 935/16 & watches [1] 670/20 \\
\hline verbally [1] 687/23 & want [87] 668/2 672/8 672/9 676/18 & WatchGuard [1] 872/13 \\
\hline verbatim [2] 744/11 757/22 & 676/18 683/1 684/20 697/16 697/23 698/14 698/17 701/1 706/22 707/2 & watching [2] 839/16 944/17 \\
\hline verify [1] 827/23 & 715/7 715/9 716/11 719/10 728/8 & 695/6 708/22 708/25 710/20 710/25 \\
\hline version [1] 741/21 & 728/12 728/13 740/25 747/23 748/24 & 736/21 741/1 752/18 753/17 767/22 \\
\hline versus [3] 716/3 861/16 918/4 very [89] 667/9 669/9 669/22 67 & 749/6 749/7 749/19 749/21 751/4 & 790/25 813/6 813/10 813/12 817/14 \\
\hline 680/19 685/24 686/5 687/14 688/21 & 752/9 753/9 753/24 754/13 760/3 & 828/12 845/10 848/2 850 \\
\hline 690/19 690/23 692/8 692/8 692/19 & 760/9 776/23 780/25 789/5 794/25 & 861/18 889 \\
\hline 699/20 700/17 703/18 708/18 708/20 & 797/4 797/4 797/16 800/16 816/17 & 928/1 931/10 931/18 933/3 938/9 \\
\hline 717/20 723/16 735/10 748/18 752/6 & 826/20 827/19 829/18 833/18 836/25 & 939/3 941/9 946/6 946/8 953 \\
\hline 762/13 763/12 769/9 770/20 789/9 & 837/17 838/15 & 954/ \\
\hline 789/17 796/11 798/12 800/7 801/10 & 862/18 863/20 867/14 867/14 868/5 & ways [1] 786/20 \\
\hline 801/18 806/12 806/14 811/13 819/8 & 872/9 877/17 885/5 886/10 886/11 & we [382] \\
\hline 822/6 822/18 822/19 826/20 832/10 & 9 & we'd [4] \\
\hline 832/12 836/14 836/14 836/15 837/9 & 953/8 954/2 & 701/11 701/12 704/25 \\
\hline 838/13 838/16 838/24 838/24 842/4 & 954/4 954/16 955/18 956/7 958/11 & 727/24 741/2 802/1 \\
\hline 842/18 847/25 856/21 857/2 859/7 & 959/16 960/1 960/5 & 1 663/16 686/25 687/1 699/3 \\
\hline 860/15 860/16 860/18 860/19 860/19 & \begin{tabular}{l}
959/16 960/1 960/5 \\
wanted [46] 665/24 683/19 684/13
\end{tabular} & we're [42] 663/16 686/25 687/1 699/3 707/7 709/24 729/8 752/6 789/25 \\
\hline 860/23 860/25 867/11 874/24 878/10 &  & \[
832 / 15 \text { 832/18 835/9 840/10 841/4 }
\] \\
\hline 878/11 878/13 890/5 933/9 937/15 & 696/7 700/15 702/14 703/9 704/10 & 842/8 845/25 847/11 850/18 861/13 \\
\hline 938/15 938/24 939/13 942/4 942/6 & 717/21 723/9 728/6 747/8 750/21 & 877/14 885/15 900/13 911/20 917/19 \\
\hline 952/24 955/3 958/19 961/2 963/ & 756/9 760/22 767/5 767/8 784/18 & 933/25 934/7 952/6 \\
\hline vest [2] 737/2 & 784/23 792/24 797/11 812/17 834/4 & 953/23 954/9 954/12 954/24 954/24 \\
\hline vetting [1] 961/21 & 834/13 835/25 839/10 845/11 845/12 & 955/3 955/8 956/5 956/19 959/10 \\
\hline vice [2] 714/24 & 849/3 860/20 897/15 904/18 914/6 & 963/3 963/4 964 \\
\hline vicinity [1] 820 & 914/8 938/6 938/19 938/19 938/24 & we've [12] 746/24 7 \\
\hline video [11] 663/14 768/2 801/13 802/8 & & \\
\hline 872/13 873/7 898/3 898/25 899/3 & \[
857 / 3938 / 21
\] & weapon [2] 724/2 948/22 \\
\hline 907/13 921/7 & wants [4] 853/2 885/11 895/22 964/9 & weapons [2] 709/1 948/21 \\
\hline video-recorded [1] 921/7 view [1] 888/25 & Wardlow [1] 688/2 & wear [3] 767/9 842/13 922/2 \\
\hline viewpoints [1] & warned [1] 688/16 & wearing [1] 958/22 \\
\hline vigorous [1] 749/11 & warning [2] 689/10 & wears [1] 847/ \\
\hline vindicative [1] 774/14 & warrant [13] 857/12 857/14 891/16 & weather [1] \\
\hline violation [3] 925/7 925/9 947/4 & 892/19 892/21 892/25 908/19 908/24 & website [4] 768/23 768/23 835/1
\(835 / 20\) \\
\hline violations [1] 908/1 & warranted [1] 762/17 & websites [1] 768/19 \\
\hline & warrants [2] 908/21 924/2 & Wednesday [1] 956/23 \\
\hline visited [1] & was [1321] & week [22] 713/23 714/1 726/6 726/22 \\
\hline visiting [3] 671/2 & was sitting [1] 729/18 & 727/18 730/16 757/2 759/17 759/19 \\
\hline voice [2] 664/12 672/8 & was somewhere [1] 942/9 & 759/21 759/23 759/24 783/9 794/12 \\
\hline volume [1] & was that [1] 708/23 & 798/15 800/2 831/23 841/9 841/9 \\
\hline voluntary [2] 834/7 839/7 & Washington [7] 666/16 & 856/8 860/22 864/20 \\
\hline volunteer [9] 680/19 864/25 893/19 & 935/11 935/17 935/18 936/ & weekend [2] 714/1 7 \\
\hline 897/11 916/19 918/6 918/7 936/25 & wasn't [84] & weekends [3] 683/11 836/19 937/14 \\
\hline 937/6 & 681/15 681/24 685/2 686/21 688/1 & weeknights [1] 836/19 \\
\hline & 691/1 695/9 696/3 696/3 700/5 701/15 & weeks [2] 841/11 862/8 \\
\hline volunteering [2] 897/15 937/15 & 701/18 703/5 704/9 709/14 715/20 & weight [3] 737/2 766/19 964/1 \\
\hline volunteers [1] 822/23 & 717/5 723/25 724/1 726/12 729/16 & weights [1] \\
\hline W & 747/13 748/7 & \\
\hline & 760/3 760/17 764/1 768/15 768/15 & welcomed [1] 941/6 \\
\hline & 770/9 771/7 771/15 773/4 777/20 & well [180] \\
\hline waited [3] 7 & 778/10 778/11 779/7 781/18 782/1 & well-being [2] 862/10 946 \\
\hline waiting [2] 707/7 773/9 & 787/15 787/23 788/6 798/9 810/7 & well-trained [1] 678/20 \\
\hline waiver [1] 739/3 & 816/8 834/18 843/16 844/3 844/3 & went [48] 664/25 665/17 668 \\
\hline wake [1] 862/1 & 846/16 846/16 849/11 850/15 863/17 & 687/17 692/ \\
\hline walk [10] 666/1 666/13 669/11 723/23 & 866/18 867/12 884/19 891/12 904/18 & 704/13 706/10 706/20 706/23 709/15 \\
\hline 814/19 859/23 897/7 897/8 898/15 & 906/15 906/25 907/4 908/23 912/17 & 710/20 711/12 713/24 715/5 715/9 \\
\hline 935/7 & 917/13 923/21 925/18 925/20 926/7 & 733/11 742/4 757/16 760/16 780/2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
went... [24] 793/8 800/17 811/3 834/2 835/5 837/5 855/19 859/10 875/24 879/2 892/11 892/18 894/24 896/15 898/8 903/13 908/24 910/16 910/18 910/21 921/2 928/18 944/6 946/22 were [420]
weren't [35] 668/5 670/23 672/23
675/15 687/15 694/8 708/14 713/19 715/22 716/13 725/21 733/22 733/24 738/9 741/18 756/14 763/21 763/23 776/12 779/22 780/18 791/5 791/16 795/17 797/6 801/4 846/17 907/21 917/25 940/19 942/6 942/8 952/4 956/11 956/12
west [1] 757/5
what [354]
what's [21] 696/24 697/21 724/6 727/5
754/15 771/12 807/18 814/17 820/9
843/14 851/11 881/2 882/11 907/14 910/23 913/11 913/16 918/13 937/19 948/23 964/15
whatever [11] 691/25 706/3 707/1 756/5 772/12 776/20 782/17 832/5 844/11 894/13 958/14
whatsoever [3] 775/3 866/23 866/24
Wheels [1] 706/16
when [237]
whenever [1] 681/19
where [68] 664/23 666/19 667/2
671/24 673/20 673/21 674/13 675/17 675/18 681/4 684/8 685/5 685/25 691/22 699/21 702/2 705/15 705/24 708/9 723/14 723/20 724/16 729/24 744/12 755/3 767/6 769/3 774/21 778/23 785/20 786/9 792/17 794/17 796/4 796/16 803/23 805/3 810/12 830/7 833/23 834/3 841/22 843/9 843/14 854/20 857/21 869/25 883/3 885/13 897/12 907/3 910/15 910/18 910/20 910/21 911/24 911/25 917/13 928/18 928/23 932/18 934/12 935/17 936/5 942/25 943/15 954/12 954/24 whether [27] 670/4 670/23 671/16 681/20 684/3 716/10 717/6 720/2 721/11 741/15 745/7 771/6 772/1 789/11 797/11 824/2 824/6 826/2 827/14 829/14 830/2 836/16 838/10 911/5 925/4 926/3 951/9
which [61] 665/5 665/7 665/7 666/16 666/21 671/17 688/16 689/1 701/10 702/21 709/25 711/15 713/18 716/24 717/3 721/4 723/25 726/13 733/7 749/18 752/14 752/18 754/20 769/13 780/23 781/8 782/9 794/11 794/14 800/8 800/19 809/1 809/10 810/1 812/20 832/7 834/3 838/25 844/2 844/2 846/8 846/10 855/20 866/12 870/21 872/8 873/6 878/11 878/15 879/8 882/10 887/13 908/11 911/24 914/9 929/9 935/10 937/2 940/6 941/14 941/17
whichever [2] 666/18 673/13 while [48] 664/21 666/8 670/1 675/13 683/2 691/16 692/12 696/2 698/18 707/7 707/13 720/13 727/16 737/16 743/9 751/25 773/9 783/22 787/9

805/12 825/13 834/23 836/23 837/7 862/23 863/9 865/2 868/12 872/22 887/9 887/16 888/5 890/11 894/21 896/3 898/19 902/13 912/15 913/9 918/20 919/1 924/15 925/3 932/15 940/4 947/2 948/9 958/22
whistleblower [1] 950/4
white [1] 737/13
who [130] 663/9 667/8 672/16 672/18 672/21 673/12 675/7 677/24 683/4 684/5 685/24 688/1 688/2 692/3 692/13 692/16 693/17 693/21 695/7 696/22 701/24 704/11 704/19 707/16 708/13 709/12 710/21 711/2 711/9 718/1 720/14 720/19 721/14 721/23 723/10 724/12 725/11 731/13 732/24 732/24 737/25 738/14 739/12 741/20 743/8 745/16 745/19 749/4 755/8 755/10 756/4 761/22 764/11 764/18 765/18 768/7 769/6 769/14 769/19 770/3 770/14 770/17 770/24 771/8 772/6 773/19 777/22 779/6 779/8 780/18 780/22 781/11 781/14 781/21 782/20 785/8 785/10 785/13 785/23 787/22 787/25 788/1 788/4 790/4 790/11 790/13 792/10 792/15 792/16 796/5 800/6 804/11 806/19 808/9 808/13 809/11 817/19 829/24 832/12 835/14 836/10 840/8 841/24 853/16 860/3 860/9 867/8 868/10 869/11 877/10 887/22 891/22 892/1 892/20 893/22 894/2 895/22 903/18 906/22 920/21 924/19 943/17 951/3 952/7 953/3 954/14 954/17 956/2 956/3 959/4
who's [11] 668/14 714/24 717/25 748/8 753/9 760/25 810/3 832/4 840/4 876/21 876/24
whoever [1] 710/3
whole [23] 688/22 701/10 704/8 709/6 739/7 739/10 755/23 774/8 776/10 776/14 783/3 785/4 787/14 791/6 792/9 816/15 839/13 847/21 861/7 877/19 908/25 917/17 943/23
whom [2] 733/17 756/3
whose [2] 756/21 781/14
why [60] 671/14 693/25 693/25 694/5 695/23 700/10 711/16 711/23 711/25 712/11 715/3 718/21 719/16 720/8 724/13 727/22 728/21 735/18 745/4 747/19 748/25 749/1 752/3 756/8 758/2 758/4 761/5 761/15 770/14 770/21 771/2 777/10 781/25 784/19 784/20 793/10 797/15 835/8 836/12 849/2 852/10 866/4 866/17 869/23 871/21 880/13 881/18 883/16 883/20 884/23 885/4 894/7 894/11 895/7 895/12 914/3 948/2 956/9 957/2 957/23
wide [2] 753/20 885/6
wife [7] 834/12 834/13 837/1 858/17 914/5 953/3 958/3
wife's [1] 914/9
wilderness [1] 836/17
will [38] 692/14 710/18 718/25 719/20 729/23 740/24 741/6 747/24 749/13 751/15 752/17 752/20 753/6 753/13

753/13 754/21 790/4 799/25 822/20 823/10 840/11 845/14 857/20 858/20 864/19 869/10 871/19 908/11 908/12 908/15 933/12 951/8 953/21 954/14 955/10 955/21 965/5 965/10
Williams [4] 668/16 764/13 765/15 799/4
willing [3] 680/21 859/15 885/15
window [3] 673/25 774/4 774/25
windows [1] 822/20
windshield [1] 775/16
wing [1] 693/3
winter [7] 835/1 837/5 842/25 940/4 940/10 941/13 941/13
wisdom [1] 958/17
wise [2] 859/25 860/18
wisely [2] 744/6 744/9
wishes [2] 815/12 959/10
withdrew [2] 784/2 784/2
within [18] 666/20 666/22 668/22 691/15 737/24 757/2 815/10 836/2 857/23 865/25 870/1 878/2 878/20 918/11 934/20 935/8 946/9 950/4
without [15] 670/6 670/12 685/2 687/25 696/12 696/19 704/21 815/15 856/17 858/22 886/12 919/14 922/24 944/1 966/11
witness [75] 663/9 663/18 664/2 664/5 697/8 728/7 729/7 729/10 730/3 740/10 740/16 741/4 746/16 749/4 749/4 749/12 774/9 800/12 801/13 802/7 802/12 803/2 803/13 806/16 806/19 807/3 808/10 819/4 819/10 819/18 819/21 819/22 820/23 822/13 831/7 831/10 832/4 832/4 832/9 833/2 853/9 853/14 854/2 854/5 854/6 869/9 871/17 871/18 877/15 883/22 886/21 892/23 909/8 909/12 909/18 909/21 915/17 915/21 916/2 919/15 923/24 926/17 926/22 927/2 933/8 933/10 933/18 933/22 950/11 954/19 954/20 954/21 955/18 959/12 965/9
witnesses [34] 661/2 662/3 729/8 729/17 749/14 812/17 831/18 831/18 831/19 831/20 831/21 831/21 832/10 832/12 832/18 864/8 892/1 909/4 910/7 951/3 953/12 954/17 955/3 955/7 955/17 955/25 956/2 956/3 956/3 956/9 956/19 956/20 958/19 959/21
wolves [1] 688/17
woman [5] 770/9 770/15 771/5 771/6 948/8
women [1] 773/17
won't [8] 747/12 816/10 835/6 876/2 886/7 909/2 953/1 964/22
wondering [1] 787/23
word [5] 736/20 742/3 742/3 864/7 864/7
wording [1] 917/20
words [5] 683/6 750/22 852/16 871/17 876/22
wore [1] 922/7
work [84] 665/17 667/15 667/24 668/7 670/5 671/12 677/6 680/4 680/18 680/22 680/24 681/20 684/15 684/17 685/8 687/1 688/11 702/18 703/2
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline W & 901/24 908/13 908/15 914/16 951/8 & yesterday [6] 745/13 745/14 745/19 \\
\hline work... [65] 703/9 714/2 715/7 715/9 & 95 & \[
800 / 5 \text { 800/8 963/23 }
\] \\
\hline 733/25 756/6 758/2 767/6 773/7 & writer [2] 740 & yet [6] 702/3 712/12 870/3 922/16 \\
\hline 778/24 780/2 785/18 785/25 792/3 & & \\
\hline 793/24 795/20 801/22 808/25 815/21 & 725/21 857/14 857/25 859/5 890/14 & York [2] 954/1 957/21 \\
\hline 817/19 822/8 834/7 834/18 835/2 & 890/22 891/9 891/16 898/15 902/3 & you [1687] \({ }^{\text {y }}\) [ \({ }^{\text {y }}\) \\
\hline 841/22 843/16 852/4 852/11 856/25 & 903/2 908/3 908/7 911/11 911/12
\(952 / 6\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
you'd [2] 674/12 871/2 \\
you'll [4] 956/20 957/25 958/21 965/11
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 860/6 861/5 861/6 862/12 865/2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
952/6 \\
writings [1] 858/1
\end{tabular} & you'll [4] 956/20 957/25 958/21 965/1 you're [96] 664/21 664/23 675/5 698/2 \\
\hline 865/13 874/19 896/8 896/11 896/15 & written [22] 685/15 687/5 705/14 & 706/4 709/21 710/25 712/4 722/5 \\
\hline 896/20 896/25 897/4 897/12 900/11 & \[
715 / 6731 / 25732 / 5740 / 8740 / 20
\] & 731/19 736/9 736/15 740/10 744/23 \\
\hline 906/6 910/18 910/21 911/16 911/21
\(911 / 23911 / 25918 / 8922 / 23 ~ 929 / 3 ~\) & 741/10 741/14 742/6 855/21 866/19 & 745/12 749/10 749/11 75 \\
\hline 911/23 911/25 918/8 922/23 929/3 & 867/16 899/25 901/21 902/14 902/20 & 751/8 751/12 753/8 \\
\hline 937/11 937/12 939/3 939/5 939/24
940/24 941/3 941/6 941/7 941/20 & 905/18 907/12 908/21 915/2 & 771/14 781/20 787/21 791/24 \\
\hline 940/24 941/3 941/6 941/7 941/20
945/19 & wrong [24] 677/8 690/15 707/12 711/1 & 795/6 795/12 795/13 795/16 801/10 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
945/19 \\
worked [60] 665/2 666/21 669/14
\end{tabular} & 712/20 724/17 760/12 771/10 826/23 & 801/24 806/12 818/11 819/9 819/23 \\
\hline worked [60] 665/2 666/21 669/14 670/11 671/21 675/13 688/2 692/9 & 828/17 829/5 844/1 891/17 891/18 & 827/11 832/10 833/18 847/13 848/20 \\
\hline 698/25 698/25 699/2 699/6 699/9 & 892/7 892/10 892/11 893/1 894/17 & 849/14 850/17 851/3 852/19 853/17 \\
\hline 699/14 699/21 723/10 723/18 758/11 & 908/23 908/24 941/25 942/2 942/4 & 854/20 861/21 864/10 864/11 865/19 \\
\hline 766/8 767/20 776/6 796/7 796/13 & wrote [30] 704/23 731/9 739/5 750/10 & 872/3 880/11 886/2 886/2 887/14 \\
\hline 798/17 817/20 834/22 841/15 863/2 & 759/15 761/19 868/6 869/6 869/11 & 889/2 890/7 897/7 897/9 899/5 908/16 \\
\hline 864/16 865/3 867/5 872/22 874/11 & 870/17 870/20 884/11 892/5 892/19 & 911/20 914/6 915/20 916/7 918/16 \\
\hline 887/9 887/19 896/15 896/17 896/18 & 892/21 901/9 901/25 902/1 902/22 & 922/12 923/23 924/7 927/9 930/13 \\
\hline 896/21 896/25 898/9 898/19 900/2 & 902/22 903/12 903/12 903/21 904/1 & 948/19 949/2 950/15 952/6 952/25 \\
\hline 900/5 900/16 910/15 913/14 916/20 & 904/20 904/23 908/19 925/1 925/16 & 952/25 953/25 954/13 955/19 958/6 \\
\hline 917/13 918/20 919/1 929/7 929/17 & 949/7 & 958/7 958/8 958/9 958/10 958/13 \\
\hline 929/19 929/19 935/13 935/13 935/14 & Y & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 959 / 19 \\
& 964 / 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 935/16 936/12 worker [4] 686 & Yamhill [4] 910/14 910/17 914/3 914/7 & you've [22] 666/21 834/15 862/4 \\
\hline workers [3] 669 & yards [1] 946/7 & 862/18 863/1 863/2 866/20 872/7 \\
\hline workers [3] & yeah [53] 670/2 676/4 685/19 686/13 & 878/8 899/7 944/10 945/4 952/20 \\
\hline 689/25 690/11 700/5 711/9 & 692/15 699/5 701/2 707/5 712/22 & 955/25 956/10 956/13 958/18 958/22 \\
\hline 717/20 722/1 725/2 727/16 744/16 & 739/1 751/11 778/22 782/1 784/22 & 959/2 959/9 959/25 960/19 \\
\hline 756/10 761/7 768/19 773/7 773/24 & 790/9 793/9 803/9 804/17 805/12 & young [2] 937/15 938/15 \\
\hline 782/24 786/13 796/9 813/13 825/13 & 812/12 814/11 825/14 834/12 836/1 & younger [2] 706/15 939/14 \\
\hline 855/18 855/23 855/25 856/4 856/22 & 837/17 838/11 838/18 839/11 847/14 & youngest [2] 935/20 935/22 \\
\hline 857/5 857/6 858/6 859/2 861/5 861/8 & 848/19 848/19 850/10 850/14 851/25 & your [410] \\
\hline 863/4 864/24 865/22 865/23 874/8 & 863/22 882/14 883/2 883/25 893/9 & your order [1] 743/20 \\
\hline 883/19 887/15 897/3 897/12 900/12 & 900/25 905/17 909/11 913/4 922/14 & yours [2] 958/4 959/5 \\
\hline 900/12 906/25 908/16 910/14 911/17 & 922/21 924/5 931/5 931/5 931/2 & yourself [6] 664/23 699/16 820/2 \\
\hline 912/6 912/15 912/20 917/15 918/4 & 932/18 932/22 940/22 957/2 & 854/19 877/24 901/9 \\
\hline 919/18 925/3 929/7 930/2 930/12 & year [39] 665/23 667/13 668/5 668/25 & yourselves [2] 728/2 880/22 \\
\hline 944/2 956/24 & 673/15 701/6 701/9 701/9 & youth [2] 938/2 939/16 \\
\hline workload [1] 680/19 & 70 716/13 719/14 721/2 725/15 & YouTube [2] 942/24 942 \\
\hline works [3] 716/4 757/7 767/25 & 834/20 836/24 836/24 846/8 846/14 & Z \\
\hline world [5] 835/10 835/23 957/6 957/10 & 847/7 854/25 861/17 874/13 928/20 & Zach [1] 725/2 \\
\hline 957/16 & 928/20 935/11 935/16 936/1 936/10 & Zigzag [1] 666/18 \\
\hline worried [6] \(787 / 17\) 788/1 843/19
843/22 944/12 949/3 & 936/24 940/12 940/15 944/7 944/11 & zones [1] 957/6 \\
\hline worries [1] 681/9 & yearly [1] 890/7 & \\
\hline worry [3] 714/9 944/3 945/11 & years [61] 667/20 670/6 670/9 670/12 & \\
\hline worse [1] 842/18 & 684/22 686/14 689/25 690/11 & \\
\hline worst [1] 713/19 &  & \\
\hline worthy [1] 889/24 & 840/25 841/14 845/23 846/5 846/13 & \\
\hline would [340] & 846/14 846/15 847/14 855/7 858/13 & \\
\hline wouldn't [32] 692/5 714/15 721/25 & 860/5 861/11 862/5 862/15 862/19 & \\
\hline 731/12 736/19 737/1 738/5 756/11 & 863/1 882/24 884/25 889/15 899/7 & \\
\hline 756/20 758/4 763/16 765/2 766/19 & 913/14 916/18 916/19 917/10 918/3 & \\
\hline 771/6 779/20 780/25 781/6 781/6 & 921/1 928/17 928/19 928/24 929/3 & \\
\hline 812/7 815/15 817/14 817/16 817/18 & 930/2 934/17 935/9 935/19 935/21 & \\
\hline 844/6 844/21 856/7 863/12 884/23 & \[
935 / 23
\] & \\
\hline 888/18 896/19 931/21 931/21 & 938/10 946/24 & \\
\hline wounded [1] 709/7 & yell [2] 738/18 813/3 & \\
\hline write [26] 667/9 685/20 687/22 725/20 736/9 754/8 793/20 794/3 857/11 & yelling [6] 710/9 710/10 710/17 710/24 & \\
\hline 890/13 890/16 890/19 890/20 890/21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 777 / 6811 / 11 \\
& \text { yes [316] }
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

