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THE COURT: We're back in session. The jury is present. Good morning to all of you. The counsel are present and the parties are present.

Counsel, would you like to call your next witness please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Assuming Brian Paterson is here,
we will be calling him.
THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. What I'll do just informally and off the record --
(Off the record.)

THE COURT: Step forward. We're back on the record. Will you be kind enough now to raise your right hand for a moment.

> LARRY LARSON,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated in the witness box that's just to my right. Good morning.

THE WITNESS: Good morning.
THE COURT: Now, face the jury and state your full name and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: My name is Larry Lee Larson, Jr.
L-A-R-S-O-N.
THE COURT: This will be direct examination.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Good morning, sir. I'm Jason Kafoury. One of the
attorneys for Mr. Cleavenger. I'm going to be asking from here, but please address the jurors in your responses.

Can you tell us a little about yourself; where you're from and your educational background?
A. I grew up in Washington. High school graduate. Moved to Eugene in 1980. I have an associate's degree from Lane Community College and then I became a reserve police officer. You want some of that information?
Q. Sure, yes. What's your law enforcement background?
A. I started January 12 th of 1991 as a reserve police officer for Junction City. I went to the Eugene Police Reserve Academy in Eugene. December 1st of 1993 I was hired full time. I worked there as a patrol corporal, as a patrol sergeant, until October of 2008. At that time I went over to Iraq as an international police advisor, teaching Iraqis how to do police work. So I was over there for 18 months to do that.

I came back to the states. Junction City didn't have any openings at the time. Coburg had an opening, and I tested and got hired there July 31st of 2010. Then I became the chief about six to eight months later, and I'm still there today. Q. Tell the jurors a little bit about the Coburg Police Department generally.
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A. It's a pretty small department. We -- they kind of had a checkered past. So, prior to me getting there, they were in the news for writing tickets out on the freeway, had a bad reputation. They had some evidence that ended up missing.

So I got there. Myself and another officer got hired at the same time. We had three police officers. One of them got let go for misconduct, I believe it was. So then shortly after that, they then hired me as the chief. He was actually the chief when I got there.

So since then we've worked really hard to get a better reputation. I hired some new reserve police officers; just pretty much have them understanding my philosophy. My philosophy is that we work for the citizens and that's who we take care of.

If we arrest them or we give them a ticket, we do it with dignity and respect.

For the evidence room, to overcome that, I have an annual audit. I have an outside agency come in and look at the evidence room every single year. We also do -- because we're on the freeway and there's all kinds of drugs going up and down the freeway. So interdiction stops, we're looking to stop those. And to make sure there's no profiling issues, we do what we call traffic stop data forms.

So for every single traffic stop we check the box. If it was on the interstate, city street, highway, the day/time, how
much -- the time frame the traffic stop took, the race, the sex of who was stopped, whether there was a search or not. All those type of documentations.

For every arrest that we make or a citation in lieu of custody, I make the officers do what we call a use-of-force report. So, again, it's the check-the-box report to document when we do use force and when we don't use force.
Q. Now, you decided to hire Mr. Cleavenger May 31, 2013, right after he left the Junction City Police Department; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Prior to hiring my client, did you do a background investigation on him?
A. Yes. I have for -- I have two full-time police officers, counting myself. I have seven reserve officers. I've had up to 10 . The current officers I have is I have probably three or four that have probably 16 to 17 years of full-time law enforcement experience. One of them teaches criminal justice down in Shasta County, was a patrol deputy down there; a sergeant for years. Another one used to work for Corvallis Police Department, Lane County Sheriff's Department, and Sweet Home Police Department. He actually is an accountant at the U of O. He works for me.

Then I have another one. Rod Teague. 16 years full-time police officer in Lincoln City, and he's the one that did
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\section*{James's background for me.}
Q. Now, as part of that process, did my client sign a waiver allowing you to speak with all of his former employers as part of that background?
A. Yes, he did. That's part of the standard hiring practice that we have.

So for reserve police officers we do the same hiring as we would for a full-time police officer. So we have a physical agility test. It's a pass/no pass. We use the police officer selection testing test, which is from the Oregon Associations of Chiefs of Police. It's a standardized test. We use that. And then they do an oral board interview. They do the DPSST physical examination. We do a psychological exam and then hire them off of that, after the background.
Q. Did your department communicate with Junction City as part of that background to check on my client?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. And did they speak with multiple officers at Junction City? A. From what I remember from the background, they spoke with multiple Junction City officers. Of course we also talked to the University of Oregon or tried to. We didn't really get much information from them regarding anything on James.
Q. When you reviewed his Junction City file, was there any discipline?
A. None.
Q. And if there was discipline at Junction City, you would anticipate that would be part of his personnel file, wouldn't you?
A. Correct. That's where it should be located if there's discipline.
Q. As part of your process, did you do a psychological exam on my client?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Tell us about how that works.
A. We use a contract service out of -- I think they're out of Georgia. Psychological Services. I got the information from Cottage Grove Police Department. Cottage Grove has been doing -- using them for years. So with a full-time officer, a lot of times -- and reserves, we used to spend \$450 or \$550 and have to come all the way up here to Lake Oswego to a psychiatrist up here to do the examinations. Very cost-prohibitive.

So talking to the Cottage Grove commander, they gave me that information, and they used the company out in Georgia, and it's like \(\$ 110\), and it's pretty in depth. I've actually been very pleased with that. It seems like they're very accurate on their examination.
Q. Now, if someone contacted you and wanted to know about one of your former officers as part of a background check, would
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you feel obligated to tell them about officer safety concerns or truthfulness concerns?
A. Yeah. We have nothing to hide, so if somebody -- if they had a waiver that they were -- that we were able to disclose that information, we 'd just be up front and honest with whoever was asking.
Q. But no one at the University of Oregon Police Department participated as part of this process for the background of Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I remember the background investigator was mak ing multiple phone calls and that there was no information that was coming forth. So I didn't know if, you know, they had some -- there was just no communication, so we just had nothing to go on from the University of Oregon.
Q. What solo -- did my client pass a psychological exam?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Okay. What is solo status?
A. So for our agency, we have reserves that are brand-new recruits that pretty much ride with another officer because, you know, they're in the learning phase and don't have much experience. We can -- and we use the Department of Public Safety Standards and Training as a big thick training manual that all police officers use throughout the state of Oregon. So we require our officers to go, whether they're full time or reserve, to complete that training manual, which is, I'm going
to guess, maybe 60 pages long. It's very in depth. Criminal law, search and seizure, officer safety, your general geographic stuff; just everything that a police officer might need to know.

So James has already completed his with Junction City. So we moved him relatively quickly to what we have as a shadow phase, where he can be in a patrol car as long as there's another full-time police officer on duty, kind of watching, making sure that they're okay, that they don't get in over their head or they're -- you know, if they have any questions, we're they're to help.

Then they can advance to what we call a solo phase or a solo officer, which James is, to where he can just decide -because a reserve police officer is a volunteer police officer, so they come out and patrol. And I realize that all my reserves have full-time jobs, so it's kind of whenever they're available because they plan and help citizens.

So James is at the solo status, where, if he chooses, he can come out and -- he has to call myself or another officer, let us know, but he can come out and work a patrol shift by himself, or if I need him to cover a shift for me or to take on-call -- because, being small, we don't have 24 -hour coverage. So there's limited law enforcement in Lane County, so myself, another officer, or one of the solo reserves, can be -- can be put on call. So they take the police car home.
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Then if they get called out for an alarm or a trespass at the Shell gas station, or whatever, they can deal with that call. Q. What's the difference between a reserve officer and a full-time officer in terms of the job duties? A. It's the same thing. It's the full-time officer gets paid. The volunteer and the reserve officer does not. They have full police sworn arrest powers throughout the state of Oregon.
Q. So is my client currently on the highest reserve status within your department?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. And is he sometimes the only police officer on duty?
A. He could be, yes.
Q. What is on-call? Explain that.
A. So on-call is -- we can only work so many hours. You know, it takes 4.3 officers to cover a 24 -hour shift, seven days a week. We have two. So the other officer and myself, we'll stagger our shifts to try to get as much police coverage during the busy times of -- of the day in Coburg, or evening, but if there's court or various, you know, vacations or sick time, you know, we flex our schedule a lot to try to accomplish that.

When we're not on duty, we're on call. So I take my police car home. I always have my phone on. We will call in to dispatch and say -- you know, 850 is my badge number. "I'm
secure. I'll be on call."
So if any calls come up between when I secure on call to when I come into service the next day, then they call me, wake me up in the middle of the night. I triage it over the phone if I can, or if I have to get dressed and drive over to Coburg to take care of the call, that's what we do.

So because I also like to have a personal life, we give this to the other officer. We share it. He takes a lot of it, but we share it. And we can also give it to some of the reserve officers just because sometimes you don't get called at all. Sometimes you might get called three or four times in one night. So it just varies. That's police work.
Q. And is my client part of your team that's on call? A. Yes, he is.
Q. Now, as part of on-call duties, does he take a marked Coburg police car with him back to his house?
A. Yes, he does. So whoever is on call, myself and the other officer have take-home cars. Whatever reserve officer is on call, I also let them take a car home because it's quicker for the citizens for them to respond. They throw on their uniform at home, drive straight to the scene, versus have to get their private vehicle, drive to the police department, and jump in the police car. That's just time-consuming for the citizens.

So I let them take the car home to respond straight to the location of whatever the call is.
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Q. So -- and my client lives near the University of Oregon; correct?
A. That is my understanding. He lives over there, kind of the south Eugene area is kind of what we call it, but it's kind of over by the university.
Q. That might explain why he was driving -MS. COIT: Objection. Argumentative. Foundation. THE COURT: He lives near the university -- I didn't hear the last part.

MS. COIT: The question, Your Honor. Not the answer. THE COURT: No, I didn't hear the question. MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll withdraw the question and reask it.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Would the fact he lives there and has -- and is on call with a Coburg police car explain why he might be driving near the University of Oregon with one of your vehicles?

MS. COIT: Objection. Foundation. MR. JASON KAFOURY: No, I'm asking if he's -THE COURT: I'm going to overrule that.
You can answer that question.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. That would explain why.
You know, also, if we have -- if somebody does a crime in Coburg -- James was on call a couple of weeks ago that got called out, I don't know, I think about 1:00 or 2:00 in the
morning for a disorderly female at the Shell gas station. He dealt with that particular situation, took them to Buckley House. They didn't have room for them at the detox center, so he actually ended up having to take them to the Lane County Jail.

He got called out shortly right after that to a burglary in progress at one of our businesses. Showed up, guy jumps a fence, and actually ends up getting away.

So the next -- four or five days later, I think, there was me and James and Officer Lee and Officer Wilson, the four of us, went all over Eugene and Springfield looking for the guy.

My philosophy is if they commit a crime in Coburg and we have information we can follow up on, we were going to try to solve that case and arrest them.

THE COURT: Perhaps both counsel can stipulate of the
distance or the approximate location of Coburg to the
University of Oregon. I'm not certain that I understand that. I don't know what the location is.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you know the miles, sir?

THE COURT: Perhaps the jury does.
THE WITNESS: Five or six miles, maybe. I'm not

\section*{sure.}

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. In terms of your relationship with my client, you have
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never been to his house, have you?
A. I have not.
Q. How would you describe your professional relationship?
A. I think it's professional. I think it's a good
employer/employee relationship. I try to know my people and try to take care of my people because I think that they step up and do tremendous work for me.
Q. Tell us about my client's field DPSST training he had earlier this year.
A. I'm not sure what training.
Q. The FTEP training.
A. So in a small agency I think it's easier for me to get my people trained than it is the Eugene Police Department, as an example, because they have 150, 200 sworn officers. I'm much smaller, and I believe in training, so I like to send my people to training.

I sent James to take a class on video cameras because I know that he also has a law degree, so he can try to help me to make sure I'm good on my policies and procedures. I sent him to that class because --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I object to the relevance of this line of questioning. This is after the fact.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, there's --
THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer the question.
THE WITNESS: So I like to have my people trained up
because, from the national staging, you can see that the body cameras are coming, so I want to make sure that I was trained up and had good advice on how that stuff works.

So I also sent James to that class and I sent him to a field training evaluation programs class so he could actually become one of my training officers for new or younger officers because there's -- being small, I just can't do it all or can my other officer, so I'm trying to empower other reserves. And he would be my third -- I think my third or fourth training officer. I have three openings currently, so I'm going to be hiring some younger police officers.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. I'm going to ask some general questions regarding my client in your work experience. In your time with him, has he been a truthful person?
A. Yes, he has.
Q. Has he displayed good judgment?
A. Yes, he has.
Q. Has he ever been subject to any discipline within your department?
A. No.
Q. How does he take critique and feedback? What's been your experience?
A. There hasn't been a whole lot. He seems to be receptive to it. I mean, nobody knows everything in law enforcement,
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period. They just don't. And they never will. It's ever-changing, and there's not -- there's all kinds of different ways to do different things. There's not one way to do a traffic stop. You can do a driver's side approach, a passenger's side approach, you can stand between the car, you can go around the back of your car. There's pros and cons to every one of those approaches.

And we have open discussions about those because I just think that we all kind of make each other better. If somebody has a good idea, I'm all for it.

So we have open discussions. He's never been abrasive or -- he's always been receptive to that kind of feedback. Q. Ever felt unsafe working with my client? A. I have not. Q. Ever have any citizen complaints against my client? A. I have not. Q. How many hours -- do you have a rough idea of how many hours he puts in in a given week or month there at Coburg? A. You know, it varies because it always depends on their personal schedules and also what I have for them. In the summer months we have several community events that I require them to do. Coming up in October and working helping the sheriff's department at the University of Oregon football games with some parking at one of the facilities across from Autzen Stadium.

We're also going to be helping them with a corn maze event that they have at Alpine Farms and whatever training or other things that I have as a department. But James probably puts, geez, normally like a minimum of 20 and up to 60,70 hours a month.
Q. In fact, he's working this weekend?
A. Yes, he is.
Q. As you said -- you talked about this patrolling I-5 as part of your duties. Has my client made a lot of traffic stops as part of his Coburg responsibilities?
A. Yes. So for Coburg, we're not really call-driven.

Sometimes we are. Sometimes we aren't. But that doesn't mean -- we're not getting a bunch of 911 calls all the time. A lot of times for us it's self-initiated, so I have officers that are very -- you know, they're not retired on duty. They're out there doing their job. They're looking for people that are violating the law, and they're enforcing the law. And I -- James is very active when he's out.
Q. Have you ever had situations where my client has, over the radio, made problematic callouts, said things that weren't actually happening, stuff like that?
A. No.
Q. Any complaints from any other Coburg officers relating to my client whatsoever?
A. No.

\section*{Larson - D}
Q. Do you think the size of the department determines the type of police work, or do you think there's a lot of similarity between big and small?
A. I think it's harder to be a police officer in a small department. Eugene Police Department, as an example. I love the Eugene Police Department, but if they have, let's say, a rape case, they are going to -- the officer is going to show up and take the initial report. Then they're going to hand it off to the detectives. I don't have any detectives. My officers are the detectives.

Junction City is an example. We had a young man that stole a bunch of firearms, went to show his girlfriend, pulled out a firearm, and shot her right square between the eyes.

So I get called out because I was home on call, so I come out, interviewed the suspect, got him to confess. The next day I'm the patrol officer. So we have the district attorney. We have Oregon State Police Crime Lab that came out. I'm the lead investigator. We have the chief, the lieutenant. I had to leave that meeting to go take care of a dog-at-large call that was at Laurel Elementary School.

So in a small town you do a dog-at-large -- and I couldn't let it go because it was at the school scaring kids -- to a homicide. So small town police officers have to do -- wear mini hats, so I expect a lot of them.
Q. You talked about these traffic stop data forms. In
reviewing my client's traffic stops, have you noticed any unusual patterns or --
A. I have not. And I review every traffic stop, traffic stop data form. And all the citations and all the reports, all that stuff goes through me. And if I saw a pattern I would have already dealt with it, but I have not seen a pattern that raises any kind of concern.
Q. Not racially profiling or gender profiling anyone?
A. Not at all.
Q. Did you allow my client to work for the Oakridge Police Department for a couple of weeks while two of your officers were at the academy?
A. Yes, I did. Oakridge's new chief, Chief Martinez, Kevin, called me because they were very shorthanded and needed some help. So I asked a couple of the reserves, solo reserve officers who I felt confident in, if they wanted to go up there and help, and then I put them in touch with Kevin, and he scheduled them for whatever his needs were to cover some of their patrol shifts.
Q. Any problems communicated to you from Oakridge about my client when he was there?
A. No. Actually, Kevin called me and thanked me for that and actually was going to hire James away from me. Of course, that didn't happen. Thank goodness.
Q. The recording law, this ORS that requires you to notify,
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over the last five years, what's your understanding about how well-known that law has been within law enforcement?
A. Well, I had a video camera in 1995. So I knew to let the people know. So whenever you make a traffic stop, you know, license, registration, proof of insurance, and then just to advise them that it's being recorded. And that was before body cameras, and it was pretty much just a patrol camera out of the car.
Q. Has there -- have the policies in relation to, you know, when you have to notify and all that, has that been sort of shifting and changing over the years?
A. I think it has. I mean, I haven't -- we don't have any -we just recently got a body camera for Coburg. And at the time when I was at Junction City we had that camera for a couple of years. It broke, and they never did replace it. So when I was there we didn't have any patrol cameras.
Q. Let's talk about Brady listing. Do you remember receiving an email from the district attorney, along with a multipage report with allegations against my client, in the summer of 2014?
A. I remember getting an email. I somewhat remember the report.
Q. And what was the district attorney asking from you?
A. My understanding was just for me to be aware of it. There was no action that I needed to take.
Q. Did you respond to the district attorney?
A. I did. I think he asked for personnel information from

James. Of course I contacted our city attorney. We provided him with whatever he needed.
Q. I'd like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 244. Can you identify what this document is, sir?
A. It's an email I sent to Patty Perlow, district attorney.
Q. And it's in response to the Brady materials written in
relation to Mr. Cleavenger; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The date of this document is August 22, 2014?
A. That's correct.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd offer 244, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any objection, Counsel?
MS. COIT: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: May I publish it to the jury, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you blow up the top there,
Mr. Hess?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. This is an email that you sent to the district attorney office on August 22, 2014, in relation to my client and the Brady list; correct?
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\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. Can you go to the next paragraph, Mr. Hess?

I received your letter regarding the possible Brady issue
with one of my reserve police --
THE COURT: Counsel, go ahead and please read slower
so that the court reporter can take your reading.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: Start again so there's continuity.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. I received your letter regarding a possible Brady issue with one of my reserve police officers, James Cleavenger. James Cleavenger has been a reserve officer with us for over a year. James successfully passed a post-written test, physical agility testing, oral boards, and background, along with the psychological testing.

That was all accurate; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Next paragraph.

During the background, everyone who was contacted talked very highly of James. The University of Oregon Department of Public Safety never responded to our inquiries. During the background, no one ever brought up any concerns regard ing James being unethical or untruthful.

\section*{Next paragraph.}

During James's time here as a reserve police officer,
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James has never showed any signs of being unethical, untruthful, or incompetent. He has always been a very professional, dedicated, caring police officer. Signed by you?
A. Correct.
Q. What does a Brady listing mean for my client's chances of getting hired as a full-time paid police officer?
A. I think it would probably prohibit that from happening.
Q. And why do you think that is?
A. Well, you have to be truthful in law enforcement and be able to testify under oath that you're being truthful and honest.
Q. Is it your understanding that being Brady-listed would qualify as a failed background check?
A. Yes.
Q. If -- after this proceeding is over, this court, the district attorney will be making a determination about what status of Brady listing my client will be on. Do you understand the two tiers of Brady-listing?
A. I'm not that familiar with Brady-listing. My understanding is -- I've never been exposed to that. And with this case, I know that if that goes -- if that happens, I'm going to have to get a hold of legal counsel to see what to do next because I've never been faced with this situation.
Q. And if the district attorney decides that my client
deserves to be disqualified from testifying altogether, might you have to terminate him?
A. That's correct.
Q. As the chief, if a group of your command staff was leading a group of officers during briefings and talking about how people in the community and around the country should eat a bowl of dicks, how would you respond to that type of situation?
A. Well, I think, as a supervisor, it should be addressed right then and there. You can't let that stuff go.
Q. In law enforcement, are you required to work really long hours sometimes?
A. Sometimes.
Q. What's the longest you've ever had to work?
A. Pushing probably 48 hours. Work ed swing shift, ended up getting drug information, writing a search warrant, and executing the search warrant, processing evidence, and then it was time to work another shift. So roughly around that particular time frame.
Q. Jurors are going to hear from University of Oregon Lieutenant Andy Bechdolt. Did you work with Lieutenant Bechdolt?
A. Very briefly, when I got hired at Coburg, Andy had worked there just prior to me. My understanding was that he resigned his position and was a reserve officer for a couple months, but I never really worked with him. I don't think we ever worked a
shift together.
Q. Do you know if he -- if Lieutenant Bechdolt was putting in the required hours at your department?
A. From memory, I don't remember seeing him. I don't believe

I was the chief at that time or I was the brand-new chief.
There was a lot of things going on. He was only there for a period of time, and then I think he got hired at the \(U\) of \(O\).

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thank you, sir. That's all I
have.
THE COURT: Cross-examination, please.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}
```

BY MS. COIT:

```
Q. Chief Larson, how big is Coburg?
A. Population?
Q. Yes.
A. 1,050.
Q. 1,050 residents?
A. Correct.
Q. And what is the -- how big is the physical boundary of the
city?
A. Maybe a mile square.
Q. One mile square?
A. Roughly.
Q. And your officers have authority to patrol or respond to
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calls within that mile; correct?
A. Well, that's the city limits, so for venue that's where we'd go. If we are, say, going to Lane County Jail in downtown Eugene and we see a traffic offense and we feel it's egregious enough to stop them and write them a ticket, we'll do that. So the police power is through the whole state of Oregon.
Q. Understood. That's the same for police officers
throughout the state; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So the background that you did on Mr. Cleavenger, did you
do that personally?
A. No.
Q. Did you review it?
A. Yes.
Q. The psych test that you talked about, that's something you
order through the mail; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. It's multiple choice fill-out; correct?
A. It's a two, two and a half hour battery of questions.
Q. But it's a timed multiple choice fill-out; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Have you ever known of someone to fail this particular
psych test?
A. No.
Q. What does the psych test at the Eugene Police Department
```

entail?
A. I don't know.
Q. How about the University of Oregon? Do you know what that
entails?
A. I do not.
Q. In your experience, do most psychological testings for
police officers include an actual interview with a psychiatrist
or a psychologist?
A. I think that's common for full time. For reserves, I
think it varies. That's why I -- Cottage Grove is using this
and has used this particular one for }15\mathrm{ years, and they were --
spoke very highly of it, which is why I made the switch to do
that.
Q. Have any of your reserves ever failed this mail order
fill-out psych test?
A. From Psychological Services, no.
Q. Did you do a criminal background check on Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes, we did.
Q. Did that reveal anything?
A. For criminal activity, no.
Q. How far back did that background check go?
A. Whatever the NCIC or the LEDS, Law Enforcement Data
System, that's maintained by Oregon State Police, it's however
back -- how far it goes back.
Q. So your background test at Coburg is limited to the state

```
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of Oregon for criminal background history?
A. No. The NCIC is a national law enforcement data system that we access also. So both systems were checked.
Q. Did it go back as far as Mr. Cleavenger's undergraduate career?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I'll object. I don't know where this is going.

\section*{THE COURT: Overruled.}

THE WITNESS: It only checks criminal history, so I
don't know how it would relate to a college.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Criminal history that was obtained while he was in college is my question. Did it go back that far?
A. If there was a conviction, I would have found it. If it
was put into the system -- if there was a fingerprint, it would have been in the system and it should have found it.
Q. And it's your testimony that the background did not find
it?
A. That's correct.
Q. During your background, did you speak with Chief Chase at

Junction City?
A. I did not.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because I wasn't doing the background.
Q. Wouldn't it have been relevant to your background to
actually speak to the chief of the department he worked rather than his fellow officers and best friends that worked there?
A. What they investigated is the background, and then I make the final determination.
Q. Do you have any say in how your background investigator does that background?
A. I do. That's how I chose to do it.
Q. To not call the chief of police from Junction City?
A. The background investigator is a 16-year veteran
investigator, and I let him do the investigation.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger ask that background investigator not to talk to Chief Chase?
A. I don't believe that would happen, but I don't know.
Q. In your experience with that background investigator,
would it be his normal practice to contact the chief of the department where the person just left employment?
A. The question one more time.
Q. In your experience in police operations and as the supervisor of this department, would it be the normal practice of a background investigator to contact the chief of the department for an employee who has just left that department?
A. Possibly. If there was notice in the file that determined there was a problem, I would say yes.
Q. Were you aware that Mr. Cleavenger had just suddenly resigned from Junction City before he came to you?
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\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. Did you ask him why?
A. No. I was not doing the background.
Q. Did your background investigator ask him why?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you recall read ing that information in the background?
A. I do not recall.
Q. Wouldn't that be important to you to know suddenly why he resigned from Junction City?
A. From what I got from the background and the background investigator, is that there was no problem.
Q. So your answer is that is not of concern to you why he left suddenly from his prior position?
A. It was not a concern at that time, correct.
Q. You testified you didn't hear from the University of Oregon. Do you mean your background investigator didn't hear from the university?
A. Right. The background investigator didn't hear from the

University of Oregon.
Q. Do you know who your investigator called?
A. I think it was multiple people, but I don't recall exactly
who they did try to contact.
Q. Can you identify one person that they called?
A. I think Andy Bechdolt.
Q. Are you aware -- was your background investigator aware
```

that Mr. Cleavenger had sued the university and the chief of
police and a lieutenant?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Object. He had not sued them
then at that time point.
THE COURT: Continue on, Counsel, with your question.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: May of 2013, Counsel.
MS. COIT: Oh, my apologies. Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Were they aware, if you know, that at that time
Mr. Cleavenger was engaging in active arbitration of his
termination?
A. I do not know.
Q. In your experience in law enforcement -- well, let me ask
a different question.
From your understanding from the background investigator,
did the University of Oregon say anything negative about
Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't think they said anything.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I would like to have
a sidebar.
THE COURT: Not at this time, Counsel.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Who was the background investigator?
A. I believe it was Rod Teague.
Q. I'm sorry. Say that again.

```
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A. Rod Teague.
Q. Is he one of the two officers at Coburg?
A. He's a reserve police officer.
Q. What is his day job?
A. He is an investigator for SAIF.
Q. SAIF, the Workers' Compensation Board?
A. Correct.
Q. What does he investigate for SAIF?
A. Fraud.
Q. Criminal or civil?
A. I think both.
Q. Is he a paid reserve?
A. We don't have paid reserves.
Q. You said that the chief from the city of Oakridge wanted to hire Mr. Cleavenger away.
A. Correct.
Q. Was he going to hire him away for a paid police officer position?
A. I think it was more in jest; but, if he had an opening, he was going to -- kind of intimate that he would hire him.
Q. As a paid police officer?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you plan on hiring Mr. Cleavenger as a paid police officer at any point?
A. I don't have any openings, so no.
Q. What if an opening comes up tomorrow?
A. If he tested and passed the testing, then yes.
Q. What additional testing would he have to take?
A. It would have to be the complete process again.
Q. Including another psychological testing?
A. Correct.
Q. Would this one include an interview with a psychiatrist?
A. For a full-time position, yes.
Q. So how many officers -- full-time paid officers are
generally on duty at the City of Coburg?
A. I only have two, so it's either one or two.
Q. And how much supervision -- let's make it specific to

Mr. Cleavenger. How much supervision does Mr. Cleavenger get on average during a shift at Coburg?
A. So if James comes out, normally Officer Lee or myself will adjust our schedule. So we do work with him a lot; a lot more than they work solo.
Q. How much -- say he works an eight-hour shift, how much of that eight-hour shift is working solo?
A. It just depends on the -- there is no set schedule.
Q. Well, tell me, does working solo mean that when there's no call going he's by himself but when a call comes in you respond with him? Is that what you're saying?
A. Solo means that he can be out in the car by himself
patrolling and initiating traffic stops and doing his own
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follow-up, doing what police officers do. James has worked several shifts where he's the only one on duty, so there would be no supervision, other than we're always available by phone if he has any questions or needs any additional help.

Most of the time they come out when there's another
officer on duty because it's fun to work together, even though
you might be in separate cars. Still, there's two police
officers, so you can support each other.
Q. It's a benefit to the City of Coburg to have these
reserves out there patrolling, unpaid; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you take as much advantage of that benefit as you can; correct?
A. The help is needed, yes.
Q. How many reserves are there?
A. Currently, I think I have seven.
Q. So you said that Mr. Cleavenger is allowed to take his
personal vehicle home? Is that what you said?
A. No. Well, he can take his personal vehicle home.
Q. Oh, I'm sorry. The patrol car.
A. The patrol car. The patrol car only when he's on call so that he can respond quicker for the citizens in Coburg. I let him take the police car home so he can respond straight to the location of the call.
Q. How many patrol cars does the City of Coburg have?
```

A. Five.
Q. Is your city manager aware that you allow a reserve to take his -- take a City of Coburg police car home overnight?
A. Yes.
Q. Are all the reserves allowed to do that?
A. Only the solo ones that are on call.
Q. Is Mr. Cleavenger insured on that vehicle when it's outside the city?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that Mr. Cleavenger drives that car around the $U$ of $O$ campus?
A. No.
Q. Would that be appropriate?
A. Well, if he's going to and from work or doing follow-up on a case, yes.
Q. Otherwise it wouldn't be?
A. I don't know why he would be doing that.
Q. That's not my question, sir. Would it be inappropriate?
A. If he's not doing Coburg business.
Q. Is he allowed to take that police car to work at the federal courthouse?
A. Sometimes he'll be on call and have to respond from there.
Q. You know Mr. Cleavenger works as a law clerk at the federal courthouse; correct?
A. I do.

```
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Q. Is it appropriate for him to take that car to work when he works at the federal courthouse?
A. Sometimes James is -- we've had this conversation. He'll be at work but still being on call for us to respond to emergency calls. So at that point in time, I would say yes.
Q. So when Mr. Cleavenger is at work at his real job, his paid job, he will leave that to go answer calls as a reserve volunteer for Coburg?
A. If needed. I don't know how his work relationship is as far as how they feel about it, but for us, if he's, you know, there and it's not a court day and he's able to do that, then he will do that for us.
Q. You don't live in the city of Coburg?
A. I do not.
Q. Where do you live?
A. Junction City.
Q. How far is Junction City from Coburg?
A. It takes -- it's 19 miles to drive from Junction City to Coburg.
Q. So if you're on call, do you generally call out a reserve if it's possible for them to handle something rather than you having to go?
A. If I'm on call, I take it.
Q. You take it?
A. That's why I'm on call.
Q. From your home in Junction City?
A. Correct.
Q. Has Mr. Cleavenger been to your house?
A. No.
Q. So you gave some testimony that you think Mr. Cleavenger
has good officer safety skills. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that Mr. Cleavenger gave a courtesy
transport to a distraught woman in the back of his patrol car knowing that she had a loaded gun on her lap? Are you aware of that?
A. I've never heard that.
Q. Would that be a safe situation?
A. Probably not, if the gun was illegal.
Q. Why would that make a difference to you?
A. Well, if -- if there's no crime committed -- I mean, I
would probably separate her from the firearm. I don't know the information as far as why she was distraught, why she was in the back of the car. Was she in custody? I don't know. There's a lot more information that I would want to know.

Normally, in law enforcement, we do try to separate people from firearms, but if somebody has a gun on their hip, I mean, it's not necessarily illegal.
Q. Can you please give me a situation in which you personally would take a distraught woman on a voluntary courtesy transport
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when she's carrying a loaded firearm on her -- in her lap in the back of your car?
A. If I knew the loaded firearm was there, I would have probably separated the gun from her.
Q. It's not probably, is it, Chief Chase? You would always separate it; correct?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: He's not Chief Chase.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I'm sorry. Larson. My apologies.
A. I'm hoping that I would separate it, correct, if I knew the firearm was there.
Q. If you had known Mr. Cleavenger had engaged in that conduct, would you have hired him?
A. Possibly. I mean, sometimes things are a training issue.

I don't know what -- when that was in his career. I mean, it's a hypothetical, so --
Q. What sort of training would you give someone to avoid that situation?
A. Well, I would go over what the hazards would be and why we do search people that go in the back of a car; that there are officers that have been killed during prisoner transports, you know. So you would go over all those things.
Q. Would you agree with me that that's kind of a commonsense decision, more of a judgment issue?
A. Yeah. I would hope that he would have the experience to
be able to separate that.
Q. How many calls for service -- actual calls that came in to Junction -- or, excuse me, Coburg, since Mr. Cleavenger was hired, has he gone on?
A. Oh, I have no idea.
Q. Would it be more than 10 ?
A. Yes.
Q. How many, in an average night, do your officers respond to; actual calls for service?
A. Sometimes zero; sometimes seven, eight, nine, ten.
Q. Is it more often than not zero?
A. It's not real call-driven. A lot of it is
officer-initiated stuff in Coburg, which is why it's a small great community.
Q. When you're not on duty, are you generally monitoring the radio, the Oak -- Coburg radio?
A. No. I always have a cell phone. Dispatch has my number memorized, and the other officer -- if I'm not on call, the other officer is either on duty or on call.
Q. Now, you said you reviewed Mr. Cleavenger's written police reports; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Have you ever reviewed his actual video of his encounters with people?
A. We don't have video. We have one officer that has a body
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camera. We just got that in the last couple of months.
Q. Have you ever gone out, just for your own curiosity, to see how your reserves are doing and watch Mr. Cleavenger make a contact with a citizen?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Tell me about that.
A. I can't think of anyone specific, but I watch all the reserves when they're out, so I see what they're doing and make sure they're doing things appropriate. I've never seen anything inappropriate from any of them.
Q. From any of your reserves?
A. Not when I've seen them out working the street, no.
Q. Do you agree with me police work is dangerous?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Would you agree it's a -- it's a dangerous habit to be too trusting in police work?
A. Correct.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger still works for you; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. We talked about the email that you sent to

Alex -- or I think you sent it to Patty Perlow, the assistant district attorney --
A. Correct.
Q. -- regarding the information that had been given to the district attorney from the University of Oregon about

Mr. Cleavenger's credibility. Is that understanding -- your
understanding of why you were responding?
A. I believe so.
Q. When you wrote that response, had you reviewed Mike Morrow's internal affairs investigation at the university?
A. I don't know who that is, so probably not.
Q. Did you speak to anyone at the University of Oregon about why they had issues regarding Mr. Cleavenger's credibility? A. No.
Q. Do you have any knowledge, sitting here today, of what their concerns are regarding his credibility?
A. What I've seen in The Register-Guard.
Q. Other than that?
A. No.
Q. Did you attend any of the chief's meetings around late 2013, 2014 when Alex Gardner or Patty Perlow were there talking about a Brady workgroup?
A. I'm not sure what meeting you're talking about. I attend the quarterly chiefs luncheons that we have, so if they spoke at that, I might have been there. I haven't attended every one of them.

If there was additional training, I don't believe I was there. Q. Before you wrote that email back to Ms. Perlow, Patty Perlow, did you discuss the issue with Mr. Cleavenger?
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A. No.
Q. Did you discuss it with anyone before you responded?
A. Yes. I consulted the Coburg city attorney because I've never dealt with a Brady issue before. I talked to him on, you know, what we needed to do, to make sure that I did it right, and that's who I talked to.
```

MS. COIT: All right. Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: Redirect?

```

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Let me add some more facts to this loaded-gun allegation.

Let's assume that a woman is fleeing a serious domestic violence situation. She fears for her life. My client finds a car with a gentleman in it a couple of blocks away from the same small town of Creswell where she lives. She's at the police station, she has a concealed weapons permit, and my client asks his direct supervisor if he should give her a safety escort, and the supervisor gives him permission.

Now, if all of that were true, what do you think about that situation?
A. I would give the transport. I guess it would kind of depend on her demeanor. I mean, normally you do still want to separate. You could put her purse in the trunk. It doesn't mean you necessarily have to. If they have an actual permit to
carry concealed, then there's no violation of law to really force that to happen.
Q. You did receive information from the district attorney in regards to the Brady materials submitted on my client; correct? A. I did.
Q. Okay. And you reviewed that stuff before you responded to the DA to give your opinion about my client's truthfulness; right?
A. Correct. I did.
Q. This concept of my client leaving the federal job, do you know if he's a salaried employee there?
A. I have no idea.
Q. The psychological test ing that you do, same test that Lake

Oswego Police Department uses, isn't it?
A. That, I'm not sure.
Q. Multiple choice portion of it is?
A. It could be. It looks similar, from what I've seen in the past.
Q. Who conducts the background check of my client at your department? My client doesn't have any part of that process, does he?
A. No, he does not. So if we have an application for a reserve or another city employee, if the City is going to hire somebody, I go to my secretary who runs the law enforcement check with the LEDS, Law Enforcement Data Systems check, and
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the NCIC, which is the national criminal database, and of course run the DMV record, to see what type of applicant we have and if there's any criminal history.
Q. Now, my client went through an additional FTEP program through your department where he had to ride along and shadow officers too, right, before he became a solo?
A. He had already completed his full training manual at Junction City, so he already knew the radio codes and all those because they're the same for Junction City as Coburg because they dispatch for us, so it was a short period of time. I don't remember exactly what it was, where he was kind of in the shadow phase. We watched him perform. He seemed to perform adequate. So then he was moved to the solo status for maybe six, eight months a year. I don't remember.
Q. Throughout all your years working with my client, have you ever felt that he was severely psychologically unstable?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Counsel asked you about being with my client during
particular actions. Do you remember a vehicle pursuit that you were with him on?
A. I do.
Q. Tell us about it.
A. James was out on patrol. We were doing traffic
saturations, so I had four, five police officers out doing traffic enforcement. James was on a traffic stop on the
interstate. I was actually in the office. Me and another reserve officer, and Officer Lee was out. I think it was actually on the beltline of Coburg Road, which is Eugene. He had made a stop. And to turn around in Coburg, sometimes you have to go four, five miles out of your way to come back.

So Officer Lee was on his way back. We knew that James was on the traffic stop. I heard him say that he was in pursuit. So the pursuit was southbound on I-5. So of course I run out and get in my car, me and the other officer.
Officer Lee made it back around to the freeway, and the pursuit ended up going southbound on the interstate, going through Eugene, Springfield, south; took the exit right there at -- the Highway 58 exit at Goshen, went through Goshen -- a little town -- immediately went left on 99 south, and then took another left right at the edge of the city limits of Goshen, which is smaller than Coburg, and the guy ended up actually driving through his house.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thank you, sir. THE COURT: Recross.

\section*{RECROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Chief Larson, your background investigation, it includes a personal history application that asks for any criminal history; correct?

\section*{Larson - ReX}
A. Yeah. I think that's on the standard form that we have.
Q. Now, if Mr. Cleavenger filled it out and failed to reveal his criminal history background --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
This is totally irrelevant, and we've already dealt with all -anything in relation to this.

THE COURT: Can you repeat the question?
MS. COIT: I'm asking him if the background investigation asked Mr. Cleavenger to reveal any past criminal history, and he said it does.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, may I have a sidebar on this?

THE COURT: No. I'm going to excuse the jury for just a moment. My apologies for taking such an early recess, but let me speak to both counsel for just a moment.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: I'll ask the chief to wait outside for just a moment, just in terms of the integrity of the testimony, so you're not subject to a conversation I have with both counsel.

Thank you, sir.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
(Witness not present.)
THE COURT: My memory from the in limine motions was that there was an incident at the University of Oregon and a

\section*{charge of --}

MR. JASON KAFOURY: It was a --
THE COURT: Don't interrupt me. Sit down, Counsel.
-- a minor charge of interference of some type. I want you to correct me and refresh my recollection. I believe my ruling was that was not to come into evidence.

My impression is you're going around my ruling without notifying me. So if this is the surprise cross-examination, that potentially would be in contravention of my ruling, and the Court should be placed on notice.

I'll turn the lecturn over to you for a moment for an explanation.

MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor. The criminal arrest was while he was in college in Chicago.

THE COURT: In Chicago.
MS. COIT: In Chicago. It showed up on the background in the University of Oregon's background check. There were discussions with the police in Chicago during that background check, and it was confirmed that Mr. Cleavenger was throwing beer bottles at police officers. He was arrested for -- I would have to look back. I believe it was criminal mischief; perhaps an assault.

The bad acts ruling that was brought up in the motions in limine, you did agree that this was not to come in unless the door was opened.
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THE COURT: I didn't agree. I made a ruling.
MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor. I'm sorry. Unless the door was opened. It was not --

THE COURT: Counsel, please sit down. It's
distracting. I'm tired of plaintiff's counsel moving around the courtroom.

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Sorry.
THE COURT: That will be the last caution.
MS. COIT: Mr. Kafoury asked Chief Larson in detail
about the background investigation they did on Mr. Cleavenger to confirm that it was a thorough background and there was nothing in it that would prevent him from hiring him as an officer. He opened the door for me to ask about the background, and I did. And when I did that I asked if it revealed a criminal arrest.

THE COURT: Does the NCIC or NCIS -- NCIC reveal this criminal offense?

MS. COIT: That's how it --
THE COURT: Yes or no?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: And, yet, the University of Oregon hired
Mr. Cleavenger anyway; is that correct?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: And, therefore, the University of Oregon was aware of this background and hired him.

MS. COIT: Yes. May I speak?
THE COURT: Not yet.
So therefore you believe because plaintiff's counsel asked about a thorough background check that, without consulting the Court, that gave you carte blanche to go into this area which is contrary to my in limine ruling; is that correct?

MS. COIT: No, Your Honor. I was not --
THE COURT: Explain yourself then.
MS. COIT: I was not going to and had no intention of
asking -- bringing up the facts of the arrest.
THE COURT: Just a moment. I think the inference is, from your questions -- although there's not going to be evidence, unless I change my limine motion. The inference is that there must be something in his background that the University of Oregon didn't pick up, and that leaves you both in this tactical and uneasy position.

If I don't allow this incident in, it makes the defense look like this is unproven, and I would expect plaintiff's counsel to ask the Court to argue that in front the jury.

If I do allow it in, it's something that the University of Oregon was aware of and I've already made a ruling that this is not appropriate and so the ground rules have changed for each counsel in their presentation, without the courtesy of asking this Court, and I think that you should be the one who should have approached the Court for a sidebar. I don't think it
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behooves plaintiff's counsel to have done that.
Now, how are we going to rectify this? Because now we're getting into the fact that if I let this in, it changes what I think was an appropriate ruling, but of course the Court doesn't know all the facts in an in limine motion. I want to be the first to say to you that courts don't know the case as well as the parties do until we get into this, so things do change. We can be right or wrong on an in limine motions. But what we can't have is a discourtesy of an assumption on your part that you can just delve into that and then politely argue to the Court that the door has been opened.

MS. COIT: My apologies, Your Honor.
THE COURT: It's not enough.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: No. I'm going to take a recess for a
moment. I never make decisions hastily, so, Counsel, five minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Back on the record. All counsel are present. The parties are present.

I see this as a collateral issue. Have a seat, Counsel.
It was my understanding at the time of the in limine motion that Cleavenger had disclosed this to the University of Oregon, and I'll let you correct this record in just a moment.

Having done so, you -- the import of the question that
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that in this collateral matter of his opinion about the character or truthfulness is not appropriate to bring in a rock-throwing or bottle-throwing incident in Chicago earlier, apparently when he was a student.

So I'm going to preclude this at this time. Now, if you're going to raise this again -- and I'm not closing that door completely -- I want notice of that. Understood? MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I have two brief matters. I
think we need to have a clear record on this.
There was no conviction. There was no criminal charges involved in this incident.

THE COURT: There was no conviction?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No conviction.
THE COURT: No criminal charges?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No criminal charges, and he did
disclose it to Coburg, and he did disclose it to Junction City. He disclosed it to everybody he has ever worked for. So this idea that he's hiding the ball or is untruthful has now been put before the jury and now it's going to be as if it's just hanging there if we just leave it. And defense counsel did this.

THE COURT: I expect the jury to follow the Court's instructions, and that is that it's not a piece of evidence,
frankly. There's no answer to it right now.
Now, if you want to, it leaves you tactically also in a favorable position. Your client can bring that up when he testifies. He can drive right at the inference of how silly this is from his perspective.

Once again, I don't see the prejudicial effect to the plaintiff at this time. You have all the leverage in terms of leaving it or following it, but the jury doesn't have an answer to this. It doesn't allow the defendant to argue that he has any prior conviction. Apparently they tried to raise the inference, and I agree with you. The inference is out there. We don't judge cases by inference. We judge them by evidence.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I would also like to put on the record, as one of my motions in limine, there would be no reference to other parties that were part of this lawsuit previously, which you have ruled out, and defense counsel, in open court, asked, "Didn't he sue -- hadn't he already filed a lawsuit against the University of Oregon back when you hired him?" Which is, A, untrue. He didn't file the lawsuit for six months; and, \(B\), that was explicitly not supposed to be part of this case, and she violated two motions in limine in the first hour. And I think that she should be cited before the jury for prejudicial misconduct.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Counsel.
Counsel, your response, so we have a complete record?
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MS. COIT: First off, Your Honor, I sincerely apologize for not taking this up with the Court before I started my cross-examination of Chief Larson. That will never happen again, and I sincerely apologize.
I -- I did misspeak when I said, "Were you" -- in response to the allegation that the University of Oregon intentionally failed to respond to the chief when he reached out for background information from the university; the point of my question was they were in ongoing -- "litigation" is what I thought at the time, but it was arbitration, and I did misspeak and say "University of Oregon -- had sued the University of Oregon," and, again, unintentional, and I am extremely sorry for that.

THE COURT: Let's go on with this lawsuit now. Get the jury.

Would you ask the chief to come back in, please. Thank you.

Counsel, you have the opportunity to clear that up on the record also. In other words, you leave us in a tenuous position. I haven't heard a request for a mistrial yet. I don't know if counsel can absorb that. I don't know what the financial arrangements are. I don't know if Mr. Cleavenger wants that.

Are you requesting that at this time, Counsel? Do you want to start over?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: You know, on our -THE COURT: Counsel, consult with your --
(Jury present.)
Hold up the jury for one -- Christy, my apologies. Hold up the jury for one more moment.

Ladies and gentlemen, just stand in the hallway for one more moment.

> (Jury not present.)

Find out what your position is so this isn't a later request.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I think the appropriate remedy at this point --

THE COURT: Not the remedy. Are you requesting a mistrial or not?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. I think we should have a curative instruction.

THE COURT: What would that instruction be?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Something to the effect of:
Ladies and gentlemen, there was a very minor incident back in 2000, in Chicago, when my client was an undergraduate student, that did not result in any criminal charges. University of Oregon Police Department was aware of this incident when they hired him, and plaintiff has disclosed this matter to every background investigator he's had. I had previously ruled it was totally irrelevant to this matter and prohibited defense

\section*{Larson - ReX}
counsel from mentioning it in any other fashion.
THE COURT: I want you to stop there. I'm not going
to chastise you in front of the jury as counsel.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: I'm not going to chastise opposing counsel for asking that question in front of the jury. You're not litigants, you're not parties to this incident, and I think that's prejudicial on the Court's part.

But the two of you could enter into that initially, or you can simply say that you misspoke. But there is a curative way, I think, that might be appropriate at this point. So read the first part of that again.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: There was a very minor incident
back in 2000, in Chicago, when plaintiff was an undergraduate student, that did not result in any criminal charges. University of Oregon Police Department was aware of this incident when they hired him and plaintiff has disclosed this matter in every background investigation he's had.

THE COURT: Now stop right there. The chief's testimony was that this -- he doesn't recall this being disclosed or at least the inference was. Do you recall this being disclosed, Chief; some incident in Chicago?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: It's been a couple years.

THE COURT: And I haven't heard from your client yet, you know, testifying to that. You two talk for a moment. Let's see if we can cure this with a stipulation that isn't harmful to either one of you but it's fair to the parties in this.

Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We're going to work on a joint statement to cure both issues.

THE COURT: Work on it now while it's fresh in the jurors' minds.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Counsel is going to draft the first draft.

THE COURT: Christy, ask the jury to be seated again in the jury room. Tell them to enjoy a cup of coffee or something. We'll be back with them. My apologies.

I'll let you two try to cure it first, if you can, before the Court steps in. See if you can come up with a resolution. If not, I'm certainly capable of it.

Counsel, your thoughts?
MS. COIT: Your Honor, my agreed-upon proposal would
be, when the jury comes back in, I speak to Chief Larson and say, "I want to apologize if I misspoke earlier when I implied that Mr. Cleavenger had a prior criminal conviction and that he had sued the University of Oregon," and not ask him any more questions, and leave it at that.
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THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I think it -- they should be two
separate statements. I misspoke about this, and I also misspoke about this.

THE COURT: Well, you two talk again.
Try, if you can, between the two of you, before the Court steps in. Once I make a ruling, that's final. I won't reverse myself or change my opinion, so I'm giving you every opportunity to sort this out.
```

                                    (Pause-in-proceedings.)
    ```

THE COURT: Counsel, have you reached a resolution or not?

MS. COIT: Yes. Would you like me to read it to you, what I'm going to say?

THE COURT: No, I always trust my counsel. The glass is always half full. Did you reach a resolution?

MS. COIT: I'm glad to still hear that.
THE COURT: Okay. It's no harm, no foul, in a sense, but just don't go around my in limine motions without informing me. You don't understand because you're not a part of my world. Time means nothing. We'll spend until 11:00 together if we need to. That's why we're having all the evidence given to me the night before, with the exception of today, because I wanted you fresh

So if you're going to change on these in limine rulings,

I'm happy to reconsider them. It doesn't mean a court is always right. Isn't that refreshing? We're wrong oftentimes. Now, I'll look at that again and again. But what I don't want is I don't want a surprise between the two of you where we get into this kind of situation, okay?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: It's embarrassing to you. You have to
backtrack in a sense. I don't think it's going to be prejudicial. I think the jury is going to pass over it. But it also protects the plaintiff.

And, quite frankly, if you would have requested a mistrial, I don't know what I would have done.

Now, I personally enjoy Oregon, but I also have four other cases back in California, so I don't know if I want to spend the rest of my natural life here. But if I see prejudice here, I will. Understood by both of you? We'll start over again.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I don't know if you can afford it. Your client will enjoy spending the next year with me.

MR. CLEAVENGER: I've enjoyed it so far, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may not. You're going to find out.
And I don't know if you gentlemen or the chief would enjoy spending the rest of your natural life with me, but we'll start over again until I think it's fair. Understood? Because when I drive home at night, regardless of what you think the legal
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standard is, I have to ask myself every day, "Was that a fair trial?" Because I can beat up on both of you, but was that a fair trial?

All right. Let's get the jury back in. Christy, thank you.

I'll apologize. I'll take the blame on the Court's part for them being inconvenienced. Not on yours. That protects each of you if the Court states it was my issue, not a counsel issue.
(Jury present.)
THE COURT: We're back in session. All parties are present. Have a seat, Counsel, and parties

First of all, we just wanted to give you some exercise this morning of getting you up and down. I'm just kidding you. Whatever has occurred was the Court's responsibility. Okay? I needed to sort a few things out with counsel. So we've resolved those issues, and we can continue on.

Counsel, your additional cross-examination, please.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Chief Larson, earlier I believe I misspoke with you when I implied that Mr. Cleavenger had a criminal conviction, and I also believe I misspoke when I said the University of Oregon had been sued by Mr. Cleavenger, and my apologies for that. A. Okay.

MS. COIT: Thank you for your testimony today.

THE COURT: Redirect? Strike that. That was recross, Counsel, and --

MS. COIT: Yes.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Witness is excused.
THE COURT: May the chief be excused?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Chief, thank you very much for your courtesy.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Counsel, your next witness, please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Brian Paterson, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, sir. Be kind enough to raise your right hand, please.

BRIAN PATERSON,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Be kind enough to be seated in the witness box. The entrance is closest to the wall. There's a series of steps. If you would be seated, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Move the chair as close as possible to
the microphone so we can hear you. Face the jury, state your full name, and spell your last name, sir.

THE WITNESS: My name is Brian Paterson,
P-A-T-E-R-S-O-N.
THE COURT: Thank you. Direct examination by
plaintiff's counsel.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Jason Kafoury for the plaintiff. Please address the jurors, even though I'm talking to you from over here.
A. Certainly.
Q. Can you just give us a brief background on yourself, where you're from and your educational background?
A. Where I'm from originally?
Q. Sure.
A. Well, I was born in San Francisco and grew up there. I have lived in Oregon since 2001. I have been a police officer in Oregon since 2002. First, at the City of Oakridge, and I've been a police officer with the City of Junction City since June of 2008. I'm assigned to patrol and have certain other specialized duties as well.
Q. What other duties do you have there at the department?
A. I'm a field training officer; meaning I'm certified to train new police officers once they've completed the academy
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Q. Were you there, working at Junction City, during 2010 to

2013 when my client was there?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Prior to hiring my client, did Junction City do a background investigation on my client?
A. I've had no direct involvement in that, but it's routine that everyone would be backgrounded, yes.
Q. If they don't pass the background, they don't get hired; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know of any discipline or suspensions that my
client has ever had while at Junction City Police Department?
A. No.
Q. And if there was such discipline or suspension, that would be in his personnel file, wouldn't it?
A. It should be.
Q. Talk a little bit about my client as an officer. From
your experiences, did he have good judgment?
A. Yes. Absolutely.
Q. How did he deal with critique and feedback? What was your memory?
A. There was -- there was actually very little sort of critique or anything that I -- I remember having to give James, but he was always very personable and very positive. I -there -- I don't recall any issues around that at all.
Q. Did he act like he was smarter than everybody else?
A. Not in my opinion, no.
Q. Ever feel unsafe working around my client?
A. No, no.
Q. Ever have any actions which made you question my client's
truthfulness?
A. No.
Q. Did my client do activities there at Junction City above and beyond what other reserves did?
A. Yes. Routinely.
Q. Tell us about them. What kind of things?
A. Well, some of it just due to his -- his educational
background and qualifications, he was able -- because of his legal education, he was able to do a lot more advanced work, as far as helping out with search warrants or, you know, kind of legal -- technical legal processes than a lot of other officers would be able to. But, just in general, his attitude was always one of enthusiasm. He was always there and always ready to do things.

I remember a few winters ago -- I live down a private road that doesn't get plowed, and we had had a heavy snowfall and a number of trees had come down. I wasn't going to be able to make it to work, and so James got permission, got a patrol car, and actually drove to my house to pick me up. I had to hike out about a half mile over fallen trees and through snow. And
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when I got there, James had driven in as far as he could drive and then had to wait for me to hike out. And while he was waiting, there he was, helping people who had the chainsaws and were clearing the road.

So he was just always that type of guy; just always ready
to -- always ready to do something extra and always
enthusiastic.
Q. While on duty, working with my client, did he ever do anything unprofessional, in your opinion?
A. Not that I saw, no.
Q. There were a couple of witnesses, defense witnesses, that testified that my client came up behind a group of women while on duty, in full uniform, and made pelvic thrusts towards them. Have you seen him do anything like that?
A. No. Absolutely not.
Q. Is that consistent at all with the person you have known?
A. No. The exact opposite.
Q. Let's talk about how my client ended his tenure there at Junction City. Did he resign or was he terminated?
A. My understanding is he resigned.
Q. Do you remember the moment that he came in to the station when he resigned?
A. I do, actually. I happened to be on duty and he came in and said that he was --

MS. COIT: Object to the hearsay.

THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I -- I think it's -- it goes to
his state of mind at that time.
THE COURT: Overruled.
You can answer the question.
THE WITNESS: James came in and told me that he was resigning and I remember that the chief, Mark Chase, actually walked into the patrol room at that time and walked up to James and said something similar to, "Oh, so you've decided to resign then. Well, I thank you for your service."

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Was it your understanding he had to resign because of what happened at the University of Oregon?
A. No. It was my understanding it was his decision.
Q. Were you upset when he resigned and ended up transferring over to Coburg?
A. I was.
Q. Was it your decision -- back then, back in 2013, if you had had the ability to hire and fire, would you have hired him as a full-time officer?
A. Absolutely.
Q. I'll quickly show you a document. I've showed it to some
other Junction City officers. This is Exhibit 93. Do you
recall -- the back page has signatures on it.
A. Uh-huh.
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Q. Do you recall signing this document?
A. I do.
Q. Did you read it?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you agree with everything in there?
A. I did.
Q. Do you know one way or the other whether this document was ever sent to the University of Oregon?
A. I have no idea. I wasn't handling it. I just signed it and handed it back.
Q. In 2012 did my client put in a lot of hours there at Junction City?
A. I believe that he did. He always seemed to put in a lot of hours.
Q. In fact, he worked 830 hours in 2012?
A. That wouldn't surprise me.
Q. 540 of them volunteering; 290 paid? THE COURT: Counsel, are you testifying or is he? MR. JASON KAFOURY: I can refresh his memory. THE COURT: That's leading, Counsel.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you know how many hours he worked volunteer versus paid?
A. My recollection is that volunteer -- I believe it was 500
something. I don't remember paid.
Q. I'll show you this document. Who's Brandy Smith?
A. She's a dispatcher.
Q. Can you review that and the second page there? Without
getting into the contents of it, does this document help
refresh your memory about the number of hours that he worked
paid, in addition to the volunteer hours?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. How many hours did he work paid?
A. 289 and a half.
Q. Let's talk about driving solo at Junction City. Back in
2010 to 2013, were reserve officers allowed to drive reserve
cars alone by themselves if they had permission from the watch
commander?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever see my client driving a car solo without
permission from a watch commander?
A. Not that I could recall, no.
Q. Let's talk about search warrants briefly. Does a search
warrant have to be approved and signed by a judge?
A. It does.
Q. And if the search warrant is signed by a judge, is that
certifying there's probable cause?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you remember my client helping write search warrants in
cases when he worked on things? cases when he worked on things?
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A. Yes, I do.
Q. Is that a normal practice; officers get together and work together to prepare search warrants?
A. Sure. Whoever happens to have the expertise in that particular area, they'll sort of help each other out, depending on the nature of the warrant and who's writing it and so forth.
Q. What's Brady listing?
A. Brady listing is an assignment given to an officer if he
or she has been found to be untruthful and prevents them from being able to testify in court.
Q. How long have you known about this Brady listing?
A. I -- I don't remember exactly when I first heard of it.
Q. A long time?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think being Brady-listed would affect my client's chances of being hired full time as a paid officer?
A. It would pretty much eliminate them.
Q. What is the police officer's code of silence? Have you heard the term?
A. Yeah. I guess in popular culture, sure.
Q. Is it rare for police officers to break that code and speak out?
A. That's -- I guess I would -- I would have to know what sort of definition of a code of silence you're looking at. As far as being some sort of cloaked -- you know, cloaked the
```

chamber of secrecy or carte blanche to commit illegal
activities or something, no, absolutely.
Q. I'll ask it differently.
A. Okay.
Q. Generally, within law enforcement, if you stand up to your
superiors and challenge them, does it make it more difficult
when you attempt to leave to go to a different department to
get rehired?
MS. COIT: Object to the foundation and relevance.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Counsel, you can get into Brady listing certainly.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Right.
THE COURT: Too broad.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. At Junction City, if a group of officers during shift
briefings were spending hours putting people on a list they
didn't like, calling it a bowl of dicks list, what do you think
would happen at Junction City?
A. Well, I think it would be -- I mean, it -- it would be an
issue that would be dealt with through the chain of command. I
mean, it's -- it's problematic for a number of points of view.
I mean, one, just, you know, wasting of time on something
unproductive; but, furthermore, if you're building a list that
could be potentially damaging and serves no official purpose,

```
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it's problematic on a couple of fronts.
Q. Do you remember the time period in 2011 when Junction City updated their policies in relation to recording people?
A. I don't specifically recall that update. We're getting so many updates all the time.
Q. It was an evolving technology over the years, right; the recording systems?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to show you Exhibit 150, pages 19, 20. See if you recognize this document.
A. Yes. It appears to be a department directive from February of 2011.
Q. Okay. Turn to page 2. Can you -- can you read for us the -- that sentence there?
A. All field contacts involving actual or potential criminal conduct within video or audio range, which includes: One, vehicular pursuits; two, traffic stops; three, transports; four, DUII investigations, including field sobriety tests; B, any other contact that becomes adversarial after the initial contact in a situation that would not otherwise require recording; or, C, any -- any other circumstances where the officer believes that a recording of an incident would be appropriate.
Q. Okay. Now, was it your understanding at the time, back in 2011 and 2012, of what the policy was at Junction City in
relation to when the recording devices needed to be activated? A. Yes.
Q. It involved all field contacts involving actual or
potential criminal contact; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Violations are not criminal conduct; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. I want to talk -- Chief Chase, in your department, is coming in to testify next week, and I want to talk about that for a couple of minutes.

Chief Chase never directly supervised my client, did he?
A. That wouldn't have been the chain of command. If he ever gave him a direct order or something, that would be conceivable; but he wouldn't have been James's direct supervisor, no.
Q. He never would have seen him out in the field?

MS. COIT: Object to the foundation.
THE WITNESS: It's possible.
THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer that question. THE WITNESS: It's certainly possible that he could have seen him. If he happened to be driving around and James was doing a traffic stop or something.

THE COURT: Will Chief Chase be testifying, Counsel? MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor.
\(\qquad\)
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. But, generally, supervision of reserve officers is done by sergeants; right?
A. Yes. Or the senior officer on duty.
Q. So, in terms of members of the Junction City Police Department, Mark Chase, as the chief, probably would not be the most qualified to assess my client's work out in the field in comparison to his supervisors. Do you agree with that?
A. I would say that's a fair statement, yes.
Q. I'm not going to ask you any details about this, but is it true that Junction City Police Department officers, as the union president, you received a lot of complaints about Chief Chase?
A. I did, yes.
Q. Did you form an opinion about whether Chief Chase is a truthful person?
A. I did, yes.
Q. And what is that opinion, sir?
A. I believe that, in general, he's not.
Q. Is the Junction City -- it was Junction City Police

Department employees who prompted an outside investigation ?
MS. COIT: Object to the testimony of counsel.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. How did it come about that Chief Chase went on a six-month

\section*{leave of absence?}
A. I believe it was closer to seven months, but it was after numerous complaints to the city administrator, his supervisor. Q. Has anyone in the department ever seen any reports from the investigation done on Chief Chase?
A. Not from the outside investigation, no.
Q. Have -- has the union requested that?
A. We have.
Q. Has the news media requested it?
A. I believe they have, yes.
Q. And the Lane County district attorney has refused to
authorize its release; isn't that accurate?
A. I'm not sure where it stopped up at, but I know we didn't see it.
Q. Were you surprised that Chief Chase was allowed to return to the job this year?
A. I was, yes.
Q. How has the department morale been since he's come back?
A. It has continued to decline.
Q. Is it your understanding that Chief Chase -- well, what are Chief Chase's authorities now to discipline; hire and fire?
A. I believe what they've always been. I think there was a brief curbing of those or modifying of those, but I believe he's back to his full authority at this point.
Q. Did city council members voice disapproval of Chief Chase ?
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A. Yes. A number of them have directly to me.
Q. And has Chief Chase filed a lawsuit against the City of Junction City?
A. He has.
Q. Testifying in this court, do you fear any retaliation by your chief?
A. Absolutely, yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have, sir.
THE COURT: Cross-examination, please.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Sergeant Paterson?
A. Officer.
Q. Officer Paterson, you're the union president; is that right?
A. I am.
Q. How long have you been in that position?
A. Since last year.
Q. You said as that -- as the union president you have received a number of complaints from officers about Chief Chase.
A. I have, yes.
Q. How many of those complaints came from Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I -- the union doesn't represent the reserve officers, so
this is only the full-time officers.
Q. Are you aware of any complaints Mr. Cleavenger has made against Chief Chase?
A. In -- in general. I don't know if it's specific formal
complaints. I mean, he may have, but they wouldn't have gone through the union.
Q. But just in general -- a yes-or-no question -- are you
aware of any complaints he's made against Mr. Cleavenger -- or, excuse me, Chief Chase?
A. When you say "complaints," you just mean his own opinion or -- I mean, just voicing to other people or actually something that was filed?
Q. Something more formal than just voicing his opinion.
A. I believe that he has, yes.
Q. Now you spoke about the background check that is done for reserves. Are you aware of the contents of those background checks? I mean, are they more or less extensive than the background checks done for full-time officers?
A. I never directly participated in those, but I believe they do basically the same background they would do for any officer. They are usually done by an outside investigator.
Q. Okay. Now, when Mr. Cleavenger resigned from Junction City, do you remember the time of day it was that he came in to resign?
A. I do not.

\section*{Q. What shift do you work?}
A. Right now I work swing shifts. 5:00 p.m. to 3:00 a.m.
Q. What shift did you work in 2013? March.
A. It changes every quarter throughout the years, so I -- I would have to look back. I don't recall. I would have to look back.
Q. When Mr. Cleavenger came in to resign, did you speak with him personally?
A. I did.
Q. Did you ask him why he was resigning?
A. You know, I don't -- I don't specifically recall. I -it -- my recollection is that it was more of -- I was just telling him how -- that I was disappointed that it had come to that. I don't recall if we went over the specifics at that time.
Q. Was there a Sergeant Salsbury at Junction City at that time?
A. There was.
Q. Was he the sergeant over the reserves?
A. I believe it would have been in his purview at that time,
yes.
Q. Did you ever have a conversation with Sergeant Salsbury regarding him looking into whether or not Mr. Cleavenger had acted outside of his authority as a Junction City reserve officer?
```

A. Yes.
Q. And what was that conversation?
A. It was -- it was fairly cursory and Sergeant Salsbury did
not want to, you know, divulge details of something he had
done, but my recollection is that the way he summed it up to me
was, quote, "It's complete bullshit," closed quote.
Q. Did you get from that conversation that Sergeant Salsbury
had looked into a traffic stop Mr. Cleavenger had made?
A. Again, he didn't share the investigation itself with me.
Q. Now, you said that Chief Chase made a statement when
Mr. Cleavenger was resigning. Can you tell me again what that
statement was, as you recall it?
A. As best I could recall, he walked in and just walked over
to James and said something like: So you've decided -- you've
decided to resign, have you? Well, you know, thank you for
your service here. "Best of luck" type of thing. It was
fairly cursory.
Q. Chief Chase -- to you, did Chief Chase seem surprised by
that decision?
A. No.
Q. Now, counsel showed you Exhibit 93, which was a letter you
signed.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you write that letter?
A. I did not.

```
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Q. Do you know who wrote that letter?
A. I believe -- I believe James did. I don't -- I don't recall specifically.
Q. James Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he ask you to sign it?
A. He presented it to me and asked me if I was comfortable signing it, and I told him that I was.
Q. Now, you talked about solos at Junction City. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Solo reserves?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Cleavenger was ever given permission to patrol and take action as a solo reserve? Do you have that personal knowledge?
A. Well, it's not so much a bright-line rule as it might sound. I mean, there's some reserves who absolutely they're solo. There are other reserves who typically are not but under certain circumstances they do go out by themselves and do certain functions or they're out by themselves in a car. So in that case they are sort of de facto solo. Whether or not you would, you know, classify them as such -- I don't remember specifically if he was designated as, you know, a solo officer or not, but it would not be unusual to see him perform a number
of duties by himself, as it's not unusual to see most of the reserve officers do certain duties by themselves.
Q. You talked about Mr. Cleavenger working -- well, you -your memory was refreshed by a document that said
Mr. Cleavenger had worked 289.5 paid hours. Do you recall
that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall over what period of time those hours stretched?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know how long Mr. Cleavenger worked for Junction City?
A. I -- I can't remember the exact start and stop date sitting here, no.
Q. Do you know if Mr. Cleavenger, while he worked at Junction City, had some sort of expertise in writing search warrants?
A. I know he has legal training, which, to me, that would be pretty much one in the same. I don't know that he had ever had a search warrant writing class.
Q. So his expertise would have been developed from law school. Is that your understanding?
A. I would think at least the foundation of it, yes.
Q. Okay. And how often did you actually supervise or observe Mr. Cleavenger in the field partaking in contact with a citizen?
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\section*{A. Well, pretty regularly. He was out -- he was out a lot,} and I can't tell you exactly how many hours our shifts coincided, but there were numerous times I was out in the field with him or covered him on a stop, or something, and saw him do some other action, and I -- I was out there with him whether or not I was directly supervising him.
Q. When Mr. Cleavenger was working as a reserve for Junction City, he was an armed officer; right? He had a gun?
A. Yes. Just uniform and a gun. Indistinguishable from any other police officer.

MS. COIT: Thank you, sir.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. I want to clarify what hours my client worked paid and what the time period was. Reviewing quickly all of these two pages, does that help indicate for you what time period those hours were compiled?
A. It looks like -- well, the documents that -- that comprise
them stretch from April to December.
Q. 2012?
A. Of 2012, yes.
```

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Recross?
MS. COIT: Nothing.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused, Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Your next witness, please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I believe we're calling Sergeant
Cameron to the stand.
THE COURT: Sergeant Cameron, raise your right hand
and take the stand.
SCOTT CAMERON,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Sergeant Cameron, enter the witness box
that's just to my right, closest to the wall.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Face the jury and state your name and
spell your last name.
THE WITNESS: Scott Michael Cameron, C-A-M-E-R-O-N.
THE COURT: Direct examination, please.

```
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DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good morning, Sergeant Cameron. When did you start working for the \(U\) of \(O\) ?
A. 1999.
Q. And what was your capacity?
A. Public safety officer.
Q. And did you progress into other roles?
A. Yes.
Q. What were they?
A. Corporal and sergeant.
Q. And when did you become a sergeant?
A. I'm going to say 2011. Maybe '13.
Q. You're now a police officer?
A. No.
Q. Okay. During your time period at the \(U\) of \(O\) Public Safety

Department, how did it grow?
A. Basically, from a security department to a police department.
Q. Number of employees when you first started there -- how
many -- as opposed to when you left?
A. I couldn't give precise numbers.
Q. \(\quad 30\) to 70 , something around there?
A. I would say 30 staff, when I first started, to probably 70 now.
Q. I want to start with Mr. Cleavenger's Taser speech. Were you at that speech?
A. I believe so.
Q. Did you react when you heard it?
A. In what time frame?
Q. When you were there?
A. Not while I was there, no.
Q. Did it upset you?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you tell people that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what you said?
A. No.
Q. When do you recall that you were at the Taser speech?
A. Whenever it was. I don't know the date off the top of my head.
Q. Do you recall at the time of your deposition you didn't know if you were at the Taser speech?
A. There were lots of Taser speeches. I assume I was at one of them. I know I was at one of them. I don't know if I was at all of them.
Q. When did you connect Mr. Cleavenger coming to the \(U\) of \(O\) to work as a peace officer, public safety officer, with his speech?
A. Sometime after he was hired.
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Q. Before Mr. Cleavenger was even hired, did you go to internal affairs about Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Before he was hired as a public safety officer, yes.
Q. Yes.
A. But he was an auxillary public safety officer in a
parking -- person that receives complaints from parking and determined whether or not they were valid or not. A petitions officer or something of that sort.
Q. What did you go to internal affairs about?
A. His use of the English accent while he was performing duties as an auxillary public safety officer.
Q. So that was fully known prior to him being hired as a public safety officer?
A. I believe so.
Q. Around the time that Mr. Cleavenger was being hired, did you have conversations with people about Mr. Cleavenger's Taser speech?
A. Probably.
Q. Do you recall having conversations with Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. About his Taser speech?
Q. Yes.
A. Probably.
Q. Do you recall that Lieutenant Lebrecht was surprised he was hired?
```

A. No.
Q. What was Lieutenant Lebrecht's response?
A. I don't remember.
Q. Do you recall being baffled that he was hired?
A. No.
Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of your deposition.
A. I'll have to put on my glasses.
Q. Can you go to page 47? And you use the word "baffled" at
line 13.
A. Which side, sir?
Q. Page 47. Sorry. These are mini transcripts. They're
four pages on the page. You have to look at the boxes.
A. I see. I see.
THE COURT: Counsel, just using the world "baffled"
is irrelevant. It's on page 46 as well as 47. Why don't you
read those two pages.
THE WITNESS: Do you want the question first, Your
Honor?
THE COURT: No just read those to yourself.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. You can read whatever you want. I wanted to point
out you used the word. Does reading that refresh your memory
of what you were baffled about?
A. I believe your question was, "Were you baffled that the

```
department hired him?" I was baffled, but I wasn't -- I
wasn't -- yeah, the department hired him. I can see why they would.
Q. And at the time that Cleavenger was hired at the department, was his Taser speech and the fact he was hired a big topic of discussion?
A. I wouldn't say a big topic, no.
Q. Can you take your deposition and look at page 44, line 21? THE COURT: That's the question, Counsel. And you have to read down through the answer, line 23 MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, that's what I said at the time .
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. So you remember at the time of your deposition you said it was a big topic of discussion when Mr. Cleavenger was hired? A. I stand corrected.
Q. Did you pull up old articles about the Taser speech?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Cleavenger that you never liked him and thought he never should have been hired?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Do you remember "I don't know"? Was that possibly your answer at the deposition?
A. Okay.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger ever try to talk to you about his
position on Tasers?
A. There was one brief discussion I brought up.
Q. Was it known to others at the department that you didn't
think that Mr. Cleavenger should have been hired?
A. I don't know.
Q. When you were appointed as a supervisor, did you tell anyone, you know, "This might not be a good idea. I don't think he should have been hired"?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you think it was a conflict of interest to be his supervisor?
A. No.
Q. I want to talk to you about Spencer View for a second. You heard Officer Hermens's testimony; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Two weeks earlier, or so, he had gone to Spencer View and pulled right up, and he had Officer Phillips with him. Officer Hermens said that he had been around the department for years, been out with many other officers, and at the time he pulled straight up to the Spencer View Apartments he didn't think there was anything wrong with that. Do you recall that?
A. I don't recall that in his testimony, no.
Q. Okay. And Phillips was with him; right?
A. I believe so. The video I saw, it looked like

Officer Phillips. I couldn't confirm or deny that.
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Q. Did anyone ever talk to Officer Phillips about that incident?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Why not?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, you say it's an officer safety issue?
A. Sure.
Q. The department was fully aware he was there and participated in it?
A. Okay
Q. And nobody talked to him?
A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q. Did it ever cross your mind, with regard to Spencer View, that, you know, at least three people at the time --
Mr. Cleavenger, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Hermens -- had drove in front of or pulled right up to a place in response to a call; right?
A. At the time I was counseling Officer Cleavenger, I didn't know that.
Q. You learned it later?
A. Sure.
Q. At the time that you learned it, did it cross your mind that maybe the problem was the supervisors weren't doing a good job at training?
A. I don't think that's a fair statement.
Q. I'm asking if it crossed your mind.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
A. I can't say it did. & 1 \\
Q. Did you supervise Hermens? & 2 \\
A. From time to time, yes. & 3 \\
Q. Did you supervise Phillips? & 4 \\
A. From time to time, yes. & 5 \\
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger tell you that he was going to appeal & 6 \\
the letter of reprimand? & 7 \\
A. I believe so. \(\quad 8\) \\
Q. Did you take that as a threat? & 8 \\
A. I did. \(\quad\) What's a threat about it? & 9 \\
Q. \(\quad 10\) \\
A. Well, at the time he said it, it was when I was giving him & 11 \\
his performance appraisal. & 12 \\
Q. Still has every right to appeal it; right? & 13 \\
A. I believe I told him that. "You have every right to & 14 \\
\hline appeal it." & 15 \\
Q. Have you ever said or agreed with the statement that you & 16 \\
can take any video of a public safety officer's response and & 17 \\
find things to critique and find problems with it? & 18 \\
A. I agree with it. I don't know that I ever said it. & 19 \\
Q. Did part of Mr. Cleavenger's job duties include looking up & 20 \\
stuff on the Internet? & 21 \\
A. I believe I asked him to look up stuff on the Internet. & 22 \\
Q. I'm handing you what's marked as Plaintiffs Exhibits 4,5, & 23 \\
and 6. Can you identify them? & 24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. I can't say it did. 1
Q. Did you supervise Hermens?2
A. From time to time, yes. 3
Q. Did you supervise Phillips?
A. From time to time, yes.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger tell you that he was going to appeal
the letter of reprimand?
A. I believe so.
Q. Did you take that as a threat?
A. I did.
Q. What's a threat about it?
A. Well, at the time he said it, it was when I was giving him
his performance appraisal.
Q. Still has every right to appeal it; right?
A. I believe I told him that. "You have every right to
appeal it."
Q. Have you ever said or agreed with the statement that you
can take any video of a public safety officer's response and
find things to critique and find problems with it?
A. I agree with it. I don't know that I ever said it.
Q. Did part of Mr. Cleavenger's job duties include looking up
stuff on the Internet?
A. I believe I asked him to look up stuff on the Internet.
and 6. Can you identify them?
A. They appear to be rough drafts of Mr. Cleavenger's performance appraisal.
Q. Okay.
A. And the final.
Q. All right. What was your role in those drafts?
A. I authored them.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I would offer 4,5, and 6.
THE COURT: Each are received. 4, 5, and 6.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall being questioned about those three drafts at your deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. And was the first draft referred to as the first draft?
A. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Did you ever, at your deposition, point out, "Hey, there's another one of these"?
A. I don't know.
Q. I'll let you look at your deposition.
A. If you could refer me, sir, I would appreciate it.
Q. Certainly. It starts at page 68 and goes on for a couple of pages. In fairness, I want you to take whatever time you want.

THE COURT: Why don't you stand up, ladies and gentlemen, and just stretch.

How much would you like him to read, Counsel?

MR. MCDOUGAL: To his satisfaction. I --
THE COURT: We'll call another witness.
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's only a few pages.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Sorry. I forget what the question was.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Question was did you ever point out that there was yet another draft?
A. I haven't read that part yet.

THE COURT: What page is that on?
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's not in there.
THE COURT: You're asking him to read the deposition.
Call another witness, so we're not wasting time, Counsel.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll go forward.
THE COURT: If it's not in there, he has to read the whole deposition, and I think we've got better things to do. He can sit over in the corner and read.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll skip that point, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You don't have to.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I --
THE COURT: It will be enjoyable. Sergeant Cameron
can read. It's just -- if he's going to get a negative out of that. You can ask him the question certainly.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay.
THE COURT: You can ask him if there's any place he

\section*{Cameron - D}
recalls or if it's in his deposition. He should recall that.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall mentioning this first draft in your deposition?
A. No. I -- I recall many drafts. There's at least three.

There may be more.
Q. There may have been more?
A. I don't know. We spent a lot of time on this performance appraisal.
Q. Let me hand you what I think -- and I'll ask you: Is this actually the very first draft?

THE COURT: What exhibit number, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm sorry. Exhibit 166.
THE COURT: 166. Thank you.
So the question is was Exhibit 166 the first draft; is that correct?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: If you want to bring that up, you're more

\section*{than welcome to.}

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll be here for a second.
THE COURT: Do you have a pen?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Can we see it? Is it legible?
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's a black Sharpie.
THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Well, I can't confirm. It's got an email from me to the front of it -- stapled to the front of it that says it's of my rough draft.
```

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)

```
Q. I'll represent to you it was produced by your counsel.
A. Okay.
Q. Does that help you? Is that your first draft?
A. Okay.
Q. I don't want you to say "okay," because it's pretty important.
A. Well, I can't confirm or deny this is my rough draft. I don't know. It's been so long.

THE COURT: The question isn't "rough draft." The
question is, "Is this your first draft?"
THE WITNESS: It could very well be.
```

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)

```
Q. If there's another one, you don't know where it is?
A. It could be on the computer.
Q. What's the date of that document?
A. Well, there's an email on the front that's dated

January 16, 2015.
Q. January 16th of what year?
A. 2015 .
Q. No, no, no. That's the archive date.
A. Okay.
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Q. You see it says "archive"? What's the actual date of the email?
A. It doesn't say.
Q. I don't want to interfere with your personal space, but do you mind if I walk up?
A. Yeah, if you can show me. Okay. I see. I see.
Q. All right. What's the date?
A. April 1, 2012.
Q. Okay. Could you tell me the dates of the first, second, and third drafts that you have?

THE COURT: And that would be 4,5,6?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Are those in order?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE WITNESS: They were in order, Your Honor, until I
changed them around. Sorry.
THE COURT: Next draft you're looking at would be
Exhibit 4, apparently.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I'm sorry. I want to make sure I get this exactly right.

April 1.
The next date for the first --
A. The one marked "original first draft," sir?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. I don't see a sent date. Just the -- it has a
reporting period from March '11 -- March 2011 to March 2012. Q. So you don't see a date on it. Does the second one have a date on it?
A. It is signed.
Q. Okay. What day is it signed?
A. Not by me.

THE COURT: Just a moment. That would be Exhibit 5;
is that correct?
THE WITNESS: Exhibit 4, Your Honor.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Exhibit 4.
THE COURT: Just a moment. Exhibit 166 you have dated April 1, 2012.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: The next exhibit, Exhibit 4, which apparently we're still on -- is that correct --

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: -- is dated -- was -- the next draft is marked as a first draft, but it's a period of March of 2011 to 2012; is that correct?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Now, are you asking about

\section*{Exhibit 4 now or the next exhibit, Exhibit 5?}

MR. MCDOUGAL: Still Exhibit 4.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I know Exhibit 4 is not dated, but at some point somebody
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signs it. And what date is that?
A. I see what you're asking now, sir. It's 5/31/12.
Q. I'll put it's signed that day so there's no -- and what's the date of the what next one?

THE COURT: That's Exhibit 5, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: That was Exhibit 4.
THE COURT: I know that was Exhibit 4. When you say
"the next one," is it something inside the document or is it the next exhibit?

MR. MCDOUGAL: The next exhibit. I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Exhibit 5; is that correct?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Correct.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Okay.
A. It's not signed and there's no date on the back.
Q. Okay. What about Exhibit 6?
A. It's signed by me, but there's no date. However, the other signatures have a date of \(8 / 3 / 12\).
Q. I'll put "signed" there, as opposed to the date it was written.

Now, if you could, would you look at the original April 1, 2012, sent date evaluation?

THE COURT: That would be Exhibit 166?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. I move to offer Exhibit 166, 4,
```

5, and 6. I don't think I've done 166.
THE COURT: Received. 166,4,5, and 6 are received.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you publish 166?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. My question for you is on 166 how many categories is
Mr. Cleavenger ranked competent or higher?
A. Well, some of them don't have a competent.
Q. If they don't, you can skip it.
A. Okay. Well, let me go back and restart.
Q. If you could just say the name of the category and the
competency rating or higher.
A. Sure. Conduct patrol of campus: Competent performance.
Responds to calls of service: Competent performance. Writes
standardized reports: Competent performance. Building,
security, and parking: Performance is highly competent.
Training, court, and other duties assigned: Competent
performance.
And I believe that's all in the "competent performance."
There are some other ones that ask questions but not in
the form of competent performance.
Q. Now, how did he score in the other areas?
THE COURT: Part 2. Behavioral factors, Counsel?
Part 2?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Behavioral factors, attendance, arrives

```
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on time, and begins work promptly.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Highest rating possible?
A. Sure.

Dependability: Needs frequent supervision. Customer service: Positive and supportive of department missions.
Q. Okay.
A. Product -- productivity: Consider quality, knows status of tasks. Cooperation: Maintains courteous and cooperative relationships with supervisors and co-workers. Safety consider: Works or displays behavior that is not compliant with federal, state, university, or department safety rules. I believe that's it, sir.
Q. Now, let's go to the last one. How is he -- and before I ask you that, do you know of any other person where it took from April to August -- I mean, normally these things are just done. There's a draft and it's over; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Here we've got four drafts that we know of and it takes
from April to August.
A. That was at Mr. Cleavenger's insistence.
Q. That it take to August?
A. Not that it take to August; that there be more than one draft.
Q. Just to be clear, this appraisal, all the drafts are only
concerned with the same time period?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Final draft. Can you just go through the topics and how he was rated?
A. Conduct patrol of --

THE COURT: Hold on. Let's be certain of the exhibit number now. This is confusing. The final draft. You're referring to Exhibit No. 6?

MR. MCDOUGAL: There's some confusion, Your Honor .
One second. Let me clarify something.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I'm sorry. I would like you to look at the May 31st draft.

THE COURT: Exhibit No. 4; correct?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. I'm sorry.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Now, is this the first draft that Mr. Cleavenger sees?
A. It appears so.
Q. He never saw the email April 1 draft; correct?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Okay. What does this draft have to say about his performance?
A. Conduct patrol of campus: Needs improvement. Responds to
calls of service: Competent performance. Writes standardized reports: Needs improvement. Building, security, and parking:
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Competent performance. Training, court, and other duties: Needs improvement. Attendance, arrives on time, dependability: Needs constant supervision. Customer service : Does not convey a positive image of the department or university.
Productivity: Knows status of tasks. Cooperation: Has occasional difficulty with supervisors, co-workers. Safety considers: Work displays behavior that is not compliant with federal, state, university, or department safety rules.
Q. I'm totally switching subjects now.

So several years ago was there a policy about dash cam videos during the time that Mr. Cleavenger was under your supervision?
A. You would have to be more specific. I don't know when the policy actually came out.
Q. Could you turn to page 87 of your deposition ? And I want to be clear that at that time you didn't quite recall, but your first answer -- was your first answer no?
A. I'm sorry. I'm on page 87.
Q. Page 87 , line 25 , to line 2 , page 88 .
A. I believe my answer was: No. Maybe. I don't quite recall.
Q. I agree.
A. I believe I just said that as well.
Q. Did you tell James Cleavenger that his law degree was worthless?
```

A. No.
Q. Did you ever talk at briefings or shifts about how Obama
wasn't born in America and that he was a Muslim?
A. I wouldn't say that's particularly true. I've heard
political talk in briefing, yes.
Q. Did you hear those kind of statements from him?
A. I can't say that.
Q. Can you go to page 101 of your deposition? Let me give
you the line number. Lines }10\mathrm{ through lines 15.
A. Then at the time I said I did.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission to play that clip,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Page 101, lines 10 through lines 15.
MR. HESS: I apologize.
(Video played to the jury.)
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger being told that he could only
report felonies?
A. Yes. But that's an oversimplification of what was said.
Q. Okay. What was said?
A. I don't know word for word, but I believe it was to the
point that you could call out felonies or any major crimes.
Q. Okay. Do you know who gave that order?
A. No.

```
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Q. Was the outcome of the meeting to only report felonies?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. I'm asking at your deposition did you say the outcome of the meeting was to only report felonies?
A. Okay. If that's what he took from it, yes. If that's what he inferred, yes. Again, I don't recall exactly what I said. I know the substance of it was to only felonies and major crimes.
Q. In your deposition, did you mention major crimes when we were talking about that subject?
A. I don't know. I don't have every line in my deposition in memory.
Q. I don't expect you to.
A. Okay.
Q. At the time that you gave the order, were you familiar with the Clery Act?
A. Yes.
Q. And did it cross your mind that that order might violate
the Clery Act?
A. Not at the time, no.
Q. And I -- I don't know, but some witnesses are given their depo before they testify. Were you given your deposition before you testified?
A. I'm sorry. Before I testified today?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you recall getting an email from Mr. Cleavenger asking you to clarify your order that he only report felonies?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. Did you ever respond to him?
A. I did not, no.
Q. You were checking your email every day; right?
A. Yes. I forwarded that email to the appropriate people.
Q. Did they ever share a response with you?
A. Not my knowledge, no. Maybe.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger ever get a response?
A. I don't know.
Q. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger getting a letter of clarification about grooming?
A. I did not give him a letter of clarification about
grooming.
Q. I didn't ask that. I asked if you recall him getting one.
A. Not until these proceedings started, no.
Q. You were his supervisor at the time; right?
A. That he got the letter of clarification or --
Q. That the letter of clarification was about.
A. Probably.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger have grooming problems more than anybody else?
A. A little bit more, I'd say, yes.
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Q. Can you go to your deposition, page 153?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission to play, Your Honor, 153, lines 6 through line 20.

THE COURT: Just a moment. You may.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, 153, line 6 through line 20.
```

(Video played for the jury.)

```
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Is it fair to say, generally speaking, that Mr. Cleavenger
had a sincere belief in helping the student body in the community?
A. Definitely.
Q. I want to talk to you about the concealed handgun incident.

Do you recall where you were -- well, strike that.
Do you agree Mr. Cleavenger called to ask you or put you
on notice somehow that he wanted to transport this woman?
A. I do, yes.
Q. Where were you when you learned that?
A. I believe I was in my office.
Q. And how did you get from your office to the scene?
A. I was just outside the station, but I still believe I
drove my patrol car.
Q. How far was that?
A. Where the car was or where my vehicle was?
Q. Where you drove to.
```

A. }150\mathrm{ feet.
Q. So you drove your car how far -- I guess I should ask
that. You go to your car and how far do you drive that?
A. My car was parked in the compound. I drove outside the
compound and to the parking lot adjacent to the department.
Q. About how many feet is that?
A. Again, best estimate, 150. Maybe less. Maybe more.
Q. Do you get out of your car?
A. I believe I got out of my car and stood next to it, yes,
when I talked to James.
Q. How many times did you go to that lot to talk to James
that night?
A. I don't recall.
Q. You don't --
A. It was a protracted incident. It wasn't -- it lasted a
long time.
Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- just off the top of my head. I couldn't tell you how
long it really lasted; how many times we were there.
Q. This was, at least according to some people's testimony, a
big deal?
A. In hindsight. At the time it wasn't.
Q. Well, Officer Hermens's testified -- at least we can all
agree to this. There was clarification of this, that, and the
other; but, bottom line, you agree Officer Hermens's version is

```
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he told you that night. You learned that in the parking lot; correct?
A. That's his version, yes.
Q. What's your version?
A. I don't recall ever being notified that she was armed. I recall being notified she had a concealed weapons permit. I believe they said that over the radio. Just because someone has a concealed weapon permit doesn't mean they're armed.
Q. These escort rides -- is that what you call them?
A. Safety escort, I believe, yeah.
Q. Safety escort.

Do you have to get permission to do a safety escort?
A. I prefer they do.
Q. But you don't have to?
A. No.
Q. So you're sitting in your office. You hear about a
concealed weapons permit. You go drive your car to the parking lot nearby. Are you like, "Cleavenger, why are you wasting my time?"
A. No. It was a protracted incident.
Q. You didn't know it at the time.
A. No. Again, I did at the time. Remember, I said it lasted a long time. I believe we talked to her several times that night.
Q. How long were you at the parking lot when you first got
there?
A. Several minutes.
Q. And then you left and did something else; right?
A. Correct.
Q. When did you learn that she had a gun?
A. I believe I learned several days later or weeks later when someone told me.
Q. At that time did you say, "I'm going to do a report. This is a serious matter"?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, at the time I believe Mr. Cleavenger was under investigation for other things, and I didn't feel it was appropriate to impede on that. The other thing was I was mad. I don't think it's a good idea to write a report when I was mad.

Obviously, my supervisors knew about it. They were the ones that inquired about it.
Q. Well, did they?
A. Yes.
Q. To who?
A. Lieutenant Lebrecht was the one that inquired about it to me.
Q. To you. Anybody else?
A. I don't know.
A.
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Q. Okay. So all they had was your version?
A. I don't know if that's correct either.
Q. As far as you know, all they had was your version?
A. And I didn't give much of a version.
Q. Okay. If somebody had talked to Hermens at the time or

Mr. Cleavenger at the time, they may have learned that some people say you were told that night in the parking lot.
A. I can't tell you what they would learn. I wasn't privy to
those conversations.
Q. You think Mr. Hermens would have told them something different than he told this jury?
A. I don't know. I think Mr. Hermens is a truthful person, if that's what you're inquiring.
Q. I wasn't asking about it, but I appreciate that that was responsive to the question.

Do you know why Mr. Cleavenger was reassigned to parking duty?
A. No. Well, in hindsight I do.
Q. What was it?
A. It was told to me later, but not at the time, no.
Q. Who told you?
A. I couldn't tell you off the top of my head.
Q. What were you told?

MS. COIT: I'm going to object to attorney-client privilege.

1078
1078

THE COURT: Overruled.
Unless this is a conversation, Counsel, between you and the witness. That would be privileged. I don't know what I'm -- I thought that the question was designed to however he received this information being a member of the police department.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I can rephrase it, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'm just not sure. If this is a conversation between counsel and client, it is privileged.

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I don't want you to tell me anything you learned from your client.

THE COURT: From your counsel.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Counsel.

It was my understanding that you didn't remember who told you.
A. Correct.
Q. Right?
A. But I believe there was a meeting.
Q. Was there a lawyer at that meeting?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Okay. Tell me what you learned.
A. It was a staff meeting and I believe they brought up he was being reassigned because of officer safety concerns, and

\section*{Cameron - D}
that was about as far as they went into it.
Q. Was the officer safety concern the fact that he had transported the woman?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Do you know what it was?
A. The officer safety concern?
Q. Yeah.
A. No. I wasn't given that information.
Q. Do you agree that -- give me one second -- I'm sorry --

Officer Cleavenger had a right to know if he was being investigated?
A. Do I agree with it or is that what happened?
Q. Do you agree with it?
A. I agree that probably everyone should know that they're being investigated; but, unfortunately, that's not the way it works sometimes.
Q. Do you agree he had the right to know who the investigator was?
A. Again, I -- I probably do. My own personal opinion, yes.
Q. Do you agree he has a right to know the topic of the investigation?
A. Again, my own personal opinion, the situation would dictate.
Q. I'm only going to touch on this briefly, and I -- how many different women or men complained about any comments or conduct
of yours in a sexual nature?
A. Two, that I believe.
Q. Do you know if it was only two?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did you ever ask, you know, "Who's made the
complaints against me?"
A. Yes.
Q. What were you told?
A. It's under affirmative action and it wasn't my right to
know.
Q. Did you ever learn?
A. Only in rumor and innuendo, yes.

Obviously, Officer Hayles, who came and testified.
Q. Were you ever asked what the women said?
A. During affirmative action, yes. I was asked about some of the things with Officer Hayles.
Q. Anybody else?
A. No.
Q. Did you file a grievance?
A. With who?
Q. Anyone.
A. No, I have not filed a grievance.
Q. Do you recall writing a response to your termination?
A. Not my termination, no.
Q. Do you -- I'm sorry, to the allegations.
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\section*{A. Which allegations?}
Q. Sexual harassment-type allegations.
A. For who?
Q. I'm grabbing that right now. I didn't know.

And do you know who the two women are, so far, that you've
mentioned? I know you said one because she testified in court here.

You know, I'm not going to hold everybody's time up here.
It might take me too long to figure this out, but what role did
the police department -- or the public safety department have
with regard to strip clubs?
THE COURT: To strip clubs?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yeah.
THE COURT: Policy for strip clubs?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. No. Job duties. Any job duties about strip clubs.
A. There's a lot of criminal activity in strip clubs, not to
mention organized crime. Especially in the Eugene area.
Q. I'm talking about in your department.
A. Well, I think it's the benefit of the department to know
if there's organized crime going on around the campus, yes.
Q. Do you have any responsibilities in that regard?
A. No.
Q. What about Internet porn? Was that part of your job responsibilities or anything?
A. No.
Q. Are strip clubs and porn sites a big part of your job?
A. I would say that there is some aspect of that that
pertains to law enforcement, yes.
Q. That was talked about?
A. Yes.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Cross-examination?

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Sergeant Cameron, I just want to --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I'm going to put up a few of the exhibits that were referred to.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Can you look at Exhibit 4? On the top right corner of that exhibit, there's some handwriting on there?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that your handwriting?
A. No.
Q. Do you know whose handwriting that is?
A. I do not.
Q. Exhibit 5, same thing. Do you see that handwriting?
A. Yes.
Q. There's also handwriting lower on that first page.
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Looking at that, do you -- does that refresh your memory of who wrote on that document?
A. I don't know who wrote on it, but I -- if I had to guess, it would be Lieutenant Lebrecht's.
Q. That's a guess?
A. That's a guess, yeah, at best.
Q. It's not your writing, though?
A. It's not my writing, no.
Q. Same question for Exhibit 6. The top right corner, the final third draft, is that your writing?
A. No.
Q. The Spencer View incident where Mr. Cleavenger drove in
front of the apartment, do you recall that one?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall what time of night -- excuse me, what time of -- what time that happened?
A. It was early afternoon or late afternoon. The sun was still up.
Q. You were asked a few questions about your deposition. Can
you -- well, you can look on the screen.
A. Okay.
Q. Sorry.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Your Honor, I ask it not be published to the jury until it's identified.

THE COURT: Counsel, would you take that down?

MS. COIT: My apologies.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. You were asked to read a section of page 101 of your deposition.

Your Honor, I would like him to be able to read the rest of that for context.

THE COURT: You can. Was that section played,

\section*{Counsel?}

MS. COIT: He was asked to read from page 101. I
would like him to read.
THE COURT: You can put that up on the screen,
Counsel, and read that portion that you would agree would cause completeness.

THE WITNESS: From which line, ma'am?
MS. COIT: Sorry. Something just happened to our screens.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Counsel, I don't mean to interfere, but do you have the capability to put up those lines, or would you like Mr. Hess to do that?

MS. COIT: That would be great.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you put up page 101 --
do you want line 16 through 19 , or you want the whole thing over?

MS. COIT: Page 101 and 102.
MR. MCDOUGAL: The entirety of it?
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MR. HESS: Sorry. Which lines do you want again?
MS. COIT: I can do it here.
MR. HESS: There we go.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Sergeant Cameron, you were asked to read, starting, I believe, at line 10, on 101. Do you see that?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Can you just -- and you stopped at line 15, I believe.

Can you just read further down, starting at 16 , to page 102, line 11 ?

THE COURT: Would you put up page 101 and just put up page 102, for completeness purposes, Counsel? THE WITNESS: I'm going to start at 101.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. 101 , line 16.
A. 16? That's common knowledge that that's Lebrecht's opinion.

No. This is my answer. I'm sorry. No. I believe it was in jest at most times.

Question: Okay. So when did you have discussions with Lebrecht about those facts?

Answer. I'm not sure I understand the question. I've never had a discussion with him. I've heard discussions, yes; but we've never had a discussion and sat down and talked about politics, no.

THE COURT: Well, if you would put up 102, please.

\section*{Now it's up on the screen. Thank you.}
```

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)

```
Q. Then line 1 through 11, please.
A. Question: Okay. But have you heard Lebrecht make those
kind of statements?
    Yeah.
    Question: Okay. And why do you think that when you heard
him make those statements that they were made in jest?
    Answer: Mostly because Ken Abbott -- and, I'm sorry, but
that's not -- not the correct spelling of his name. It was
"Kent Abbott" -- would be poking him on it. They would talk
about -- Kent would say something along the lines of
Republicans ruin the world, and it would go back and forth like
that as play.
Q. Thank you.
    And then, finally, I want to turn to the incident that you
were questioned about with the female with the gun; the
courtesy transport.
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Would you ever have given Mr. Cleavenger permission to
transport a woman in his car with a loaded gun, if you had
known she had a loaded gun with her?
A. No.
Q. And you said you were mad when you found out. Why were

\section*{Cameron - X/ReD}

\section*{you mad?}
A. He put himself in an insane risk, in my opinion, especially at the time when the department wasn't armed. He would have no way to defend himself if she did decide to commit suicide via cop or whatever the case would be.

At that time we did not have the capability nor the training to be -- to allow that type of action. And, as other officers have pointed out here, even with that training and that capability, it's a big judgment call and one that I wouldn't make, no.

MS. COIT: Thank you, sir.
We'll be bringing him back in our case.
THE COURT: Any questions on redirect?

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Just a question on Spencer View. You viewed that as a
dangerous call; the incident with Mr. Cleavenger going to Spencer View?
A. I think giving the answer did I view that as a dangerous call is an oversimplification of a very complex issue.
Q. Let me simplify it, then.
A. My -- my answer is going to be all calls have the potential to be very dangerous.
Q. Sure. We never know what's going to happen at any time.
on.
A. No.
Q. But on the range of calls, you thought this was one where you shouldn't drive to the front; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Was this one where only one person should respond?
A. No.
Q. Do you know why Mr. Cleavenger was back there alone himself that night?
A. Yes.
Q. You never sent him?
A. It could have been me. It could have been dispatch. I don't know.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MS. COIT: None.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. I have a lot of documents
here.
THE COURT: Counsel, your next witness, please. Your next witness?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, do you have a copy of Lieutenant Lebrecht's deposition? I'm sure we'll be going over that with him.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I have the deposition, but I

> could. I don't know that I do, Counsel, but it's possible.

Kent Abbott deposition. Now I have a chance to clean up. But, no, I thus far don't see it, but that doesn't mean I might not have it. I just don't see it, which means I don't have it.

A JUROR: Your Honor, can we take a five-minute -THE COURT: Please don't discuss this matter amongst yourselves or form or express any opinions. Just go out this door. We'll be sitting here.
```

(Jury not present.)

```

THE COURT: What I think I'll do, counsel, is return to you at the end the day all the exhibits we may have gone through witnesses concerning that you didn't call, that you have them all back. So if you need them again and you organize them and give them back to me.

You won't have to give them another duplicate. I'll return to both sides exhibits. If you have witness \(X, Y, Z\) for the plaintiff, you get those together.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: My office is grabbing two copies of the deposition. It will make things go much smoother.

THE COURT: What I'll do is at the end of the day is return all the exhibits to you, both sides. If you're calling a witness, you can give me that same exhibit back, and we organize, and you don't have to go back and duplicate it again. I have a lot of exhibits that weren't used that you may want to use.

By the way, for both of you, when I growl at you, I don't have a memory. In other words, nothing is ever held by me. Do you understand? I don't hold those things against counsel. It just sweeps. Okay? I say what I have to say. That's it. We move on.

> (Jury present.)

THE COURT: All right. We were in session. The jury is present, all counsel, and parties.

Counsel, call your next witness, please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. Just in time.
Lieutenant Lebrecht, please take the stand.
DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Lieutenant, please raise you're right hand.

BRANDON LEBRECHT,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Be kind enough to be seated in the witness box. The entrance is closest to the wall. There's a series of steps. If you would be seated, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Be seated, sir. State your full name for
the jury, please, and spell your last name.
THE WITNESS: Brandon Lebrecht, L-E-B-R-E-C-H-T.

Lebrecht - D
THE COURT: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
THE COURT: Direct examination, please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: One second, Your Honor.
Housekeeping matters. Can my staff give you a copy of the deposition?

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY JASON KAFOURY:
Q. You've read your deposition, haven't you, sir?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. You were under oath in that deposition, just as you are here today; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Let's start off talking about the bowl of dicks.

You brought the term "bowl of dicks" to the University of Oregon department; isn't that correct?
A. I believe that's correct.
Q. And, in fact, it wasn't just a bowl of dicks. It was -the phrase was "a big bowl of dicks." That's what you brought?
A. That's what I said. That's correct.
Q. Now, you heard Officer LeRoy testify that sometimes you guys would talk about people or things you didn't like out in the world, and people would say, "Let's put that thing on the
bowl of dicks list"?
MS. COIT: Objection. Mischaracterizes Officer
LeRoy's testimony.
THE COURT: I don't know if it's the exact
phraseology; but, Counsel, you can reask the question.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall him generally agreeing about the concept
that you talk about people during the shift briefings, said
they can eat a bowl of dicks, and they would end up on Eric LeRoy's cell phone? You heard him say that that happened?
A. I never heard him say that there was a bowl of dicks list.
Q. You -- that's because you'd never heard it called the bowl of dicks list; isn't that right?
A. In my opinion, from my memory, before this lawsuit, I don't remember it ever being referred to as "the bowl of dicks list."
Q. Now, there was a lot of media attention about this bowl of dicks list in the summer July 2014, wasn't there?
A. I believe that's the time frame.
Q. It got picked up by Huffington Post, Gawker, didn't it?
A. It got picked up by many sources.
Q. You heard John Ahlen testify at the arbitration you described it as the bowl of dicks list? A. Yeah, what was the question you asked him specifically? Q. "Do you recall Sergeant Lebrecht saying 'the bowl of dicks
Lebrecht - D
list' at the arbitration?"
THE COURT: I'm sorry. Sergeant?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Sergeant. Lieutenant Lebrecht.
A. I believe that's the way you asked him, and I think that may have been misleading, because in what context would I have said that? Maybe in the form of a question? Such as: Why are you referring to this as a bowl of dicks list?

Because I made adamantly clear here, abundantly clear, at the arbitration that the two things were never connected. The "bowl of dicks" phrase and "the list." They were separate.
Q. On this bowl of dicks list, people would throw out a name or two one night and a day or two later more names would be put on the list. Regardless of whether it was called the bowl of dicks list or just the list, that's generally how it would go; right?
A. I believe I said that before, yes; but, like, again, not called "the bowl of dicks list."
Q. Now, Lieutenant Lebrecht, do you agree it's inappropriate to be putting people on such a list during shift hours, don't you?
A. Yeah, I would agree that's inappropriate. I made a

\section*{mistake.}
Q. You remember Officer Abbott closing the door to the shift briefings so that Casey Boyd couldn't hear what was going on?

Do you remember that?
A. I remember there were a couple of times that Abbott closed the door.
Q. And Boyd got mad about that, didn't she?
A. She got mad about quite a bit of things.
Q. She got mad about you guys closing the door on her ; isn't that correct?
A. I believe the email was actually addressed to me from her wondering why then-Sergeant Bechdolt had someone in his shift briefing close the door, and that's when she first expressed her frustration.
Q. She complained to the chief, didn't she?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Let's talk about your relationship with Mike Morrow. He's running internal affairs; correct?
A. He was correct.
Q. You took over internal affairs after he left; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. You considered him, while he worked there, a personal
friend; isn't that accurate?
A. Yes. He still is a friend of mine.
Q. And you socialized with him outside of work?
A. Yes. On a few occasions. That's correct.
Q. You went to the gym with him?
A. Correct.

\section*{Lebrecht - D}
Q. Now, you would agree that IA, internal affairs, should be a totally separate division within a police department, right, from the rest of the department?

I'll ask it differently. Internal affairs is where you're supposed to go within a police department if you have concerns about things happening with other departments so that you can complain about those. Isn't that one of the purposes of IA?
A. One of the purposes of IA is to investigate complaints.
Q. Correct. And as an internal -- as the head of internal
affairs, which you've done, you're supposed to be independent ly investigating complaints; right? That's your job?
A. Well, sometimes there's actually more than on e person that assists in the investigations. Sometimes you are doing them independently.
Q. Correct. But if someone says, "Lieutenant Lebrecht is doing X to Mike Morrow," back when my client was there, Mike Morrow is supposed to at that point do an independent investigation of your conduct. Isn't that the way it's supposed to work?
A. I think it would be whoever the chief determines it go to . It depends on what the complaint was, if there's any merit to it whatsoever, and then who she would assign it to -- he or she.
Q. Do you see any problem within a department of a lieutenant having a close personal friendship with the head of internal

\section*{affairs?}
A. I don't see any problem with that if it's another
lieutenant or above.
Q. Let's talk timelines. You started at the department January 2011; correct?
A. That's correct. January 3, 2011.
Q. Where had you come from?
A. Lincoln Police Department.
Q. Which part of California is that?
A. It's near the Sacramento area.
Q. You didn't like your former boss there, did you?
A. I had more than one former boss there.
Q. Brian Vizuzu?
A. Brian Vizuzi.
Q. Vizuzi.
A. We were friends at first. There were times that I didn't like him and then I actually came across him about a year ago and had a nice conversation with him.
Q. But at the time my client was working there, Brian Vizuzi was on the bowl of dicks list, wasn't he?
A. I saw the name Brian Vizuzi on something called "the list" on Eric LeRoy's phone. Let me clarify. I never saw it on his phone. I saw the pictures that were on his phone of the list. Q. Let me put it differently. Only one person in that department would have put that man on that list; is that right?

1098
Lebrecht - D
A. Totally agree.
Q. And that would be you?
A. That would be Eric LeRoy.
Q. Eric LeRoy put your former supervisor on that list? He came up with that on his own?
A. I never touched his phone, sir.
Q. I understand that. But you came up with the idea, didn't you?
A. I don't believe I suggested he be added to the list, to tell you the truth. I remember telling Mr. LeRoy, Eric LeRoy, that -- he was explaining some problems he was having with Casey Boyd. You know, he felt he was being harassed, and I had disclosed some stuff with him about my former boss Brian Vizuzi, and I believe Eric LeRoy took it upon himself to put him on the list.
Q. Eric LeRoy would never have known the name Brian Vizuzi unless you brought it up. That's my point.
A. Yeah. I told him the name, yeah.
Q. And you started supervising my client March of 2011; is that right?
A. I believe that's accurate.
Q. During that first six to eight months, your relationship with my client, you guys got along great; right?
A. Yes. A really fun guy.
Q. You used to ride bikes with him on patrol; right?
```

A. Correct.
Q. Let's talk about the Occupy time period. October of 2011.
Now, you don't dispute that my client stood up and
explained during one of these briefings what the Occupy
movement was all about.
A. I don't dispute it, but I believe at my deposition I said
I don't recall him doing that.
Q. He could have done it. You just don't recall?
A. That's possible.
Q. Do you remember him talking about the moment being the top
1 percent taking the lion's share of the wealth created by the
99 percent of the American economy?
MS. COIT: Object to be testimony.
THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall something along those lines?
A. I don't recall him standing up and saying anything, so I
wouldn't have any recollection of that.
Q. Let's talk about what you do remember during that
briefing. Do you remember somebody relating the Eugene Occupy
movement on campus to the movie District 9; right?
A. Oh, yes. Absolutely.
Q. And that's a movie involving aliens --
MS. COIT: Object to counsel testifying.
THE COURT: Overruled.

```
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    MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm cross-examining.
    THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. It's a movie about aliens of two societies where people
are living in a military controlled ghetto. Would you agree
with that general description?
A. I wouldn't say "ghetto." I mean, it was a shanty town. I
did see the movie before someone had made that comment.
Q. Comparing these people camped out on campus to a shanty
town was funny to you; right?
A. I believe in the context it was said it was funny.
Q. Everybody was laughing about it, in fact. Do you remember
that?
A. There were people laughing.
Q. But my client, you remember seeing him upset during that
briefing, don't you?
A. Oh, yes. I definitely remember seeing him upset.
Q. In fact, your memory is he got up and walked out on that
briefing; right?
A. Absolutely.
Q. You had a discussion with him afterwards; right?
A. Yes, I did. In an office with Sergeant Cameron.
Q. Do you remember raising your voice during that discussion?
A. No, I don't remember raising my voice. I remember he
raised his voice and yelled at both of us.
Q. Turn to your deposition, page 48, line 14.
A. Okay.
Q. Your voice was elevated more than it is now.
A. Is that the question?
Q. Yes. Was your -- did you state in your deposition that your voice was elevated?
A. It says: My voice was elevated -- or was a little
elevated at the time. More so than it is right now. But I did not yell.
Q. You agree my client at his deposition gave a completely different version of what happened during that?
A. Oh, yes. I definitely agree.
Q. He said that you were poking him in the chest; right?
A. No. I believe you said that in your opening. That's
actually the first time I ever recall hearing that.
Q. That you were -- it was in the hallway. That's his memory of where it happened?
A. Correct.
Q. And you were asking him why he wasn't laughing during this Occupy briefing; right? Do you remember that?
A. I remember him saying that, correct.
Q. You deny that ever happened; right?
A. I deny there was any conversation in the hallway and any conversation outside the presence of Sergeant Cameron.
Q. Now, during these Occupy discussions, a rape joke could
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have happened; right?
A. I believe you did ask me about that in my deposition.
Q. Yeah. And you said that could have happened; right?
A. I believe I said: If that happened, I felt that would be
inappropriate. I don't remember hearing one.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to him
impeaching without asking the question about what he said.
THE COURT: Sustained. Counsel, you're looking at
page 49 ?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 49.
THE COURT: 11 through 16 is where the question was
asked, and the answer is 17 through 20.
THE WITNESS: I said I don't remember a rape joke.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. You don't remember a rape joke.
A. That's correct.
Q. That means it could have happened, but you don't recall; correct?
A. That's right. I don't remember hearing any rape joke.
Q. You don't remember hearing someone's teenage daughter --

MS. COIT: Object. Asked and answered.
THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. You don't remember hearing that someone's teenage daughter
could go to that Occupy effort if that -- that -- sending actually worked on democratic presidential campaigns. You knew that?
A. He told me he worked with President Obama on a campaign . No other campaign.
Q. You told Sergeant Cameron, right when he started, being my client's supervisor, not to say anything anti-democratic
because Cleavenger might take it personal ly. Do you remember telling him that?

\section*{Lebrecht - D}
A. Yes. That's in my deposition as well.
Q. And you recall my client getting irritated and upset when you talked about President Obama not having a birth certificate. Do you remember that?
A. That's part of the context of it. That's correct.
Q. Did you ever make a statement that Obama is a Muslim?
A. I don't recall saying that.
Q. Let's talk about the Taser speech my client gave back in
2008. You weren't around back then, obviously?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you remember someone showing you news articles about my client describing his opinion on Tasers; right?
A. I saw some article at some point. I don't remember the time frame.
Q. It was sometime after you were hired in 2011.
A. Definitely sometime after I was hired.
Q. And from those, you thought my client was opposed to

Tasers at the department; is that right?
A. No. That's not my opinion after seeing what I saw.
Q. Okay.
A. I think there's a little more to it than that.
Q. I'd like to turn your attention to page 58 of your
deposition. Lines 18 and 19.
A. Okay.
Q. Okay.
A. Yep.
Q. Isn't it a fact in your deposition you said: I felt he was opposed to the department having Tasers by what I saw at the time.

That was your opinion; right?
A. It is what I said on that date.
Q. It was accurate, wasn't it; what you said?
A. Well, I've had more time to think about it, so I actually think a little bit differently.
Q. Well, let me ask you: Your deposition was taken November 12, 2014; correct? That's what the front of the document says?
A. That's what it says; correct.
Q. Okay. At that point you had been a defendant in this lawsuit for over a year. Isn't that accurate?
A. That's correct.
Q. You read his lawsuit?
A. Yes, I have. All the versions of them.
Q. And did you not have enough time to think about the Taser speech to prepare for this deposition? Is that what you testified?
A. I wouldn't have thought some Taser speech would have come up in my deposition.
Q. Well, you knew at the time of your deposition that my client was claiming that because of him speaking out on Tasers

\section*{Lebrecht - D}
that he was retaliated against. You understood that was part of his claim, didn't you?
A. I don't remember that being part of the claim initially.

I was wondering what the First Amendment Freedom of Speech claims were, to my recollection. At some point I was made aware they were Tasers. I don't remember when it was the Taser speech.
Q. You read the lawsuit. And the very first lawsuit, First Amendment Free Speech claim, you read that?
A. What did it say in relation to that? Was Tasers referenced in that? I don't really recall.
Q. The document was 60 pages of stuff; right? I mean, it was a long document.
A. Oh, sure.
Q. And in that he described the Tasers. You read that in the lawsuit, didn't you?
A. I would have to have my memory refreshed about what it specifically said about Tasers.
Q. I'll move on for now to expedite things.

So back when you saw the news articles, you thought that my client was -- his political beliefs, that he was opposed to the department having Tasers. That's what you testified to at your deposition?
A. That is what it says there.
Q. Now, you had worked at other departments for years where
you had a Taser; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And liked having a Taser, didn't you?
A. I think it gives police officers another option other than
going straight to deadly force.
Q. My question was: You liked having a Taser, didn't you?
A. I don't know that liking or not liking a Taser is
relevant. I never really thought of liking a Taser or liking a
gun. It's just part of equipment.
Q. Page 58 , line 256 , your deposition.
THE COURT: Counsel, that's not impeaching. I'm not
going to allow that. The word "like," it's ambiguous.
was too broad.
BY MR. JASon KAFoury: (continuing)
Q. Okay. You do remember Sergeant Cameron telling you
sometime in 2011 that Cleavenger should never have been hired
there; right? You do recall that?
A. I do recall that when I walked into the lobby shortly
after I started working, something -- he said something like
that. Correct.
Q. What did he say?
A. I don't remember the exact. It was almost five years ago.
But it was something along the lines of, "This guy shouldn't
have been hired."
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Q. Because of his position on Tasers; right?
A. I don't remember him saying that. I remember telling him, "I'm going to give him a fair shot," and I walked away. I don't recall ever having any interaction with Sergeant Cameron prior to that.
Q. Well, you would agree that when Sergeant Cameron took over being my client's supervisor in 2011, within weeks he was facing discipline with that letter of clarification; right?
A. A letter of clarification is not discipline.
Q. Well, we'll talk about this in a few minutes. A letter of clarification is a written piece that goes into your personnel file for one year; isn't that right?
A. I don't really know where it goes. We just turn it into Deb Pack, our administrator of administrative services, and they now go into a supervisor binder in the sergeant's office.
Q. Well, parts of what's in the letter of clarification are in my client's termination file. Are you aware of that? Things that were in the letter of clarification are referenced in part of his termination.
A. I remember him presenting his letter of clarification in the internal affairs file. That was used as part of the termination.
Q. Okay. And that same -- well, a letter of clarification, your general understanding, they're supposed to be purged out of someone's system in a year; right?
A. That's correct, generally.
Q. Okay. And three years later that letter of clarification was sent to the district attorney as part of the Brady materials, wasn't it?
A. Again, he presented that in the internal affairs
investigation that went to the district attorney's office.
Q. Right. You, though, decided to include it, because you were the person making that packet?
A. It was part of the internal affairs investigation, because
he had presented it. That's how I recall it. So, therefore, it went to the district attorney's office with the internal affairs investigation.
Q. Did you ever say that Rodney King had it coming?
A. Rodney King?
Q. Yeah. The African-American who was --
A. No. Absolutely not.
Q. You never said that because you knew some of the California police officers involved in that beating?
A. I wouldn't know anyone involved in the beating. I was not an officer at the time, from what I recall.
Q. Let's talk about the Brady materials while we're here.
A. Sure.
Q. The decision to send Brady materials to the district attorney, that decision was made by Chief McDermed ; isn't that right?

Lebrecht - D

\section*{A. Correct.}
Q. She asked you to prepare a Brady report regarding

Cleavenger; right?
A. Right.
Q. And when she told you that, you were uncomfortable being asked to do that, weren't you?
A. Yes
Q. You were uncomfortable because it had been two years since any of the alleged dishonest acts had happened; right?
A. That's one of the aspects to it.
Q. You were also uncomfortable because you were a defendant in this lawsuit; right?
A. That's another aspect, that's correct.
Q. Now, my client, by filing this lawsuit, you would agree, put in the public record 60, 70 pages of material that were quite embarrassing to the department. Do you agree with that?
A. I would agree with that.
Q. Embarrassing to the University of Oregon?
A. Correct.
Q. Embarrassing for you?
A. Oh, definitely.
Q. Embarrassing for the chief?
A. Correct.
Q. And you realized that something like this might get media attention, I imagine, after it was filed, didn't you?
A. Well, I don't know necessarily after it had been filed, but if somebody contacts the media, sure, that can happen.
Q. Well, he's -- he listed in his lawsuit various prominent people that he claimed were on this bowl of dicks list. You saw that in the lawsuit when it was filed; right?
A. Again, it was never referred to as that in my presence, but I did see his allegations of what he said was on that list. Q. Well, you realize, since you're the person who brought that term to the department, that when the lawsuit was filed, that could be publicly embarrassing for you. Right? You realized that?
A. I don't know necessarily that because I brought a term to the department, no. There's more to it than that.
Q. Okay. Well, you spoke to the chief and you voiced your concerns, didn't you, about the -- about doing this Brady material on my client?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And you told her the reason you didn't want to do this Brady material is because you told her it might look like retaliation. Isn't that true?
A. That's absolutely correct.
Q. And in fact you didn't just tell the chief, you also
complained about this Brady assignment to Captain Deshpende, didn't you?
A. I voiced some concern to him, correct.
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Q. You said you didn't feel like doing this because it would look like retaliation, didn't you?
A. Because it could look like retaliation. That's correct.
Q. Captain Deshpende agreed; right?
A. I believe he didn't want to be involved in a potential lawsuit is what it would be.
Q. So you guys decided to wait around for a few weeks to see if the chief changed her mind; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. But she didn't change her mind, did she?
A. No. It made its way to the district attorney's office via me.
Q. Now, she came back and said: Draft that report, submit it, and set up a meeting with Patty Perlow at the DA's office. Right?
A. In a nutshell, but that wasn't the entire conversation.
Q. Well, you were -- I want to make sure we're accurate with our timeline.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you pull up Exhibit 168, please, Mr. Hess?

THE COURT: Let's find out. Is 168 in evidence?
THE WITNESS: I do see this.
THE COURT: It's not yet, Counsel, so it won't be pulled up.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Is this an email chain that relates to my client's
prevailing arbitration award between you and various members of the command staff?
A. Yeah. I don't comment on this, but my name is in the email chain.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd offer 168, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Received.
THE WITNESS: Not that I'm not commenting on that. I
wasn't involved in the contents of that email conversation.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Right. But you were cc'd on it.
A. Correct.
Q. Let's go to page 1. Can you blow up the very bottom, starting with -- from "Doug Park" all the way to the redacted portion. Yes. That whole portion.

So this is the original email sent March 6, 2014. The subject is, "Cleavenger arbitration decision." This -- who's Doug Park?
A. He was the acting lead general counsel for University of Oregon. He's still one of the general counsel. I don't know his title there.
Q. He's a lawyer for the University of Oregon; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, he's sending this email to Chief McDermed ; correct?
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A. Correct.
Q. And Jamie Moffitt. Who's Jamie Moffitt?
A. The vice president of finance and administration. She
might have another title.
Q. She's one of the top officials at the University of Oregon; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Oversees your department?
A. Correct.
Q. Who's Randy Geller?
A. I believe at the time he was another person in general counsel. I don't know if he's there anymore.
Q. Do you know where Randy Geller works now?

MS. COIT: Object. Relevance.
THE COURT: I don't know the relevance, Counsel.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll bring it up with a different witness.

THE COURT: We'll discuss it during lunchtime. Move on.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So it was this email, Doug Park, that we can't see the contents of, because it's redacted. This is the email that was forwarded to you on March 10, 2014; is that correct?

Blow up the next section.
A. It says March 6.
Q. Right. That's the original email.
A. Got it.
Q. Cleavenger arbitration decision. And then if we go to the next email from -- yeah, upwards. From Chief McDermed.

Okay. Now, the original email was sent Thursday, March 6th, with the decision, and you received this email -did you receive this email on Monday March 10th at some point?
A. That's what the record shows.
Q. Do you remember reading the arbitrator's decision at this point when you got this?
A. I read it at some point. I don't remember exactly when. It was definitely after that email, because I believe it was attached to it.
Q. Let's go through it. This is an email to you from the chief. She said: Here's the opinion and award from the arbitrator. I responded to Doug Park's email that, while I respected his expertise, I fear the precedent that would be set. Mr. Cleavenger committed crimes, and the arbitrator determined a reprimand and a three-day suspension was appropriate discipline. No police department would accept that. I also said we would not take him back, exclamation point. Jamie and Randy Geller have not weighed in yet.

So as of March 10, 2014, the chief was telling you and other command staff -- Captain Deshpende, Lieutenant Bechdolt -- she was not going to take James Cleavenger back;
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A. That's what it says, correct.
Q. Can we go to the next email.

Now, when you received this email with the arbitrator's
decision, March 10, 2014, this is the first you knew that Cleavenger had won the arbitration in terms of getting reinstated; right?
A. Somewhere around March 6th, by that email, yeah. Of course, I did hear it via rumor.
Q. Okay. But this is the first time you get official notice of it; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So then four minutes later, at 11:39 a.m., Lieutenant Bechdolt sends an email. There are also Brady issues to consider.

Is this email right here the first reference to anything about my client and Brady issues in writing? A. I don't believe so.
Q. You believe there's something about my client and Brady
prior to March 10, 2014, in all these tens of thousands of pages in this case?
A. I do remember putting it in a report that I had it once.
Q. A report that you had once?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the date of this report?
A. It was that performance investigation that I put together.
Q. And in that performance investigation you said something
about Brady listing?
A. I did reference Brady v. Maryland. I didn't say that --
Q. Did you ever say that my client should be Brady-listed?
A. No. I did not make that determination.
Q. You said there's a case out there, but you didn't say,
"James Cleavenger should be Brady-listed"; right?
A. No, I did not. Correct.
Q. This is the first time in writing there's a connection between my client and possible Brady listing; right?
A. As best as I recall.
Q. Can we go to the next email?

So about 20 minutes later Chief McDermed responds and says: Yep. I said that -- it says "a." I'm assuming it means "as well" -- in my response.

You got that email too?
A. Yes.
Q. So I'm assuming it was shortly after this series of emails that you were assigned this task of putting together these Brady materials.
A. I don't remember time frame, but it was definitely after the emails.
Q. So when did you first learn about Brady v. Maryland?
A. Well, I've been in law enforcement since 1995, so probably
Q. Now let's talk about Brady listing. This concept you've known for 20 years. Do you agree that to be a law enforcement officer you need to be able to testify in court with people you're charging with crimes?
A. Depending on your assignment.
Q. A regular?
A. For patrol, definitely, yeah.
Q. That's an essential of an officer's duty if they're out in the field; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And being on the Brady list basically meant you couldn't testify; right?
A. Well, I believe there's different layers of this thing
referred to as the Brady list.
Q. Right. There's automatic disqualification; right?
A. Yeah, there's three different layers.
Q. We'll let district attorney tell us the various layers.
A. All right.
Q. You knew when you were writing this document about my client that this could be the death knell of his law enforcement career. You knew that, didn't you?
A. I knew it was the district attorney's decision to make. Q. You know in your experience of Brady listing that this could be the death knell of his legal career. You knew that; right?
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A. I would say it would be difficult for law enforcement in certain capacities. Something referred to as a Bradylist, I don't know that there's actually ever a list.
Q. What I'm asking you is when you wrote the document, you knew the consequences of being put onto a Brady list could end his career. You knew that, didn't you?
A. There's potential of that, but it depends on the layers; the different levels. Excuse me.
Q. And as far as you know, University of Oregon Police Department has never done this to anybody else; isn't that correct?
A. I haven't been made aware of it ever happening.
Q. So let's talk about things you did as part of preparing these materials. You went and talked to Chief Chase; right? A. I spoke with him on the phone.
Q. And Chase didn't make any comments on that phone call about my client's behavior; right?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. During this time period, there were a lot -- while you were preparing this, there were negotiations back and forth going on about my client coming back to work at the University of Oregon; right? All of that was happening while you were writing it?
A. I wasn't made aware of exactly what was going on. I knew there was some negotiation at some point.
Q. Well, the arbitration said three days off is the punishment. Put him back to work. Right? That's, in essence, 30 pages of what the arbitrator decided?
A. Three days off unpaid. A reprimand.
Q. Right.
A. I remember that, yeah.
Q. But put him back to work. That's what the arbitrator held; right?
A. I believe that's a summary of it; correct.
Q. Now, after preparing all these materials, you had an in-person meeting with the district attorney to submit these materials, didn't you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Chief McDermed gave you another assignment while you were at that meeting, didn't she?
A. She wanted me to ask the question.
Q. Right. She wanted you to ask the question would the district attorney criminally prosecute my client for the tape-recording, didn't she?
A. It wasn't "will they." It was asked "would they consider that."
Q. She's the one, the chief, who not only wanted them to have the Brady materials. She wanted to see if the DA would criminally prosecute James Cleavenger. Isn't that correct?
A. She asked me to ask if they would consider prosecution
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based on what was found in investigations.
Q. And that was for failure to advise the suspect that he was
being -- that they were being tape-recorded; right? That was
the criminal misdemeanor charge she wanted them to charge him
on?
A. It was more like 27 instances of that. Not just the two
listed. There was 27 instances of that, from what I recall, from 2012, not just the two listed in the internal affairs investigation.
Q. So Chief McDermed approved you giving all these materials to the district attorney; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And, in fact, general counsel, Doug Park, at the

University of Oregon, approved these materials being sent on Mr. Cleavenger, didn't he?
A. Well, the chief had told me that. I never heard him say that. Q. Okay. Now, let's talk about the Brady policy. I would like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 158.

Do we have a copy for the Court?
THE COURT: Thank you.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize this document?
A. Yes. Policy 612. Brady material disclosure.
Q. And what is this a policy -- who is it a policy for?
```

A. University of Oregon Police Department.
Q. Okay. And what's the date on this policy?
A. Looks like it could be 6/1/2013, but it's -- it definitely
says 2013 on the bottom.
Q. Okay. So this was -- is it your testimony that this
policy, 612, Brady material disclosures, Exhibit 158, was the
policy in effect while you were doing the Brady materials?
THE COURT: Excuse me for just a moment. I'll let
you ask the question, but where is that date on my copy?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: It is, Your Honor --
THE COURT: Counsel, why don't you take my copy. Is
it down on the first page?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Very lower left, there on the
bottom. It's very hard to read.
THE COURT: Oh, thank you. My apologies. Reask the
question.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. Was this Bradymaterial disclosure the policy of
your department a year later, 2014, while you were drafting
these materials?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd offer 158, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Received.
///

```
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Let's talk about what the policy was about Brady materials. Now, for that policy to be in effect in your department in 2013, Chief McDermed would have had to approve that policy; right?
A. I believe she would have seen that at one point.
Q. She has final say of what the policies and procedure are?
A. The policy manuals are very large. I couldn't testify
whether she had read that policy or not.
Q. Well, let's talk about it. When you did this Brady material on my client, you wanted to be fair, didn't you?
A. I put together information the best I could.
Q. You didn't answer my question. You wanted to do it in a
fair way, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. You wanted to be impartial, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. You took your job seriously when you did this, didn't you ?
A. Yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd like to turn -- Mr. Hess, can
you -- under 612.4, can you publish -- publish this, Your Honor?

THE COURT: That's disclosure of personal information.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So this is one of the policies; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you were the Lieutenant Professional Standards and
Training at this time; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You ran IA at this time? IA?
A. That was one of my assignments.
Q. So the obligation to provide Brady information is ongoing.
And if any Brady information is identified, the district
attorney, the Eugene city attorney -- and can you turn it to
the next page -- general counsel should be notified. The
officer will also be notified.
    Now, while you were drafting these materials, I'd like you
to list every step you took to notify my client before you
turned these materials over to the district attorney that you
were Brady listing him.
A. I made no steps to notify your client.
Q. Can we go to policy 6.12.2? University of Oregon Police
Department will conduct fair and impartial criminal
investigations and will provide the prosecution with both
incriminating and exculpatory evidence.
    What's exculpatory evidence?
A. Something that may lead to the innocence of the accused.
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Detrimental to the plaintiff, traditionally; prosecution, I guess.
Q. Since you did not notify my client, as was required, due to policy, name every step you took to include exculpatory evidence before you submitted that to the district attorney.
A. I don't see in the policy where it says the Lieutenant

Professional Standards and Training is supposed to notify
Mr. Cleavenger or whoever this Brady material is alleged to have been submitted about.
Q. Okay. So on -- under Disclosure of Personal Information, it says: Lieutenant Professional Standards of Training should periodically examine the personnel files, et cetera.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And you think that it wasn't your responsibility to have told my client?
A. He was no longer with our department, and he had a lawsuit against me. It wouldn't feel appropriate for me to contact him personally, no.
Q. Huh. Did -- was there --

THE COURT: Counsel, we'll strike the uh-huh or whatever that was.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I was just --
THE COURT: It doesn't matter. That's stricken.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So at this time he had been reinstated -- in the spring
after that arbitration decision, he had been reinstated by the department by an independent mutual arbitrator; correct?
A. The award stated reinstatement, but he never returned.
Q. Okay. But at that point, once he's reinstated, he is
technically an employee of the department at that point, isn't he?

MS. COIT: Object. He's mischaracterizing evidence. THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: I personally would not consider him a member of the department until he actually returned to work. BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. So back to my other question. Name every step you took to include exculpatory evidence in that packet of materials before you handed it over to the district attorney.
A. I included the information I found in the IA and the other information I put together with it, so I don't really know how to answer that question.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know what you're determining would be exculpatory.
Q. Well, how about contacting other members of law enforcement that my client worked with to find out about his truthfulness?
A. I -- I'm not aware that that's done in Brady procedures. This is the first one I've ever been asked to do.
Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about new things that you put into
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the Brady materials that my client never even had a chance to respond to. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Let's start with Internet. You put in over 100 pages of my client's Internet history into these Brady materials; correct?
A. I believe there was more than that. I think there were digital files that had many more pages on a disk.
Q. Well over 100 , whatever it was. It was a huge stack of paper of Internet history; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Did it ever cross your mind that it might not be fair to
put this huge stack of Internet stuff into the materials going
to the district attorney when my client never had a chance to respond to it?
A. My understanding was that he would have a chance to respond during part of the district attorney's review, and he will cover that. That was my understanding.
Q. Let's go back to the policy again. 612.2. The department is supposed to provide to the prosecution both incriminating and exculpatory evidence; right?

That's not the DA's burden to go find the exculpatory evidence. That's your burden within the department; isn't that right?
A. Yeah. I still don't know what exculpatory evidence you're
referring to. That's what it says, though.
Q. Let's talk about some of it, shall we, while we're on that topic.

I'd like to give you a copy of 178 . The whole point of Brady listing somebody is that they're dishonest; right?
That's the whole gist of materials; right?
A. In a nutshell, correct.
Q. So let's -- can we show -- I think it's already in evidence, the Bradymaterials, 150, page 2.

THE COURT: And I'll let you call the recess. I don't want to interrupt your flow, so I purposefully have delayed lunch.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you give me five minutes?
THE COURT: You call for the break.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll call for lunch.
THE COURT: Call for a break when you're satisfied on
the plaintiff's side. I don't want to interrupt you.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. I want to show two sentences that were included in the arbitrator's opinion there in the Brady materials. I believe it's the first sentence.
A. On page 2?
Q. This is Exhibit 150.

THE COURT: 160?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. 150. The actual --
```

A. Other than that very next line that says --
THE COURT: Move that microphone close. You've got a
voice now.
THE WITNESS: Other than the next line where it says:
Cleavenger was awarded reinstatement rights with backpay per
the arbitrator's decision.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. You were here during opening statement; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And in her opening statement she said that there
was actually a first draft of the Brady materials which include
the arbitrator's decision. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you were deposed for 250 pages; correct?
A. I believe about eight hours or so.
Q. Okay. And in those 250 pages I asked you questions, a
first draft, which included the arbitrator's decision, was
never mentioned, was it?
A. I recall saying I had -- I was trying to figure out why it
wasn't included. I don't remember the exact phrase I used.
Q. You didn't have any explanation as to why the arbitrator's
decision wasn't part of this material at your deposition; is
that accurate?
A. That is accurate.
Q. Now, defense counsel also said the reason the arbitrator's

```
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decision was not in this material given to the DA was that there was too many pages and the chief wanted you to eliminate some of the pages. Do you remember that? Do you remember hearing that?
A. Yeah. I also remember what I had said in a nutshell when you summarized what the chief had said to me. That actually was discussed as part of our discussion, once I had material, that there was too many things.
Q. Okay. So let me ask you: If you had taken 30 pages less of his Internet search history and included the independent neutral arbitrator's decision, would you have had the same number of pages, wouldn't you, in the document to give the DA?
A. Yeah. I believe that it was to the effect of we weren't aware that we were allowed to release that at the time. That's why there was a line that they could contact me with any other questions.
Q. Okay. You didn't make any comment about the arbitrator's decision on my client's honesty in your hundreds of pages of Brady materials, did you?
A. About his honesty in the arbitrator decision, no.
Q. Let's see what the DA would have had. Go to page 20 of this document.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Mr. Hess, can you --
MR. HESS: Page 120. I don't think -- it's 170 ?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: This is Exhibit 178. I'd offer
the arbitrator's decision if it's not officially --
THE COURT: Received.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: May we publish, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Can you blow up the first sentence? The last paragraph there. In the first actual sentence, all the way down, three lines. Okay. Arbitrator said: On the other hand, I find insufficient evidence to support the employer's argument that grievant was dishonest when during the April 7th interview he claimed Hermens's car was visible from the apartments. In this regard -- that was his conclusion; right?
A. I think that was part of what he said, but I thought there was more to the effect of, "I don't think that he would lie knowing it's on video," or something like that.
Q. Okay. Let's go to the next page, 21. First paragraph. Last sentence. Starting with "accordingly." So the next portion that the DA would have had: Accordingly, even though grievant had a arguable motive to defect blame on others, there's simply insufficient evidence to support the employer's --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Slow down, please.
THE COURT: Strike the entire reading. Start over. BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Accordingly, even though grievant had an arguable motive
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to defect blame on others, there's simply insufficient evidence to support the employer's burden of establishing a finding of a purposeful intention to mislead.
A. It says that, correct.
Q. That's what it says. Let's go to page 23.

Last paragraph. On the other hand, nothing on the record suggests anything other than inadvertence by grievant. Meaning my client. That conclusion is supported by the evidence that grievant did in fact record on numerous other similar occasions. Under these circumstances, the record, in its entirety, leads me to conclude that on April 1st and 2nd grievant unintention ally violated ORS 165.40 and the employer's policy on notification to the parties, and a conversation -that -- to the -- to the parties that a conversation was being recorded.

Next page. 24. First sentence.
These are in regard to the problematic callouts he's alleged to have done.

There are no critical credibility issues regarding what grievant expressed to dispatch during --

THE COURT: Hold on. Counsel, slow down. Start again. Slower.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. There are no credibility issues regarding what grievant expressed to dispatch during the three callouts; correct?
Q. Now, you put in allegations of my client's dishonesty about that April 1st, April 2nd stop, and about the recording violations in all of your materials; right?
A. He was dishonest.
Q. You put it in there and you didn't include this document right here to the district attorney which would have been exculpatory evidence; isn't that right?
A. That was not included in the final draft that was sent to the DA's office. That's correct.
Q. Would you agree that this document right here, an independent neutral arbitrator who's making findings of no dishonesty, that would be exculpatory evidence. Don't you agree with me?
A. I remember there's other things in there, as well, you didn't point to that talked about his story changing numerous times and he confused the arbitrator, so I didn't feel that overall it would be exculpatory.
Q. You didn't think this document was exculpatory at all. Is that your testimony?
A. I think there may be things in there that are or aren't. It's kind of neutral.
Q. It wasn't enough pages to include -- or too many pages. 30 pages was too much to include for the DA; is that right? A. It's not my final decision what exactly went to the DA's
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office. Like I said, there was a discussion.
Q. You drafted the document?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. You selected what went into the initial draft?
A. Yes, I did.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have for the moment.
We'll take a lunch break.
THE COURT: You're coming back for more questions
after the lunch break?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, take an hour today.
See you about 1:30. If it's 1:35 or 1:40, that's fine. Don't discuss this matter or express any opinions concerning this matter.

> (Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Counsel, we'll see you at 1:30.
```

(Lunch recess taken.)
(Jury present.)

```

THE COURT: Jury is present, all counsel are present, and the parties are present. Thank you for your courtesy.

The witness is back on the stand. Lieutenant Lebrecht. Counsel, continue your examination, please.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Lieutenant Lebrecht, we left off talking about Brady list
materials you submitted about my client. Do you remember? A. Yes.
Q. I asked why you did not notify my client about these Brady materials, and you said because there was a lawsuit pending, or something along those lines. Do you recall that?
A. Yes. Along those lines.
Q. Okay. Since you were a defendant in the lawsuit, did you ever go to the chief and say, "Maybe I'm not the best person to be preparing all these materials. Maybe we should have someone not involved with this litigation do this"?
A. I didn't think about it at the time.
Q. Well, you could have brought in an outside entity to do the Brady investigation, couldn't you?
A. That probably would have been an option.
Q. Law enforcement does that all the time when there's a conflict within the department; they bring in outside entities to review things?
A. I haven't experienced anything like that.
Q. For example, the Eugene Police Department could have taken all the materials, come with their own independent decision, done an investigation, and then decided why there was merit to turn that over to the district attorney. That's an option that was before the department, wasn't it?
A. It was an option, but it wouldn't be my option. It
wouldn't be my call to make.
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Q. I understood that. But all I was asking is you never brought that up to the chief and said, "Hey, maybe we should have somebody else deal with this." That never happened; right?
A. That's correct. I don't believe that happened.
Q. Now, I want to go back to this Internet surfing. Do you
agree that you occasionally surfed the Internet for your own personal use at times at work; right?
A. I did testify in a deposition that I occasionally got distracted and clicked on other articles. That's correct.
Q. And what is the specific policy that my client was dishonest about in relation to his Internet searching?
A. In relation to the Internet searching had to do with a statement he had made in the internal affairs investigation that he never intentionally violated any policy. There was no maliciousness to his activities.
Q. And what's the Internet policy? What was it at the time?
A. You want to bring it to me so I can read it to you, or --
Q. Do you know off the top of your head what the Internet policy was in terms of employees being allowed to surf the Internet at work?
A. I'm sure there's probably a -- an exception if a
supervisor allows them to. There's -- I don't remember the exact policy. I would have to see it.
Q. Well, let's talk about it for a moment. On the scale of
dishonesty, how would you compare surfing the Internet to theft, for example?

THE COURT: To what? To sex? Sex or theft? I couldn't --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I realize it's Friday afternoon, but "theft." Theft.

THE COURT: Theft, T-H-E-F-T?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay. Pardon me.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't feel a breach in the
Internet policy by itself is that serious in nature.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. Because you did it too?
A. Absolutely.
Q. In fact, you ordered people's Internet history to be pulled, didn't you?
A. I think you're referring to when Casey Boyd directed me to order Andy Bechdolt's Internet history shortly after I began working there.
Q. Right. We saw the email. Judge has seen the email. You ordered the Internet history of Lieutenant Bechdolt to be pulled; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. But no other officer's Internet search history has ever become part of any Brady submission; right?
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A. No. My reasoning for that is because he did say in the statement he had never intentionally violated any policy.
That's the reason that's attached.
Q. So you thought the DA and every criminal defense attorney
should be given 150 pages of my client's Internet search history because at one point he said he never violated any policies. That's why you included it; right?
A. That's not true. I don't know what they provide d people. I'm not familiar with the actual Brady process, as far as what they disclose, what they give people. I don't know if it's verbal.
Q. You said Casey Boyd ordered you to do that. You were both lieutenants; correct?
A. I don't know if "ordering" would be the correct term. It was advised me to do it.
Q. But you thought it was important for the district attorney
to get 150 pages of my client's Internet search history? You thought that was important to include in the Brady material; is that right?
A. Like I said before, there's digital files that contain many more pages, and that was merely included because of the statement he had made in the internal affairs investigation. If he had never made that statement, I wouldn't have included it.
Q. Isn't the true, Lieutenant Lebrecht, that everybody
violates that policy at the workplace; everybody surfs the Internet?
A. I couldn't speak for everybody.
Q. Most people surf the Internet, at least some; right?
A. I'm sure it happens often, but I don't know if everybody does that.
Q. Grooming. The grooming standards. That was in a letter of clarification that is now with the Brady materials, isn't it?
A. Yes. That is one of the items in that clarification.
Q. You have never written up anybody in your time at the University of Oregon related to grooming, have you?
A. I haven't written up anyone other than Mr. Cleavenger for that in the 20 years.
Q. Correct. And you don't ever remember confronting anybody else about their grooming standards during your entire time there; right?
A. I don't remember seeing anyone else ungroomed. And it wasn't brought to my attention like it was for Mr. Cleavenger. Q. And in the letter of clarification the grooming he's written up for is on two occasions of one to two days that he didn't shave. That's what he was written up?
A. I can't remember if it was two or three, but it was somewhere around there.
Q. Let's talk about supervisory notes that you took. You
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generally -- you testified in your deposition that you took supervisory notes within a couple of days of when things happened; right?
A. A couple of days. Sometimes it could be a week or more.

I believe that's what I said.
Q. And you used these supervisory notes to document things
you then put in people's annual evaluations; is that correct?
A. That may or may not go as to basically capture patterns or potential patterns.
Q. You try to be as accurate as possible in your notes;
right?
A. That's the intention.
Q. Now let's talk about what you did with your supervisory notes in 2012 with relation to Mr. Cleavenger. 2011 and 2012. Some of them were handwritten; right?
A. There were some, yes.
Q. Some were on computer?
A. Yes.
Q. And in July of 2012, you decided to compile all of them into one document, didn't you?
A. I don't know month, but eventually I did compile them all into one document.
Q. And then you took all the original handwritten and computer files, and what did you do with them?
A. I probably tore them up and threw them in the garbage.
Q. So when you did this and destroyed this -- these
supervisory original notes, you knew that my client was in the grievance process over his letter of reprimand; correct?
A. Possible.
Q. You knew that you had just given him a very negative annual evaluation. May 31st.
A. I didn't -- well, I handed it to him.
Q. You handed it to him?
A. Yes.
Q. But you knew it was a negative annual evaluation?
A. Oh, sure. Yes.
Q. And as a law enforcement officer, you deal with evidence all the time, don't you?
A. Not necessarily in my current position, but I have.
Q. So -- and you admit that in these supervisory notes you got dates wrong that you allege things happened, didn't you?
A. I know there was at least one date wrong, yeah.
Q. After you compiled all these supervisory notes, you put them in my client's file; right? You gave it to Deb Pack to put in his file?
A. My notes were requested, from what I recall, so they eventually went to Deb Pack.
Q. When did you realize that you had a date wrong, at least one, in that compilation you put together?
A. I think Mr. Cleavenger brought it to my attention or him
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to HR to me. It was one or the other.
Q. That was because in your supervisory notes you alleged my client attended certain meetings where the audio recordings were discussed; isn't that right?
A. Oh, yeah, he did attend certain meetings where they were discussed.
Q. And he's denied that. He's denied that to this day. You know that; right?
A. And I say that he was there, so I guess we're at odds with that.
Q. Understood. But the specific dates that are wrong, that you allege he attended, in this document, you now admit some of those dates, at least one, are wrong; right?
A. I'd say the one that's listed in as \(5 / 14 / 12\) is wrong.
Q. Okay. And these were notes that you took close in time ?
A. That's what my recollection was, yeah.
Q. In fact, at the step two hearing, didn't my client provide
evidence that all three of those dates not the people that you said were there were there at the same time?
A. I don't know what evidence you're saying he provide d, but he probably didn't provide the evidence that I actually was there.
Q. Well, let's talk about -- my client's six-month
evaluation. You scored him competent at the time on every single category, didn't you?
A. Yeah. I thought he was doing a really good job.
Q. In fact, after he was put on this week-to-week
supervision, you stopped that; right?
A. I thought he was doing a good job then, as well.
Q. Showing you Plaintiff's Exhibit 31, is this a copy of
your -- of the weekly evaluations done on my client after the letter of clarification in the end of 2011?
A. Yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. I'd offer 31.
THE COURT: Any objection, Counsel?
MS. COIT: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. This is a document that you, the chief, Officer

Cleavenger, and Lieutenant Morrow all signed?
A. Looks like all the signatures are on there.
Q. At this point, December of 2011 --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Mr. Hess, can you blow up the
past on Exhibit 31? May we publish it to the jury, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Last page. Last paragraph,

\section*{Exhibit 31.}

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So, by this point, Cleavenger's overall performance
continues to improve in all areas. I don't see it as necessary
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to continue weekly evaluations at this point, as
Officer Cleavenger immediately took it upon himself to quickly correct the points that were to be addressed. He's working at a level that is equal to that of his peers; right?
A. I totally agree with that.
Q. Okay. Now, as part of this litigation, we asked for your Internet search history, didn't we?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 175. Is that an
email in relation to our request to get your Internet search history?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Offer 175.
MS. COIT: No objection.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. COIT: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can we publish 175 , the response?
Exhibit 175. The top. Just the top email there.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. So this was the response from IT to that request to get
your Internet search history; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. That all officers' computers were replaced in September

2013 and mobile data terminals were wiped as part of our domain
upgrade in November of 2014. Your personnel work station was replaced in September 2014. The old computer doesn't have any browsing history prior to September 2014. Right?
A. Yes.
Q. So sometime in April or May 2014 you get all of my client's Internet search history from 2011 and 2012; right?
A. I believe that was requested back in 2011, 2012.
Q. You believe that that was requested back in -- have you ever seen any documents?
A. His Internet search history, is that what you're referring to?
Q. Yeah.
A. I requested that.
Q. Have you ever seen this piece of paper with his Internet search history request?
A. I don't know if it was produced or not.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know if --
Q. Have you ever seen it as part of this litigation?
A. I haven't looked at all the documents associated with this litigation.
Q. But --
A. Actually, I did provide an email regarding that to the attorneys.
Q. Okay. Well, as of September 2014, all the computers were
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wiped, and so there was no ability to get your Internet search history; right?
A. That's what it says.
Q. Let's talk about my client's annual evaluation. You received that email from Sergeant Cameron on April 1st. Have you reviewed that annual evaluation?
A. Not recently.
Q. Well, you would agree that between April 1st and the document my client was handed on May 31, my client's scores go down in seven of 11 of those categories. Do you agree with that?
A. I think you established that earlier, or Mr. McDougal did.
Q. Now, let's talk about your role in that annual evaluation.

Sergeant Cameron sent you a draft of it; correct?
A. He sent a draft.
Q. You and he had some back and forth editing on it; right?
A. I don't know if I ever actually edited anything. We did sit at my desk once when he was typing stuff. At least I remember one time.
Q. After that you sent it up the chain of command; right?
A. It was sent up the chain of command at one point.
Q. And then HR became involved with the writing of the annual evaluation? Randy Wardlow got involved?
A. It got sent to him as well.
Q. When my client was actually given the document, you told
him there would be no discussion about it; that he just had to sign it. Right? That happened at that meeting?
A. That's what the chief told me to do. That's correct.
Q. Normally, annual evaluations don't go through HR, do they?
A. No, not normally. I wouldn't believe so.
Q. And you waited, you testified at your deposition, until May 31st, because you didn't want it to look like retaliation after he was demoted in mid-May; right?
A. I don't know that he was demoted. He was reassigned.
Q. Okay.
A. If you involve HR when there's a lot of stuff going on,
they would review it, and then -- really, they would give us input on what can and can't go in there based on if someone had filed a grievance or said they were going to do an appeal or something like that.
Q. The delay in giving him his annual evaluation, you testified in your deposition it was because you didn't want it to look like retaliation that you were giving him this negative evaluation at the same time that he was being demoted and given a letter of reprimand. Right? That's why there was a delay?
A. A delay because -- well, I remember saying I didn't want it to look like retaliation. I think that's why I said HR was involved.
Q. Mike Morrow, IA, was also involved in my client's evaluation, wasn't he?
A. It got sent to him, from what I remember. I don't know that he ever provided any input.
Q. Let's talk about the Spencer View incident.

Sergeant Cameron began drafting a letter of reprimand for my client, involving Spencer View, within days of that incident.
4/1, didn't he?
A. I believe he did.
Q. Okay. Randy Wardlow, HR, got involved with that process
of drafting that letter of reprimand that same first week, didn't he?
A. I know he was involved. He got it sent to him. I don't
know if he was involved in drafting it or not. I don't remember.
Q. At your deposition you testified you had a meeting with

Wardlow and Cameron to discuss the letter of reprimand. Do you remember that?
A. There was definitely a meeting. It's been a long time.

It's hard for me to remember what was discussed.
Q. That meeting happened before you ever met with my client a
week later to go over that, didn't it?
A. It's possible. I don't remember.
Q. Will you look at page 138 of your deposition?
A. Okay.
Q. Does this refresh your memory about the fact that you, Cameron, and HR, were all working on this letter of reprimand
before you met with my client a week later?
A. Does it say the date here somewhere?
Q. Well, I'm happy to read it to you.
A. Do you want to read it to me or read it myself.
Q. Go ahead and read it yourself, and let me know if it
clarifies the fact you, HR, and Cameron were all working on a letter of reprimand before you ever met with my client.
A. I know that Cameron had drafted one before. I remember that for sure.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I would like to play
page 137, line 24, through 138, line 21.
THE COURT: You may do so.
MR. HESS: 138, line 24 through --
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 137, line 24, through 138, line
21. 137 , line 24 , through 138 , line 21 . Lieutenant Lebrecht's deposition.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. I'll read it, just so we're clear. Page 137, line 24.

Okay. So before you had the meeting with Mr. Cleavenger to talk about the incident, Cameron had already drafted a letter of reprimand.

Answer: He drafted a letter of reprimand?
Yes.
Okay. Did you ask him to do that?
Answer: I might have. I don't remember.
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Okay. Well, you got in -- just timeline, I want to understand. There's the incident that happened at Spencer View. Cameron sends you an email saying he talked to Cleavenger, and Cleavenger doesn't get it. Then all within these few days Cameron is drafting a letter of reprimand and you're communicating with HR.

\section*{Yes.}

All that happened?
That's correct.
Okay. All right. So -- and then after all that's
happened is when you actually have your first meeting with
Mr. Cleavenger to discuss this incident; right?
Answer: That's correct.
Now, at that meeting to discuss Spencer View --
A. Okay. You keep saying "meeting," but I just remember -it's saying here "communicating" with HR.
Q. I'm talking about the meeting with Mr. Cleavenger.
A. Well, that's what was throwing me off, because I thought you were saying there was a meeting with human resources before we met with Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. My point is you, Cameron, HR are drafting a letter of discipline for my client before you ever met with him?
A. I do remember Cameron drafted a draft.
Q. Right. And HR --
A. So potential discipline.
Q. And HR was involved already?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. That was the first time that HR was involved with my client, wasn't it?
A. Give me a moment to think about the timeline here. I don't recall if they were involved in an eval before that or not. So it was somewhere within that time frame. Sure.
Q. Okay. So when you have this meeting with my client where you allege he made untruthful statements about where Hermens's car was, distance to the apartment, all these things, you had the ability at that initial meeting to review my client's dash cam video and take a look at the incident right then and there, didn't you?
A. I would believe that, if we knew there was any at that time. And he didn't tell us there was.
Q. Okay. You had had the technology for months in officers' cars, hadn't you?

\section*{A. Probably months.}
Q. You had HR. You're already writing him a letter of reprimand in that. If you wanted him to have a fair meeting with someone a week later and ask him questions about what happened, wouldn't the fair way of doing it be to say, "Hey, let's review the dash cam video and talk about the officer's safety concerns we have when he's driving by.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Did you get that flying
vehicles and walk to apartments.
Q. Lieutenant Lebrecht, a letter of reprimand, you knew at that time, would go into his personnel file for three years; right?
A. I knew there was potential for a letter of reprimand. It was a draft, as I said.
Q. Okay. But you are already working with HR. You've got a
letter. You're now meeting with him to talk about it a week later, and at that meeting you never offered, right then and there, to take a look at the videos. Isn't that all accurate? A. That's accurate, because I didn't know they existed, like I said before. Had I known they existed, he likely would have been shown them right then.
Q. Well, you knew this was a meeting to discuss discipline, because you had him sign a Garrity notice waiving his right to have union counsel at this meeting; right?
A. He signed that, yeah.
Q. So now you've seen the video?
A. Correct.
Q. Zach Hermens and Chris Phillips pulled right up to the exact same apartment, same noise complaint, five weeks earlier. Have you seen that video?
A. Chris Phillips pulled his car up to the front?
Q. Zach Hermens, and I believe he was with Phillips.
A. I remember seeing Phillips walk up in the video. I don't
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remember where he parked or if it even showed that.
Q. But you've seen that video?
A. Yes.
Q. Five weeks earlier. Same apartment. And you would agree with me that pulling right up to the front door is more of an officer safety issue than driving past the apartment and parking out of the -- out of view. You would agree with that?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Yet, Hermens never had a letter of reprimand drafted about it, did he?
A. No. Your client is actually the one that brought that video to my attention, and Officer Hermens was counseled, accepted that he made a mistake, and we never saw him to have done that again
Q. Now, let's talk about this investigation you launched into my client's video, surveillance video.

You found out a week or so after this voicemail was left by this student about this interaction my client had with someone. Do you recall this?
A. It might have been around April 12th or something. I don't remember exact date.
Q. About 10 days later you get an email from Lieutenant Morrow; right?
A. I don't know if it was an email or if it was a voicemail from Matt Fischer, who was a professional standards
administrator, but it was kind of like Mike Morrow's assistant.
Q. That's when you went and reviewed my client's video from that incident; right?
A. That's where I located it, based on the information that was given to me. That's correct.
Q. And that's when you started looking at all the other videos that my client had done in 2012; right?
A. After I saw certain behavior on that video that I determined I felt was troubling, I brought it forward, and I did start to look at other videos for similar behaviors, correct.
Q. Huh. But you never looked at anybody else's videos. You never went through any other officer and look through dozens of their video, did you?
A. I definitely say I didn't look at it as much as I did for Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. Up to that point, you had never done that for any officer; isn't that right?
A. The Watch Guard system was new, and that is the first time

I used the videos to review things for performance investigation purposes.
Q. Huh. Well, you didn't just look at some of my client's videos. You actually looked at every single video he had from 2012, didn't you?
A. From what I recollect, I believe I did.
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Q. And you guys spent hours reviewing all of my client's videos; right?
A. No. There's basically four separate audios, so it's fair to assume it was more than two hours.
Q. Now, let's talk about what was going through your mind and the department's mind as of August 13, 2012.

At that meeting with my client, it was audio-recorded; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Both you and Randy Wardlow, from HR, were in agreement that the department was going to take my client and do a retraining; right?
A. That was the goal at that time; correct.
Q. Termination was not the goal as of August 13, 2012, after that meeting; right?
A. Not as far as myself and Randy Wardlow were involved at that point.
Q. And, in fact, you drafted a letter with a plan for how to
retrain my client; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Who asked you to draft that plan?
A. I don't know if it was Randy Wardlow or the chief. I don't remember.
Q. I'd like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 169. Now, the date at the top is when I believe the archive came from it.
A. Okay.
Q. There's no date on that email; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Do you have --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, let me offer it.
Plaintiffs offer 169.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: Received.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. On the second page there, what was the date for retraining? When was it supposed to start?
A. Effective September 13, 2012.
Q. Okay. So do you know when you wrote this email? Obviously, it was sometime between September -- August 13 and the meeting you had and September 13.
A. I don't know. It doesn't have a date on it. I wouldn't know.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can we publish the 169 please,
Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Mr. Hess, can you take 169 and
just --
MR. HESS: Which page?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: The first page. Blow it up.


BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Aside from that last sentence, "I have about 40 more minutes of audio to review from the HR meeting," that means this was sent after this August 13th HR meeting, doesn't it? A. Yes.
Q. So before my client -- before September 13th, the plan within the department and HR was to retrain my client; right?
A. As I was working with Randy Wardlow, that's what me and him were discussing. Morrow had a separate investigation going on, and I don't know what effect that would have had on this. Q. Now, this letter was never given to my client until after this litigation began; isn't that right?
A. I don't know when it was given to him.
Q. You never gave it to him, this retraining plan?
A. I don't recall giving it to him, no.
Q. So sometime over the coming weeks after you drafted this, you got an email from the chief saying she was leaning towards termination; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And you responded to the chief and with Mike Morrow that you agreed with termination, didn't you?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. Now, I want to skip gears for a second. There was a meeting that occurred that you had with my client about his training requests. Do you remember that back in --
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A. Yes.
Q. -- early 2012?
A. Yes, I remember a meeting.
Q. And this is a meeting where my client had sent an email to Lieutenant Morrow. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. And you remember meeting with my client?
A. And Sergeant Cameron.
Q. That's your version of it.
A. That's the correct version of it.
Q. Okay. And you agree that during that meeting you might have said, "Why did you go behind my back"; correct?
A. I may have said something about going behind my back. You're correct.
Q. Isn't it a fact what you said was, "Don't think you can go behind my back and complain to Morrow. I'll always know what you do because I'm friends with Mike"?
A. I don't recall saying anything like that.
Q. Well, you were friends with Mr. Morrow, weren't you?
A. Sure. That doesn't mean I'd make some statement like that.
Q. Let's talk about -- do you remember counseling my client on multiple occasions that he needed to take more enforcement actions instead of giving too many warnings?
A. I don't remember me counseling on that. I thought that
was Sergeant Cameron.
Q. Well, you agree he was more apt to issue warnings than citations; right?
A. I never really reviewed all of his activity as far as citations and whatnot, so I don't know if I could accurately answer that. I know he wrote a lot of reports, bike impounds, graffiti, that sort of thing.
Q. I'd like to go to page 229 in your deposition.
A. Okay. Okay.
Q. Okay. That's what you stated at your deposition; right?
A. What were you referring to?
Q. Bottom of page 229 , top of 230 .
A. Let's see. "I think he was more apt to issue a warning than a citation."
Q. And that was different than other officers; right?
A. I don't know what -- how often other officers issued warnings and citations. I know there was the general feeling that that was probably the case, but without actually analyzing it myself, I wouldn't really know.
Q. Let's talk about the meeting that you had with my client on September 7, 2012. This is the meeting with Scott Cameron. Do you remember that meeting?
A. What was it regarding?
Q. This was regarding the order to not report crimes other than felonies. Do you remember that meeting?
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A. I remember standing -- asking to stand by as an observer -- or him asking me to stand by as an observer. Q. Isn't it a fact that Scott Cameron gave my client an order that he could not report any crimes unless they were felonies, didn't he?
A. I don't remember what he told him, but I know that was one
of the things said -- that was said in the meeting.
Q. And you didn't come up with that policy; right?
A. Correct. I did not.
Q. A policy like that, only reporting felonies, would have had to have come from the chief; right?
A. I don't know if it was Mike Morrow or the chief or whoever.
Q. And in all of your time working, you've never heard of a
public safety officer being told they could not report violations or misdemeanors; isn't that right?
A. I think at the time he was acting as a parking officer.
Q. My question was: In all of your time there, you have never heard of a public safety officer being told they could not report violations or misdemeanors; isn't that true?
A. During my time there, that is accurate, yes.
Q. And my client sent emails to you and Sergeant Cameron clarifying that that was the request that he was now under; to not report anything but felonies. Right?
A. Well, I remember he sent an email, yeah.

THE COURT: Cross-examination?
MS. COIT: Thank you.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Lieutenant Lebrecht, just a couple of things.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I show Exhibit 158 that
plaintiff used?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Now, Lieutenant Lebrecht, this is the policy you discussed
earlier with Mr. Kafoury. Do you recall this?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I just want to take you back to 612.2, the policy,
and can you read that first sentence for us?
A. Under the 612.2?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay. The University of Oregon Police Department will
conduct fair and impartial criminal investigations and will provide the prosecution with both incriminating and exculpatory evidence, as well as information that may adversely affect the credibility of a witness.
Q. Okay. When you were putting together the information to submit to the district attorney, were you conducting a criminal investigation of Mr. Cleavenger?
A. No, I was not.
Q. Do you believe the information that you provided may adversely affect the credibility of Mr. Cleavenger should he testify as a witness?
A. As a witness? I thought it's the DA's decision to
determine whether somebody would have no credibility.
Q. In your opinion, did you comply with this policy?
A. I believe I did.
Q. And let's go to the Internet issue.
A. Okay.
Q. The credibility issue that was turned over to the district
attorney, did that deal with his actually using the Internet in violation of policy, or did it deal with his credibility in the internal affairs investigation?
A. It was during the internal affairs investigation, like I
tried to explain -- maybe it didn't come across right -- that because he made a statement in the internal affairs investigation he never intentionally violated any policies.
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That's why it was included.
Q. And when reviewing the internal affairs investigation, it was his interview, correct, that he had with Mike Morrow, where he made that statement?
A. That's correct.
Q. From your reading of that interview, did you develop an opinion as to why he was making that statement to Lieutenant Morrow?
A. I thought possibly embellishing, misleading.
Q. And from your prior involvement in having pulled that Internet history, you knew that statement, made in the internal affairs investigation, to be false; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now let's go to the April 7th meeting that you had with Sergeant Cameron and Mr. Cleavenger to discuss what happened at Spencer View. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Going into that meeting, did you have any reason to suspect that the position of Officer Hermens' vehicle was even an issue?
A. No.
Q. When did that become an issue?
A. When Mr. Cleavenger said he was parked in view of the apartment and we should be talking to him instead of -- we should be talking to Officer Hermens instead of Mr. Cleavenger .
Q. And you left that meeting with Mr. Cleavenger to go review that video and talk to Officer Hermens; correct?
A. That's correct.

MS. COIT: Thank you. No more questions. We will be recalling him.

THE COURT: Redirect?

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. You said you weren't conducting a criminal investigation on the Brady material. Is that what you just testified to?
A. My intention was not to conduct a criminal investigation.
Q. Well, the chief had intention for you to be conducting a criminal investigation because she asked the district attorney --

THE COURT: I didn't get your question, Counsel.
Would you just reask it?
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. The chief wanted you to be conducting a criminal investigation because she had you to tell the district attorney if they could press criminal charges on my client?
A. To ask -- well, there was no criminal report written, so that wasn't any intention. That was a question that was just going to be asked. It had nothing to do with the Brady materials I had. It would have been a completely separate
materials I had. It would have been a completely separate
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report if it were to go through, which was never even started to be written.
Q. Okay. You talked about -- so my client basically made a statement during the IA investigation saying, "I've never knowingly violated any policies within the department"; right?
A. He made the statement along those lines and added a few other things, yeah.
Q. How many policies are within the department?
A. Well, there's a lot.
Q. Right. Brady is just 612 of many; right?
A. I don't know what -- it's entitled 612, but I don't know if that's the 612th policy. But I get what you're saying, yeah.
Q. Right. It's hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of pages; right?
A. Yes.
Q. So once he's made that statement, the he's never knowingly violated it, you could have gone into the entire stack of policies and found anything in the universe that he could have violated and then said that that was Brady material; right?
A. I guess somebody could do that, but my intention was based on something I had already known of and based on what he had already produced as evidence in the internal affairs investigation.
Q. Right. And that is because you had already gone and

THE COURT: Redirect?

> REDIRECT EXAMINATION
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report if it were to go through, which was never even started
to be written.
Q. Okay. You talked about -- so my client basically made a
statement during the IA investigation saying, "I've never
knowingly violated any policies within the department"; right?
A. He made the statement along those lines and added a few
other things, yeah.
Q. How many policies are within the department?
A. Well, there's a lot.
Q. Right. Brady is just 612 of many; right?
A. I don't know what -- it's entitled 612, but I don't know
if that's the 612 th policy. But I get what you're saying,
yeah.
Q. Right. It's hundreds and hundreds and hundreds of pages;
right?
A. Yes.
Q. So once he's made that statement, the he's never knowingly
violated it, you could have gone into the entire stack of
policies and found anything in the universe that he could have
violated and then said that that was Brady material; right?
A. I guess somebody could do that, but my intention was based
on something I had already known of and based on what he had
already produced as evidence in the internal affairs
investigation.
Q. Right. And that is because you had already gone and
a
searched people's Internet search history and you knew how easy
it was to show that someone could violate that policy?
A. That wasn't even taken into consideration.
Q. So violation of grooming standards, that's a policy?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. He could have been dishonest about that, too; right?
A. That was in the Brady material.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Nothing further.
Donna Laue, Your Honor. We call --
MS. COIT: No questions.
THE COURT: All right. Sir, you may step down, and
you will be calling Lieutenant Lebrecht in your case?
MS. COIT: I will be calling Lieutenant Lebrecht.
THE COURT: Counsel your next witness, please.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: The plaintiffs call Donna Laue to
the stand.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: She went to the restroom, so
we'll start with the chief.
THE COURT: Do you want her as your next witness?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No, it's okay. We'll start with the chief.

THE COURT: Chief McDermed, be kind enough to raise your right hand, please.
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CAROLYN MCDERMED,
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Would you please be seated in the witness
box just to my right. After you're comfortably seated, pull the chair closer to the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Now, would you state for the record your
full name, please.
THE WITNESS: Carolyn McDermed, M-C-D-E-R-M-E-D.
THE COURT: Thank you. Direct examination by plaintiff's counsel.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.

> DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Hi.
Q. Can you give me a brief overview of your professional experience; what you did before you got to the UOPD that has to do with law enforcement?
A. I was hired by San Diego Police Department in 1983 and then served as a patrol officer, a field training officer, and a foot patrol officer there. And in 1991 I moved from there to
the Eugene Police Department, and I worked at the Eugene Police
Department as an officer, agent, sergeant and lieutenant and
acting captain, in a variety of positions, and then in 19 -- or
in 2008 I moved to the University of Oregon, what was then the
Department of Public Safety and is now the University of Oregon
Police Department.
Q. And when did you become acting chief?
A. I became the acting chief, I believe, February of 2012.
Q. And prior to being active chief, were you basically
running the place, just checking in with Tripp?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. That's when you were acting chief?

THE COURT: The question? That's confusing. Reask
the question.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. The time period when you were acting chief, were you still communicating with -- I don't know his title. I'd like to use his title.
A. We called him "Chief."
Q. Chief Tripp.
A. Chief Tripp was at the police academy, beginning, I believe, in February of 2012, and so I would communicate with him, but he was not physically there most of the time.
Q. You received Casey Boyd's letter complaining about Lebrecht?
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A. I don't recall it, sir. I heard her speak of it.
Q. Let me get you -- do you have copies of the depositions?

There's two volumes, the higher numbered pages will be in the second volume.

Can I direct you to page 362?
THE COURT: December 2nd or January 28th?
MR. MCDOUGAL: January 28th.
THE COURT: Thank you. 352?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 362.
THE COURT: 362.
MR. MCDOUGAL: 362, line 4. You can read anything
before or after. Don't feel rushed.
THE WITNESS: Okay. I've refreshed my memory .
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Do you recall testifying at the deposition
that you read Casey Boyd's letter at around the time it was sent?
A. For a little context, just to make sure I know what we're talking about, are we talking about the one she provided to -when she left the university?
Q. Yes.
A. Thank you, sir. Yes, I did read it.
Q. There was not any sort of investigation of Lieutenant Lebrecht, was there?
A. No.
Q. When is the first time you heard the phrase "bowl of dicks list"?
A. I heard the phrase commented on in the arbitration hearing in November of 2013.
Q. And was it bothersome to you?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you know any names that were put on the list?
A. I don't recall hearing names at the arbitration hearing
in -- I may have to refresh my memory, but I don't
independently recall names from the arbitration hearing.
Q. You recall it being called the "bowl of dicks list" at the hearing, though; correct?
A. No, I do not. I heard that -- someone asked the question
about a bowl of dicks list, but I didn't hear anybody calling it that.
Q. Did you take it upon yourself, as chief, to find out about this?
A. I did.
Q. Did you get the list in November?
A. No, I did not.
Q. When did you get the list?
A. I'm trying to remember when that was. I'd have to refresh my memory. That's when I was meeting with my supervisor.
Q. Was it -- just to help in the timeline, was it after the newspaper articles?

1178
McDermed - D
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And about -- do you know how long it was between November, when you heard about it in the arbitration, and the newspaper articles?
A. A couple of months. Two, three months probably.
Q. Was it July of 2014 that the newspaper article came out?
A. That sounds right, sir.
Q. So that would be eight months later?
A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: July of 2014?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes, sir.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Would you agree that -- did you ever find out whether or not people were talking about people eating bowls of dicks?
A. No.
Q. Did you, in November, find out why it was at least referenced by someone as a bowl of dicks list?
A. I spoke to Lieutenant Lebrecht after the arbitration hearing. I asked him, "What is this?" because I was really concerned, and he explained to me -- he basically said: We talked about a list and a bowl of dicks, but not together. They were not one entity. They were two separate things.
Q. That's what he told you?
A. He did.
Q. That he -- did he make it clear that nobody was ever
with people eating bowls of dicks or a bowl of dicks?
A. No.
Q. The incident with the handgun and the lady being
transported, when you learned that, did you also learn that
there was a dispute about whether Cleavenger had told Cameron
that the woman was armed?
A. No.
Q. May I turn to your deposition, page 135?
A. Line?
Q. \(\quad\) The question starts at line 5 and ends at line 16.
A. Well, correction, sir. Apparently, I thought there might
have been some dispute about whether he told him or not.
Q. Your words: There was dispute about whether
Officer Cleavenger had told him that the woman was armed.
Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you direct anyone to talk to Officer Hermens?
    THE COURT: I'm sorry. You dropped your voice. You
dropped your voice.
    MR. MCDOUGAL: Sorry. I stood away from the mic.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Did you direct anyone to talk to Officer Hermens, the
other witness?
A. No, I did not, but I learned that Officer Lebrecht was
looking into it.
```

talked about as eating a bowl of dicks or in relation to a bowl

```
talked about as eating a bowl of dicks or in relation to a bowl
of dicks and then put on a list?
of dicks and then put on a list?
A. No, he did not.
A. No, he did not.
Q. So he made it clear that that never happened?
Q. So he made it clear that that never happened?
A. He was adamant they were two separate things.
A. He was adamant they were two separate things.
Q. When you got the list, did you inquire how the first
Q. When you got the list, did you inquire how the first
person got on the list?
person got on the list?
A. I did not.
A. I did not.
Q. Did you notice any notable people on the list that were
Q. Did you notice any notable people on the list that were
involved in U of O student body?
involved in U of O student body?
A. Not that I recall.
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Notice any former employees?
Q. Notice any former employees?
A. Yes, sir.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you find out why they were put on the list?
Q. Did you find out why they were put on the list?
A. I did not.
A. I did not.
Q. Why not?
Q. Why not?
A. I contacted one former employee. Technically, I think he
A. I contacted one former employee. Technically, I think he
might have still been our employee, but we were in two separate
might have still been our employee, but we were in two separate
buildings. Anyway, I contacted him to let him know he was on
buildings. Anyway, I contacted him to let him know he was on
the list, because I thought it was fair for him to know in case
the list, because I thought it was fair for him to know in case
his name was published in the paper, and I asked him, "Do you
his name was published in the paper, and I asked him, "Do you
know why you might be on the list," and he says -- he asked me,
know why you might be on the list," and he says -- he asked me,
"Why am I on the list?"
"Why am I on the list?"
Q. Did you ask Mr. Lebrecht to talk to Mr. LeRoy, the person
Q. Did you ask Mr. Lebrecht to talk to Mr. LeRoy, the person
maintaining the list, to ask him if the list had anything to do
```

maintaining the list, to ask him if the list had anything to do

```
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\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. Officer Fields -- Phillips was a senior public safety
officer also; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And Officer Phillips even conducted field training for other officers; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you heard -- or when you were presiding over this matter at the time, you then knew that one of your officers that provides field training just drove right up to the house?
A. Yes.
Q. Was he disciplined?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Did anybody even talk to him about it?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Did it cross your mind he might not be training people to
do it right?
A. No.
Q. Well, it's an officer safety issue; right?
A. It could be, yes. It's important not to pull up in front of the house or an apartment.
Q. It's really important not to have instructors do it; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And nobody talked to him?
Q. Do you know if Officer Lebrecht talked to Officer Hermens ?
A. I believe he did.
Q. Would there be a written report?
A. I don't think there was a written report made.
Q. Why not? There was a dispute, and a serious action was
going to be taken against Mr. Cleavenger.
A. There was no report, that I'm aware of.
Q. And did anyone talk to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't know.
Q. Did you ask him?
A. No.
Q. And so I'm -- was Mr. Cleavenger even told that this
decision was being made based on that event?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Why wouldn't he be told?
By mR. MCDougAL: (Continuing)
Q. Why wouldn't he be told?
A. Sir, if you could put a little context about this. Do you
mean did he ever learn this was a reason?
Q. No. I mean, at the time.
A. At the time? I don't know. I don't know if he was or
wasn't.
Q. Spencer View Apartments. Officer Hermens was a senior
public safety officer; correct?
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A. I don't know.
Q. Did you say, "We've got a field training officer pulling
directly up to a house. We better put something in writing"?
A. No.
Q. Did you review other videos of your field training
officer --
A. I did not.
Q. -- to see if he had done that on numerous occasions?
Did you direct anyone to do it?
A. No.
Q. How many videos did Lieutenant Lebrecht review of
Mr. Cameron -- Mr. Cleavenger? Sorry.
A. Many. I don't know the number for sure.
Q. Over 25?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of any other officer that has had 25
of their videos reviewed ever?
A. No.
Q. Anybody having }10\mathrm{ reviewed?
A. Not that I can think of.
Q. Five or more?
A. No. And, sir, just to add to that, our --
Q. I --
A. Can you --
Q. Is it a continuation of an answer to that question?

```
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Is it possible that Lebrecht reviewed over 50 videos of Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't know the number.
Q. Do you know whether or not you ever had a written policy that public safety officers couldn't do traffic stops?
A. There was a written policy.
Q. When?
A. I believe Chief Tripp issued it in 2010.
Q. Were you aware of that when your deposition was taken?
A. I believe that I have since found the policy.
Q. Okay. But you weren't aware of the policy ? Even though
it was involved with Mr. Cleavenger and asked at your
deposition, you didn't learn about it until after your deposition?
A. I didn't know the specifics of the policy. I knew we had a policy, but I wouldn't have been able to tell you exactly what it said.
Q. May I please direct you to page 192 of your deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. Line 11. Were you asked if there was a written policy?
A. Yes.
Q. And your answer is, "I don't know that we ever found a
written policy"?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you went on further -- I don't want to
mischaracterize -- to say that, you know, we expect this to be told in briefings. Okay. But if you weren't aware of the written policy, do you know if Mr. Cleavenger was at briefings where it was discussed?
A. No. That would be something his supervisors would know .
Q. Is that documented?
A. I don't know, sir.
Q. Some organizations, I'm sure you're aware, have people sign training manuals.
A. Yes.
Q. And they make sure that everything that is in writing is gathered in one place so that an employee can be on fair notice of what the rules are.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think Mr. Cleavenger had a right to know, when he was being suspended, the days and times of problematic callouts?
A. I think that would be fair.
Q. Do you know why he wasn't given dates and times before he was suspended?
A. No.
Q. He was sent to traffic duty; correct? Parking duty. I'm
sorry. Parking?
A. Parking, yes.
Q. And, once there, was he able to do anything that would be
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able to earn his way back?
A. I think it depends on what he was doing. I think you can demonstrate good work ethic and initiate -- self-initiated activity and -- and work in a different way than -- than what his previous assignment was. I think there could be a way to get back into that position.
Q. Can I have you look at page 275 of your deposition, line 17? 276, line --

THE COURT: Just a minute. 272?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 276.
THE COURT: 276, line 19?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Lines 8 through 20.
THE COURT: All right. Just a moment.
THE WITNESS: I said no at the time, but, as I think
of it now, I think there is other ways you can earn -- try to
win your place back or improve. I think in any position you can demonstrate things.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. But you agree at your deposition you were asked
very plainly that question, and you said no?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you write a letter to Mr. Cleavenger about the fact
that he was being investigated?
A. No. Can I change that?
Q. Certainly.
A. There was a letter that included, I think, in his -- I
don't know. I may need it to refresh my memory. But I believe
in his reassignment memo it may have alluded to an
investigation occurring.
Q. Okay. And there was no explanation of what he was being
investigated for; right?
A. No, sir.
Q. And he wasn't told who was doing the investigating ; right?
A. No.
Q. And you agree those are two things he should know, he
should be informed of?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's very easy to put that information in writing and
give it to him; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that ever done?
A. I don't know. There were different processes going on
with HR and my lieutenant and sergeant, and I -- I was working
on things with Linda King at the same time, so --
Q. So there was a lot of people who could have done it?
A. Yes.
Q. And, to your knowledge, it was never done?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Are you aware of any other public safety officer who
didn't receive an annual evaluation for six months after an
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initial draft was done?
A. No.
Q. Are you familiar with the Clery Act?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you agree that he was just being told not to report anything but felonies. If he was being told not to report anything but felonies, that would be a violation of the Clery Act; at least a concern?
A. It depends, sir. Reporting doesn't require that you get on a radio to report it, and I don't know what instruction he was given, because I wasn't there. I don't know in what context the conversation that he was provided with instruction was.
Q. He asked for written instructions. Do you know if he ever got them?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you know any reason why someone wouldn't simply put
that in written form if there was a federal law concern that could be implicated?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you know who ordered that he be told he could only do felonies?
A. No.
Q. It would have to come from command staff; correct?
A. It would.
Q. When did you first become aware of the obligations imposed by Brady v. Maryland; the Brady case?
A. I would really like to change my answer on that, sir. I
must have misunderstood the question when I was asked the last
time.
Q. Let's look at it.
A. I would like to.
Q. Okay. Let's go to page 195.

THE COURT: 195?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Line 22, to page 196, line 6 .
A. Yes.
Q. Actually, let's go down to line 11.

THE COURT: Just a minute. Repeat those lines again clearly. 195.

MR. MCDOUGAL: 196, line -- oh, I'm sorry. The question begins on 195 , line 22 , to as far down as 196 and onto 197 as she'd like to go.

THE WITNESS: Okay. So I misunderstood the question in that when you -- when I was asked about Brady I immediately skipped to the fact that for Oregon they had just in the last -- in '13 and '14 put a task group together or a work group together and have really looked at standardizing and making consistent the policies and procedures for reporting

McDermed - D
potential Brady issues to have the -- so prosecutors have that information, and so that's why I said it was fairly new.
Q. Well, one second. You said, "I didn't really have any knowledge about Brady'; correct?
A. And what I'm trying to tell you is that what I meant is I didn't have much of an idea about Brady procedures, and that is true.
Q. You said, your words, "Prior to that, in my law enforcement career, we really didn't -- I didn't really have any knowledge about Brady."
A. And I'm trying to say that -- I'm meaning Brady procedures and policies, like how to submit or do a Brady submission. I know about Brady. They teach us in the academy. It's just foundation for police work.
Q. One second. I'm sorry. I have a page reference that's wrong. It's going to take me one second.

You also said, "It's a fairly new thing in the state of Oregon to look at"; right?
A. Yes, sir. I can't recall anyone at the Eugene Police

Department, even when I worked in internal affairs, that processed a Brady submission.
Q. That's different than knowing about it?
A. I didn't know how to do one, because I never had.
Q. And do you recall saying when you learned about it -Brady -- when you learned about the Brady matter, Brady issue,
```

or Brady listing?
A. Did I -- I don't understand the question, sir.
Q. You even related that you were at a convention.
A. Oh.
Q. Do you remember? And that's when you heard about it?
A. I learned about the work group that was working on putting
together policies and procedures for the application of Brady
first at a convention, January '13, I guess, where
DA Alex Gardner spoke about it, and then there was further
discussion in June of '13, I guess, by Chief Deputy
Assistant -- now the district attorney -- Patty Perlow, who
spoke some more about it.
Q. Fair to say that you're aware that one issue in this case
is if you thought it was a Bradymatter why did you wait so
long?
A. Well, we were -- as you know, we were working on
investigations for what I think was too long. It took too
long. And so there wasn't a lot of material done yet.
Q. One possible excuse could be, "I wasn't aware of Brady
until January." That's correct?
A. I was aware of Brady, sir.
Q. Do you remember a Bowes who worked for the department?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And what did she do that might be untrustworthy?
A. She committed theft.

```

McDermed - D
Q. She made a fraudulent parking pass?
A. She did.
Q. Was she Brady-listed by you?
A. She was not. To my knowledge, that's a case that we had assigned out to the Eugene Police Department, and they conducted the investigation and eventually -- they did not
file. The settlement agreement was reached.
Q. You didn't Brady-list her?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall in your deposition, page 296, giving a
reason for not doing a Brady listing of her?
A. I do remember my answer.
Q. What was your answer?
A. That was correct. I didn't know theft applied. I always
thought about untruthfulness, and I'd forgotten the application of fraud, theft.
Q. "Well, I don't recall there was a dishonesty issue."

That's almost immediate ly after you testified on the page above that the issue was theft of services creating a parking pass fraudulently.
A. I -- that's what I just said. I had forgotten that theft was an application of Brady -- or applied to Brady.
Q. How about fraud?
A. And fraud.
Q. So with her you didn't think there was a dishonesty issue;
correct?
A. Yes. I made a mistake.
Q. Let's look at -- fair to say that by the time you
testified today you knew we had a lot of documents showing that you knew of Brady earlier?
A. Can you repeat the question?
Q. Fair to say that before you testified today you knew that our side had documents that could show that you knew of Brady earlier?
A. I still don't quite understand the question, sir. Can you just rephrase it a little differently?
Q. Before you testified, were you aware that I have documents
that show you knew of Brady before January of 2014?
A. I don't know. I -- I think there was an exhibit that we
put Brady into our police hiring pack announcement.
Q. That would be evidence that you knew?
A. Okay. That's one I can think of.
Q. All right. I'm going to hand you a few exhibits.

THE COURT: What exhibits are they, counsel? MR. MCDOUGAL: They're going to be 63. THE COURT: Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 3. Thank you. MR. MCDOUGAL: 63.
THE COURT: Just a moment. 6 and 3?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 63.
THE COURT: 63. Thank you.
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MR. MCDOUGAL: And 215.
THE COURT: 2-1-5. 215.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. And 170.
THE COURT: 170.
MR. MCDOUGAL: And that's it.
THE COURT: 63, 215, and 170?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Can you tell me -- excuse me for talking not facing you.

Can you tell me what Exhibit 63 is?
A. It is an email from me to my command staff.
Q. Can you tell me what 171 is?

THE COURT: Just a moment. 171?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, did I not mention that?
THE COURT: 63, 215, and 170.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, I think -- I think I might have given you a deposition exhibit number, Your Honor. Give me a second.

THE COURT: Certainly. That's why I'm re-calling out the numbers to you, Counsel. What exhibit are you giving now ?

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm not. I'm making sure it was a mistake.

DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: 63 is number 168 on the exhibit list.

THE COURT: 63 is number 168 , so we stop the
confusion. You have 215 and you have 170. You just referred to 171. Do you want her to have that as well?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Then why don't you hand that to her. MR. MCDOUGAL: The only copy I have is highlighted, and I didn't want to do that, so I'll move on so I don't waste any time here.

THE COURT: Don't worry about time.
MR. MCDOUGAL: All right. Mr. Hess, I need another copy of that.

THE COURT: Counsel, why don't I give you back the copies, to save time, that you gave me. That way you may have it inside the packet. Just hand me a copy each time you refer to it. That way we can proceed.

These are the packets you gave me last evening.
MR. MCDOUGAL: All right. Make sure I don't waste any more time, as usual.

THE COURT: That's not a problem, Counsel. Just make
sure the exhibits are correct.
MR. MCDOUGAL: My apologies. What numbers do you
have?
THE COURT: She has 63 and 168, which is the same -DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Judge, 63 is actually 168.
THE COURT: Yes. 63, which is also 168. She has 215, according to my records, and 170.
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Is that correct, Chief?
THE WITNESS: I'm waiting for 170.
THE COURT: You don't have 170 yet.
MR. MCDOUGAL: There's 170.
THE COURT: You have 170 -- and what else are you giving her?

MR. MCDOUGAL: 173. Although, I may not have questions about it.

THE COURT: 173?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: You also mentioned 171. Do you want her to have that?

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll give her 171.
THE COURT: Did you give her 171?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Do you have 230?
THE WITNESS: No, sir.
MR. MCDOUGAL: And 230 as well.
THE COURT: Let's be certain. 63, which also 168.
It's the same document. \(215,1-7-0,170,173,171\), and 230
should be before you. Is that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Counsel?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Are you familiar with all these documents?
A. Just a moment. Yes, sir.
Q. Yes. I want you to have access to those documents, because I'm going to be asking you some questions about them.
A. Okay.
Q. If you feel you need to be able to explain your answer better by having them shown to the jury, I'm glad to do that.
A. Okay.
Q. At some point are you trying to resolve matters with

Mr. Cleavenger when -- when I say you, I'm sorry, I mean the department -- through potential settlement?
A. Context?
Q. Context: Are there settlement negotiations going on at some point?
A. There was some going on after the arbitration decision.
Q. Okay. And that was before he was Brady-listed; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And parts of those discussions were that you were going to give him a letter of recommendation; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You actually signed a letter of recommendation; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that you were going to keep his employment file confidential.
A. I don't recall that part.
Q. Okay. The letter of recommendation -- it was a letter of recommendation?
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A. It was a neutral reference letter, I believe.
Q. Now, would it be an act of dishonesty to give somebody a reference letter if you thought they should be Brady-listed?
A. I was told to write that letter.
Q. You signed it?
A. I was told to sign it.
Q. You would allow other people to tell you to do something
that might be dishonest?
A. It was general counsel.
Q. Did you say, "Hey, I can't do this. What are you doing telling me to do this?" How loudly did you object?
A. I don't remember objecting.
Q. On that topic, did I give you your email that you wrote right after the arbitration decision -- the Monday after the arbitration decision? Is that one of the ones I gave you?
A. It's 168.
Q. Okay. Now, there was some talk about this email in
opening. Do you recall that?
A. I -- I don't specifically, sir.
Q. You were upset and venting your personal email?
A. Yes.
Q. First, is this in any way, shape, or form your personal email?
A. No.
Q. In fact, there's something called the Public Records Act;
```

right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You have to keep this?
A. Yes.
Q. You have to give it to somebody if they ask for it?
A. Yes.
Q. And you had learned about the arbitrator's award on a
Thursday; correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And this email that was referred to as venting was four
days later?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Were you so upset about the arbitration that four days
later you were still venting?
A. I was very concerned about what I would do if
Mr. Cleavenger came back.
Q. Did the DA ask you to provide any information that you
were aware of relevant to assessing the veracity of
Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Can you take a look at Exhibit 170.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I would offer 170 at this point,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: Received.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Please publish 170, Mr. Hess.

```
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BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I would like you to take your time to read 170, and I would have you point out some portion to the Court and to the jury.

THE COURT: You can publish 170, Counsel.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Have you had a chance to read it?
A. I believe so.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay. Mr. Hess, can you pull up the last sentence of the first paragraph?

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. Does it say: We're asking for your help in gathering relevant information bearing on his training, experience, veracity, and credibility?
A. Yes.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you pull up -- down at
the bottom, the -- I guess it's the second full sentence, starting with "since," and highlight it?

No. Second paragraph. Second full sentence. Thank you.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Can you read that to the jury?
A. Since you supervised Officer Cleavenger and your agency contributed to his experience and training, we're asking you to review the attached report and provide any additional relevant background you can share.

If you're aware of any other information relevant -I'm sorry. I can't read the rest.

THE COURT: Oh, it's cut off.
THE WITNESS: -- relevant to assessing the veracity
of Officer Cleavenger or you are aware of his local reputation for veracity, please share whatever you can with me, Patty Perlow, or Paul Graebner, so we can make the best possible decision.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you remember a police officer?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you know what exculpatory evidence is? Can you describe it?
A. Something that would bring forth evidence that some person was innocent of something.
Q. And so he's asking for information. You would -- if you had it, you would provide information both ways; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody what his reputation for truthfulness was among the staff?
A. No, I didn't. I forwarded this to general counsel. We submitted our whole packet and I forwarded it to general counsel for further instruction.
Q. Did you ever get any further instruction?
A. I don't recall any.
Q. He's asking for as much information as you can give him that goes both ways, and there's no response?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Can you read out loud the exhibit numbers in front of you?
A. All of them?
Q. Yes.
A. \(168,170,215,171,173,230\).

MR. MCDOUGAL: I would move that all of those exhibits that have not yet been admitted, be admitted.

THE COURT: First of all, 170 has already been received into evidence. 215 is received into evidence. 168 is received into evidence, which has already been marked as 63 .

So there's no confusion with the jurors, it's a double marking.

MR. MCDOUGAL: The --
THE COURT: 173 is received into evidence. 171 is received into evidence. And 230 is received into evidence.

MR. MCDOUGAL: May I confer with counsel for just two seconds?

THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. When you were chief, the department had agreed that it
would arbitrate disputes; right?
A. You mean with the local union?
Q. Yeah.
A. Yeah.
Q. Okay. And it was understood that a neutral person would
be the arbitrator; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you agreed to what's called binding arbitration;
correct?
A. I believe so.
Q. It means you can't even appeal it because you're going to
go with what that person says?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you're bound to do that, by your agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you mad at the arbitrator for saying the things he
said about Mr. Cleavenger's honesty?
A. No.
Q. Were you venting about that?
A. No.
Q. You've got a document that you just read where somebody
says he's an honest man. Wasn't your first reaction, the very
first time you learned of the arbitration award, to say he
should be Brady-listed?
A. No, sir.
Q. When did you first learn of the arbitration decision? You
can look at some of those documents there.
A. Thursday, March 6th.
A. Thursday, March 6th.
fine that you read it. Just slowly.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I read the part that I want.
THE COURT: Just read it again, because the court
reporter didn't get it.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Just read it again. Read it again.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Here is the opinion and award from the arbitrator. I
responded to Doug Park's email.
Do you know when you responded to Doug Park's email?
A. I don't know.
Q. It was before this email, though, obviously, though;
right?
A. It appears like that.
Q. Move up the page, Mr. Hess.
A. Okay.
Q. Then Monday, March 10, literally four minutes after you
send your email, Lieutenant Bechdolt responds, "There are Brady issues." So that's his immediate response?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you write him back and say, "Oh, the arbitrator found that he was honest"?
A. No, I didn't.
Q. Okay. Then what happens next?
A. Then I -- then I respond back to him.
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Q. What document number are you looking at?
A. 168.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission to publish 168?
THE COURT: You may.
MR. HESS: Which page?
MR. MCDOUGAL: First page.
MR. HESS: Which paragraph?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Go from the bottom.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. So just correct me if I'm wrong. I'm trying to summarize here. You know it was March 6th because we see that part of the email right there.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You got it from Douglas Park. He's a lawyer. Okay? And he sends you that. And you have a conversation with him; right?
A. I don't believe I had a -- you mean a phone conversation ?
Q. Yeah.
A. I don't believe so. I don't remember.
Q. Let's move up. Go to the next one. You get that on Thursday. You send out your -- on Monday: Here's the opinion and award from the arbitrator. I responded to Doug Park's email that while I respected his expertise --

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Could you -THE COURT: Read it slowly, Counsel, please. It's
```

A. Yes.
Q. You gave him the letter asking for any other information
regarding veracity; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And he never sent it?
A. I don't know that.
Q. Randy Geller also knew?
A. I don't know.
Q. If it is a matter of throwing somebody out of a career
basically -- you'd agree that's what it is if they get
Brady-listed?
A. Not necessarily.
Q. It's --
A. It depends what they're going to do. I do know officers
who are still employed.
Q. For a young officer?
A. Pardon me?
Q. Let's just go to your deposition, perhaps.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. It will take me one second. Page 206, lines 1 through 5.
A. Yes.
Q. You were asked: Question: Well, you knew this was a
serious decision that Officer Cleavenger's career as a police
officer was on the line; right?
And what was your answer?

```
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A. "Sir, I actually do know that. I know how I would feel."
Q. Now, you say you know how you would feel. If there was a document saying you were honest about a number of matters in the Brady materials and the person who reported the Brady knew it and had it and didn't give it to him, how would you feel?
A. I don't know that wasn't given to him. I didn't give it to him.
Q. And you initiated the Brady listing?
A. I did. It was my decision.
Q. And you were in charge? You could have?
A. It was --
Q. In fact, it was in the first draft.
A. Correct.
Q. Who would take it out?
A. We -- I wasn't sure if it should be submitted, and we thought that we could have the DA ask for it if they -- or if the investigator team --
Q. You're dealing with a man's career and you are editing out stuff that's favorable to him?
A. I didn't edit out, sir.

THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't get you both at the same time.

THE COURT: I think you two spoke over each other.
Reask the question.
///

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Who decide to pull the arbitration award from the Brady materials?
A. I don't recall. I told Lieutenant Lebrecht that the report was very big and it needed to be summarized.
Q. So you told him not to give it, but instead to give a summary?
A. I -- it wasn't specific to the arbitration award. I told him his whole report was very long and it needed to be summarized.
Q. Well, it isn't part of the report; this attachment?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. It could have been attached; right? So what was he summarizing?
A. His investigation, which I assumed that the -- he had included that settlement in.
Q. You mean the arbitration award?
A. Yes.
Q. At a certain point, a lot of people review the final materials that are going to be submitted; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Why don't you look at the email and tell me how many people were involved in the decision not to give arbitration award -- not -- to give the Brady materials without the arbitration award. How many people reviewed that final draft?
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A. I'm not sure who reviewed the final draft. I know Lieutenant Lebrecht and I did and probably Captain Deshpende. Q. You have an email there where the final draft is emailed out to a bunch of people.
A. Can you direct me to the right --
Q. Let me make sure I'm not mistaken that you have it. It has some black boxes on it. You have to look at the subject line to see what's -- excuse my reach. That's it.
Exhibit 230.
A. Okay.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you publish Exhibit 230?
THE WITNESS: Sir, I didn't know we were talking about this document.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. What is this -- does this document have the Brady materials attached to it?
A. This was the best practices document, from Alex Gardner, they sent out to all the chiefs of police.
Q. So you're just forwarding it?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. My mistake. I misunderstood because of the title of the document. It said Brady.

Who was on the committee? Or let me back up. That assumes something. Were there a group of people, not just yourself, involved in putting the Brady materials together?
```

A. Lieutenant Lebrecht did put the report together.
Q. Who reviewed it?
A. Captain Deshpende.
Q. And who else? I want to know --
A. Me.
Q. -- anybody else who reviewed it before it was sent to the
DA.
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did Doug Park review it?
A. No.
Q. Did Andrea Coit review it?
A. No.
Q. Was it your testimony that the lawyers weren't involved
with sending out the original Bradymaterials, but they were
involved when there was requests made about them?
A. I believe so.
Q. They were involved with your letter of recommendation,
correct, for Mr. Cleavenger? The reference letter.
A. The neutral reference letter, yes.
Q. They were also aware, when drafting that reference letter,
that you all -- the department was going to Brady list
Mr. Cleavenger; correct?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. You don't believe -- I'll cover that with someone else.
MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.

```
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Oh, hold on one more moment.
THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'll
ask you to take a recess and stretch for a minute. We'll get you in 20 minutes. Take a recess. Don't discuss this matter amongst yourselves. And, counsel, remain for the moment. Chief, you may step down.
```

                                    (Jury not present.)
    ```

THE COURT: Counsel, I want to go back on the record,
outside the presence of the jury.
You may step down, Chief. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Oh.
THE COURT: Thank you. I want you to put Exhibit
No. 168 up on the stand -- or up on the Elmo again, so all of you can see that. I'm curious about the attorney-client privilege or what the redactions are and if they're appropriate or not. I want you to go down to the next portion, under the redacted portion, and I'm going to summarize it. It basically is a beginning sentence that says, "To whoever the general counsel is" -- and I'm not certain if it's Doug Park or somebody else, because it's redacted, I'm going to assume it's Doug Park.

Basically, it appears that the chief has received some
kind of information from somebody who purports to be somebody who's general counsel and has decided potentially or hypothetically or speculatively not to follow it.

So let's read together, because I don't know what that redacted portion is yet. What I'm eventually going to ask you is if the Court made a ruling that caused this redaction or whether this was an agreement based upon the attorney-client privilege.

So let's read together.
Would you pull up that next paragraph?

\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: Page 2?}

THE COURT: Yes, page 2. I want to see the first
line. No. Go back for a moment. Not what I'm looking for.
Yes, could you blow it up? Here's the opinion. Okay.
This is from the chief to her -- Pete Deshpande, who I believe is the captain; Brandon Lebrecht, who's the lieutenant; and Andrew Bechdolt, who we've heard from.
"Here's the opinion and award from the arbitrator. I responded to Doug Park's email that while I respected his expertise, I feared the precedence that would be set."

Now, I don't know what was said in that first portion, because I've never seen that.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Neither have we, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, I understand that, Counsel.
So it could be that there's some advice being given by

Doug Park, who I assume is general counsel --
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: -- for the University of Oregon.
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: And it could be that the chief has gone
against that advice and acted independently.
Now, before, during the testimony, there was a discussion earlier today about why give a letter of recommendation while sanctions were being filed concerning Brady violations. In other words, you drove at the point that settlement negotiations were going on after arbitration and you pointed to a number of documents, Counsel, and you were trying to point out that apparently you believe that this wasn't in good faith when there was a Brady violation taking place and settlement negotiations were going on, and the chief's response was general counsel instructed her.

On one hand, your testimony, Chief, involves general counsel instructing you, which you seemed to have submitted to ; on the other hand, it appears -- and I haven't read this redacted portion yet -- and I'm about to -- that you were going against explicit instructions from general counsel.

How did this information get redacted? Was this a ruling that the Court made instructing you to do this, or was this an agreement between the two of you?

MR. MCDOUGAL: No, Your Honor, it wasn't an
agreement. It was produced redacted.
THE COURT: It was produced redacted.
MS. COIT: It was produced redacted.
THE COURT: Why was this produced redacted?
MS. COIT: Because it was attorney-client privilege
THE COURT: Doesn't this become highly relevant now?
MS. COIT: Your Honor, if you would like, I'm more
between Chief McDermed and Doug Park.
than happy to produce the unredacted version.
THE COURT: Let's unredact that for a moment. I
would like to see what that says, because, in a sense, if the
chief is on one hand acquiescing and submitting to general
counsel but on the other hand disregarding general counsel,
then I think that the defense and the plaintiff are both
entitled to know that. And if this is simply your choice of
redaction, this never came before this Court for a ruling.
This is something simply given to counsel on plaintiff's side.
So let's unredact that and see what this says.
MS. COIT: My associate is going to get the document.
Your Honor, may also --
THE COURT: No, I prefer that you may not. I want to
see the unredacted portion. It may not be a problem at all.
Where is Mr. Park, by the way?
MS. COIT: He's --
THE COURT: Is he alive?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: May I step out and help my paralegal find that?

THE COURT: Counsel, while we're waiting, I have a matter back in my court.
(Pause-in-proceedings.)
THE COURT: Counsel, do you have that document?
MS. COIT: Your Honor, not yet.
THE COURT: Let me state on the record to both of you
I'm not impugning the integrity -- Counsel, sit down.
I'm not impugning the integrity of counsel. Let's be clear about that. Number two, this may be brought in good faith because it's believed to be attorney-client privilege, but the Court has to be concerned if there's relevant evidence or information -- I'm not a decider of that. If you're claiming the attorney-client privilege, I want you to clearly state that, and then we'll do some research and we'll rule in a workmanlike manner whether it is or it isn't. But if it's not, then it needs to be turned over immediately and unredacted.

And I don't want the Court drawn into a conversation, Counsel, by a question when the jury comes back in: Well, didn't Judge Carter cause you to turn that over? Well, just a moment. I've been around a long time. I think I've seen it now. So I know you won't -- trust me. Lots of things happen.

So I leave that to you initially whether this is attorney-client privilege; but, of course, I become concerned if Doug Park is giving a recommendation that the chief doesn't follow and then the chief testifies that she's submitting to his recommendation on another occasion. And the chief should have a chance to explain that.

So is this attorney-client privilege? And, if so, I want to hear that.

MS. COIT: May I talk?
THE COURT: Please.
MS. COIT: The -- yes, the attorney-client privilege portion that's been redacted is just Doug Park sending his -and I will right now waive the attorney-client privilege on this email -- sending the arbitration decision with his take on it, which came from me. He was cutting and pasting an email from me.

THE COURT: Now, just a moment. Hold on. I want to get the chain correct.

MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: We've got the chief in 168 hypothetically going against Doug Park's recommendation, but Doug Park's --

MS. COIT: There's no recommendation made in this email.

THE COURT: Let's see what it is, so I'm not speculating. But that direction is coming from you?

MS. COIT: There's no direction. It's just turning
it over. He's saying, "What is in it?" And what the arbitrator has advised and that the global settlement --

THE COURT: Let me say this again. Are you giving input to Doug Park about what Doug Park should be relaying to the chief?

MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: Okay. That was confusing. It sounded to me like, for a moment, you were directing counsel.

So this is Doug Park's independent -- whatever we're about to read?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. That was confusing. It sounded
like you might be giving direction.
MS. COIT: No. What I was saying is I sent the arbitration decision to Doug Park with my summary of what is said. He took that summary and forwarded it to the people who needed to know about it.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: May I also -- I think there's a lot of confusion being had about the neutral letter of reference that was part of the settlement agreement.

That letter -- and, I apologize. It will be an exhibit on Monday. And I have it on my associate's iPad if you want to see it. All it says is the dates Mr. Cleavenger was employed
and what positions he was in. There's no recommendation or statement about his qualifications or his anything.

THE COURT: Just a moment. I leave that to you in the presentation as counsel and the adversarial system. I'm not intruding with that. My only concern, as the judge, is what is appropriate evidence. And I was a little concerned that I might have made a ruling based upon attorney-client privilege, and it might have come before me, and that's why I raised this concern.

MS. COIT: I understand.
THE COURT: Apparently, this was already redacted by
the defense and given to plaintiff's counsel as a redacted portion.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.
THE COURT: And that was never brought before me.
MS. COIT: May I hand you the email?
THE COURT: Is it on the screen.
MS. COIT: I apologize. My office is in Portland -or Eugene.

THE COURT: Well, why don't you just read that portion into the record. I don't want to be a part of that proceeding. This is adversarial. But I think from the second line it may appear to some of them that the chief was going against the advice of Mr. Park, and that may not be true. So let's find out what that advice was.

MS. COIT: The body of the email: Douglas Park, Thursday, March 6th, 2014, 2:04 p.m., to Carolyn McDermed, Jamie Moffitt, cc: to Randy Geller. Subject: Cleavenger arbitration decision. Content: Colleagues --

THE COURT: Colleagues?
MS. COIT: "Colleagues, the accompanying
attachment is the arbitrator's decision in the SEIU Cleavenger case. This is an unfortunate result for us. In short, the arbitrator agreed with us on practically everything except the imposed discipline. Accordingly, Cleavenger is entitled to backpay and reinstatement to his former position."

THE COURT: And then what follows is the chief's response of March 10, 2014, at 11:35 a.m. So I'm certain I have the sequence --

MR. MCDOUGAL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Here is the opinion and the award of the arbitrator.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's not the sequence.
THE COURT: No, I don't think that's the sequence either. That doesn't make any sense to me.

MR. MCDOUGAL: There's a separate email that
Carolyn McDermed sent to Doug Park, and we know that from the top with the things that I told him not to.

THE COURT: Counsel, let's get the totality of this now.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That email has never been produced.

THE COURT: Well, it's about to be produced, unless counsel is claiming attorney-client privilege, and we'll find out.

The Court doesn't want to be a part of holding evidence out, and I'm thankful that this apparently didn't come in front of me and that the Court didn't make a mistake on this.

Because apparently what I call redactions by the defense, given to the plaintiff, as I understand it, this wasn't brought to my attention by the plaintiff. Apparently, this might be attorney-client privilege in good faith, and it could be. But now this is very much a contention because of 168 . And the statement that the chief, on one hand, is subservient, acquiescent, compliant -- whatever the word is -- on a letter of recommendation because quote/unquote general counsel instructed her to do so, and then as, if you will, the independence to strike down, potentially, independent counsel's instruction, and I'm not certain what that instruction is yet. We'll wait for that now.
(Pause-in-proceedings.)
THE COURT: Counsel, come in for a moment. I'll speak to both of you on the record.

We're on the record. The parties are present.
Lieutenant Lebrecht come in.
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My thoughts are this: When I entered into this discussion, I was deeply concerned that this Court might have made a ruling. Remember I have tried 400 cases. You're one of 400 to 500 cases. I couldn't remember if the Court had made a ruling where attorney-client privilege had come before the Court and I had caused this redaction. I didn't know.

I don't wish to enter into any further discussion about this, from the Court's perspective. In other words, if this is attorney-client privilege, I encourage you to assert that. Is that understood, Counsel?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Number two, this is not a mark on your credibility or noncredibility. That's for the jury to determine. This is outside the presence of the jury. I have no thoughts about that.

And for the plaintiff, also, I want to make certain that as you're presenting your case, if there's relevant information, that you have access to that. And the same for the defendant. I'm a gatekeeper. That's all I am.

So when I saw this, these redactions, I was wondering, quite frankly -- which is why I had the discussion with you outside the presence of the jury -- if the Court had made a ruling based upon attorney-client that caused these redactions or if it had come before the Court or if there had been stipulations in light of the chief's testimony. Therefore, I
don't find it credible or noncredible. That's for the jury to decide. I'm only concerned about the availability of evidence.

So at this point I'm not going to do anything further with it. In other words, I'm not causing you to disclose that. I don't want to be concerned or not concerned. That's your independent judgment as counsel. But if you're asserting the attorney-client privilege, you should do so. If you're not, then you're waiving that, and counsel should have access to it .

And I want you to take a few moments with co-counsel, your clients, or whatever, to decide that. And, therefore, even though you've said you waived the attorney-client privilege, I want you to take a few moments. And if you're asserting it, we're going to do a lot of research on that.

But the problem is it leaves everybody speculating with that second line on 168. And I'm certainly not going to foreclose, Counsel, if you make an objection, from arguing that there must have been information, from your perspective, because the jury can see now that that portion is redacted, and they have to be wondering why it's redacted, what it is, and I don't think in good faith I can foreclose you from arguing every type of speculation.

And, by the way, this may be completely harmless, because nobody knows what's in there. Okay. And I -- it may be easily explained or it may be devastating. I just don't know. I haven't seen it.

So why don't you take a few moments with your clients. Why don't you thoughtfully discuss that with whomever you want to and tell me how you would like to proceed.

Beyond this, I won't go any further with this. I'm not making any finding. I'm just concerned that there's redacted portions and whether they're appropriate or not.

MS. COIT: May I ask a question, Your Honor? THE COURT: Certainly.
MS. COIT: Are you referring to the document that I just read the redacted portion into the record? Is that what you're -- because that's the only email that I know of at this point that has to do with this email chain. And if -- if that's the question, then I'm absolutely waiving the privilege.

THE COURT: Exactly. And counsel, remember, also, though, is making the accusation. I don't know.

MS. COIT: I understand. I just don't know --
THE COURT: If there's another email out there that allegedly -- you see they can run wild with speculation on this what the chief might have said, causing redaction, which leads to 168 and the second sentence, "I responded to Doug Park's email that while I respected his expertise, I feared the precedence that would be set."

I can't foreclose nor will I foreclose the plaintiff from arguing under this set of circumstances that there was communication between Doug Park and the chief that Doug Park
must have given some advice that would then cause this second sentence that looks like the chief is going against Doug Park's advice and then comparing that to the response concerning the letter of recommendation where the chief said she was order ed to do so and instructed by general counsel.

So what it does is it leaves the plaintiff to have the ability to argue something that may not, in fact, be correct, but they don't know. They don't have the information.

Therefore, when you object, I'm going to be placed in the position of overruling your objection. Frankly, you're probably going to be able to speculate as to what this was and why the chief was responding in this way. So I leave that to your wisdom. I'm not entering into this any further.

I just became concerned, and will repeat to each of you, that the Court might have made a ruling that caused these redactions. And apparently this was a self-imposed redaction. Apparently, the defense didn't call that to the Court's attention, and now we're in the position of the chief responding to the letter of recommendation and you've got the second sentence in 168. That's what raised all of this.

So I leave that to you. Why don't you consult your client and decide what you want to do.

Counsel, Kristi informed me that the jury was having trouble hearing you at the podium. That's why she moved another microphone over there.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. COIT: Your Honor, we are waiving the attorney-client privilege on the redacted portion. Sitting here right now, I have never seen another email that would be a response from Carolyn to Doug. She does not remember one.

THE COURT: Well, that gives you each a fair opportunity. In other words, instead of resting your case or -- I'm not going to preclude this evidence, so when the chief takes the stand, someone can simply ask her if there's another email. If her response is no, if this is the response from Doug Park to her and how she dealt with it.

But the Court does not want to be drawn into this. While I can speak to you in terms of this outside the jury, I do not expect to hear, unless you want me to enjoy Oregon for the rest of my life, Counsel, with a new trial, that the Court has ordered, caused, or anything else -- you pay attention to me now.

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: I am, Your Honor.
THE COURT: No, you're not. You're speaking.
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: No, I'm not.
THE COURT: Did you hear me, Counsel? That's your lead counsel right there. Did you hear me?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Fine. Now you can have a conversation quietly.

Counsel, I'm also not precluding you from going on. Although, you stopped your direct examination, you can reopen , if you decide to, in this particular area.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I have an inquiry for the Court.
I asked the witness about the document and whether or not it had the Brady materials attached. It's Exhibit 230. And it says there's a Brady final corrected PDF, and that, in fact, is the Brady memo from the DA.

THE COURT: I see. This has been quite an interesting discovery process between the two of you.

MS. COIT: Yeah. I've explained all of this to Jason
about the Brady material.
MR. MCDOUGAL: What is the attachment?
THE COURT: Why don't you two have a private conversation and try to resolve this before we have the University of Oregon up here.

Have you had enough time to discuss a resolution between the two of you?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I always wanted to control my opposing
counsel and never wanted a judge to decide. You two may not heed that wisdom. What are your thoughts?

MR. MCDOUGAL: We're going to let Ms. Coit actually
look at the documents we have a question about. The other document. She doesn't have access to it right now. I don't
want to hold up the jury. I asked her to please just let me know before Carolyn McDermed's direct exam when she calls her. THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that fair enough for your side?
MS. COIT: It is.
THE COURT: Basically fair for your side?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. If you both agree, we'll get the
jury back in here. If you want to keep Chief McDermed on direct examination at this time or turn it over to chief --

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll turn it over.
THE COURT: Pardon me?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'll turn it over.
THE COURT: Counsel, when the jury comes back in, start the cross-examination.

Thank you for your courtesy, Chief.
(Jury present.)
THE COURT: Please be seated. Thank you for your
patience. That long delay is entirely my fault and I
apologize. Counsel is very well prepared. We're back in session. The parties are present, counsel is present, and the jury is present.

Do you have any further questions on direct examination ?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll turn over to cross-examination, please.

MS. COIT: I have no questions. I'll recall chief
then.
THE COURT: Chief, you may step down. Thank you very much.

Well, Counsel, this is the first time in the history of this Court where we've ever recessed before 5:00. I'm just kidding you.

I don't think it would be wise -- I think Mr. Cleavenger is your next witness; is that right?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct.
THE COURT: I don't think that, in my humble wisdom, that I want to start Mr. Cleavenger's testimony for 40 minutes and then break for the weekend. I would like to hear that and have you hear that at one period of time, and I think that's wise on Monday. Not when you're tired. Okay?

By the way, we're right on schedule. Well, kind of. We're about half a day off of schedule, but that's no fault of counsel. They've been cooperating and getting witnesses in here very quickly. And, as you can see, try to cooperate and try to not have people come back.

Has anybody talked to anybody about the case so I get to start all over again? Don't do it. After the case is done,
you can talk to anybody. I don't care who you talk to. But, in the meantime, you're the only eight people that will ever hear all this wisdom.

How's your neck?
A JUROR: It's okay.
THE COURT: Okay. If you need anything, ice or whatever, you bring it with you. Is it okay if we reconvene on Monday?

THE JURY: Yes.
THE COURT: What should I do on Friday night in
Portland? I hear you have a place called Voodoo Doughnuts.
A JUROR: That's a good place.
THE COURT: That's a good place? You think I should
go there? I'm just kidding you.
You have a nice weekend. Please don't discuss this
matter, and we'll see you on Monday at 8:00. Okay?
Counsel, is that acceptable to excuse the jury?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yeah.
THE COURT: All right.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: Have a seat. I sincerely want to thank both of you. I'm involved in long, complex cases, but I really appreciate each counsel. I want to state that on the record. I can growl at you, but I think you're doing every effort to make it a good presentation, and I appreciate your cooperation
in not having some people come back. But, remember, time is not the essence. I virtually walked into the case thinking I would give you some time period like 10 or 12 hours. The more I realized it, it's an all or nothing case. It's a lengthy case or it's a short case. If it's short, it's arbitrary, and you would be picking and choosing between pieces of evidence.

With Mr. Cleavenger, you may be half a day, at least, Counsel, on direct.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah. I mean, frankly, there's so much to talk about, so many exhibits, I think I'll probably go into the early afternoon.

We also have one witness who was unavailable until Monday morning.

THE COURT: That's right. And that witness, I think, was Michael Drake; is that correct?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Michael Drake, yes.
THE COURT: You can call him out of order, if you would like to. You can call him first thing, or you can call him after Mr. Cleavenger. That's your choice. I won't interfere in the presentation.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: There was one other short witness. That would be a five-minute witness. Donna Laue that we had for today. She was unavailable when we needed her, so I told her if we would call her it would be very quick on Monday. THE COURT: As long as counsel is informed. So
you're aware of all this morass of information and what you have to prepare for over the weekend.

Now let's start -- first of all, you've been here until 7:00 most of the evenings, which is rather early for us, believe it or not, because I demand all the evidence before witnesses the next day, and, in some cases, it's been more complex.

With Cleavenger, are you fairly comfortable that each of you, now, after your four days of trial, what that evidence is, or are there surprises? And if there are going to be any surprises for the Court, then let's go over each exhibit, as we have before. So I -- I really leave that to the defense.
You're the ones who have to do the cross-examination. That cross-examination will come sometime Monday. And the only reason I insist on knowing every piece of evidence the night before is because then I don't have sidebars and we're not wasting the jury's time.

There's nothing wrong with getting these exhibits out in front of you.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I don't have my -- what exhibits I will use with Mr. Cleavenger.

THE COURT: Oh, not you.
MS. COIT: Oh, I'm sorry.
THE COURT: You're not representing Mr. Cleavenger .
MS. COIT: Okay.

THE COURT: So they're the ones who are producing the exhibits. And then you're going to give them the same courtesy.

MS. COIT: Absolutely.
THE COURT: You're going to do the same thing for each witness you call. You will be setting out each exhibit the night before.

So what are you comfortable with? You two talk for a moment. And, if so, let's maybe read those exhibits and get them marked tonight and see what they are.

MS. COIT: I don't understand.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I do.
THE COURT: Okay. You've been here every night until
7:00 doing the same thing.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I think the parties are comfortable -correct me if I say it wrong. We will confer over the weekend. We don't think there's going to be any issues. We will give her a list, and she'll look at it and let us know.

THE COURT: If there's an issue, that doesn't leave me in a position of confidence that I know what the disputes are. Therefore, you're in here at 7:00 in the morning on Monday, and we're wrestling with that.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, let's just do it. THE COURT: Okay. Let's get out the exhibits.
Now, the second thing is -- let me just ask you a basic
fairness question. As the defense -- the defense really has two opportunities to present a witness. You understand that. But that's your tactical choice. For instance, you called the chief, you've called the lieutenant, you've called the sergeant. So we hear from them after their testimony, or, by choice of counsel, to wait until the beginning of her case.

But then we hear from those witnesses again, potentially. Now, what potentially is unfair about that is that I've indicated to you my distaste for rebuttal, because rebuttal is oftentimes a regurgitation, and that's not going to happen.

By the same token, perhaps we'll hear from Mr. Cleavenger twice also. I mean, the meat of this case, the gravitas of this case are, quite frankly, the lieutenant, the chief, the sergeant -- not that any other witnesses are unimportant -- and Mr. Cleavenger, by the time we get all done with this case.

If you have two opportunities, I may be much more sympathetic towards limited rebuttal by Mr. Cleavenger in areas raised because there's been two opportunities, but I'm not sympathetic to going through what I call some of the rehashing by some of the other witnesses.

So let's discuss this after Mr. Cleavenger's testimony and then let's discuss this thoroughly after the chief retestifies or the lieutenant or Sergeant Cameron does. Okay?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No problem.
THE COURT: Just in fairness. But, if so, it will be
very limited.
Now, Counsel, what would make your case flow smooth er? What could we do better as a court? I humbly ask that, and I'm not -- believe me, I've got a hard shell, so tell me what would make your presentation better.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Just -- oh, I'm sorry. THE COURT: I'll let you perceive it's excellent. MR. JASON KAFOURY: You gave Mr. Hess a schedule for Tuesday and Wednesday. We have that. Has that changed at all?

MS. COIT: I have four witnesses coming Monday, so I'm --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I highly doubt we'll get to four witnesses.

THE COURT: That's okay. Where are they coming from? In other words, I don't want to inconvenience people coming up from Eugene and driving back needlessly, but I don't want to get here and, you know, we have the surprise at 4:00 and not have a witness who could take 10 or 15 minutes.

MS. COIT: That's one of my fears. I don't want to put one of my clients on. That's why I have four people.
Three people coming from Eugene. Ms. Brandenburg, the ex-wife; Andrew Bechdolt that testified earlier; Chris Phillips, one of the officers; and Brian Caufield is here in Portland.

So I can have him here for sure.
THE COURT: Why don't you ask the gentleman from

\section*{Portland to attend.}

MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Why don't you hold off on the witnesses
from Eugene. And if we need them or think we do, maybe we could call them at noon, but then they're not driving up the freeway. And you can pick and choose. I seriously doubt that we'll get to them, so why inconvenience them. Okay?

MS. COIT: And my only concern is with
Ms. Brandenburg. She's obviously not a friendly witness. She's under subpoena for Monday. So I don't want to not be able to have her Tuesday if she doesn't want to voluntarily accept another subpoena between now and then.

THE COURT: Once she's under subpoena -- oh, is she
Mr. Cleavenger's former wife?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Oh, I didn't recognize the name. I

\section*{apologize.}

Where is she located? In Eugene?
MS. COIT: She's in Eugene.
THE COURT: I don't think it's appropriate I take her out of order; in other words, during Mr. Cleavenger's testimony.

MS. COIT: Oh, no, no.
THE COURT: By the same token, if she would
voluntarily come, I don't wish to inconvenience her. But if
you subpoenaed her, it's not fair that she decides she's not going to attend on Tuesday, when you subpoenaed her for Monday.
So if you need her up here, we'll get her up here. She's under the jurisdiction of the Court, and I'll just have to order her back on Tuesday. That's just the way it is.

MS. COIT: Okay. That's good. I'll just talk to her and tell her those options.

Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Your Honor, one thing they do locally here, and I don't know if the federal judges do it, or just state court, but the court can order just -- the court just orders the subpoena continued a day, and you tell the witness that the court has ordered you --

THE COURT: I did that when I was a state court judge, also. It's a pretty loose practice. I don't want to throw somebody in a contempt situation, but I think most witnesses are decent people and they will attend voluntarily.

I'd encourage a phone call, Counsel. We know where she lives; right?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: She's going to be here.
Now, let's discuss a little bit more this evening, while we're gathering these documents, the issue about the affair versus a divorce. Let's try to sort out where we're at on that issue because that's the most explosive potential issue, and
perhaps you need my thoughts and I need your argument before Mr. Cleavenger testifies because, without knowing that, you don't know whether to take away the sting on your direct examination and therefore you kind of anticipate, "If the judge has ruled against us, should we bring it out? And if the judge is ruling for us, do we have a good faith belief that the affair isn't coming in?"

Tentatively, my thought is the fact that he's going through a divorce, if this is true, during this period of time, is relevant. The real question is why he's going through the divorce. What are the factors that led to the divorce? What are the factors? And you have indicated to me informally, and formally on the record, that it's because -- or a partial reason is because of an affair.

Now, that gets very interesting for a jury. There are divorces because we just don't like each other and there are divorces that cast a character trait on us. Having an affair; i.e., you're a bad person.

I take it that perhaps the relationship between Mr. Cleavenger and his former wife might not be good. Most people who go through a divorce don't have a good thought about the opposite spouse, and, therefore, whatever the Court's ruling is, she needs to be counseled about what she can speak to and not just blurting out and not having me start the case over and enjoying Oregon for another couple of weeks. Okay?

So what are your thoughts, Counsel? Let me turn to you first, because if you tend to get into the affair -- I'm not precluding that. I just need to hash that out with you today.
And if we're going to not get into the divorce, we need to talk about that.

So you don't want any of this in, do you?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.
THE COURT: You don't want the divorce in. But doesn't it seem --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can I confer with counsel?
THE COURT: Yes. Confer with your client first. Because obviously his former wife is going to take the stand with a different name and it doesn't take a great amount of intelligence to figure out something is wrong, because she's probably going to glare at him, and, remember, 30 percent of a trial is what you don't record on the record.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Your Honor --
MR. JASON KAFOURY: My inclination, after thinking
about it -- defense counsel already got up in opening statement and said, "His ex-wife is coming as our first witness."

THE COURT: The cat is out of the bag. It's not like it isn't there. It's like the elephant in the back of the courtroom.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I think that the infidelity allegation gets into he said/she said. My client denies. Said
they were separated at the time. So it just opens up a huge -THE COURT: In other words, if he has another person,
if he was separated versus not separated.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Exactly.
THE COURT: If he was separated, obviously it's not the same intonation of an affair. And as soon as you say "affair," it has the perception of sneaking around.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Exactly. So my inclination would
be to allow them to point out that he did have a divorce and that obviously divorce may cause other emotional strain or issues. But a prior bad act alleged of infidelity, I think, is beyond the scope.

THE COURT: Let me get your thoughts, Counsel. In other words, if I have to have a hearing, I'll do it outside the presence of the jury. But it is rather explosive when you have an affair.

MS. COIT: Well, okay.
THE COURT: What does that mean? Does that mean he
was legally separated or separated out of the house? When does the affair occur? Now, if he's married and happily in the house, that's an affair. If he's separated and he has, you know, a girlfriend, that's questionable. "Affair" is a big word.

MS. COIT: Larry Black, on direct -- Larry Black, the witness on direct, was asked a question --

MR. MCDOUGAL: No. It was on cross. It was not on direct --

MS. COIT: I have the transcript right here. It's on page 6. The question says: You talked about how he was a happy energetic person. Since all this happened with the University of Oregon termination, have you noticed a difference in him as a person?

His answer was: I believe that between losing his job, his personal life and stuff has made him quite less -- less happy, yeah.

So his personal life has been brought into the mix of damages.

THE COURT: I have no disagreement with that. It's the question of divorce versus the addition of an affair.

MS. COIT: And I guess that -- the question, then, is what does he blame the divorce on? The stress from his losing his job or -- and, if he does, then I think I need to be able to have Ms. Brandenburg say if he had not had the -- like she did in her deposition, "If he had not had that affair, I think we would still be married."

THE COURT: I wonder if the wisest thing to do is this, to have Ms. Brandenburg continue up here, but have that late on Monday. We can have a hearing outside the presence of the jury and to hear the questions that would actually be asked by you in relation to this alleged divorce or alleged affair.

And maybe I can judge by that time more thoughtfully, rather than just making an arbitrary decision, because I don't know, quite frankly, if this did occur, and, if it did, certainly an affair might be more stressful in a divorce, but a divorce is stressful in and of itself.

And if Mr. Cleavenger doesn't have the opportunity to say we just -- but I was legally separated or I was separated or I moved out of the house, that word "affair" has a whole different connotation. He has the right to say that the relationship was after he moved out of the house, if that's the case, and not call it an affair. That word "affair" is just too broad.

And I don't know that, so let's have her continue up. Let's have a hearing on Monday evening, and let's make a thoughtful decision.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I think we would be perfectly fine not making any allegation that the stress of the work and losing the job caused the divorce.

THE COURT: No, but the -- the issue is it's already out that he had a personal issue. He had a professional issue. You have the right to ask about additional stressors in his life besides the firing in the workplace. You have the right to ask if a divorce is going on, when plaintiff is asking for damages, if those damages are somewhat caused by a divorce taking place at that time. that is a huge word, and I'm reluctant to ever have that word used unless I have more information.

And, therefore, it may simply be, at the best, that he had a girlfriend after he had moved out of the house and it's not an affair. I just don't know.

Plus, I can somewhat gauge the hostility, or lack thereof, of this witness, and I haven't seen her before.

\section*{MS. COIT: Okay.}

THE COURT: And sometimes, in a divorce situation, you get spouses who carry that on, and she takes that stand, and what happens if she vents? I don't think you can afford another trial, unless your client is rich, and I don't think the university -- or, strike that, that your clients necessarily would enjoy this process for a second time, and I won't hesitate to start over. Okay?

So be careful with this.
So let's just keep her coming on Monday.
MS. COIT: Monday?
THE COURT: Monday. We'll have a little hearing during the evening. Let's find out what she's going to say and what you're going to ask. That way you each have a chance to talk to her also.

Now, I take it, from your comments, that you believe,
based upon your client's statements to you, that there's now a,
quote/unquote, affair; that an affair is a girlfriend and that this girlfriend occurs after he moves out of the house, and, if not, then we're going to rectify that.

Your client may be on the stand testifying on Monday evening also, so I have a full picture. Okay?

I think that's the fairest way to handle that.
MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. We can do the rest of this without
the court reporter tonight. And what -- is there anything else we need to resolve legally before we go over the items of evidence for Monday?

Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff, is there some legal issue we need to resolve tonight? Some question you have about your presentation with Mr. Cleavenger on Monday?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm happy to go through a bunch
of exhibits.
THE COURT: We're going to off the record, though,
without the court reporter, just like we've been doing every evening.

MR. MCDOUGAL: No.
THE COURT: Okay? Counsel, are you okay?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: Are we good? Okay. Counsel, what about
you? Do you have any additional legal issues tonight that we can help you with?

MS. COIT: Well, I guess, for timing purposes, I should bring up that I will be making motions at the end of their case.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Absolutely.
MS. COIT: Still bring the witness up for Monday night? And she'll anticipate coming back Tuesday to testify? Is that okay?

THE COURT: Yes. Because otherwise -- it depends on when you want to call her. If you're not calling her on Tuesday, for instance, if she would be a Wednesday, then I would bring her up Tuesday night. Do you see what I mean? Or even Tuesday morning. I can start a little bit late.

I don't wish to inconvenience her. But you control that. And if you're planning on putting her on, for instance, first thing Tuesday morning, then I don't have any ability to conduct a hearing.

MS. COIT: Okay. I think perhaps counsel and I can work this out that we won't get into that area and be able to --

THE COURT: You tell me.
MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: You both are wise counsel. You tell me and guide the Court.

MS. COIT: All right.
THE COURT: All right. Then can I have the court reporter go home?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Rest your hands. Thank you very much. (Trial Day 4 adjourned.)
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MR. MCDOUGAL: [110] 1056/10 1060/6 1060/25 1061/2 1061/10 1061/13 1061/17 1061/19 1061/23 1062/12 1062/16 1062/19 1062/21 1062/23 1064/11 1064/13 1065/9 1065/12 1065/15 1065/19 1065/22 1066/5 1066/9 1066/11 1066/24 1067/2 1067/23 1069/8 1069/14 1071/10 1071/13 1074/1 1074/4 1079/6 1082/12 1083/6 1084/22 1085/16 1085/20 1085/24 1089/12 1174/13 1176/6 1176/8 1176/10 1178/10 1180/19 1186/9 1186/11 1189/9 1189/16 1193/19 1193/21 1193/23 1193/25 1194/2 1194/4 1194/6 1194/13 1194/15 1194/20 1195/2 1195/4 1195/8 1195/15 1195/19 1196/3 1196/6 1196/9 1196/12 1196/14 1196/16 1199/21 1199/24 1200/8 1200/15 1202/7 1202/14 1202/17 1204/2 1204/5 1204/7 1205/1 1205/4 1210/10 1211/24 1212/2 1214/24 1220/14 1220/17 1220/20 1225/25 1226/22 1227/3 1227/12 1227/18 1227/22 1228/7 1228/11 1228/13 1228/24 1229/11 1230/17 1233/11 1233/14 1235/5 1237/8 1239/16 1240/25 1244/19

MS. COIT: [123] 980/6 980/9 980/17 982/20 989/14 999/6 1010/6 1014/7 1015/12 1015/15 1016/1 1016/8 1016/17 1016/19 1016/22 1016/25 1017/6 1017/8 1018/11 1020/7 1021/25 1025/19 1026/12 1026/16 1027/5 1028/24 1029/2 1029/7 1034/24 1039/8 1041/16 1041/23 1042/21 1050/10 1051/2 1051/5 1078/23 1083/12 1084/25 1085/8 1085/14 1085/19 1085/23 1086/1 1088/10 1089/14 1093/1 1099/12 1099/23 1102/5 1102/20 1114/13 1127/6 1145/10 1146/13 1146/15 1161/7 1168/8 1168/13 1171/3 1173/9 1173/12 1214/1 1214/3 1215/2 1215/4 1215/7 1215/18 1215/23 1215/25 1216/2 1216/8 1217/8 1217/10 1217/18 1217/21 1217/25 1218/6 1218/11 1218/14 1218/19 1219/9 1219/15 1219/17 1219/25 1220/5 1222/10 1224/6 1224/8 1224/15 1226/1 1227/10 1228/3 1228/5 1229/2 1232/19 1232/22 1232/24 1233/3 1233/10 1235/9 1235/18 1236/1 1236/7 1236/14 1236/18 1236/22 1237/5 1237/19 1240/16 1240/23 1241/2 1241/14 1243/8 1243/18 1244/6 1244/25 1245/4 1245/6 1245/18 1245/22 1245/25 1246/4
THE COURT REPORTER: [4] 1133/21 1162/21 1204/23 1208/20
THE COURT: [378]
THE JURY: [1] 1230/8
THE WITNESS: [57] 970/16 970/19 970/22 980/21 981/21 982/24 996/8 1014/21 1024/22 1024/24 1029/10 1029/19 1029/23 1030/2 1035/5 1041/17 1041/19 1050/11 1051/7 1051/16 1051/19 1051/22 1055/16 1055/19 1056/11 1061/4 1062/25 1063/14 1064/14 1065/8 1066/12 1067/24 1085/13 1086/12 1089/16 1091/17 1091/21 1091/24 1092/1 1102/12 1112/21 1113/8 1127/8 1131/3 1139/9 1174/3 1174/7 1174/10 1176/12 1186/13 1189/19 1196/1 1196/15 1196/20 1201/3 1210/11 1212/12

\section*{\$}
\$110 [1] 975/21
\$450 [1] 975/15
\$550 [1] 975/15
-
'11 [1] 1065/1
'13 [4] 1052/13 1189/23 1191/8 1191/10
'14 [1] 1189/23
'14 put [1] 1189/23
'the [1] 1093/25
I
Is/Jill [1] 1247/14

\section*{0}

0346 [1] 1247/16

1
1 percent [1] 1099/11
1,050 [2] 993/17 993/18 1-7-0 [1] 1196/19
10 [15] 973/16 1007/6 1071/9 1071/14 1086/6 1114/23 1115/23 1116/5 1116/20 1156/22 1183/19 1205/17 1220/13 1231/3 1235/18
100 [2] 1128/4 1128/9
1000 [1] 968/21
101 [10] 1071/8 1071/14 1085/3 1085/9 1085/21 1085/24 1086/6 1086/11 1086/13 1086/15
102 [4] 1085/24 1086/9 1086/12 1087/1
10:00 [1] 1206/9
10:30 email [2] 1206/6 1206/7
10th [2] 968/8 1115/7
11 [8] 967/7 1086/10 1087/4 1102/11 1148/10 1184/20 1189/14 1247/6
11:00 [1] 1026/21
11:00 a.m [1] 1159/22
11:35 [2] 1206/9 1220/13
11:39 a.m [1] 1116/13
12 [6] 1066/2 1066/19 1105/11
1158/16 1158/17 1231/3
12/28/15 [1] 1247/15
120 [1] 1132/24
12th [3] 971/13 1156/20 1158/18
13 [8] 1055/9 1159/18 1159/18 1160/6 1160/14 1161/13 1161/15 1161/16
135 [1] 1180/8
137 [4] 1151/11 1151/14 1151/15 1151/18
138 [5] 1150/22 1151/11 1151/13 1151/14 1151/15
13th [6] 1159/21 1162/3 1162/4
1162/10 1163/4 1163/6
14 [1] 1101/1
15 [6] 995/11 1071/9 1071/14 1086/8 1235/18 1247/15
150 [8] 982/14 1040/9 1075/7 1129/9 1129/23 1129/25 1140/5 1140/17
150 feet [1] 1075/1
153 [3] 1074/1 1074/2 1074/5
158 [4] 1122/19 1123/6 1123/23 1168/14
16 [9] 973/17 973/24 1063/21 1085/22 1086/9 1086/15 1086/16 1102/11 1180/10
16-year [1] 997/9
160 [1] 1129/24
165.40 [1] 1134/12

166 [10] 1062/13 1062/14 1062/15 1065/11 1066/24 1066/25 1067/1 1067/2 1067/3 1067/5
168 [20] 1112/19 1112/21 1113/7 1194/23 1194/25 1195/22 1195/23 1195/24 1196/18 1198/16 1202/7 1202/11 1204/2 1204/3 1212/15 1217/20 1221/13 1223/15 1224/20 1225/20
169 [4] 1160/24 1161/6 1161/19 1161/22
16th [1] 1063/22
17 [4] 973/17 1102/12 1186/8 1247/16 170 [19] 1132/24 1194/3 1194/4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & 1123/19 1147/1 1147/2 1147/3 1147/5 & 5 \\
\hline 170...[16] 1194/6 1194/15 1195/1 & & 5/14/12 is [1] 1144/14 \\
\hline 1195/25 1196/2 1196/3 1196/4 1196/5 & & 5/31/12 [1] 1066/2 \\
\hline 1196/19 1199/21 1199/22 1199/25 & 2015 [4] 967/7 1063/21 1063/23 & 50 [3] 1118/8 1118/13 1184/1 \\
\hline 1200/2 1200/5 1202/7 1202/10 & & 500 [2] 1036/24 1222/4 \\
\hline 171 [9] 1194/12 1194/13 1195/2 & \[
21 \text { [5] 1056/8 1133/16 1151/11 }
\] & 503 [1] 968/22 \\
\hline 1196/11 1196/13 1196/14 1196/19 & 21 [5] 1056/8 1133/16 1151/11
\[
1151 / 15 \text { 1151/15 }
\] & 540 [1] 1036/17 \\
\hline 1202/7 1202/16 1731106 & 215 [9] 1194/1 1194/2 1194/6 1194/15 & 58 [3] 1013/13 1104/22 1107/ \\
\hline 173 [5] 1196/7 1196/9 1196/19 1202/7 & 1195/1 1195/25 1196/19 1202/7 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5:00 [1] 1229/8 } \\
& \text { 5:00 p.m [1] 1046/2 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 175 \text { [4] 1146/9 1146/13 1146/18 } \\
& 1146 / 19
\end{aligned}
\] & 22 [4] 989/11 989/24 1189/12 1189/18 & 6 \\
\hline 178 [2] 1129/4 1132/25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 229 \text { [2] } \\
& 23 \text { [21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 6.12.2 [1] 1125/20 \\
\hline 18 [2] 971/19 1104/23 & 230 [9] 1165/12 1196/15 1196/17 & 6/1/2013, but [1] 1123/3 \\
\hline 19 [5] 1040/9 1085/22 1104/23 1175/3 & 1196/19 1202/7 1202/17 1210/9 & 60 [4] 977/1 985/4 1106/12 1110/15 \\
\hline 1186/11 19 mil \(1004 / 18\) & 1210/11 1227/6 & 612 [4] 1122/24 1123/6 1172/10 \\
\hline 19 miles [1] 1004/18 192 [1] 1184/18 & 24 [6] 1134/16 1151/11 1151/13 & \[
\begin{array}{lll}
1172 / 11 & \\
612.2 & \text { 「31 } & 1128 / 19 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
192 [1] 1184/18 \\
195 [4] 1189/8 1189
\end{tabular} & 1151/14 1151/15 1151/18 & \[
612.4[1] \quad 1124 / 21
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
195[4] 1 \\
1189 / 18
\end{gathered}
\] & 24-hour [2] 977/22 978/16 & \[
\text { 612th [1] } 1172 / 12
\] \\
\hline 196 [3] 1189/12 1189/17 1189/18 & 240 [1] 1159/2 & 63 [14] 1193/20 1193/22 1193/24 \\
\hline 197 [1] 1189/19 & 244 [2] 989/5 989/13 & 1193/25 1194/6 1194/10 1194/15 \\
\hline 1980 [1] 971/9 & 25 [3] 1070/19 1183/14 1183/16 & 1194/23 1194/25 1195/22 1195/23 \\
\hline 1983 [1] 1174/23 &  & 1195/24 1196/18 1202/12 \\
\hline 1991 [2] 971/13 1174/25 & & 68 [1] 1060/20 \\
\hline 1993 [1] 971/15 &  & 6:13-cv-01908-DOC [2] 967/5 1247/4 \\
\hline 1995 [2] 988/3 1117/25 &  & 6th [5] 1115/6 1116/8 1203/25 1204/11 \\
\hline 1999 [1] 1052/5 & 275 [1] 1186/7 & 1220/2 \\
\hline 1:00 or [1] 980/25 & 289 [1] 1037/9 & 7 \\
\hline \(1: 30\) [2] 1136/12 1136/16
1:35 or [1] 1136/12 & 289.5 [1] 1049/5 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1: 35 \text { or [1] } 1136 / 1 \\
& 1: 40 \text { [1] } 1136 / 12
\end{aligned}
\] & 28th [2] 1176/6 1176/7 & 7:00 doing [1] 1233/14 \\
\hline 1st [6] 971/15 1134/11 1135/3 1148/5 & 290 [1] 1036/17 & 7:00 in [1] 1233/21 \\
\hline 1148/8 1159/7 & 296 [1] 1192/10 & 7:00 most [1] 1232/4 \\
\hline 2 & 2:0 & 7th [2] \\
\hline 2-1-5 [1] & 2nd [4] 1134/11 1135/3 1159/6 1176/6 & 8 \\
\hline 20 [14] 985/4 1040/9 1074/3 1074/5 & 3 & 8/3/12 [1] 1066/19 \\
\hline 1102/12 1117/14 1118/2 1118/13 & & 8191 [1] 968/22 \\
\hline 1119/2 1132/21 1141/14 1162/11 & \[
1135 / 24
\] & 830 [1] 1036/15 \\
\hline 1186/12 1212/6 & & 850 [1] 978/25 \\
\hline 200 [2] 968/5 982/14 & 30 percent [1] 1239/15 & 87 [3] 1070/15 1070/18 1070/19 \\
\hline 2000 [2] 1023/20 1024/14 &  & 88 [1] 1070/19 \\
\hline 2001 [1] 1030/18 &  & 8:00 [1] 1230/16 \\
\hline 2002 [1] 1030/19 & 31 [6] 973/8 1145/5 1145/9 1145/19 & 9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2008[4] \\
& 1175 / 4
\end{aligned} 971 / 17 \text { 1030/21 1104/9 }
\] & \[
1145 / 22 \text { 1148/9 }
\] & 9 9 /30/17 [1] 1247/16 \\
\hline 2010 [5] 971/22 1019/7 1032/1 & 31st [4] 971/22 1069/12 1143/6 1149/7 & 911 [1] 985/13 \\
\hline 1037/11 1184/8 & 326-8191 [1] 968/22 & 93 [2] 1035/23 1047/21 \\
\hline 2011 [19] 1019/7 1040/2 1040/12 & 352 [1] 1176/8 & 97204 [2] 968/5 968/21 \\
\hline 1040/25 1052/13 1065/1 1065/18 &  & 97401 [1] 968/9 \\
\hline 1097/5 1097/6 1098/19 1099/2 & 362 [4] 1176/5 1176/9 1176/10 & 98-0346 [1] 1247/16 \\
\hline 1104/15 1107/17 1108/7 1142/14 & & 99 [1] 1013/14 \\
\hline 1145/7 1145/17 1147/6 1147/7 & 3:00 a.m [1] 1046/2 & 99 percent [1] 1099/12 \\
\hline 2012 [25] 1036/11 1036/15 1040/25 & 4 & A \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1050/24 1050/25 1064/8 1065/1 \\
1065/12 1065/19 1066/23 1122/8
\end{tabular} & 4.3 [1] 978/16 & a moment [1] 1219/3 \\
\hline 1142/14 1142/14 1142/19 1147/6 & 4/1 [1] 1150/6 & a.m [4] 1046/2 1116/13 1159/22 \\
\hline \(1147 / 7\) 1157/7 1157/24 1160/6 & 40 [3] 1162/18 1163/2 1229/15 & 1220/13 \\
\hline 1160/14 1161/13 1164/2 1165/21 & 400 [2] 1222/3 1222/4 & Abbott [5] 1087/10 1087/12 1090/2 \\
\hline 1175/8 1175/22 & 411 [1] 968/4 & 1094/24 1095/2 \\
\hline 2013 [11] 973/8 999/6 1009/16 1032/2 & 44 [1] 1056/8 & ability [5] 1035/19 1148/1 1153/11 \\
\hline 1035/18 1037/11 1046/3 1123/4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
46 [1] 1055/15 \\
47 [3] 1055/8 1055/11 1055/15
\end{tabular} & 1225/7 1245/17 \\
\hline 1124/4 1146/25 1177/4 & 47 [3] 1055/8 1055/11 1055/15 & able [20] 976/4 991/11 1004/11 1007 \\
\hline 2014 [22] 988/20 989/11 989/24 & 48 [2] 992/14 1101/1 & 1031/5 1033/13 1033/14 1033/17 \\
\hline 1009/16 1093/18 1105/11 1113/17 & 49 [2] 1102/9 1102/10 & 1033/22 1038/10 1085/5 1119/3 \\
\hline 1114/23 1115/23 1116/5 1116/20 & 4:00 and [1] 1235/17 & 1184/16 1185/25 1186/1 1197/4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
able... [4] 1225/11 1236/11 1241/17 1245/20
about [271]
above [4] 1033/8 1097/3 1192/18 1247/10
above-entitled [1] 1247/10
abrasive [1] 984/11
abreast [1] 1118/21
absence [1] 1043/1
absolutely [16] 1032/19 1034/15 1035/21 1039/2 1044/7 1048/18 1099/22 1100/20 1103/10 1109/16 1111/21 1139/14 1156/8 1224/13 1233/4 1245/6
absorb [1] 1022/21
abundantly [1] 1094/9
academy [5] 971/14 987/12 1030/25
1175/21 1190/13
accent [1] 1054/10
accept [2] 1115/20 1236/12
acceptable [1] 1230/17
accepted [1] 1156/13
access [5] 996/3 1197/1 1222/18 1223/8 1227/25
accompanying [1] 1220/6
accomplish [1] 978/21
according [2] 1075/20 1195/25
accordingly [4] 1133/17 1133/18
1133/25 1220/10
accountant [1] 973/22
accurate [17] 975/22 990/16 1043/12
1095/20 1098/21 1105/7 1105/15
1112/17 1131/23 1131/24 1142/10
1154/21 1155/10 1155/11 1158/14
1166/21 1168/6
accurately [1] 1165/5
accusation [1] 1224/15
accused [1] 1125/25
acquiescent [1] 1221/15
acquiescing [1] 1215/12
across [3] 984/24 1097/17 1169/23
act [8] 1033/1 1072/16 1072/19 1188/3
1188/8 1198/2 1198/25 1240/11
acted [2] 1046/24 1214/6
acting [7] 1113/20 1166/17 1175/3
1175/7 1175/8 1175/12 1175/16
action [7] 988/25 1048/15 1050/5
1081/9 1081/15 1088/7 1181/5
actions [3] 1012/19 1033/5 1164/24
activated [1] 1041/1
active [3] 985/18 999/10 1175/9
activities [3] 1033/8 1039/2 1138/16
activity [4] 995/20 1082/17 1165/4 1186/4
acts [2] 1015/23 1110/9 actual [12] 995/7 1007/2 1007/9
1007/23 1010/25 1040/15 1041/3 1064/1 1129/25 1130/13 1133/7 1140/9
actually [48] 972/8 973/22 975/21
981/4 981/8 983/5 985/21 987/22
987/23 997/1 1013/1 1013/3 1013/16
1031/20 1031/22 1032/22 1033/24
1034/23 1035/7 1045/11 1049/23
1062/11 1070/14 1095/8 1096/12
1097/17 1101/15 1103/18 1105/8

1120/3 1127/10 1131/11 1132/6 1144/21 1147/23 1148/17 1148/25 1152/11 1156/11 1157/23 1165/18 1169/19 1189/14 1195/23 1197/19 1208/1 1227/23 1241/24
ADAM [1] 968/3
adamant [1] 1179/5
adamantly [1] 1094/9
add [2] 1010/12 1183/22
added [3] 1098/9 1162/11 1172/6
addition [2] 1037/6 1241/14
additional [9] 1001/3 1002/4 1009/22
1012/4 1028/18 1031/4 1200/24
1242/21 1244/24
address [2] 971/5 1030/10
addressed [3] 992/8 1095/8 1146/3
adequate [1] 1012/13
adjacent [1] 1075/5
adjourned [1] 1246/7
adjust [1] 1001/16
administration [1] 1114/3
administrative [1] 1108/14
administrator [3] 1043/3 1108/14 1157/1
admission [1] 1158/3
admissions [1] 1159/6
admit [2] 1143/15 1144/12
admitted [2] 1202/9 1202/9
advance [1] 977/12
advanced [1] 1033/14
advantage [1] 1002/12
adversarial [3] 1040/19 1219/4 1219/22
adversely [2] 1169/3 1169/10
advice [7] 983/3 1213/25 1214/6
1219/24 1219/25 1225/1 1225/3
advise [2] 988/6 1122/2
advised [2] 1140/15 1218/3
advisor [1] 971/18
affair [22] 1237/23 1238/7 1238/14
1238/17 1239/2 1240/6 1240/7 1240/16 1240/20 1240/21 1240/22 1241/14 1241/19 1241/25 1242/4 1242/8 1242/11 1242/11 1243/1 1243/6 1244/1 1244/1
affairs [27] 1009/5 1054/2 1054/9
1095/15 1095/17 1096/1 1096/4 1096/10 1097/1 1108/21 1109/5 1109/9 1109/12 1122/8 1130/21 1138/14 1140/22 1158/24 1167/23 1168/2 1169/21 1169/22 1169/24 1170/2 1170/12 1172/23 1190/20
affect [3] 1038/15 1169/3 1169/10
affirmative [2] 1081/9 1081/15
afford [2] 1027/18 1243/12
African [1] 1109/15
African-American [1] 1109/15
after [61] 972/7 973/9 974/14 981/6
982/22 991/16 1040/19 1043/2
1053/25 1095/17 1103/4 1104/15
1104/16 1104/19 1107/20 1110/25 1111/1 1115/12 1117/19 1117/22 1121/10 1127/1 1136/9 1139/18 1143/18 1145/2 1145/6 1148/20 1149/8 1152/10 1156/17 1157/8 1158/16 1158/17 1158/18 1160/14 1163/4 1163/11 1163/16 1174/6

1176/12 1177/24 1178/18 1184/13 1187/25 1192/18 1197/13 1198/14 1198/14 1205/17 1214/11 1229/25 1231/19 1232/9 1234/5 1234/21 1234/22 1239/18 1242/10 1243/5 1244/2
afternoon [5] 1084/17 1084/17 1139/5 1174/18 1231/11
afterwards [1] 1100/21
again [42] 973/6 990/8 999/25 1001/4 1019/13 1019/13 1020/6 1021/6 1022/4 1022/12 1024/12 1025/13 1026/5 1027/3 1027/3 1027/16 1027/24 1047/9 1047/11 1072/6 1075/7 1076/22 1080/19 1080/22 1086/1 1090/13 1090/23 1094/17 1109/5 1111/6 1128/19 1134/22 1156/14 1162/16 1189/15 1205/3 1205/6 1205/6 1212/15 1218/4 1229/25 1234/7
against [17] 984/15 988/19 1021/18 1044/2 1045/3 1045/8 1081/6 1091/3 1106/1 1126/17 1181/6 1214/6 1214/21 1217/21 1219/24 1225/2 1238/5
agency [4] 972/18 976/18 982/12 1200/22
agent [1] 1175/2
agility [2] 974/9 990/14
ago [5] 980/24 1033/20 1070/10
1097/17 1107/23
agree [48] 1006/23 1008/13 1008/15 1015/24 1016/1 1021/11 1036/5 1042/8 1059/20 1070/22 1074/15 1075/24 1075/25 1080/9 1080/12 1080/13 1080/14 1080/17 1080/20 1085/12 1094/19 1094/22 1096/1 1098/1 1100/5 1101/10 1101/12
1108/6 1110/14 1110/16 1110/17 1119/2 1135/11 1135/14 1138/7 1146/5 1148/8 1148/10 1156/4 1156/7 1164/11 1165/2 1178/13 1186/19 1187/10 1188/5 1207/10 1228/9
agreed [7] 1025/20 1059/17 1112/4 1163/21 1202/22 1203/5 1220/9
agreed-upon [1] 1025/20
agreeing [1] 1093/7
agreement [7] 1160/10 1192/7
1203/11 1213/7 1214/24 1215/1
1218/22
ahead [2] 990/5 1151/5
Ahlen [1] 1093/22
al [1] 1247/3
alarm [1] 978/1
alcohol [1] 1031/5
Alex [4] 1008/21 1009/16 1191/9 1210/17
aliens [2] 1099/23 1100/4
alive [1] 1215/25
all [149]
allegation [4] 1010/12 1022/6 1239/25
1242/17
allegations [6] 988/19 1081/25 1082/1
1082/2 1111/7 1135/2
allege [4] 1143/16 1144/12 1153/9
1154/18
alleged [7] 1110/9 1126/8 1134/18

A
alleged... [4] 1144/2 1240/11 1241/25 1241/25
allegedly [1] 1224/18
allow [9] 987/10 1003/2 1017/17
1017/20 1021/9 1088/7 1107/12 1198/7 1240/9
allowed [7] 1002/17 1003/5 1003/20
1037/11 1043/15 1132/14 1138/20
allowing [2] 974/3 1130/4
allows [1] 1138/23
alluded [1] 1187/3
almost [2] 1107/23 1192/18
alone [2] 1037/12 1089/7
along [10] 988/18 990/14 1012/5 1087/13 1098/23 1099/16 1107/24 1137/5 1137/6 1172/6
Alpine [1] 985/2
already [21] 977/5 987/6 1012/7
1012/8 1014/5 1017/21 1021/17
1129/8 1151/20 1153/1 1153/19
1154/5 1155/7 1172/22 1172/23
1172/25 1202/10 1202/12 1219/11 1239/19 1242/19
also [47] 972/19 974/21 979/7 979/9
979/19 980/23 982/18 983/4 984/20
985/1 996/3 1021/2 1021/13 1022/19
1026/3 1027/10 1027/13 1028/22
1031/3 1031/8 1083/25 1110/11
1111/22 1115/21 1116/14 1125/14 1131/25 1132/5 1149/24 1180/4 1182/3 1190/17 1195/24 1196/11 1196/18 1207/7 1211/20 1215/20 1218/20 1222/16 1224/14 1227/1 1231/12 1234/12 1237/15 1243/23 1244/5
although [4] 1017/12 1019/25 1196/7 1227/2
altogether [1] 992/1
always [23] 978/24 984/12 984/19
991/2 1002/3 1006/5 1007/17 1026/15
1026/16 1027/2 1032/24 1033/18
1033/18 1033/18 1034/5 1034/5
1034/6 1034/6 1036/13 1043/22
1164/16 1192/14 1227/20
am [7] 1019/16 1022/12 1044/17
1159/5 1179/23 1222/19 1226/18
ambiguous [1] 1107/12
Amendment [3] 1106/4 1106/9 1130/8
America [1] 1071/3
American [2] 1099/12 1109/15
among [1] 1201/20
amongst [2] 1090/6 1212/7
amount [1] 1239/13
analyzing [1] 1165/18
ANDREA [2] 968/7 1211/11
Andrew [2] 1213/17 1235/22
Andy [4] 992/20 992/22 998/24 1139/18
announcement [1] 1193/15 annual [11] 972/17 1142/7 1143/6
1143/10 1148/4 1148/6 1148/13
1148/22 1149/4 1149/16 1187/25
another [35] 972/5 973/20 973/24
976/19 977/8 977/19 977/24 992/17
1001/5 1002/5 1011/23 1013/1
1013/15 1060/16 1061/2 1061/8

1061/13 1063/17 1090/15 1097/2 1107/4 1110/13 1114/4 1114/11 1121/14 1195/9 1217/5 1224/17 1225/25 1226/4 1226/10 1236/12 1238/25 1240/2 1243/13
answer [34] 980/10 980/21 982/24
998/12 1004/7 1021/1 1021/8 1035/5 1041/19 1056/10 1056/23 1070/17 1070/17 1070/20 1086/18 1086/22 1087/10 1088/20 1088/23 1102/12 1124/13 1127/17 1151/22 1151/25 1152/13 1165/6 1183/25 1184/22 1189/3 1192/12 1192/13 1197/4 1207/25 1241/8
answered [1] 1102/21
anti [1] 1103/23
anti-democratic [1] 1103/23 anticipate [3] 975/3 1238/4 1245/8 any [130] 971/20 974/24 977/10 979/2 983/19 984/15 985/23 985/23 986/9 987/1 987/7 987/20 988/12 988/16 989/14 990/22 991/1 995/14 997/5 1000/24 1000/25 1002/4 1002/4 1008/10 1008/11 1009/10 1009/15 1011/20 1012/3 1013/24 1014/9 1019/21 1021/10 1023/21 1024/1 1024/15 1025/24 1032/11 1032/25 1033/5 1040/19 1040/21 1040/21 1042/10 1043/4 1044/5 1045/2 1045/8 1045/20 1050/9 1059/18 1061/25 1068/15 1071/23 1080/25 1082/16 1082/22 1088/13 1088/25 1090/7 1096/21 1096/24 1097/2 1099/18 1101/23 1101/23 1102/19 1108/4 1110/9 1118/15 1120/16 1125/11 1131/21 1132/15 1132/17 1136/13 1138/15 1139/25 1140/2 1140/6 1145/10 1146/15 1147/2 1147/9 1150/2 1153/14 1154/22 1157/13 1157/17 1158/12 1159/7 1159/11 1161/7 1166/4 1169/25 1170/18 1171/23 1172/5 1176/23 1177/7 1179/9 1179/12 1183/16 1186/16 1187/24 1188/17 1190/3 1190/10 1195/7 1195/17 1198/22 1199/17 1200/24 1201/1 1201/24 1201/25 1207/2 1220/20 1222/7 1224/4 1224/5 1225/13 1228/24 1232/10 1233/17 1234/14 1239/6 1242/17 1244/24 1245/17
any prior [1] 1021/10 anybody [16] 1073/24 1077/24 1081/17 1120/10 1141/11 1141/15 1157/12 1158/6 1177/14 1182/14 1183/19 1201/19 1211/6 1229/24 1229/24 1230/1
anymore [1] 1114/12
anyone [16] 987/8 1008/7 1009/7
1010/2 1043/4 1057/7 1058/1 1081/21 1109/19 1141/13 1141/18 1180/17 1180/22 1181/8 1183/9 1190/19 anything [35] 974/23 995/19 999/16 999/18 1008/10 1014/6 1032/23 1034/9 1034/14 1057/21 1079/11 1082/25 1099/17 1103/2 1103/23 1116/16 1130/22 1134/7 1137/18 1148/17 1162/14 1164/18 1166/24

1167/11 1172/19 1176/11 1179/25
1185/25 1188/6 1188/7 1219/2 1223/3 1226/16 1230/6 1244/9
anyway [2] 1016/22 1179/19
apartment [7] 1084/13 1153/10
1155/21 1156/4 1156/6 1170/24 1182/21
apartments [4] 1057/20 1133/11 1155/1 1181/24
apologies [10] 999/7 1006/9 1014/14 1018/12 1023/4 1025/15 1028/23 1085/1 1123/15 1195/20
apologize [9] 1022/2 1022/4 1025/22 1028/6 1071/15 1218/23 1219/18 1228/21 1236/17
apparently [13] 1019/6 1020/4
1021/10 1064/18 1065/15 1180/11 1214/13 1219/11 1221/7 1221/9 1221/11 1225/16 1225/17
appeal [5] 1059/6 1059/14 1059/16 1149/14 1203/8
appear [2] 1060/1 1219/23
APPEARANCES [1] 968/1
appears [7] 1019/7 1040/11 1069/18 1130/18 1205/14 1212/25 1214/19
applicable [1] 1130/9
applicant [1] 1012/2
application [5] 1011/22 1013/24
1191/7 1192/15 1192/22
applied [2] 1192/14 1192/22
appointed [1] 1057/6
appraisal [4] 1059/13 1060/2 1062/9 1068/25
appreciate [4] 1060/19 1078/14
1230/23 1230/25
approach [2] 984/4 984/5
approached [1] 1017/25
approaches [1] 984/7
appropriate [18] 1003/13 1004/1 1008/9 1017/22 1018/4 1020/2 1023/12 1024/11 1040/23 1073/8 1077/14 1107/13 1115/20 1126/17 1212/17 1219/6 1224/6 1236/20
approve [1] 1124/4
approved [3] 1037/19 1122/10 1122/14
approximate [1] 981/16
April [22] 1050/23 1064/8 1064/21 1065/12 1066/22 1068/16 1068/20 1069/19 1133/10 1134/11 1135/3 1135/3 1147/5 1148/5 1148/8 1156/20 1158/16 1158/17 1158/18 1159/6 1159/7 1170/14
April 1 [5] 1064/8 1064/21 1065/12 1066/22 1069/19
April 12 [2] 1158/16 1158/17
April 12th [2] 1156/20 1158/18
April 1st [5] 1134/11 1135/3 1148/5 1148/8 1159/7
April 2nd [1] 1159/6
April 7th [2] 1133/10 1170/14
apt [2] 1165/2 1165/13
AR15M4 [1] 1031/9
arbitrary [2] 1231/5 1242/2
arbitrate [1] 1202/23
arbitration [34] 999/10 1022/10
1093/22 1094/1 1094/10 1113/3

A
arbitration... [28] 1113/18 1115/3 1116/6 1121/1 1127/1 1167/8 1177/3 1177/8 1177/10 1178/3 1178/18 1197/13 1198/14 1198/15 1199/13 1203/5 1203/20 1203/23 1206/21 1209/2 1209/8 1209/17 1209/23 1209/25 1214/11 1217/14 1218/16 1220/4
arbitrator [20] 1115/16 1115/18 1121/3 1121/7 1127/2 1130/19 1132/20 1133/8 1135/12 1135/17 1203/3 1203/13 1204/22 1205/8 1205/21 1206/25 1213/18 1218/3 1220/9 1220/17
arbitrator's [16] 1115/9 1116/4 1129/20 1130/5 1130/5 1130/12 1131/6 1131/12 1131/17 1131/21 1131/25 1132/11 1132/17 1133/1 1199/7 1220/7
archive [3] 1063/24 1064/1 1160/25 are [135] 970/3 970/4 976/18 983/2 985/12 985/15 985/17 986/7 986/10 992/10 992/19 994/3 998/25 1001/9 1002/15 1003/5 1003/6 1003/10 1005/8 1005/10 1006/14 1006/20 1007/15 1008/3 1009/11 1018/2 1018/19 1018/20 1022/22 1022/24 1023/13 1028/11 1031/21 1036/18 1041/6 1043/21 1045/2 1045/7 1045/16 1045/17 1045/21 1048/19 1048/19 1048/22 1055/11 1060/8 1064/13 1065/21 1067/2 1067/19 1068/16 1068/25 1072/21 1076/18 1076/18 1082/5 1083/2 1092/13 1094/7 1096/13 1100/5 1108/16 1108/17 1108/18 1116/14 1118/13 1124/7 1124/8 1130/11 1134/17 1134/19 1134/24 1135/21 1136/19 1136/20 1144/11 1144/13 1145/16 1152/21 1154/19 1155/7 1158/21 1172/8 1176/19 1183/16 1185/13 1187/10 1187/24 1188/3 1193/19 1194/20 1195/15 1195/19 1196/5 1196/24 1197/7 1197/11 1198/10 1201/5 1203/11 1204/1 1205/18 1207/15 1208/18 1209/20 1212/17 1215/14 1218/4 1221/24 1222/1 1224/9 1226/2 1227/22 1228/22
1232/8 1232/10 1232/10 1233/1 1233/8 1233/10 1233/15 1233/21 1234/13 1234/14 1235/14 1237/17 1238/11 1238/12 1238/15 1238/16 1239/1 1242/24 1244/21 1244/23 1245/24
area [7] 980/4 1017/5 1038/5 1082/18 1097/10 1227/3 1245/20
areas [3] 1067/21 1145/25 1234/17
aren't [3] 985/12 1135/21 1167/4
arguable [2] 1133/19 1133/25
argue [4] 1017/19 1018/10 1021/9 1225/7
arguing [3] 1223/16 1223/20 1224/24
argument [2] 1133/9 1238/1
Argumentative [1] 980/7
armed [6] 1050/8 1076/5 1076/8 1088/3 1180/6 1180/14
around [28] 984/6 992/6 992/17 1003/10 1009/15 1013/4 1013/10 1015/7 1016/5 1026/19 1032/25 1033/3 1041/21 1052/23 1054/15 1057/18 1064/16 1082/21 1104/9 1112/7 1116/8 1118/1 1141/24 1156/20 1159/21 1176/16 1216/24 1240/7
arrangements [1] 1022/22
arrest [7] 972/15 973/4 978/7 981/14
1015/13 1016/15 1017/10
arrested [1] 1015/20
arrives [2] 1067/25 1070/2
article [2] 1104/13 1178/6
articles [6] 1056/17 1104/11 1106/20 1138/10 1177/25 1178/4
as [210]
Aside [1] 1163/2
ask [55] 983/13 997/11 998/2 998/4 999/13 1014/17 1016/13 1017/19 1022/16 1025/13 1025/24 1028/1
1039/3 1042/10 1046/10 1048/6 1061/23 1061/25 1062/10 1067/19 1068/15 1073/17 1074/15 1075/2 1081/5 1084/23 1096/4 1102/2 1105/10 1121/16 1121/17 1121/25 1123/9 1132/9 1151/24 1153/21 1154/1 1171/22 1179/24 1179/25 1181/10 1199/5 1199/17 1201/19 1208/16 1212/5 1213/5 1224/7 1226/9 1233/25 1235/3 1235/25 1242/21 1242/23 1243/22
asked [49] 987/15 989/2 1012/18 1014/9 1016/9 1016/14 1017/3 1019/23 1021/17 1048/7 1059/23 1073/17 1081/14 1081/15 1084/19 1085/3 1085/9 1086/5 1093/24 1094/5 1102/12 1102/21 1110/2 1110/6 1121/20 1121/25 1127/24 1131/16 1137/3 1146/6 1154/8 1160/21 1171/14 1171/24 1177/13 1178/19 1179/21 1179/22 1184/12 1184/20 1186/19 1188/14 1189/4 1189/21 1207/22 1227/5 1228/1 1240/25 1241/24
asking [30] 971/4 976/6 980/19 988/23
1014/8 1017/10 1017/23 1019/1 1024/6 1058/25 1061/12 1065/21 1066/2 1072/3 1073/3 1078/14 1101/19 1102/7 1120/4 1138/1 1166/1 1166/2 1168/1 1197/2 1200/12 1200/23 1201/16 1202/1 1207/2 1242/23
asks [2] 1010/18 1013/24
aspect [2] 1083/3 1110/13
aspects [3] 1019/12 1110/10 1130/20 assault [1] 1015/22
assert [1] 1222/9
asserting [2] 1223/6 1223/12
assess [1] 1042/7
assessing [2] 1199/18 1201/4
assign [1] 1096/22
assigned [5] 1030/21 1067/16
1117/20 1118/20 1192/5
assignment [5] 1038/8 1111/23
1119/5 1121/14 1186/5
assignments [1] 1125/9
assistant [4] 1008/21 1157/1 1158/2 1191/11
assists [1] 1096/13
associate [1] 1215/19
associate's [2] 971/9 1218/24
associated [1] 1147/20
Association [1] 1031/11
Associations [1] 974/10
assume [7] 1010/13 1053/19 1160/4 1206/4 1206/12 1212/23 1214/1
assumed [1] 1209/15
assumes [1] 1210/24
assuming [3] 970/6 1117/15 1117/19
assumption [1] 1018/9
attached [6] 1115/13 1140/3 1200/24
1209/13 1210/16 1227/6
attachment [3] 1209/11 1220/7
1227/13
attachment is [1] 1220/7
attempt [1] 1039/7
attend [6] 1009/15 1009/18 \(1144 / 5\) 1236/1 1237/2 1237/17
attendance [2] 1067/25 1070/2 attended [4] 1009/20 1031/10 1144/3 1144/12
attention [9] 1093/17 1104/22 1110/25 1141/19 1143/25 1156/12 1221/11 1225/18 1226/16
attitude [1] 1033/17
attorney [55] 986/16 988/18 988/23 989/1 989/3 989/7 989/23 991/17 991/25 1008/22 1008/25 1010/3 1011/3 1043/11 1078/24 1109/3 1109/24 1119/17 1121/11 1121/18 1122/11 1125/12 1125/12 1125/17 1126/5 1127/14 1128/14 1135/7 1137/22 1140/4 1140/16 1154/20 1169/6 1169/19 1171/15 1171/20 1191/11 1212/16 1213/7 1215/5 1216/14 1216/17 1217/2 1217/7 1217/11 1217/13 1219/7 1221/4 1221/12 1222/5 1222/9 1222/23 1223/7 1223/11 1226/3
attorney's [5] 1109/6 1109/11 1112/11 1119/22 1128/17
attorney-client [19] 1078/24 1212/16 1213/7 1215/5 1216/14 1216/17 1217/2 1217/7 1217/11 1217/13 1219/7 1221/4 1221/12 1222/5 1222/9 1222/23 1223/7 1223/11 1226/3
attorneys [2] 971/4 1147/24
audio [5] 1040/16 1144/3 1160/7 1162/18 1163/3
audio-recorded [1] 1160/7
audios [1] 1160/3
audit [1] 972/18
August [13] 989/11 989/24 1068/16 1068/20 1068/22 1068/23 1159/18 1159/21 1160/6 1160/14 1161/15 1162/3 1163/4
August 13 [2] 1159/18 1161/15
August 13th [3] 1159/21 1162/3 1163/4
August 22 [2] 989/11 989/24
authored [1] 1060/6
authorities [1] 1043/21
authority [3] 993/25 1043/24 1046/24
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 1015/17 1015/19 1016/10 1016/11 & 983/19 983/22 983/24 984/11 984/12 \\
\hline authorize [1] 1043/12 & 1016/14 1016/25 1017/4 1017/14 1019/2 1019/11 1022/8 1023/24 & 988/2 988/10 990/12 991/2 991/21 991/24 996/16 996/25 1005/3 1006/2 \\
\hline automatic [1] 1119/15 & 1024/18 1030/13 1030/14 1032/5 & 1008/24 1009/20 1018/11 1020/20 \\
\hline Autzen [1] 984/24 & 1032/8 1033/13 1045/15 1045/16 & 1024/25 1028/23 1030/18 1030/20 \\
\hline ility [1] 1 & 1045/18 1045/20 1200/25 & 1031/13 1038/9 1041/12 1041/14 \\
\hline avilable [2] 977/17 1002/3 & backgrounded [1] 1032/7 & 1043/18 1043/22 1044/18 1046/20 \\
\hline Avenue [3] 968/4 968/8 968/21 & backpay [2] 1131/5 1220/1 & 1049/20 1056/2 \\
\hline average [2] 1001/14 1007/8 & \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { backtrack [1] } & 1027 / 8 \\ \text { bad [4] } & \text { 972/3 1015/23 1238/18 }\end{array}\) & 1089/11 1089/11 1094/6 1105/14 \\
\hline avoid [1] 1006/17 & bad [4] 972/3 1015/23 1238/18
\[
1240 / 11
\] & 1107/17 1107/25 1110/8 1111/1 \\
\hline \[
\text { award [17] } 1
\] & badge [1] 978/25 & 1117/25 1120/12 1126/9 1126/25 \\
\hline 1205/8 1206/21 1206/24 1209/2 & baffled [6] 1055/4 1055/8 1055/ & 1127/1 1127/24 1135/7 \\
\hline 1209/8 1209/17 1209/24 1209/25 & 5/24 1055/25 1056 & 1150/17 11 \\
\hline 1213/18 1220/16 & bag [1] 1239/21 & 1159/2 1171/25 1173/6 1179/18 \\
\hline awarded [1] 1131/5 & ball [1] 1020/20 & 1180/12 1184/16 1202/9 1202/10 \\
\hline aware [48] 988/24 997/24 998/25 & base [1] 1162/16 & 1202/12 1206/9 1209/13 1216/24 \\
\hline 998/25 999/9 1003/2 1003/10 1005/8 & based [10] 1122/1 1 & 1217/12 1221/1 1222/24 1223/17 \\
\hline 1005/10 1016/25 1017/21 1019/9 & 1172/21 1172/22 1181/13 1213/7 & 1227/9 1229/21 1232/3 12 \\
\hline 1023/22 1024/16 1045/2 1045/8 & 1219/7 1222/23 1243/25 & 33/13 1234/18 1241/11 1244/ \\
\hline 1045/16 1058/3 1058/8 1058/12 & basic [1] 1233/25 & beer [2] 1015/20 \\
\hline 1095/13 1106/6 1108/17 1120/12 & basically [12] 1045 & before [69] 967/15 988/6 \\
\hline 1120/24 1127/23 1132/14 1158/7 & 42/8 1160/3 117 & 1009/24 1010/2 1010/4 1011/6 10 \\
\hline 1181/7 1181/14 1182/13 1183/16 & 1178/20 1207/10 1212/20 1212/25 & 1020/21 1021/22 1022/2 1025/ \\
\hline 1184/9 1184/11 1185/2 1185/8 & 1228/7 & 1026/6 1026/23 1031/17 1054/1 \\
\hline 1187/24 1189/1 1191/13 1191/19 & battery [1] 994/19 & 1054/3 1068/14 1072/22 1072/23 \\
\hline 1191/21 1193/12 1199/18 1201/1 & & 1072/24 1093/14 1094/17 1100/8 \\
\hline 1201/5 1206/24 1211/20 1232/1 & bearing [1] & 1103/11 1125/16 1126/5 1127/14 \\
\hline away [8] 981/8 987/23 1000/15 & beat [1] 1028/2 & 1137/23 1140/20 1150/19 1151/1 \\
\hline 1000/17 1010/15 1108/3 1180/20 & beating [2] 1109 & 51/7 1151/8 \\
\hline 1238/3 & became [7] 971/10 971/22 1012/6 & 1152/22 1153/6 1155/12 1163/6 \\
\hline B & [110] & 1193/7 1193/12 1193/13 1196/20 \\
\hline & 977/17 977/22 979/7 979/10 979/19 & 1197/14 1205/12 1206/5 \\
\hline 984/6 995/21 995/24 995/24 996/4 & 982/6 982/14 982/17 982/20 983/1 & 1211/6 1214/7 1215/16 1219/8 \\
\hline 996/13 1005/9 1005/19 1006/2 & 983/7 984/8 984/19 986/14 986/22 & 1219/15 1222/5 1222/24 1227/15 \\
\hline 1006/20 1009/24 1013/5 1013/6 & 987/14 991/24 996/24 1002/6 1010/3 & 1228/2 1229/8 1232/5 1232/12 \\
\hline 1013/10 1015/21 1018/19 1019/18 & 1012/9 1012/9 1017/3 1018/2 1019/9 & 1232/16 1233/7 1238/1 1243/8 \\
\hline 1021/18 1022/16 1023/19 1024/14 & 1019/16 1026/20 1026/23 1027/24 & 1244/10 \\
\hline 1025/15 1025/21 1027/14 1028/4 & 1028/2 1033/13 1035/12 1063 & gan [3] 1139/18 1150/4 1163/1 \\
\hline 1028/11 1035/18 1035/18 1035/24 & 1076/7 1079/25 1082/6 & nning [3] 1175/21 1212/21 123 \\
\hline 1036/10 1037/10 1040/24 1043/18 & 1093/12 1094/6 1094/9 1103/24 & begins [2] 1068/1 1189/18 \\
\hline 1043/24 1046/5 1046/6 1066/16 & 1105/25 1108/1 1109/7 110 & half [6] 970/15 1029/18 1051/15 \\
\hline 1067/9 1087/14 1088/12 1089/7 & 1111/ & 1091/16 1174/2 1244/12 \\
\hline 1090/13 1090/14 1090/22 1090/23 & 1111/19 1112/1 1112/3 1114/22 & behavior [5] 1068/11 1070/7 1120/1 \\
\hline 1096/16 1104/8 1104/9 1106/20 & 1115/12 1137/4 1139/13 1140/1 & 1159/8 \\
\hline 1112/13 1115/21 1115/25 1118/4 & 1140/6 1140/21 1144/2 1149/7 & Behavioral [2] 1067/22 1067/2 \\
\hline 1120/20 1120/21 1121/2 1121/7 & 1149/17 1149/21 1152/18 1155/11 & behaviors [1] 1157/1 \\
\hline 1127/12 1128/19 1136/8 1136/21 & 1155/15 1158/16 1164/17 1169 & [4] 1034/12 1164 \\
\hline 1138/6 1147/7 1147/8 1148/16 & 1171/14 1171/20 1172/25 1178/19 & 1164/ \\
\hline 1162/15 1163/25 1164/12 1164/13 & 1179/20 1188/11 1190/23 1197/2 & behooves [1] 1018/ \\
\hline 1164/16 1168/21 1186/1 1186/6 & 1205/3 1210/21 & being [65] 970/15 977 \\
\hline 1186/16 1195/11 1199/16 1205/21 & 1212/23 1213/4 1213/22 1215/5 & 990/23 991/1 991/11 991/13 1004 \\
\hline 1205/25 1206/1 1210/23 1212/10 & 1215/11 1216/14 1221/9 1221/13 & 181810193 1024/20 1024/22 \\
\hline 1213/13 1216/6 1216/22 1228/10 & 1221/16 1223/18 1223/22 1224/11 & 1028/7 1029/18 1031/5 1038/ \\
\hline 1228/15 1228/21 1229/23 1231/1 & 1232/5 1232/16 1234/9 1234/18 & 1038/15 1038/16 1038/25 1051/15 \\
\hline 1235/16 1237/5 1239/22 1245/8 & 1237/25 1238/2 1238/13 1238/14 & 1054/12 1054/15 1055/4 1060/10 \\
\hline background [69] 971/7 971/12 973/12 & 1238/16 1239/2 1239/12 1239/14 & 1071/18 1076/5 1076/6 1079/5 \\
\hline 974/1 974/4 974/14 974/16 974/20 & 1242/2 1245/10 & 1079/25 1080/10 1080/15 1091/16 \\
\hline 975/25 976/8 976/10 990/14 990/19 & Bechdolt [11] 992/20 992/21 993/2 & 1093/15 1098/12 1099/10 1103/2 \\
\hline 990/22 991/14 994/10 995/17 995/21 & 998/24 1095/9 1115/25 1116/14 & 1106/3 1108/7 1110/5 1119/11 1120/5 \\
\hline 995/25 996/1 996/17 996/20 996/24 & 1139/21 1205/18 1213/17 1235/22 & 22/3 1122/3 1122/14 1134/14 \\
\hline 996/25 997/3 997/5 997/6 997/9 & Bechdolt's [1] 1139/18 & 1138/20 1149/19 1154/19 1154/23 \\
\hline 997/11 997/14 997/20 998/3 998/4 & become [8] 983/6 1052/12 1139/2 & 66/15 1166/19 1174/2 1175/9 \\
\hline 998/6 998/10 998/10 998/16 998/18 & 0/22 1175/7 1189/1 1215/7 12 & 1177/11 1180/3 1181/13 1185/16 \\
\hline 998/25 999/15 999/23 1011/19 & becomes [1] 1040/19 & 1186/23 1187/5 1188/5 1188/6 \\
\hline 1013/23 1014/3 1014/8 1015/17 & been [87] 975/13 975/21 982/1 983/15 & 1213/25 1214/9 1218/21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

call... [63] 978/15 978/23 978/24 979/1 979/2 979/3 979/6 979/13 979/15 979/17 979/19 979/25 980/4 980/15 980/24 985/11 986/14 986/19 997/8 1001/22 1001/22 1002/21 1002/24 1003/6 1003/22 1004/4 1004/20 1004/20 1004/23 1004/25 1007/12 1007/18 1007/19 1058/16 1061/2 1061/13 1071/23 1076/9 1088/9 1088/18 1088/21 1090/12 1091/9 1120/16 1129/10 1129/14 1129/15 1129/16 1137/25 1173/9 1173/15 1221/9 1225/17 1231/17 1231/18 1231/18 1231/24 1233/6 1234/19 1236/5 1237/18 1242/11 1245/11 call-driven [2] 985/11 1007/12 called [28] 970/15 978/1 979/10 979/11 980/25 981/6 986/14 987/14 987/22 998/20 998/23 1029/18 1051/15 1074/15 1091/16 1093/12 1094/14 1094/18 1097/21 1174/2 1175/19 1177/11 1198/25 1203/5 1230/11 1234/3 1234/4 1234/4 calling [9] 970/7 1039/18 1051/10 1090/21 1173/12 1173/13 1177/14 1194/19 1245/11
callouts [4] 985/20 1134/17 1134/25 1185/17
calls [14] 976/11 979/2 985/13 994/1 1004/5 1004/7 1007/2 1007/2 1007/9 1067/13 1069/24 1088/23 1089/2 1228/2
cam [3] 1070/10 1153/12 1153/23 came [22] 971/20 986/17 997/25 1007/2 1034/12 1034/21 1034/23 1035/6 1044/24 1045/23 1046/7 1070/14 1081/13 1097/17 1098/5 1098/7 1112/13 1160/25 1178/6 1199/16 1215/16 1217/15
camera [5] 988/3 988/7 988/13 988/14 1008/1
cameras [4] 982/17 983/2 988/7 988/16
CAMERON [39] 967/8 1051/11
1051/12 1051/14 1051/18 1051/23 1052/3 1061/21 1083/12 1086/5
1100/22 1101/24 1103/11 1103/22 1107/16 1108/4 1108/6 1148/5 1148/14 1150/4 1150/15 1150/25 1151/6 1151/8 1151/20 1152/3 1152/5 1152/21 1152/23 1154/11 1164/8 1165/1 1165/21 1166/3 1166/22 1170/15 1180/5 1183/12 1234/23 campaign [3] 1103/13 1103/20 1103/21
campaigns [1] 1103/18
camped [1] 1100/9
campus [6] 1003/11 1067/12 1069/23
1082/21 1099/21 1100/9
can [178]
can't [20] 983/7 992/9 1008/7 1018/9 1049/13 1050/2 1059/1 1063/1
1063/11 1071/7 1078/8 1114/21
1141/23 1149/13 1190/19 1198/10
1201/2 1203/8 1208/21 1224/23
capability [3] 1085/18 1088/6 1088/9
capable [1] 1025/18
capacities [1] 1120/2
capacity [1] 1052/6
captain [7] 1111/23 1112/4 1115/24
1175/3 1210/2 1211/3 1213/16
capture [1] 1142/8
car [41] 977/7 977/25 978/24 979/16
979/19 979/23 979/24 980/16 984/5
984/6 988/8 1001/24 1002/20 1002/21
1002/21 1002/23 1003/3 1003/10
1003/20 1004/1 1005/9 1005/19 1006/2 1006/20 1010/15 1013/9 1033/23 1037/15 1048/21 1074/22 1074/24 1075/2 1075/3 1075/4 1075/8 1075/9 1076/17 1087/22 1133/11 1153/10 1155/23
care [5] 972/14 979/6 982/6 986/19 1230/1
career [10] 996/5 1006/15 1119/21 1119/24 1120/6 1190/9 1206/16 1207/9 1207/23 1208/18
careful [1] 1243/17
caring [1] 991/3
CAROLYN [7] \(967 / 7\) 1174/1 1174/11 1220/2 1220/22 1226/5 1228/2
Carolyn McDermed [1] 1220/22
carry [2] 1011/1 1243/11
carrying [1] 1006/1
cars [6] 979/18 1002/7 1002/25
1037/12 1153/17 1154/9
carte [2] 1017/5 1039/1
CARTER [2] 967/15 1216/23
case [37] 967/5 981/14 986/7 991/22 1003/15 1018/6 1021/21 1048/22 1088/5 1088/12 1116/21 1117/7 1154/16 1158/10 1165/18 1173/12 1179/20 1189/2 1191/13 1192/4 1220/8 1222/17 1226/7 1229/24 1229/25 1231/2 1231/4 1231/5 1231/5 1234/6 1234/12 1234/13 1234/15 1235/2 1238/24 1242/11 1245/3 cases [7] 1021/12 1027/14 1037/25 1222/3 1222/4 1230/22 1232/6 Casey [7] 1094/25 1098/12 1139/17 1140/12 1167/14 1175/24 1176/16 cast [1] 1238/17
cat [1] 1239/21
categories [2] 1067/5 1148/10
category [2] 1067/10 1144/25
Caufield [1] 1235/23
cause [6] 1037/22 1085/12 1216/23
1225/1 1240/10 1247/10
caused [7] 1213/6 1222/6 1222/23
1225/15 1226/16 1242/18 1242/24
causing [2] 1223/4 1224/19
caution [2] 1016/8 1130/3
cc [1] 1220/3
cc'd [1] 1113/12
cell [2] 1007/17 1093/10
center [1] 981/3
certain [16] 981/17 1030/21 1048/20
1048/21 1049/2 1069/6 1120/2 1144/3
1144/5 1157/8 1196/18 1209/19
1212/22 1220/13 1221/19 1222/16
certainly [11] 1025/18 1030/12
1039/11 1041/20 1060/20 1061/23
1186/25 1194/19 1223/15 1224/8

1242/3
certificate [1] 1104/4
certified [2] 1030/24 1247/12
certify [1] 1247/8
certifying [1] 1037/22
cetera [1] 1126/12
chain [8] 1039/21 1041/12 1113/2 1113/6 1148/20 1148/21 1217/18 1224/12
chainsaws [1] 1034/3
chair [2] 1029/25 1174/7
challenge [1] 1039/6
chamber [1] 1039/1
chance [7] 1090/2 1128/1 1128/14
1128/16 1200/7 1217/6 1243/22
chances [2] 991/6 1038/16
change [9] 1017/13 1018/8 1019/24
1026/8 1026/25 1112/10 1118/5 1186/24 1189/3
changed [4] 1017/22 1064/16 1112/8 1235/9
changes [2] 1018/3 1046/4
changing [3] 984/2 988/11 1135/16
character [2] 1020/2 1238/17
charge [5] 1015/1 1015/4 1122/4
1122/4 1208/10
charges [6] 1020/12 1020/16 1020/17
1023/21 1024/15 1171/21
charging [1] 1119/4
Chase [25] 996/20 997/12 1006/5
1006/7 1035/7 1041/8 1041/11 1041/23 1042/6 1042/13 1042/15 1042/25 1043/5 1043/15 1043/20
1043/25 1044/2 1044/22 1045/3
1045/9 1047/10 1047/18 1047/18 1120/14 1120/16
Chase's [1] 1043/21
chastise [2] 1024/3 1024/5
check [16] 972/24 973/6 974/16 975/25 991/14 995/17 995/21 1011/19 1011/25 1011/25 1015/17 1015/19 1017/4 1019/2 1019/11 1045/15
check-the-box [1] 973/6
checked [1] 996/3
checkered [1] 972/2
checking [2] 1073/7 1175/10
checks [3] 996/9 1045/17 1045/18
chest [1] 1101/13
Chicago [10] 1015/14 1015/15
1015/16 1015/18 1019/3 1019/19
1020/3 1023/20 1024/14 1024/22
chief [137] 971/22 972/8 972/9 986/18 987/13 987/13 992/4 993/5 993/5 993/14 996/20 997/1 997/8 997/12 997/15 997/20 999/1 1000/14 1006/5 1006/7 1013/23 1014/17 1016/9 1019/1 1019/23 1022/3 1022/7 1022/16 1024/22 1025/21 1027/22 1028/20 1029/5 1029/9 1035/7 1041/8 1041/11 1041/23 1042/6 1042/13 1042/15 1042/25 1043/5 1043/15 1043/20 1043/21 1043/25 1044/2 1044/6 1044/22 1045/3 1045/9 1047/10 1047/18 1047/18 1095/12 1096/20 1109/24 1110/22 1111/14 1111/22 1112/8 1113/25 1115/4 1115/15 1115/23 1117/14 1118/4

complaint [4] 1096/21 1155/21 1159/7 1159/12
complaints [14] 984/15 985/23
1042/12 1043/3 1044/21 1044/24 1045/2 1045/5 1045/8 1045/10 1054/6 1081/6 1096/8 1096/11
complete [4] 976/25 1001/4 1021/25 1047/6
completed [3] 977/5 1012/7 1030/25 completely [4] 1020/7 1101/10 1171/25 1223/22
completeness [2] 1085/13 1086/12
complex [3] 1088/21 1230/22 1232/7
compliant [3] 1068/11 1070/7 1221/15 comply [1] 1169/14 compound [2] 1075/4 1075/5 comprise [1] 1050/22
computer [4] 1063/18 1142/17 1142/24 1147/2
computers [2] 1146/24 1147/25
concealed [6] 1010/17 1011/1
1074/12 1076/6 1076/8 1076/17
conceivable [1] 1041/14
concept [4] 1011/10 1093/7 1119/1 1168/3
concern [11] 987/7 998/12 998/14
1080/2 1080/6 1111/25 1188/8
1188/18 1219/5 1219/9 1236/8
concerned [13] 1069/1 1118/6
1178/20 1199/15 1216/15 1217/2
1219/6 1222/2 1223/2 1223/5 1223/5 1224/5 1225/14
concerning [5] 1090/12 1130/4
1136/13 1214/9 1225/3
concerns [9] 976/1 976/2 990/22
1009/11 1079/25 1096/5 1111/15 1153/24 1159/10
conclude [1] 1134/11
conclusion [3] 1130/22 1133/12 1134/8
conditions [1] 1031/19
conduct [12] 1006/13 1040/16 1041/6
1067/12 1069/5 1069/23 1080/25
1096/18 1125/21 1169/1 1171/12
1245/17
conducted [3] 1158/20 1182/5 1192/6 conducting [4] 1169/6 1171/10
1171/13 1171/19
conducts [1] 1011/19
confer [4] 1202/18 1233/16 1239/10 1239/11
confess [1] 986/15
confidence [1] 1233/20
confident [1] 987/16
confidential [1] 1197/22
confirm [4] 1016/11 1057/25 1063/1
1063/11
confirmed [1] 1015/19
conflict [2] 1057/10 1137/16
conformed [1] 1247/11
confronting [1] 1141/15
confused [1] 1135/17
confusing [4] 1069/7 1175/13 1218/8 1218/13
confusion [4] 1069/9 1195/1 1202/13 1218/21
connect [1] 1053/22 connected [1] 1094/10
connection [1] 1117/10
connotation [1] 1242/9
cons [1] 984/6
consequences [1] 1120/5
consider [6] 1068/8 1068/11 1116/15
1121/20 1121/25 1127/9
consideration [1] 1173/3
considered [1] 1095/19
considers [1] 1070/7
consistent [2] 1034/16 1189/25
constant [1] 1070/3
consult [2] 1023/2 1225/21
consulted [1] 1010/3
consulting [1] 1017/4
consuming [1] 979/23
contact [10] 997/15 997/20 998/22
1008/4 1040/19 1040/20 1041/4
1049/24 1126/17 1132/15
contacted [6] 975/24 989/3 990/19
1158/15 1179/17 1179/19
contacting [1] 1127/20
contacts [3] 1040/15 1041/3 1111/2
contain [1] 1140/20
contempt [1] 1237/16
Content [1] 1220/4
contention [1] 1221/13
contents [6] 1037/4 1045/16 1113/10
1114/22 1167/4 1167/5
context [9] 1085/6 1094/6 1100/11 1104/5 1176/18 1181/19 1188/12 1197/10 1197/11
continuation [1] 1183/25
continue [6] 999/5 1028/17 1136/22
1146/1 1241/22 1242/13
continued [2] 1043/19 1237/12
continues [1] 1145/25
continuity [1] 990/8
contract [1] 975/11
contrary [1] 1017/6
contravention [1] 1015/9
contributed [1] 1200/23
control [2] 1227/20 1245/15
controlled [1] 1100/5
convention [2] 1191/3 1191/8 conversation [22] 1004/3 1014/19 1019/15 1046/22 1047/2 1047/7 1079/2 1079/9 1097/18 1101/23 1101/24 1112/16 1113/10 1118/4 1134/13 1134/14 1188/12 1204/15 1204/17 1216/21 1226/24 1227/15 conversations [3] 1054/16 1054/19 1078/9
convey [1] 1070/3
conviction [8] 996/14 1019/18
1020/12 1020/14 1020/15 1021/10 1025/23 1028/21
cooperate [1] 1229/22
cooperating [1] 1229/21
cooperation [3] 1068/9 1070/5 1230/25
cooperative [1] 1068/9
cop [1] 1088/5
copies [3] 1090/18 1176/2 1195/12 copy [11] 1055/6 1089/22 1092/5 1122/20 1123/9 1123/11 1129/4

1145/5 1195/5 1195/10 1195/13 corn [1] 985/1
corner [3] 1061/17 1083/16 1084/9
corporal [2] 971/16 1052/11
correct [219]
corrected [2] 1056/16 1227/7
correction [1] 1180/11
Corvallis [1] 973/20
cost [1] 975/18
cost-prohibitive [1] 975/18
Cottage [4] 975/13 975/13 975/19 995/10
could [71] 978/13 983/5 1010/24 1011/17 1024/9 1031/7 1034/1
1037/17 1039/25 1041/20 1047/13
1060/19 1063/15 1063/18 1064/9
1066/22 1067/10 1070/15 1071/18
1071/23 1089/11 1089/11 1090/1
1099/8 1101/25 1102/3 1102/17
1102/25 1111/10 1112/3 1119/20
1119/24 1120/5 1123/3 1124/12
1132/15 1137/12 1137/19 1142/4
1165/5 1166/4 1166/15 1166/19
1167/2 1167/18 1171/21 1172/18
1172/19 1172/21 1173/2 1173/6
1181/19 1182/20 1186/5 1187/20
1188/19 1188/21 1191/19 1193/8
1204/24 1206/16 1208/10 1208/16
1209/13 1213/14 1213/25 1214/5
1221/12 1235/3 1235/18 1236/5
couldn't [13] 986/21 1052/22 1057/25
1075/18 1078/22 1094/25 1119/11
1124/8 1137/13 1139/4 1141/3 1184/5
1222/4
council [1] 1043/25
counsel [175]
counsel's [1] 1221/18
counseled [3] 1154/12 1156/12 1238/23
counseling [3] 1058/17 1164/22 1164/25
counting [1] 973/15
country [1] 992/6
County [6] 973/19 973/21 977/23 981/4 994/3 1043/11
couple [20] 980/24 987/11 987/15
988/14 992/24 1008/1 1010/15
1024/25 1034/11 1040/1 1041/10
1060/20 1095/2 1118/5 1142/2 1142/4
1162/17 1168/13 1178/5 1238/25
course [8] 974/21 987/23 989/3
1012/2 1013/8 1018/4 1116/9 1217/2
court [64] 967/1 967/16 968/20 978/20
990/6 991/16 1004/11 1015/10 1017/5
1017/19 1017/24 1017/25 1018/4
1018/11 1019/8 1019/17 1019/19
1021/17 1022/2 1025/17 1026/6
1027/1 1028/8 1038/10 1044/5
1067/16 1070/1 1082/6 1103/4 1119/3
1122/20 1200/3 1205/3 1213/6
1214/23 1215/16 1216/6 1216/15
1216/21 1221/6 1221/8 1222/2 1222/4
1222/6 1222/22 1222/24 1225/15
1226/12 1226/15 1227/4 1229/8
1232/11 1235/3 1237/4 1237/11
1237/11 1237/11 1237/13 1237/14
1244/9 1244/18 1245/25 1246/2
court... [1] 1247/15
Court's [7] 1020/24 1024/8 1028/6 1028/15 1222/8 1225/17 1238/22 courteous [1] 1068/9 courtesy [8] 1005/8 1005/25 1017/23 1029/10 1087/19 1136/20 1228/17 1233/3
courthouse [4] 968/20 1003/21 1003/24 1004/2
courtroom [2] 1016/6 1239/23 courts [1] 1018/6
cover [5] 977/21 978/16 987/18 1128/18 1211/24
coverage [2] 977/23 978/18
covered [1] 1050/4
created [1] 1099/11
creating [1] 1192/19
credibility [13] 1009/1 1009/8 1009/11
1019/5 1134/19 1134/24 1169/4 1169/10 1169/13 1169/18 1169/20 1200/14 1222/13
credible [3] 1167/22 1168/2 1223/1 Creswell [1] 1010/16 crime [6] 980/23 981/12 986/17
1005/16 1082/18 1082/21
crimes [7] 1071/23 1072/8 1072/9 1115/18 1119/4 1165/24 1166/4 criminal [39] 973/18 977/1 995/17 995/20 996/1 996/9 996/12 1000/10 1012/1 1012/3 1013/24 1014/3 1014/9 1015/13 1015/21 1016/15 1016/17 1020/12 1020/16 1020/17 1023/21 1024/15 1025/23 1028/21 1040/15 1041/4 1041/6 1082/17 1122/4 1125/21 1140/4 1169/1 1169/6 1171/10 1171/12 1171/14 1171/19 1171/21 1171/22
criminally [2] 1121/18 1121/24 critical [1] 1134/19
critique [4] 983/22 1032/20 1032/23 1059/19
cross [26] 969/5 969/10 969/14 969/18 993/10 993/12 1015/8 1022/3 1028/18 1044/9 1044/11 1058/13 1058/21 1072/18 1083/8 1083/10 1100/1 1128/12 1168/8 1168/11 1182/16 1228/16 1229/1 1232/13 1232/14 1241/1
cross-examination [19] 969/5 969/10 969/14 969/18 993/10 993/12 1015/8
1022/3 1028/18 1044/9 1044/11
1083/8 1083/10 1168/8 1168/11
1228/16 1229/1 1232/13 1232/14
cross-examining [1] 1100/1
crossed [1] 1058/25
CRR [2] 968/20 1247/14
CSR [4] 968/20 1247/14 1247/16 1247/16
culture [1] 1038/20
cup [1] 1025/14
curative [2] 1023/16 1024/10
curbing [1] 1043/23
cure [3] 1025/3 1025/8 1025/16
curiosity [1] 1008/2
curious [1] 1212/16
current [3] 973/16 1031/12 1143/14
currently [3] 978/9 983/10 1002/16 cursory [2] 1047/3 1047/17
custody [2] 973/5 1005/19
Customer [2] 1068/5 1070/3
cut [1] 1201/3
cutting [1] 1217/15
cv [2] 967/5 1247/4

\section*{D}

DA [16] 1011/7 1118/7 1118/18 1121/23 1132/1 1132/12 1132/21 1133/18 1135/24 1140/4 1191/9 1199/17 1206/22 1208/16 1211/7 1227/8
DA Alex Gardner [1] 1191/9
DA's [5] 1112/14 1128/22 1135/10 1135/25 1169/12
damages [3] 1241/12 1242/24 1242/24
damaging [1] 1039/25
dangerous [5] 1008/13 1008/15
1088/18 1088/20 1088/24
dash [3] 1070/10 1153/11 1153/23
data [7] 972/23 986/25 987/4 995/22
996/2 1011/25 1146/25
database [1] 1012/1
date [35] 989/11 1031/14 1049/13 1053/15 1063/19 1063/24 1064/1 1064/7 1064/22 1064/25 1065/2 1065/3 1066/1 1066/4 1066/16 1066/18 1066/19 1066/20 1066/23 1105/6 1116/25 1118/21 1123/2 1123/9 1143/17 1143/23 1151/2 1156/21 1160/25 1161/2 1161/11 1161/17 1162/6 1247/15 1247/16 dated [4] 1063/20 1065/12 1065/17 1065/25
dates [7] 1064/9 1143/16 1144/11 1144/13 1144/18 1185/19 1218/25
daughter [3] 1102/20 1102/24 1103/1 DAVID [1] 967/15
day [25] 967/13 972/25 978/19 979/3 986/15 1000/4 1004/11 1028/1 1031/19 1045/23 1065/5 1066/3 1073/7 1090/11 1090/20 1094/13 1115/19 1144/7 1167/10 1229/20 1231/7 1232/6 1237/12 1246/7 1247/5 day/time [1] 972/25
days [16] 978/17 981/9 1077/6 1121/1 1121/4 1141/21 1142/2 1142/4 1150/5 1152/5 1156/22 1162/17 1185/16 1199/11 1199/13 1232/9
de [1] 1048/22
deadly [1] 1107/5
deal [7] 978/2 1032/20 1075/21 1138/3 1143/12 1169/19 1169/20
dealing [1] 1208/18
dealt [6] 981/2 987/6 1010/4 1014/5 1039/21 1226/11
dean [4] 1158/2 1158/5 1158/22
1159/6
death [2] 1119/20 1119/24
Deb [3] 1108/14 1143/19 1143/22
December [4] 971/15 1050/23 1145/17 1176/6
December 1st [1] 971/15
December 2nd [1] 1176/6
decent [1] 1237/17
decide [9] 977/13 1088/4 1130/8
1209/2 1223/2 1223/10 1225/22
1227/3 1227/21
decided [10] 973/8 1035/9 1047/14 1047/15 1109/7 1112/7 1121/3
1137/21 1142/19 1213/2
decider [1] 1216/16
decides [2] 991/25 1237/1
decision [43] 1006/24 1035/14
1035/18 1047/19 1109/23 1109/24
1113/18 1115/3 1115/6 1115/9 1116/5
1119/22 1127/1 1130/5 1130/5 1130/6
1131/6 1131/12 1131/17 1131/22
1132/1 1132/11 1132/18 1132/20
1133/1 1135/25 1137/20 1169/12
1181/13 1197/13 1198/14 1198/15
1201/8 1203/23 1207/23 1208/9
1209/23 1217/14 1218/16 1220/4
1220/7 1242/2 1242/15
decisions [1] 1018/16
decline [1] 1043/19
dedicated [1] 991/3
deeply [1] 1222/2
defect [2] 1133/19 1134/1
defend [1] 1088/4
defendant [5] 1021/9 1105/14 1110/11
1137/7 1222/19
Defendants [2] 967/9 968/7
defense [15] 1017/17 1020/22
1021/16 1023/25 1034/11 1131/25
1140/4 1215/14 1219/12 1221/9
1225/17 1232/12 1234/1 1234/1
1239/19
definitely [13] 1074/11 1100/17
1101/12 1104/16 1110/21 1115/12
1117/22 1118/23 1119/7 1123/3
1150/17 1157/15 1158/18
definition [1] 1038/24
degree [3] 971/9 982/18 1070/24
delay [4] 1149/16 1149/20 1149/21
1228/20
delayed [1] 1129/12
delve [1] 1018/10
demand [1] 1232/5
demeanor [1] 1010/23
democratic [3] 1103/12 1103/18 1103/23
demonstrate [2] 1186/3 1186/17 demoted [3] 1149/8 1149/9 1149/19
denied [2] 1144/7 1144/7
denies [1] 1239/25
deny [4] 1057/25 1063/11 1101/22
1101/23
department [114] 971/25 972/1 973/9 973/21 973/21 973/22 974/15 975/13
976/7 976/21 978/10 979/22 982/13
983/20 984/23 985/3 986/1 986/5
986/5 986/6 987/11 990/20 993/3
994/25 997/1 997/16 997/19 997/21
997/21 1011/14 1011/20 1012/5
1019/6 1023/22 1024/16 1030/23
1032/12 1039/7 1040/11 1041/8
1042/6 1042/11 1042/21 1043/4 1043/18 1052/17 1052/18 1052/19
1056/1 1056/2 1056/5 1057/3 1057/18
1058/8 1068/6 1068/12 1070/4 1070/8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline D & determining [1] 1127/19 & 1174/12 1174/16 1176/5 1180/17 \\
\hline department... [56] 1075/5 1079/6 & Detrimental [1] 1126/1 & \[
\begin{array}{ll}
180 / 22 & 1183 / 9 \\
27 / 2 & 1184 / 18 \\
228 / 2 & 1210 / 5 \\
1228 / 11 & 1228 / 24
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1082/10 1082/10 1082/19 1082/20 & devastating [1] 1223/24 & 1231/8 1238/3 1240/24 1240/25 \\
\hline 1088/3 1092/18 1096/2 1096/3 1096/5 1096/24 1097/4 1097/8 1097/25 & develop [1] 1170/6 & 1241/2 \\
\hline 1104/18 1105/3 1106/22 1110/16 & developed [1] 1049/20 & directed [1] 1139/17 \\
\hline 1111/9 1111/13 1114/8 1115/20 & devices [1] 1041/1 & directing [1] 1218/9 \\
\hline 1120/10 1123/1 1123/19 1124/4 & dicks [31] 992/7 1039/18 1092/16 & direction [3] 1217/25 1 \\
\hline 1125/21 1126/16 1127/2 1127/5 & \[
10
\] & 1] \\
\hline 1127/10 1128/19 1128/23 1137/16 & \[
\text { 1093/9 } 10
\] & directly [5] 1041/11 1044/1 1045 \\
\hline 1137/19 1137/23 1158/6 1160/11 & 1094/11 1094/12 1094/15 1094/18 & \\
\hline 1163/7 1167/24 1168/3 1168/25 & 1097/20 1111/4 1177/1 1177/11 & disagreed [1] 1206/18 \\
\hline 1172/5 1172/8 1174/23 1175/1 1175/2 & 1177/14 1178/14 1178/17 1178/21 & disappointed [1] 1046/13 \\
\hline 1175/5 1175/6 1190/20 1191/22 & 1179/1 1179/2 1180/1 1180/1 & disapproval [1] 1043/25 \\
\hline 1192/5 1197/9 1202/22 1211/21 & dictate [1] 1080/23 & discipline [15] 974/25 975/2 975/6 \\
\hline departments [2] 109 & did [285] & 983/19 1032/11 1032/14 1043 \\
\hline depend [1] 1010/23 & didn't [129] 971/20 97 & 1108/8 1108/9 111 \\
\hline dependability [2] 1068/5 1070/2 & 980/8 980/11 981/3 987/24 988/ & 1152/25 1154/17 \\
\hline depending [4] 1031/19 1031/22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 998/15 998/16 998/18 1016/1 } 1017 \\
& 1021 / 17 \text { 1021/19 1039/18 1043/13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
disciplined [1] 1182/12 \\
disclose [6] 976/4 1019/17 1020/18
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1038/5 1119/5 & 1057/20 1053/17 1057/3 & 1020/18 1140/10 1223/4 \\
\hline depends [8] 984/19 1001/20 1096/21 1120/7 1186/2 1188/9 1207/14 & 1058/17 1070/16 1073/17 1076/21 & disclosed [8] 1018/23 1019/5 1020/19 \\
\hline 1245/10 & 1077/13 1078/4 1079/16 1082/4 & 1023/23 1024/17 1024/21 1024/22 \\
\hline depo [2] 10 & 1090/12 1092/24 1093/20 1095/4 & 1098/13 \\
\hline deposed [1] & 1095/12 1097/11 1097/16 1098/7 & disclosing [1] 1019/2 \\
\hline deposition [66] 1053/17 1055/6 & 1103/6 1106/2 1106/16 1107/3 1107 & disclosure [4] 1122/24 1123/ \\
\hline 1056/8 1056/14 1056/23 1060/11 & 1110/25 & 126/ \\
\hline 1060/15 1060/18 1061/12 1061/16 & & losures [1] 1123 \\
\hline 1062/1 1062/4 1070/15 1071/8 1072/3 & 1112/10 1117/4 1117/7 1119/2 & courtesy [1] 10 \\
\hline 1072/9 1072/11 1072/22 1074/1 & 20/6 1120/16 1121/12 112 & scovered [1] \\
\hline 1084/19 1085/4 1089/23 1089/25 & 1121/19 1122/15 1124/11 1124/13 & discovery [1] 1227/10 \\
\hline 1090/2 1090/19 1092/6 1092/11 & 1124/14 1124/16 1124/18 1131/21 & discuss [17] 1009/ \\
\hline 1092/13 1099/6 1101/1 1101/5 & 32/17 1135/6 1135/16 1135/17 & 1114/18 1136/13 1150/15 1152 \\
\hline 1101/10 1102/2 1104/1 1104/23 & 1135/19 1137/11 1139/16 1141/22 & 1152/14 1155/14 1170/ \\
\hline 1105/2 1105/10 1105/20 1105/23 & 1142/20 1143/7 1143/16 1144/ & 1224/2 1227/17 1230/15 1234 \\
\hline 1105/24 1106/23 1107/10 1107/13 & 1144/21 1144/25 1146/7 1149/7 & 1234/22 1237/22 \\
\hline 1118/10 1131/22 1138/9 1142/1 & 1149/17 1149/21 1150/6 1150/10 & discussed [6] 1132/7 1144/4 1144/6 \\
\hline 1149/6 1149/17 1150/14 1150/22 & 1150/20 1153/13 1153/15 1154/22 & 1150/18 1168/18 1185/4 \\
\hline 1151/16 1165/8 1165/10 1176/15 & 1155/11 1157/15 1157/22 1157/24 & discussing [2] 1103/7 11 \\
\hline 1180/8 1184/9 1184/13 1184/14 & 1158/16 1163/21 1166/5 1166/8 & discussion [15] \\
\hline 1184/18 1186/7 1186/19 11 & 1167/25 1169/23 1171/16 1177/14 & 1057/2 1086/23 1086/24 1100/2 \\
\hline 1194/17 1207/18 1241/19 & 1184/13 1184/15 1187/25 1190/3 & 1100/23 1132/7 1136 \\
\hline depositions [1] 1176/2 & 1190/6 1190/9 1190/9 1190/23 1192/8 & 1191/10 1214/7 1222/2 1222/7 \\
\hline depth [2] 975/21 977/1 & 1192/14 1192/25 1195/6 1201/21 & 1222/21 \\
\hline deputy [2] 973/19 1191/10 & 1205/4 1205/23 & ussions [7] 984/8 984/11 1015/1 \\
\hline describe [2] 982/3 1201/13 & 1208/5 1208/6 1208/20 1210/12 & 1086/20 1086/23 1101/25 1197/16 \\
\hline described [2] 1093/23 1106/15 & 1216/23 1221/7 1221/8 1222/6 & dishonest [7] 1110/9 1129/5 1133/10 \\
\hline describing [1] 1104/12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1225/17 1236/16 \\
Diego [1] 1174/23
\end{tabular} & dishonesty [6] 1135/2 1135/13 1139/1 \\
\hline escription [1] 1100/6 & difference [3] 978/3 1005/15 1241/6 & \[
1192 / 171192 / 251198 / 2
\] \\
\hline deserves [1] 992/1 & different [18] 984/3 984/3 999/14 & disk [1] 1128/8 \\
\hline Deshpande [1] 1213/15 & 1039/7 1078/11 1080/25 1101/11 & disorderly [1] 981/1 \\
\hline Deshpende [5] 1111/23 1112/4 & 1114/16 1119/13 1119/16 1120/8 & dispatch [6] 978/25 1007/17 1012/10 \\
\hline & 1165/15 1168/1 1186/4 1187/17 & 1089/11 1134/20 1134/25 \\
\hline desianed [1] 1079/4 & 1190/22 1239/13 1242/9 & dispatcher [1] 1037/2 \\
\hline \[
\text { sk [1] } 1148 / 18
\] & differently [5] 1039/3 1096/4 1097/24 & displayed [1] 983/17 \\
\hline destroyed [1] 1143 & 1105/9 1193/11 & displays [2] 1068/11 1 \\
\hline detail [1] 1016/9 & difficult [3] 1019/4 1039/6 1120 & spute [7] 1099/3 1099/6 1103/6 \\
\hline details [2] 1042/10 1047/4 & difficulty [1] 107 & 180/12 1180/ \\
\hline detectives [3] 986/9 986/9 986/10 & digital [2] 1128/8 1140/20 & disputes [2] 1202/23 1233/20 \\
\hline determination [3] 991/17 997/4 & digitally [1] 1247/12 & disqualification [1] 1119/15 \\
\hline 1117/6 & dignity [1] 972/16 & disqualified [1] 992/1 \\
\hline determine [2] 1169/13 1222/14 & direct [34] 969/4 969/9 969/13 969/17 & disregarding [1] 1215/13 \\
\hline determined [4] 997/22 1054/7 1115/19 & 969/21 970/25 971/1 1010/18 1030 & distance [2] 981/16 1153/10 \\
\hline 1157/9 & 1030/8 1032/6 1041/13 1041/14 & distaste [1] 1234/9 \\
\hline determines [2] 986/1 1096/20 & 1051/24 1052/1 1092/3 1092/9 & distracted [1] 1138/10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline E & 1112/19 1122/19 1123/6 1129/23 & F \\
\hline evidence... [49] 992/16 1015/6 & & face [3] 970/21 1030/1 1051/21 \\
\hline 1017/13 1020/25 1021/12 1026/22 & 1193/14 1193/21 1193/21 1194/10 & faced [1] 991/24 \\
\hline 1112/21 1125/23 1125/24 1126/5 & 1194/17 1194/20 1194/24 1199/21 & facilities [1] \\
\hline 1127/7 1127/13 1128/21 1128/23 & 1202/4 1210/9 1210/11 1212/14 & facing [2] 1108/8 1194/9 \\
\hline 1128/25 1129/9 1130/10 1133/9 & 1218/23 1227/6 1232/11 1233/6 & fact [30] 980/15 982/22 985/6 1018/3 \\
\hline 1133/20 1134/1 1134/8 1135/8 & Exhibit 150 [2] 1040/9 1129/23 & 1019/18 103 \\
\hline 1135/13 1143/12 1144/18 1144/20 & Exhibit 158 [3] 1122/19 1123/6 & 1092/20 1100/12 1100/18 1105/2 \\
\hline 1144/21 1158/11 1169/3 1172/23 & Exhibit 158 [3] 1122/19 1123/6 & 1111/22 1122/13 1134/9 1139/1 \\
\hline 1193/16 1201/12 1201/14 1202/11 & & 1144/17 1145/2 1150/24 \\
\hline 1202/11 1202/12 1202/16 1202/17 & 1065/11 1066/24 1066/25 & 1160/18 1164/15 1166/3 1186/22 \\
\hline 1202/17 1216/15 1219/6 1221/6 & Exhibit 168 [1] 1112/19 & 1189/22 1198/25 1208/12 \\
\hline 1223/2 1226/8 1231/6 1232/5 1232/9 & \[
\text { Exhibit } 169 \text { [1] 1160/24 }
\] & 1227/7 1238/8 \\
\hline 1232/15 1244/11 &  & facto [1] 1048/22 \\
\hline evolving [1] 1040/6 & \[
\text { Exhibit } 175 \text { [2] 1146/9 } 1
\] & factors [4] 1067/22 1067/25 1238 \\
\hline ex[2] 1235/21 1239/20 & Exhibit 230 [3] 1210/9 1210/11 1227/6 & 1238/12 \\
\hline ex-wife [2] 1235/21 1239/20 & \[
\text { Exhibit } 244 \text { [1] 989/5 }
\] & facts [4] 1010/12 1017/10 1018/5 \\
\hline exact [9] 1031/14 1034/17 1049/13 & Exhibit 3 [1] 1193/21 & 1086/21 \\
\hline 1093/4 1107/23 1131/20 1138/24 & Exhibit 31 [3] 1145/5 1145/19 1145/22 & fail [1] 994/ \\
\hline 1155/21 1156/21 & Exhibit 4 [8] 1065/9 1065/10 1065/14 & failed [4] 991/14 995/14 1014/2 1022/7 \\
\hline \[
1050 / 2 \text { 1064/20 1072/6 1115/11 }
\] & 1065/22 1065/23 1065/25 1066/6 & fair [27] 1025/4 1027/24 1028/1 \\
\hline 1120/24 1135/25 1184/16 1224/14 & & 1028/3 1042/9 1058/24 1074/8 1 \\
\hline 1240/4 1240/8 & Exhibit 4, apparently [1] 1064/18 & 1124/11 1124/14 1125/21 1128/12 \\
\hline exam [4] 974/13 975/7 976/15 1228/2 & Exhibit 5 [5] 1065/7 1065/22 1066/5 & 1153/20 1153/22 1160/3 1169/1 \\
\hline examination [51] 969/4 969/5 969/6 & & 1179/20 1185/12 1185/18 119 \\
\hline 969/7 969/9 969/10 969/11 969/13 & \[
\text { Exhibit } 63 \text { [1] 1194/10 }
\] & 1193/3 1193/7 1226/6 1228/3 1228/5 \\
\hline 969/14 969/15 969/17 969/18 969/19 & \[
\text { Exhibit } 93 \text { [2] 1035/23 1047/21 }
\] & 1228/7 1237 \\
\hline 969/21 970/25 971/1 974/13 975/23 & exhibits [17] 1059/24 1083/14 & fairest [1] \\
\hline 993/10 993/12 1010/10 1013/21 & \[
1090 / 111090 / 16 \text { 1090/21 1090/24 }
\] & fairly [5] 1047/3 1047/17 1190/2 \\
\hline 1015/8 1022/3 1028/18 1030/5 1030/8 & 1193/18 1193/19 1195/19 1202/9 & 1190/17 1232/8 \\
\hline 1044/9 1044/11 1050/16 1051/24 & \[
1231 / 10 \text { 1232/18 1232/21 1233/2 }
\] & fairness [3] 1060 \\
\hline 1052/1 1083/8 1083/10 1088/15 & 1233/9 1233/24 1244/16 & faith [5] 1214/13 1216/14 1221/12 \\
\hline 1092/3 1092/9 1136/22 1168/8 & & 1223/20 1238/6 \\
\hline 1168/11 1171/8 1174/12 1174/16 &  & fallen [1] 1033/25 \\
\hline 1227/2 1228/11 1228/16 1228/24 & expect [7] 986/24 1017/18 1020/24 & false [1] 1170/12 \\
\hline 1229/1 1232/13 1232/14 1238/4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { expect [7] } 986 / 24101 / 1181020 / 2 \\
& 107 / 131154 / 251185 / 11226 / 14
\end{aligned}
\] & familiar [5] 991/20 1072/15 1140/9 \\
\hline examinations [1] 975/17 examine [1] 1126/12 & expedite [2] 1106/19 1167/7 & 1188/3 1196/24
far [24] 995/21 995/24 996/4 996/13 \\
\hline examined [5] 970/16 1029/19 1051/16 & experience [14] 973/18 976/21 983/14 & 1004/10 1004/17 1005/18 1019/11 \\
\hline 1091/17 1174/3 & 983/23 995/6 997/ & 1027/20 1033/15 1034/1 1038/25 \\
\hline examining [1] 1100 & 1006/25 1031/16 1119/23 1174
1200/14 1200/23 & 1074/23 1075/2 1075/3 1078/3 1080 \\
\hline example [5] 982/14 986/5 986/11 & experienced [1] 1137/18 & 1082/5 1090/3 1120/9 1140/9 1160/16 \\
\hline 1137/19 1139/2 & experiences [1] 1032/18 & 1165/4 1189/18 \\
\hline excellent [1] 1235 & expert [1] 1031/3 & Farms [1] 985/2 \\
\hline except [1] 1220/9 & expertise [7] 1038/4 1049/16 1049/20 & fashion [1] 1024/1 \\
\hline exception [2] 1026/23 1138/22 & \[
1115 / 17 \text { 1204/23 1213/20 1224/21 }
\] & fault [2] 1228/20 1229/20 \\
\hline exclamation [1] 1115/21 & Expiration [1] 1247/16 & favorable [2] 1021/3 1208/1 \\
\hline exculpatory [14] 1125/23 1125/24 & explain [8] 978/14 980/6 980/16 & fear [2] 1044/5 1115/17 \\
\hline 1126/4 1127/13 1127/19 1128/21 &  & feared [2] 1213/20 1224/21 \\
\hline 1128/22 1128/25 1135/8 1135/13 & explained [4] 1099/4 1178/20 1223/24 & fears [2] 1010/14 1235/19 \\
\hline 1135/18 1135/19 1169/2 1201/12 & explained [4] 1099/4 1178/20 1223/24
\[
1227 / 11
\] & February [3] 1040/12 1175/8 1175/22 \\
\hline 1084/15 1120/8 1123/8 1153/25 & \begin{tabular}{l}
explaining [1] 1098/11 \\
explanation [3] 1015/12 1131/21
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { federal [9] 1003/21 1003/24 1004/2 } \\
\text { 1011/10 1019/17 1068/12 1070/8 }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1191/19 1194/9 1210/8 1230/17 & explanation [3] 1015/12 1131/21 \(1187 / 5\) & 1188/18 1237 \\
\hline excused [3] 1029/4 1029/5 1051/4 & & feedback [3] 983/22 984/12 1032/20 \\
\hline executing [1] 992/16 & explicitly [1] 1021/20 & feel [15] 976/1 994/4 1004/10 1033/3 \\
\hline exercise [1] 1028/13 & explosive [2] 1237/25 1240/15 & 1077/13 1103/7 1112/1 1126/17 \\
\hline exhibit [64] 989/5 1035/23 1040/9 & exposed [1] 991/21 & 1135/17 1139/10 1176/12 1197/4 \\
\hline 1047/21 1062/12 1062/13 1062/15 & express [2] 1090/7 113 & 1208/1 1208/2 1208/5 \\
\hline 1064/18 1065/7 1065/9 1065/10 & expressed [3] 1095/10 1134/20 & feeling [1] 1165/17 \\
\hline 1065/11 1065/14 1065/14 1065/22 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { expresse } \\
1134 / 25
\end{array}
\] & feet [2] 1075/1 1075/6 \\
\hline 1065/22 1065/22 1065/23 1065/25 & extensive [1] 1045/17 & fellow [1] 997/2 \\
\hline 1066/5 1066/6 1066/7 1066/9 1066/10 & extra [2] 1034/6 1162/11 & felonies [13] 1071/19 1071/23 1072/1 \\
\hline 1066/11 1066/17 1066/24 1066/25 & extremely [1] 1022/12 & 1072/4 1072/7 1073/3 1165/25 1166/4 \\
\hline 1069/6 1069/8 1069/14 1083/16 & extremely [1] 1022/12 eyes [1] 986/13 & 1166/10 1166/24 1188/6 1188/7 \\
\hline 1083/17 1083/23 1084/9 1090/22 & eyes [1] 986/13 & 1188/22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline F & \[
1130 / 8 \text { 1130/11 1131/11 1131/17 }
\] & 1093/19 1104/14 1117/22 1153/7 \\
\hline felt [8] 984/13 987/16 1012/16 1098/12 & & cisco [1] 1030/17 \\
\hline 1102/4 1105/2 1130/21 1157/9 & 1150/9 1152/11 1153/3 1157/19 & frankly [7] 1021/1 1027/11 1222/2 \\
\hline female [2] 981/1 1087/18 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1161/25 1168/22 1174/2 1177/1 \\
1179/6 1189/1 1191/8 1198/22
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{lll}
\(1225 / 10\) & \(1231 / 9\) & \(1234 / 13\) \\
fraud [4] & \(1242 / 3\) \\
\(1000 / 9\) & \(1192 / 16\) & \(1192 / 23\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline fence [1] 981/8 & 1200/10 1202/10 1203/19 1203/20 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { traud } \\
1192 / 24
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline few [14] 1028/16 1033/20 1061/3 1083/13 1084/19 1095/23 1108/10 & 1203/23 1204/6 1208/12 1213/12 & fraudulent [1] 1192/1 \\
\hline 1112/7 1152/5 1172/6 1193/18 1223/9 & 1213/21 1229/7 1231/18 1232/3 & fraudulently [1] 1192/2 \\
\hline 1223/12 1224/1 & 1239/2 1239/11 1239/20 1245/16 & Free [1] 1106/9 \\
\hline field [16] 982/8 983/5 1030/24 1040/15 & Fischer [1] & Freedom [1] \\
\hline 1040/18 1041/3 1041/16 1042/7 & five [14] 981/9 981/22 988/1 1003 & freeway [5] 972/3 972/20 972/21 \\
\hline 1049/24 1050/3 1119/9 1174/24 & 1012/24 1013/5 1018/16 1090 & 1013/10 1236/6 \\
\hline 1182/5 1182/10 1183/2 1183/5 & 1 & requent [1] \\
\hline Fields [1] 1182/2 & & fresh [2] 1025/9 1026/20 \\
\hline figure [4] 1031/5 1082/9 1131/19 & five miles [1] 1013/5 & Friday [2] 1139/5 1230/10 friend [2] 1095/20 1095/21 \\
\hline 1239/14 & five-minute [2] 1090/5 1231/22 & \[
\text { 2] } 10
\] \\
\hline file [14] 974/24 975/3 997/22 1021/19 & \[
\text { flex [1] } 978 / 21
\] & \\
\hline 1032/15 1081/19 1108/12 1108/17 & flow [2] 1129/11 1235/2 & friends [4] \(997 / 2\) 1097/16 1164/17
1164/19 \\
\hline 1108/21 1143/19 1143/20 1155/3 1192/7 1197/21 & flying [1] 1153/25 & friendship [1] 1096/25 \\
\hline filed [12] 1021/17 1044/2 1045/12 & follow [6] 981/13 1002/1 1003/14 & front [18] 976/5 1017/19 1024/3 \\
\hline 1081/22 1110/25 1111/1 1111/5 & 1020/24 1213/3 1217/4 & 1024/6 1058/15 1063/2 1063/2 \\
\hline 1111/9 1149/14 1159/7 1159/11 & follow-up [2] 1002/1 1003/1 & 1063/20 \\
\hline 1214/9 & following [1] 1021/8 & 1155/23 1156/5 1167/21 1182/20 \\
\hline files [4] 1126/12 1128/8 1140/20 & follows [6] 970/16 1029/19 1051/1 & 1202/4 1221/7 1232/19 \\
\hline 1142/24 & 17 1174/3 1220/12 & fronts [1] 1040/1 \\
\hline filing [1] 1110/14 & 1174/25 & tration [1] 1095 \\
\hline fill [3] 994/18 994/20 995/15 & football [1] 984/23 & FTEP [2] 982/11 1012/4
FTO [1] 1162/11 \\
\hline fill-out [3] 994/18 994/20 995/15 & force [5] 973/5 973/7 973/7 1011/2
\[
1107 / 5
\] & O [1] 1162/11 ck [2] 1167/15 \\
\hline filled [1] 1014/2 & & full [31] 970/21 971/15 973/14 973/17 \\
\hline final [16] 997/4 1026/7 1060/4 1069/3 & \[
1224 / 231224 / 23
\] & 973/24 974/8 975/14 976/24 9 \\
\hline 1069/7 1084/10 1118/24 1124/7 & foregoing [1] 1247/8 & 977/16 978/4 978/5 978/7 991/7 995/9 \\
\hline 1135/9 1135/25 1162/17 1209/19 1209/25 1210/1 1210/3 1227/7 & forever [1] 1019/16 & 1001/8 1001/9 1012/7 1026/16 1030/2 \\
\hline finall & forget [1] 1061/5 & 1034/13 1035/20 1038/16 1043/2 \\
\hline finance [1] 1114/3 & forgotten [2] 1192/15 & 045/1 1045/18 1091/23 1174/10 \\
\hline financial [1] 1022/22 & form [9] \(987 / 4101\) & 200/17 1200/19 1244/5 \\
\hline find [22] 996/17 1019/24 1023/9 & 15 & full-time [14] 973/14 973/17 973/24 \\
\hline 1027/21 1059/19 1059/19 1112/21 & 18 1198/22 & 974/8 975/14 977/8 977/16 978 \\
\hline 1127/21 1128/22 1133/8 1167/22 & formal [4] 1045/4 1045/13 1159/7 & 991/7 1001/8 1001/9 1035/20 1045/1 \\
\hline 1168/1 1168/6 1177/16 1178/13 & 1159/11 & 1045/18 \\
\hline 1178/16 1179/14 1216/3 1219/25 & formally [1] 1238/13 & full-time officer [1] \\
\hline 1221/4 1223/1 1243/21 & former [12] 974/3 975/25 1097/11 & fully [2] 1054/12 1058/8 \\
\hline finding [2] 1134/2 1224/5 & 1097/12 1098/4 1098/13 1179/1 & fun [2] 1002/6 1098/24 \\
\hline findings [2] 1130/20 1135/12 & 1179/17 1220/11 1236/14 1238/20 & functions [1] 1048/21 \\
\hline finds [1] 1010/14 & 1239/12 & funny [2] 1100/10 1100/11 \\
\hline fine [5] 1136/12 1205/1 1212/2 & forms [2] 972/23 986/25 & further [11] 1086/9 1173/8 1184/ \\
\hline 1226/24 1242/17 & forth [6] 976/12 1038/6 1087/14 &  \\
\hline fingerprint [1] 996/15 & forward [3] 970/11 1061/1 & furthermore [1] 1039/24 \\
\hline fire [2] 1035/19 1043/21 & & \\
\hline firearm [5] 986/13 1005/17 1006/1 & 1201/21 1201/22 1218/17 & G \\
\hline  & forwarding [1] 1210/19 & games [1] 984/23 \\
\hline  & foul [1] 1026/18 & garbage [1] 1142/25 \\
\hline & found [12] 996/14 996/16 1038/9 & Gardner [3] 1009/16 1191/9 12 \\
\hline 1021/21 1022/1 1024/12 1025/12 & 1087/25 1122/1 1127/15 1156/17 & Garrity [1] 1155/15 \\
\hline 1030/19 1029/18 1028/13 10 & 1158/2 1172/19 1184/10 1184/22 & Gary [1] 968/8 \\
\hline 1031/6 1038/12 1051/15 1052/20 & 1205/21 & gas [2] 978/2 981/1 \\
\hline 1052/24 1055/17 1060/13 1060/13 & foundation [6] 980/7 980/18 1039/9 & gatekeeper [1] 1222/19 \\
\hline 1062/3 1062/11 1062/15 1063/7 & 1041/17 1049/22 1190/14 & gathered [1] 118 \\
\hline 1063/14 1064/9 1064/22 1064/23 & four [19] 973/17 979/11 981/9 981/10 & gathering [2] 1200/13 \\
\hline 1065/18 1069/17 1070/17 1070/17 & 1055/12 1068/19 1116/13 1160/3 & gave [18] 975/19 1005/5 1005/8 \\
\hline 1076/25 1083/25 1091/16 1095/10 & 1199/10 1199/13 1205/17 & \[
1017 / 5 \text { 1041/13 1071/24 1072/15 }
\] \\
\hline 1097/16 1098/22 1101/15 1106/4 & 1235/10 1235/12 1235/20 & 1101/10 1104/8 1121/14 1143/19 \\
\hline 1106/8 1106/8 1116/5 1116/10 & fourth [1] 983/9 & 1163/14 1166/3 1195/12 1195/15 \\
\hline \(1116 / 161117 / 101117 / 241123 / 12\)
\(1127 / 241129 / 211130 / 11130 / 2\) & frame [7] 973/1 992/18 1053/5 & 1198/15 1207/2 1235/8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline G & 1148/25 1149/19 1157/5 1163/11 & 1214/15 1214/20 1215/19 1217/21 \\
\hline (1093/20 & 1163/13 1185/19 1188/11 1194/17 & \[
12
\] \\
\hline gears [1] 1163/23 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1208 / 6 \text { 1213/25 1215/17 1219/1 } \\
& 1221 / 10 \text { 1225/1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1225/2 1225/9 1225/11 1226/8 1227/1 1227/23 1232/10 1233/2 1233/5 \\
\hline geez [1] 985/4 & gives [3] 1010/19 1107/4 1226/6 & 1233/17 1234/10 1234/19 1237/2 \\
\hline Geller [5] 1114/10 1114/13 1115/22 1207/7 1220/3 & giving [15] 1026/8 1059/12 1088/20 & 1237/21 1238/8 1238/10 1239/4 \\
\hline gender [2] 987/8 1031/17 & 1118/11 1122/10 1149/16 1149/18 & 1239/12 1239/15 1242/23 1243/21 \\
\hline general [27] 977/2 983/13 1033/17 & 1163/15 1164/24 1192/10 1194 & 1243/22 \\
\hline 1042/19 1045/4 1045/7 1100/6 & \[
1
\] & gone [8] 1007/4 1008/2 1045/5 \\
\hline 1108/24 1113/20 1113/21 1114/11 & &  \\
\hline 1122/13 1125/13 1165/17 1198/9 & \[
\text { glass [1] } 1026 / 15
\] & good [31] 970/3 970/19 970/20 9 \\
\hline \(1201 / 21 ~ 1201 / 22 ~ 1212 / 21 ~ 1213 / 2 ~\)
\(1214 / 11214 / 161214 / 171214 / 21\) & glasses [1] 1055/7 & \[
982 / 4982 / 19 \text { 983/3 983/17 984/10 }
\] \\
\hline 1214/1 1214/16 1214/17 1214/21 & global [1] 1218/3 & 1005/6 1032/18 1052/3 1057/7 \\
\hline generally [11] 971/25 1001/10 & go [98] 972/7 976/13 976/24 984 & 1058/22 1077/15 1145/1 \\
\hline 1004/20 1007/15 1039/5 1042/2 & 986/19 986/22 987/16 990/2 99 & 1174/18 \\
\hline 1074/8 1093/7 1094/15 1109/1 1142/1 & 992/9 994/3 995/21 996/4 996/13 & 1221/12 1223/20 1230/12 1230/1 \\
\hline gentleman [2] 1010/15 1235/25 & 1004/7 1004/22 1006/19 1006/20 & 1230/25 1237/6 1238/6 1238/20 \\
\hline gentlemen [6] 1023/6 1023/19 & 1006/22 1011/24 1013/5 1017 & 1 1244/23 \\
\hline 1027/22 1060/24 1136/11 1212/4 & 1810 & goodness [1] 98 \\
\hline geographic [1] 977/3 & 1039/7 1048/20 1054/1 1054/9 1055/8 & Goshen [3] 1013/13 1013/13 1013/15 \\
\hline Georgia [2] 975/12 975/20 & 1061/14 1067/9 1068/14 1069/3
1071/8 1074/1 1075/3 1075/11 & got [55] 971/22 972/5 972/5 972/6 \\
\hline get [79] 972/10 974/22 977/9 978/1 & 1076/17 1086/3 1087/14 1090/7 &  \\
\hline 978/18 979/5 979/10 979/11 979/21 & 1090/19 1090/23 1094/15 1096/5 & 1033/23 1033/23 1034/1 1061/16 \\
\hline 982/12 986/14 991/23 1001/13 1013/9 & 1096/20 1102/25 1108/15 1113/14 & 1063/1 1068/19 1073/20 1075/9 \\
\hline 1018/7 1019/16 1019/20 1022/14 & 1115/3 1115/14 1116/3 1117/13 & 1076/25 1093/20 1093/21 1095/4 \\
\hline 1027/4 1028/4 1032/8 1033/21 1038/2 & 1118/4 1125/20 1128/19 1128/22 & 1095/5 1095/6 1098/23 1100/18 \\
\hline 1039/8 1039/11 1047/7 1061/22 & \[
1132 / 21 \text { 1133/16 1134/5 } 1137 / 8
\] & \[
1115 / 2 \text { 1115/10 1117/17 1131/2 }
\] \\
\hline 1064/20 1073/11 1074/20 1075/8 & 1148/6 1142/8 1148/9 1149/4 &  \\
\hline 1076/12 1090/17 1103/1 1110/24 & \[
1150 / 201151 / 51154 / 161155 / 3
\] & \[
1150 / 11150 / 81150 / 111152 / 111
\] \\
\hline 1116/10 1118/10 1140/17 1146/10 & 1159/14 1164/12 1164/15 1165/8 & 1163/17 1174/21 1179/6 1179/7 \\
\hline 1146/21 1147/5 1148/1 1152/4 & \[
1169 / 16 \text { 1170/14 1171/1 1172/1 }
\] & 1183/2 1188/15 1203/18 1204/14 \\
\hline 1153/25 1156/22 1162/9 1162/20 &  & \[
1217 / 20 \text { 1225/1! }
\] \\
\hline 1171/16 1172/12 1176/2 1177/19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 189 / 8 \text { 1189/14 1189/19 1203/9 } \\
& 1204 / 8 \text { 1204/20 1207/18 1212/10 }
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline 1177/21 1186/6 1188/9 1201/24 & 1212/19 1213/13 1224/4 & bing [2] 1082/4 1090/18 uate [1] 971/8 \\
\hline 1204/20 1205/4 1207/10 1208/21 & 1231/11 1232/11 1238/21 1244/10 &  \\
\hline 1212/5 1214/22 1215/19 1217/18 & 1244/15 1246/3 &  \\
\hline 1220/24 1228/9 1229/24 1233/9 & goal [2] 1160/13 1160/14 & gravitas [1] 1234/12 \\
\hline 1233/24 1234/15 1235/12 1235/17 & goes [9] 987/5 991/22 995/24 1035/2 & great [4] 1007/14 1085/20 1098/23 \\
\hline 1236/7 1237/3 1239/2 1239/4 1240/13 & 1060/20 1108/11 1108/13 1154/4 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { great [4] } \\
1239 / 13
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1243/1 1243/11 1245/20 & \[
1202 / 2
\] & \\
\hline gets [3] 978/5 1238/15 1239/25 & going [134] 971/4 972/20 976 & grew [2] 971/8 1030/1 \\
\hline getting [18] 972/2 981/8 985/13 & 980/20 981/13 983/10 983/13 985/1 & grievance [5] 1081/19 1081/22 1143/3 \\
\hline 988/21 991/7 992/15 1018/3 1028/14 & 986/7 986/7 986/8 987/23 991/23 & 1149/14 1167/13 \\
\hline 1/1 1037/4 1040/4 1073/2 1073/13 3/17 1104/2 1116/6 1229/21 & 992/19 993/6 994/3 996/7 1000/17 & grievant [8] 1133/10 1133/19 1133/25 \\
\hline 1229/21 & 1000/20 1001/22 1003/14 1011/23 & 1134/7 1134/9 1134/12 1134/20 \\
\hline & 1013/11 1013/11 1014/4 1014/13 & 1134/24 \\
\hline girlfriend [5] 986/12 1240/22 1243/5 & 1015/7 1017/9 1017/12 1018/2 & grooming [9] 1073/14 1073/16 \\
\hline 1244/1 1244/2 & 1018/15 1019/14 1020/5 1020/6 & 1073/23 1141/7 1141/7 1141/12 \\
\hline gist [1] 1129/6 & 1020/21 1024/2 1024/5 1025/7 & 1141/16 1141/20 1173/4 \\
\hline give [50] 972/15 979/7 979/9 1005/24 & 1025/11 1026/14 1026/25 1027/8 & ground [1] 1017/22 \\
\hline 1006/17 1010/18 1010/22 1011/7 & 1027/9 1027/21 1031/6 1031/24 & group [8] 992/4 992/5 1034/12 \\
\hline 1028/13 1030/13 1032/23 1052/22 & 1033/22 1040/9 1042/10 1052/13 & 1039/16 1189/23 1189/24 1191/6 \\
\hline 1071/8 1073/15 1078/4 1080/9 & 1055/6 1059/6 1061/22 1077/8 & \\
\hline 1090/14 1090/15 1090/22 1092/5 & 1078/24 1080/24 1082/8 1082/21 & Grove [4] 975/13 975/13 975/19 \\
\hline 1108/3 1129/4 1129/13 1132/12 & & \\
\hline 1140/10 1149/12 1153/5 1174/20 &  &  \\
\hline 1187/14 1194/17 1195/11 1196/13 & 1118/22 1120/21 1120/24 1128/13 & \begin{tabular}{l}
growl [2] 1091/1 1230/24 \\
Guard [2] 1009/12 1157/19
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1196/14 1197/17 1198/2 1198/13 & 1149/11 1149/14 1154/22 1160/5 & guess [19] 977/1 1010/22 1038/20 \\
\hline 1199/5 1202/1 1206/21 1206/22 & 1160/11 1163/9 1164/13 1167/15 & \[
1038 / 23 \text { 1075/2 1084/3 1084/5 1084/6 }
\] \\
\hline 1208/5 1208/6 1209/6 1209/6 1209/23 & 1170/18 1171/24 1181/6 1187/17 & 1118/10 1118/11 1126/2 1144/9 \\
\hline 1209/24 1214/8 1231/3 1233/2 & 1190/16 1193/18 1193/20 1197/2 & 1168/6 1172/21 1191/8 1191/10 \\
\hline 1233/17 & \[
1197 / 111197 / 131197 / 161197 / 21
\] & 1200/17 1241/15 1245/1 \\
\hline given [26] 984/18 1008/24 1026/22 1038/8 1048/14 1072/21 1072/22 & 1203/8 1207/14 1209/20 1211/21 & guide [1] 1245/25 \\
\hline 1080/8 1087/21 1132/1 1140/5 1143/5 & 1212/20 1212/23 1213/5 1214/11 & gun [12] 1005/10 1005/14 1005/22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline G & 1005/3 1005/6 1005/22 1007/4 & \\
\hline gun... [9] 1006/4 1010/12 1050/8 & 1 & \\
\hline 1050/9 1077/5 1087/18 1087/22 & 1019/5 1019/8 1020/19 1020/20 & 1167/12 1167/13 1177/3 1177/8 \\
\hline 1087/23 1107/9 & 1021/9 1023/23 1024/17 1028/15 & 1177/8 1177/10 1177/12 1178/19 \\
\hline guy [6] 981/7 981/11 1013/16 1034/5 & 1032/12 1035/24 1038/9 1043/4 & 1225/24 1240/14 1241/23 1242/1 \\
\hline 1098/24 1107/24 & 1043/7 1043/9 1043/11 1043/18 &  \\
\hline guys [5] 1092/24 1095/6 1098/23 & 61/1 & \\
\hline 1112/7 1160/1 & 1064/25 1070/5 1076/8 1080/20 & [1] \(1227 / 22\) \\
\hline & 1120/10 1124/7 1139/20 1139/24 & held [2] 1091/2 1121/8 \\
\hline H & 1162/15 1174/21 1183/16 1195/22 & help [15] 977/11 977/17 \\
\hline habit [1] 1008/15 & 1195/24 1202/10 1202/12 1210/7 & 987/17 1002/4 1002/14 1037/4 103 \\
\hline had [215] & 1212/25 1213/2 1214/5 1216 & 1050/20 10 \\
\hline hadn't [4] 1021/17 1153/17 1158/8 & 12 & 1216/3 1244/25 \\
\hline 1158/13 & 1227/9 1229/24 1234/1 1235/9 & helping [6] 984/22 985/1 1033/1 \\
\hline half [6] 994/19 1026/16 1033/25 & 1237/13 1238/5 1240 & 1034/3 103 \\
\hline 1037/9 1229/20 1231/7 & 1240/21 1241/9 1241/11 1242/8 & her [68] 986/13 1005/10 1005/1 \\
\hline hallway [3] 1023/6 1101/16 1101/23 & 1242 & 1006/1 1006/1 1006/4 1010 \\
\hline hand [19] 970/12 986/8 1029/15 & h & 1010/18 1010/23 1010/24 1076/23 \\
\hline 1051/12 1055/6 1062/10 1091/13 & hasn't [1] & 1087/23 111/11/10 1112 \\
\hline 1133/8 1134/6 1173/24 1193/18 & hastily [1] 1018/16 & 1111/18 1111/19 1112/8 \\
\hline 1195/4 1195/13 1214/17 1214/19 & hats [1] 986/24 & 1118/5 1118/21 1118/25 113 \\
\hline 1215/12 1215/13 1219/16 1221/14 & have [454] & 1167/25 1173/20 1176/1 \\
\hline handed [6] 1036/10 1118/25 1127/14 & haven't [13] 988/12 1009/20 102 & 1192/11 1192/25 1195/2 \\
\hline 1143/7 1143/8 1148/9 & 1025/1 1061/9 1092/11 1120/12 & 1196/6 1196/11 1196/13 1196/1 \\
\hline handgun [2] 1074/12 1180/3 & 1137/18 1141/13 1147/20 1214/19 & 1213/15 1214/16 1221/17 1226/9 \\
\hline handing [1] 1059/24 & 1223/25 1243/8 & 1226/10 1226/11 1228/1 1228 \\
\hline handle [2] 1004/21 1244/6 & having [23] 981/4 1004/22 1018/25 & 1231/23 1231/24 1231/24 1233/ \\
\hline handling [1] 1036/9 & 1019/4 1026/22 1032/23 1054/19 & 1234/6 1236/11 1236/20 1236/25 \\
\hline hands [1] 1246/6 & 1096/25 1098/11 1104/3 1105/3 & 1237/1 1237/2 1237/3 1237/3 1237 \\
\hline handwriting [5] 1083/17 1083/19 & 1106/22 1107/3 1107/6 1108/4 & 1237/6 1237/7 1241/19 1242/13 \\
\hline 1083/21 1083/23 1083/25 & 1162/13 1170/10 1183/19 1197/5 & 1243/8 1243/18 1243/2 \\
\hline handwritten [2] 1142/15 1142/23 & 1231/1 1238/17 1238/24 & 1245/11 1245/13 1245/15 1245/16 \\
\hline hanging [1] 1020/22 & Hayles [2] 1081/13 1081/16 & here [50] 970/6 971/5 975/16 975/17 \\
\hline happen [9] 987/24 997/13 1011/2 & hazards [1] 1006/19 & 990/25 1009/10 1027/15 \\
\hline 1022/4 1039/19 1088/25 1111/2 & he [422] & 1030/11 1047/16 1049/14 1062/2 \\
\hline 1216/25 1234/10 & he'll [2] 1003/22 1004/3 & 1068/19 1082/7 1082/8 1086/2 1088 \\
\hline happened [29] & he's [50] 973/25 980/19 984/11 984/ & 1089/18 1090/8 1092/14 1094/9 \\
\hline 1041/21 1080/12 1084/16 1085/15 & 985/6 985/18 1000/3 1001/22 1002/2 & 1109/21 1116/16 1131/8 1135/7 \\
\hline 1093/10 1101/11 1101/17 1101/22 & 1002/21 1003/14 1003/19 1004/10 & 1135/11 1151/2 1152/16 1153/5 \\
\hline 1102/1 1102/3 1102/4 1102/17 1110/9 & 1004/11 1006/7 1011/11 1020/20 & 1154/17 1195/7 1204/11 1205/8 \\
\hline 1138/3 1138/5 1142/3 1143/16 1149/2 & 1023/24 1024/18 1042/19 1043/18 & 1220/16 1226/4 1227/16 1228/10 \\
\hline 1150/19 1152/2 1152/8 1152/11 & 1043/24 1045/8 1061/22 1095/14 & 1229/22 1232/3 1233/13 1233/21 \\
\hline 1153/22 1159/14 1170/15 1179/4 & 1111/3 1113/21 1113/23 1113/25 & 1235/17 1235/23 1235/24 1237/3 \\
\hline 1241/5 & 1114/12 1127/4 1127/7 1134/17 & 1237/3 1237/10 1237/21 1241/3 \\
\hline happening [6] & 1141/20 1144/7 1144/7 1146/3 & 1241/22 \\
\hline \[
1103 / 10 \text { 1120/12 1120/22 }
\] & 1153/24 1172/17 1172/17 1201/16 & Here's [4] 1115/15 1204/21 1213/14 \\
\hline happens [5] 991/22 1038/4 1141/5 & 1202/1 1203/19 1204/14 1215/24 & 1213/18 \\
\hline 1205/24 1243/12 & 1218/2 1238/8 1238/10 1240/20 & Hermens [16] 1057/18 1058/15 105 \\
\hline happily [1] 1240/20 & 1240/21 & 1078/5 1078/10 1078/12 1155/20 \\
\hline happy [6] 1027/1 1151/3 1215/9 & head [7] 977/10 1053/16 1075/18 & 115 \\
\hline 1241/5 1241/10 1244/15 & 1078/22 1096/9 1096/25 1138/19 & 1171/2 1180/17 1180/22 1181/1 \\
\hline harassed [1] 1098/12 & hear [26] 980/9 980/11 992/19 998/1 & 1181/24 \\
\hline harassment [1] 1082/2 & 998/16 998/18 1026/17 1030/1 10 & Hermens' [1] 11 \\
\hline harassment-type [1] 1082/2 & 1076/16 1094/25 1116/9 1167/25 & Hermens's [5] 1057/14 1075/23 \\
\hline hard [4] 972/10 1123/14 1150/18 & 1177/14 1217/8 1226/14 1226/21 & 1075/25 1133/11 1153/9 \\
\hline 1235/4 & 26/22 1229/16 1229/17 123 & hesitate [1] 1243/16 \\
\hline harder [1] 986/4 & 1230/11 1234/5 1234/7 1234/11 & Hess [19] 989/21 990/2 1067/3 1074/5 \\
\hline harm [1] 1026/18 & 1241/24 & 1085/19 1085/21 1112/20 1124/20 \\
\hline harmful [1] 1025/4 & heard [31] 1005/12 1013/7 1022 & 1132/23 1145/18 1161/22 1162/ \\
\hline harmless [1] 1223/22 & \[
1057 / 14 \text { 1071/4 1086/23 1087/5 }
\] & 1195/9 1199/25 1200/9 1200/16 \\
\hline Harrang [1] 968/8 & 1087/8 1092/23 1093/10 1093/11 & Hey [4] 1060/15 1138/2 1153/22 \\
\hline has [93] 975/13 977/5 977/19 980/15 982/18 983/14 983/16 983/17 983/18 & 1093/12 1093/22 1122/16 1159/11 & 1198/10 \\
\hline 982/18 983/14 983/16 983/17 983/18 983/19 984/10 985/9 985/19 988/2 & 1162/14 1166/14 1166/19 1167/14 & Hi [2] 1162/9 1174/19 \\
\hline 988/9 988/10 988/12 990/12 991/1 & 1176/1 1177/1 1177/3 1177/13 1178/3 & hide [1] 976/3 \\
\hline 991/2 995/11 997/21 1002/1 1002/4 & 1182/8 1191/5 1213/17 & hiding [1] 1020/20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline H & 1228/25 1232/20 1237/8 1237/9 & I \\
\hline High [1] 971/8 & & I'd [20] 989/5 989/13 1073/25 1104/22 \\
\hline higher [3] 1067/6 1067/11 1176/3 & & 1113/7 1123/23 1124/20 1125/15 \\
\hline highest [2] 978/9 1068/3 & hope [1] 1006/25 & 1129/4 1132/25 1144/14 1145/9 \\
\hline highlight [1] 1200/18 & hoping [2] 1006/10 1118/5 & 1146/9 1160/24 1164/20 1165/8 \\
\hline highlighted [1] 1195/5 & hostility [1] 1243/7 & 1175/17 1177/22 1192/15 1237/18 \\
\hline highly [5] 990/20 995/12 1067/15 &  & I'II [50] 970/8 979/1 980/12 996/6 \\
\hline 1215/7 1235/12 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { hour [7] } \\
\text { 1001/18 1001/19 1021/22 119 } \\
\hline 10 / 196 / 19
\end{gathered}
\] & 1014/17 1015/11 1018/24 1025/16 \\
\hline highway [2] 972/25 1013/13 & hours [28] 978/15 984/17 984/18 & 1027/3 1028/6 1028/6 1035/22 1037/1 \\
\hline hike [2] 1033/24 1034/2 & \[
985 / 4 \text { 992/11 992/14 993/3 1036/11 }
\] & 1039/3 1055/7 1060/18 1061/14 \\
\hline him [192] & 1036/14 1036/15 1036/22 1037/5 & 1061/18 1062/10 1062/20 1063/5 \\
\hline himself [10] 977/21 1001/22 1001/24 &  & 1066/3 1066/20 1090/10 1090/15 \\
\hline 1049/1 1088/2 1088/4 1089/8 1098/14 & & 1090/20 1096/4 1106/19 1114/16 \\
\hline 1103/14 1146/2 & & 1123/8 1129/10 1129/15 1130/3 \\
\hline hindsight [2] 1075/22 1078/18 & & 1151/18 1164/16 1167/7 1195/6 \\
\hline hip [1] 1005/22 & & 1196/13 1211/24 1212/4 1221/22 \\
\hline hire [9] 973/8 974/13 987/23 1000/15 & & 1228/12 1228/14 1229/1 1229/3 \\
\hline 1000/17 1000/20 1011/23 1035/19 & & 1231/10 1235/7 1237/4 1237/6 \\
\hline 1043/21 &  & 1240/14 \\
\hline hired [38] 971/15 971/22 972/5 972/8 & & I'm [189] \\
\hline 972/11 991/7 992/22 993/7 1006/13 & \[
\mathrm{H}
\] & I've [31] 973/15 975/21 991/21 991 \\
\hline 1007/4 1016/21 1016/25 1021/18 & 983/3 983/22 984/17 984/17 988/1 & 1005/12 1008/9 1008/12 1009/12 \\
\hline 1023/23 1024/17 1032/8 1035/19 &  & 1010/3 1011/17 1017/21 1027/20 \\
\hline 1038/16 1053/25 1054/1 1054/3 & & 1030/19 1031/9 1032/6 1035/22 \\
\hline 1054/12 1054/15 1054/25 1055/4 & & 1067/1 1071/4 1086/22 1086/23 \\
\hline 1056/1 1056/2 1056/4 1056/5 1056/15 & & 1105/8 1117/25 1127/24 1172/4 \\
\hline 1056/20 1057/4 1057/8 1104/15 & 1001/18 1002/15 1002/25 1004/9 & 1176/13 1213/22 1216/24 1216/24 \\
\hline 1104/16 1107/17 1107/25 1174/23 & \[
0
\] & 1227/11 1234/8 1235/4 \\
\hline hiring [9] 973/12 974/5 974/7 983/11 & & I-5 [2] 985/8 1013/8 \\
\hline 1000/23 1016/12 1019/7 1032/4 & & i.e [1] 1238/18 \\
\hline 1193/15 & & IA [8] 1096/1 1096/7 1096/8 1125/8 \\
\hline his [151] &  & 1125/8 1127/15 1149/24 1172/4 \\
\hline history [28] 996/1 996/9 996/12 & & ice [1] 1230/6 \\
\hline 1012/3 1013/24 1013/25 1014/3 & & idea [15] 984/10 984/17 1007/5 \\
\hline 1014/10 1128/5 1128/10 1132/10 & 仿12 & 1011/12 1020/20 1031/20 1031/24 \\
\hline 1139/15 1139/18 1139/21 1139/24 & 1069/4 1071/2 1074/20 & 1036/9 1057/7 1077/15 1098/7 \\
\hline 1140/6 1140/17 1146/7 1146/11 & & 1118/15 1154/24 1158/4 1190/6 \\
\hline 1146/22 1147/3 1147/6 1147/10 & & identified [2] 1084/24 1125/11 \\
\hline 1147/15 1148/2 1170/11 1173/1 & & identify [4] 989/6 998/23 1031/17 \\
\hline 1229/7 & & 1059/25 \\
\hline hold [11] 991/23 1023/4 1023/4 & & illegal [3] 1005/14 1005/23 1039/1 \\
\hline 1069/6 1082/8 1091/3 1134/21 1212/1 & & image [1] 1070/4 \\
\hline 1217/17 1228/1 1236/3 &  & imagine [1] 1110/25 \\
\hline holding [1] 1221/6 & & immediate [1] 1205/19 \\
\hline home [15] 973/22 977/25 978/24 & & immediately [5] 1013/14 1146/2 \\
\hline 979/18 979/19 979/21 979/24 986/14 & & 1189/21 1192/18 1216/20 \\
\hline 1002/18 1002/19 1002/23 1003/3 & & impaired [4] 1031/4 1031/5 1031/6 \\
\hline 1005/1 1027/25 1246/3 & \[
\mathrm{Wh}
\] & 1031/7 \\
\hline homicide [1] 986/23 & 1149/11 1149/22 1150/8 1150/25 & impartial [3] 1124/16 1125/21 1169 \\
\hline honest [5] 976/5 991/12 1203/19 & 1149/11 1149/22 1150/8 1150/25 & impeaching [2] 1102/7 1107/11 \\
\hline 1205/22 1208/3 &  & impede [1] 1077/14 \\
\hline honesty [3] 1132/18 1132/20 1203/14 & & implicated [1] 1188/19 \\
\hline Honor [66] 980/10 982/21 989/13 &  & implied [2] 1025/22 1028/21 \\
\hline 989/18 996/6 999/19 1014/4 1014/11 & \(1187 / 18\) & import [2] 1018/25 1019/21 \\
\hline 1015/13 1016/2 1017/7 1018/12 & Huffington [1] 1093/20 & important [6] 998/8 1063/10 1140/16 \\
\hline 1018/14 1020/8 1022/1 1023/11 & huge [4] 1128/9 1128/13 1240 & 1140/18 1182/20 1182/22 \\
\hline 1025/20 1027/17 1027/20 1029/13 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { nuge } 4] \\
1243 / 2
\end{gathered}
\] & imposed [3] 1189/1 1220/10 1225/16 \\
\hline 1041/24 1051/20 1055/18 1061/18 & & impossible [1] 1031/20 \\
\hline 1064/15 1065/9 1069/9 1071/12 &  & impounds [1] 1165/6 \\
\hline 1074/2 1079/7 1083/13 1084/23 & & impression [1] 1015/7 \\
\hline 1085/5 1089/22 1090/5 1092/4 1102/6 &  & improve [2] 1145/25 1186/16 \\
\hline 1113/7 1123/10 1123/23 1124/22 & humble [1] 1229/14 & improvement [3] 1069/23 1069/25 \\
\hline 1133/3 1136/23 1145/19 1151/10 & humbly [1] 123 & 1070/2 \\
\hline 1161/20 1168/14 1173/9 1194/17 & hundreds [5] 1103/4 1132/18 1 & impugning [2] 1216/11 1216/12 \\
\hline 1199/23 1213/23 1214/25 1215/8 & & in-person [1] 1121/11 \\
\hline 1215/20 1216/9 1220/15 1224/7 & hypothetical [1] 1006/16 & inadvertence [1] 1134/7 \\
\hline 1226/1 1226/2 1226/18 1227/19 & hypothetically [2] 1213/3 1217/20 & inappropriate [5] 1003/18 1008/10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
inappropriate... [3] 1094/19 1094/22 1102/5
incident [31] 1014/25 1017/17 1019/2 1020/3 1020/13 1023/19 1023/22 1024/7 1024/13 1024/17 1024/22 1040/22 1058/2 1074/13 1075/15 1076/20 1084/12 1087/17 1088/18 1150/3 1150/5 1151/20 1152/2 1152/12 1153/12 1154/6 1154/12 1157/3 1158/9 1158/14 1180/3 inclination [2] 1239/18 1240/8 include [11] 995/7 1001/7 1059/21 1109/7 1126/4 1127/13 1131/11 1135/6 1135/23 1135/24 1140/18 included [12] 1127/15 1129/19 1131/17 1131/20 1132/10 1135/9 1140/7 1140/21 1140/23 1170/1 1187/1 1209/16
includes [2] 1013/23 1040/16
including [2] 1001/5 1040/18
incompetent [1] 991/2
inconvenience [4] 1235/15 1236/7 1236/25 1245/15
inconvenienced [1] 1028/7
incriminating [3] 1125/23 1128/20 1169/2
independence [1] 1221/18 independent [8] 1096/17 1127/2 1132/10 1135/12 1137/20 1218/10 1221/18 1223/6
independently [4] 1096/10 1096/14 1177/10 1214/6
INDEX [1] 969/1
indicate [1] 1050/20
indicated [2] 1234/9 1238/12
Indistinguishable [1] 1050/9
inference [7] 1017/11 1017/13 1021/4
1021/11 1021/11 1021/12 1024/21
inferred [1] 1072/6
infidelity [2] 1239/24 1240/11
informally [2] 970/9 1238/12
information [45] 971/11 974/23
975/12 975/20 976/5 976/11 981/13 989/2 992/15 998/6 1005/18 1005/20
1008/24 1011/3 1022/8 1079/5 1080/8 1124/12 1124/24 1125/10 1125/11 1126/10 1127/15 1127/16 1157/4 1169/3 1169/5 1169/9 1187/13 1190/2 1199/17 1200/13 1201/1 1201/16 1201/17 1202/1 1207/2 1213/1 1214/22 1216/16 1222/18 1223/17 1225/8 1232/1 1243/3
informed [3] 1187/11 1225/23 1231/25
informing [1] 1026/19
initial [5] 986/8 1040/19 1136/4
1153/11 1188/1
initially [3] 1024/9 1106/3 1217/1
initiate [1] 1186/3
initiated [4] 985/14 1007/13 1186/3 1208/8
initiating [1] 1001/25
innocence [1] 1125/25
innocent [1] 1201/15
innuendo [1] 1081/12
input [3] 1149/13 1150/2 1218/5
inquire [1] 1179/6
inquired [2] 1077/18 1077/22
inquiries [1] 990/21
inquiring [1] 1078/13
inquiry [1] 1227/4
insane [1] 1088/2
inside [2] 1066/8 1195/13
insist [1] 1232/15
insistence [1] 1068/21
instance [4] 1019/19 1234/3 1245/12 1245/16
instances [2] 1122/6 1122/7
instead [5] 1164/24 1170/24 1170/25
1209/6 1226/7
instruct [1] 1031/8
instructed [3] 1214/16 1221/17 1225/5
instructing [2] 1214/18 1214/23
instruction [8] 1023/16 1023/17
1188/10 1188/12 1201/23 1201/24 1221/19 1221/19
instructions [3] 1020/25 1188/14 1214/21
instructor [2] 1031/8 1031/9
instructors [1] 1182/22
insufficient [3] 1133/9 1133/20 1134/1
insurance [1] 988/5
insured [1] 1003/7
integrity [3] 1014/18 1216/11 1216/12
intelligence [1] 1239/14
intention [7] 1017/9 1134/3 1142/12
1171/12 1171/13 1171/23 1172/21
intentionally [4] 1022/6 1138/15
1140/2 1169/25
interaction [2] 1108/4 1156/18
interactions [1] 1159/12
interdiction [1] 972/21
interest [1] 1057/10
interesting [2] 1227/10 1238/15
interfere [3] 1064/4 1085/17 1231/20
interference [1] 1015/4
internal [28] 1009/5 1054/2 1054/9
1095/15 1095/17 1096/1 1096/4
1096/9 1096/9 1096/25 1108/21
1109/5 1109/9 1109/11 1122/8
1130/20 1138/14 1140/22 1158/23
1167/23 1168/2 1169/21 1169/22
1169/24 1170/2 1170/11 1172/23
1190/20
international [1] 971/18
Internet [36] 1059/22 1059/23 1082/24
1128/4 1128/5 1128/10 1128/13
1132/10 1138/6 1138/7 1138/12
1138/13 1138/17 1138/19 1138/21
1139/1 1139/11 1139/15 1139/18
1139/21 1139/24 1140/5 1140/17
1141/2 1141/4 1146/7 1146/10
1146/22 1147/6 1147/10 1147/14
1148/1 1169/16 1169/19 1170/11
1173/1
interrupt [3] 1015/3 1129/11 1129/17
interstate [3] 972/25 1013/1 1013/11
interview [6] 974/12 995/7 1001/7
1133/10 1170/3 1170/6
interviewed [1] 986/15
intimate [1] 1000/20
intonation [1] 1240/6
intruding [1] 1219/5
investigate [2] 1000/8 1096/8
investigated [6] 997/3 1080/11
1080/15 1158/23 1186/23 1187/6
investigating [2] 1096/11 1187/8
investigation [48] 973/13 997/10
1009/5 1013/23 1014/9 1016/10
1024/18 1032/5 1042/21 1043/5
1043/6 1047/9 1077/13 1080/21
1096/18 1109/6 1109/9 1109/12
1117/1 1117/2 1122/9 1130/21
1137/13 1137/21 1138/14 1140/22
1156/15 1157/21 1158/1 1158/24
1159/1 1163/9 1169/7 1169/21
1169/22 1169/25 1170/2 1170/12
1171/10 1171/12 1171/14 1171/20
1172/4 1172/24 1176/23 1187/4 1192/6 1209/15
investigations [6] 1040/18 1096/13 1122/1 1125/22 1169/1 1191/17
investigator [21] 976/10 986/18 997/5 997/9 997/10 997/11 997/14 997/20 998/4 998/11 998/16 998/18 998/20 998/25 999/15 999/23 1000/5 1023/24 1045/21 1080/17 1208/17
involve [1] 1149/11
involved [26] 1020/13 1041/3 1109/18 1109/19 1112/5 1113/10 1137/10 1148/22 1148/23 1149/23 1149/24 1150/8 1150/11 1150/12 1153/1 1153/3 1153/6 1160/16 1179/10 1184/12 1209/23 1210/25 1211/13 1211/15 1211/17 1230/22
involvement [2] 1032/6 1170/10 involves [1] 1214/17
involving [4] 1040/15 1041/3 1099/23 1150/5
iPad [1] 1218/24
Iraq [1] 971/17
Iraqis [1] 971/18
irrelevant [3] 1014/5 1023/25 1055/15 irritated [1] 1104/2
is [465]
isn't [40] 1011/14 1023/9 1025/3
1027/2 1043/12 1063/13 1092/18 1093/13 1095/6 1095/20 1096/7 1096/18 1105/2 1105/15 1108/12 1109/24 1111/20 1120/10 1121/24 \(1127 / 5\) 1128/23 1130/23 1135/8 1140/25 1141/8 1144/4 1154/20 1155/10 1157/18 1158/14 1158/25 1163/12 1164/15 1166/3 1166/16 1166/20 1209/11 1216/19 1238/7 1239/22
issue [38] 990/3 990/10 1006/14 1006/24 1009/25 1010/4 1018/21 1019/4 1019/21 1019/25 1028/8 1028/9 1039/21 1058/6 1088/21 1154/24 1156/6 1158/19 1165/2 1165/13 1169/16 1169/18 1170/20 1170/22 1182/19 1190/25 1191/13 1192/17 1192/19 1192/25 1233/19 1237/23 1237/25 1237/25 1242/19 1242/20 1242/20 1244/13
issued [2] 1165/16 1184/8 issues [16] 972/22 1009/8 1025/8 1028/17 1032/25 1116/15 1116/17 1130/8 1134/19 1134/24 1158/19 1190/1 1205/19 1233/17 1240/11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline I & jump [1] 979/22 & \[
11
\] \\
\hline issues... [1] 1244/24 & jumped [1] 1130/14 & 1120/6 1120/24 1143/2 1143/5 \\
\hline it [790] & jumps [1] 981/7 & 1143/10 1153/14 1154/7 1155/2 \\
\hline it's [168] & Junction [48] 971/14 971/20 973/9 & 1155/5 1155/14 1170/11 1173/1 \\
\hline items [2] 1141/10 1244/10 & 974/15 974/18 974/21 974/24 975/2 & 1182/9 1184/15 1193/4 1193/5 1193/7 \\
\hline its [3] 1043/12 1112/11 1134/10 & 977/5 986/11 988/14 996/21 997/8 & 1193/8 1193/13 1193/16 1206/16 \\
\hline itself [3] 1047/9 1139/11 1242/5 & 99 & \[
7 / 221
\] \\
\hline J & 1020/18 1030/20 1032/1 1032/4 & knowing [5] 1005/10 1133/15 1190/22 \\
\hline Jail [2] 981/5 994/3 & 1032/12 1033/8 1034/19 1035/23 & 1232/15 \\
\hline JAMES [40] 967/4 974/23 977/5 & 1036/12 1037/10 1039/16 1039/19 & knowingly [2] 1172/5 1172/17 \\
\hline 977/13 977/18 980/24 981/10 982/17 & 1040/2 1040/25 1042/5 1042 & knowledge [13] 1009/10 1048 \\
\hline 983/4 985/3 985/18 987/23 989/3 & 1042/20 1042/20 1044/3 1045/22 & 1069/20 1073/10 1079/22 1080/4 \\
\hline 990/11 990/12 990/13 990/20 990/22 & 1046/16 1046/24 1048/9 1049/11 & 1086/16 1159/3 1187/22 1187/23 \\
\hline 991/1 1001/15 1002/1 1004/3 1012/23 & 1049/15 1050/7 & 90/4 1190 \\
\hline 1012/25 1013/6 1032/23 1033/23 & June [3] 1030/20 1118/18 1191/10 & known [12] 988/2 994/22 1006/12 \\
\hline 1034/1 1035/6 1035/8 1041/21 & jurisdiction [1] & 1034/16 1038/11 1054/12 1057/3 \\
\hline 1047/14 1048/2 1048/4 1070/24 & jurors [6] 971/5 971/24 992/19 & 1087/23 1098/16 1119/2 1155/12 \\
\hline 1075/10 1075/11 1115/25 1117/8 & 1030/11 1130/3 1202/13 & 1172/22 \\
\hline 1121/24 & jurors' [1] 1025/10 & knows [4] 983/25 1068/8 1070/5 \\
\hline James is [1] 1004/3 & jury [61] 970/2 970/21 981/21 989/17 & 1223/23 \\
\hline James's [3] 974/1 990/25 1041/14 & 1014/13 1014/16 1017/19 1020/21 & Kristi [1] 1225/23 \\
\hline Jamie [4] 1114/2 1114/2 1115/22 &  & L \\
\hline 1220/3 & 1024/6 1025/13 1025/14 1025/21 & \\
\hline January [10] 971/13 1063/21 1063/22 & 1030/1 1028/10 1028/4 & L-E-B-R-E-C-H-T [1] 1091/25 \\
\hline 1097/5 1097/6 1176/6 1176/7 1191/8 & 1051/21 1071/16 1074/6 1078/11 & L-E-B-R-E-C-H-T [1] 1091/25
Lab [1] 986/17 \\
\hline 1191/20 1193/13 & 1084/24 1090/9 1091/6 1091/7 & lack [1] 1243/7 \\
\hline January '13 [1] 1191/8 & 1091/24 1136/15 1136/18 1136/19 & ladies [5] 1023/6 1023/19 1060/23 \\
\hline January 12th [1] 971/13 & 1145/19 1197/5 1200/4 1200/21 & 1136/11 1212/4 \\
\hline January 16 [1] 1063/21 & 1212/9 1212/11 1216/22 1222/13 & lady [1] 1180/3 \\
\hline  & 1222/14 1222/22 1223/1 1223/18 & Lake [2] 975/16 1011/13 \\
\hline January 28th [2] 1176/6 1176/7 & 1225/23 1226/13 1228/1 1228/10 & Lane [6] 971/9 973/21 977/23 981/4 \\
\hline January 3 [1] 1097/6 & 1228/15 1228/18 1228/23 1230/17 & 994/3 1043/11 \\
\hline JASON [10] 968/2 971/2 971/3 & 1230/20 1238/15 1240/15 1241/24 & lap [2] 1005/10 100 \\
\hline 1010/11 1030/9 1030/10 1050/17 & jury's [1] 1232/17 & large [3] 986/19 986/21 \\
\hline 1092/10 1171/9 1227/11 & just [172] & LARRY [4] 970/14 970/23 1240/24 \\
\hline Jessup [2] 968/20 1247/14 & justice [1] 973/18 & 1240/24 \\
\hline jest [3] 1000/19 1086/19 1087/9 & K & 1006/9 1013/23 1016/9 1022/3 \\
\hline Jill [2] 968/20 1247/14 Jim [1] 1162/14 & KAFOURY [13] 968/2 968/3 968/4 & 1025/21 1028/20 \\
\hline Jim's [1] & 971/2 971/3 1010/11 1016/9 1030/9 & last [23] 970/22 980/9 988/1 1008/1 \\
\hline job [20] 978/4 985/16 1000/4 1004/6 & 1030/10 1050/17 1092/10 1168/19 & 1016/8 1030/2 1031/15 1044/19 \\
\hline 1004/7 1011/10 1043/16 1058/23 & 1171/9 & 1051/22 1068/14 1091/24 1133/6 \\
\hline 1059/21 1082/16 1082/16 1082/24 & keep [5] 1152/15 1197/21 1199/3 & 1133/17 1134/6 1145/21 1145/2 \\
\hline 1083/2 1096/11 1124/18 1145/1 & 1228/10 1243/18 & 159/1 1162/15 \\
\hline 1145/4 1241/8 1241/17 1242/18 & Ken [1] 1087/10 & 1189/23 1195/15 1200/10 \\
\hline jobs [1] 977/16 & Kent [3] 1087/12 1087/13 1090/2 Kept [2] 1118/21 1118/21 & \begin{tabular}{l}
lasted [3] 1075/15 1075/19 1076/22 \\
late [5] 1009/15 1084/17 1159/24
\end{tabular} \\
\hline John [1] 1093/22 & Kevin [3] 987/13 987/17 987/22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { late [5] 1009/15 1084/17 1159/24 } \\
& \text { 1241/23 1245/14 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline John Ahlen [1] 1093/22 joint [1] 1025/7 & kidding [3] 1028/14 1229/9 1230/14 & later [21] 971/23 981/9 1023/9 \\
\hline joke [4] 1101/25 1102/13 1102/15 & kids [1] 986/22 & 1058/19 1077/6 1077/6 1078/2 \\
\hline 1102/19 & KIEL [1] 968/3 & 1094/13 1109/2 1116/13 1117/14 \\
\hline JONATHAN [1] 968/7 & killed [1] 1006/21 & 1123/19 1150/20 1151/1 1153/21 \\
\hline Jr [1] 970/23 & kind [29] 970/12 972/1 977/8 977/16 & 1154/9 1155/9 1156/22 1178/8 \\
\hline judge [15] 967/16 1019/18 1021/12 & 980/3 980/4 980/4 984/9 984/12 987/7 & 1199/11 1199/14 \\
\hline 1021/12 1037/19 1037/21 1139/20 & 1000/20 1006/23 1010/22 1012/11 & Laue [3] 1173/9 1173/15 1231/22 \\
\hline 1195/23 1216/23 1219/5 1227/21 & 1027/5 1029/14 1029/21 1033/11 & laughing [3] 1100/12 1100/14 1101/19 \\
\hline 1237/15 1238/4 1238/5 1242/1 & 1033/15 1071/6 1087/6 1091/19 & launched [1] 1156/15 \\
\hline judges [1] 1237/10 & 1135/22 1154/11 1157/1 1173/23 &  \\
\hline judgment [5] 983/17 1006/24 1032/18 & kinds [2] 972/20 984/2 & \[
982 / 18983 / 25985 / 17985 / 17987 / 25
\] \\
\hline 1088/9 1223/6 & King [3] 1109/13 1109/14 1187/19 & 988/2 988/2 991/10 992/10 995/22 \\
\hline July [6] 971/22 1093/18 1142/19 1159/18 1178/6 1178/10 & knell [2] 1119/20 1119/24 & 996/2 999/13 1003/23 1005/21 1011/1 \\
\hline 1159/18 1178/6 1178/10
July 13 [1] 1159/18 & knew [40] 988/3 1006/3 1006/10 & 1011/24 1011/25 1039/5 1049/20 \\
\hline \[
\text { July } 2014 \text { [1] 1093/1 }
\] & 1012/8 1013/6 1077/17 1103/18 & 1070/24 1083/4 1117/25 1119/2 \\
\hline July 31st [1] 971/22 & 1105/24 1109/17 1116/5 1119/19 & 1119/20 1120/1 1127/20 1137/15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{L}
law... [7] 1143/12 1158/2 1158/5 1159/6 1174/22 1188/18 1190/8 lawsuit [20] 1021/15 1021/18 1021/19 1022/14 1044/2 1093/14 1105/15 1105/17 1106/8 1106/8 1106/16 1110/12 1110/14 1111/3 1111/5 1111/9 1112/6 1126/16 1137/4 1137/7 lawyer [3] 1079/21 1113/23 1204/14 lawyers [1] 1211/13
layers [4] 1119/13 1119/16 1119/17 1120/7
lead [4] 986/17 1113/20 1125/25 1226/22
leading [2] 992/4 1036/20
leads [2] 1134/11 1224/19
leaning [1] 1163/17
learn [8] 1077/5 1078/8 1081/11
1117/24 1180/4 1181/20 1184/13 1203/23
learned [15] 1058/19 1058/21 1074/18
1076/1 1077/6 1078/6 1079/11
1079/23 1180/4 1180/24 1190/24
1190/25 1191/6 1199/7 1203/20
learning [1] 976/20
least [17] 1019/25 1024/21 1049/22
1058/14 1062/5 1075/20 1075/23
1141/4 1143/17 1143/23 1144/13
1148/18 1167/10 1167/13 1178/16
1188/8 1231/7
leave [14] 986/19 1004/7 1020/22
1022/19 1025/25 1039/7 1043/1
1154/25 1217/1 1219/3 1225/12
1225/21 1232/12 1233/19
leaves [4] 1017/15 1021/2 1223/14 1225/6
leaving [2] 1011/10 1021/8
LEBRECHT [35] 967/8 1054/20
1054/24 1077/22 1086/21 1087/5
1091/11 1091/15 1091/25 1093/25
1094/4 1094/19 1096/15 1136/21
1136/25 1140/25 1155/2 1167/22
1168/13 1168/18 1173/12 1173/13
1175/25 1176/24 1178/18 1179/24
1180/24 1181/1 1183/11 1184/1
1209/4 1210/2 1211/1 1213/16
1221/25
Lebrecht's [5] 1055/2 1084/4 1086/16
1089/23 1151/15
lecturn [1] 1015/11
led [1] 1238/11
LEDS [2] 995/22 1011/25
Lee [6] 970/23 981/10 1001/15 1013/2
1013/6 1013/10
left [16] 973/9 997/16 997/21 998/13
1013/14 1013/15 1052/21 1077/3
1095/17 1123/13 1136/25 1156/17
1159/5 1159/9 1171/1 1176/20
legal [9] 991/23 1027/25 1033/14
1033/16 1033/16 1049/17 1119/24
1244/12 1244/24
legally [3] 1240/19 1242/7 1244/10 legible [1] 1062/23
lengthy [1] 1231/4
LeRoy [8] 1092/23 1098/3 1098/4 1098/10 1098/10 1098/14 1098/16 1179/24

LeRoy's [3] 1093/3 1093/10 1097/22 less [5] 1045/17 1075/7 1132/9 1241/9 1241/9
let [43] 972/7 977/20 979/19 979/24 986/22 988/3 992/9 997/10 999/13 1002/22 1010/12 1014/15 1018/3 1018/24 1025/16 1060/18 1062/10 1067/9 1069/10 1071/8 1088/22 1097/22 1097/24 1105/10 1119/17 1123/8 1129/10 1132/9 1151/5 1161/5 1176/2 1179/19 1210/6 1210/23 1216/10 1218/4 1227/23 1228/1 1233/18 1233/25 1235/7 1239/1 1240/13
let's [84] 986/6 988/17 1001/12 1010/13 1022/14 1025/3 1028/4 1034/18 1037/10 1037/18 1068/14 1069/6 1092/16 1092/25 1095/14 1097/4 1099/2 1099/19 1104/8 1109/21 1112/21 1113/14 1115/14 1118/4 1119/1 1120/13 1122/18 1124/2 1124/10 1127/25 1128/4 1128/19 1129/2 1129/8 1130/25 1132/21 1133/16 1134/5 1138/25 1141/25 1142/13 1144/23 1148/4 1148/13 1150/3 1153/23 1154/1 1156/15 1159/14 1160/5 1164/22 1165/13 1165/20 1169/16 1170/14 1189/6 1189/8 1189/14 1193/3 1196/18 1204/20 1207/18 1213/4 1213/9 1215/10 1215/18 1216/12 1217/24 1219/25 1220/24 1232/3 1232/11 1233/9 1233/23 1233/24 1234/21 1234/22 1237/22 1237/24 1242/13 1242/14 1242/14 1243/18 1243/21
letter [63] 990/3 990/10 1047/21
1047/24 1048/1 1059/7 1073/13
1073/15 1073/20 1073/21 1108/8
1108/9 1108/10 1108/16 1108/18
1108/20 1108/23 1109/2 1118/24
1141/7 1141/20 1143/3 1145/7
1149/20 1150/4 1150/9 1150/15
1150/25 1151/7 1151/20 1151/22
1152/5 1152/21 1153/19 1154/6
1155/2 1155/5 1155/8 1156/9 1160/18
1163/11 1175/24 1176/16 1186/22 1187/1 1197/17 1197/19 1197/24 1197/24 1198/1 1198/3 1198/4 1207/2 1211/17 1211/18 1211/19 1211/20 1214/8 1218/21 1218/23 1221/15 1225/4 1225/19
level [1] 1146/4
levels [1] 1120/8
leverage [1] 1021/7
license [1] 988/5
lie [1] 1133/14
lieu [1] 973/4
lieutenant [52] 986/18 992/20 992/20 993/2 999/2 1054/19 1054/24 1055/2 1077/22 1084/4 1089/23 1091/11 1091/12 1094/4 1094/19 1096/15 1096/24 1097/3 1115/24 1116/14 1125/5 1126/6 1126/11 1136/21 1136/25 1139/21 1140/25 1145/15 1151/15 1155/2 1156/23 1164/5 1167/22 1168/13 1168/18 1170/8

1173/12 1173/13 1175/2 1176/24
1178/18 1183/11 1187/18 1205/18
1209/4 1210/2 1211/1 1213/16
1221/25 1234/4 1234/13 1234/23
Lieutenant Lebrecht [7] 1176/24
1178/18 1183/11 1209/4 1210/2 1211/1 1221/25
Lieutenant Morrow [3] 1156/23 1164/5 1170/8
lieutenants [1] 1140/13
life [8] 979/7 1010/14 1027/15 1027/23
1226/15 1241/9 1241/11 1242/22
light [2] 1158/9 1222/25
lighting [1] 1031/22
like [86] 970/5 975/21 975/22 979/7 982/15 982/25 985/4 985/21 989/5 999/19 1017/18 1021/13 1026/13 1033/1 1034/14 1039/18 1047/14 1050/22 1057/24 1060/25 1069/12 1076/18 1085/5 1085/10 1085/19 1087/14 1092/24 1094/17 1097/11 1097/17 1103/2 1104/22 1107/12 1107/20 1110/24 1111/19 1112/1 1112/2 1112/3 1122/6 1122/19 1123/3 1124/20 1125/15 1129/4 1133/15 1136/1 1137/18 1140/20 1141/19 1145/16 1146/9 1149/7 1149/15 1149/18 1149/22 1151/10 1155/11 1157/1 1160/24 1164/18 1164/20 1165/8 1166/10 1169/22 1175/17
1189/3 1189/7 1189/19 1190/12
1200/2 1205/14 1215/8 1215/11
1218/9 1218/14 1224/3 1225/2
1229/16 1231/3 1231/18 1238/16
1239/21 1239/22 1241/18 1244/18
liked [3] 1056/19 1107/3 1107/6
likely [1] 1155/12
liking [4] 1107/7 1107/7 1107/8 1107/8
Lillengreen [1] 1162/10
limine [11] 1014/24 1015/24 1017/6
1017/13 1018/5 1018/8 1018/22
1021/14 1021/21 1026/19 1026/25
limited [4] 977/23 995/25 1234/17 1235/1
limits [2] 994/2 1013/15
Lincoln [2] 973/25 1097/8
Linda [1] 1187/19
line [51] 982/22 1048/17 1055/9 1056/8 1056/10 1070/19 1070/19 1071/9 1072/11 1074/3 1074/5 1074/5 1075/25 1085/14 1085/22 1086/6 1086/8 1086/10 1086/15 1087/4 1101/1 1107/10 1131/1 1131/4 1132/15 1151/11 1151/11 1151/13 1151/14 1151/14 1151/15 1151/15 1151/18 1176/11 1180/9 1180/10 1180/10 1184/20 1186/7 1186/8 1186/11 1189/12 1189/12 1189/14 1189/17 1189/18 1207/24 1210/8 1213/13 1219/23 1223/15
lines [20] 1071/9 1071/9 1071/14 1071/14 1074/3 1085/18 1086/1 1087/13 1099/16 1104/23 1107/24 1130/1 1130/2 1133/8 1137/5 1137/6 1172/6 1186/12 1189/15 1207/20 lion's [1] 1099/11

L
list [58] 989/25 1039/17 1039/18 1039/24 1093/1 1093/11 1093/13 1093/16 1093/18 1093/23 1094/8 1094/11 1094/12 1094/14 1094/15 1094/15 1094/18 1094/20 1097/20 1097/21 1097/23 1097/25 1098/4 1098/9 1098/15 1111/4 1111/7 1119/11 1119/14 1120/2 1120/3 1120/5 1125/16 1136/25 1154/19 1177/2 1177/7 1177/11 1177/14 1177/19 1177/21 1178/17 1178/21 1179/2 1179/6 1179/7 1179/9 1179/14 1179/20 1179/22 1179/23 1179/25 1179/25 1192/8 1194/24 1206/22 1211/21 1233/18
list' [1] 1094/1
listed [13] 991/13 1038/15 1111/3 1117/5 1117/8 1122/7 1122/8 1144/14 1192/3 1197/14 1198/3 1203/21

\section*{1207/11}
listing [19] 988/17 991/6 991/18
991/19 991/20 1038/7 1038/8 1038/11
1039/11 1117/3 1117/111 1119/1
1119/23 1125/18 1129/5 1191/1
1192/11 1206/13 1208/8
literally [1] 1205/17
litigants [1] 1024/7
litigation [6] 1022/9 1137/10 1146/6
1147/19 1147/21 1163/12
little [17] 971/6 971/24 1013/13
1032/17 1032/22 1073/25 1101/7
1104/21 1105/9 1154/1 1176/18
1181/19 1193/11 1219/6 1237/22
1243/20 1245/14
live [3] 1004/13 1004/15 1033/20
lived [1] 1030/18
lives [6] 980/1 980/3 980/8 980/15
1010/16 1237/19
living [1] 1100/5
loaded [6] 1005/10 1006/1 1006/3
1010/12 1087/22 1087/23
loaded-gun [1] 1010/12
lobby [1] 1107/19
local [2] 1201/5 1202/24
locally [1] 1237/9
located [3] 975/5 1157/4 1236/18
location [4] 979/25 981/16 981/18 1002/24
long [28] 968/8 977/1 977/7 992/10 1031/13 1038/11 1038/13 1044/18 1049/11 1063/12 1075/16 1075/19 1076/23 1076/25 1082/9 1106/13
1118/15 1118/19 1150/17 1178/2
1191/15 1191/17 1191/18 1209/9
1216/24 1228/20 1230/22 1231/25
longer [1] 1126/16
longest [1] 992/13
look [38] 972/18 1015/21 1017/18 1027/3 1046/5 1046/5 1055/12 1056/8 1059/23 1060/18 1066/22 1069/12 1083/16 1084/20 1111/19 1112/2 1112/3 1130/25 1149/7 1149/18 1149/22 1150/22 1153/12 1155/10 1157/10 1157/13 1157/15 1157/22 1186/7 1189/6 1190/18 1193/3 1199/21 1203/24 1209/22 1210/7

1227/24 1233/18
looked [6] 1047/8 1057/24 1147/20 1157/12 1157/23 1189/24
looking [13] 972/21 981/11 985/16 1038/24 1046/23 1059/21 1064/17 1084/1 1102/8 1157/6 1180/25 1204/1 1213/13
looks [5] 1011/17 1050/22 1123/3 1145/16 1225/2
loose [1] 1237/15
losing [3] 1241/8 1241/16 1242/18
lot [40] 975/15 978/21 979/8 983/24 985/9 985/14 986/2 986/24 993/6 1001/16 1001/16 1005/20 1007/12 1033/14 1033/16 1036/11 1036/13 1042/12 1050/1 1062/8 1075/5 1075/11 1076/1 1076/18 1076/25 1078/7 1082/17 1089/17 1090/24 1093/17 1120/19 1149/11 1165/6 1172/9 1187/20 1191/18 1193/4 1209/19 1218/20 1223/13
lots [2] 1053/19 1216/25
loud [1] 1202/4
loudly [1] 1198/11
love [1] 986/5
lower [2] 1083/25 1123/13
luck [1] 1047/16
lunch [5] 1129/12 1129/15 1136/7 1136/9 1136/17
luncheons [1] 1009/19
lunchtime [1] 1114/18
M
M-C-D-E-R-M-E-D [1] 1174/11
ma'am [3] 1085/14 1086/7 1087/20 mad [8] 1077/14 1077/16 1087/25 1088/1 1095/4 1095/5 1095/6 1203/13
made [51] 985/9 985/20 995/12 1013/4 1013/10 1016/1 1017/21 1033/5 1034/13 1045/2 1045/8 1047/8 1047/10 1081/5 1087/9 1094/9 1094/22 1100/8 1106/5 1109/24 1112/11 1120/12 1120/24 1125/19 1138/14 1140/22 1140/23 1153/9 1154/19 1156/13 1169/24 1170/4 1170/11 1172/3 1172/6 1172/17 1179/4 1181/4 1181/13 1192/1 1193/2 1211/15 1213/6 1214/23 1217/22 1219/7 1222/3 1222/4 1222/22 1225/15 1241/9
mail [2] 994/16 995/14
maintained [1] 995/23
maintaining [1] 1179/25
Maintains [1] 1068/9
major [3] 1071/23 1072/8 1072/9
make [46] 972/22 973/4 973/5 982/19 983/2 984/9 988/4 997/3 1001/12 1005/15 1008/3 1008/8 1010/5 1018/16 1026/7 1033/23 1039/6 1064/20 1087/5 1087/9 1088/10 1090/19 1104/6 1112/17 1117/6 1119/22 1120/16 1132/17 1137/25 1154/22 1164/20 1176/18 1178/25 1185/11 1195/16 1195/18 1201/7 1210/6 1220/20 1221/8 1222/16 1223/16 1230/25 1235/2 1235/5 1242/14
makes [1] 1017/17
making [13] 976/10 977/9 991/17
1109/8 1135/12 1170/7 1189/25
1194/21 1224/5 1224/15 1242/2
1242/17 1245/2
maliciousness [1] 1138/16
man [3] 986/11 1097/25 1203/19
man's [1] 1208/18
manager [1] 1003/2
manner [1] 1216/19
manual [3] 976/22 976/25 1012/7
manuals [2] 1124/8 1185/9
many [37] 978/15 984/17 984/17
1001/9 1002/15 1002/25 1007/2
1007/8 1031/20 1036/22 1037/8
1040/5 1044/24 1050/2 1052/21
1057/19 1062/5 1067/5 1075/6
1075/11 1075/19 1080/24 1093/21
1128/8 1132/2 1132/8 1135/23
1140/21 1164/24 1167/8 1172/8
1172/10 1183/11 1183/13 1209/22
1209/25 1231/10
March [20] 1046/3 1065/1 1065/1 1065/1 1065/18 1098/19 1113/17
1114/23 1114/25 1115/6 1115/7
1115/23 1116/5 1116/8 1116/20
1203/25 1204/11 1205/17 1220/2 1220/13
March '11 [1] 1065/1
March 10 [5] 1114/23 1115/23 1116/5 1116/20 1205/17
March 10th [1] 1115/7
March 2011 [1] 1065/1
March 2012 [1] 1065/1
March 6 [2] 1113/17 1114/25
March 6th [5] 1115/6 1116/8 1203/25 1204/11 1220/2
mark [4] 968/2 1035/7 1042/6 1222/12
marked [6] 979/15 1059/24 1064/23
1065/18 1202/12 1233/10
marking [1] 1202/14
married [2] 1240/20 1241/20
Martinez [1] 987/13
Maryland [4] 1117/4 1117/24 1168/4 1189/2
material [17] 1110/15 1111/16
1111/19 1122/24 1123/6 1123/18 1124/11 1126/8 1131/22 1132/1 1132/7 1140/18 1171/11 1172/20 1173/7 1191/18 1227/12
materials [44] 989/8 1011/4 1109/4 1109/21 1109/23 1117/21 1118/9 1120/14 1121/10 1121/12 1121/23 1122/10 1122/14 1123/7 1123/20 1124/3 1125/15 1125/17 1127/14 1128/1 1128/5 1128/13 1129/6 1129/9 1129/20 1130/14 1131/11 1132/19 1135/4 1137/1 1137/4 1137/9 1137/20 1141/8 1171/25 1206/22 1208/4 1209/3 1209/20 1209/24 1210/16 1210/25 1211/14 1227/6
Matt [1] 1156/25
matter [17] 1020/1 1023/23 1023/25 1024/18 1077/9 1090/6 1126/23 1136/13 1136/14 1182/9 1190/25 1191/14 1206/13 1207/9 1212/6 1216/6 1230/16

more... [30] 1111/13 1118/8 1118/13 1122/6 1128/7 1128/8 1133/14 1136/8 1140/21 1142/4 1154/1 1156/5 1160/4 1163/2 1164/23 1165/2 1165/13 1171/4 1183/21 1191/12 1195/17 1212/1 1215/8 1231/3 1232/6 1234/16 1237/22 1242/1 1242/4 1243/3
morning [14] 970/3 970/19 970/20
971/3 981/1 1028/14 1052/3 1159/24 1159/25 1206/8 1231/13 1233/21 1245/14 1245/17
Morrow [17] 1095/14 1096/16 1096/17 1145/15 1149/24 1156/23 1158/23 1159/1 1162/5 1163/9 1163/20 1164/5 1164/16 1164/19 1166/12 1170/3 1170/8
Morrow's [2] 1009/5 \(1157 / 1\) most [12] 995/6 1002/5 1042/7 1049/1 1086/19 1141/4 1159/10 1175/23 1232/4 1237/16 1237/25 1238/20
Mostly [1] 1087/10
mother [2] 1167/15 1167/16
motion [3] 1017/13 1018/5 1018/23
motions [7] 1014/24 1015/23 1018/8
1021/14 1021/21 1026/19 1245/2
motive [2] 1133/19 1133/25
move [11] 1029/25 1066/25 1091/5 1106/19 1114/18 1131/2 1167/7 1195/6 1202/8 1204/20 1205/15
moved [9] 971/8 977/6 1012/13 1174/25 1175/4 1225/24 1242/8 1242/10 1243/5
movement [2] 1099/5 1099/21
moves [1] 1244/2
movie [4] 1099/21 1099/23 1100/4 1100/8
moving [1] 1016/5
MR [12] 971/2 1002/17 1010/11
1030/9 1049/24 1050/17 1052/2
1088/16 1171/9 1174/17 1200/16
1236/21
Mr. [168]
Mr. Cameron [1] 1183/12
Mr. Cleavenger [123] 971/4 973/8
976/9 989/9 994/10 995/17 997/11 997/24 999/1 999/10 999/17 1000/15 1000/23 1001/13 1001/13 1003/7 1003/10 1003/23 1004/6 1005/3 1005/5 1005/8 1006/12 1007/3 1008/3 1008/18 1009/25 1014/2 1014/9 1015/19 1016/10 1016/22 1022/22 1025/23 1028/21 1028/23 1044/24 1045/2 1045/8 1045/22 1046/7 1046/23 1047/8 1047/11 1048/14 1049/3 1049/5 1049/11 1049/15 1050/7 1053/22 1054/1 1054/2 1054/15 1056/15 1056/19 1056/25 1057/4 1058/15 1059/6 1067/6 1069/17 1070/11 1071/18 1073/2 1073/11 1073/13 1073/23 1074/8 1074/15 1077/12 1078/6 1078/16 1084/12 1087/21 1088/18 1089/7 1103/15 1115/18 1122/15 1126/8 1141/13 1141/19 1142/14 1143/25 1151/19 1152/12 1152/17 1152/20 1157/16 1169/7 1169/10 1170/15

1170/23 1170/25 1171/1 1181/6 1181/8 1181/12 1183/12 1184/2 1184/12 1185/3 1185/15 1186/22 1197/8 1199/16 1199/19 1211/18 1211/22 1218/25 1229/10 1231/7 1231/19 1232/21 1232/24 1234/11 1234/15 1234/17 1238/2 1238/20 1242/6 1244/14
Mr. Cleavenger's [13] 996/4 1007/20 1009/1 1009/8 1053/1 1054/16 1059/21 1060/1 1068/21 1203/14 1229/15 1234/21 1236/14
Mr. Hermens [3] 1058/15 1078/10 1078/12
Mr. Hess [18] 989/21 990/2 1067/3 1074/5 1085/19 1085/21 1112/20 1124/20 1132/23 1145/18 1161/22 1162/1 1195/9 1199/25 1200/9 1205/15 1210/11 1235/8
Mr. Kafoury [2] 1016/9 1168/19
Mr. Lebrecht [1] 1179/24
Mr. LeRoy [2] 1098/10 1179/24
Mr. McDougal [1] 1148/12
Mr. Morrow [1] 1164/19
Mr. Park [2] 1215/23 1219/24
Mr. Phillips [1] 1058/15
MS [6] 993/13 1013/22 1044/12 1083/11 1168/12 1227/23
Ms. [5] 1009/24 1235/21 1236/9 1241/18 1241/22
Ms. Brandenburg [4] 1235/21 1236/9 1241/18 1241/22
Ms. Perlow [1] 1009/24
much [32] 972/12 973/1 974/23
976/19 976/20 978/18 982/14 988/7 1001/12 1001/13 1001/18 1001/18 1002/12 1021/24 1029/9 1038/17 1048/17 1049/18 1060/25 1078/4 1090/19 1092/7 1130/9 1135/24 1157/15 1190/6 1202/1 1221/13 1229/6 1231/10 1234/16 1246/6 multipage [1] 988/18
multiple [8] 974/18 974/21 976/10 994/18 994/20 998/21 1011/16 1164/23
Muslim [2] 1071/3 1104/6
must [5] 1017/14 1019/1 1189/4 1223/17 1225/1
mutual [1] 1127/2
my [340]
myself [13] 972/5 973/15 977/19 977/24 978/17 979/17 1001/15 1026/8 1028/1 1031/24 1151/4 1160/16 1165/19

\section*{N}
name [23] 970/22 970/22 970/23
1030/2 1030/2 1030/3 1051/21 1051/22 1067/10 1087/11 1091/23 1091/24 1094/12 1097/21 1098/16 1098/18 1113/5 1126/4 1127/12 1174/10 1179/21 1236/16 1239/13 names [4] 1094/13 1177/7 1177/8 1177/10
national [3] 983/1 996/2 1012/1
natural [2] 1027/15 1027/23
nature [3] 1038/6 1081/1 1139/11

NCIC [5] 995/22 996/2 1012/1 1016/16 1016/16
NCIS [1] 1016/16
near [4] 980/1 980/8 980/16 1097/10
nearby [1] 1076/18
necessarily [7] 1005/23 1010/25
1111/1 1111/12 1143/14 1207/12 1243/15
necessary [3] 1145/25 1167/3 1167/6 neck [1] 1230/4
need [19] \(977 / 4\) 977/21 1020/11
1026/22 1090/13 1119/3 1187/2
1195/9 1197/4 1230/6 1236/4 1237/3
1238/1 1238/1 1239/3 1239/4 1241/17
1244/10 1244/13
needed [13] 987/14 988/25 989/4
1002/14 1004/9 1010/5 1028/16
1041/1 1164/23 1209/5 1209/9
1218/18 1231/23
needlessly [1] 1235/16
needs [9] 987/18 1002/4 1068/5
1069/23 1069/25 1070/2 1070/3
1216/20 1238/23
negative [5] 999/16 1061/22 1143/5 1143/10 1149/18
negotiation [1] 1120/25
negotiations [4] 1120/20 1197/11 1214/11 1214/15
neither [2] 1159/5 1213/23
neutral [8] 1132/11 1135/12 1135/22
1198/1 1203/2 1206/18 1211/19 1218/21
never [76] 982/1 984/1 984/11 988/15 990/21 991/1 991/21 991/24 992/25
1005/12 1008/9 1010/4 1018/16 1019/20 1022/3 1041/11 1041/16 1045/19 1056/19 1056/20 1069/19 1086/23 1086/24 1088/25 1089/10 1093/11 1093/12 1094/10 1097/22 1098/6 1098/16 1107/8 1107/17 1109/17 1111/6 1120/10 1122/16 1127/3 1128/1 1128/14 1131/18 1138/1 1138/3 1138/15 1140/2 1140/6 1140/23 1141/11 1155/9 1156/9 1156/13 1157/12 1157/13 1157/17 1158/5 1158/9 1159/11 1163/11 1163/14 1165/4 1166/14 1166/19 1169/25 1172/1 1172/4 1172/17 1179/4 1187/22 1190/23 1207/5 1213/22 1215/16 1219/15 1221/1 1226/4 1227/21
never-ending [1] 1019/20
new [11] 972/11 976/18 983/6 987/13
993/5 1030/25 1127/25 1157/19
1190/2 1190/17 1226/15
news [4] 972/3 1043/9 1104/11 1106/20
newspaper [3] 1177/25 1178/3 1178/6
next [44] 970/5 979/3 981/9 986/15 990/2 990/18 990/24 991/24 1027/19 1029/12 1041/9 1051/9 1064/17 1064/22 1065/14 1065/17 1065/22 1066/4 1066/8 1066/9 1066/10 1075/9 1089/19 1089/20 1091/9 1114/24 1115/4 1116/3 1117/13 1125/13 1131/1 1131/4 1133/16 1133/17 1134/16 1162/17 1173/14 1173/20
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline N & 1156 & 1078/22 1092/16 1121/1 1121/4 \\
\hline next... [6] 1204/20 1205/24 1212/19 & 1160/24 1162/20 1163/11 1163/23 & 1136/25 1138/19 1152/18 1162/12 \\
\hline 1213/10 1229/11 1232/6 & 1166/23 1167/14 1168/18 1168/21 & 1201/3 1229/20 1236/3 1244/17 \\
\hline nice [2] 1097/18 1230/15 & 11 & 2] \\
\hline night [20] 979/4 979/12 1007/8 & 1208/2 1213/21 1214/7 1215/7 &  \\
\hline 1026/23 1027/25 1075/12 1076/1 & 1208/2 1213/21 1214/7 1215/7 & \[
112
\] \\
\hline 1076/24 1078/7 1084/15 1089/8 & 12 & 1146/13 1161/5 1161/6 1199/22 \\
\hline 1094/13 1154/12 1154/13 1230/10 & 1225/18 & red [2] 1155/9 1 \\
\hline 1232/15 1233/7 1233/13 1245/8 & 1226/4 1226/17 1226/24 122 & office [15] \\
\hline 1245/13 & & \\
\hline nine [1] 1007/10 & 1236/12 1237/22 1238/15 1240/20 & 1108/15 1109/6 1109/11 1112/1 \\
\hline no [246] & & \\
\hline No.[3] 1069/8 1069/14 1212/15 & 1037/5 1039/22 1044/1 1044/21 & \\
\hline No. 168 [1] 1212/15 & 1048/25 1052/20 1062/12 1069/7 & \[
1180 / 14
\] \\
\hline No. 4 [1] 1069/14 & 1071/9 1132/12 1183/13 1184/3 & Officer Hayles [2] 1081/13 1081/1 \\
\hline No. 6 [1] 1069/8 & 1194/17 1194/23 1194/25 1204/1 & Officer Hermens [1] 1057/18 \\
\hline nobody [5] 983/25
\[
1182 / 251223 / 23
\] & 1208/3 1214/12 1216/13 1222/12 & Officer Lebrecht [2] 1180/24 1181/1 \\
\hline noise [1] 1155/21 & numbered [1] & Officer Lee [1] 1013 \\
\hline noncredibility [1] 1 & numbers [4] 1052/22 1194/20 1195/20 & Officer Phillips [3] 1057/25 1058 \\
\hline noncredible [1] 1223/1 & & \\
\hline None [2] 975/1 1089/15 & 1135/16 1183/8 & \[
1139 / 241153 / 23
\] \\
\hline & nutshell [3] 1112/16 1129/7 1132/5 & officer-initiated [1] \\
\hline normally [7] 985/4 1001/15 1005/2 & 0 & officers [67] 972/6 972/11 97 \\
\hline & Oak [1] 1007/16 & 974/21 975/25 976/23 976/24 978/16 \\
\hline & Oakridge [4] 987/10 987/20 1000/14 & 979/10 982/14 983/6 983/6 983/11 \\
\hline  & 1030/19 & 985/14 985/23 986/9 \\
\hline & Oakridge's [1] 987/1 & 987/16 990/11 992/5 993/25 994/7 \\
\hline & oath [2] 991/11 1092/13 & 995/7 997/2 1000/2 1001/9 1001/9 \\
\hline 2] 976/3 976/13 1016/12 & Obama [5] 1071/2 1103/15 1103/20 & 1002/1 1002/8 1006/21 1007/8 1012/6 \\
\hline 1019/8 1026/21 1051/3 1091/2 1134/6 & 1104/3 1104/6 & 1012/24 1015/20 1030/25 1033/16 \\
\hline (1051/3 1091/2 & object [17] 982/21 996/6 999/3 1014/4 & 1035/23 1037/11 1038/2 1038/21 \\
\hline 9] 997/22 1015/10 1020/7 & 1034/25 1039/9 1041/17 1042/22 & 1039/16 1042/2 1042/11 1044/21 \\
\hline 1074/16 1116/ & 1078/24 1099/13 1099/24 1102/6 & 1044/25 1045/1 1045/18 1049/2 \\
\hline \[
117
\] & 1102/21 1114/14 1127/7 1198/1 & 1057/19 1088/8 1107/4 1109/1 \\
\hline noticed [2] 987/1 1241/6 & 1225/9 & 1154/25 1159/2 1165/15 1165/16 \\
\hline tification [1] 1134/13 & objecting [1] 1198/12 & 1182/6 1182/9 1184/5 1207/14 \\
\hline notified [4] 1076/5 1076/6 1125 & objection [13] 980/7 980/18 989/14 & 1235/2 \\
\hline 1125/14 & 093/ & officers' [2] \\
\hline notify [7] 987/25 988/10 1125/16 & 1146/14 1146/15 1146/16 1161/7 & official [3] 1039/25 1116/10 1247/1 \\
\hline 1125/19 1126/3 1126/7 1137/3 & 1223/16 1225/10 & officially [1] 1133/1 \\
\hline notifying [1] 1015/8 & obligated [1] & officials [1] \\
\hline November [6] 1105/11 1147/1 1177/4 & obligation [1] 1125/ & often [6] 1007/11 1031/20 1031/20 \\
\hline 1177/19 1178/2 1178/16 & obligations [1] 1189/1 & 1049/23 1141/5 1165/16 \\
\hline November 12 [1] 1105/11 & observe [1] 1049/23 & oftentimes [2] 1027/2 1234/1 \\
\hline now [129] 970/12 970/21 973/8 974/2 & observer [2] 1166/2 1166/ & oh [30] 999/7 1002/20 1007/5 1035/ \\
\hline 975/24 979/15 1007/20 1010/20 & obtained [1] 996/12 & 1099/22 1100/17 1101/12 1106/1 \\
\hline 1012/4 1014/2 1018/2 1018/2 1019/13 & obviously [9] 1077/17 1081/13 1104/9 & 1110/21 1123/15 1136/3 1143/11 \\
\hline 1019/16 1020/5 1020/20 1020/21 & 1161/15 1205/12 1236/9 1239/12 & 1144/5 1189/17 1191/4 1194/14 \\
\hline 1021/1 1021/2 1022/14 1024/19 & 1240/5 1240/10 & 1194/16 1201/3 1205/5 1205/21 \\
\hline 1025/9 1027/3 1027/13 1040/24 & occasion [1] 1217/5 & 1206/10 1206/12 1212/1 1212/13 \\
\hline 1043/21 1045/15 1045/22 1046/2 & occasional [1] 1070/ & 1232/22 1232/23 1235/6 1236/13 \\
\hline 1047/10 1047/21 1048/9 1052/14 & occasionally [2] 1138/7 1138/9 & 1236/16 1236/23 \\
\hline 1052/25 1065/21 1065/22 1066/2 & occasions [5] 1095/23 1134/10 & kay [189] \\
\hline 1066/22 1067/21 1068/14 1069 & 4/23 1183/8 & [2] 1056/17 1147/2 \\
\hline 1069/17 1070/9 1082/4 1087/2 1090/2 & Occupy [7] 1099/2 1099/4 1099/20 & on call [1] 1007/19 \\
\hline 1092/23 1093/17 1094/19 1096/1 & 1101/20 1101/25 1102/25 1103/1 & on direct [1] 1241/2 \\
\hline 1099/3 1101/3 1101/8 1101/25 & 0 & on-call [4] 977/22 978/14 978/1 \\
\hline 1106/19 1106/25 1108/15 1110/14 & occurred [2] & 979/15 1021/6 1026/7 1030/25 \\
\hline 1112/13 1113/25 1114/13 1115/5 & occurring [1] 1187/ & once [11] 1021/6 1026/7 1030/25 \\
\hline 1116/4 1119/1 1121/10 1122/18 & Occurs [1] 1244/2 & /22 1116/23 \\
\hline 1124/3 1125/15 1130/3 1131/3 & October [3] 971/17 984/22 1099/2 & 1148/18 1172/17 1185/25 1236/13 \\
\hline 1131/14 1131/25 1135/2 1138/6 & odds [1] 1144/9 & one [127] 971/3 972/6 973/18 973/2 \\
\hline 1141/8 1142/13 1144/12 1146/6 & off [20] 970/9 970/10 974/14 986/8 & 973/24 973/25 975/24 976/7 977/24 \\
\hline 1148/13 1152/14 1155/8 1155/18 & 1019/15 1022/1 1053/15 1075/18 & 979/11 980/17 981/7 983/6 984/3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & \[
11
\] & otherwise [3] 10 \\
\hline one... [113] 984/7 984/24 990/4 & & \\
\hline 990/11 990/22 993/23 995/11 997/17 & or & /7 989/3 990/21 1001/16 1 \\
\hline 998/23 1000/2 1001/7 1001/11 1002/2 & 1064/13 1064/15 1071/24 1072/15 & 1050/2 1085/15 1088/12 1108/14 \\
\hline 1007/25 1009/20 1017/24 1021/14 & 1072/18 1073/3 1139/18 1165/24 & 1112/18 1126/16 1132 \\
\hline 1023/4 1023/5 1023/6 1025/4 1033/18
1036/7 1039/23 1040/16 1049/18 & 1166/3 1231/17 1236/21 1237/4 & 1146/25 1179/18 1183/22 1193/8 \\
\hline 1053/19 1053/20 1057/2 & 1237/11 & \(193 / 1512\) \\
\hline 1063/17 1064/23 1065/2 1066/4 & ordered [7] 1139/15 1139/21 114 & out [126] 972/3 975/11 975/ \\
\hline 1066/8 1068/14 1068/23 1069/10 & 188/21 1225/4 1226/16 1237/13 & 977/15 977/19 977/20 978/1 980 \\
\hline 1073/17 1077/22 1080/9 1082/6 & ordering [1] 1 & 981/6 985/16 985/18 98 \\
\hline 1084/13 1088/9 1089/2 1089/5 1089/5 & orders [1] 1237/12 & 986/15 986/17 988/7 994/18 99 \\
\hline 1092/4 1094/13 1096/7 1096/8 & OREGON [68] 967/2 967/9 974/ & 995/15 1001/15 1001/24 1002/5 \\
\hline 1096/12 1097/12 1097/24 1099/4 & 974/22 976/7 976/14 976/23 & 1002/10 1004/20 1008/2 1008/8 \\
\hline 1102/5 1103/15 1108/12 1110/10 & 980/1 980/17 981/17 984/23 986/17 & 1008/12 1012/23 1012/24 1013/2 \\
\hline 1113/21 1114/5 1121/22 1124/6 & 990/20 992/19 994/6 995/3 995/23 & 1013/5 1013/9 1014/2 \\
\hline 1125/3 1125/9 1127/24 1130/14 & 996/1 998/16 998/19 999/16 1008/2 & 1021/16 1022/7 1023/9 1026/9 \\
\hline 1140/6 1141/10 1141/21 1142/20 & 1009/7 1014/25 1016/21 1016/24 & 1027/21 1028/16 1031/1 10 \\
\hline 1142/22 1143/17 1143/24 1144/1 & 1017/15 1017/21 1018/24 101 & 1033/15 1033/25 1034/2 1038/5 \\
\hline 1144/13 1144/14 1148/19 1148/21 & 1019/9 1019/22 1021/18 102 & 1038/22 1041/16 1042/7 1048/20 \\
\hline 1151/8 1156/11 1158/19 1158/21 & 1022/11 1022/12 1023/22 1024/16 & 1048/21 1050/1 1050/1 1050/3 105 \\
\hline 1166/6 1167/13 1176/19 1178/22 & 1025/24 1027/13 1028/22 103 & 1055/23 1057/19 1060/15 106 \\
\hline 1179/17 1182/9 1185/12 1190/3 & 1030/19 1035/13 1036/8 1092/18 & 1061/22 1070/14 1071/23 1075/8 \\
\hline 1190/15 1190/16 1190/23 1191/13 & 1110/18 1113/21 1113/23 1114/6 & 1075/9 1082/9 1087/25 1088/8 109 \\
\hline 1191/19 1193/17 1198/15 1204/20 & 1120/9 1120/22 1122/14 112 & 1092/24 1094/12 1100/9 1100/18 \\
\hline 1207/20 1212/1 1214/17 1215/12 & 1125/20 1141/12 1168/25 1175/4 & 1105/25 1108/24 1112/21 \\
\hline 1221/14 1222/3 1226/5 1229/17 & 1175/5 1189/22 1190/18 1214/3 & 1119/8 1127/21 1131/19 1156 \\
\hline 1231/12 1231/21 1235/19 1235/20 & 1226/14 1227/16 1238/25 1241/6 & 1156/7 1156/17 1177/16 1178/6 \\
\hline 1235/22 1237/9 & 1247/16 & 1178/13 1178/16 1179/14 1192/5 \\
\hline ones [6] 1003/6 1067/19 1077/18 & Oregon's & 94/19 1200/3 1202/4 1204/21 \\
\hline 1198/15 1232/13 1233/1 & organization & 14 1208/18 1208/20 \\
\hline ongoing [2] 1022/9 1125/10 & organize [2] 1090/13 1090 & 1210/4 1210/18 1211/14 1214/13 \\
\hline only [33] 978/12 978/15 993/6 996/9 & organized [2] & 16/3 1219/2 \\
\hline 1001/11 1002/2 1002/21 1003/6 & original [9] 1064/23 1066/22 1113/17 & 1224/17 1231/17 1232/18 1233/6 \\
\hline 1045/1 1061/3 1068/25 1071/18 & 1115/1 1115/5 1142/23 1143/2 & 1233/24 1236/21 1237/24 1238/5 \\
\hline 1072/1 1072/4 1072/7 1073/3 1080/24 & 1211/14 1247/1 & 1238/24 1239/3 1239/14 1239/21 \\
\hline 1081/3 1081/12 1089/5 109 & originally [1] 1030 & 1240/9 1240/19 1242/8 1242/10 \\
\hline 1121/22 1130/22 1166/10 1188/21 & ORS [2] 987/25 & 242/20 1243/5 1243/21 1244 \\
\hline 1195/5 1206/4 1219/5 1223/2 1224/11 & ORS 165.40 [1] 1134 & 1245/20 \\
\hline 1230/2 1232/14 1236/ & ORS that [1] 987/25 & out what [1] 1219/25 \\
\hline open [3] 984/8 984/11 & Oswego [2] 975/16 10 & outcome [2] \\
\hline opened [4] 1015/25 1016/3 1016/13 & other [100] 978/17 979/8 979/17 983/8 & outside [19] 972/18 1003/8 1014 \\
\hline 1018/11 & 983/8 984/9 985/2 985/23 1002/3 & 1042/21 1043/6 1045/21 1046/24 \\
\hline opening [8] 97 & 1002/8 1007/18 1007/19 1009/13 & 1074/21 1075/4 1095/22 1101/24 \\
\hline 1101/14 1131/8 1131/10 1198 & 1013/9 1019/15 1021/15 1022/19 & 1137/12 1137/16 1212/11 1222/14 \\
\hline 1239/19 & 1024/1 1027/13 1030/21 1030/23 & 1222/22 1226/13 1240/14 1241/23 \\
\hline openings [3] 971/21 983/10 1000/25 & 1031/5 1033/9 1033/16 1035/23 & outweighs [1] 1019/1 \\
\hline opens [1] & 1036/7 1038/5 1040/19 1040/2 & over [67] 971/17 971/19 977/9 979 \\
\hline operations [1] 9 & 1045/11 1048/19 1050 & 9/5 980/3 980/5 981/11 985 \\
\hline opinion [28] 1011/7 1019/24 102 & 1052/8 1057/19 1066/19 1067/16 & 988/1 988/11 990/12 991/16 1006/19 \\
\hline 1026/8 1033/2 1034/9 1042/15 & 1067/19 1067/21 1068/15 1070/ & 1006/22 1015/11 1022/25 1027 \\
\hline 1042/18 1045/10 1045/13 1080/19 & 1075/25 1077/13 1077/14 & 1027/16 1027/24 1030/11 103 \\
\hline 1080/22 1086/17 1088/2 1093/14 & 1091/2 1096/6 1103/21 1106/25 & 1035/16 1040/6 1046/14 1046/19 \\
\hline 1104/12 1104/19 1105/5 1115/15 & 1107/4 1115/24 1127/12 1127/15 & 1047/13 1049/8 1061/17 1068/17 \\
\hline 1129/20 1169/14 1170/7 1204/21 & 1127/20 1130/6 1131/1 1131/4 & 1076/7 1085/23 1089/23 1095/17 \\
\hline 1205/8 1206/24 1213/14 1213/18 & 1132/15 1133/8 1134/6 1134/7 1134/9 & 1105/15 1108/6 1125/17 1127/14 \\
\hline 1220/16 & 1135/15 1138/10 1139/24 1141/13 & 1128/4 1128/9 1130/14 1133/23 \\
\hline & 1144/1 1157/6 1157/10 1157/13 & 1137/22 1143/3 1150/20 1159/1 \\
\hline  & 1165/15 1165/16 1165/24 1172/7 & 1163/16 1169/18 1182/8 1183/14 \\
\hline opportunities [3] 1234/2 1234/16 & 1180/23 1182/6 1183/5 1183/16 & 1184/1 1208/23 1216/20 1216/23 \\
\hline opportunity [4] 1022/18 & 1186/15 1187/24 1198/7 1201/ & 1218/2 1225/25 1228/11 1228/12 \\
\hline 1226/7 1242/6 & 1207/2 1208/23 1214/10 1214/19 & 228/14 1229/1 1229/25 1232/2 \\
\hline opposed [5] 1052/21 106 & 1215/13 1222/8 1223/4 1226/7 & 1232/11 1233/16 1238/25 1243/1 \\
\hline 1105/3 1106/21 & 1227/24 1231/21 1234/14 1234/20 & 1244/10 \\
\hline opposing [2] 1024/5 1227/20 opposite [3] 1031/24 1034/17 1238/22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1235/15 1236/21 1238/16 1240/2 } \\
& 1240 / 10 \text { 1240/14 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
overall [2] 1135/18 1145/24 \\
overcome [1] 972/17 \\
overnight [1] 1003/3
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
overrule [1] 980/20
Overruled [9] 982/24 996/8 1035/4
1041/19 1079/1 1099/25 1100/2
1102/22 1127/8
overruling [1] 1225/10
Oversees [1] 1114/8
oversimplification [2] 1071/20 1088/21
overview [1] 1174/20
own [8] 1001/25 1008/2 1045/10 1080/19 1080/22 1098/5 1137/20 1138/7

\section*{P}

P-A-T-E-R-S-O-N [1] 1030/4
P.C [1] 968/8
p.m [2] 1046/2 1220/2
pack [4] 1108/14 1143/19 1143/22 1193/15
packet [4] 1109/8 1127/13 1195/13 1201/22
packets [1] 1195/15
page [66] 1035/24 1037/3 1040/13
1055/8 1055/11 1055/12 1055/15
1056/8 1060/20 1061/10 1070/15 1070/18 1070/19 1070/19 1071/8 1071/14 1074/1 1083/25 1085/3 1085/9 1085/21 1085/24 1086/9
1086/11 1086/12 1101/1 1102/9 1104/22 1107/10 1113/14 1123/12 1125/13 1129/9 1129/22 1130/16 1130/23 1132/21 1132/24 1133/16 1134/5 1134/16 1145/21 1150/22 1151/11 1151/18 1161/11 1161/24 1161/25 1165/8 1165/12 1176/5 1180/8 1184/18 1186/7 1189/8 1189/12 1190/15 1192/10 1192/18 1204/5 1204/6 1205/15 1207/20 1213/11 1213/12 1241/4
page 1 [1] 1113/14
page 101 [7] 1071/8 1071/14 1085/3 1085/9 1085/21 1085/24 1086/11
page 102 [2] 1086/9 1086/12
Page 120 [1] 1132/24
page 135 [1] 1180/8
Page 137 [1] 1151/18
page 138 [1] 1150/22
page 153 [1] 1074/1
page 192 [1] 1184/18
page 195 [1] 1189/8
page 196 [1] 1189/12
page 2 [5] 1040/13 1129/9 1130/16
1213/11 1213/12
page 20 [1] 1132/21
Page 206 [1] 1207/20
page 229 [2] 1165/8 1165/12
page 23 [1] 1134/5
page 275 [1] 1186/7
page 296 [1] 1192/10
page 362 [1] 1176/5
page 44 [1] 1056/8
page 46 [1] 1055/15
page 47 [2] 1055/8 1055/11
page 48 [1] 1101/1
page 49 [1] 1102/9
page 58 [2] 1104/22 1107/10
page 6 [1] 1241/4
page 68 [1] 1060/20
page 87 [3] 1070/15 1070/18 1070/19
page 88 [1] 1070/19
page above [1] 1192/18
page is [1] 1061/10
page reference [1] 1190/15
page there [2] 1037/3 1161/11
pages [28] 977/1 1040/9 1050/20
1055/12 1055/16 1060/21 1061/3
1106/12 1110/15 1116/21 1121/3
1128/4 1128/8 1131/14 1131/16
1132/2 1132/3 1132/9 1132/12
1132/18 1135/23 1135/23 1135/24
1140/5 1140/17 1140/21 1172/14 1176/3
paid [17] 978/6 991/7 1000/12 1000/13 1000/17 1000/21 1000/23 1001/9 1004/7 1036/17 1036/23 1036/25 1037/6 1037/8 1038/16 1049/5 1050/18
paper [3] 1128/10 1147/14 1179/21
paragraph [11] 990/2 990/18 990/24
1133/6 1133/16 1134/6 1145/21
1200/10 1200/19 1204/7 1213/10
paralegal [1] 1216/3
Pardon [3] 1139/9 1207/17 1228/13
Park [24] 1113/15 1113/19 1114/21
1122/13 1204/14 1206/3 1206/24 1211/9 1212/22 1212/24 1214/1
1215/6 1215/23 1217/3 1217/12 1218/5 1218/5 1218/16 1219/24 1220/1 1220/22 1224/25 1224/25 1226/11
Park's [10] 1115/16 1204/22 1205/9 1205/10 1213/19 1217/21 1217/21 1218/10 1224/20 1225/2
parked [3] 1075/4 1156/1 1170/23
parking [18] 984/24 1054/6 1054/6 1067/15 1069/25 1075/5 1076/1 1076/17 1076/25 1078/7 1078/16 1156/7 1166/17 1185/22 1185/23 1185/24 1192/1 1192/19
part [55] 974/2 974/3 974/5 974/15 975/3 975/7 975/25 976/8 979/13 979/15 980/9 985/9 985/10 1011/20 1018/10 1021/15 1021/20 1024/8 1024/12 1026/20 1028/6 1059/21 1061/9 1067/22 1067/23 1082/24 1083/2 1097/9 1104/5 1106/1 1106/3 1107/9 1108/19 1108/21 1109/3 1109/9 1120/13 1128/17 1130/7 1131/22 1132/7 1133/13 1139/25 1146/6 1146/25 1147/19 1158/1 1158/25 1197/23 1204/11 1205/2 1209/11 1218/22 1219/21 1221/6
partaking [1] 1049/24
partial [1] 1238/13
participated [3] 976/8 1045/19 1058/9 particular [7] 981/2 992/18 994/22 995/11 1012/19 1038/5 1227/3 particularly [1] 1071/4
parties [15] 970/4 1018/7 1018/20 1021/15 1024/7 1025/4 1028/11 1028/12 1091/8 1134/13 1134/14 1136/20 1221/24 1228/22 1233/15 parts [2] 1108/16 1197/16
pass [7] 974/9 974/9 976/15 1027/9 1032/8 1192/1 1192/19
pass/no [1] 974/9
passed [2] 990/13 1001/2
passenger's [1] 984/5
past [6] 972/2 1011/18 1014/9 1031/23
1145/19 1156/6
pasting [1] 1217/15
Paterson [6] 970/6 1029/13 1029/17 1030/3 1044/13 1044/15
patience [1] 1228/20
patrol [30] 971/16 971/16 973/19
977/7 977/15 977/20 986/16 987/19 988/7 988/16 993/25 1002/20 1002/21
1002/21 1002/25 1005/9 1012/23
1030/21 1031/8 1033/23 1035/8
1048/15 1067/12 1069/5 1069/23
1074/22 1098/25 1119/7 1174/24
1174/25
patrolling [3] 985/8 1001/25 1002/10
pattern [2] 987/5 987/6
patterns [3] 987/2 1142/8 1142/9
Patty [7] 989/7 1008/21 1009/16
1009/25 1112/14 1191/11 1201/6
Patty Perlow [1] 1009/25
Paul [1] 1201/7
Pause [3] 1026/10 1216/7 1221/21
Pause-in-proceedings [3] 1026/10
1216/7 1221/21
pay [2] 1162/14 1226/16
PDF [1] 1227/7
peace [1] 1053/23
peers [1] 1146/4
pelvic [1] 1034/13
pen [1] 1062/21
pending [1] 1137/4
people [59] 982/5 982/6 982/13
982/15 982/25 985/16 988/4 992/6
998/21 1005/21 1006/20 1007/24
1031/21 1034/3 1039/17 1040/3
1045/11 1053/10 1054/16 1058/14
1073/8 1078/7 1092/24 1092/25
1093/8 1094/12 1094/20 1100/4
1100/9 1100/14 1111/4 1119/3 1140/8
1140/10 1141/4 1144/18 1154/9 1178/14 1178/14 1179/9 1180/1
1182/16 1185/8 1187/20 1198/7
1209/19 1209/23 1209/25 1210/4
1210/24 1218/17 1229/23 1230/2
1231/1 1235/15 1235/20 1235/21
1237/17 1238/21
people's [4] 1075/20 1139/15 1142/7 1173/1
per [1] 1131/5
perceive [1] 1235/7
percent [3] 1099/11 1099/12 1239/15
perception [1] 1240/7
perfectly [1] 1242/16
perform [3] 1012/12 1012/12 1048/25 performance [17] 1059/13 1060/2
1062/8 1067/12 1067/13 1067/14
1067/15 1067/17 1067/18 1067/20
1069/22 1069/24 1070/1 1117/1
1117/2 1145/24 1157/20
performing [1] 1054/10
perhaps [8] 981/15 981/21 1015/22
1207/18 1234/11 1238/1 1238/19

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\mathbf{P}\) & 1058/22 1096/24 1097/2 1195/18 & \\
\hline precedence [2] 1213/20 1224/22 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { 1215/22 1223/14 1234/24 } \\
\text { problematic [5] } 985 / 201039 / 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
5 \text { 1182/2 1184/5 1187/24 }
\] \\
\hline & 1040/1 1134/17 1185/16 & 1198/25 \\
\hline ude [2] 1020/5 1226 & problems [4] 987/20 1059/19 1073/23 & publicly [1] 1111/10 \\
\hline cluding [2] 1227/1 123 & \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
1 \\
\text { pr }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] & publish [13] 989/17 1067/3 112
-1124/21 1125/1 1133/3 1145/19 \\
\hline \[
\text { 2] } 107
\] & procedure [1]
procedures [6] 982/19 1127/2 & 1146/18 1161/19 1199/25 1200/ \\
\hline prejudicial [5] 1019/11 1021/6 & 1189/25 1190/6 1190/11 & p \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1021/23 1024/8 1027/9 \\
prepare [4] 1038/3 1105/20 11
\end{tabular} & proceed [2] 11 proceeding [2] & \begin{tabular}{l}
published [2] 1084/23 1179/2 \\
pull [8] 1056/17 1112/19 1174
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { are } \\
& 2 / 2
\end{aligned}
\] & proceedings [7] & 1182/20 1200/9 1200/16 \\
\hline prepared [1] 1 & 1026/10 1073/18 1216/7 1221/21 & 1213/10 \\
\hline preparing [5] 1120/13 1120/20 1121/10 1137/9 1154/17 & process & 1058/16 1112/24 \\
\hline & 1001/4 1011/20 1130/7 1140/9 1143/3 & 1155/20 1155/23 \\
\hline 1222/14 1222/22 1240/15 1241/23 & 1150/8 1154/5 1227/10 1243/15 & pulling [2] \\
\hline present [27] 970/3 970/3 970/4 & pr & punishment [2] 1121/2 1130/2 \\
\hline 1014/16 1014/23 1018/20 1018/20 & p & purged [1] 110 \\
\hline 1023/3 1023/8 1028/10 1028/12 & & \\
\hline 1090/9 1091/6 1091/8 1136/15 & produce [1] 12 & purpose [1] \\
\hline 1136/18 1136/19 1136/19 1136/20 & pr & oseful \\
\hline 1212/9 1221/24 1228/18 1228/22 & & \\
\hline 1228/22 1228/23 1230/20 1234/2 & & 1157/21 1245/1 \\
\hline presentation [6] 1017/23 1219/4 & & purse [1] \\
\hline 30/25 1231/20 1235/5 1244/14 & productivity [2] 1068/8 1070 & pursuit [4] 1012/19 1013/8 1013 \\
\hline presented [3] 1048/7 1109/5 1109/10 & professional [9] 982/3 982/4 991/3 & 1013/10 \\
\hline president [9] & 1125/5 1126/7 1126/11 1156/2 & pursuits [1] \\
\hline 1042/12 1044/15 1044/20 1103/1 & 42/20 & view [1] \\
\hline 1103/20 1104/3 1114/3 & profiling [3] & Pushing [1] \\
\hline & & t [57] 977 \\
\hline ding & & 1010/24 1020/21 1021/13 1036 \\
\hline ss [1] & progress [2] & 10 \\
\hline tty [10] 972/19 & pr & 1074/15 1083/13 1085/11 1085/18 \\
\hline 976/19 988/7 1038/17 1049/1 & prohibited [1] & 1085/21 1086/11 1086/11 1087/ \\
\hline - & prohibitive [1] 975 & 1088/2 1092/2 \\
\hline prevailing [1] 1113/3 & project [1] 1118/8 & 1097/25 1098/4 1098/14 1110/15 \\
\hline prevent [1] 10 & prominent [1] & 17/1 1118/9 1118/17 1120/5 11 \\
\hline & prompted [1] 1042/2 & 1121/7 1124/12 1127/16 1127/25 \\
\hline \[
s \text { [1] } 1
\] & promptly [1] 1068/1 & 1128/4 1128/13 1135/2 1135/6 11 \\
\hline y [2] & proof [1] 988/5 & 43/18 1143/20 1143/24 1145 \\
\hline 972/2 973/12 992/23 998/13 & propensity [1] 1162/1 & 1177/7 1179/2 1179/14 1181/19 \\
\hline 1019/18 1021/10 1025/23 1032/4 & proposal [1] 1025/20 & 1183/3 1187/13 1188/17 1189/23 \\
\hline 1054/12 1108/5 1116/20 1 & pros [1] 984/6 & 1193/15 1211/1 1212/14 1235/20 \\
\hline 62/7 1170/10 1175/9 1190/8 & prosecute [2] & putin \\
\hline 1240/11 & prosecution [5] 1121/25 1125/22 & putting [9] 993/2 1039/17 1094/20 \\
\hline [1] 100 & 8/20 & 1116/22 1117/20 1169/5 1191 1210/25 1245/16 \\
\hline private [3] 979/22 1033/20 1227/14 & protects [2] 10 & \\
\hline privilege [19] 1078/25 1212/17 1213/8 & protects [2] & Q \\
\hline 1215/5 1216/14 1216/17 1217/2 & provide [11] 1125/10 1125/22 1128/20 & \\
\hline  & \[
1144 / 17 \quad 1144 / 211147 / 231169 / 2
\] & qualified [1] 1042/7 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1221/4 1221/12 1222/5 1222/9 1223/7 \\
1223/11 1224/13 1226/3
\end{tabular} & 1199/17 1200/24 1201/17 1206/23 & qualify [1] 991 \\
\hline 1223/11 1224/13 1226/3 & provided [7] 989/3 1140/8 1144/20 & quality [1] 106 \\
\hline & 1150/2 1169/9 1176/19 1188/1 & [1] \\
\hline & & \\
\hline probably [29] 973/16 973/17 985/3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
psych \\
995/15
\end{tabular} & 980/21 982/24 996/13 997/17 999 \\
\hline 991/8 992/14 1005/14 1005/17 1006/4 & psychiatrist [3] 975/17 995/7 1001/7 & 999/14 1003 \\
\hline 4/18 1054/23 1073/22 1080/14 & psychological [9] 974/13 975/7 & 1019/10 1022/9 1024/6 1033/5 1035/5 \\
\hline 1080/19 1117/25 1137/14 1138/22 & 975/12 976/15 990/15 995/6 995/16 & 041/19 1045/7 1055/17 1055/25 \\
\hline 1142/25 1144/21 1153/18 1165/18 & 1001/5 1011/13 & 56/9 1061/5 1061/7 1061/2 \\
\hline 1178/5 1210/2 1225/11 1231/10 & psychologically [1] 10 psychologist [1] 995/8 & 1062/15 1063/13 1063/14 1067/5
1084/9 1072/2 1078/15 \\
\hline \[
1239 / 15
\] & public [20] 976/21 990/21 1052/7 & 1086/20 1086/22 1087/5 1087/8 \\
\hline probative [1] 1019/12
problem [10] 997/23 998/11 1019/7 & 1052/16 1053/23 1054/3 1054/5 & 1088/17 1093/5 1093/24 1094/7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
question... [45] 1101/4 1102/7
1102/11 1107/6 1107/13 1121/16 1121/17 1123/9 1123/16 1124/13 1127/12 1127/17 1154/1 1154/1 1154/17 1159/3 1166/18 1168/1 1171/16 1171/23 1175/13 1175/14 1177/13 1180/10 1183/25 1186/20 1189/4 1189/18 1189/20 1191/2 1193/6 1193/10 1207/22 1208/24 1216/22 1224/7 1224/13 1227/24 1234/1 1238/10 1240/25 1241/4 1241/14 1241/15 1244/13
questionable [1] 1240/22
questioned [2] 1060/10 1087/18 questioning [1] 982/22
questions [21] 977/10 983/13 994/19
1002/4 1017/12 1025/25 1067/19 1084/19 1088/13 1131/16 1132/16 1136/8 1153/21 1154/8 1171/4 1173/10 1196/8 1197/2 1228/24
1229/3 1241/24
quick [1] 1231/24
quicker [2] 979/19 1002/22
quickly [5] 977/6 1035/22 1050/19
1146/2 1229/22
quietly [1] 1226/25
quite [11] 1027/11 1070/16 1070/20
1095/5 1110/16 1193/10 1222/21
1227/9 1234/13 1241/9 1242/3
quote [4] 1047/6 1047/6 1221/16 1244/1
quote/unquote [2] 1221/16 1244/1

\section*{R}
race [2] 973/1 1031/17
racially [1] \(987 / 8\)
radio [6] 985/20 1007/16 1007/16
1012/8 1076/7 1188/10
raise [7] 970/12 1020/6 1021/10
1029/15 1051/12 1091/12 1173/23
raised [4] 1100/25 1219/9 1225/20
1234/18
raises [1] 987/7
raising [2] 1100/23 1100/24
ran [2] 1125/8 1167/23
Randy [14] 1114/10 1114/13 1115/22 1148/23 1150/8 1160/10 1160/16 1160/22 1162/13 1162/15 1162/16 1163/8 1207/7 1220/3
range [2] 1040/16 1089/2
ranges [1] 1118/11
ranked [1] 1067/6
rape [5] 986/7 1101/25 1102/13
1102/15 1102/19
raped [1] 1103/2
rare [1] 1038/21
rated [1] 1069/4
rather [5] 997/1 1004/21 1232/4
1240/15 1242/1
rating [2] 1067/11 1068/3
RDR [2] 968/20 1247/14
re [1] 1194/19
re-calling [1] 1194/19
reach [2] 1026/16 1210/8
reached [3] 1022/7 1026/11 1192/7
react [1] 1053/4
reaction [1] 1203/19
read [60] 990/5 1024/11 1026/13 1036/3 1040/13 1055/16 1055/19 1055/22 1056/10 1060/25 1061/9 1061/12 1061/15 1061/17 1061/22 1085/3 1085/5 1085/9 1085/10 1085/12 1086/5 1086/9 1092/11 1105/17 1106/8 1106/9 1106/15 1115/11 1123/14 1124/9 1138/18 1151/3 1151/4 1151/4 1151/5 1151/18 1162/24 1168/22 1176/11 1176/16 1176/22 1200/2 1200/7 1200/21 1201/2 1202/4 1203/18 1204/25 1205/1 1205/2 1205/3 1205/6 1205/6 1213/4 1213/9 1214/19 1218/11 1219/20 1224/10 1233/9
reading [6] 990/6 998/6 1055/23 1115/9 1133/23 1170/6
ready [3] 1033/18 1034/5 1034/6
real [3] 1004/6 1007/12 1238/10
realize [4] 977/15 1111/8 1139/5 1143/23
realized [3] 1110/24 1111/11 1231/4 really [27] 972/10 974/22 985/11 992/10 992/25 1011/1 1075/19 1098/24 1106/11 1107/8 1108/13 1127/16 1145/1 1149/12 1165/4 1165/19 1168/6 1178/19 1182/22 1189/3 1189/24 1190/3 1190/9 1190/9 1230/22 1232/12 1234/1
reask [6] 980/13 1093/5 1123/15 1171/17 1175/13 1208/24
reason [9] 1111/18 1131/25 1140/3 1170/18 1181/20 1188/17 1192/11 1232/15 1238/14
reasoning [1] 1140/1
reassigned [3] 1078/16 1079/25 1149/9
reassignment [1] 1187/3
rebuttal [3] 1234/9 1234/9 1234/17
recall [93] 998/6 998/7 998/21 1024/20
1024/21 1024/23 1032/25 1035/24 1036/1 1037/17 1040/4 1046/5 1046/11 1046/14 1047/12 1047/13 1048/3 1048/10 1049/5 1049/8 1053/12 1053/14 1053/17 1054/19 1054/24 1055/4 1057/21 1057/22 1060/10 1062/1 1062/3 1062/5 1070/16 1070/21 1071/18 1072/6 1073/2 1073/13 1073/17 1074/14 1075/13 1076/5 1076/6 1081/23 1084/13 1084/15 1093/7 1093/25 1099/7 1099/8 1099/16 1099/17 1101/15 1102/17 1103/8 1104/2 1104/7 1106/11 1107/18 1107/19 1108/4 1109/10 1109/20 1117/12 1120/18 1122/7 1131/19 1137/5 1143/21 1153/6 1156/19 1159/23 1163/15 1164/18 1168/19 1176/1 1176/15 1177/8 1177/10 1177/11 1179/11 1190/19 1190/24 1192/10 1192/17 1197/23 1198/18 1199/20 1201/25 1206/4 1209/4 1211/8 1229/3 recalling [1] 1171/5
recalls [1] 1062/1
receive [3] 1011/3 1115/7 1187/25
received [27] 989/16 990/3 990/10

1042/12 1044/21 1060/8 1067/2
1067/2 1079/5 1113/8 1115/6 1116/4
1123/24 1133/2 1145/12 1146/17
1148/5 1161/9 1175/24 1199/24
1202/11 1202/11 1202/12 1202/16
1202/17 1202/17 1212/25
receives [1] 1054/6
receiving [1] 988/17
recently [2] 988/13 1148/7
receptive [2] 983/24 984/12
recess [7] 1014/14 1018/15 1018/18 1129/10 1136/17 1212/5 1212/6
recessed [1] 1229/8
recognition [1] 1031/3
recognize [3] 1040/10 1122/23 1236/16
recollect [1] 1157/25
recollection [8] 1015/5 1036/24
1046/12 1047/5 1099/18 1106/5
1144/16 1167/17
recommendation [14] 1197/17 1197/19 1197/24 1197/25 1211/17 1214/8 1217/3 1217/5 1217/21 1217/22 1219/1 1221/16 1225/4 1225/19
reconsider [1] 1027/1
reconvene [1] 1230/7
record [28] 970/9 970/10 970/11 1012/2 1018/19 1018/24 1020/11 1021/14 1021/25 1022/19 1110/15 1115/8 1134/6 1134/9 1134/10 1174/9 1212/10 1216/10 1219/21 1221/23 1221/24 1224/10 1230/23 1238/13 1239/16 1239/16 1244/17 1247/9 recorded [4] 988/6 1122/3 1134/15 1160/7
recording [8] 987/25 1040/3 1040/7 1040/21 1040/22 1041/1 1121/19 1135/3
recordings [1] 1144/3
records [2] 1195/25 1198/25
recross [5] 969/7 1013/19 1013/21 1029/2 1051/2
Recross-Examination [2] 969/7 1013/21
recruits [1] 976/19
rectify [2] 1018/2 1244/3
redacted [19] 1113/15 1114/22
1212/20 1212/23 1213/5 1214/20 1214/22 1215/1 1215/2 1215/3 1215/4 1217/12 1219/11 1219/12 1223/18 1223/19 1224/5 1224/10 1226/3
redaction [5] 1213/6 1215/16 1222/6 1224/19 1225/16
redactions [5] 1212/17 1221/9 1222/20 1222/23 1225/16
redirect [14] 969/6 969/11 969/15 969/19 1010/8 1010/10 1029/1 1050/13 1050/16 1088/13 1088/15 1089/14 1171/6 1171/8
refer [2] 1060/19 1195/13
reference [10] 1021/15 1116/16 1117/4 1190/15 1198/1 1198/3 1211/18 1211/19 1211/20 1218/21 referenced [3] 1106/11 1108/18 1178/17
referred [8] 1060/13 1083/14 1093/15

R
referred... [5] 1111/6 1119/14 1120/2 1195/1 1199/10
referring [8] 1069/8 1094/8 1129/1 1139/17 1147/10 1158/21 1165/11 1224/9
reflect [1] 1130/6
refresh [9] 1015/5 1036/19 1037/5
1055/23 1084/1 1150/24 1177/9 1177/22 1187/2
refreshed [3] 1049/4 1106/17 1176/13 refreshing [1] 1027/2
refused [1] 1043/11
regard [5] 1058/13 1082/11 1082/22
1133/12 1134/17
regarding [16] 974/23 983/13 990/3 990/10 990/22 1008/24 1009/8 1009/11 1046/23 1110/2 1134/19 1134/24 1147/23 1165/23 1165/24 1207/3
regardless [2] 1027/25 1094/14
regards [1] 1011/4
regional [1] 1031/10
Register [1] 1009/12
Register-Guard [1] 1009/12
registration [1] 988/5
regular [1] 1119/6
regularly [2] 1050/1 1162/12
regurgitation [1] 1234/10
rehashing [1] 1234/19
rehired [1] 1039/8
reinstated [4] 1116/7 1126/25 1127/1 \(1127 / 4\)
reinstatement [3] 1127/3 1131/5 1220/11
relate [1] 996/10
related [2] 1141/12 1191/3
relates [1] 1113/2
relating [2] 985/23 1099/20
relation [13] 988/9 989/9 989/24
1014/6 1040/3 1041/1 1106/10
1138/12 1138/13 1142/14 1146/10 1179/1 1241/25
relationship [8] 981/25 982/3 982/5
1004/9 1095/14 1098/22 1238/19 1242/10
relationships [1] 1068/10
relatively [1] 977/6
relaying [1] 1218/5
release [2] 1043/12 1132/14
relevance [4] 982/21 1039/9 1114/14 1114/15
relevant [11] 996/25 1107/8 1199/18
1200/13 1200/24 1201/1 1201/4
1215/7 1216/15 1222/17 1238/10
reluctant [1] 1243/2
remain [1] \(1212 / 7\)
remedy [2] 1023/12 1023/13
remedy at [1] 1023/12
remember [121] 974/20 976/10 988/17 988/21 988/21 993/4 1005/6 1012/11 1012/14 1012/19 1031/14 1032/23 1033/20 1034/21 1035/7 1036/25 1037/24 1038/12 1040/2 1045/23 1048/23 1049/13 1055/3 1056/14 1056/22 1076/22 1079/16 1093/15 1094/24 1095/1 1095/2 1098/10

1099/10 1099/19 1099/20 1100/12 1100/15 1100/17 1100/23 1100/24 1100/24 1101/20 1101/21 1102/5 1102/13 1102/15 1102/19 1102/20 1102/24 1103/2 1103/5 1103/9 1103/14 1103/17 1103/24 1104/4 1104/11 1104/13 1106/3 1106/6 1107/16 1107/23 1108/2 1108/2 1108/20 1115/9 1115/11 1116/22 1117/22 1121/6 1131/12 1131/20 1132/3 1132/3 1132/5 1135/15 1137/1 1138/23 1141/15 1141/18 1141/23 1148/19 1149/21 1150/1 1150/13 1150/16 1150/18 1150/21 1151/8 1151/25 1152/15 1152/23 1155/25 1156/1 1156/21 1160/23 1163/25 1164/3 1164/5 1164/7 1164/22 1164/25 1165/22 1165/25 1166/1 1166/6 1166/25 1167/11 1177/22 1191/5 1191/22 1192/12 1198/12 1201/10 1204/19 1222/3 1222/4 1224/14 1226/5 1231/1 1239/15
reopen [1] 1227/2
repeat [4] 1014/7 1189/15 1193/6 1225/14
rephrase [2] 1079/7 1193/11
replace [1] 988/15
replaced [2] 1146/24 1147/2
report [35] 973/6 973/6 986/8 988/19
988/22 1071/19 1072/1 1072/4 1073/3
1077/8 1077/15 1110/2 1112/13 1116/22 1116/23 1116/25 1162/17 1165/24 1166/4 1166/15 1166/20 1166/24 1171/22 1172/1 1181/3 1181/4 1181/7 1188/5 1188/6 1188/10 1200/24 1209/5 1209/9 1209/11 1211/1
reported [1] 1208/4
reporter [7] 968/20 990/6 1205/4
1244/9 1244/18 1246/3 1247/15
reporting [4] 1065/1 1166/10 1188/9 1189/25
reports [6] 987/4 1007/21 1043/4 1067/14 1069/25 1165/6
represent [2] 1044/25 1063/5
representing [1] 1232/24
reprimand [19] 1059/7 1115/19
1121/4 1130/20 1143/3 1149/20
1150/4 1150/9 1150/15 1150/25
1151/7 1151/21 1151/22 1152/5
1153/20 1154/6 1155/2 1155/5 1156/9
Republicans [1] 1087/14
reputation [4] 972/4 972/11 1201/5 1201/19
request [6] 1022/20 1023/10 1146/10 1146/21 1147/15 1166/23
requested [7] 1027/11 1043/7 1043/9 1143/21 1147/7 1147/8 1147/13
requesting [2] 1022/24 1023/13 requests [2] 1163/25 1211/15 require [4] 976/24 984/21 1040/20 1188/9
required [3] 992/10 993/3 1126/3 requires [1] 987/25
research [2] 1216/18 1223/13
reserve [34] 971/10 971/13 971/14 972/11 973/15 974/7 976/25 977/14

978/3 978/6 978/9 979/10 979/18 987/15 990/4 990/11 990/12 990/25 992/24 1000/3 1000/12 1003/2 1004/7 1004/20 1011/23 1013/2 1037/11 1037/11 1042/2 1044/25 1046/24 1048/15 1049/2 1050/7
reserves [21] 975/15 976/18 977/16 977/24 983/8 987/15 995/9 995/14 1000/13 1002/10 1002/15 1003/5 1008/3 1008/8 1008/11 1033/9 1045/16 1046/19 1048/12 1048/18 1048/19
residents [1] 993/18
resign [6] 1034/19 1035/9 1035/12 1045/24 1046/7 1047/15
resigned [7] 992/23 997/25 998/9 1034/20 1034/22 1035/15 1045/22
resigning [3] 1035/7 1046/10 1047/11
resolution [4] 1025/17 1026/11 1026/16 1227/17
resolve [4] 1197/7 1227/15 1244/10 1244/13
resolved [1] 1028/17
resources [1] 1152/19
respect [1] 972/16
respected [4] 1115/17 1204/23 1213/19 1224/21
respond [20] 979/20 979/24 989/1 992/7 993/25 1001/22 1002/22 1002/23 1003/22 1004/4 1007/8 1018/14 1022/7 1073/5 1089/5 1128/2 1128/15 1128/17 1167/1 1205/25
responded [10] 990/21 1010/2 1011/6 1115/16 1163/20 1204/22 1205/9 1205/10 1213/19 1224/20
responding [3] 1009/2 1225/12 1225/19
responds [4] 1067/13 1069/23 1117/14 1205/18
response [24] 989/8 1009/4 1021/25 1022/5 1055/2 1058/16 1059/18 1073/9 1073/11 1081/23 1117/16
1146/18 1146/21 1202/2 1205/19 1206/1 1206/2 1206/3 1214/15
1220/13 1225/3 1226/5 1226/10 1226/10
responses [1] 971/5
responsibilities [3] 985/10 1082/22 1082/25
responsibility [2] 1028/15 1126/14 responsive [1] 1078/15
rest [8] 1027/15 1027/23 1085/5
1096/3 1201/2 1226/14 1244/8 1246/6
restart [1] 1067/9
resting [1] 1226/7
restroom [1] 1173/18
result [3] 1023/21 1024/15 1220/8
retaliated [1] 1106/1
retaliation [8] 1044/5 1111/20 1112/2 1112/3 1118/6 1149/7 1149/18 1149/22
retestifies [1] 1234/22
retired [1] 985/15
retrain [2] 1160/19 1163/7
retraining [3] 1160/12 1161/12 1163/14
return [4] 1043/15 1090/10 1090/16
return... [1] 1090/21
returned [2] 1127/3 1127/10
reveal [4] 995/19 1014/2 1014/9
1016/16
revealed [1] 1016/15
reverse [1] 1026/7
review [19] 987/3 994/13 1037/3
1128/17 1137/17 1149/12 1153/11
1153/23 1157/20 1159/14 1162/18
1163/3 1171/1 1183/5 1183/11
1200/24 1209/19 1211/9 1211/11
reviewed [16] 974/24 1007/20 1007/23
1009/4 1011/6 1118/24 1148/6 1157/2
1165/4 1183/17 1183/19 1184/1
1209/25 1210/1 1211/2 1211/6
reviewing [4] 987/1 1050/19 1160/1
1170/2
rich [1] 1243/13
ride [3] 976/19 1012/5 1098/25
rides [1] 1076/9
rifle [1] 1031/8
right [263]
rights [1] 1131/5
risk [1] 1088/2
RMR [2] 968/20 1247/14
road [3] 1013/3 1033/20 1034/4
rock [1] 1020/3
rock-throwing [1] 1020/3
Rod [3] 973/24 999/24 1000/1
Rodney [2] 1109/13 1109/14 role [4] 1031/11 1060/5 1082/9
1148/13
roles [1] 1052/8
room [6] 968/21 972/17 972/19 981/3
1025/14 1035/8
ropes [1] 1031/2
rough [5] 984/17 1060/1 1063/3
1063/11 1063/13
roughly [2] 992/17 993/24
routine [1] 1032/6
Routinely [1] 1033/10
Rudnick [1] 968/8
ruin [1] 1087/14
rule [2] 1048/17 1216/18
ruled [3] 1021/16 1023/24 1238/5
rules [4] 1017/22 1068/12 1070/8
1185/13
ruling [19] 1015/6 1015/7 1015/9
1015/23 1016/1 1017/6 1017/21
1018/4 1026/7 1213/6 1214/22
1215/16 1219/7 1222/3 1222/5
1222/23 1225/15 1238/6 1238/23
rulings [1] 1026/25
rumor [2] 1081/12 1116/9
run [3] 1012/2 1013/9 1224/18
running [3] 1095/15 1168/2 1175/10
runs [1] 1011/24
rushed [1] 1176/12
S
Sacramento [1] 1097/10
safe [1] 1005/13
safety [37] 976/1 976/22 977/2 990/21
1005/6 1010/19 1052/7 1052/16
1053/23 1054/3 1054/5 1054/11
1054/13 1058/6 1059/18 1068/10

1068/12 1070/6 1070/8 1076/10 1076/11 1076/12 1079/25 1080/2 1080/6 1082/10 1153/24 1154/24 1156/6 1166/15 1166/19 1175/5 1181/25 1182/2 1182/19 1184/5 1187/24
said [111] 985/8 985/20 999/18 1000/14 1002/17 1002/18 1007/20 1014/10 1022/5 1028/22 1034/24 1035/9 1044/20 1047/10 1047/14 1049/4 1053/12 1056/12 1056/14 1057/18 1059/12 1059/17 1059/20 1070/23 1071/10 1071/20 1071/21 1072/7 1076/7 1076/22 1081/14 1082/6 1087/25 1092/22 1093/8 1094/7 1094/17 1099/6 1100/11 1101/13 1101/14 1102/3 1102/4 1102/7 1102/13 1105/2 1105/6 1105/7 1106/18 1107/20 1109/17 1111/7 1112/1 1112/13 1115/15 1115/21 1117/2 1117/7 1117/15 1118/14 1121/1 1131/10 1131/25 1132/5 1132/6 1133/8 1133/13 1136/1 \(1137 / 4\) 1138/2 1140/6 1140/12 1140/20 1142/5 1144/19 1149/14 1149/22 1155/6 1155/12 1164/12 1164/13 1164/15 1166/7 1166/7 1167/2 1167/5 1167/18 1170/23 1171/10 1172/20 1178/20 1184/17 1186/14 1186/20 1190/2 1190/3 1190/8 1190/17
1192/21 1203/14 1206/2 1210/22 1213/21 1218/17 1223/11 1224/19 1225/4 1239/20 1239/25 1239/25 1239/25
said/she [1] 1239/25
SAIF [3] 1000/5 1000/6 1000/8
salaried [1] 1011/11
Salsbury [4] 1046/16 1046/22 1047/3 1047/7
same [32] 972/6 974/7 978/5 994/7
1010/16 1011/13 1012/9 1045/20
1049/18 1069/1 1083/23 1084/9
1090/22 1108/23 1132/11 1144/19
1149/19 1150/9 1155/21 1155/21
1156/4 1187/19 1195/22 1196/19
1208/22 1222/18 1233/2 1233/5
1233/14 1234/11 1236/24 1240/6
San [2] 1030/17 1174/23
sanction [1] 1162/14
sanctions [1] 1214/9
sat [1] 1086/24
satisfaction [1] 1061/1
satisfied [1] 1129/16
saturations [1] 1012/24
save [1] 1195/12
saw [17] 987/5 1034/10 1050/4 1057/24 1069/19 1097/21 1097/22 1097/23 1104/13 1104/19 1105/3 1106/20 1111/5 1139/20 1156/13 1157/8 1222/20
say [80] 978/25 986/6 994/3 997/5 997/23 999/16 999/25 1001/18 1004/5 1013/7 1018/6 1022/11 1024/10 1025/22 1026/14 1042/9 1045/10 1052/13 1052/24 1056/7 1058/6 1059/1 1063/9 1064/3 1066/7 1067/10 1069/21 1071/4 1071/7 1072/3

1073/25 1074/8 1077/8 1078/7 1083/3 1087/13 1091/4 1091/4 1092/25
1093/10 1093/11 1100/7 1103/23
1106/10 1107/22 1109/13 1117/4
1117/5 1117/7 1118/16 1120/1
1122/16 1124/7 1137/8 1140/1 1144/9
1144/14 1151/2 1153/22 1157/15
1167/6 1183/2 1185/1 1190/11
1191/13 1193/3 1193/7 1197/8
1198/10 1200/12 1203/20 1205/21
1208/2 1218/4 1233/16 1240/6
1241/18 1242/6 1242/9 1243/21
say that [1] \(1117 / 4\)
saying [24] 1001/23 1093/25 1099/17
1101/21 1104/7 1108/2 1118/13
1131/19 1144/20 1149/21 1152/3
1152/15 1152/16 1152/19 1154/12
1163/17 1164/18 1172/4 1172/12
1190/24 1203/13 1208/3 1218/2 1218/15
says [32] 1063/3 1064/1 1096/15 1101/7 1105/12 1105/13 1106/24 1114/25 1116/2 1117/15 1117/15 1118/5 1118/6 1123/4 1126/6 1126/11 1129/1 1131/1 1131/4 1134/4 1134/5 1135/1 1148/3 1179/22 1203/9 1203/19 1212/21 1215/11 1215/18 1218/25 1227/7 1241/4
scale [1] 1138/25
scaring [1] 986/22
scene [2] 979/21 1074/20
schedule [6] 978/21 1001/16 1001/20
1229/19 1229/20 1235/8
scheduled [1] 987/18
schedules [1] 984/20
school [7] 971/8 986/20 986/22
1031/10 1049/21 1158/5 1159/6
scope [1] 1240/12
score [1] 1067/21
scored [1] 1144/24
scores [1] 1148/9
SCOTT [5] 967/8 1051/14 1051/23
1165/21 1166/3
screen [4] 1084/20 1085/11 1087/2 1219/17
screens [1] 1085/16
search [25] 973/2 977/2 992/15 992/16 1006/20 1033/15 1037/18 1037/18 1037/21 1037/24 1038/3 1049/16 1049/19 1132/10 1139/24
1140/5 1140/17 1146/7 1146/10 1146/22 1147/6 1147/10 1147/15 1148/1 1173/1
searched [1] 1173/1
searching [2] 1138/12 1138/13
seat [3] 1018/21 1028/12 1230/21
seated [10] 970/18 1025/13 1029/22 1029/23 1091/20 1091/21 1091/23
1174/5 1174/6 1228/19
second [27] 968/4 1037/3 1057/13
1062/20 1064/9 1065/2 1069/10 1080/9 1092/4 1161/11 1163/23
1176/4 1190/3 1190/15 1190/16 1194/18 1200/17 1200/19 1200/19 1207/20 1219/22 1223/15 1224/20 1225/1 1225/20 1233/25 1243/15
seconds [1] 1202/19

sides [2] 1090/16 1090/21
sign [6] 974/2 1048/6 1149/2 1155/15 1185/9 1198/6
signature [4] 1247/11 1247/11
1247/12 1247/15
signatures [3] 1035/24 1066/19 1145/16
signed [16] 991/4 1036/9 1037/19
1037/21 1047/22 1065/4 1065/5
1066/3 1066/16 1066/18 1066/20
1145/15 1155/17 1197/19 1198/5
1247/12
signing [3] 1036/1 1048/8 1247/8
signs [2] 991/1 1066/1
silence [2] 1038/18 1038/24
silly [1] 1021/4
similar [4] 1011/17 1035/9 1134/9
1157/10
similarity [1] 986/3
simplify [1] 1088/22
simply [8] 1024/10 1133/20 1134/1 1188/17 1215/15 1215/17 1226/9 1243/4
since [17] 972/10 1007/3 1030/18
1030/19 1030/20 1043/18 1044/19 1110/8 1111/8 1117/25 1126/3 1137/7 1158/13 1184/10 1200/18 1200/22
1241/5
sincere [1] 1074/9
sincerely [3] 1022/1 1022/4 1230/21
single [4] 972/19 972/24 1144/25 1157/23
sir [72] 971/3 981/20 989/6 993/8 1003/18 1010/7 1013/18 1014/21 1029/14 1029/21 1029/23 1030/2
1042/18 1044/8 1050/11 1051/7
1055/10 1060/19 1064/23 1066/2
1068/13 1088/11 1089/16 1091/19
1091/21 1091/23 1092/11 1098/6
1173/11 1175/11 1176/1 1176/22
1177/6 1178/1 1178/7 1178/9 1178/11
1179/13 1180/11 1181/19 1183/15
1183/22 1184/24 1185/7 1187/7
1188/9 1189/3 1190/19 1191/2
1191/21 1191/23 1193/10 1196/16
1196/21 1196/25 1197/15 1198/19
1199/2 1199/9 1199/12 1201/11
1203/22 1204/13 1206/15 1206/17
1206/20 1207/19 1208/1 1208/20
1209/12 1210/12 1210/20
sit [5] 1015/3 1016/4 1061/17 1148/18 1216/11
sites [1] 1083/2
sitting [6] 1009/10 1031/22 1049/14
1076/16 1090/8 1226/3
situation [13] 981/2 991/24 992/7
1005/13 1005/24 1006/18 1010/14
1010/21 1027/5 1040/20 1080/22
1237/16 1243/10
situations [1] 985/19
six [8] 971/23 981/22 1012/14 1021/19
1042/25 1098/22 1144/23 1187/25
six-month [2] 1042/25 1144/23
size [1] 986/1
skills [1] 1005/6
skip [3] 1061/18 1067/8 1163/23
skipped [1] 1189/22
slow [2] 1133/22 1134/21
slower [3] 990/5 1134/22 1162/24
slowly [3] 1154/2 1204/25 1205/1
small [10] 972/1 977/22 982/12 983/7
986/3 986/4 986/21 986/23 1007/13
1010/16
smaller [2] 982/15 1013/16
smarter [1] 1033/1
Smith [1] 1037/1
smoother [2] 1090/19 1235/2
sneaking [1] 1240/7
snow [1] 1033/25
snowfall [1] 1033/21
so [305]
so I'm [1] 1235/11
sobriety [1] 1040/18
socialized [1] 1095/22
societies [1] 1100/4
solo [21] 976/15 976/17 977/12 977/13 977/18 977/24 987/15 1001/17 1001/19 1001/21 1001/24 1003/6 1012/6 1012/13 1037/10 1037/15 1048/12 1048/15 1048/19 1048/22 1048/24
solos [1] 1048/9
solve [1] 981/14
some [78] 971/11 972/4 972/11 976/12
979/9 983/11 983/13 984/24 987/14
987/18 1005/5 1010/12 1015/4
1024/22 1028/13 1033/12 1035/22 1038/25 1048/18 1049/16 1050/5 1065/25 1067/7 1067/19 1069/9 1072/21 1075/20 1078/6 1081/15 1083/3 1083/17 1098/11 1098/13 1104/13 1104/13 1105/22 1106/5 1109/17 1111/25 1115/7 1115/11 1120/25 1120/25 1129/2 1132/3 1141/4 1142/15 1142/16 1142/17 1144/12 1148/16 1157/22 1159/9 1164/20 1180/12 1185/8 1191/12 1197/2 1197/7 1197/12 1197/13 1198/17 1200/3 1201/14 1203/24 1210/7 1212/25 1213/25 1216/18 1219/23 1225/1 1231/1 1231/3 1232/6 1234/19 1234/20 1244/12 1244/13
somebody [23] 976/3 980/23 984/9
1005/22 1011/24 1065/25 1078/5 1099/20 1111/2 1129/5 1138/3 1169/13 1172/21 1198/2 1199/5 1203/18 1206/5 1206/18 1207/9 1212/23 1213/1 1213/1 1237/16
somehow [1] 1074/16
someone [21] 975/24 994/22 1006/17
1076/7 1077/7 1095/9 1096/15 1100/8 1104/11 1137/9 1149/13 1153/21 1154/18 1156/19 1173/2 1177/13 1178/17 1188/17 1206/13 1211/24 1226/9
someone's [4] 1102/20 1102/24 1103/1 1108/25
something [53] 994/15 1004/21 1017/14 1017/20 1023/18 1025/15 1034/6 1035/9 1036/25 1039/2 1039/23 1041/13 1041/22 1045/12 1045/13 1047/4 1047/14 1050/4 1052/23 1054/8 1066/8 1069/10

1077/3 1078/10 1085/15 1087/13 1097/21 1099/16 1107/20 1107/20 1107/24 1110/24 1116/19 1117/2 1120/2 1125/25 1133/15 1137/5 1149/15 1156/20 1164/13 1167/4 1172/22 1183/3 1185/5 1198/7 1198/25 1201/14 1201/15 1210/24 1215/17 1225/7 1239/14
sometime [12] 1031/15 1053/25 1104/15 1104/16 1107/17 1118/1 1147/5 1159/25 1161/15 1162/3 1163/16 1232/14
sometimes [19] 978/12 979/10 979/11 985/12 985/12 992/11 992/12 1003/22 1004/3 1006/14 1007/10 1007/10 1013/4 1080/16 1092/23 1096/12 1096/13 1142/4 1243/10
somewhat [3] 988/21 1242/24 1243/7 somewhere [5] 1103/5 1116/8 1141/24 1151/2 1153/7
soon [1] 1240/6
sorry [41] 999/25 1002/20 1006/9
1016/2 1016/7 1022/12 1055/11
1061/5 1062/13 1064/16 1064/20
1066/10 1069/12 1069/15 1070/18
1072/24 1080/9 1081/25 1084/22
1085/15 1086/1 1086/18 1087/10
1094/2 1133/22 1180/18 1180/20 1181/16 1183/12 1185/23 1189/17 1190/15 1197/8 1201/2 1204/24 1205/5 1206/8 1206/9 1208/21 1232/23 1235/6
sort [15] 988/10 1006/17 1026/9 1028/16 1031/1 1032/22 1038/5 1038/24 1038/25 1048/22 1049/16 1054/8 1165/7 1176/23 1237/24
sound [1] 1048/18
sounded [2] 1218/8 1218/13
sounds [1] 1178/7
sources [1] 1093/21
south [3] 980/4 1013/12 1013/14 southbound [2] 1013/8 1013/11
space [1] 1064/4
speak [16] 974/3 974/18 996/20 997/1 1009/7 1014/15 1017/1 1025/21 1031/2 1038/22 1046/7 1141/3 1176/1 1221/23 1226/13 1238/23
speaking [3] 1074/8 1105/25 1226/19 specialized [1] 1030/22
specific [7] 1001/12 1008/7 1045/4 1070/13 1138/11 1144/11 1209/8
specifically [7] 1040/4 1046/11 1048/3 1048/24 1093/24 1106/18 1198/19 specifics [2] 1046/14 1184/15 speculate [1] 1225/11 speculating [2] 1217/25 1223/14 speculation [2] 1223/21 1224/18 speculatively [1] 1213/3
speech [15] 1053/1 1053/2 1053/14 1053/18 1053/24 1054/17 1054/21 1056/5 1056/17 1104/8 1105/20 1105/22 1106/4 1106/7 1106/9
speeches [1] 1053/19
spell [4] 970/22 1030/2 1051/22 1091/24
spelling [1] 1087/11
Spencer [13] 1057/13 1057/16

Spencer... [11] 1057/20 1058/13 1084/12 1088/17 1088/19 1150/3 1150/5 1152/2 1152/14 1170/16 1181/24
spend [3] 975/15 1026/21 1027/14
spending [3] 1027/19 1027/23 1039/17
spent [3] 1062/8 1118/8 1160/1 spoke [10] 974/20 995/12 1009/19 1045/15 1111/14 1120/15 1178/18 1191/9 1191/12 1208/23
spouse [1] 1238/22
spouses [1] 1243/11
spring [1] 1126/25
Springfield [2] 981/11 1013/12
square [3] 986/13 993/22 993/23
stack [3] 1128/9 1128/13 1172/18
Stadium [1] 984/25
staff [9] 992/4 1052/24 1079/24
1092/5 1113/4 1115/24 1188/24
1194/11 1201/20
stagger [1] 978/18
staging [1] 983/1
stand [17] 984/5 1023/6 1039/5
1051/11 1051/13 1056/16 1060/23 1091/11 1136/21 1166/1 1166/2 1173/16 1212/15 1226/9 1239/12 1243/11 1244/4
standard [3] 974/5 1014/1 1028/1 standardized [3] 974/11 1067/14 1069/24
standardizing [1] 1189/24
standards [8] 976/22 1125/5 1126/7
1126/11 1141/7 1141/16 1156/25 1173/4
standing [2] 1099/17 1166/1
stapled [1] 1063/2
start [24] 990/8 1022/25 1027/16
1027/23 1049/13 1052/3 1053/1
1086/13 1092/16 1128/4 1133/23
1134/21 1157/10 1158/16 1161/12
1173/19 1173/21 1228/16 1229/15
1229/25 1232/3 1238/24 1243/16
1245/14
started [13] 971/13 1022/3 1052/20
1052/24 1073/18 1097/4 1098/19
1103/22 1107/20 1157/6 1158/8 1162/10 1172/1
starting [5] 1086/6 1086/9 1113/15 1133/17 1200/18
starts [2] 1060/20 1180/10
state [22] 970/21 976/23 978/7 986/17
994/6 994/8 995/23 995/25 1030/1 1035/3 1051/21 1068/12 1070/8 1091/23 1101/5 1174/9 1190/17 1216/10 1216/18 1230/23 1237/11 1237/14
stated [3] 1127/3 1130/19 1165/10
statement [24] 1025/8 1042/9 1047/10
1047/12 1058/24 1059/17 1104/6 1131/8 1131/10 1138/14 1140/2
1140/22 1140/23 1164/20 1169/24
1170/4 1170/7 1170/11 1172/4 1172/6
1172/17 1219/2 1221/14 1239/19
statements [8] 1026/3 1071/6 1087/6
1087/9 1153/9 1154/18 1154/23

1243/25
states [5] 967/1 967/16 968/20 971/20 1028/8
station [6] 978/2 981/1 1010/17
1034/21 1074/21 1147/1
stationary [1] 1031/23
status [7] 976/17 977/18 978/9 991/18 1012/13 1068/8 1070/5
stenographic [1] 1247/9
step [13] 970/11 982/6 1089/16
1125/16 1126/4 1127/12 1144/17 1167/12 1173/11 1212/8 1212/12 1216/3 1229/5
steps [5] 1025/17 1026/7 1029/23
1091/21 1125/19
steward [1] 1159/17
still [21] 971/23 1002/7 1004/4
1008/18 1010/23 1026/17 1059/14
1065/15 1065/23 1074/21 1084/18
1095/21 1113/21 1128/25 1175/16
1179/18 1193/10 1199/14 1207/15
1241/20 1245/7
sting [1] 1238/3
stipulate [1] 981/15
stipulation [1] 1025/3
stipulations [1] 1222/25
stole [1] 986/12
stood [3] 1075/9 1099/3 1180/20
stop [26] 972/21 972/23 972/24 973/1
984/4 986/25 987/3 987/3 988/4 994/5
1012/25 1013/4 1013/7 1024/2
1024/19 1031/17 1041/22 1047/8
1049/13 1050/4 1135/3 1158/6
1158/20 1158/20 1158/22 1194/25
stopped [5] 973/2 1043/13 1086/8
1145/3 1227/2
stops [7] 972/21 985/9 987/1 1001/25
1040/17 1159/2 1184/5
story [1] 1135/16
straight [5] 979/21 979/24 1002/23
1057/20 1107/5
strain [1] 1240/10
street [2] 972/25 1008/12
stress [2] 1241/16 1242/17
stressful [2] 1242/4 1242/5
stressors [1] 1242/21
stretch [3] 1050/23 1060/24 1212/5
stretched [1] 1049/9
stricken [1] 1126/23
strike [6] 1029/1 1074/14 1126/20
1133/23 1221/18 1243/14
strip [6] 1082/11 1082/12 1082/14
1082/16 1082/17 1083/2
structured [1] 1031/2
student [8] 1020/4 1023/20 1024/15
1074/9 1156/18 1159/5 1159/9 1179/10
stuff [17] 977/3 983/3 985/21 987/5
992/9 1007/13 1011/6 1059/22 1059/23 1098/13 1106/12 1128/13 1148/18 1149/11 1154/20 1208/19 1241/9
subject [6] 983/19 1014/19 1072/10 1113/18 1210/7 1220/3
subjects [1] 1070/9
submission [5] 1118/9 1130/17
1139/25 1190/12 1190/21
submit [4] 1112/13 1121/11 1169/6 1190/12
submitted [9] 1011/4 1126/5 1126/9 1137/1 1154/20 1201/22 1208/15 1209/20 1214/18
submitting [2] 1215/12 1217/4 subpoena [4] 1236/10 1236/12 1236/13 1237/12
subpoenaed [2] 1237/1 1237/2
subservient [1] 1221/14
substance [1] 1072/7
successfully [1] 990/13
such [6] 1014/14 1032/14 1048/23
1094/7 1094/20 1168/5
suddenly [3] 997/24 998/8 998/13
sue [1] 1021/17
sued [5] 999/1 999/3 1022/11 1025/24 1028/23
suggested [1] 1098/9
suggesting [1] 1206/6
suggests [1] 1134/7
suicide [1] 1088/5
suit [1] 1103/16
Suite [2] 968/5 968/9
summarize [2] 1204/10 1212/20
summarized [3] 1132/6 1209/5
1209/10
summarizing [1] 1209/14
summary [4] 1121/9 1209/7 1218/16 1218/17
summed [1] 1047/5
summer [4] 984/21 988/19 1093/18 1159/16
sun [1] 1084/17
superiors [1] 1039/6
supervise [3] 1049/23 1059/2 1059/4
supervised [2] 1041/11 1200/22
supervising [2] 1050/6 1098/19
supervision [8] 1001/12 1001/13
1002/3 1042/2 1068/5 1070/3 1070/12 1145/3
supervisor [16] 992/8 997/19 1010/18
1010/19 1041/15 1043/3 1057/6 1057/11 1073/19 1098/4 1103/11 1103/23 1108/7 1108/15 1138/23 1177/23
supervisors [6] 1042/8 1058/22 1068/10 1070/6 1077/17 1185/5
supervisory [8] 1141/25 1142/2
1142/6 1142/13 1143/2 1143/15 1143/18 1144/2
support [4] 1002/8 1133/9 1133/20 1134/2
supported [1] 1134/8
supportive [1] 1068/6
supposed [9] 1021/20 1096/5 1096/10 1096/17 1096/19 1108/24 1126/7 1128/20 1161/12
sure [46] 971/12 972/22 977/9 981/23 982/10 982/19 983/2 1008/9 1009/18 1010/5 1011/15 1030/16 1038/4 1038/20 1043/13 1058/7 1058/20 1064/20 1067/12 1068/4 1079/8 1086/22 1088/25 1089/23 1089/25 1106/14 1109/22 1111/2 1112/17 1138/22 1141/5 1143/11 1151/9 1153/7 1164/20 1176/18 1183/13
sure... [9] 1185/8 1185/11 1194/21 1195/16 1195/19 1208/15 1210/1 1210/6 1235/24
surf [2] 1138/20 1141/4
surfed [1] 1138/7
surfing [3] 1138/6 1139/1 1158/8
surfs [1] 1141/1
surprise [4] 1015/8 1027/4 1036/16 1235/17
surprised [3] 1043/15 1047/18 1054/24
surprises [2] 1232/10 1232/11 surveillance [1] 1156/16
suspect [3] 986/15 1122/2 1170/19
suspended [2] 1185/16 1185/20
suspension [2] 1032/14 1115/19
suspensions [1] 1032/11
Sustained [4] 1039/10 1042/23
1099/14 1102/8
SW [2] 968/4 968/21
SWAT [1] 1031/10
sweeps [1] 1091/4
Sweet [1] 973/21
swing [2] 992/14 1046/2
switch [1] 995/12
switching [1] 1070/9
sworn [7] 970/16 978/7 982/14 1029/19 1051/16 1091/17 1174/3 sympathetic [2] 1234/17 1234/19 system [7] 995/23 996/2 996/15 996/16 1108/25 1157/19 1219/4 systems [4] 996/3 1011/25 1031/9 1040/7
T
T-H-E-F-T [1] 1139/7
tactical [2] 1017/16 1234/3 tactically [1] 1021/2
take [76] 972/14 977/21 977/25 978/23 979/6 979/15 979/18 979/19 979/24 981/4 982/6 982/17 983/22 986/8 986/19 988/25 990/6 1001/3 1002/12
1002/17 1002/19 1002/23 1003/3 1003/3 1003/20 1004/1 1004/23 1004/24 1005/25 1018/15 1028/6 1048/15 1051/13 1056/8 1059/9 1059/18 1060/21 1068/22 1068/23 1082/9 1084/25 1090/5 1091/11 1103/24 1115/21 1115/25 1123/11 1130/25 1136/7 1136/11 1153/12 1155/10 1160/11 1161/22 1162/12 1164/23 1168/21 1177/16 1190/16 1199/21 1200/2 1207/20 1208/14 1212/5 1212/6 1217/14 1223/9 1223/12 1224/1 1235/18 1236/20 1238/3 1238/19 1239/12 1239/13 1243/24
take-home [1] 979/18
taken [9] 1018/18 1105/10 1132/9
1136/17 1137/19 1173/3 1181/6 1184/9 1247/9
takes [6] 978/16 979/8 1004/18 1068/19 1226/9 1243/11
taking [5] 1014/14 1022/2 1099/11 1214/14 1242/25 talk [65] 988/17 997/12 1025/2 1026/5

1031/18 1032/17 1034/18 1037/10 1037/18 1041/8 1041/9 1056/25 1057/13 1058/1 1071/2 1071/5 1074/12 1075/11 1087/12 1092/24 1093/8 1095/14 1097/4 1099/2 1099/19 1104/8 1108/10 1109/21 1119/1 1120/13 1122/18 1124/2 1124/10 1127/25 1129/2 1138/25 1141/25 1142/13 1144/23 1148/4 1148/13 1150/3 1151/20 1153/23 1155/8 1156/15 1159/14 1160/5 1164/22 1165/20 1171/2 1179/24 1180/17 1180/22 1181/8 1182/14 1198/17 1217/9 1230/1 1230/1 1231/10 1233/8 1237/6 1239/4 1243/23
talked [28] 974/21 985/8 986/25 990/19 994/15 1008/20 1010/4 1010/6 1048/9 1049/3 1058/11 1075/10 1076/23 1078/5 1083/5 1086/24 1104/3 1120/14 1135/16 1152/3 1154/23 1172/3 1178/21 1179/1 1181/1 1182/25 1229/24 1241/4 talking [20] 975/19 992/5 1009/16 1009/18 1030/11 1072/10 1082/19 1092/16 1099/10 1136/25 1152/17 1159/18 1162/13 1170/24 1170/25 1176/19 1176/19 1178/14 1194/9 1210/12
tape [2] 1121/19 1122/3
tape-recorded [1] 1122/3
tape-recording [1] 1121/19
Taser [18] 1031/9 1053/1 1053/14 1053/18 1053/19 1054/16 1054/21 1056/5 1056/17 1104/8 1105/19 1105/22 1106/6 1107/1 1107/3 1107/6 1107/7 1107/8
Tasers [11] 1057/1 1104/12 1104/18 1105/3 1105/25 1106/6 1106/10 1106/15 1106/18 1106/22 1108/1
task [2] 1117/20 1189/23
tasks [2] 1068/9 1070/5
teach [1] 1190/13
teaches [1] 973/18
teaching [1] 971/18
Teague [3] 973/24 999/24 1000/1
team [2] 979/13 1208/17
technical [1] 1033/16
technically [2] 1127/5 1179/17
technology [2] 1040/6 1153/16
teenage [3] 1102/20 1102/24 1103/1
tell [42] 971/6 971/24 975/10 976/1
982/8 1001/21 1008/6 1012/22 1025/14 1033/11 1047/11 1050/2 1053/10 1056/19 1057/6 1059/6 1064/9 1070/24 1075/18 1078/8 1078/22 1079/11 1079/23 1098/10 1111/22 1119/17 1153/15 1171/20 1184/16 1190/5 1194/9 1194/10 1194/12 1198/7 1206/1 1209/22 1224/3 1235/4 1237/7 1237/12 1245/22 1245/24
telling [8] 1046/13 1098/10 1103/25 1107/16 1108/2 1115/23 1198/11 1206/2
ten [1] 1007/10
tend [1] 1239/2
tens [1] 1116/20
Tentatively [1] 1238/8
tenuous [1] 1022/19
tenure [1] 1034/18
term [6] 1038/19 1092/17 1111/9 1111/12 1140/14 1167/24
terminals [1] 1146/25
terminate [1] 992/2
terminated [1] 1034/19
termination [10] 999/11 1081/23
1081/24 1108/17 1108/19 1108/22
1160/14 1163/18 1163/21 1241/6
terms [9] 978/4 981/25 1014/18 1019/10 1021/7 1042/5 1116/6 1138/20 1226/13
test [10] 974/9 974/10 974/11 990/13 994/15 994/23 994/25 995/15 995/25 1011/13
tested [2] 971/21 1001/2
testified [25] 970/16 998/15 1029/19 1034/12 1051/16 1072/23 1072/24 1075/23 1081/13 1082/6 1091/17 1105/21 1106/22 1142/1 1149/6 1149/17 1150/14 1167/10 1171/11 1174/3 1192/18 1193/4 1193/7 1193/12 1235/22
testifies [4] 1019/14 1021/4 1217/4 1238/2
testify [12] 991/11 1038/10 1041/9 1072/22 1092/23 1093/22 1119/3 1119/12 1124/8 1138/9 1169/11 1245/8
testifying [8] 992/1 1025/2 1036/18 1041/23 1044/5 1099/24 1176/15 1244/4
testimony [23] 996/17 1005/5 1014/18 1024/20 1028/25 1042/22 1057/14 1057/22 1075/20 1093/3 1099/13 1123/5 1135/20 1167/25 1168/5 1211/13 1214/7 1214/17 1222/25 1229/15 1234/5 1234/21 1236/22
testing [7] 974/10 990/14 990/15
1001/2 1001/3 1001/5 1011/13
testings [1] 995/6
tests [1] 1040/18
than [43] 982/13 997/2 1001/17
1002/3 1004/21 1007/6 1007/11
1009/13 1013/16 1031/5 1033/1
1033/16 1045/13 1045/17 1062/19
1068/23 1073/23 1078/11 1096/12 1097/12 1101/3 1101/8 1104/21 \(1107 / 4\) 1111/13 1118/8 1118/13 1128/7 1131/1 1131/4 1134/7 1141/13 1156/6 1160/4 1165/2 1165/14 1165/15 1165/25 1186/4 1186/4 1190/22 1215/9 1242/2
thank [60] 970/8 970/18 987/24 993/8 999/7 1010/7 1013/18 1014/21 1021/24 1022/16 1028/4 1028/25 1029/9 1029/11 1029/14 1029/14 1029/21 1029/24 1030/5 1035/10 1047/15 1050/11 1051/7 1051/8 1051/20 1062/14 1062/25 1087/2 1087/16 1088/11 1089/16 1089/17 1091/19 1091/22 1092/1 1092/7 1122/21 1123/15 1136/20 1136/23 1162/23 1168/9 1171/4 1173/17
thank... [16] 1174/12 1176/8 1176/22 1193/21 1193/25 1200/19 1212/3 1212/12 1212/14 1226/1 1228/17 1228/19 1229/5 1230/21 1237/8 1246/6
thanked [1] 987/22
thankful [1] 1221/7
that [1415]
that okay [1] 1245/9
that's [230]
theft [10] 1139/2 1139/3 1139/6 1139/6 1139/7 1191/25 1192/14 1192/16 1192/19 1192/21
their [20] 975/23 977/10 979/20 979/21 984/19 985/16 987/19 1005/22 1009/11 1017/23 1040/3 1072/21 1137/20 1141/16 1154/25 1157/14 1183/17 1206/16 1234/5 1245/3
them [94] 972/6 972/12 972/15 972/15 973/18 974/14 974/23 975/14 976/1 979/19 979/20 979/24 981/2 981/3 981/4 981/14 984/20 984/22 985/1 986/24 987/17 987/18 988/6 994/5 994/5 999/3 1004/21 1008/10 1008/12 1009/21 1021/12 1025/14 1025/15 1027/1 1028/7 1031/1 1031/6 1031/18 1033/11 1034/13 1036/17 1038/9 1038/17 1039/6 1044/1 1048/23 1050/23 1053/20 1053/20 1053/21 1059/25 1060/6 1064/16 1067/7 1076/9 1078/10 1090/13 1090/13 1090/14 1090/14 1090/15 1096/13 1103/1 1105/18 1121/22 1122/4 1138/23 1142/15 1142/19 1142/21 1142/24 1142/25 1142/25 1143/19 1155/13 1159/11 1167/16 1188/15 1197/2 1197/5 1202/5 1206/21 1206/22 1206/23 1211/15 1219/23 1233/2 1233/10 1234/5 1236/4 1236/5 1236/7 1236/7 1240/9
themselves [4] 1037/12 1048/20 1048/21 1049/2
then [90] 971/10 971/22 972/7 972/8 972/10 973/24 974/12 974/13 977/12 978/1 979/3 986/8 987/17 988/5 992/9 992/16 993/7 997/3 999/4 1001/2 1004/11 1011/1 1012/13 1013/14 1017/8 1018/10 1034/2 1035/10 1035/18 1071/10 1077/3 1087/4 1087/17 1088/22 1095/9 1096/22 1097/17 1104/9 1115/3 1116/13 1118/1 1137/21 1142/7 1142/23 1145/4 1148/22 1149/12 1152/4 1152/10 1153/12 1155/9 1155/13 1162/18 1172/20 1174/24 1175/3 1175/4 1179/2 1182/9 1191/9 1195/4 1205/17 1205/24 1205/25 1205/25 1215/14 1216/18 1216/20 1217/4 1220/12 1221/17 1223/8 1224/13 1225/1 1225/3 1229/4 1229/16 1232/11 1232/16 1233/2 1234/7 1234/22 1236/5 1236/12 1241/15 1241/17 1244/3 1245/12 1245/17 1246/2
then-Sergeant [1] 1095/9 there [250]
there's [89] 972/20 972/22 975/5 977/7 977/23 978/20 982/23 983/7 984/2 984/2 984/3 984/6 986/2 990/8 1001/21 1002/5 1002/7 1005/16 1005/20 1011/1 1012/3 1017/12 1021/1 1029/23 1031/2 1037/22 1048/18 1060/16 1061/25 1062/5 1063/17 1063/20 1066/3 1066/16 1066/18 1068/17 1069/9 1082/17 1082/21 1083/17 1083/25 1091/21 1096/12 1096/21 1104/21 1111/13 1116/19 1117/7 1117/10 1119/13 1119/15 1119/16 1120/3 1120/7 1133/20 1134/1 1135/15 1137/15 1138/22 1138/23 1140/20 1149/11 1152/2 1160/3 1161/2 1172/9 1176/3 1196/4 1198/25 1202/2 1202/13 1213/25 1216/15 1217/22 1218/1 1218/20 1219/1 1220/21 1222/17 1224/5 1224/17 1226/9 1227/7 1231/9 1232/18 1233/17 1233/19 1234/18 1243/25
there, [1] 972/2
there, they [1] 972/2
therefore [10] 1016/24 1017/3 1109/10 1222/25 1223/10 1225/9 1233/21 1238/4 1238/22 1243/4
thereof [1] 1243/7
these [45] 986/25 1002/9 1026/25 1050/19 1055/11 1060/16 1068/16 1073/18 1076/9 1099/4 1100/9 1101/25 1103/4 1116/20 1117/20 1120/14 1121/10 1121/11 1122/10 1122/14 1123/20 1125/15 1125/17 1128/5 1130/8 1130/11 1134/10 1134/17 1137/3 1137/9 1142/6 1143/1 1143/15 1143/18 1144/15 1152/5 1153/10 1167/3 1195/15 1196/24 1222/20 1222/23 1225/15 1232/18 1237/23

\section*{they [146]}
they'Il [1] 1038/5
they're [33] 975/11 975/22 976/20 976/24 977/9 977/10 977/11 977/16 985/15 985/16 985/16 985/17 986/8 1008/8 1008/8 1008/9 1012/9 1031/1 1031/6 1048/18 1048/21 1055/11 1076/8 1080/14 1108/24 1119/8 1129/5 1193/20 1207/14 1212/17 1224/6 1233/1 1236/5
they've [3] 1030/25 1043/22 1229/21 thick [1] 976/22
thing [16] 978/5 1047/16 1077/14 1083/23 1085/22 1092/25 1119/13 1165/7 1190/17 1231/18 1233/5 1233/14 1233/25 1237/9 1241/21 1245/17
things [48] 984/3 985/3 985/20 993/6 1006/14 1006/22 1008/9 1018/7 1028/16 1033/11 1033/19 1037/25 1059/19 1061/16 1068/16 1077/13 1081/16 1090/19 1091/3 1092/24 1094/10 1095/5 1096/6 1106/19 1108/18 1120/13 1127/25 1132/8 1135/15 1135/21 1137/17 1142/2 1142/6 1143/16 1153/10 1157/20 1166/7 1167/7 1168/13 1172/7

1178/22 1179/5 1186/17 1187/10 1187/19 1203/13 1216/25 1220/23 think [144]
thinking [2] 1231/2 1239/18
third [5] 968/21 983/9 983/9 1064/10 1084/10
this [382]
thorough [4] 1016/11 1017/4 1019/10 1019/14
thoroughly [1] 1234/22
those [49] 972/22 973/3 984/7 984/8 1006/22 1012/8 1028/17 1043/23 1043/23 1044/24 1045/16 1045/19 1049/8 1050/20 1055/16 1055/19
1060/5 1060/10 1064/13 1071/6 1078/9 1085/18 1086/21 1087/5 1087/9 1090/17 1091/3 1096/7 1099/16 1104/17 1131/16 1137/5 1137/6 1144/13 1144/18 1148/10 1158/23 1159/12 1172/6 1187/10 1189/15 1197/1 1197/16 1202/8 1203/24 1233/9 1234/7 1237/7 1242/24
though [14] 1002/6 1030/11 1084/7 1109/7 1129/1 1133/18 1133/25 1177/12 1184/11 1205/12 1205/12 1223/11 1224/15 1244/17
thought [26] 1022/10 1056/20 1079/4 1089/2 1104/17 1105/22 1106/20 1107/8 1133/13 1140/4 1140/16 1140/18 1145/1 1145/4 1152/18 1164/25 1169/12 1170/9 1179/20 1180/11 1191/14 1192/15 1198/3 1208/16 1238/8 1238/21
thoughtful [1] 1242/15
thoughtfully [2] 1224/2 1242/1 thoughts [7] 1025/19 1222/1 1222/15 1227/22 1238/1 1239/1 1240/13
thousands [2] 1103/5 1116/20
threat [2] 1059/9 1059/11
three [20] 972/6 973/16 979/11 983/10 1040/17 1058/14 1060/10 1062/5 1109/2 1115/19 1119/16 1121/1 1121/4 1133/7 1134/25 1141/23 1144/18 1155/3 1178/5 1235/21 three-day [1] 1115/19
threw [1] 1142/25
through [44] 987/5 994/6 994/16 1012/4 1012/5 1013/11 1013/13 1013/17 1033/25 1039/21 1045/6 1056/10 1069/3 1071/9 1071/14 1074/3 1074/5 1085/22 1087/4 1090/12 1102/11 1102/12 1115/14 1118/22 1130/7 1149/4 1151/11 1151/13 1151/14 1151/15 1154/16 1157/13 1157/13 1158/8 1160/5 1172/1 1186/12 1197/9 1207/20 1234/19 1238/9 1238/10 1238/21 1244/15
throughout [6] 976/23 978/7 994/8 1012/15 1046/4 1154/16
throw [3] 979/20 1094/12 1237/16
throwing [6] 1015/20 1019/3 1020/3 1020/3 1152/18 1207/9
thrusts [1] 1034/13
Thursday [5] 1115/5 1199/8 1203/25 1204/21 1220/2

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline U & 1059/23 1060/23 1062 & 1203/16 \\
\hline unfortunately [1] 1080/15 & 1085/11 1085/18 1085/21 1086/11 & 1] 1243/12 \\
\hline ungroomed [1] 1141/18 & 1085/11 1085/18 1085/21 1086/11 1086/11 1087/1 1087/2 1090/2 1093/9 & \begin{tabular}{l}
venue [1] 994/2 \\
veracity [5] 1199/18 1200/14 1201/4
\end{tabular} \\
\hline uniform [3] 979/20 1034/13 1050/9 & 1093/20 1093/21 1098/5 1098/7 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { veracity [5] } 11 \\
1201 / 6 \text { 1207/3 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline unimportant [1] 1234/14 unintentional [1] 1022/12 & 1098/17 1099/3 1099/17 1100/1 & verbal [1] 1140/11 \\
\hline unintentionally [1] 1134/12 & 1105/23 1112/14 1112/19 1112/2 & version [10] 1075/25 1076/3 1076 \\
\hline union [10] 1031/11 1042/12 1043/7 & 1113/14 & 1078/1 1078/3 1078/4 1101/11 116 \\
\hline 1044/15 1044/20 1044/25 1045/6 & 1141/13 1141/21 1141/22 1142/25 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { 1164/10 1215/9 } \\
\text { versions [1] } 1105 / 18
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1155/16 1159/17 1202/24 & 1145/18 1148/20 1148/21 1155/20 & versus [5] 979/21 1036/22 1237/24 \\
\hline UNITED [3] 967/1 967/16 968/20 & 1155/23 1155/25 1156/5 1157/17 & 1240/3 1241/14 \\
\hline & 1161/25 1162/1 1162/19 1166/8 & very [45] 975/17 975/22 975/22 977 \\
\hline 980/1 980/5 980/8 980/17 981/17 & 1182/10 1182/20 1183/3 1200/9 & 985/15 985/18 987/14 990/20 991/2 \\
\hline 984/23 990/20 992/19 995/3 998/15 & 1200/16 1204/20 1205/15 1210/2 & 992/22 995/12 1 \\
\hline 998/17 998/19 999/1 999/16 1008/25 & 1212/15 1212/15 1213/10 1213/ & 1024/13 1029/9 \\
\hline 1009/5 1009/7 1014/25 1015/17 & 1227/16 1228/1 1235/15 1236/5 & 1032/24 1062/11 1063/15 1088 \\
\hline 1016/21 1016/24 1017/15 1017/20 & 1237/3 1237/3 1239/19 1240/1 & 1088/24 1092/7 \\
\hline 1018/23 1019/6 1019/9 1019/22 & 1241/22 1242/13 1245/2 1245/7 & 1123/13 1123/14 \\
\hline 1021/18 1022/6 1022/8 1022/11 & 1245/13 & 186/20 1187/13 1199/1 \\
\hline 1022/11 1023/21 1024/16 1025/2 & update [1] & 1203/19 1206/13 1209/5 1209/9 \\
\hline 1028/22 1035/13 1036/8 1068/12 & updated [1] & 1221/13 1228/21 1229/5 \\
\hline 1070/4 1070/8 1092/17 1110/18 & updates [1] 1040/5 & 1231/24 1235/1 1238/15 1246/6 \\
\hline 1113/20 1113/23 1114/5 1120/9 & upgrade [1] 1147/ & veteran [1] 997/9 \\
\hline 1120/21 1122/14 1123/1 1125/20 & upheld [1] & via [3] 1088/5 1112/ \\
\hline 1141/12 1168/25 1175/4 1175/5 & upon [9] 1025/20 1098/14 1130/6 & vice [1] 1114/3 \\
\hline 1176/20 1214/3 1227/16 1241/6 & 1146/2 1177/16 1213/7 1219/7 & video [26] 982/17 \\
\hline 1243/14 & 1222/23 1243/25 & 1007/25 1040/16 1057/24 1059/18 \\
\hline unless [11] 1015/24 1016/2 10 & upset [7] 1035/15 1053/8 1100/1 & 1071/16 1074/6 1 \\
\hline 1079/2 1098/17 1166/4 1167/3 1221/3 & 104/2 1198/20 119 & 53/23 1155/18 1155/22 \\
\hline 1226/14 1243/3 1243/13 & upwards [1] 1115/4 & 1156/2 1156/12 1156/16 1156/16 \\
\hline unpaid [2] 1002/10 1121/4 & us [29] 971/6 975/10 97 & 1157/2 1157/8 1157/14 1157/23 \\
\hline unproductive [1] 1039/24 & 982/8 985/14 990/12 1004/4 1004/ & 1158/2 1158/5 117 \\
\hline unprofessional [1] 1034/9 & 2/22 1022/19 & videos [16] 1070/11 1154/24 11 \\
\hline unproven [1] 1017/18 & 1030/13 1033/11 1040/13 1100/25 & 57/7 1157/10 1157/12 1157/20 \\
\hline unquote [2] 1221/16 124 & 1119/17 1149/12 1153/15 1168/22 & \[
57 / 2
\] \\
\hline unredact [2] 1215/10 1215/18 &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1160 / 2 \\
& 1184 / 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline unredacted [3]
1216/20 & use [16] 973/5 973/7 973/7 974/9 & view [17] 1039/22 1057/13 1057 \\
\hline & 974/11 975/11 976/21 976/23 1054/10 & 1057/20 1058/13 1084/12 1088/17 \\
\hline unstable [1] 1012 & 1055/8 1090/25 1138/8 1167/14 & 1088/19 1088/20 1150/3 1150/5 \\
\hline until [18] 971/16 1018/7 1026/21 & 1167/20 1175/17 1232/21 & 1152/3 1152/14 1156/7 1170/16 \\
\hline 1027/24 1064/15 1073/18 1084/24 & use-of-force [1] 973/5 & 1170/23 1181 \\
\hline 1118/18 1127/10 1149/6 1158/17 & used [13] 973/20 975/15 975/20 & viewed [1] 1088/1 \\
\hline 1163/11 1184/13 1191/20 1231/12 & 995/11 1055/23 1090/24 1098/25 & violate [2] 1072/18 1173 \\
\hline 1232/3 1233/13 1234/6 & 1108/21 1131/20 1142/6 1157/20 & violated [9] 1021/21 1134/12 1138/15 \\
\hline untrue [1] 1021/19 & 1168/15 1243/3 & 1140/2 1140/6 1169/25 1172/5 \\
\hline untrustworthy [1] & uses [1] 1011 & 1172/18 1172/2 \\
\hline untruthful [8] 990/23 991/2 & using [4] 975/14 995/10 1055/1 & [1] \\
\hline 1020/20 1038/9 1153/9 1154/18 & & lating [1] \\
\hline 1154/22 & usual [1] 1195/17 usually [2] 1031/24 1045/21 & violation [5] 1011/1 1169/20 1173
1188/7 1214/14 \\
\hline untruthfulness [1] & &  \\
\hline unusual [3] 987/2 1048/25 1049/1 & V & 66/20 1214/9 \\
\hline  & vacations [1] 978/20 & violence [1] 1010/ \\
\hline 975/16 975/17 976/5 979/2 979/4 & valid [1] 1054/7 & virtually [1] 1231/2 \\
\hline 981/4 981/7 981/8 981/13 982/6 & value [2] 1019/11 1019/25 & visible [1] 1133/11 \\
\hline 982/25 983/3 984/22 985/4 986/7 & varies [3] 979/12 984/19 995/ & Vizuzi [6] 1097/14 1097/15 1097/ \\
\hline 987/16 989/20 990/22 992/14 1001/1 & variety [1] 1175/3 & 1097/21 1098/14 1098/16 \\
\hline 1002/1 1003/14 1013/11 1013/16 & various [4] 978/20 1111/3 1113/3 & Vizuzu [1] 1097/13 \\
\hline 1015/16 1015/23 1017/10 1017/15 & 1119/17 & voice [11] 1043/25 1100/23 1100/24 \\
\hline 1019/13 1019/13 1021/3 1022/2 & \[
1003 / 7 \text { 1012/19 1031/21 1031/23 }
\] & 1100/25 1101/3 1101/6 1101/7 1131/3 1159/9 1180/18 1180/19 \\
\hline 1022/18 1023/4 1023/5 1025/17 & 1031/25 1074/24 1170/19 & voiced [2] 1111/14 1111/25 \\
\hline 1028/2 1028/14 1030/17 1033/24 1034/12 1035/8 1035/15 1039/5 & vehicles [2] 980/17 1155/1 & voicemail [3] 1156/17 1156/24 1159/6 \\
\hline 1034/12 1035/8 1035/15 1039/5 & vehicular [1] 1040/17 & voicing [2] 1045/11 1045/13 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1043/13 1047/5 1056/17 1057/2 \\
1057/17 1057/20 1058/16 1059/21
\end{tabular} & venting [4] 1198/20 1199/10 1199/14 & volume [1] 1176/4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline W & why [80] 980/6 980/16 980/22 991 & witnesses [14] 969/2 1034/11 1034/11 \\
\hline where... [58] 977/18 985/19 994/2 & & \\
\hline 996/7 997/16 1002/2 1004/15 1010/16 & 998/8 998/12 1003/17 1004/25 & 1234/7 1234/14 1234/20 1235/ \\
\hline 1012/5 1012/11 1027/4 1030/13 & 10 & 1235/13 1236/3 1237/17 \\
\hline 1030/15 1040/21 1043/13 1063/17 & & woman [8] 1005/9 1005/25 1010/13 \\
\hline 1068/15 1074/14 1074/18 1074/24 & 1060/23 1076/18 1077/11 1078/16 & \\
\hline 1074/24 1074/25 1084/12 1089/2 & 1087/8 1087/25 1089/7 1094/7 1095/9 & women [5] 1034/12 1080/25 1081 \\
\hline 1089/5 1096/4 1097/7 1100/4 1101/17 & 1101/19 1123/11 1131/19 1131/21 & \[
1082 / 51167 / 21
\] \\
\hline 1102/11 1106/25 1108/13 1114/13 & 1132/15 1137/3 1137/21 1140/7 & won [1] 1116/6 \\
\hline 1153/8 1153/9 & 1149/20 1149/22 1164/12 1170/1 & won't [8] 1026/7 \\
\hline \(1157 / 4\) 1164/4 1170/3 1185/4 1191/8 & 1170/7 1178/16 1179/14 117 & 1216/25 1224/4 \\
\hline 1203/18 1210/3 1215/23 1222/5 & 1179/22 1179/23 1181/5 1181/15 & 1245/20 \\
\hline 1225/4 1229/8 1235/14 1236/18 & 1181/18 1185/19 1188/17 1190/2 & wonder [1] 12 \\
\hline 1237/18 1237/24 & 1191/14 1194/19 & wondering [4] 1095/9 110 \\
\hline whether [24] 973/2 976/24 1019/18 & 214/8 1215/4 12 & \\
\hline 1036/7 1042/15 1046/23 1048/22 & 1224/2 1225/12 1225/21 1225/24 & \[
1071 / 221107 / 121221 / 15 \text { 1240/23 }
\] \\
\hline 1050/5 1054/7 1094/14 1124/9 1130/8 & 1227/14 1235/20 1235/25 1236/3 & 1242/8 1242/11 1243/2 1243/2 \\
\hline 1169/13 1178/13 1180/5 1180/12 & \[
1236 / 7 \text { 1238/10 }
\] & wording [1] 1162/11 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1180 / 13 \text { 1184/4 1213/7 1216/19 } \\
& 1217 / 1 \text { 1224/6 1227/5 1238/3 }
\end{aligned}
\] & wife [5] 1235/21 1236/14 1238/20 & words [14] 1019/15 1022/19 1091/2 \\
\hline which [45] 974/10 976/25 977/13 & 1239/12 1239/20 & 1130/6 \\
\hline 995/12 1005/24 1007/13 1012/1 & wild [1] 1224/18 & 2/8 1223/4 1226/7 1235 \\
\hline 1013/3 1013/16 1017/5 1019/6 & will [45] 970/7 970/12 970/25 978 & 1236/21 1240/2 \\
\hline 1021/16 1021/19 1031/2 1031/3 & 984/1 991/17 991/18 1001/15 1004 & work [56] 971/19 97 \\
\hline 1033/5 1040/16 1047/21 1049/17 & 12 1016/8 1019/20 & 7/20 978/15 979/12 982/7 983 \\
\hline 1055/10 1065/14 1082/1 1085/14 & 1027/16 1027/19 1041/23 1061/21 & 986/2 987/10 992/10 992/13 99 \\
\hline 1086/1 1090/4 1096/10 1097/9 & 1090/19 1121/20 1125/14 1125/21 & 992/20 1001/16 1001/17 1002/6 \\
\hline 1131/11 1131/17 1135/7 1158/21 & 1125/22 1128/18 1150/22 1168/25 & 1003/14 1003/20 1004/1 1004/4 \\
\hline 1161/24 1172/1 1195/22 1195/24 & 1169/1 1171/4 1173/12 1173/13 & 1004/6 1004/9 1008/13 1008/16 \\
\hline 1196/18 1202/12 1204/5 1204/7 & 1176/3 1207/20 1217/13 1218/23 & 1025/7 1025/9 1033/14 1033/23 \\
\hline 1209/15 1214/18 1217/15 1222/21 & 1221/17 1224/23 1225/14 1230/2 & 1037/8 1038/2 1042/7 1046/1 1046/2 \\
\hline 1224/19 1232/4 & 1232/14 1232/21 1233/6 1233/16 & 1046/3 1053/23 1068/1 1070/7 \\
\hline while [30] 987/11 996/12 1015/14 & 1233/17 1234/25 1237/17 1245/2 & 1095/22 1096/19 1120/21 1121/2 \\
\hline 1019/9 1025/9 1032/12 1034/2 103 & Wilson [1] 981/10 & 1121/7 1127/10 1138/8 1138 \\
\hline 1034/12 1049/15 1053/7 1054/10 & win [1] 1186/16 & 1147/1 1162/10 1167/15 1186/3 \\
\hline 1095/19 1109/21 1115/16 1120/19 & windshield [1] 1031/21 & 1186/4 1189/23 1190/14 1191/6 \\
\hline 1120/22 1121/14 1123/7 1123/19 & winters [1] 1033/20 & 1242/17 1245 \\
\hline 1125/15 1129/2 1167/15 1204/23 & wiped [2] 1146/25 1148/1 & worked [28] \\
\hline 1213/19 1214/8 1216/5 1224/21 & wisdom [4] 1225/13 1227/22 1229/14 & 992/22 992/25 992/25 997/1 997/2 \\
\hline 1226/12 1237/22 & 1230/3 & 1002/1 1020/19 1036/15 1036/22 \\
\hline who [66] 972/13 973/2 987/16 990/19 & wise [3] 1229/10 1229/18 1245/2 & 7/25 1049/5 1049/11 \\
\hline 997/21 998/20 998/22 999/23 1009/6 & wisest [1] 1241/21 & 1049/15 1050/18 1095/19 1103/12 \\
\hline 1010/6 1011/19 1011/24 1017/24 & wish [3] 1222/7 1236/25 1245/15 & 3/18 \\
\hline 1031/24 1034/3 1042/21 1048/1 & withdraw [1] 980/12 & 62/6 1175/1 1190/20 1191/22 \\
\hline 1048/18 1048/19 1071/24 1077/21 & within [20] 978/10 983/19 988/2 994/1 1031/11 1039/5 1040/16 1096/2 & workers [2] 1068/10 1070/6 Workers' [1] 1000/6 \\
\hline 1078/21 1079/16 1080/17 1081/13 & 1096/5 1096/24 1108/7 1128/23 & Workers [1] 1000/6 workgroup [1] 1009/17 \\
\hline 1081/20 1082/3 1082/5 1084/1 1084/3 & 1137/16 1142/2 1150/5 1152/4 1153/7 & working [27] 984/13 984/22 985/6 \\
\hline 1096/22 1109/15 1111/8 1121/22 & 1163/7 1172/5 1172/8 & 1001/19 1001/21 1008/12 1012/15 \\
\hline 1122/25 1156/25 1158/4 1160/21 & without [13] 1015/7 1017/4 1017/23 & 1032/1 1033/3 1034/8 1049/3 1050/7 \\
\hline 1187/8 1187/20 1187/24 1188/21 & 1026/19 1037/3 1037/15 1102/7 & 1052/4 1097/19 1103/7 1107/20 \\
\hline 91/11 1191/22 1206/18 1207/15 208/4 1208/14 1209/2 1210/1 & 1165/18 1209/24 1238/2 1244/8 & 1118/8 1139/19 1146/3 1150/2 \\
\hline \[
1210 / 23 \text { 1211/2 1211/4 1211/6 }
\] & 1244/18 1247/11 & 1151/6 1155/7 1163/8 1166/14 \\
\hline 1213/15 1213/17 1214/1 1218/17 & witness [48] 970/5 970/15 970/18 & 1187/18 1191/6 1191/16 \\
\hline 1230/1 1231/12 1232/13 1233/1 & 1014/23 1019/23 1029/4 1029/12 & workmanlike [1] 1216/19 \\
\hline 1235/18 1238/21 1243/11 & 1029/18 1029/22 1051/4 1051/9 & workplace [2] 1141/1 1242/22 \\
\hline who's [9] 1037/1 1038/6 1081/5 & 1051/15 1051/18 1061/2 1061/13 & works [10] 973/23 975/10 983/3 \\
\hline 1113/18 1114/2 1114/10 1135/12 & 1079/3 1089/19 1089/20 1090/16 & 1001/18 1003/23 1004/2 1008/18 \\
\hline 1213/2 1213/16 & 1090/22 1091/9 1091/16 1091/20 & 1068/11 1080/16 1114/13 \\
\hline whoever [7] 976/5 979/17 1038/4 & 1114/17 1136/21 1169/4 1169/11 1169/12 1173/14 1173/20 1174/2 & world [4] 1026/21 1055/14 1087 \\
\hline 1096/20 1126/8 1166/13 1212/21 & 1229/1 1227/5 1180/23 & worry [1] 1195/8 \\
\hline whole [11] 983/24 994/6 1061/16 & 1231/12 1231/14 1231/22 1231/22 & worthless [1] 1070/25 \\
\hline 1159/15 1201/22 1209/9 1242/8 & 1233/6 1234/2 1235/18 1236/9 & worthy [1] 1154/19 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
whomever [1] 1224/2 \\
whose [1] 1083/21
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1237/12 1239/20 1240/25 1243/8 } \\
& 1245 / 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
would [261] \\
wouldn't [32] 975/3 996/25 998/8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline W & 1221/19 & \\
\hline wouldn't... [29] 1003/16 1032/15 & you [1594] & \\
\hline 1036/16 1041/12 1041/14 1045/5 & you all [1] 1211/21 & \\
\hline 1056/7 1071/4 1088/10 1099/18 & you'd [2] 1093/12 1207/10 you'll [1] 1130/8 & \\
\hline 1100/7 1105/22 1109/19 1126/17 & you're [86] 971/6 991/11 1001/23 & \\
\hline 1132/12 1137/24 1137/25 1140/23 & 1004/20 1007/15 1009/18 1014/19 & \\
\hline 1149/5 1153/22 1154/25 1158/12 & 1014/22 1015/7 1019/1 1020/6 1024/6 & \\
\hline 1181/18 1184/16 1188/17 & 1024/7 1026/20 1026/25 1027/21 & \\
\hline wrap [1] 1162/19 & 1030/14 1031/22 1031/23 1038/24 & \\
\hline wrestling [1] 1233/22 & 1039/24 1044/15 1050/12 1052/14 & \\
\hline write [7] 994/5 1037/24 1047/24 & 1061/12 1062/18 1064/17 1066/2 & \\
\hline 1077/15 1186/22 1198/4 1205/21 & 1069/7 1076/16 1078/13 1090/21 & \\
\hline Writes [2] 1067/13 1069/24 & 1091/13 1092/2 1096/4 1096/10 & \\
\hline writing [19] 972/3 992/15 1038/6 & 1102/8 1111/8 1119/4 1127/19 & \\
\hline 1049/16 1049/19 1081/23 1084/7 &  & \\
\hline 1084/8 1084/10 1116/17 1117/10 & 1154/5 1154/17 1155/8 1164/14 & \\
\hline 1118/22 1119/19 1120/23 1148/22 & 1172/12 1174/6 1185/8 1191/13 & \\
\hline 1153/19 1183/3 1185/11 1187/13 & 1201/1 1203/8 1203/11 1206/6 & \\
\hline written [21] 989/8 990/13 1007/20 & 1208/18 1210/19 1216/16 1222/3 & \\
\hline 1066/21 1108/11 1130/20 1141/11 & 1222/17 1223/6 1223/7 1223/8 & \\
\hline 1141/13 1141/21 1141/22 1171/22 & 1223/12 1224/11 1225/10 1226/19 & \\
\hline 1172/2 1181/3 1181/4 1184/4 1184/6 &  & \\
\hline 1184/20 1184/23 1185/3 1188/14 &  & \\
\hline 1188/18 & 1232/1 1232/13 1232/24 1233/2 & \\
\hline wrong [14] 1018/8 1027/2 1057/21 & 1233/5 1233/21 1238/18 1243/22 & \\
\hline 1143/16 1143/17 1143/23 1144/11 &  & \\
\hline 1144/13 1144/14 1190/16 1204/10 & 1047/14 1082/5 1092/11 1096/10 & \\
\hline 1232/18 1233/16 1239/14 & 1047/14 1082/5 1092/11 1096/10 & \\
\hline wrote [10] 1009/4 1009/24 1048/1 & 1156/2 1166/14 1203/18 1223/11 & \\
\hline 1084/2 1084/3 1118/24 1120/4 & 1225/19 1232/3 1233/13 1234/4 & \\
\hline 1161/14 1165/6 1198/13 & \[
1234 / 4
\] & \\
\hline Y & young [2] 986/11 1207/16 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{33}{*}{```
yeah [51] 976/3 980/22 1006/25
    1014/1 1038/20 1050/14 1056/2
    1064/6 1076/10 1080/7 1082/13
    1084/6 1087/7 1093/24 1094/22
    1098/18 1098/18 1102/3 1109/15
    1113/5 1115/4 1116/8 1118/15 1119/7
    1119/16 1121/6 1128/25 1130/2
    1132/5 1132/13 1139/10 1143/17
    1144/5 1144/16 1145/1 1147/12
    1155/17 1158/4 1166/25 1167/13
    1172/7 1172/13 1202/25 1203/1
    1204/18 1206/11 1227/11 1230/18
    1231/9 1241/10 1244/22
year [17] 972/19 982/9 990/13 997/9
    1012/14 1027/19 1031/15 1043/16
    1044/19 1063/22 1097/17 1105/15
    1108/12 1108/25 1123/19 1159/1
    1159/15
years [22] 973/17 973/20 973/24
    975/14 988/1 988/11 988/15 995/11
    1012/15 1024/25 1040/6 1046/4
    1057/19 1070/10 1106/25 1107/23
    1109/2 1110/8 1118/2 1119/2 1141/14
    1155/3
yell [1] 1101/9
yelled [1] 1100/25
Yep [2] 1105/1 1117/15
yes [285]
yes-or-no [1] 1045/7
yet [16] 1016/21 1017/2 1022/20
    1025/1 1061/7 1061/9 1112/23
1115/22 1156/9 1191/18 1196/3
1202/9 1213/5 1214/20 1216/9
```} & younger [2] 983/6 983/11 & \\
\hline & your [389] & \\
\hline & Your Honor [2] 1071/12 1199/23 & \\
\hline & yours [2] 1028/7 1081/1 & \\
\hline & yourself [7] 971/6 1017/8 1030/13 & \\
\hline & 1055/19 1151/5 1177/16 1210/25 & \\
\hline & yourselves [2] 1090/7 1212/7 & \\
\hline & Z & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & Zach [2] 1155/20 1155/24 & \\
\hline & zero [2] 1007/10 1007 & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

