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THE COURT: On the record. Counsel are present. The parties are present.

MS. COIT: Yeah, Your Honor, this is something -THE COURT: We'll get the mics turned on when Christy

## gets here. Go ahead

MS. COIT: Okay. If you can't hear me, just tell me. So this is something that came up over the weekend, and I'm not sure -- it seems really important to me. I -- I just need to bring it to the Court's attention and get your guidance. Can I hand you --

THE COURT: Sure. Thank you. Whatever it is, it's appreciated.

What exhibit number is it?
MS. COIT: It is not an exhibit. It deals with

## Exhibit 162.

THE COURT: Deals with 162.
MS. COIT: That's the letter from the three Junction City officers to the district attorney.

THE COURT: That letter was to the district attorney?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: So we talked about that exhibit the night before when we were talking about exhibits, and I objected to it."
it on the basis I believed Mr. Cleavenger had wrote it. I recall specifically Mr. Cleavenger saying to Your Honor, "I did not write it." Mr. Kafoury was at the podium, bent down, and talked to his client, and said to Your Honor, "He did not write

The next day Corey Mertz testified, and I have his transcript here, and if I could have you look -- I marked the pages -- page --

THE COURT: Before I look at it and get involved in
the morass of pages, tell me the concern is.
MS. COIT: The concern is --
THE COURT: The point is it was represented to you
that Cleavenger did not write this?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Then it turns out he did write this.
MS. COIT: And, Your Honor, he put on a witness --
THE COURT: Hold on. Not so fast.
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: When it was represented to you that he
did not write this, who on the stand testified that he did write this?

MS. COIT: Your Honor, Officer Mertz was put on the stand from Junction City by Mr. Cleavenger the next day, and Officer Mertz was questioned on direct, "Did you write it?" And he said "Yes." And that was about it. But then on cross I
got much further into it and said, "Did Mr. Cleavenger send you a draft?" And he specifically says in the transcript, "He may have sent me something, an outline to do with dates, but nothing of substance. I sat there all day with Assistant Chief Markell. We saw things that were incorrect and we wanted to correct them, and we sat there all day, and we wrote it."

THE COURT: Right.
MS. COIT: I then I said, "Well, is there an email of the document that Mr. Cleavenger may have sent you this timeline?" And he said, "It may be on my work email."

Over the weekend, Chief Chase, who has authority to look in his employee's emails, checked Officer Mertz's email from that day. And I've given you the email that he found, and it is from Mr. Cleavenger.

THE COURT: Just a moment. I haven't read that yet.
MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: So you handed me three documents today.
MS. COIT: The one on top is Officer Mertz's
testimony. The next one is the email from Mr. Cleavenger.
THE COURT: Just a moment. Okay. The top -- top document. I see the bottom paragraph of the first page where it says, "After you read that draft, the second final -there's a draft response letter from you guys to Gardner, and I stayed up all night writing."

MS. COIT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, what's the next email? MS. COIT: The two documents below that. THE COURT: The document directly below that, "Dear Lane County District Attorney Alex Gardner." This is the actual letter. And I've got Exhibit 172, which is the final letter.

MS. COIT: Yeah. So --
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: The one that's --
THE COURT: Is your concern that with what you believe that misrepresentation to be and not having the emails in your possession until the Junction City police chief went back to do some research, you didn't have a chance to adequately ask Mertz or confront him, or you didn't have a chance to adequately ask Cleavenger?

MS. COIT: Neither of those is my concern.
My concern is the draft that he sent to the Junction City police officers, Officer Mertz, is nearly identical to the letter that was written.

THE COURT: Right.
MS. COIT: So he misrepresented to this Court that he did not send a draft and write this letter, and he put on a witness to lie and that witness did lie under oath. That's my concern.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you point me to the

## testimony?

MS. COIT: Starts on 46.
THE COURT: Page 46.
MS. COIT: Starts on 46, Your Honor, yes. THE COURT: Page 46. I'm going to summarize what I
think I heard, and that is you believe that perjury was committed because Mertz stated he was the drafter, that he stayed most of the day writing it. With whom? Who was the other person? I forgot.

MS. COIT: It was acting-Chief Markell. He came to
Chief Chase afterwards and said he had concerns about the testimony that was coming out.

THE COURT: Just a moment. I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. When Mertz is allegedly writing a portion of this, he's depending upon another person in the room. What he said was the other person was a good writer and that he sat there writing as well, for a good portion of the day. Who is that other person writing with Mertz? I mean, I got in my notes. I just don't want to look back through my morass of documents.

MS. COIT: Acting-Chief Eric Markell.
THE COURT: Markell.
Now, I'm concerned that whatever you believe the remedy should be, if that misrepresentation is correct, reanalyzed by you, then what does the Court do with it? I'm not saying it is yet. I haven't heard from opposing counsel.

MS. COIT: I understand, Your Honor. And I ask you not to take my word for it, but to look at the transcript.

THE COURT: Assume -- I'm going to hear from opposing counsel. Adversarial system. What do you think the remedy should be?

MS. COIT: I believe I should be able to put a
witness on the stand, be it Chief Chase or the IT person who pulled the email, and show to the jury what -- what the documents show, and I can argue in closing arguments what Officer Mertz testified to and what the documents showed.

THE COURT: Why wouldn't I let you do that? MS. COIT: I have no idea, Your Honor. That's why I
wanted to bring it to you first. I'm not going to just do it.
THE COURT: I can't think of any rational reason why
I wouldn't let you do that.
MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. I try to give you both a fair
trial, and that means, apparently, in this case, a lengthy trial. You're not precluded from doing that at all. You can go through those emails and impeach the statement by Mr. Cleavenger.

All right. Now, what else has come up over the weekend? I'm glad to see both of you are working.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I have a bunch of things, Your Honor, if you're ready.

THE COURT: All right. Did you want to comment on this other request?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah. I just read 56. I
don't --
MS. COIT: 46 through 49.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: What were the page numbers?
THE COURT: Well, I'll wait while you quietly read that.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I read that. I just -MS. COIT: 46 through 51. MR. JASON KAFOURY: First of all, I think there's a bunch of differences in substance between the letters, but I don't think there's anything in here -- he says, "What documents were written by Mr. Cleavenger?"
"I do not remember."
"Was it a document or an email?"
"I do not remember."
I mean, I don't think that that's impeachment on lying.
He said, "Did you actually write the letter?"
He says, "I typed it out and Eric Markell sat next to me ."
He didn't say, "I wrote every word of it."
"And did Mr. Cleavenger send you a proposed draft?"
"I know we discussed it."
MS. COIT: Read the rest of that sentence.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: "I do not believe -- I wrote it.

I sat there all day and wrote it. Eric and I did."
He obviously -- Mr. Mertz didn't remember, as he sat there on the witness stand, what had -- what the back and forth was a year ago with my client on this document, but I don't think there's evidence here that he was purposely lying. I think he wasn't remembering what happened, and now they've proven -THE COURT: That's argument for the jury by both of you, Counsel.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Now, you had a number of items that had come up over the weekend.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm assuming if counsel is going to -- we -- what exactly are you going to put before the jury?

THE COURT: You two have that conversation off the record. We're resting now. You two talk it over.
(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Back on the record.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We have some official transcripts now from the court reporter that we got over the weekend.

THE COURT: Are you having a daily done or an expedited?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: We have -- we just, for example,
the chief -- I think we got a final on the chief's.
THE COURT: Just a moment. I'm confused. I haven't talked with the court reporter about what your agreement is as
far as transcripts. Are you getting roughs? Are you getting finals? Is this expedited? Is it a daily?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: We're getting daily roughs and then we are getting some final transcripts.

THE COURT: And those are transcripts that each of you selectively request?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I haven't talked to the court reporter.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I just want the Court's permission to be able to use the final transcripts to impeach witnesses and to use during closing argument.

> THE COURT: How do I know when each of you get up to
read if you're reading from a rough draft or you're reading from a final draft -- strike that -- a final transcript?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I will make it clear for the record that it's either a rough draft or -- we have the daily roughs. Everybody has those. But there's some that we ordered that are full transcripts.

THE COURT: I can't imagine argument being a series of readings unless it's really impeachment. I won't preclude you from doing that, but I'm not going to allow simply a rereading ad nauseam of testimony. This is argument. And they can have testimony be read to them.

So if you really have an impeaching portion, I won't

```
preclude you.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
    THE COURT: I'll give each an hour and a half now.
That's going to be it.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Two hours.
    THE COURT: No. Trust me. An hour and a half.
You're succinct and clear and competent and excellent counsel
on both sides. You won't have any problems.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: I just showed defense counsel an
email. There's -- I anticipate testimony today and tomorrow
regarding the possibility of giving my client -- client a
mental fitness evaluation. He was given a mental fitness
evaluation before he was hired at the University of Oregon.
I've never seen that document. I just showed counsel an email
where it appears to me that Mike Morrow was sent that
evaluation after he requested it. I would like to have that in
my possession before we get into witnesses here about --
they're going to be testifying today, "Oh, we thought he
deserved a fitness evaluation," but if I don't have what they
have in their hands at that moment, I don't think that's fair.
    THE COURT: Let me repeat back to you what I heard.
    Because of an email that's come to your attention, you
believe that there was a mental/fitness report made of your
client. You haven't received that report?
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Never.
```

THE COURT: What's unfair about this is if witnesses start getting up on the stand, whether it's the chief or the lieutenant or whomever, and they say -- or base some of their examination on the lack, let's say, of mental fitness or some portion, you're blindsided. You have no ability to cross-examine.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct. Because --
THE COURT: Just a moment. You don't need the
because. Do we have such a report? Is it in existence?
MS. COIT: The email he's talking about was
produced -- this is not on yet. Was produced in discovery.
THE COURT: Hang on. Christy, is this mic working? DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: I'm getting static over the microphones.

MS. COIT: The email he's talking about, we produced all of Mike Morrow's emails from this time period with all the attachments. He has whatever he's requesting. I don't have it in my mind what email that is. I'm having my paralegal pull it again. But he's received it. I'm pulling it again. We will give it -- show it to him again what it is. It's not what he thinks it is because we don't have a fitness exam for Mr. Cleavenger.

THE COURT: Now hold on. We don't have a fitness/mental exam for Mr. Cleavenger. Why don't you two talk about that for a moment.

Counsel is using the word fitness/mental. I don't know if they're two separate exams or same exam. I don't even know what counsel is referring to.

MS. COIT: What's the date of that email?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We can work out that issue. We
have more issues to talk about if we have time.

```
(Pause in proceedings.)
```

THE COURT: Back on the record.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: One of the new exhibits I got -or not new, but one of the exhibits from defense counsel that they're going to talk about today --

THE COURT: Just a moment. Is this the lady in the parking lot that he transports?

MS. COIT: Yeah.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct. Yes.
THE COURT: What is -- I'm confused by this phrase, "Archive. Monday, March 9, 2015." And yet it said May 15, 2012. I don't understand what archive could be.

MS. COIT: When emails are transmitted to our system at our firm, we save them as emails, and so that gives an archive date. That's the date I saved them at my firm. THE COURT: Oh, I see. Thank you very much. So, in other words, it's on file at the police department from 2012. The archive I should read as you received it as trial docs. MS. COIT: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. What's the concern? MR. JASON KAFOURY: The concern I have, Your Honor, is the incident my client is involved with this woman is nine days earlier. There's no evidence that he knew any of this information, no evidence anybody else knew any of this information at that time. They are trying to get this before the jury to show -THE COURT: To make it appear -MR. JASON KAFOURY: To appear after the fact she was dangerous and crazy and all these things.

THE COURT: That he was aware.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Exactly. But there's no evidence my client knew any of these things, and therefore I think it's incredibly prejudicial to put this out before the jurors when it's undisputed that none of this information was known to my client or Sergeant Cameron at the time that this was sent.

THE COURT: Just a moment. But Lieutenant Lebrecht, who will be called today --

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: -- should be allowed to state that he was contacted by her. It's the impression that the email -- strike that. It's the impression that Officer Cleavenger would have known about that, that's the concern?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah, there --
THE COURT: But when he states on the stand, "I was
contacted at least three times by this woman," you've got to ask the question, walking through this with you as trial counsel --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- "no, you didn't," and then other counsel is going to come back and say, "yes, I did." So by the time we're done, it's just a circle. Isn't that left for argument? Isn't that one of those issues that -- it's obvious that this wasn't put out unless somebody says at a briefing -and I haven't heard that -- aren't you able, also, to argue that -- let me check my dates. What was the date that the lady was in the parking lot?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: May 6th. Nine days earlier.
THE COURT: So, therefore, this is an after-acquired
thought, from your perspective?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Right. And --
THE COURT: And that's -- but the other side is going
to argue, no, this is part of my duties as a lieutenant and because there's been this incident, I'm going to write this up. In other words, I'm going to make a mental note of it.

Now, whether, in fact, I -- I don't see the prejudice. I see the prejudice that this just came in as a document. Judge, accept 384. And the inference, then, is that your client knew about it. I don't see the prejudice, when Lieutenant Lebrecht is testifying, of you being able to ask, "Did you make any

## notes?"

"No." Well, on that date.
"Did you commit it to writing?"
"No. On that date."
"But you did write something down on May 15th?"
"Yes."
"Why?"
Well, open-ended questions are bad, but why?
"Because I wanted to memorialize this."
Lieutenant Lebrecht is allowed to testify that he received
information about this woman. That shows why he's concerned about this incident. You're allowed to show that this is after the fact, and you're allowed to show that your client obviously never had any indication about this. That's argument. That's not preclusion. That's --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, just for the record, I -this is at the same time this email is sent that there are emails being sent amongst the command staff about terminating my client, about sending him to different duties, all that stuff.

THE COURT: I'm not precluding that. That's argument.

Now, put down your notes because we're not doing this again. It's 8:07. The jury is going to come and join us today.

## (Off the record.)

(Jury present.)
THE COURT: Back in session. Everyone is here. The jury is present. Be seated, Counsel. Thank you. The parties are present.

Counsel, would you like to call your next witness?
By the way, I didn't make that record this morning, and before going any further -- well, Counsel, why don't you call your next witness. We'll do that at the next recess.

MS. COIT: Defense calls Lois Yoshishige. THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for returning. I
think she was on the stand before.
Counsel, we've argued the matter.
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Yes. I understand you made
another ruling, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
Thank you for returning. I appreciate that. Do you recall the oath that was administered to you --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: -- last week?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: The same oath still applies. If you would return to the witness stand and if you would be seated.

Thank you for driving all the way back. I am going to have you restate your name for the jury and spell your last

## Yoshishige - D

name one more time.
THE WITNESS: Lois Kiyono Yoshishige. Last name
Y-O-S-H-I-S-H-I-G-E.
THE COURT: Counsel, continue with your examination,
please. I believe we were on direct.

LOIS YOSHISHIGE,
called as a witness in behalf of the Defendants, being
previously duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Ms. Yoshishige, thank you for coming back. I just wanted
to go over a couple more exhibits with you.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. That's Exhibit 391. Ms. Yoshishige, do you recognize

Exhibit 391?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell the jury what it is?
A. It's an email; a response from James Cleavenger to me.
Q. And can you look at the email below that and tell us what that is?
orders?
A. I was concerned that fighting it would have a bad record for Mr. Cleavenger, so I was suggesting not to -- to resign.
Q. From your experiences as Mr. Cleavenger's steward, did you
have -- did you form the opinion that he did not want to do what management was asking of him?
A. I had the opinion that he thought that some of what management was asking to -- for him to do was wrong.
Q. Would that include the retraining program, going back into field training?
A. He was concerned that, by doing that, they would find things to terminate him by, and so he wanted the protection of a grievance on record; to not -- to not withdraw that.
Q. All right. In the last -- in the last sentence of that paragraph, or, excuse me, the last paragraph, it says, "Gary told me he talked to you for a while after the meeting where management gave you notice of suspension without pay. I don't know if the people offering you the job care about if you have a dismissal on your record."

And then -- let's go to the top email.
Is it showing on your screen?
A. No.
just take a moment.
Is your screen working?
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THE COURT: We'll get the screens working. Let's

THE WITNESS: No.
THE COURT: Are your screens working, folks?

## THE JURY: No.

THE COURT: None of the screens are working.
Counsel, let's continue on.
Witness, do you have your screen working?
Ladies and gentlemen, we'll come right back to you. I
want the witness to be able to see.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { (Jury not present.) } \\
\text { (Recess taken.) } \\
\text { (Jury present.) }
\end{gathered}
$$

THE COURT: Back in session. The jury is present.
All counsel are present. And the witness. If you would like to return to the stand. Thank you. 391 should be up on the screen, and that portion should be visible.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Ms. Yoshishige, sorry for the delay. My only question was Mr. Cleavenger's response to your email was that top line.
"Both of my job offers could care less about whether or not I
was fired from my UO job, so that is not an issue."
Is that the email you received from Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to approach? THE COURT: You may.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Which exhibit number, Counsel?

Yoshishige - D
MS. COIT: Oh, sorry. 349.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize the documents I've given as Exhibit 349?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I have you look at -- it's page 3 of the exhibit.

What is -- what is page 3 of Exhibit 349?
A. This is an email from me to James Cleavenger describing
the meeting that Donna Laue, chief steward, and I had with
Randy Wardlow.
Q. What was the date of that email?
A. August 22, 2012.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, offer 349.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.

## BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)

Q. So in this email are you describing for Mr. Cleavenger the meeting that you had with Mr. Wardlow?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that meeting, the purpose of it, to discuss the
retraining plan they were proposing for Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Can I have you look at page 4, the next page in that
email? The paragraph on your screen says, "Randy said Carolyn McDermed told James that she wants him to be trained
and safe. Unless he has been retrind" -- I think it means retrained -- "she doesn't feel comfortable putting him out in the field."

Do you recall Mr. Wardlow telling you that?
A. Yes.
Q. In that meeting with him, did you have any reason to doubt that he was being sincere in what he was telling you?
A. No.
Q. Can I have you look at the first and second pages of 349?

And I want to look at the bottom. Start with the
sentence, "On August 21st meeting with Randy Wardlow." Is that you reiterating to Mr. Cleavenger what Randy Wardlow told you the training offer was -- entailed? It continues on the next page, if you want to look at that as well.

All right. Ma'am, so on your screen, that should be both
pages, the paragraph. Is that you reiterating to
Mr. Cleavenger the training offer that Randy Wardlow was making?
A. I -- I'm not sure.
Q. You're not sure if this was the training offer Randy Wardlow made to you to give to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I'm not sure about the -- the bottom half of it. It
doesn't -- doesn't make sense to me.
Q. "If training and six-month evaluation period is successfully completed, then he will be returned to officer
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position." Is that the part you think does not make sense?
A. Is this bottom portion a separate email from the one that was sent to Randy Wardlow? That's what doesn't make sense to me.
Q. Okay. Ma'am, you have the documents right in front of you, so you can look at the first and second page. THE COURT: You put it on the screen. Do you have a hard copy to show her? That will stop the confusion.

> MS. COIT: She has it, Your Honor. THE COURT: You can look at the hard copy also. THE WITNESS: I'm just asking are these two separate emails or are they one email?
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. It's one email.
A. Can I ask what's the question again?
Q. Yes. Ma'am, is this the training offer that you recall

Randy Wardlow making to Mr. Cleavenger, through you, that you then reiterated to him?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

Now, the very top, the first email on page -- excuse me,
Exhibit 349, is that Mr. Cleavenger's response to
Randy Wardlow's offer to retrain?
A. Yes.
Q. Was this a rejection of the offer?
A. Randy Wardlow's offer?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. The bottom it says, "So if UODPS managers feel a retraining program is necessary, James will participate as ordered, but will not trade away his rights as part of a deal to resolve his grievance."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you understand Mr. Wardlow's proposal to be a six-month training period and Mr. Cleavenger would withdraw his grievance of the written reprimand because they felt that it was warranted?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. COIT: Exhibit 357.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Which exhibit, Counsel?
THE COURT: Which number? 367?
MS. COIT: 57.
THE COURT: 357. Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize the chain of emails I've given you as Exhibit 357?
A. Yes.

## Yoshishige - D

Q. And what are those emails? Who are they between?
A. Between me and James Cleavenger.
Q. Is Donna Laue on some of those emails?
A. Yes. And Sean Brailey.
Q. Who are Donna and Sean Brailey?
A. Donna was the chief steward and Sean was union staff.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, offer 357. Permission to
publish?

THE COURT: Received.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Can I have you look at page 6? We'll start
with the earliest. All right. So the email dated
September 28, 2012, is from you; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's to Sean, James Cleavenger, and Donna. Is this discussing the meeting with Brian Smith?
A. Yes.
Q. And would this be the follow-up meeting, the one that occurred on October 2nd?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you understand to be the nature of that follow-up meeting?
A. An additional meeting with Brian Smith to talk about
additional things before he made a decision.
Q. Were the additional things that were planning to be shared

```
with Brian Smith issues that had not previously been discussed
at these meetings?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Can you look at page 5 for me, please.
    The bottom email from Donna Laue to you, she asked, so
would it be appropriate to share about Lebrecht's football
tapes or the bowl of dicks discussion during briefings at this
meeting with Brian? Or the culture around women in the
department, not just the ones they work with, but also the ones
they serve.
    So the first question: The reference to the bowl of dicks
discussions, is that what you recall it being; that you guys
had talked about was bowl of dicks discussions?
A. Yes. That was part of it.
Q. Okay. And then prior to this meeting you're planning to
have with Brian Smith, this information hadn't been shared; is
that correct?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. The email above that from you is, "Donna: Yes, if we met
with Brian Smith, it would be talking about all the stuff you
mentioned."
    So, again, you hadn't talked about this with anyone else
before?
A. I don't recall.
Q. All right. Well, let's look at the first page of
```

Q. All right. Well, let's look at the first page of
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Exhibit 357. That's an email from Mr. Cleavenger to you, and it's dated October 1, 2012. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger says, "I would prefer to go to both meetings. 10:00 a.m. with Brian Smith. 11:15 a.m. at HR. The reason is because up to now no one besides you three have a clue that I have been harassed, threatened, and intimidated by Lebrecht and Cameron. If I don't meet with Brian Smith, he will be making his decisions without hearing the whole truth, just like Randy Wardlow did. I feel that we need to get some of this stuff out there instead of only being passive and reactive."

Does that refresh your memory as to whether or not any of this information had previously been shared with anyone?
A. Yes.
Q. Had it been?
A. No.
Q. What was the date of the meeting with Brian Smith? Was it

October 2, 2012?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Thank you, ma'am. That's all I have. THE COURT: Redirect?
/1/
/1/
//1
2127
//

## BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:

Q. Good morning. I just want to go through a couple of things with you quickly.

THE COURT: My absolute apologies. This should be cross-examination.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, we are -- we have an agreement -- or I believe we have an agreement that I can ask about some other categories of questions because -- so not to bring her back twice.

THE COURT: Absolutely. I'll extend that courtesy as well, Counsel, but this is cross-examination. I said
"redirect" for some reason.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Now, do you recall, generally, emails with my client over the course of the summer of 2012 where my client was interested in not spreading the dirty laundry outside of the police department?
A. Yes.
Q. And was part of the reason that he didn't want to spread all the dirty laundry out because he was concerned that going to someone outside of the department would lead to him getting terminated? A. Yes. Q. Now, you were not there when he had a meeting on
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## August 13th with Chief McDermed, were you?

A. I was not there.
Q. Okay. You have no idea what he told her about things happening within the department at that meeting; right?
A. That's right.
Q. And over the course of those months was this meeting with Brian Smith, was the plan for this meeting that Mr. Cleavenger could finally tell someone outside of the department all the things going on as referenced in a bowl of dicks discussions, how women were treated, Lebrecht's football tapes, all that sort of stuff. This was the opportunity for him to explain all that to someone outside of the department?
A. Yes.
Q. My client was fine with the concept of a retraining, if that's what the department wanted in September of 2012, but he didn't want to give up his union grievance rights; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, throughout your years working there, have you had a situation where someone from HR is saying, "You have -- you, as an employee, have to give up your union rights to file grievances in order to go back and do a retraining program"? A. Not that I recall.
Q. Okay. So this was a pretty unique request by human resources asking a union-represented person to give up their union rights as part of any retraining; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And in your -- in the email you sent to Randy Wardlow, you
said, "The union" -- let me get it here. "The union is in full
support of James' decision in this matter." Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, in your -- you were asked whether this was a
rejection of the offer. Was this actually a counteroffer, a
counterproposal that you guys were making on September 10,
2012?
A. That's what I understood.
Q. But nobody from HR or the command staff ever responded to
this counteroffer before my client was terminated; isn't that
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you also were aware that my client was given an order
at around this time to not report any crimes but felonies. Do
you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall sending an email to Randy Wardlow at HR to
try to confirm in writing that that was the order that my
client had been given?
A. I can't say that I recall that, but I --
Q. I'll show it to you to refresh your memory.
already?
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THE COURT: Pardon me? MR. JASON KAFOURY: Has 57 been received by the Court
already? I believe.
THE COURT: I'm not sure. 57, Christy? DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Yes, it has. MR. JASON KAFOURY: Permission to publish page -page 4 ?

THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. While he's pulling that up, generally, throughout your years of representing union folks there at the University of Oregon, has it been particularly difficult to deal with the Department of Public Safety?
A. Yes.
Q. Particularly difficult to get documents from them?
A. Yes.
Q. Getting high-tech here. Okay. This is page 4 to

Plaintiff's Exhibit 57. Take a moment and read that.
A. Okay.
Q. So does this refresh your memory that on -- eight days
after you sent the email with the counteroffer of the retraining proposal in September of 2012, you sent an email to Lieutenant Lebrecht and to Randy Wardlow in an attempt to get a clarification on this order that had been given to my client; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You said, "At this meeting, he was told not to report any crimes in progress that he witnesses, except for felonies.
He's being directed not to do things any citizen can do. Since
this directive is in major conflict with his stated duties as a
public safety officer, we would like to have this change in duties in writing. Please provide us with the letter by
Friday, September 21, 2012." Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You never got a response to that email, did you?
A. No.
Q. In fact, on Thursday, the 20th, my client was put on paid administrative leave, wasn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. Ever heard of any officer or anyone there at the University of Oregon ever being told they couldn't report crimes, other than felonies, on campus?
A. No.
Q. Was part of my client's concern that summer that if he -you spoke earlier he felt like he was being set up for this retraining proposal; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Was part of his concern that if he got sent to retraining management would just find something else as another reason to be able to discipline him and then eventually terminate him?
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A. Yes.
Q. And we talked about the emails that you had with my client in October about what strategy he should do in relation to meeting with Linda King and termination. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Linda King testified 95 percent of the time somebody has a predismissal hearing, they end up being terminated. Has that generally been your experience?
A. Yes.
Q. So when you're having discussions in October, my client has already been suspended without pay at this point and is facing termination. You knew that -- that it was almost certain he was going to be terminated eventually; right?
A. Yes.
Q. You had been through this before with other employees; right?
A. Yes.
Q. But Mr. Cleavenger felt strongly enough that this was the right thing to do, to challenge his letter of reprimand and any termination, that he decided to keep pushing forward with the grievance process, didn't he?
A. Yes.
Q. Was part of the reason that he decided to push forward because he felt like he had been retaliated against by Lebrecht and Cameron?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he make that clear throughout this grievance process
that he felt like he was being treated unfairly in comparison
to other people in the department?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you surprised -- all the things you had been a part
of, were you surprised at how quickly, after negotiations about
a retraining, my client was suddenly terminated?
A. Yes.
Q. Wasn't the way it usually happens with negotiations there
between HR, command staff, and -- and union officials, right,
something -- something was different about this?
A. Yes.
Q. And at that meeting with Brian Smith on October 2nd, my
client told Brian Smith everything about what was going on in
the department; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Laid it all out for him, didn't he? Laid it all out for
Brian Smith at that meeting about what was happening?
A. Yes.
Q. And isn't it a fact that later that day is when my client
was handed his predismissal termination letter from HR? Later
on on October 2nd?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like to show you plaintiff's 112 .
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MR. JASON KAFOURY: Is 112 in?
THE COURT: Christy?
MR. HESS: It's not.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Not yet? Okay.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Take a look at -- I'm going to ask you some questions
about this email. So what is the -- what's the date on that email?
A. June 13, 2012.
Q. Okay. And that's an email between you and Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Take a look at those last couple paragraphs there.

I'll ask you a couple of questions about it.
A. Thank you.
Q. Does that refresh your memory for the discussions you and

Mr. Cleavenger were having back in June of 2012 about how to deal with this grievance?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall Carolyn McDermed, the chief, agreeing back in June to hear a step one process? Do you have a memory of that back in June of 2012? Chief McDermed agreeing to be a step one hearing officer because my client didn't want Lebrecht or Cameron to be that step one hearing officer?
A. I think so.
Q. And as this email indicates, part of the reason my client
wanted Chief McDermed to do the step one hearing is he would like to try to keep the issues in-house, as opposed to going outside the department in step two; right? That was the strategic discussion you guys were having back in June of 2012 ? A. Yes.
Q. That's because he didn't want to, quote, "air the department's dirty laundry to everyone," right, and didn't want to be confrontational? That was --
A. Yes.
Q. -- why he wanted to keep it in-house with everything that was going on?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you testified earlier about the emails in October where you were asking Mr. Cleavenger about whether he should keep fighting this or not; right? That's what you guys were discussing in October?

Part of the reason that you suggested to him he might not want to fight this is because you had been through step two, step three hearings for terminations before, haven't you? A. Yes.
Q. And, in fact, as Linda King testified last week, no step three termination hearing at the University of Oregon has a termination ever been overturned throughout that process, has it?
A. Yes.
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Q. Would you -- would you agree that in terms of the offer of the retraining you had made a counteroffer to Randy Wardlow and that counteroffer, Mr. Wardlow later told you, was off the table; isn't that right?
A. Yes.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have. THE COURT: Redirect?

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Ms. Yoshishige, 28 years you've been a steward for the University of Oregon?
A. Yes.
Q. Dealt with Randy Wardlow a lot during that time; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Ever, in your history with Mr. Wardlow, did he give you
any reason to believe that he was not sincere in his negotiations with you?
A. I believe he was sincere.
Q. Did you have any reason to suspect that Mr. Wardlow was making this training offer to Mr. Cleavenger to set him up?
A. I believe that Mr. Wardlow was putting across management's offer.
Q. Did you believe Mr. Wardlow was trying to set up
A. I don't recall.
Q. You were asked if it's hard to get documents from UOPD . Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree with me that when Mr. Cleavenger was in the process of grieving his written reprimand, he and the union were given thousands of documents and audios?
A. I wouldn't say thousands.
Q. How many would you say? Did you review them all?
A. No.

MS. COIT: That's all. Thank you.
THE COURT: Recross?

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Wardlow didn't have any power to make any offers; right? A. That's right.
Q. He's just following what Chief McDermed is telling him to say in those meetings because that's management decision he's conveying; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So if Wardlow never responded and pulled the offer of retraining off the table, that would actually be a decision that Chief McDermed made; right?

MS. COIT: Object. Foundation.
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A. Yes.
Q. None of the defendants -- Sergeant Cameron, Lieutenant Lebrecht or Chief McDermed -- were at the meeting with you, Mr. Cleavenger, and Brian Smith; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You had no information that Brian Smith ever told any of the defendants anything that was said at that meeting; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, would you agree with me that in October -- or, excuse me, in August of 2012, so two months before the termination decision, you were in fairly close contact with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. You were emailing back and forth with him two, three times a day; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you agree with me that there is not one email from

Mr. Cleavenger recounting to you or Donna Laue the meeting he claims he had with Chief McDermed on August 13th of 2012? A. I don't recall.
Q. Well, this is the meeting that he says he let the cat out of the bag. He told her everything, everything you were going to tell Brian Smith; he says he did that August 13, 2012. Do you ever recall having a conversation or a discussion with Mr. Cleavenger around that time period in which he told you he let the cat out of the bag and told her everything?

號
the proceedings. You may step down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Counsel, your next witness, please.
MS. COIT: Defendants call Brian Caufield.
THE COURT: Sir, step forward into the well of the courtroom. Stop at that location. Raise your right hand.

BRIAN CAUFIELD,
called as a witness in behalf of the Defendants, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Be seated, please. After you're seated, pull the chair as close as possible to the microphone, and state your name for the jury and spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: Brian Caufield, C-A-U-F-I-E-L-D.
THE COURT: Direct examination, please.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Mr. Caufield, who's your current employer?
A. The University Shared Services Enterprise.
Q. Is that a new position?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Who was your employer in 2012, 2013?
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A. The Oregon University System.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. The Oregon University who?
THE WITNESS: System.
THE COURT: System. Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Was that an entity separate from the University of Oregon?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your position at -- can we call it OUS?
A. Yes. Associate general counsel for labor and employment.
Q. Who was Ryan Hagemann at that time?
A. He was the general counsel for the University System or

OUS.
Q. Was Mr. Hagemann your boss?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the collective bargaining agreement
between OUS and SEIU Local 503?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. Were you familiar with that agreement in 2013?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us what OUS's role was in that -- in the grievance process during that time period.
A. Sure. So OUS as the sort of state agency served as the -I guess the arbiter or decision-maker in what they call step three meetings or step three hearings and would issue a decision in a grievance that made it to step three. Certain
grievances made it to step three and certain grievances didn't. Some grievances were filed -- directly filed at step three, so they were -- that was the role of OUS in the grievance procedure.
Q. What was the purpose of a step three grievance hearing ?
A. It was the union's opportunity to explain how the union -the university may have gotten the decision wrong with respect to the employment action that they had taken or to bring to light new facts that maybe the university didn't consider at the time.

That was the union's sort of meeting to -- to do that.
Q. Did you ever serve as a -- I guess a hearings officer, the person who heard these step three grievance hearings?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And in that role were there guidelines or procedures set up in the union contract as to how these meetings were supposed to be -- how they were handled? How they were presented? A. No.
Q. Whose decision was that on the format of the meeting? A. OUS. Q. At some point did you become involved in the grievance process for Mr. Cleavenger's grievances?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you know Mr. Cleavenger prior to that involvement? A. No.
Q. Explain for us what that involvement was.
A. So, initially, it was dealing with the steward on some information requests that were made from the union to the university. And unions make information requests to gather information to see whether a grievance is valid, whether they should file one, or whether there's more facts that they need to bring out.
And so there was a dispute, if I recall correctly, about the length of time it was taking to get some documents and also the cost that the $U$ of $O$ said it was to prepare these documents because the universities and other public employers can charge unions for their time in gathering those information requests. So I was sort of facilitating that and also, again, setting up the date for the step three hearing.
Q. So the information request that the union was making, did anything about these requests, the volume of them, seem unusual to you, out of the ordinary?
A. Yeah. I mean, it was a very extensive information request. The information request that I've seen over my career, usually a page or so, very succinct, but this was a very -- this was an extensive request for a lot of information, a lot of documents. Multiple offices, maybe, if I remember correctly. It was a while ago, so -- but it was voluminous. Q. Is there anything -- in your experience, is there anything out of the ordinary for a university to request a reasonable
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payment for information requests?
A. No. It's done. I mean, our universities under the Oregon University System did that. They continue to do so. They charged for the time because it's -- where, you know, we're employees of the State, so it's State funding. It's tuition. It's dollars coming in. So we've got to justify our time for that, and that's -- that's routine.
Q. All right. Do you recall having a step three meeting with Mr. Cleavenger over his termination grievance?
A. Yes.
Q. Is a termination grievance filed at step three?
A. Yes. Termination grievances are directly filed at step three.
Q. Do you know why? What is the purpose of going straight to step three?
A. The collective bargaining agreement clearly says that
there are certain grievances that must be filed at step three.
Multi-university grievances are one of them, where it involves
more than one university. Terminations are another. Other
grievances go through the process. There's like step one and then it gets to step two and then ultimately to step three. But terminations are directly filed at step three.
Q. Do you recall doing anything to prepare for the termination grievance hearing?
A. You know, I recall reading the termination letter. I
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remember emails back and forth between the steward about sort of the hearing that -- the number of witnesses that would be present at the hearing. Kind of assessing how much time would be needed for that hearing and reviewing, you know, obviously, the grievance itself that was filed, the collective bargaining agreement, and then, as I said, the termination letter.
Q. Is your general practice at a meeting like this, on a termination grievance, to come into it and have the union present the information to you and from there you make a decision?
A. Yeah. The union does and the employer has an opportunity as well. So the university would have an opportunity to sort of say if it's something new that they were presenting, whether they considered that or not. So both sides really have that opportunity.
Q. So tell us about the meeting itself, the step three termination grievance meeting.
A. Yeah. It was very unique. And I say that because, first of all, there was a very long list of witnesses that the union wanted to sort of testify or tell their story at the hearing. We had set four hours for the hearing, if I remember correctly. So two hours in the morning and two hours the afternoon.

We did that and -- over the course of just in one day, to, again, save time, money, and effort, because we were -- you know, I was traveling down from Portland to Eugene. We were
going to spend the day down there and hear the -- you know, hear these -- hear the testimony and review documents and so on and so forth.

And sort of in the initial stages of the meeting I see that the union rep and Mr. Cleavenger, they have a stack of documents, five, six, eight inches thick, ready to come in as evidence. And I said to them, you know, "Do you intend to present those documents during the course of the hearing?" "Yes."
And I said, "Well, can I get a copy of them now because I would like to go through them and not have duplicative documents in there?" I tried to streamline the process because, again, we only had four hours. I know there was a long list of witnesses that were preparing to testify, apparently, and so I wanted to kind of streamline the process.
Q. Was the union agreeable to that process?
A. No. Not at all. They -- this is what struck me as odd. I -- the documents were there, and I did say -- I said, "Okay. Just tell me, are -- do you have a copy for me? Because if you don't have a copy for me, I'll let you use the copy machine. We'll get a copy." So that way I could kind of go through them.

And they -- at one point they -- they said, "Yeah, no, we have a copy for you."

And I said, "Okay. Hand them over, and, you know, I'll go
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through them as maybe witnesses are testifying."
But I wanted to kind of, again, streamline the process, remove duplicative documents. If there's one document that can say what three or four others have to say, there's no need to put in 100 documents when 25 will do.
Q. Let me stop you real quick.
A. Yes.
Q. Who was the union steward leading?
A. Again, this was a little interesting because there were -the union steward of -- geez, the steward of record is either John Ahlen, the steward of record, the official person on -but there was also a person from the member resource center, and the member resource center from the union is part of the union's headquarters and handles the processing of grievances.

Stewards are recognized on campus as the person who -- to process the grievance on campus, but then when it gets to step three or maybe even goes through an arbitration, the member resource center gets involved a bit more.

So member resource person was there. His name was Sean Brailey, and -- but he participated by phone, and we've -we, as the University System, had difficulties with Mr. Brailey in the past. Very aggressive at meetings, cutting people off, demeaning to them during these meetings.

This had been funneled to me by others who had done these type of meetings.
Q. So what happened at the meeting when you asked for the documents?
A. Well, they refused, and -- but, you know, I said, "Look, I really -- I really do need them." And I was trying to explain why. And in explaining why, Mr. Brailey was talking over me.
And, again, I'm trying to explain. And now he's getting to the point where he's yelling and like being belligerent on the phone, just to the point where I can't even hear what he's saying because he's screaming at the top of his lungs. He's getting to the point where it's out of control. I couldn't see him, but if I could see him, I would imagine that his face was red and his veins were popping up. I got to the point where I said -- I just hung up the phone. I said, "I can't deal with that. I can't deal with that."

You know, I mean, we're trying to go through a process. We're trying to get at least 10 to 15 witnesses in and out. We have all these documents to go through, and he's screaming at me on the phone.

So the union rep who was there, I think it was
John Ahlen -- so John Ahlen was in the room. Mr. Cleavenger was in the room. Mr. Brailey was on the phone. It was like, "Did you just hang up on him?" I was like, "Yeah." This is like a meeting you have with -- with your boss. So if -- if you said to your boss, "Hey, I would like to go in and have a meeting with you," and then you get in there and you scream at
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the top of your lungs, it's just -- it's unproductive. It didn't work.

So, yes. I said yes.
And then they took a caucus, came back in, and were talking about the ground rules that they wanted to have for this meeting to be conducted, and that -- that was completely new and never had happened. It was -- there were no ground rules. It was just the employer's meeting, you know. You come in, you present your testimony, and we'll take a look at it, and then we'll issue a decision.

And during that discussion of what the ground rules should be, Mr. Brailey again started to yell at the top of his lungs, scream on the phone. I hung up a second time on Mr. Brailey. And I said, "You know, guys, just give me the documents. Let's get through this. We have witnesses waiting in the hallway, and let's move on. We only have four hours."
Q. So you had every intention of going forward with the step three meeting that day?
A. Yes. I was there. I mean, I blocked out the entire day. I went from Portland to Eugene, so the entire day was spent -was ready for this hearing.
Q. So what ultimately happened? Was there a hearing?
A. No. The reason there wasn't is because the union -- the union wanted me to agree to some ground rules and said that they -- they wouldn't -- they wouldn't be going forward with
the meeting.
And I said, "Well, look, if you're not going to go forward with the meeting, I'm going to treat it as though you're withdrawing your request for a meeting." Because we don't have to have a meeting under the collective bargaining agreement. You know, either party can request one, and then we'll have one.

So I said, "Look, you mean -- if you leave today, we'll just treat it as a withdrawal. And then we'll issue a decision. You know, we'll look at the -- whatever we have on file, and then write a decision."

And the union got up and left.
So I went back and -- to the office, and I sort of recapped what occurred at the meeting and said, "You know, look, I'm treating it as a -- as a withdrawal of your request for the meeting, and a decision will issue in 30 days" because that's the timeline under the contract.
Q. When you say "recount," was that in an email to the union? A. Yeah. Yeah. To the union steward.
Q. Did they ever come back to hold the meeting?
A. Did -- sorry?
Q. Did they ever come back and actually hold the meeting? A. No. There -- there was another -- there was an email that they -- the union steward sent back to me sort of outlining like they disagreed with the take on my email, which is fine.
the way, are the ones that start out at, like, step one or two and eventually get to step three.

So in the interim of the filing of the step one and step three for the written reprimand, there was then the termination. So the termination goes directly to step three.

So I said, "Okay, well, now we have this filing for a termination. Step three. And we have this written reprimand that's also at the step three level. Well, in the interest of saving time, money, and effort, let's combine them and hear them all at once."
Q. In fact, the two were actually arbitrated together; right?
A. I -- I believe so. I didn't handle the arbitration, but I believe that they were.
Q. All right. Was it your decision or Mr. Hagemann's decision for you to take over the written reprimand grievance?
A. It was a combination of both. I mean, it was mine. I said to Ryan, "Look, I -- we might as well just hear these together. There's no sense of doing two separate meetings or hearings," whatever you want to call it. "It will -- the players involved are the same or similar. We should just have -- we should just have one."

So I can't remember -- I mean, when you ask that specific question, I can't say, you know, did -- did Ryan make the decision? Ultimately, he did make the decision. Right? I mean, he's the boss. But I probably said, "Hey, why don't we
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do it this way? It might make more sense."
Q. Is there a requirement in the collective bargaining agreement that an employer actually hold a hearing on a step one grievance of a written reprimand?
A. No. There's no requirement that meetings be held. It's upon request, right, so once it's requested, then we would -we would hold a -- we would hold a meeting, but there's no automatic right to a meeting.
Q. All right. So there was some testimony by John Ahlen that you were yelling and screaming at this step three meeting. Any truth to that?
A. No yelling and screaming. I mean, did I -- did I raise my voice? Probably. You know, I did just -- I had to. I had to try to talk over Mr. Brailey. But yelling and screaming, no.
Q. Did you ultimately issue a decision on the termination grievance?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that -- well --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to approach?
THE COURT: May.
MS. COIT: Exhibit 361.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 361?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. That is the step three decision we issued or I issued on April 22, 2013.
Q. Does that cover the reprimand and the termination grievance?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Defendants offer 361.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Is that the written decision that you issued?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Did you consult with anyone at the University of Oregon

Police Department prior to issuing that decision?
A. No.
Q. Can you go to page 3 of the document? No. Sorry.

Page 4. And the last paragraph.
A. Yes.
Q. It says, "Based on a review of these records, the decision of the UO to reprimand and terminate Mr. Cleavenger stands and the grievances are denied." Was that your ultimate conclusion? A. Yes.
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Q. Tell us what you did to reach that decision.
A. So I reviewed the records I had in front of me at the time, which was the grievances, the -- any decision of the university that was rendered prior to step three, so, for instance, the termination grievance or the termination letter, rather, and then, just based on what I read from there, rendered a decision based on the collective bargaining agreement, whether the collective bargaining agreement was violated, whether UO violated the collective bargaining agreement.
Q. And is that your focus when determining these grievance -these grievances, whether or not to uphold them or deny them, is whether or not the decision complied with the collective bargaining agreement?
A. Yeah. You're bound by the terms withing the collective
bargaining agreement. I mean, you can't go outside of that. It's did the union -- I'm sorry, did the university violate the terms -- the specific terms of the collective bargaining agreement in taking the action against the employee?
Q. And to be in compliance with the collective bargaining agreement, discipline has to be supported by just cause; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So that's all you're looking at in these grievances; correct?
Q. There's also been some testimony about statements you made
to Mr. Cleavenger, so I just wanted to ask, did you ever tell him that he could never succeed at arbitration and he had better -- the best he could hope for was to resign?
A. I -- in off-the-record sort of discussions with the union, I said, you know, "I -- I don't know the success or failure of your case. I can just sort of say it might not -- it doesn't look very good, just sort of based on what I'm seeing." Again, I didn't -- I didn't see what the union had yet to present. I
just saw what I was presented before walking into that meeting.
MS. COIT: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: Does that conclude your examination? MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel, cross-examination?

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Caufield. I'm Mark McDougal.
A. Good morning.
Q. You're the chief negotiator or were on behalf of the university with regard to the collective bargaining agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. I'll speak slower. Sorry. And you're the general point of contact for the universities when it comes to the collective
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bargaining agreement?
A. Yes and no. I mean, some universities have a labor relations person --
Q. Okay.
A. -- at their university. Some universities don't. And so at the universities that do have a labor relations person directly there, the university usually goes to that person. And if that person may need some assistance, they would then call me. And universities that don't have a labor relations person would call me and ask for interpretation or advice on the collective bargaining agreement.
Q. And at the relevant time you were given $U$ of $O$, University of Oregon, legal advice on how to handle grievances?
A. Correct. That was part of the job, yes. Yes.
Q. And you're acting on behalf of the employer, $U$ of $O$, versus the employee that was grieving the claim?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, how long had you been in that role as of the time this hearing that you're talking about?
A. Right. So I started with the OUS in August of 2012. August 27th, I believe. And so August of 2012 -- and this happened February or March, the start of it, so about six or seven months.
Q. Okay. You indicated, I believe, that you decided to consolidate the reprimand grievance with the termination
grievance for efficiency; isn't that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Isn't it a fact that Mr. Hagemann had already presided
over a reprimand grievance hearing?
A. He held that meeting, from what I understand, yes.
Q. So there's nothing to consolidate. There's already been one with no decision; right?
A. Right. So the decision would just be coming out as one.
Q. So did you attend that meeting or hearing to be able to have the information to make the decision?
A. No.
Q. But you made the decision?
A. Correct.
Q. Isn't your role to attempt to mitigate the risks of the exposure of the university when it comes to grievances or firings?
A. Absolutely. That's part of what the job as the general counsel is.
Q. And you called these hearings meetings, but you knew they were adversarial and you had the power to overturn a grievance; correct?
A. You did have the power to overturn a grievance, correct.
Q. And you thought they were adversarial at the time?
A. I believed coming into the role because I had -- a lot of
this has to -- so everyone knows, a lot of this has to do with
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Mr. Brailey. Because of how Mr. Brailey had handled other step three meetings prior to sort of me getting involved with them, I -- I assumed they were adversarial. That's how the union, through Mr. Brailey, were sort of handling those step three meetings. Very adversarial in nature.

After this, I -- you know, I had conversations with the union that really they shouldn't be that way, and we've attempted to now say, "Okay, well, let's go back to the way they should have been." And Mr. Brailey has really -- to my knowledge, has had a very limited role in the universities now because we've had these discussions with the union that we don't need to be adversarial. We don't need to do this. But this is -- this is why: This person is causing this. Maybe he should be removed from sort of that role, and he hasn't done much since.
Q. Let's look at what happened here.
A. Sure.
Q. There were no rules of procedures for how a step three hearing would take place; right?
A. Correct.
Q. But there was a history of tradition?
A. Yes, sure.
Q. You were aware of it?
A. I was aware of some, yeah.
Q. Ryan Hagemann, he trained you to do it his way, your boss?
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MR. MCDOUGAL: Can I have a copy of his deposition?
May I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I will direct your attention to page 40. And as soon as I get back, I'll tell you what line.

Question -- did you recall being asked the following
question: Did anybody give you any training about how a step three hearing was supposed to be administered?

Do you recall your answer?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And who did it?
A. Ryan Hagemann and Cindy Starkey.
Q. Do you recall how many -- Mr. Ahlen was there. Agree he's a pretty mellow guy?
A. That was -- that was my second time interacting face to face with Mr. Ahlen, and I -- can I agree he's a mellow guy?
Based on the tone of the emails that I got from him beforehand,
not -- I mean, I couldn't give that assessment, so I can't -- I
can't say that is true. I couldn't say that.
Q. Let me ask you this: This was the first step three
hearing you presided over?
A. Correct.
Q. And the last one?
A. Correct.
Q. The only one?
A. Correct.
Q. How many had Mr. Ahlen done? Any idea?
A. I do not.
Q. Did Mr. Ahlen share with you that in his experience this is not how these hearings go and he would like them to go the usual way?
A. I know in the email that he sent me after the meeting he explained how he would like them to go. I don't know if in that email he said this is how they go, but I know that in that email he sent afterwards, he kind of set forth how he would like it to go.
Q. Didn't he, in fact, ask you at the hearing to do it Mr. Hagemann's way, the way the union was used to?
A. That was so long ago. You know, maybe he did. I just don't -- I don't recall that.
Q. I want to show you an email and see if you got it. You might remember it from your deposition. Do you recall this document?
A. Well, I mean, the fact that it says rough draft, lines through it, sent to Brian. I'm assuming that this is the exact one that was sent to me.
Q. Why don't you take a minute to read it to see if it's -A. I could read this, and this was sent in April of '13. I
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couldn't tell you, without the original one that I -- that I
got, if this is the same one.
Q. Okay.
A. But I -- you know, maybe -- I'll take your word for it that it is. I just -- I don't have the original one.
Q. Well, let's do this: Can you read it and tell me if it's the gist of what you think the original one was?
A. Uh-huh, sure.

Yeah, this is what I was explaining before. There was an email afterwards where Mr. Ahlen attempted to sort of say this is what we should do going forward in, you know, any sort of meeting that we have. Sort of laying out his ground rules.
Q. Does this appear to be that email?
A. Not the email, but an email that I got, yeah.
Q. And did you get this email or the email -- I don't want to mischaracterize it -- prior to writing your letter?
A. Yes. Because the letter was April 22nd and this is

April 4th. It says it was sent on April 4th.
Q. You'll agree there was a day that was set aside to determine or review Mr. Cleavenger's termination. People showed up. For whatever reason, the hearing didn't go forward, and you were immediately asked to say, "Hey, can't we just mutually agree upon this: Can you do it the way Hagemann did it, and let's just have another one?"
A. And your question is?
Q. Did that happen?
A. Did what happened?
Q. The request for another hearing saying, "Look, there was confusion. There was problems. We want to have a hearing where we know what the format is, and yesterday that's not what happened."
A. In the end of the email he says that, Mr. Ahlen says that, you know, "Can we have another meeting?"
Q. Why couldn't they have another meeting with -- with expectations set before the meeting so there's not this confrontation?
A. That just had not been something we had done before, and this is already February, March, April -- we're three months into sort of setting this meeting. It's taken so long already, that, you know, the -- it was the union that -- it was the union's conduct that caused it to withdraw from the meeting, and now they're doing sort of, "Well, I'm sorry. Let's have another one." And we needed to move on and we needed to sort of say, "Okay. This is -- we're going to move forward now. And if it has to go to the next step, then it does. If it doesn't, then it doesn't."
Q. Did it cross your mind at the very first and very last -very first hearing you did went so awry that maybe you should do a do-over?
A. No, and I'll tell you why. Because Mr. Brailey was still
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part of it. And that was the crux of the problems throughout. I mean, I had conversations with the union. This is -- this seems to be the problem here.
Q. You -- you were a labor lawyer; right?
A. I still am.
Q. It's not really about the lawyers, is it?
A. No.
Q. Or the representatives, is it?
A. Not at all.
Q. It's about Mr. Cleavenger and the university?
A. That's right.
Q. And Mr. -- you would have Mr. Cleavenger lose his shot at a grievance because of Mr. Brailey, when it's not about Mr. Brailey?
A. He wasn't losing his shot at a grievance because it was ultimately arbitration that was a -- a neutral arbitrator that would come in and decide one way or the other for -- whether in favor of Mr. Cleavenger or in favor of the university, so it really didn't end with me. It was -- it was -- there was always another opportunity.
Q. Step three hearing. You had an obligation -- he had a right to a step three hearing. You understand my question. You expanded. Of course he could appeal. Anybody can appeal a step three hearing, so you could have none?
A. What do you mean "you could have none"?
Q. Well, I'm asking you why you didn't have one when you knew it wasn't about personalities of the representatives. It was about Mr. Cleavenger and the university.
A. Because that representative wasn't allowing the person to
talk. He wasn't allowing even -- even the steward to talk in these meetings, and that was the problem. We weren't getting anywhere with Mr. Brailey being there. And it just -- it wasn't productive. It just wasn't.
Q. Mr. Ahlen was the one physically present that had the documents; right?
A. Correct, yeah.
Q. That wanted to present them with witnesses; right?
A. Yeah, that was his statement.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
THE COURT: Have you concluded, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MS. COIT: No more questions.
THE COURT: May the witness being excused?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: You may step down. Your next witness,
please.
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MS. COIT: We're seeing if Mike Morrow has arrived. Defense calls Brandon Lebrecht.

THE COURT: Come up and raise your right hand, please.

BRANDON LEBRECHT,
called as a witness in behalf of the Defendant, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Please take the witness stand. And although you've been previously sworn, would you restate your name to the jury, please?

THE WITNESS: Brandon Lebrecht. L-E-B-R-E-C-H-T.
THE COURT: Thank you. Direct examination, please.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. COIT:
Q. Lieutenant Lebrecht, please tell us your current position at the University of Oregon.
A. I'm a police lieutenant. I oversee patrol, dispatch, property, and evidence.
Q. How long have you been at the University of Oregon?
A. Since January 3, 2011.
Q. Were you hired into the position of lieutenant?
A. Yes, I was at the time. It was public safety lieutenant.
Q. Do you recall who you interviewed with?

I was an associate member of the Placer County Dispute Resolution Committee, and was also part of the team that put together the officer-involved fatal incident protocol for
Placer County. Those are the ones I remember at this time.
Q. Did you attend a police academy?
A. Yes. In 1995.
Q. And was that in California?
A. Yes, Sacramento.
Q. Since 1995, have you maintained all your certifications with the -- whatever the agency is in the state you were working?
A. Yeah. After you leave, I think it's three years or five years after you leave, it expires, so I did a recertification course actually there in June of this year. So I'm currently certified in California and Oregon at this time. Sorry.
Q. All right. How big is Lincoln? The Lincoln Police Department? Or, excuse me, the City of Lincoln that you patrolled.
A. Well, when I first started there it was considered the fastest growing city in the nation. They went from about 7900 to I think around 43- or 44,000 while I was there. And then there was big budget cuts that hit. So at one point I know we had over 30-something officers, but it might be down to 12 to 14 now due to a lot people being laid off when the economy went bad.
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A. I remember Chief Tripp being there and now Chief McDermed. I believe she was there for part of the interviews. It was a long process. It was about eight hours total and there were officers that dropped in. I don't remember all that was -- I think Casey Boyd was there, Sean Brathwaite. Those are the ones I remember.
Q. Where were you working at that time?
A. At the Lincoln Police Department in California, in the Sacramento area.
Q. All right. So give us a description of both your professional background and your education, if you could.
A. Okay. I went to the academy in 1995 in Sacramento . It's
called the Sacramento Basic Recruit Academy, SBRA 95-1. After the academy, I started working for the Sacramento sheriff's department as a deputy sheriff.

I started out 10/1/95, my official hire date, full time, but before that I was sworn in as an on-call, which is paid, but no benefits, and that was 9/7/95. So for about three weeks, or so, I volunteered my time at the academy until they swore me in full time.

Once I began full time, I went to the jail, where everyone goes when they first start at the sheriff's department. And I partook in patrol training. They called it Patrol First Training. You actually had to pass patrol training to stay on the department. I think that was about four months, or so, at
the time. Maybe five. And then after that I rotated back to the jail until January 1998, at which time I went to north patrol and did some update patrol training. And I worked north patrol, which had a lot of areas in Sacramento, and responded to calls for service.

I became a field training officer, and then we had contract cities. One of them being Citrus Heights Police Department. And I transferred to Citrus Heights Police Department through the sheriff's department in April of 2000. And I worked there until December 26, 2002.

At that point I went to work for the Rockland Police Department as a patrol officer. While I was there, I was also a field training officer. I was on tactical training committee. I was a SWAT officer.

I left there on 9/3/2004 after I applied for a sergeant position with Lincoln PD, and I accepted that position, began there on $9 / 23 / 04$. And while I was at Lincoln PD, I held different assignments -- patrol sergeant, administrative sergeant, detective sergeant; had a lot of auxillary duties, such as background investigations, internal affairs investigations, officer-involved shooting investigations, computer -- computer voice stress analyzer, CVSA. People refer to it as lie detecters. Kind of an alternate to the polygraph. And I oversaw the field training and evaluation program. FTEP. I had some other assignments.
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Q. Why did you make the decision to come to UOPD?
A. Well, a lot of people being laid off, I wasn't in the
layoff list or anything like that, but we had a lot of pay reductions, as well, and my last year there I took over an 800-dollar-a-month pay cut, and that was after we took a pay cut the year before, as well. So it just became difficult to maintain paying my bills, and that's why I started looking for other jobs.
Q. Was there anything about the University of Oregon that attracted you to it specifically?
A. Yeah. I saw that it was trying to become the first police department at a university in the state of Oregon, so I thought that would be actually pretty cool to be part of that process and the transition.
Q. And you have family?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And tell us, are you married? Kids?
A. Yes, I'm married. I've been married for about 14 years, and I have three kids, all girls, ages eight, 10, and one of them just turned 13 recently.
Q. Tell us what it's like for you personally to come into a new department, especially in the role as a lieutenant.
A. Well, I went into a new department as a supervisor
previously in Lincoln PD, and I noticed a lot of the officers were kind of standoffish, because they weren't used to having
lateral people come in as management or supervisors, and so I kind of stayed quiet for a while, just to kind of feel people out and see how they react, and I know at one point I got feedback that it took -- basically, it was I was quiet for, you know, a while and then people finally got to know me -- when I went to Lincoln -- sorry, University of Oregon, I tried it the other way. I tried to fix that problem, so I jumped right in trying to joke around with everybody. So I took the feedback I was given and tried to change it.
Q. Can you describe for us your supervisory style? What kind of a supervisor are you?
A. Yeah. I was always referred to as a lead -by-example type of supervisor at Lincoln PD. I had more arrests, tickets, and citations, reports taken than all the other sergeants combined. I did a lot of training at the briefings. I, you know, worked in California for a while. I made a lot of arrests. I knew a lot about the laws there, so I commonly give scenarios and have the officers try to figure out all the case -- or all the codes that applied to them. And also we participate as a team in probation and parole searches, which you can't really do here in the state of Oregon, but there someone was on searchable probation. You can go to their houses or even contact them in public and do probation/parole searches.
Q. Do you give your subordinate officers feedback?
A. Yes. Yes. I've always given people feedback that I feel
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is necessary for them to actually succeed. Whether it be good or bad.
Q. Would you describe yourself as one to get angry and yell at subordinates?
A. No. Not at all.
Q. What is most important for you in law enforcement?
A. It's the same thing my sergeants told me when I started working in Sacramento County. Same thing when I was in Rockland. The sergeants and the lieutenants, too, is the goal of everybody is to get home at the end of the day. And so that was my goal, to make sure everybody got safe -- home safely at the end of each shift.
Q. When you came to the University of Oregon Police

Department, did that -- did that goal change, because you were on a college campus?
A. No. Still the goal was to make sure everybody got home at the end of the day.
Q. How would you describe the job patrolling the campus as opposed to a police officer in a city?
A. Well, the types of calls are different. I mean, obviously we didn't have firearms when I first got there, so in a lot of aspects it was more dangerous, because you're still dealing with people who have been known to have been violent with officers, you know, convicted felons, people with drugs in their history, a lot of violence. And, you know, not having an
option to defend yourself, we've had officers that actually contacted people that had guns on them with violent histories, and so it was just -- it was really different. I mean, a lot of it, too, especially at night, there's a lot of drunk college students. And, you know, that was different for me, because I didn't have a lot of experience dealing with that.

But the biggest thing for me was the fact that you're dealing with -- especially on graveyard, a lot of people who have violent histories and they could be armed, and we really didn't have anything other than a baton and pepper spray.
Q. So how does that fact -- the fact that you're not armed -excuse me, the fact that you're not armed, how does that change how you -- you approach a contact and interact with the people you're contacting on graveyard?
A. Well, I believe everybody should always be cautious. I mean, sure, be pleasant with everybody. I never had a complaint in my entire career from a citizen. That's over a 20-year career. Never been a subject of an internal affairs investigation or anything; only a witness on a few occasions.

But you be professional with them. As you talk to them, you listen to their side of the story, but you have a tactical way you're standing to where you can react if they were to pull any weapon on you or try to fight you, so you don't want people to get behind you. You don't want to turn your backs to them. You always want to have them in front of you, so you can see
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their hands and what they're doing. Especially because you're unarmed and it would take just a very short period of time for someone to pull out a gun. That's why I usually stand about an arm's length from people.
Q. Are these sort of -- these tactics and mindsets something that you taught your subordinate officers at the University of Oregon?
A. Yeah. I can't remember specifics, but I do know we had a
lot of discussions about tactics and how you stand when you talk to people, and that sort of thing. I just can't remember specifics. It's been a long time.
Q. Did you instill in your officers the need always be cautious and always be safe?
A. Like I said, that's just something we always talked about at briefing -- not every day, but it was something that would be brought up to make sure everybody is safe, make safe contact, make sure everybody gets home. It's like a family, a team, everybody needs to watch everyone's back out there in the field.
Q. All right. So before this lawsuit that we're here for today, did you ever see Mr. Cleavenger's job application or his resumé?
A. No.
Q. Did you have access to it?
A. No.
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Q. Let's talk a minute about your politics. Are you a vehement Republican as you have been described by
Mr. Cleavenger?
A. No, not at all.
Q. How would you describe yourself?
A. Well, if people were to talk about issues, I would listen to everybody's viewpoint, and I'm usually somewhere kind of in the middle. In fact, I haven't even voted since 1991 for the '92 election.

And, you know, back in 1987, I believe, when Al Gore was running, I think he -- he didn't end up getting selected. It was I think Dukakis that went. That was actually the candidate I liked at the time. But I was too young to vote anyway. But, I don't know, I just -- I look at every -- everything that they talk about and try to see which one I would side more with. But I just don't really consider myself one or the other.
Q. Have you -- are you the type of person who tries to import your political beliefs on others?
A. No. In fact, I didn't bring up any political discussion -- discussions. As you heard from Kent Abbott, it was joking. Kent Abbott was the one who would initiate things. And sometimes I would respond back.
Q. How did this political joking happen at the office?
A. Well, I would walk into briefing, and I would say at least 50 to 100 times he said something to me about
anti-Californians, anti-Republicans, and he just assumed I was Republican because I'm from California. I don't know why. But he just kept assuming I was a Republican, and he had made comments like, "Oh, yeah, you know, God's punishing Californians by all the earthquakes that are going on." I would joke back and say, "Oh, no, Kent. He punishes them by sending them to Oregon," because I know he's really set in living in Oregon his whole life, so I knew that would kind of get to him.

## It was a fun thing where we go back and forth.

Q. Did you ever try to convince anyone at UOPD that President Obama was Muslim?
A. No. I know there was some joking about that. I remember specifically -- I don't remember about Muslim, but I remember specifically about the "not being born in the United States" accusation.
Q. What do you recall about that?
A. I walked in one day and Kent Abbott said something to the effect of, "You know, what did George Bush ever do? Obama got Osama." And I said, "Hey, seen his birth certificate yet?" Like that. Totally sarcastic. And at that point Mr. Cleavenger was at his computer, he turned around and said, "He turned it in right away." And I said, "You're right. He turned it in about a month later." And that was the end of the discussion.
Q. Would you have stopped making political jokes with Kent Abbott had Mr. Cleavenger asked you to?
A. Yes. In fact, I even told Kent Abbott to, you know, lay low on that stuff when Mr. Cleavenger is around, because after that comment that he made in response, you know, to me talking about the birth certificate, I could tell he wasn't really happy about it. And I also talked to Sergeant Cameron, prior to coming to shift, to watch any political stuff, as well, because of that response from Mr. Cleavenger. And Sergeant Cameron actually told me, "Oh, that won't be a problem at all. I'm a Democrat."
Q. Are you a bully?
A. No.
Q. You heard the description Mr. Cleavenger gave about you cornering him in the hallway and poking him in the chest. Did that ever happen?
A. That was absolutely completely fabricated. That never happened whatsoever.
Q. Tell us what did happen.
A. Okay. The Occupy briefing. There's several briefings.

He's making accusations that somebody made a rape joke. I still maintain I never heard that. I wasn't there for the whole briefing that I'm going to refer to today. I walked in a little late, so I can't say what happened before I walked in.

I never saw him stand up, and he tried to explain the
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movement. All I remember is we were sitting there.
Lieutenant Bechdolt was there, as well. And I know he tried to say it was commanded by me, but there was two lieutenants there. Somebody asked if they had seen the Occupy camp, and Lieutenant Bechdolt's guy -- I believe it was Andrew Johnson; he was a public safety officer -- sitting right next to Lieutenant Bechdolt -- said, "Oh, it looks like District 9 down here," and everyone started laughing because of the movie. Well, it appeared everyone did. I didn't look over at Mr. Cleavenger at that point, but it appeared that the majority of the people were laughing. Because of the shanty town look of the tent city that Occupy had set up down there. There was a point during that briefing -- there was a lot of things that was discussed. I don't think I was even in there when Sergeant Cameron gave Mr. Cleavenger an assignment for the night to watch the Occupy camp.

It was pointed out to me by Sergeant Matchulat, you know, "Hey, why is Cleavenger upset over there?"

## I said, "I don't know."

So he pointed it out, and I looked at him, and he had his legs crossed. And it looked like he was kind of pouting a little bit. You could tell something was bothering him. At one point he just got up and walked out. You know, Matchulat looks over at me. Matchulat was sitting to my left. I think Cameron was to my right, Sergeant Cameron. He's like, "What's
up with him?"
"I don't know. We'll find out after the briefing."
So all I remember after that is I'm pretty sure
Sergeant Cameron went and got him, but I was sitting in my office, behind my desk. Mr. Cleavenger was siting in a chair against the wall, maybe 10 or 15 feet away in front of my desk, and then Sergeant Cameron was sitting at another desk over my left.

And we were asking him, "Hey, what's going on? Why are you upset?" And he kept saying he wasn't upset.

And I said, "Even your response, you can tell you're upset," because of his tone of voice.

And it went back and forth a couple times. And then finally he leans forward in his chair, and he throws his hands out to the side and he shouts, "I didn't like the assignment you gave me."

And so at that point -- this is where my deposition it said my voice was a little elevated. I said, "You're going to do any damn assignment you're given." Because it completely caught me off guard. I never experienced Mr. Cleavenger yelling at me at that point or any other officer that I supervised. So it just completely caught me off guard.

And then I -- I added in, after that, "Unless it's I, immoral, or unethical, you have to do the assignments you're given."
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And Sergeant Cameron says to him, "You're the low man on the totem pole. Sometimes you're going to get assignments you don't like." And then he goes, "You understand?"
Mr. Cleavenger said, "Yes." That was the end of the discussion.

There was no confrontation in the hallway. Nobody ever poked him. In fact, when they brought up that poking thing in the opening statement, as I pointed out, that's the first time we've ever even heard about that before.

And I would think if I poked somebody, that's a harassment
or assault or there would have been a report about it.
Q. All right. Did -- so there was no cornering in the hallway that you recall?
A. Not by me. Absolutely not. I couldn't say what anybody
else did, but I know for a fact I never talked to him in the hallway after that briefing.
Q. All right. So let's -- let's talk a minute about this
list. Can you -- first describe for us what is the physical setup of a briefing. What is it like?
A. A lot of people sitting around in chairs. Sometimes people are doing stuff on the computers. But it's really a team-building thing. I don't know how it is in the, you know, private industry or anything like that, because I've worked in law enforcement since I was 22, 23 years old. But in law enforcement, people are joking around a lot, saying a lot of
things that probably wouldn't sound appropriate if you were in the private industry. People are talking about their personal lives and kind of -- I don't know. It's like a family team building thing and almost -- almost venting to each other once -- once in a while so you don't ruin your relationship with your spouse or significant other at home, because some of the stuff that goes on at work, you know, the spouse doesn't want to hear about it or significant other.
Q. All right. Is there -- is there like someone standing in the front of the room that leads this briefing, or is it more everyone sitting down together discussing things?
A. Completely informal. Everyone is sitting in chairs. I mean, someone who walks in might be standing up and if they have a few things to say, then they'll leave. But that could be anyone. Even an officer. But everyone is sitting around completely informal, in chairs.
Q. How did this idea of added to the list, how did this start as far as you know?
A. You know, I don't really know. I just remember that Eric LeRoy had some names on his phone, and he said he had a list. I remember he mentioned Eli Manning. I don't remember. He mentioned a couple other things. And then, you know, you drive into work -- on Franklin Boulevard the lanes are pretty narrow, so people often come into your lane, and you have to kind of move out of the way. So it would be like, "Hey, Eric, people
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who don't maintain their lanes on Franklin Boulevard, put them on your list." It was stuff that was a complete joke. Almost like a shock factor sometimes. Like people suggesting ABBA. That was one of my favorite groups. They're on the list. And it's people trying to say the funniest things to make people laugh. Like Yanni and Enya, or whatever else. It was just ridiculous stuff that was a joke.
Q. Did you tell Officer LeRoy to keep this list?
A. No. Not at all.
Q. Was it, the discussion itself, about "so and so" and "put that on the list," was it ever mean-spirited? A. Not when I was around, no. Q. Was there ever a theme to the list? A. No. Not that I remember. I mean, it seems to have gone on quite a while after 2011. I mean, I didn't hear about it since 2011, and there was a lot of stuff when I actually saw it -- because I had never seen the actual list before. It was a surprise to me. There's a lot of -- like 225 things on that list, and there was nowhere near that in 2011.

I know that, because, you know, I remember once he read some stuff that was on his list, and he said Brian Vizzusi. So I said, "Oh-oh. Now I know for a fact he's actually got a list on his phone," because, you know, he was looking at his phone and he was reading stuff off of it. And I went to him after that -- after that briefing, and I said, "Can you take Brian

Vizzusi off that list?" I said, "Nobody knows who he is anyway." He says, "He's earned his spot. I'm taking him off."
Q. That was your former boss?
A. That's correct.
Q. Was this ever a "let's target and hate liberals" list?
A. No. I never heard anything like that.
Q. Looking back on it, this whole idea of Officer LeRoy having a list on his phone and talking about things to go on a list, would you do anything differently?
A. Yeah. I would have put a stop to it right away. I mean, obviously, I would never partake in anything like that again. Even though it was a joke, I wouldn't do that again.
Q. All right. Last question about the list. Not last question.
A. All right.
Q. Was Ann Aiken ever discussed to be put on this list?
A. You know, I don't remember her name ever being mentioned
when I was there, so when I -- I saw Mr. Cleavenger's
allegations in the newspapers, shortly after the Bradymaterial was submitted, I had to actually Google who Ann Aiken was, who Lauren -- I don't know if it's Reagan or Regan -- who she was. There's a lot of people I never even heard of before.
Q. So you heard Mr. Cleavenger testify that she got on the list, he thought, because there was a bike ride you and he went on and you talked about a garden. Do you recall that
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## testimony?

A. I recall him saying that, yes.
Q. And any truth to that?
A. I went on bike rides with him and LeRoy. I never remember Drake going with us. And I don't remember going by a garden and talking about Ann Aiken or whatever he said.
Q. Before this lawsuit was filed, did you even know who Ann Aiken was?
A. No. Like I said, I had to Google her name to figure out who she was.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, this might be a good time for our break.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, don't discuss this matter or form or express any opinion concerning the case. We'll come get you in about 20 minutes. Have a nice recess.

Lieutenant, you may step down.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: Counsel, 20 minutes.
Okay. Let's take 20 minutes.
(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: The jury is present, all counsel and parties are present. The witness is on the stand. Counsel, if you would like to continue your direct examination.

MS. COIT: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Lieutenant Lebrecht, would you consider yourself a nice guy?
A. I would think so. I consider myself that.
Q. Do you care about your officers?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Have you, on occasion, let officers come into your office for a while, while on graveyard shift?
A. Yes. In fact, all the officers, to my recollection, would come into my office and talked from time to time.
Q. Is there a specific reason that sometimes it's important for officers to do that during graveyard shift?
A. Yes. Because graveyard shift really affects people's sleep cycles. And a lot of times, especially when there's not a lot of calls on certain nights, and it's sometimes hard for people to stay awake, so we have had officers that have fallen asleep on duty. So I said, "Instead of doing that, which could pose a safety risk, come in, get a cup of coffee. Come talk to me. You know, walk around the office. Do that instead of falling asleep."
Q. And, specifically, the officer that fell asleep was Officer LeRoy; correct?
A. He's one of the two that I'm aware of, yes.
Q. And, on occasion, would Officer LeRoy, after this happened, come into your office for a while to talk with you to
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## wake up?

A. Yeah. I mean, it might have been once a shift or a couple times a week that he would come by.
Q. Have you and Officer LeRoy ever sat for almost an eight-hour shift in your office just wasting time?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. All right. Former Lieutenant Boyd, Casey Boyd, testified
that you told her many times that you like to mother fuck
people. Is there any truth to that statement?
A. I never said that to Casey.
Q. Ever said to that anybody?
A. No. I'm familiar with the phrase. And the phrase is if somebody is badmouthing somebody, you would say, "Hey, I heard such and such is MFing you." So that's the only context I would have ever heard it being used, to my recollection.
Q. That's not something you said to Casey Boyd?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Is -- from what you know, is Ms. Boyd mad at you?
A. Oh, yes. Definitely.
Q. Tell us why you think that.
A. Well, as she testified, I reported several of the things she had done, which I felt were completely unprofessional and not becoming of a -- somebody in law enforcement, such as pounding on the window with a flashlight. And, you know, "Give me my F'ing drink." And you know another time I was in the
car -- I was in the car with her in the passenger seat. And it was at a McDonald's drive-through on Broadway, right off of Franklin. And I remember sinking down saying, "Oh my gosh," because it was so embarrassing, and she exchanged words with that employee when they came to the drive-through.
"Give me my F'ing drink. It's right there. Give it to me. I know you're doing this to me on purpose." He said, "I got a call from my manager."

I mean, it was really embarrassing.
Another time we were coming back -- oh.
Q. Sorry. At some point did you make some complaints about Ms. Boyd's activity that led to her internal affairs investigation?
A. Yes. I'd say a few.
Q. Did she become aware that you had given information about that internal affairs investigation?
A. Yes, she did.
Q. Tell me how you know that.
A. Well, because I shared an office with her, and Mike Morrow had told me that the former Chief Tripp told him he had to disclose to Casey Boyd what exactly I had said about her. And I guess it had something to do, from what I recall, about her inability to recall specific things. And so he was giving me the heads-up, because I had to share an office with her and kind of watch my back.
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And, strangely, after she was talked to that night, I came in the office and I said "hi" to her, and she just glared at me, and her face was just red. You could tell she was angry. She didn't say anything back. I checked some emails, or whatever. I went off to briefing for, I don't know, 20 minutes or so. And I came back. And my fan that I turned on at my desk was completely smashed. And I said -- you know, there's no way anyone else was in there, because everyone else was in briefing.

I said, "What happened to my fan?" And she was just at her computer, and she just looks over at me with this evil look and kind of smiles and just kept typing on her computer again. And I was like, oh, man.

And then a little bit after she got removed from the building, it got back to me she was saying karma was going to get me, and then my tire got slashed one day later.

But I couldn't be certain that was her. It was just weird timing.
Q. But Lieutenant Morrow expressed to you that he had shared
with Casey Boyd that you were one of the ones that made the complaints against her?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. During 2011, did you supervise Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. What was your role vis-à-vis him?
A. I was the lieutenant in charge of the patrol shift on the graveyard shift. I believe I supervised him for part of 2012, as well, on a swing shift, I believe. But 2011, lieutenant that oversaw the briefings. There was also a sergeant there. Q. Up to about October, November of that year, how would you describe your relationship with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I thought it was great.
Q. How did he present to you as a person and as an officer during that time period?
A. Well, it was kind of hard to think back and really explain it, but I remember he was contacting a lot of people. I mean, that's from -- it's undisputed. I rode bikes with him a few times, and I remember we joked around a few times. But other than that, I mean, it seemed that when you talked to him about performance was when he started getting defensive.

But he seemed like, at that point, like a happy-go-lucky guy.
Q. At some point during 2011 did you begin to have concerns about Mr. Cleavenger's performance?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us, what were those concerns?
A. Well, one of them is on a bike ride. I believe Eric LeRoy talked about it. Me, Eric LeRoy, and Mr. Cleavenger were riding bikes, and we were behind the night library. There were some students somewhere in this courtyard area, and they were,
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you know, messing around out there. Mr. Cleavenger got off his bike, stood up, kind of like a superhero, with his hands on his hips, and spoke in a deep voice, "Halt, you hooligans."

I mean, it was just kind of awkward. I remember me and Mr. LeRoy were like, "We need to get out of here." It's kind of embarrassing. So it's things like that here and there that were a little weird, I thought.
Q. Were other officers coming to you during this time period with their own stories about Mr. Cleavenger and what he was doing on shift?
A. Yes. There were a few stories.
Q. All right. At some point did you speak to

Lieutenant Morrow about what was going on with Mr. Cleavenger, what was being observed with him during early 2012?
A. Yes. I believe I spoke with --
Q. I'm sorry. My time period is wrong. Late 2011.
A. Yes, 2011. I believe it was the end of October of 2011. Part of it was Mike Morrow -- I don't know who the second person was, but there was two people that pointed out Mr. Cleavenger's lack of grooming. And Mike Morrow asked me to talk to him about it. So that's one of the things.

And me and Sergeant Cameron had Mr. Cleavenger in the office. We're talking about his grooming. We offered to give him the policy. He said he knew what the policy was. And I said, "Well, you're clearly unshaven." And he said, "Well, I
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BY MS. CoIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 420?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that a series of emails, one from you and a response
from Mike Morrow?
A. That's correct.
Q. What's the date on that?
A. October 29th.
Q. Is Chief McDermed copied on that email?
A. Yes, she is.
Q. Is the subject of that email Mr. Cleavenger?
A. It says: Subject, informal notes and regarding informal
notes. It's discussing Mr. Cleavenger.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, I offer 420.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Is this -- do you have one that
has an email time on it? Is it the same one we had last week?
THE COURT: 420 is received, Counsel.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish.
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. The top of that email is from
Lieutenant Morrow, and he says he shared your notes with
Chief McDermed. It was agreed you need to immediately take
corrective action. Is this in regard to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. Yes, it is.

```
shave, on average, every other day."
```

shave, on average, every other day."
I said, "Well, the policy says you have to be clean
I said, "Well, the policy says you have to be clean
shaven. Male employees have to be clean shaven."
shaven. Male employees have to be clean shaven."
He said his skin gets irritated. And that's when
He said his skin gets irritated. And that's when
Sergeant Cameron said, "Well, perhaps you can get a doctor's
Sergeant Cameron said, "Well, perhaps you can get a doctor's
note and maybe we can do something about it."
note and maybe we can do something about it."
When he left we were under the understanding that he
When he left we were under the understanding that he
realized he had to shave every day. And there were a couple
realized he had to shave every day. And there were a couple
times after that when he still didn't. That was pointed out by
times after that when he still didn't. That was pointed out by
the people again.
the people again.
Q. At some point did you share some notes with
Q. At some point did you share some notes with
Lieutenant Morrow about concerns that had been observed with --
Lieutenant Morrow about concerns that had been observed with --
or, excuse me, Officer Cleavenger?
or, excuse me, Officer Cleavenger?
A. Yes. I know I sent some email. That was either to
A. Yes. I know I sent some email. That was either to
Mike Morrow. Maybe to the chief -- Chief McDermed, as well.
Mike Morrow. Maybe to the chief -- Chief McDermed, as well.
It was --
It was --
MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor?
MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
THE COURT: You may.
THE WITNESS: It was email.
THE WITNESS: It was email.
MS. COIT: Exhibit 420.
MS. COIT: Exhibit 420.
THE COURT: What number?
THE COURT: What number?
MS. COIT: 420.
MS. COIT: 420.
THE COURT: 420? Has that already been received,
THE COURT: 420? Has that already been received,
Counsel?
Counsel?
MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.

```
    MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.
```

Q. Tell me why you shared your concerns with

Lieutenant Morrow at this point.
A. Well, from what I remember at the time, he had exhibited
some bizarre behavior. You know, some of which I witnessed, some of which others had witnessed and reported to me, and it
also had to do with the grooming that he just failed to follow,
for whatever reason, and I was concerned about his performance.
And a lot was officer safety issues, as well.
Q. Tell us some of the officer safety issues that you were aware of at this point.
A. Well, one of them was the August 27th -- what is referred
to as the javelin incident. And I think that was out of
Hilyard and Eighth. And Mr. Cleavenger had contacted someone,
I believe, for prohibited camping. The subject -- it came over the radio, and so it was Mr. Cleavenger, Eric LeRoy. And me and Drake, Michael Drake, were standing, I don't know, maybe 25 to 30 feet away, perhaps. From what I remember. It's been like four years.

But I remember we were standing a little bit of distance away from Mr. Cleavenger and Eric LeRoy, who were both with this subject that Mr. Cleavenger contacted.

It comes over the radio. He runs a records check. And it comes over the radio that this guy had a warrant. I don't remember what the warrant was for at this point. And he was also known to be aggressive with officers and was known to
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carry a javelin. And as I'm looking over to where they are, as I'm talking to Mr. Drake, all of a sudden, I see this guy digging through a backpack. I mean, I can't see exactly how far his hands are in it or how far he's digging in there. I see his hands appear to go into a backpack.

Then he's making a phone call.
So I said, "Hey, put that guy in handcuffs over there."
And so they did. And I am talking to Michael Drake a
little more. And so after the incident we tried to debrief it.
Q. Tell us, first, what were the concerns about that?
A. Okay. Well, the concerns are, first, this guy has a warrant, which Mr. Cleavenger did tell him, "Oh, you have a warrant," so I -- I didn't add that part in, but he did tell him he had a warrant. And he's known to be aggressive with officers and that he's known to carry weapons, be it a javelin or not, to me, because he's known to be aggressive with officers, has a warrant, which means he's going to jail, and is known to carry any weapon. It doesn't matter to me what the weapon is. It's really unsafe, especially us all being unarmed, to let somebody go through a backpack or make a phone call.

I mean, that guy could say, "Hey, you know, we're over here. Come get me real quick." I mean, it's just completely unsafe in my opinion.

And so we debriefed it afterwards, and I expressed my
concerns to Mr. Cleavenger, and then he just didn't really seem to get some of it. Like, well, it was a javelin. I don't see how he could have a javelin in his backpack.

And then Eric LeRoy said to him, "You know, you didn't -you didn't search his pockets either before you put him in the car. You kind of just touched the outsides of them."

And then Mr. Cleavenger said, "Well, EPD" -- Eugene Police Department -- "they search him anyway."

I said, "You still want to make sure -- you search him anyway to make sure there's no one going in your car with a gun or any other weapon."

So there was just a lot of concern for that one incident, in particular, but there's other incidents, as well.
Q. Okay. What is the purpose of debriefing after an incident like that?
A. Yeah. It's to learn from possible mistakes that were made, to see what you did that's good and what you can improve on. I mean, some of the things were good. He made a proactive stop. But after that, after the warrant return came back, I thought it was really necessary to go over it so we correct any kind of unsafe -- potentially unsafe behavior, and hopefully he wouldn't do that again in the future.

So my point is to try to get him to understand, get everyone else's viewpoint, too. When you do a debriefing, everyone gets to talk.
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Then if they basically second-guess -- because that's what you're doing is talking after the fact -- it's open for everybody. They can say, "Well, I didn't like the way you did this to me."

So rank is disregarded when you are doing debriefings. It's an open discussion that everybody basically holds a similar rank to where you're all just talking about how things could be done better in the future.
Q. The purpose there, talking to Mr. Cleavenger, was it to teach, to help him learn from the mistakes he had made?
A. That's correct.
Q. It wasn't disciplinary, was it?
A. No.
Q. All right. So back to 420. So is Lieutenant Morrow
suggesting you take some action with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. It says immediately take corrective actions to attempt to change his undesired behavior. Especially the lack of officer safety awareness.
Q. Okay. Was this -- did this precede you giving

Mr. Cleavenger a letter of clarification?
A. Yes. That's correct.
Q. There's been some testimony about the work plan he was put on, the weekly evaluations. Is that the formal work plan that Lieutenant Morrow was suggesting you do?
A. Yes. Discussed right here.
A. Yes. I made some wording changes.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor?
Q. Did you issue a letter of clarification to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. I attempted once on November 4th, but then eventually issued the final version after discussing with him on November 18, 2011.
Q. Well, tell me what you mean by that. You attempted to.
A. Well, when I brought the letter of clarification to him -usually, when you have a letter of clarification -- it's called different things at different departments, but it's not discipline. It's almost like a verbal counseling, but it's in writing.

And so usually when you give these to employees -- I've given out many before, since I was a sergeant at Lincoln PD, for about -- a little -- little under six and a half years before I came here. I had experience giving out a lot of these things.

You give it to them. They read it over. They talk to you a little bit about it, and it's done.

But Mr. Cleavenger was writing notes on it. He said, "Do you mind if I write on this?" He's basically saying that the stuff in there is not true. It's inaccurate. He wanted to make a lot of changes to it.
Q. Did you make some changes in -- and present him with a revised version?
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THE COURT: You may.
MS. COIT: Exhibit 312.
THE COURT: 312?
MS. COIT: It's already -- it's offered and received
in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Is 312 and 3? I'm confused by that.
MS. COIT: Plaintiff introduced the same document.
It's the same as Plaintiff's 3. We'll just --
THE COURT: 312 is 3 . We'll just refer to it as
Exhibit 3. That's already been received, Counsel.
MS. COIT: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Is this the letter of clarification you issued to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. It appears signed by both of us on November 18,

## 2011.

Q. Let's go through a couple of the things -- again, is this a disciplinary document?
A. It's nondisciplinary.
Q. What is its purpose?
A. To correct any undesired or potentially undesired behaviors to make someone be successful in the future an d not have the things that you have to discuss in this reoccur. Q. Did it generally come after you already discussed the issues with the employee?
need medical assistance? And I remember Officer Hermens cleared the call and shortly after that, Mr. Cleavenger goes out with the same subject, and there's some radio traffic that Officer Hermens told him to stand by; that subject is intoxicated and aggressive. And I recall -- I don't know the exact wording, but it was, you know, "He's okay and in the back of my car now."

And so I remember Hermens cleared himself on the radio from the call, but he later came in to talk to me that he was concerned about that incident and -- oh, yes, and then Mr. Cleavenger also put over the radio that he is transporting the missing person back to Occupy Eugene or back to Occupy, however he said it, but he meant Occupy Eugene.

And I heard that, and I said, "Missing person? What missing person is he referring to ?" Because I was working that night with him, and there was nothing over the radio about a missing person.

So I even made phone calls to dispatch confirming, "Hey, is there anything about a missing person tonight?" And they told me no. So that was another concern.

Then Officer Hermens later came in to me to talk to me about his concerns.
Q. What were his concerns?
A. Well, he, you know, told me that he told Mr. Cleavenger to
stop, but it was really, "Standby. He's intoxicated and
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aggressive." He told me, "You know, I told him not to put him in his vehicle," I believe were his exact words to me. And then he said he put him in his vehicle without patting him down for weapons. And he's like, "I don't understand that. That guy was aggressive. He put him in the back of his car without patting him down for weapons."

And then he said, "I followed him to the Occupy camp for his safety." And he goes, "I saw Mr. Cleavenger open the door, and the guy kind of, you know, jumped out of the car and ran into the Occupy area, the Occupy camp."
Q. Was there also an issue about him not being run for a records check?
A. Yes. I talked to Mr. Cleavenger about that when I talked to him later about the incident.
Q. Okay. And what was that issue?
A. Well, when I brought him in, brought Mr. Cleavenger in to talk to him, I asked him, "Why would you transport this guy? You hadn't patted him down." He said, "Well, I did pat him down."

I said, "Well, Jim, you were seen not patting him down prior to putting him in your car."

He said, "Well, maybe I didn't then."
Then I said, "Well, then you put on the radio that this is a missing person from Occupy." I said, "Nobody knew what you were talking about."

He said, "Everybody knew what I was talking about. I put that on the radio."

I said, "Jim, you didn't put that on the radio." I believe I even mentioned to him I checked with dispatch and they couldn't find it either.

And then I said, "Plus, this guy that you transported, without patting him down, he's known to be intoxicated and aggressive. You put him in your car without patting him down . You say he's missing. There's no information saying he's missing. And then you didn't even run him for a records check to see who this guy even was or if he had a warrant or what."

So the whole combined situation was very concerning to me because Officer Hermens had thought this guy was intoxicated and aggressive. He sees Mr. Cleavenger put him in his car, without patting him down. Mr. Cleavenger tells me he patted him down until I told him, "Well, somebody saw you not patting him down." Then he said, "Well, maybe I didn't." And then he said, "This is some missing person," and "I put it over the radio," he says, and he never did.

And then after doing some more research, I made some phone calls to dispatch. I looked in the computer logs, and I
found -- oh, yeah, Mr. Cleavenger had told me, "Well, if it's not on the radio, then I called dispatch on the phone and asked them to run this person for warrants."

So I called the dispatcher and got a hold of Michelle, who
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was in dispatch, and she says, yeah, she recalled talking to
Mr. Cleavenger on the non-recorded line in dispatch. She says he didn't ever run him for warrants. He just said, "Is this guy in jail? Because his wife is looking for him."

I said, "So it's confirmed? So he never asked you if this person had warrants or to check if he had warrants?"

And she said, "No."
I said, "Did you check him for warrants?"
And she said "No."
And so I -- I talked about that with Mr. Cleavenger later, and he basically was, well, I was under the assumption that, you know, she ran him for warrants.

So it's -- it's every time I give him information, the story seems to change.

Just like part of the research I did was I found he was at Franklin and Onyx on a wave-down in that same time frame, which was a little bit before, within an hour, or so, probably, before that. And I asked him, "You were out on a wave-down near the Occupy camp?"

He goes, "Oh, yeah, that's when somebody told me that there was a missing person."

I said, "Again, you never told anyone that anyone was missing."

And he still insisted that he did.
Q. All right. So after this clarification was issued, did
you put Mr. Cleavenger onto a work plan?
A. Yes. The one that's discussed in that prior email.
Q. At that point in time, what was your -- what was your thoughts about what a work plan should entail?
A. Well, basically performance guidelines to get him to improve on the issues that I thought he was having.
Q. Did you express to Chief McDermed that you thought you should ride with him; be with him?
A. I recall at least talking to Chief Tripp about that, and he told me that he didn't want me to ride with him.
Q. So what ultimately was decided upon for the work plan?
A. That I would do weekly evaluations based on his
performance. I would occasionally show up to some of the calls, get feedback from some of his co-workers, and review records in the computer.
Q. Did you tell Mr. Cleavenger that he was being put on this work plan?
A. Yes, I did. I believe it's even in that letter of
clarification he was handed. Somewhere near the bottom.
MS. COIT: Permission to approach?
THE COURT: You may. What's the document? MS. COIT: 31.
THE COURT: 31?
MS. COIT: 31. It's been received by plaintiff. THE COURT: That's already been received? You can
bicycles, and conducting contacts of suspicious persons and bicycle traffic violaters. So that was a good thing?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's look at areas for improvement.
A. Okay.
Q. So were there still a few things you were having concerns with over this first week?
A. Yes.
Q. Explain that to us.
A. Well, it says Officer Cleavenger can improve upon find ing a balance of discretionary action. He seems to favor issuing many warnings rather than taking corrective enforcement action. On one occasion, he issued a warning to a subject who was intoxicated while riding a bicycle. The reason for the stop was riding a bicycle without proper lighting equipment. The subject reminded Cleavenger -- Mr. Cleavenger he had stopped him the previous week for the same violation. Mr. Cleavenger still gave the subject a warning.
Q. Why is that an area for improvement?
A. Well, because usually when you already had given someone a warning the first time, the second time would be a citation.
Q. Did you talk about that with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Let's go to page 2 .
A. Okay.
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Q. Let's go to areas for development.
A. All right.
Q. Here you say that Mr. Cleavenger has been quickly advising that he's code four after contacting subjects. Why is that a concern?
A. Well, code four, in the police speak, is cancel anyone else. Meaning, you're okay. You don't need assistance. And I remember the impression at the time that we had -- me and Sergeant Cameron -- was that, you know, he might be canceling people that are coming to his call so they don't see him messing anything up. That's the impression we had.

And that by doing so, I mean, he could be jeopardizing his safety if he really does needs a backup unit and he just immediately is canceling backup. So that was the concern. Q. All right. And you -- it sounds like you spoke to Mr. Cleavenger about it, and his response was -- he could immediately determine the contact was going to be low-key.

Do you recall that discussion with him?
A. Yes.
Q. Any concerns with that perception he's having?
A. Well, you know, when I'm not there with him, I'm not aware of his perception of what he's seeing. I mean, there are occasions when you can tell things might be low-key, but you still stay aware and on guard anyway.

So I've cancelled people. I've cancelled backup on calls,
too. So without me really being there, I don't know what his perception was.

But it still was concerning, because, you know, the perception that he was doing it to avoid having anyone reporting anything on it. Because that's kind of how we came to these evaluations is people were voicing concern to us.
Q. Okay. Let's look at page 3. Page 3. Officer Cleavenger did well in all areas this week.

So a good week for Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Page 4?
A. Okay.
Q. Again, he had a good week?
A. Yes.
Q. So, in your mind, at this time period, was Mr. Cleavenger improving? He was accepting what you were telling him, and he was getting better?
A. Yes. I didn't see him exhibiting any behavior that he exhibited before.
Q. So let's go to the last. Under area for development.
A. Okay.
Q. Now, I want to look at the last sentence or second-to-last.

Officer Cleavenger should realize that debriefings are for future training benefits for everyone on scene during the

$$
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incident and not an attack or a "got you" moment.
Explain for us why you wrote that there. What does that mean?
A. Well, it was specific to an incident that Mr. Cleavenger had called out a criminal mischief in progress. I don't remember if he said criminal mischief or vandalism, but it's the same thing. Then he voiced that people were running. And at the time we had someone doing a specific graffiti tag all over campus, and so we thought this could be the person that was doing that.

And so everybody rushes over there. And when we got there -- you know, I remember Mr. Cleavenger gave good instructions as to where these people went to, so everyone was accounted for, to what I can recall. He said whatever direction the people went. You know, he did a good job setting people up.

So when we debriefed it afterwards -- well, I remember before debriefing, and I said, "Well, where are the people that ran?"

And he made a comment something similar to, "Well, they weren't actually running, but they were walking really fast."

And I said, "Well" -- then we're into a debriefing at this point. And I said, "Well, you know, where's the criminal mischief or vandalism?"

And he said, "Well, they were throwing things out of a
dumpster."
And I said, "Well, everyone is running here thinking it's this person that's been doing all the graffiti all over campus, and it's just someone throwing stuff out of a dumpster."

And then you could tell he got a little upset, and he said, "Well, I guess I could have said it was just people throwing things out of a dumpster." And then he says, "I feel as if you're trying to get me," right in front of everybody.

And I said, "Jim, this isn't an 'I got you' moment. We're doing a debriefing here."

So that's why I put that in here.
Q. Okay. So this is the fifth week. At this point, did you recommend that these evaluations be terminated?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. Well, because even with him -- with him saying the "I got you" moment on the debriefing, I mean, I thought debriefings were probably still new to him, and I did see the improvement in the areas I noted here. The officer safety, professionalism in the public, you know, the angel wings and all that stuff, and grooming.

And so to me I didn't take into consideration really that he didn't take a debriefing really well. I was still focused on what these evaluations were for.

And so I felt he was doing a good job and had vastly
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improved in those other areas.
Q. Did you write an end-of-evaluation-period summary?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. COIT: Exhibit 314.
THE COURT: 314.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What's Exhibit 314?
A. This is basically an end-of-an-evaluation email in the form of a memorandum I sent to Chief McDermed, who was the assistant chief at the time.
Q. What was the purpose of this memorandum?
A. It was to explain that I felt Mr. Cleavenger had improved to acceptable levels and I didn't think the weekly evaluations were further necessary.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, defendants offer 314.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish.
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. So the top categories, did those explain what led to him being put on weekly evaluations?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So here you say that your behavior should have been addressed and corrected during the FTO program. I believe Officer Cleavenger was a product of the training officer's influence and corrective action was necessary. Tell me what you mean by that.
A. Well, Mr. Drake had a tendency to just sit in dispatch for quite a bit of time and show up on Eugene police calls instead of doing our job at the University of Oregon. We also had another person that trained with him after Mr. Cleavenger, and that's Jared Davis, who already testified.

And there was concern that Mr. Davis, Jared Davis, wasn't being very proactive. What we found was because -- it had nothing to do with him. It was because Mr. Drake was bringing his trainees into dispatch for an extended period of time and spending a lot of time off campus.
Q. All right. So you say, lower down, "Because of this friendship" -- did you know that Mr. Drake and Mr. Cleavenger had become friends during the training period?
A. Yes. They often talked at briefing about going skiing. I
don't know how many times they went, but they talked about it and they said spelunking, which is caving, I guess. Cave exploring. So I heard them talk about those things at briefing.

And also another thing that Drake had said that
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Mr. Cleavenger was his wingman and got him a date from the university district hospital -- with a nurse -- and that's why I felt they were friends.
Q. So because of this friendship, I believe Officer Drake treated Officer Cleavenger more as a friend than a trainee and allowed him to get away with inappropriate and at times unsafe behavior. There was no documentation to support the officer safety issues and peculiar behavior that Officer Cleavenger was displaying due to a breakdown in the FTO program.

Does that mean that it was your opinion that these behaviors were probably in existence during the FTO or the field training stage?
A. Oh, definitely, based on what I heard Mr. Drake say quite often.
Q. Okay.
A. Do you want to know what he told me?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, I'll object as to hearsay again and again.

THE COURT: Overruled.
But, Counsel, there's no question pending.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Let's go to the next page.
A. Okay. In the middle of this paragraph it says, "I met with Officer Cleavenger several times to discuss his performance and conducted debriefings of calls he's handled.

Officer Cleavenger was initially hesitant to accept feedback, but he advised he now understands the importance of feedback for his development."
Q. Did Officer Cleavenger at some point acknowledge to you that he had trouble accepting feedback?
A. Yes, he did. That's why I put it in here.
Q. And it was his intent to start accepting feedback in the future?
A. Yes. That's why I thought he wasn't familiar with debriefings.
Q. Okay. Let's go to the next paragraph.

Here it says he had established a representation with his peers as having poor officer safety and not issuing citations for anything. What did you base that statement on?
A. It was a lot of feedback from his co-workers. That he just gives warnings. I remember specific incidents. Some people up on a roof, and they ran away, and he was expected to give a person a citation. He didn't. He gave them a warning instead.

There was another situation where there was a known trespasser on campus who had been issued a letter of trespass, and Mr. Cleavenger filled out a field interview card instead of writing a citation or having Eugene police arrest the guy.

So there was stuff like that.
Q. So even though this is a college campus, is it, at times,
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A. Yeah. At times, definitely.
Q. Why is that? Why not just issue warnings?
A. It's to keep the campus safe. It really depends upon what it is. Is it a dangerous person? Is it that they're continuously going through campus, running stop signs, and that sort of thing?
So the overall thing is our job is to keep the campus
safe. Sometimes you have to take action, corrective action, to make that happen.
Q. All right. Would you, all in all, characterize this
evaluation as being a positive comment on Mr. Cleavenger's performance?
A. Yes. Definitely.
Q. All right. Let's move to the Spencer View incident.
A. Okay.
Q. Do you recall when you were first made aware of the Spencer View call?
A. Yes. I believe it was on April 1, 2012, via email from Sergeant Cameron.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach?
THE COURT: You may. And the exhibit?
MS. COIT: This is 421.
THE COURT: 421. Thank you. Has that been received?
MS. COIT: No.

```
```

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)

```
```

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I've given you a two-page document. Exhibit 421. Can you
Q. I've given you a two-page document. Exhibit 421. Can you
tell me what that is?
tell me what that is?
A. Yes. It's an email Sergeant Cameron sent to me April 1,
A. Yes. It's an email Sergeant Cameron sent to me April 1,
2012, at 9:47 p.m., and it says: Subject, Cleavenger. And
2012, at 9:47 p.m., and it says: Subject, Cleavenger. And
parking in front of call document. Cleavenger's latest. He's
parking in front of call document. Cleavenger's latest. He's
been counseled, and my notes are attached.
been counseled, and my notes are attached.
And then he had an attachment with the notes that he took.
And then he had an attachment with the notes that he took.
Q. What was the date of the Spencer View incident, as far as
Q. What was the date of the Spencer View incident, as far as
you understand?
you understand?
A. From what I recall, it was April 1, 2012.
A. From what I recall, it was April 1, 2012.
Q. And the second page, are those the notes that
Q. And the second page, are those the notes that
Sergeant Cameron forwarded to you with this email?
Sergeant Cameron forwarded to you with this email?
A. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. So they were an attachment to the email?
Q. So they were an attachment to the email?
A. That's correct.
A. That's correct.
MS. COIT: Defendants offer 421.
MS. COIT: Defendants offer 421.
THE COURT: Received.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish.
THE COURT: You may.
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Go to the second page. All right. So these notes from
Q. Go to the second page. All right. So these notes from
Sergeant Cameron, was this your first notice of something
Sergeant Cameron, was this your first notice of something
occurring at the Spencer View incident?
occurring at the Spencer View incident?
A. Yes, it is. I wasn't working that night. That's why he

```
```

A. Yes, it is. I wasn't working that night. That's why he

```
```

emailed it to me.
Q. From reading these notes, was it your impression that
Sergeant Cameron had spoke to Mr. Cleavenger that evening after
the Spencer View event?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you understand to be Sergeant Cameron's concern
with what happened at that call?
A. Well, that he had spoken with him about it and that
Mr. Cleavenger didn't appear to get that he potentially
violated officer safety concerns and that he felt there was no
need -- some of this isn't on here, but he felt no need to walk
in cautiously, and it was a cold call.
Q. Last paragraph. Sounds like that's the last paragraph
there. Officer Cleavenger told me he didn't see any threat to
us and no need to walk in cautiously. And Sergeant Cameron
advised him on how they should respond.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Did you talk to Sergeant Cameron after you
received these notes about the call?
A. Yes. There was a period where either he was off or I was
off, and so to actually talk in person was probably on about
maybe the 4th or 5th after this, I believe.
Q. What was that conversation? What was it about?
A. Well, I remember we discussed what can we do at this
point. I mean, we've already had to give him the clarification
for officer safety issues, and now he's not understanding that he potentially jeopardized his safety here, as well. So we -we discussed, you know, the next step is likely a possible reprimand.
Q. And at that point in the discussion, what was going to be -- as far as you understood, what was going to be the purpose -- the focus of this written reprimand?
A. From what I recollect, it was going to be officer safety, but I think we did talk on the phone over some of this stuff, though, because I remember there was a draft reprimand written before the 4th or the 5th, I believe; but the focus was going to be officer safety issues.
Q. The concern with what had occurred at Spencer View; correct?
A. Correct. A continuous pattern of officer safety issues.

THE COURT: Counsel, was that Exhibit 421?
MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Did you discuss this issue of giving

Mr. Cleavenger a written reprimand with anyone from the human resource department?
A. Yes. With Randy Wardlow.
Q. Why did you discuss it with Randy Wardlow?
A. Well, any time you're dealing with potential discipline,
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it's been my experience you involve human resources and the department command staff in those sort of discussions.
Q. In that discussion with Randy Wardlow, did you give him a background of your prior experiences with Mr. Cleavenger and officer safety issues?
A. Yes, I believe I sent him an extensive email discussing some issues at some point.
Q. Was Mr. Wardlow supportive of the discipline?
A. Yeah. He appeared to be.
Q. And this was all before you met with Mr. Cleavenger to talk about it; correct?
A. That's correct. We met with him on, I believe, April 7th.
Q. All right. So tell me what was the purpose of meeting with Mr. Cleavenger on April 7th.
A. Well, I mean, it could have been the outcome of a reprimand, because there was potential discipline, because he didn't understand that he violated officer safety. He was unwilling to accept it. That's why there's potential for discipline. We follow progressive discipline, as far as verbal warnings, letter of clarification, and now he's not accepting he jeopardizes his safety in the Spencer View call.

So Sergeant Cameron read him it -- I think it was a
bifurcated form of Garrity and Weingarten.
Q. Let me stop you.
A. Okay.
Q. Is the purpose of Garrity, the Garrity warning, from what you understand, is to compel a statement from the officer?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And, in exchange, they're told no criminal charges can
result. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then the Weingarten warning, is it your understanding
that that gives them notice that they have a right to union representation?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. So was there an actual form that

Mr. Cleavenger was read?
A. Yeah. I don't remember holding a form myself, but I
remember Sergeant Cameron sitting off to my left with
Mr. Cleavenger sitting in front of them and Sergeant Cameron read it to him and then allowed Mr. Cleavenger to read it, and I believe he signed it.
Q. Okay. Did Mr. Cleavenger waive his right to have a union steward at that meeting?
A. From what I recall, he said, "I waive for now," were his words.
Q. Had he requested a union steward, would the meeting have been postponed until he got that representation?
A. Well, he didn't postpone the meeting, so he could have requested.
Q. Correct. If he had, would you have postponed the meeting?
A. Oh, definitely, yes.
Q. So going into this meeting, you were anticipating that a letter of reprimand would issue afterwards; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So tell us what happened at the meeting.
A. Well, I remember Sergeant Cameron was explaining the concerns about the incident and the fact that he felt -- again, I wasn't there at this incident, so I'm listening to Sergeant Cameron's input as he is discussing this with Mr. Cleavenger at this time; that he was concerned that he couldn't accept the fact that he had potentially put himself in harm's way by driving right in front of the apartment and pretty much almost parking in front of it momentarily before moving to where the other officers were.

I remember he got extremely defensive and he said that we should be talking to Hermens instead of him and Hermens is the one that parked in view.

And so I asked him, "Well, where did Hermens park?"
And he said, "Well, he parked so close I could read the apartment numbers at the time I passed his vehicle."

So we asked him a couple of more questions, and I came back to that, and I said, "So how far away did Zach park" -his name is Zach Hermens.

And he goes, "About 50 yards."

I said, "You could really read those tiny apartment numbers from 50 yards away?"

And then he goes, "Well, maybe it wasn't 50 yards, but it was close enough to where I could read the apartment numbers."
Q. Sitting here today, you specifically remember

Mr. Cleavenger saying he could read the apartment numbers when he passed Officer Hermens' vehicle?
A. Correct. That is what he said.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger raise his voice during this meeting?
A. Yes. After further, more discussion about the issue, he again leaned forward in his chair and threw his hands out to the sides and shouted, "What do you expect me to do ?" And at that point I sat silent for a while.

And this is the second time now that he's yelled at me.
This time it was at me and Sergeant Cameron. Sergeant Cameron
was there the first time, but he looked directly at me that first meeting.

And I sat silently for a while and I said, "Jim" -- I
said, "I'm tired of you yelling at us every time I try to talk to you."

And he says, "Well, it's not every time." And he looks over to Sergeant Cameron, and he says, "Is it Sergeant Cameron?"

Well, no, not every time.
I said, "Okay. It's not every time, but if you do this
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again, it will being deemed insubordination. You can't be yelling at your supervisors."

And he apologized at that point.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger -- throughout this meeting, did he continue to insist that this was a cold call?
A. Yes, he did. And he continuously said we should be talking to Zach Hermens instead of him, and said we were picking on him and not anybody else. At this point we didn't know any video existed.
Q. Once he told you Officer Hermens was parked within view of the apartment, did you and Sergeant Cameron reevaluate whether a reprimand should be issued?
A. Yeah. I remember discussing with Mr. Cleavenger at that point, "Well, you know, if Zach parked in view, then, you know, maybe you weren't the one that jeopardized everybody's safety. Maybe it was really Zach."

That's probably how he could get the impression that we didn't think it was that big of a deal at that point.

I remember we still iterated, "Either way, you still don't drive right in front of someone's apartment."
Q. And, in fact, you did not issue the letter of reprimand at that point; correct?
A. That's correct. We decided not to at that point.
Q. So what did you do next?
A. We brought in Zach Hermens to talk to him about potential
officer safety issues.
Q. At that point -- well, let's go back to the meeting. At any point did Mr. Cleavenger say, "Go look at my dash cam if you want to see where Officer Hermens was"?
A. No. In fact, we wouldn't have even thought of that, because they exited their cars and went to the apartment. And we had these other digital portable recorders, called PUMA devices, they carried in their pockets. And those were the ones that would be often used when people would leave their vehicle to go into apartments or dorms. We had no idea there would be video.
Q. So when you went and talked to Officer Hermens, were you intending to counsel him?
A. Oh, yes.
Q. What did he tell you?
A. And this was a first meeting I had with, you know,

Zach Hermens about potentially unsafe behavior. And so we weren't going into it with a reprimand or anything like that, but we were concerned that he potentially parked in view of this apartment.

And so I remember we asked him , "Hey, you know, where did you park when you were at this apartment? Why did you jeopardize people's safety?"

I don't remember the exact wording, but it was along those lines. And he said, "I parked quite a distance away. In fact,
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you can look at my video."
And I remember saying, "You have video of this?"
And he said, "Yeah."
And so I pulled it up, with him sitting right there, and I

## saw that he was parked quite a distance away.

Q. All right. Did you also pull up Mr. Cleavenger's dash cam video?
A. Later, yes.

MS. COIT: All right. Your Honor, Exhibit 9 has been
received. Permission to play it?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. COIT: I'll play it all the way through and then

## we'll go back and talk about it. Okay.

THE COURT: How long is this?
MS. COIT: This is just a couple minutes.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
(Video played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Is this Mr. Cleavenger's dash cam video?
A. From what I recall, yes, it is. That's Zach Hermens'
vehicle. The apartment is either that one or the next one. I don't remember which one.
Q. We're going to go back and look at it.
(Video played for the jury.)
/1/

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So is that the dash cam video from

Mr. Cleavenger's vehicle?
A. Yes. That's part of it.
Q. All right.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to replay this, stopping at certain parts?

THE COURT: You can replay that.
MS. COIT: Also, permission to start at about minute
1:39, so we don't have to watch the driving up.
(Video played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. So these are the Spencer View Apartments ; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger was responding to apartment 64 and 60 ; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Those are upstairs/downstairs neighbors?
A. That's correct.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Is that Officer Hermens' vehicle we can see there?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there any way to see the apartment numbers from 60 and
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64?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. How about here? Can you see the apartment numbers?
A. No.
Q. In fact, you have to turn this corner, correct, before the apartment comes into view?
A. I believe so.
Q. Can you show -- I believe it's the far north apartments
from the map we've seen. I don't know if you can write on there.
A. Yeah. I can't tell if it's this one or if it's this one.
Q. Okay. So it's one of those two apartments?
A. From what I recall, yes.
Q. So after you reviewed that video, what we just saw, is there any way Mr. Cleavenger, in your opinion, could have been mistaken when he said he saw the apartment numbers when he passed Officer Hermens' vehicle?
A. No.
Q. Why is that?
A. Well, I think it was basically just a lie that he just wanted to get out of getting in trouble. You clearly couldn't see the apartment from where Hermens was parked.
Q. What is Mr. Cleavenger doing here?
A. To me, it appears he's pulling over to park and there's audio with that.

THE COURT: Just one moment. Why don't we all stand up and stretch for a second. Then you can reask the question. Why don't you reask that question, please.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. The question was: What does it appear Mr. Cleavenger is doing here?
A. To me, it appeared he was trying to park and then his co-workers came in view. And you hear him say -- if the audio was on, you would hear him go "humph," and to me that meant he had just seen his co-workers, and so then he decided to drive to where they were.
Q. After watching Mr. Cleavenger's dash cam video, did you think Officer Hermens had done anything wrong?
A. No.
Q. Did the scope of the issues that you were planning to address in the written reprimand expand at that point?
A. Yes.
Q. What did they include now?
A. Untruthfulness.
Q. Why did you reach the opinion that he was being untruthful?
A. Because he was so specific about Officer Hermens' parking in view and within 50 yards and say he could read the apartment numbers from 50 yards, and then when I questioned whether he could really read from that far, "Well, maybe it wasn't
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50 yards, but I could still read them."
But he was so very specific about it that I think he intentionally misled us and I think he forgot there was video, because he didn't ever mention it to us.
Q. So after you reviewed the video, did you confer with Randy Wardlow about what you had discovered?
A. I believe so.
Q. Was a new version of the written reprimand drafted at that point?
A. Yes. There was the stuff about untruthfulness added in.
Q. Who do you recall drafting the written reprimand?
A. Sergeant Cameron drafted the original, and I remember either we sat down together and talked about editing, or I edited it a little bit.
Q. Did your editing come after you had watched the videos?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Randy Wardlow review the written reprimand before it was issued?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Do you recall there being discussions with Randy Wardlow about this written reprimand and its contents?
A. Yes. And I -- I believe I sent it to him for potential edits, as well.
Q. Do you recall discussing the written reprimand with Chief McDermed before it was issued?

```
A. Yes.
Q. What was her role in the reprimand?
A. It was just to be informed about it, as far as I remember .
    MS. COIT: Permission to approach.
    THE COURT: You may. What's the exhibit number?
    MS. COIT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, and it's been
received.
    THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Is Exhibit 1 the written reprimand that was
issued to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And tell us why the written reprimand is only from
Sergeant Cameron.
A. Because discipline comes from the primary supervisor.
Q. And that's a requirement in the collective bargaining
agreement; correct?
A. I believe that's what it said. I think we actually have a
policy about it now, too. I don't know if we did back then.
Q. From your recollection of the discussion that went into
preparing and issuing the written reprimand, were you,
Mr. Wardlow, Chief McDermed all in favor of issuing this
reprimand?
A. Can you reask that?
Q. Were you and Chief McDermed and Randy Wardlow all
```
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supportive of issuing this written reprimand to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. This version of the reprimand includes
discussion of the meeting that you had with Mr. Cleavenger on April 7th. Why was that added to this reprimand?
A. Mind if I review it real quick?
Q. Yes. Absolutely.
A. Yes. That was added in because of the discussion we had
where he said that we should be talking to Hermens instead of him and he could read the apartment numbers as soon as he passed Officer Hermens' vehicle.
Q. All right. There's also discussion in here about

Mr. Cleavenger insisting this was a cold call and not a real threat. Why did that continue to be a concern for you? A. It just seemed he couldn't accept the fact that he potentially put himself and others in danger, and that just seemed to be kind of a common theme at times.

And, to me, if you can't accept you have done something wrong, it's hard to retrain them.
Q. Why is it important to not tip off people in an active call of officer presence?
A. It's for a lot of safety reasons. I mean, sometimes throughout years I've gotten calls -- I mean, our people have been shot at by snipers and whatnot. You don't know what the call is going to be just because it's a cold report call. I'm
not saying this one was, but if you had a cold report call, it might be something completely different. There could be a domestic violence issue going on in there or they could be lying in wait for the officers.

No matter what, you don't -- you try your best not to pass in front of an apartment; but, if you do -- sometimes that happens, because what you're not going to know exactly where every house is and the numbers might be missing or you couldn't see them. But you don't try to park in front of it. You would park a distance away from it. That way, if there was any potential threat, you're farther away now.
Q. Now, there's been some testimony in this case about Officer Hermens actually driving up to the front of this apartment. Did you become aware of that incident at some point?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you talk to Officer Hermens about that?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. What did you counsel him about?
A. About whether it's a report or not that you can't go and park right in front of an apartment or even try to pass by it, and he said he understood; he wouldn't do it again.
Q. And did Officer Hermens receive discipline for that action?
A. No.
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## Q. Why not?

A. He -- it's the first time I had to talk to him about
stuff. Well, I talked to him about the allegation that he, you know, put everyone in danger before, but this is the first time I ever had proof that he potentially put himself or anyone else in potential danger. He accepted it and he said he wouldn't do it again, so I didn't feel the need for discipline.
Q. Was your concern with Mr. Cleavenger in this Spencer View incident the fact that he had driven in front of the house and not realized it was an active call, or was it more that he couldn't appreciate that perhaps he was wrong; that it wasn't a cold call?
A. Yeah. It was the fact that he went to such lengths to blame somebody else and the fact that he just couldn't comprehend that he had done anything potentially unsafe. MS. COIT: Your Honor, we're going to get into a whole different topic if you want to break now.

THE COURT: It's noon. All right.
MS. COIT: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. 1:00. So we'll see you at 1:00.
Don't discuss this matter or form or express your opinions on anything. Have a nice lunch.

> (Jury not present.)
> (Lunch recess taken.)

THE COURT: The jury is present. All parties are

```
present. Be seated. All counsel are present.
They agreed between themselves to call a witness who's available at 1:00, out of order, and then they're going to continue with the examination for Lieutenant Lebrecht on direct and cross.
So, Counsel, if you would like to call the next witness please.
MS. COIT: Defendant recalls Lieutenant Morrow to the stand.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Step forward. We will reswear you at this time.
```


## MICHAEL MORROW,

```
called as a witness in behalf of the Defendants, being first duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Thank you. Be seated there. Once again, pull your chair close to the microphone. Thank you.
Would you restate your name for the jury, please, and spell your last name?
THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. Michael Lee Morrow. M-O-R-R-O-W.
THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, if you would like to continue your examination, please.
MS. COIT: Thank you, Your Honor. We briefly introduced and it was received Exhibit 331 for the defendants.
```
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Permission to publish his report again?
THE COURT: You may.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Lieutenant Morrow, thank you for coming back. A. You're welcome, ma'am.
Q. Last time we talked we were discussing the allegation -one of the spinoff allegations into whether or not Mr. Cleavenger had violated policy by conducting a traffic stop, and I think you had explained that that was not sustained.

My question for you, and I don't think we were able to explain it, is what you did to investigate that allegation.
A. Yes, ma'am. I reviewed -- well, first, I interviewed the supervisors from the patrol operation side to get an understanding as to what a traffic stop was and whether the traffic stops were permissible.

Further, I researched our policies and could not find any written record of a policy outlining what a traffic stop was to include a campus version of a traffic stop, nor any restrictions on conducting a traffic stop written-wise.

Certainly, within the supervisor's mindset, a conventional traffic stop was not allowed, but a campus version was. But, again, there was no written record that I could find to
substantiate that belief.
Q. Do you recall interviewing Mr. Cleavenger's field training officer Michael Drake?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What did he explain to you about what he taught

Mr. Cleavenger regarding traffic stops?
A. He basically stated that traffic stops were not allowed other than a campus version.
Q. Did he explain that that is what he had taught

Mr. Cleavenger as part of his field training?
A. Well, he -- if I recall correctly, he explained that he did not teach him to conduct a traffic stop. But if there was a traffic stop, it was a campus version, which an officer would not use traffic signals. They activate traffic lights to force a vehicle over, rather wait for the driver to pull over voluntarily and leave the vehicle before being approached. Q. All right. And then allegation -- I have it up on your screen. Allegation number four. The violation of recording -the recording statute. Did you have to do anything further to investigate that allegation?
A. Well, from reviewing the video recordings substantiated that there was no admonishment or briefing made to the drivers that the conversations were being recorded as well as Mr. Cleavenger admitting that he did not admonish them.
Q. All right. And on page 44 of your report, in the middle
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of your findings, it says, "Officer Cleavenger claimed his routine of making introductory remarks to each of the drivers on both incidents to include the conversations were being recorded were thrown off, interrupted when he initially made contact with each of the involved parties."

Is that what Mr. Cleavenger told you?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. All right. And then the final allegation, the fit -- the spinoff allegations with a fitness for duty. Allegation number five. Can you explain for the jury what "fitness for duty" means in law enforcement terms?
A. Well, basically that the employee has the mental, emotional, and physical capabilities to carry out their assigned duties.
Q. Why is fitness for duty important for an officer?
A. Well, for safety of the officer and safety of the public, in very general terms. The ability to carry out assigned duties.

MS. COIT: All right. Let's call that out.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I just want to look at some of the things you put in your findings. These are examples of questionable behavior that was of concern. The first one is lack of command presence and an inability to remain focused by being easily interrupted by unanticipated questions or statements from persons he
encounters.
Can you explain for me what you were conveying there? A. Yes. Mr. Cleavenger stated the reason he was unable to admonish either driver that the conversations were being recorded was because his routine was interrupted when they asked him a question.

The first driver, the female student, asked him basically was it about her tags, and the second driver he claimed challenged his authority to conduct the traffic stop, and both times threw him off

Police officers -- excuse me, public safety officers
conducting a stop should be able to remain focused and anticipate questions are going to be asked. If you stop someone, often we're trained to explain the nature of the stop, identify yourself without losing track of the focus of the stop. So it was concern on both of these instances his reason he gave could suggest that he's easily distracted.
Q. All right. The next category: His inability to accept responsibility for his actions with denial of culpability and projection of blame and even when confronted with evidence to the contrary.

Tell us what you meant when you were putting that in there as a concern.
A. Yes. For the traffic stop of the student, Mr. Cleavenger failed to understand the concern of hers that she expressed
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What I tried to explain to him is, when he's driving, there was no vehicle in front of him for the lights to bounce off and reflect back to him but they were on.

And when I showed him how the in -car video camera system tracked these different aspects of the vehicle, he even made the assertion that the equipment was faulty. Not his recollection of turning on the lights, but likely the equipment. So when I further showed him, "Here's when you applied the brakes. Here's the speed you were going," then he eventually made the comment, well, he could have turned them on earlier than he thought.
Q. And then -- I'm sorry. Go back to the first page. All right. The next category was a propensity to either exaggerate or embellish information as factual or the inability to recall information with accuracy. What did you base that statement on?
A. Well, when asking about his stops on this particular one, and he made a mention that while he was a traffic petitions officer, he processed tons and tons of traffic violations, and I asked him again, "Tons?" And then he said, "Well, dozens and dozens."

I went back and pulled up the number of petitions that he had processed as a traffic petition officer, and there was a little more than 1,600 of them. And of those 1,600 , there were 12 for moving violations. Again, the moving violations could
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be either for vehicles or it could be for bicycles. Seven of those were for bicycles and only five were for vehicles. So the amount that he actually processed for a traffic violation involving a vehicle was less than one percent. That was just one example.

I'm trying to recall from my investigation. I don't have that in front of me.
Q. Let me take you down, before you move on from that, to the paragraph I have called out on the screen for you. The last portion where you say, "Regardless of the cause, it reflects poorly upon his integrity when he cites information with certainty and confidence and it is inaccurate. This deficiency can potentially and severely impact his ability to testify in court as an employee of the Department of Public Safety."

What did you mean by that statement?
A. Well, if you go into court, if you don't know something,
just plainly state, "I don't recall it," rather than to assert something with a high degree of confidence and have it to be inaccurate. That, if shown, would certainly destroy the integrity of the case.
Q. All right. And did Mr. Cleavenger also talk to you about a letter of clarification he had received?
A. He did.
Q. Was that another area of concern for you for the exaggeration or embellishment?
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A. Yes. He stated that as a result of receiving a letter of clarification for failing to run a person for wants or warrants, he did that routinely to maintain consistency.

In reviewing the letter of clarification, it wasn't, as portrayed to me, that he was admonished for not running someone. The circumstances were different in that he was giving a ride to a person, an unknown person, and there was no record of him running that person for wants and warrants before putting him in the vehicle.

The letter of clarification was he had asserted that he ran the person for wants and warrants not on the radio. Once it was determined there was no radio traffic to show that he ran it, but rather he commented he called by phone and ran wants and warrants by phone. The letter of clarification was not to run wants and warrants by phone, but to use the radio. So it was not as portrayed that, "Hey, you didn't run this person for wants and warrants, and you have to do that." Q. Back on page 45, under your findings, another area of concern was his difficulty in applying learned legal training to hands-on applications during contacts with persons in the field.

What did this statement mean?
A. Well, basically, with the traffic stop for the expired registration, as a public safety officer, Mr. Cleavenger did not have authority to enforce that violation, yet he would not
acknowledge that -- that he didn't.
He likened that, conducting a traffic stop for that violation, to stopping someone on campus along the river for camping, which traffic officers -- excuse me, public safety officers did not have authority to cite for illegal camping, but he said we have the authority to stop them and talk to them and approach the subject.

What he failed to realize is that stopping someone on the street, they don't have to cooperate unless you have reasonable suspicion to believe that they have committed a crime or are about to commit a crime, then you can detain them.

Stopping to talk to someone for illegal camping, they could choose not to cooperate and walk away and there's nothing a public safety officer could do about that. He wanted to liken that to the traffic stop in which the traffic stop, that driver did not have the ability to walk away or drive away. They were detained until released by Mr. Cleavenger . And that was a concern that he did not see the difference between the two situations.
Q. All right. And, finally, a concern was his self-reported condition of staying awake for 35 consecutive hours and the impact this potentially has on his ability to safely and competently perform his assigned duties.

I think you discussed this on page 47. Can you tell us what this concern was about?
A. When Mr. Cleavenger reviewed his written statement, he wanted added onto it that he had been up for 35 hours at the time of the interview. Had he made that known to me, I certainly would have remembered being up for 35 hours because I would have been concerned whether he was of the frame of mind to be interviewed and would have asked him questions along those lines.

But I did add it to the written statement with an asterisk, indicating this is what he wanted to have added. But, again, that caused concern about either his ability to recall information accurately or just inadvertent memory loss. But certainly 35 hours -- being up for 35 hours straight would be a concern, not only then, but is he doing that at other times, especially while as a public safety officer?
Q. All right. Then down to the bottom of that page, I think you made some general -- go to the next page too -- some general observations about what Mr. Cleavenger -- whether or not he could competent ly perform or demonstrate the important mindset.

Explain to me what this quotation -- where this comes from and why this is important.
A. It -- the mental conditioning, just talking about as a public safety officer, you don't blindly put trust in people. You put trust in people who have earned it. Basically, for officer safety purposes, you -- you -- such as putting a person
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in your vehicle without validating the potential harm there is, and it's -- it's just something you don't do tactically.

But I was not looking at a performance issue. I was
looking more at the allegations, and these were the spinoff that comes back to the mental conditioning and tactical-wise command presence.
Q. So, ultimately, based on these findings, did you suggest that a fitness for duty examination be done?
A. Well, I did not rule on that one way or the other because I'm not in a position to make that judgment myself, but it was suggested that it should be referred or considered for referral to a police psychologist to evaluate Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. Why can't you make that determination yourself, whether or not he's fit for duty?
A. It's not within my scope of duties.
Q. Does that have to go to a psychiatrist or a psychologist?
A. It should.
Q. Do you take an allegation or a referral for fitness for duty -- do you take that referral lightly?
A. No. It's very serious.
Q. Yet you felt in this case it was warranted?
A. Well, based upon the information that I had outlined.
Q. Do you believe Mr. Cleavenger should work in law enforcement?
A. That's -- based upon -- I would have to have you go into
more detail. If it's based upon the findings of the internal affairs investigation that he violated a person's right with an illegal stop, I think that there should be corrective action. He never acknowledged that he did wrong in that. I feel that -- again, in my position, I was not to make recommendations for corrective action, so that's a difficult question to answer.
Q. Who ultimately was to make that decision?
A. Well, ultimately, the chief would consult with HR and general counsel to come to a decision.
Q. Were any of the findings that you made in your internal
affairs investigation motivated by an intent to retaliate against Mr. Cleavenger for anything?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Did any of the defendants -- Chief McDermed, Lieutenant Lebrecht, or Sergeant Cameron -- influence your findings in that investigation?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Did you agree with Chief McDermed's decision to terminate Mr. Cleavenger's employment?
A. Under the circumstances, yes.
Q. There's one other area I want to talk with you about real quickly. Linda King, from HR, are you familiar with her?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And she testified last week regarding some follow-up
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investigations she did after her predismissal hearing with you. MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may tell me the exhibit first. MS. COIT: Defendant's 427. THE COURT: 427. Thank you.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 427?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What is that?
A. It's an email response from me to Linda King in regards to her inquiry whether Lieutenant Lebrecht's notes and files reflect a discussion about a policy. Basically, trying to determine whether Lieutenant Lebrecht was present during a briefing.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, defendants offer Exhibit 427.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Let's look at page 2. The email from Linda King. She says, "In his predismissal hearing, James alleged that Lebrecht's notes and files reflect a discussion about a recording policy that could not have existed."

Did you do some research in response to that inquiry to
determine whether or not Lieutenant Lebrecht -- whether or not his notes were accurate, reflecting that the discussion did occur?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. All right. Let's look at the first page. Is this the email that you sent to Ms. King setting forth your findings? A. Yes, it is.
Q. And just summarize for us. What did you conclude?
A. I concluded that Lieutenant Lebrecht was at the briefing
based upon a computerize-aided dispatch query in which Lieutenant Lebrecht called into service. He had finished his duty at a football game and came back on campus to fulfill his shift and attended a briefing at that time.
Q. Did you understand Ms. King's inquiry to you to be stemming from an allegation that Mr. Cleavenger had made that he had never been trained that he had to advise people he was recording them?
A. I'm sorry. Would you repeat that question.
Q. What did you understand Linda King was following up on? What claim had Mr. Cleavenger made to her?
A. Let me -- basically, that a discussion did not occur because Lieutenant Lebrecht could not have been present during the briefing to give out that information.
Q. In your internal affairs investigation, Mr. Cleavenger, in fact, told you that it was part of his introductory remarks to
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advise people he was recording them; correct?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. All right. I just want to look at one -- your last sentence in this top paragraph that you make to Ms. King. "I have difficulty believing further assertions made by this employee who's already been established in my internal investigation as one who exaggerates information and is either unable to recall information accurately or intentionally provides misleading information." Is that an accurate portrayal of your thoughts at that time? A. Yes, it is.

MS. COIT: Thank you, sir. Nothing else.
THE COURT: Cross-examination?

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon, sir.
Q. Mr. Morrow, I'm Mark McDougal. I think we met briefly in the hallway.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. When did you first form the opinion that Mr. Cleavenger should be fired?
A. I don't recall a specific time, but certainly at some
point during when -- the conclusion of the investigation and at the time he was terminated.
Q. So after you had done a thorough investigation, looked at the webcams, interviewed a lot of people, done your long IA report, that's when you decided he should be fired?
A. No, sir. Not at that point.
Q. At what point did you?
A. Again, I do not recall a specific time, but certainly in agreement with the decision that was made.
Q. And can you help with an understanding of an internal affairs investigation? Should the person who does the investigation be impartial?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Should they be in a position where they haven't prejudged the outcome?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And do you know when you first started the internal affairs investigation?
A. That would have been shortly after I came back from leave.

A voicemail was on the recorder. I think, officially, it was approximately a month later. It's in the investigation when the preliminary inquiry was opened up as an internal affairs investigation.
Q. You go from preliminary inquiry to formal investigation? A. Yes, sir.

## Morrow - X

Q. Is the benchmark for when that formal investigation started when you interviewed Mr. Cleavenger?
A. No, sir.
Q. When did it start? Do you know?
A. Again, it's in the IA file. I think it was somewhere 1st of June.
Q. Okay.
A. But it was after locating the voicemail message between the dispatcher and the female student in which she went into great detail as to her state of mind and concern about the public safety officer who pulled her over. That was at the time the preliminary inquiry was converted into an internal affairs investigation.
Q. Can you tell us why it's so important that someone doing
an IA investigation wouldn't have a predetermined, you know --
they wouldn't start off with the notion that Mr. Cleavenger would be fired. How important would that be?
A. You want to be objective.
Q. One second, please.

And if an IA report is done by somebody who's not objective, should it be thrown out?
A. If you could show that it's not objective.
Q. It was done by somebody that was not impartial.
A. I -- give me a specific example. I can answer you better, sir.
Q. Isn't it a fact that you thought that Mr. Cleavenger should be fired before you even started the IA investigation?
A. No, sir. Not before the IA.
Q. On your own? On your own?
A. I'm saying I did not think he should be fired for the internal affairs investigation before it was concluded.
Q. That wasn't my question. Did you think he should be fired before you started the internal affairs investigation?
A. Fired for what, sir?
Q. Well, that's what we're going to get into.

MR. MCDOUGAL: May I approach?
THE COURT: You may. Does this exhibit have a
number?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 279.
THE COURT: 279.
MS. COIT: Do you have a copy of that?
MR. MCDOUGAL: What?
MS. COIT: Do you have a copy of it?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Another copy of it? That one might
have some highlighting on it.
THE WITNESS: (Viewing document .)
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Have you had a chance to read the email?
A. The series emails.
Q. Okay. Are these emails that you were involved in?
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A. I'm copied in some and directed in one, yes.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I want to offer 272-279. I'm
sorry.
THE COURT: Received.
MR. MCDOUGAL: May it be published?
THE COURT: It may.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you go up to "From
Mike Morrow, sent Thursday, May 17th"? It's the last page of the exhibit.

Let me have you do one thing first. Can you pull up
Exhibit 331? I want to make sure we have the dates right.
Page 433. Bates number 433.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Sir, in your IA report, can you read to me on the screen what is documented on June 1, 2012?
A. I briefed -- June 1, 2012, I briefed Acting Chief of

Police McDermed as to my meeting with Officer Cleavenger and advised of the investigation.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Now can you pull up the May 17, 2012,
approximately two weeks later -- earlier -- two weeks earlier.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Is this your email?
A. It is.
Q. Can you read the first sentence?
A. Yes. This is my email in response to
Lieutenant Lebrecht's performance for duty investigation, not
the IA investigation.
Q. I agree.
A. "I believe, moving forward, dismissal for cause is
appropriate. I suggest not pursuing criminal charges in that
the actions are minor compared to what generally is pursued
against law enforcement officials and is what district
attorneys prefer to present to a jury. Plus, it will likely
open the door for counter-allegations against other PSOs who
may have also failed to advise someone of the recording or stop
off campus property -- or failed to advise someone of a
recording or stop off campus property and now we are besieged
with possibly numerous allegations of similar activities and
expected to open and investigate all for consistency and
fairness. I can see him making false allegations against
others as misdirection and deflection defense."
Q. Okay. So before you started this IA investigation, you
thought he should be fired?
A. That's not true, sir. I opened the IA -- you're saying
before I opened the IA, and this is following. The IA is
already under investigation, and this is in response to the
performance investigation that Lieutenant Lebrecht conducted.
Q. As of this date, you hadn't even watch ed a dash cam, had
you?
A. I would have to look at the IA report and the dates in
A. I would have to look at the IA report and the dates in
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there that I viewed dash cams are in that.
Q. Did you believe that your IA investigation should be done independently?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you read the second paragraph of your email?
A. "The product Brandon put together we can format into an IA format with supporting exhibits and make a strong presentation for HR. I can assist Brandon with the editing and formatting. We can also work into it the conversation with Dr. Corey. I believe it would be appropriate and relevant. If Cleavenger asks -- was to challenge Dr. Corey's opinion and it would open the door for his further involvement and possibly an assessment or disclosure of the psych evaluation."

This was referring to a separate IA. Not the one that I had conducted.
Q. I thought you said it was a performance evaluation.
A. Well, I'm making a referral here that it can be formatted into an IA. It's of what he found of the misconduct or misperformances in his investigation could be rolled over into an IA, and it should be when he finds that Mr. Cleavenger was failing to advise people that they're being recorded, which is against state law.
Q. This is not the first time Dr. Corey came up. You said at the very end of your IA report that maybe Dr. Corey -- or maybe a psychological assessment should be done. Dr. Corey does
psychological assessments; right?
A. That's correct.
Q. So even before you started your IA, you were thinking about Dr. Corey and how he might be used with HR?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, in fact --

MR. MCDOUGAL: May I approach?
THE COURT: Yes. But tell me the exhibit number.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Exhibit 280.
THE COURT: 280. Thank you.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Sir, this has some highlighting on it. The highlighting is not in the original. I don't want to mislead you.
A. Thank you, sir.
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 280 or -- when you have a chance to read it.
A. Yes, I recognize this.
Q. Are these your emails and an email from Dave Corey?
A. It's my email to Dr. Corey, yes.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Plaintiff offers Exhibit 280.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Do you have a copy of that one?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Can you let me know -- can you look at your first email to Dr. Corey in that chain and tell me the date of it?
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A. The date is April 23, 2012.
Q. What prompted this email?
A. I don't recall. I'm trying to read it and determine what precipitated it.

THE COURT: Counsel, the screen went blank.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh.
THE COURT: Do you have --
MR. MCDOUGAL: We haven't published it yet. Sorry.
THE COURT: It went blank.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you publish the April 23rd email,
Mr. Hess? Exhibit 280. Bates number 11247.
THE COURT: No. Just a moment. I thought you were referring to 280, the May 17, 2012, email.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's 279. We're at 280 now.
THE COURT: All right. I understand that. What's the date on your email?

MR. MCDOUGAL: April 23, 2012.
THE COURT: Okay. You misstated that. You before said May 17th.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Sorry.
MR. HESS: May I publish?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. All right.
A. Yes, sir.
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officers, would know the policy on traffic stops; correct?
A. We didn't have a policy on traffic stops, as I testified earlier.
Q. Did you know that at the time?
A. No. I did not know that there was not a written policy in effect.
Q. But you thought his behavior was so -- of such a nature that he might need a psychological evaluation?
A. Certainly from these documents, absolutely. Fitness for duty would be the more appropriate term. Not a psychiatric, but a fitness-for-duty examination.
Q. Who took over your position?
A. Lieutenant Lebrecht.
Q. Do you know whether or not Officer Cleavenger, under the Oregon Revised Statutes, had the authority to make a stop?
A. No, he did not.
Q. Do you have any understanding or any reason to think that Lieutenant Andy Bechdolt would not know whether or not Mr. Cleavenger had a right to make a traffic stop?
A. He certainly should know.
Q. Would you have any explanation for why he testified that, yes, he had a right to make a stop?
A. I don't know. I could not answer for Lieutenant Bechdolt .
Q. When you say he didn't have a right to make a stop, did you look at the law to see what it said?
A. Yes. I researched the law. I researched what a public safety officer's legal authority was.
Q. Any reason why you didn't put the prohibition against him making a stop in your IA report?
A. Because, again, once I was thorough in my investigation, I determined that there was no policy to prohibit that, even though verbally the supervisors had made known to the officers they could not do a traffic stop other than a campus version of a traffic stop.
Q. Sir, I think we've already established you didn't have a policy. Now I'm asking about the law.

You say you went and researched the law and saw that the law prohibited it. Any reason you didn't put a citation to the law in your IA report?
A. The law did not prohibit a traffic stop. The law prohibited Mr. Cleavenger, without the authority, to stop for an expired license registration. That's what the issue was. The issue was not whether he had the lawful authority to conduct a traffic stop. It's what lawful authority he had to enforce an expired license plate, which he did not have the authority to do.
Q. Did you put that -- the prohibition against him doing that, did you put that in your report?
A. If we look at the report, I can advise you on that. My report is pretty complete, as you said earlier.
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Q. Right. Well, the jury will have the report, so I'll skip
that. If it's not in there, you didn't put it in there. Fair enough?
A. Fair enough, if it's not in there.
Q. But you did put some laws in; right?
A. I'm sorry, sir?
Q. Did you put some laws in; right?
A. What laws are you referring to, sir?
Q. The dash cam recording. Did you reference that law?
A. Yes, sir, I did.
Q. Now, on the dash cam recording, were you responsible for training in regard to dash cam recordings?
A. No. I don't believe I did until we had a policy in effect on that. And then our field training officers would train the officers on that. The operations side, again, supervisors would train the officers on that.
Q. That was 2013. After all this; right?
A. After all of what, sir?
Q. After Mr. Cleavenger -- your report on Mr. Cleavenger. After he was fired is when the new dash cam policy was written?
A. The new policy, in general, for the police department was rolled out as a whole. We did not piecemeal policies out once we started incorporating a policy manual.
Q. Did they have a policy manual under your watch?
A. There was a manual. Yes, sir.
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Q. Okay. Did it have this video cam recording statutory
requirement in it?
A. I believe we -- we had some type of ICB, but I cannot
recall what it is.
Q. The ORS -- the ORS about audio video cam recording
mentioned anywhere?
A. In that policy, sir?
Q. Yeah.
A. Again, I don't recall the policy without having to look at
it.
Q. Let's put it this way: If the policy was there in either
the field training manual, the department's policies and
procedures manual, or even a reserve police academy handbook,
that's something that UOPD could easily get and bring into
court to say, "Hey, we did have a policy," or "He was trained
on a policy, and here it is"; correct?
A. You're asking me to answer for our counsel over there, and
I don't feel it's my scope to answer for our counsel.
Q. Let me question it differently. Certainly, Mr. Lebrecht,
Mr. Cameron, or Carolyn McDermed, they would have the skills
and ability to do so and bring it in; correct?
A. If asked to, I'm sure they would.
Q. If there was one, you likely would have mentioned it in
your report?
A. One of what, sir?
procedures manual, or even a reserve police academy handbook,
that's something that UOPD could easily get and bring into
court to say, "Hey, we did have a policy," or "He was trained
on a policy, and here it is"; correct?
A. You're asking me to answer for our counsel over there, and
I don't feel it's my scope to answer for our counsel.
Q. Let me question it differently. Certainly, Mr. Lebrecht,
Mr. Cameron, or Carolyn McDermed, they would have the skills
and ability to do so and bring it in; correct?
A. If asked to, I'm sure they would.
your report?
A. One of what, sir?
Q. A policy about video cam recording, advising suspects.
A. The law was made reference to.
Q. I'm asking about a policy, sir.
A. Well, the IA did not focus on whether he broke the violation or policy of an in-car video. It was that he broke the Oregon statute that you have to advise persons unless you don't have a reasonable opportunity to that the contact is being recorded. That's what was at issue, sir.
Q. But it might be relevant if he was never trained?
A. That was never alleged during the IA. When I interviewed Mr. Cleavenger, he never asserted "I was not trained in this." He acknowledged that he failed to admonish the people. That issue was not discussed at all because he did not even assert that as a defense.
Q. Did he tell you that he had signed up to try to get dash
cam training and that's one of the training that was reejected?
A. He did not state that during the interview, no.
Q. Did you ask him if he had ever gotten training?
A. That was not part of the questioning, no.
Q. I think you testified earlier that you thought it would be inappropriate for Mr. Cleavenger to get FBI training --
A. I --
Q. -- or go to that class.
A. I testified that him asking to go to a national academy, which is an FBI academy, which he never attended, yes, would be
unusual. That would stand out in my mind.
Q. Could you have said "inappropriate"?
A. It would be an inappropriate request? I don't know.

You -- if you have what I said there, please refresh my memory.
Q. Do you know for a fact that that was one of the trainings that was approved out of the very few approved?
A. Approved by who, sir?
Q. With Junction City.
A. Well, that's Junction City, sir. That's not his
employment at the University of Oregon. The decisions they make there, I have no authority over.
Q. You recommended the selection of Chief McDermed?
A. To what, sir?
Q. The chief.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You made a positive recommendation for Lieutenant Lebrecht
to replace you?
A. I did. He was the only lieutenant with investigative
experience. Lieutenant Bechdolt had very limited experience.
Q. True that you can only do an internal affairs
investigation if the chief instructs you to do so?
A. I act under her direction.
Q. Now, how many IA investigations did you do, say, in 2012?
A. I don't recall, sir.
Q. 50 or 100 ?

$$
5
$$
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A. I doubt there were 50 to 100 .
Q. 10 to 20 ?
A. I -- again, I don't recall, but that's more likely a
number than 50 to 100 , sir.
Q. Could it be less than five?
A. It could be less than five. It could be 10 to 20 . I
don't recall, sir.
Q. Have you ever heard of any other supervisor saying along the lines or doing the following type of conduct: Reviewing a whole bunch of dash cam videos of an officer and then giving you his findings?
A. I recall Chief Tripp advising the supervisors as part of their duties to review dash cams in order to ensure the officers are conducting their duties as assigned and as a quality control.
Q. That's a little different than a comprehensive review of dash cam videos or reviewing, say, 25 to 50 dash cam videos; correct?
A. I'm not sure where you're referring to, sir.
Q. Well, do you know anybody else who had as many dash cam videos reviewed, or even close, as Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't know of anyone on the department because I didn't oversee those reviews.
Q. Do you know of any other public safety officer that's had an IA done on them as a result of a traffic stop?

```
A. No. I do not recall any.
Q. Were you doing the IA to make a strong presentation to HR ?
A. I was conducting the IA to determine what the allegations
were, whether they were founded or unfounded, sir.
Q. Would it ever be appropriate to do any IA for the purpose
of making a strong presentation for HR?
A. The purpose is to make a presentation of what the facts
show to be, sir.
    MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you pull up 279? The
May 17, 2012, email. Last page of 279.
    MR. HESS: Last page? Top portion?
    MR. MCDOUGAL: Yeah. Yeah, the second paragraph
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Can you read the first sentence?
A. Yes, sir. "The product Brandon put together we can format
into an IA format with supporting exhibits and make a strong
presentation for HR."
Q. Can you go to the May 18, 2012, email? It's the
second-to-last page of Exhibit 279. Did you get an email from
Chief McDermed?
A. I did.
Q. And what was it about?
A. Well, from this clipping, it states -- Chief McDermed
stating, "This is good. I think we need to advise Randy of our
preferred approach so we take the right steps related to
```
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Q. What did you -- and this is May 18, 2012; right?
A. Correct.
THE COURT: And the exhibit number again?
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's page -- second-to-last page of
279.
THE COURT: 279. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: It's in relation to
Lieutenant Lebrecht's investigation.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Related to contract, which might have been an IA
investigation, as you said?
A. Could be referred into an IA investigation if there's
misconduct.
Q. Well, doesn't your earlier email anticipate that you're
going to format it into an IA format?
A. Said that it could be, of which it never was.
Q. Well, this is in writing at the time; right? I'm asking
what you are thinking at the time.
A. Yeah, at the time.
Q. And at that time what was Carolyn McDermed's response to
you?
A. That it is a good -- preferred approach and to take the
right steps related to the contract.
Q. Related to contract. What is that referring to in your
mind?
A. I'm sure it has to do with the union.
Q. It's referring to firing. Not misstepping while firing; isn't that correct?
A. No, I would not say that. As in all remedial actions, preferred steps is to try to remediate the behavior through training, unless it's something that is significant enough to warrant strong or corrective action.
Q. Well, your May 17th email doesn't mention anything about training. "I believe moving forward with dismissal for cause is appropriate"; right?
A. That's referring to him violating Oregon State law by not advising people who he stops that their contacts are being recorded. That is a significant misconduct.
Q. Did you review the Brady materials?
A. What Brady materials are you referring to?
Q. The ones that were sent to the DA.
A. No, I did not.
Q. Were you aware that Lieutenant Lebrecht attempted to summarize portions of your IA report?
A. I became aware of it at some point after the fact.
Q. And did you suggest that perhaps you should look at his summary to see if you agree with it?
A. No. I do not recall that at all. I was no longer
employed with the University of Oregon and not in a position to
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have an opinion one way or the other on that.
Q. Were you ever asked to do an IA investigation of Cameron's sexual harassment charges?
A. No, sir.
Q. Royce Myers. Did you ever do an IA investigation about his complaints?
A. Complaints regarding what, sir?
Q. I'll take that as a no.
A. I don't recall any, but I want to be sure to be as
specific and accurate as possible.
Q. They were against Sergeant Cameron.
A. Regarding what type of behavior, sir?
Q. Dishonesty.
A. No. There never had allegation raised to Mr. -- or

Sergeant Cameron's dishonesty.
Q. And were you ever asked to investigate anything about a

Sergeant Clark Hansen involving a person in handcuffs jumping in the Willamette River?
A. No, sir. That would be a performance issue.
Q. Aren't traffic stops performance issues?
A. Unless they break someone's law by unlawfully detaining them.
Q. You don't know whether or not -- well, it may be that Sergeant Bechdolt has a different view of that than you; right? MS. COIT: Object. Argumentative.

THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Correct?

MS. COIT: He said "sustained."
THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, I'm sorry.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Are you normally involved in HR matters?
A. In what scope of employment, sir?
Q. Let me -- in firing. Firings.
A. It depends on whether -- what they were fired for, sir.
Q. Why don't you just help me out. How many other firings
have you been involved in?
A. I -- I don't recall.
Q. More than one?
A. I don't recall. As far as from an internal affairs
investigation, Mr. Cleavenger was the one that I'm familiar with.
Q. In fact, you were sent to the meeting where Mr. Cleavenger would be given his predismissal letter. Do you recall that? A. I do.
Q. You don't even know why you were there; right?
A. No, sir. I suspected if it was if there were questions regarding the IA investigation by Mr. Cleavenger that I would be present so I could answer those.
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Q. That's the first time you had ever done something like that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Last time you had ever done something like that?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you recall -- had Mr. Cleavenger even been given the IA report so he could ask questions about it by that time?
A. I'm not sure if he was or not.
Q. Do you recall him asking you for a copy?
A. No. He asserted that he made that request on the day he was released, and I did not recall that. But, again, I would have made a referral that he needs to inquire with the chief if he could have one. Releasing the IA report was not normal practice.
Q. Do you recall escorting Mr. Cleavenger out of the building?
A. I do.
Q. Now, the exchange between yourself and Linda King, how --
did Mr. Cleavenger say, "Hey, you guys are saying I was at three different meetings, and there's no record of it." Do you recall that?
A. No, I don't. I recall what's in the email here.
Q. Did -- I think it's Captain Deshpande -- review your IA report?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. Before you even started this investigation, you had a hunch that Mr. Cleavenger was going to assert that other officers did traffic stops; right?
A. No, sir. I don't know where you come up with a hunch on it, sir.
Q. At any point did Mr. Cleavenger try to give you documents about other traffic stops?
A. He offered other information on traffic stops. I asked
him if he wanted to make a complaint against those officers. He said no.
Q. Well, obviously, he wouldn't want to make a complaint for traffic stops if he thought you could do traffic stops; right?
A. No, I don't believe so. He -- as he put it, he did not want to throw anyone else under the bus.
Q. Well, there would be two reasons to show traffic stops, right, at least, that one could think of. One is, "Hey, this is what people are doing. Not that I want to throw them under the bus. Consider this. Consider that possibly I wasn't out of line." That's a legitimate reason; right?
A. If that was intent.
Q. Did you ask him why he wanted you to see these?
A. He wanted to try to direct the investigation. I asked him again if he wants to make a complaint against the others, I'm glad to do that separately, and he said no.
Q. Never crossed your mind he was offering you evidence that
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he wasn't violating policy?
A. I was conducting the investigation, and I was able to determine -- determine that separate of anything Mr. Cleavenger had to offer on what others were doing. I had to remind him that the investigation was focused on his actions and that is what I was looking at; his thought process of what he was doing at the time.

> Mr. Cleavenger wanted to try to distract the focus of the investigation by bringing other people into the investigation. I gave him the opportunity to formally file a complaint, at which he declined to do so.
Q. He told you he wanted to pursue charges against other people. Are you saying that?
A. No. He declined. He did not want to throw anything else under the bus.
Q. But he still wanted to give you the information; right?
A. What information, sir?
Q. About other traffic stops to show you --
A. As I said --
Q. -- that other people did it?
A. -- I was a seasoned investigator. I would be making a thorough inquiry, of which I did; which my investigation did disclose other people were making traffic stops without his assistance.
Q. How many officers did you talk to?
A. I did not talk to any officers.
Q. So you were relying almost entirely on hearsay?
A. No, sir. I relied upon the testimony and statements that
were developed from talking with all the supervisors who indicated that there was a campus version of a traffic stop that was accepted. Then upon review of the CAD, the computer-aided dispatch, it disclosed that there were over 200 traffic stops, of which I could not determine whether they were made by vehicles, of vehicles, or if they were of pedestrians or of bicyclists.

With that, I determined that it would be unfair to
found -- or find the allegation against Mr. Cleavenger for conducting a traffic stop because other officers were doing it. That way -- that is why I did not sustain that allegation.
Q. If you wanted to find out what other officers are doing, one way to do it would be to simply ask them; right?
A. I did not need to.
Q. Did you ever have an email exchange with Casey Boyd?
A. Regarding what, sir? Yes. When she worked there, I had plenty of opportunities for emails.
Q. I mean texts. Sorry. Text exchange.
A. I did.
Q. Do you remember one about men with alternative lifestyles or however you want to phrase it? A. I remember one in which she did not provide the text that

## Morrow - X

preceded my response, nor did she provide her response, and it was three years after the fact. Yes, I recall that.
Q. But regardless of what it was --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I object to this. It's far outside the scope, and it's improper evidence on this witness.

MR. MCDOUGAL: He's criticizing Mr. Cleavenger for inappropriate behavior. I'm just asking him --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I would like to take this up on a sidebar.

THE COURT: Time for a break for just a moment. Let me see where we're going with this. I just want to be sure. Don't discuss this matter amongst yourself or form or express an opinion about this case. Have a nice recess. We'll come and get you. I think 20 minutes. Maybe a little longer. I'm just not sure.

> (Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Counsel, help me. You may step down for a moment.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: I want you to remain in the hallway. If
we need you, I'll call you back. I'm not sure where this discussion is going.

Counsel, what did you want to bring up?
MR. MCDOUGAL: The -- this exhibit, which I'll hand you.

THE COURT: Exhibit number?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 273.
THE COURT: 273, thank you.
MS. COIT: Do you have a copy of that for us?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm sure I can get one, but --
MS. COIT: I don't know why you guys don't give us

## your exhibits.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Because we're on cross.
THE COURT: Why don't you put this up on the screen,
and that way everybody will have it, okay?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you put 273 up on the screen?
THE COURT: Just put it up. That way everybody can
see it. Let me see if I can guess what this is about. This would be back in 2010 for just brief -- I just briefly glanced at the exhibit. And it would concern Lieutenant Morrow?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Put that up on the screen so I can see it. And what does this purport to show? That he's a pervert?

MR. MCDOUGAL: No. This is between Casey Boyd and

## Mike Morrow. And this is a --

THE COURT: Between Lieutenant Casey Boyd.
MR. MCDOUGAL: And Mike Morrow.
THE COURT: At that time was he a lieutenant?
MR. MCDOUGAL: He was a lieutenant at that time.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you put up 119, Mr. Hess?
THE COURT: Makes it easier for all of us to see it.
Okay. "While working at Pacific forum event where a silent kiss-off protest was taking place, Professional Standards Lieutenant Mike Morrow texted me on my work cell phone, quote, 'I'm glad it is you and not me. Men on men is total perversion.' Photo of text message attached. It is unimaginable that a person who will make this statement while on duty has any credibility or integrity to judge or investigate another. This discriminatory behavior runs rampant in UODPS, though it was -- though was not as a result of my personal conversation with Tyler."

I want to just humbly say to you, I'm lost.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay.
THE COURT: Sit down. Get this right. I'm lost.
MR. MCDOUGAL: One issue is comparators. Okay?
He's -- the first IA investigation, and perhaps only for a
traffic stop, there are allegations of, quote, "unprofessional
behavior." He's accused of much unprofessional behavior. The
man saying that he's unprofessional is sending a text message to a female, who is on duty, saying "men on men is perversion. "

THE COURT: Saying what?
MR. MCDOUGAL: "Men on men is perversion."
THE COURT: Now, how does that make him unethical,
immoral, inappropriate?

MR. MCDOUGAL: It's inappropriate to say that in a
workplace, I believe, a juror can find. It's fine if it's personal opinion, but do you send it to --

THE COURT: What's he responding to? That's what I'm
missing. I don't know -- I don't have the first part of that conversation. It's just -- it's a statement, and I don't know what it's related to, except the accusation by Boyd. And I would have to have a little bit more foundation from Boyd if you wanted to call her back. I don't understand.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I think the letter gives a little more foundation a paragraph up.

THE COURT: Let's go a paragraph up, then.
See, I'm having trouble with a statement by Boyd in a letter and I don't understand what came before Exhibit 273. I just humbly say that to you. I just don't understand.

It certainly may strike at character. It may -- the prejudicial effect may outweigh the probative value or vice versa. The value may be there. I don't understand.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I believe that Boyd testified that
part of the reason why she was fired is because she had asked her friend if he was gay, and she said, "No, that -- that was my friend. Yes, I had that conversation, but it wasn't a malicious conversation."

THE COURT: That's correct. She did testify. Now, a person who was working in the department and he had come under
some suspicion by the other officers that he was gay, and she had felt that that was inappropriate.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Right. Now, here you have somebody on
duty saying "men on men is perversion."
THE COURT: I still don't understand the nexus. I apologize.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Well, it was never looked into, for
one. Everybody agrees it was never looked into. The Casey Boyd letter was never investigated.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Another reason is --
THE COURT: Second thing is was this called to somebody's attention at the time? You're not giving me that yet.

MR. MCDOUGAL: A letter was given to McDermed later when she was still in charge and he was still in charge, and it was not investigated.

THE COURT: Why can't McDermed be asked that? Why is this witness -- other than, you know, character --

MR. MCDOUGAL: I think if he's saying my guy is unprofessional, we can take a look at his professionalism and a jury can say, "Wait. He's gone down heavy on this guy, and he's doing this type of thing himself."

THE COURT: But, once again, I don't -- well, let me read the second paragraph. I haven't. "This investigation

## furthers a pattern of being treated unfairly in this

investigation, as I reported to Morrow on July 20, 2011, that Lebrecht had made a statement about Drake being gay and talking and joking with his officers about it and an investigation was not conducted regarding his statements. Further, I told Morrow that a subordinate officer had complained to me that Lebrecht had called an athlete a faggot pussy in front of others and, again, he was not investigated."

And the discriminatory behavior you're talking about is the statement that men on men is a total perversion?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Right. It's not really discriminatory. He's entirely entitled to have that opinion. He's entirely entitled to hold it to himself when he's --

THE COURT: Why wouldn't he be responding to something that had been -- start the inception with Lieutenant Boyd, Casey -- Counsel, why are you standing there?

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: I was getting water.
THE COURT: Thank you. I'm baffled.

## Why --

MR. MCDOUGAL: I think the extent.
THE COURT: Why wouldn't you be responding literally, quote/unquote, "company time" if you have another lieutenant who's calling this to your attention? How is that inappropriate?

In other words, if this was just a statement out of the
blue, I would understand it. But when you have Lieutenant Casey Boyd reporting this on company time, and he's responding -- or am I missing this issue?

MR. MCDOUGAL: His response is not, "Oh, I understand
what you say." It's, "Yeah, gay is awful. Men on men is total perversion." It's not --

THE COURT: With what -- I'm glad to revisit it this
evening. Judges aren't always right. I'm the first to recognize that, but it's too clouded, Counsel. The second problem I have is it appears he's responding on official time to an inquiry made by Lieutenant Boyd, Casey, on official time.

Take the time to talk to your client and look through this one more time so I'm certain I understand, but, frankly -- now, these are the prejudicial effect outweighs the probative value. I don't want to do that. If it's relevant, I want to give you as broad of a trial as possible on both sides. I'm having trouble with this.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Let's put it in this context: The statement is already in the record in Exhibit 119 because it says what it says.

THE COURT: Let's put up 119 on the screen.
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's on the screen right now. It says, "Men on men is total perversion," in quotes. Photo of text message attached.

THE COURT: So Mike Morrow texted Lieutenant Casey

Boyd, "I'm glad it is you and not me. Men on men is total perversion," but he's responding to something. What is he responding to?

MR. MCDOUGAL: He's responding to her working at the Pacifica Forum, which is a kiss-off taking place.

THE COURT: Let's put up her statement so I see it.
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's right there. "While working at
Pacifica Forum event, where a silent kiss-off protest was taking place" --

THE COURT: No, no.
MS. COIT: Put up her text.
THE COURT: Yeah, I want to see what she is texting
to him.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't think we have that because this was never investigated at the time, and she gave them their part of the text message. They never responded and said, "Well, let's see the whole thing."

THE COURT: So you don't have that?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't have that.
THE COURT: I see. Well, it's not your fault. It's just --

Well, first, I don't think it strikes to his character. I'm having a difficult time believing that this isn't a response to something that occurs during the working hours, and I'm having difficulty with this issue that you're bringing to
me because it's just not out of the blue. It's not a -- I hate to use the word "perverted," but the word "perversion" is used in the text -- a perverted statement of some kind that's inappropriate.

It's -- we may not agree with the statement. It may be discriminatory, but it's coming at a time when you've got a response coming because of something professionally that Casey Boyd is involved in. So at the present time, I'm very uncomfortable with this.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay. I am --
THE COURT: I'm not asking you to give up yet, okay,
so get your co-counsel over there and you can have a consultation. I'm not trying to hold evidence out, but it has to be relevant, and I'm having a difficult time with this.

MR. MCDOUGAL: He couldn't remember what his text --
whether or not he texted that. It's in the record that that is Casey Boyd's allegation. I'm simply trying to show that the allegation is true.

THE COURT: Say that one more time.
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's in the record that Casey Boyd made this allegation. He cannot remember what his text said. I know that from his deposition. I'm trying to show that Casey Boyd's allegation was true.

THE COURT: And the relevance?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Well, it's -- A, it's in the record.

B, they're going to attack Casey Boyd's credibility. But, also, and this is my last pitch, because I know I'm not getting it across, you can hold the opinion that men on men is total perversion, but for a supervisor at a police department to be telling that to a co-employee during working hours is unprofessional.

There's no question that could rightly provoke that answer. His personal opinion is -- is just not appropriate. And he's judging others.

THE COURT: I'll repeat back to you what I heard. No matter what was said to invoke this response, it would be inappropriate because it's a personal opinion?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Right.
THE COURT: So let's say that Lieutenant Casey Boyd texted him, emailed him, or spoke to him -- it doesn't matter -- conveyed to him information about I saw two men doing something at this particular event. If he said, "You should take them into custody for a lewd act in public. Did you charge them? What action did you take," then that would be appropriate. But when he makes the personal comment that, "I'm glad it is you and not me. Men on men is total perversion," that's the inappropriate statement that does two things. You're arguing to me that, first, it bolsters the credibility of Lieutenant Casey Boyd, who's going to be attacked; second, it shows that by whatever standard Lieutenant Morrow is
operating, he is making inappropriate statements and -- and now fill in the "and." And?

MR. MCDOUGAL: And the jury is entitled to know --
THE COURT: Not that the jury is entitled to know.
They're not entitled to know anything unless I let it through.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Well, I understand.
THE COURT: And.
MR. MCDOUGAL: And if that's his standard when he applies it to himself, is he fairly applying standards to my client?

THE COURT: What inappropriate action did your client take that might have some nexus? I'm going to say to you one would be the accusation that he was dancing on a table and making thrusting movements.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Right.
THE COURT: That would be a reason given in the IA
for his termination?
MR. MCDOUGAL: It was not given in the IA, no.
THE COURT: Uhm?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No, it was not given.
THE COURT: No. No, it wasn't. Let's make it
simple. No.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Right.
THE COURT: Okay. That there's no comparable
investigation taking place, but nobody knows about this email.

MR. MCDOUGAL: When they do learn, they don't do anything.

THE COURT: So it simply goes to character, doesn't
it? If you want this in front of the jury, this simply goes to the character of this witness.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I think it goes to comparators. They call people to say he was thrusting. They point out the smallest thing that he does wrong.

THE COURT: I think I tentatively disagree with that, but I'll take a recess to use the bathroom for just a moment. I'm just kidding you. It's time for a restroom break. I'll come back.

Give me about 10 minutes, Counsel.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Counsel, for giving me the time to think about this issue. We're back on the record, and I appreciate your patience with the Court.

I want to hear, Counsel, from you for a moment.
MS. COIT: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm expecting 403. Prejudicial effect outweighs the probative value.

MS. COIT: Absolutely it's prejudicial. But before
we even get to that point, I just spoke with Lieutenant Morrow and what the text involved, and it's his recollection
Casey Boyd was at this event, sent him a text saying something
to the effect of, "Oh, my God, there's two men kissing here. It's the most disgusting thing I've ever seen," and he sends back the, "What are you talking about? Glad it's you not me there."

So for them to be portraying this as some sort of discriminatory behavior towards her is completely out of context and not true.

THE COURT: Sit down. That's really distracting to me. I apologize, but that's about the fourth warning on this team's side over here. Don't do it again. Okay?

Now, I'm sorry, Counsel. My apologies.
MS. COIT: Thank you. So that was the context for the texts. She sent it to him giving her thoughts that this was a horrible thing she's witnessing. He sent a text back that somehow may be interpreted as agreeing. It was from his personal phone on his personal time. They're both lieutenants . This was in 2010. It was not brought to the attention of anyone until late 2012 when Casey Boyd sends this long letter in. And Chief McDermed did, in fact, have a discussion with Lieutenant Morrow about what this was about.

So it's completely irrelevant to the situation here, and the only reason it's being put in is to try to get the jury to think he's a big homophobe and it's not fair. It's -- there's no relevance. So whether it's prejudicial or not shouldn't even come into the matter.
the chief takes the stand again. I don't know that as a fact, but it's been represented to me that she will. Those same questions are going to be asked of the chief; in other words, "Did you receive this from Casey Boyd? How did you respond to that?"

Now, the chief is going to appear to be the sole recipient and actor in whatever this is. Remember, I'm a gatekeeper, not a decider, about whether this is true or not. And when Lieutenant Morrow exits the stand, it's going to look like this information was hidden or not spoken about, and he never had a chance to respond. When you ask to re-call him, that's out there.

The problem I'm having is that it seems to have come up prematurely. In other words, it would have been easier for the Court to make a determination, for both of you, if the chief had testified to this and then Morrow had an explanation of what occurred. But I can't imagine curtailing plaintiff's counsel from asking, "Did you receive this and did you act on it," concerning Casey Boyd's allegation.

Now, help me with that. Because eventually it sounds like it's going to come in in some form, but I'm trying to look ahead to tomorrow when the chief testifies, but I may be wrong.

MS. COIT: If the question is --
THE COURT: Are you saying it should be precluded?

Because if I preclude Morrow, what it does is it puts me in a position of potentially you're asking me to preclude any inquiry into Casey Boyd's allegation and whatever action the chief took. Let's think ahead 24 hours.

MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Here, Morrow has a chance to respond to it. Now, that doesn't make it a good legal ruling. That's just a practical side of what's about to happen.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I?
THE COURT: Yeah.
MS. COIT: If the allegation is going to be made that
Casey Boyd submitted some sort of complaint to Chief McDermed and that she did not follow up on it, she did not properly investigate it, then I agree that that is proper -- and that is somehow related to what happened with Mr. Cleavenger. Then that would be proper questioning for Chief McDermed.

And in that context she can describe what this complaint said to her in that letter, what she did to follow up, what she was told by Lieutenant Morrow, and what her determination was. That's all that matters. It goes to her state of mind. It's not hearsay at that point.

THE COURT: Now let me take this second concern. In
the opening statement there was a statement about what I call virtue. By the way, it was an excellent opening statement on both counsel's part, but one of the things I heard was, "Folks,
you should believe Lieutenant Morrow because he's, one, professional, and, two, he's been an FBI agent for X number of years." In other words, the cloth of virtuousness.

And the insinuation is that therefore he's impartial and he conducts an IA investigation, and the inference is that he doesn't enter into this kind of discussion. So put this exhibit -- I lost track of it -- one whatever back up on the Elmo for me. The alleged inappropriate statement. 273, I think, is the -- I want to see Boyd's. I don't want to see it 273. It was one something. 116? 113? I forget. MR. JASON KAFOURY: 119, Your Honor. THE COURT: 119. Put that back up for a minute. MR. HESS: It's up, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. The first portion, "I'm glad it is you and not me," I don't think I have any concern with. It's "men on men is total perversion. " This Court would never be in a position of saying that was an appropriate or an inappropriate statement. I don't make those value judgments in terms of being a gatekeeper. But when he's clothed in virtuousness and being portrayed as this very fair arbiter, then doesn't that potentially do two things: First, since Boyd is going to be attacked, as counsel said, concerning her credibility, it bolsters Boyd's credibility that she's not making things up from whole cloth, and the department's response to the reporting of this exchange, which is going to
come up and through the chief anyway.
And so here, Morrow, in a practical world, has a chance to explain, while he's on the stand, what occurred, which isn't part of this legal issue.

MS. COIT: Then, Your Honor, why don't they just have to limit themselves to the exhibit that's already put in -been put in Casey Boyd's complaint to the chief.

THE COURT: Because this bolsters it. The text bolsters her credibility. So it would seem to me there are only two questions that could be asked. One, "Did you send this text?" Yes or no. And, two, "Did you think that this was professional, this last portion of the statement?" Yes, I did, or no, I didn't, or I was responding.

MS. COIT: How does that comment on anything having to do with anything in the case? All that does is --

THE COURT: It goes to credibility.
MS. COIT: Whether or not he thinks that's professional?

THE COURT: No. To both -- to Lieutenant Casey Boyd's credibility, which is now an issue. Now, push back. I'm giving you the same --

MS. COIT: I was waiting for you to --
THE COURT: I'm giving you the same opportunity the other side has. Remember, I'm a gatekeeper. I have to sort through all sorts of things.
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sure we're not missing something here.
    Okay. Counsel?
    MS. COIT: I disagree that it's relevant.
    THE COURT: Okay.
    MS. COIT: If it is relevant, I believe under 403 it
is incredibly prejudicial because it is playing on the lowest
form of biases that they're hoping the jury is going to find.
    THE COURT: Let me stop there and ask you one
question and go on.
    I'm sorry. Please continue. My apologies.
    MS. COIT: But if Your Honor is inclined to allow 273
in, we would prefer to have Mike Morrow testify about it.
    THE COURT: That's not -- in a practical world, if I
allow it in -- frankly, you may not want the chief, by herself,
absorbing that because it gives Morrow a chance to respond to
it. So you're right. If I'm allowing it in, it potentially
comes through both Morrow, who can give an explanation while
he's on the stand, and then the chief who follows.
    It gives you, as the plaintiff, a full view concerning
bolstering the credibility of Boyd, which is now at issue, and
potentially also the department's response to the reporting of
this exchange. And the difficulty I'm having, when we started
the argument, was I don't see it as a, quote/unquote,
"comparator." I see it as a response and how they're
responding. But it officially opens up Pandora's box.
```

All right. This is the ruling -- well, anything further
by either side? Counsel, either side?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Now you can stand up, Counsel, when you're addressing the Court. That's fine. If there's too many of you milling around over here, it's like a bunch of cattle grazing. I want you to stop.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Understood?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Quit wandering around. All right. Thank you.

This evidence is admissible. I agree, after considering this, that confirming that this text exchange took place is important in the context of determining Boyd's credibility and the department's response to the reporting of this exchange.

I'm not finding, though, that this is, quote/unquote, "a comparable." I don't want to make that record. I don't think it's appropriate.

Now, it's limited, though. It's limited to, "Did you send this text?" And you can ask if it's professional or not. I'm not going to frame the questions for you. But this should be a pretty brief exchange. And that throws this back on the defense side to decide where to go.

And I think it's fair for this reason: I don't think that
the chief, then, is in the position where this would come in front of the jury -- in other words, when she's on the stand, I would allow those questions to be asked and what she did about it, and now she doesn't stand alone. She has Morrow's explanation before she testifies. It's not being hidden, and she's not in the position of being isolated as if she has done something that's just hanging out there without an explanation.

So I think in fairness, also, that it turns out to be a very close call, and I want to admit that to both sides. But I think it's fair because it gives the explanation and doesn't make the chief look like she's hiding this information or being uncredible.

Now, I know that's taken way too long, and my apologies. Can we get the jury? Is that okay? Can we get back to work?

MS. COIT: I'm going to go get Mike Morrow, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If you want to talk to him and tell him that's coming, you have my permission.
(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Jury, once again, is present. The counsel are present. The witness is returning to the witness stand.

I apologize. That delay was my responsibility. I needed time with counsel.
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Can the witness retake the stand, please?
Thank you. You can be seated.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Counsel, if you would like to continue
with your cross-examination, please.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Did you ever come to learn that Lieutenant Casey Boyd had made allegations and that some of those allegations involved you, allegations of misconduct?
A. I'm aware of an allegation, not allegations, of a text that I sent to her that bothered her three years after the fact.
Q. At that time did you try to go on your phone and try to get any texts you might have had?
A. I did not have a smart phone. Those texts were long gone.
Q. Did you know of any way that you could retrieve them?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did anybody ever ask you about the text?
A. Not until after three years after the fact. Yes.

Chief McDermed spoke to me about it.
Q. Did she have a copy of the text at that time?
A. I'm not sure. I'm sure she did. I --
Q. Sitting here today, do you know what Casey Boyd's allegation was against you, the specifics of what words she said you had said to her -- or texted to her?
A. No. The content, what I recall, is she sent me a text saying something derogatory about two men kissing out in the corridor where she was at, and I responded I found that to be perverted, using my own personal cell phone and her cell phone. We were both lieutenants, peers, at the time.

And three years later, when Lieutenant Boyd was terminated, she brought forth this, among other things, I guess -- I never saw the letter -- to the university's attention, and Chief McDermed spoke to me about that.
Q. Do you recall having your deposition taken in this case?
A. I do.
Q. At the time, had you remembered this text or the context of it?
A. Not until my memory was refreshed.
Q. When was your memory refreshed?
A. At the disposition.
Q. What do you mean the disposition? The deposition, you mean?
A. Deposition. Thank you.
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit 273.

Do you recognize Exhibit 273?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Can you describe what it is?
A. It's a partial text message of a series of exchanges with my response. Nothing with Lieutenant Boyd's texts.
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Q. Did you ever ask Lieutenant Boyd to print anything else?
A. Regarding this?
Q. Yeah.
A. It wasn't directed to me, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission -- plaintiffs offer 273 .
MS. COIT: Defense objects. Relevance.
THE COURT: I'll allow it, Counsel. Received.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Fair to say that Casey Boyd's allegation that you sent a text that included language "men on men is total perversion" was a true allegation?
A. I'm not following your question. Regarding -- allegation regarding what, sir?
Q. Regarding your conduct.
A. She basically said it made her uncomfortable.
Q. She said you said, "men on men is total perversion."
A. That's correct.
Q. And you said that?
A. That's correct. I texted that.
Q. Sorry. When I said "said," I mean conveyed.

Do you know if the allegations -- about what time the allegations Casey Boyd was making came against you? A. At the time she was terminated or after she was
terminated.
Q. Would it be at the early part of your investigation of Mr. Cleavenger, June of 2012?
A. I'm not sure, sir. I don't think this allegation came in until -- I'm not sure, but it had nothing to do with the internal affairs investigation.
Q. And just because it's a little blurry, I don't know -- can you read the date of your text?
A. May 14, 2010.
Q. Thank you.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have.
THE COURT: Redirect.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MS. COIT:

Q. Lieutenant Morrow, there were prior texts from

Lieutenant Boyd than what we just saw; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Plaintiff's counsel hasn't put those up on the screen for the jury to see, have they?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. What -- do you recall those texts being derogatory in nature?
A. Just derogatory in nature, how she was offended by the behavior.
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Q. All right. In 2012, how many lieutenants are at the
University of Oregon Police Department?
A. Not sure I know. Lieutenant Lebrecht. I'm not sure what
time Lieutenant Boyd was terminated. It certainly could have
been Lieutenant Boyd. Lieutenant Herb Horner was also
employed. And myself. And I am not sure what time
Lieutenant -- Sergeant Bechdolt was promoted to lieutenant.
Q. During Mr. Cleavenger's employment, was the command staff
fairly informed about what was going on in the department with
the officers?
A. The officers in general or --
Q. Problems that were -- that may have been going on with any
specific officers.
A. Well, there were meetings with the management, with the
chief, assistant chief, in general, where issues would be
discussed.
Q. Do you recall any of those issues being discussed to
involve Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't recall specific conversations, but I'm certain
that there were conversations concerning his performance.
Q. Look at -- plaintiff showed you Exhibit 280.
THE COURT: 280. 2-8-0?
I// MS. COIT: Yes.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So the email from you on April 23, 2012, when you were writing to Dr. Corey, is it fair to say at that time you were aware of problems that the department was having with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. Also, I was the point of contact with Dr. Corey. Dr. Corey conducted background investigations for us. The fitness-for-duty type. And I was our department point of contact for that because I was overseeing background investigations. So it would be appropriate for me to reach out to Dr. Corey.
Q. And in this email to Dr. Corey on April 23rd, you note, "There's several complaints against the public safety officer that are behavioral in nature. We're wondering if your previous evaluation on him he went through our selection process had any side notes of your observations during the psychological assessment."

Is it fair to say you were trying to gather additional information regarding Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. There's no mention in there about terminating him at that point, is there?
A. No, ma'am.
Q. Do you recall having conversations with Chief Tripp about Mr. Cleavenger?
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A. I don't recall conversation specifically. During this time, I believe Chief Tripp was away at an academy. And Assistant Chief McDermed was filling in as the interim.
Q. Was Chief McDermed the point person for Chief Tripp? A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. All right. We looked at another email that counsel showed you, dated May 17th, regarding Mr. Cleavenger. And I just want to take you back to that time period. Was that shortly after you and Chief McDermed had discovered that Mr. Cleavenger had transported this female with the gun?
A. I would have to -- to look for notes, but it could very well be.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: All right. Counsel, your question, did
you -- you said -- your answer was -- your answer was --
THE WITNESS: I don't remember specifically, but certainly it could very well have been.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. We spoke about Lieutenant Lebrecht's
performance review. You were aware that that was ongoing; correct?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. Were there portions of that performance review, issues that were being uncovered, that you felt rose to the level of internal affairs investigation?
A. Specifically, violations of Oregon statute where

Mr. Cleavenger was not apprising people he contacted that the conversations were being recorded. Yes, those are the areas of concern that could be referred to an internal affairs investigation.
Q. And what is the distinction between the repeated violations of law that Lieutenant Lebrecht was uncovering versus a performance review? Why does one rise to the level of an internal affairs investigation?
A. Well, if there's misconduct, that would warrant an internal affairs investigation. Where the distinction was, others are just performance issues, where an employee may not be performing the job as expected.
Q. Did you believe that that portion of

Lieutenant Lebrecht's -- or, excuse me, performance review could have been an internal affairs investigation?
A. Yes. Each incident in which I -- a person was not advised that their contact conversations were being recorded could be referred to an internal affairs investigation.
Q. Okay. All right. I want to show you again -- it's the transcription of the second half of Mr. Cleavenger's interview that you conducted during the internal affairs investigation. MS. COIT: And, Your Honor, this is Exhibit 331.
Exhibit 14. It's previously been received.
THE COURT: Thank you.
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MS. COIT: Can I publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY mS. CoIT: (Continuing)
Q. This is the portion of the interview when Mr. Cleavenger
was questioned about his failure to record. He told you, "I
generally advise the persons I contact that I'm recording them.
It is part of my routine here but not part of my duties in
Junction City."
Mr. Cleavenger that he did not inform these people that he was
recording them because he had not been trained on that law?
A. No. That was never conveyed.
Q. Now, your internal affairs investigation began around
April of 2012. Is that what you recall?
A. I recall the complaint coming in shortly -- or shortly
upon my return from leave, which had been in the middle of
April.
Q. Okay. And then do you recall when it was completed?
A. Well, I would have to refer to the IA file to be precise,
but I believe it would have been end of August.
Q. Let me find it for you here.
BY ms. coiT: (Continuing)
Q. Does that refresh your memory when you completed this
MS. COIT: Same exhibit, Your Honor. 331.

MS. COIT: Can I publish? THE COURT: You may.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. This is the portion of the interview when Mr. Cleavenger was questioned about his failure to record. He told you, "I generally advise the persons I contact that I'm recording them.
It is part of my routine here but not part of my duties in
Junction City."
Did you ever have a concern or were you told by
Mr. Cleavenger that he did not inform these people that he was recording them because he had not been trained on that law?
A. No. That was never conveyed.
Q. Now, your internal affairs investigation began around April of 2012. Is that what you recall?
A. I recall the complaint coming in shortly -- or shortly upon my return from leave, which had been in the middle of April.
Q. Okay. And then do you recall when it was completed?
A. Well, I would have to refer to the IA file to be precise,
but I believe it would have been end of August.
Q. Let me find it for you here.

MS. COIT: Same exhibit, Your Honor. 331.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Q. Does that refresh your memory when you completed this
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internal affairs investigation?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. So over four months you took investigating these
allegations; correct?
A. Approximately, yes, ma'am.
Q. Do you feel you did a thorough investigation?
A. I do.
Q. Do you believe you did an unbiased investigation?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Do you stand behind your findings?
A. Absolutely.
    MS. COIT: Thank you, sir.
    THE COURT: Are you completed, Counsel?
    MS. COIT: Yes.
    THE COURT: Recross?
            RECROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. You were asked about your April email to Dr. Corey.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you put up that email, Mr. Hess?
It's not showing on the screen, Mr. Hess. The April 27th --
the first page, Mr. Hess.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. What do you mean when you tell Dr. Corey that you're,
quote, "grateful for your support"? Do you see that in that
```
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## email?

A. Yes, sir. That would be support of our program.
Q. Okay. Let's go to the May 17, 2012, last page of Exhibit 279.

Second paragraph. Again, you can see the whole thing, but my question is in your email you say, "We can also" -- well, I should read the whole thing out of fairness. "The product Brandon" -- that would be Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- "put together, we can format into an IA format with supporting exhibits and make a strong presentation for HR. I can assist Brandon with the editing and formatting. We can also work it into -- into it the conversation with Dr. Corey. I believe it would be appropriate and relevant. If Cleavenger was to challenge Dr. Corey's opinion, it would open the door for his further involvement and possibly an assessment or disclosure of the psych evaluation."

> Had Dr. Corey given an opinion yet?
A. I do not recall, but I doubt that would be the case.
Q. Were you anticipating that his opinion would be in your favor?
A. Opinion about what, sir?
Q. Well, it says, "I believe it would be appropriate and relevant. If Cleavenger was to challenge Dr. Corey's opinion" -- the only reason he would have to challenge it was
if it was against him; right?
A. If it was unfavorable to him, correct.
Q. And that's what you're anticipating. And it appears you already know when you're writing this email?
A. Sir, as you know, you try to anticipate all outcomes.
Q. This only anticipated one; correct?
A. Without more information on this particular one, I can't say so.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you very much for return ing, sir.
Counsel, would you like to call your next witness, or would it be Lieutenant Lebrecht returning to the stand?

MS. COIT: It would be Lieutenant Lebrecht, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel, would you like to call your next witness or would it be Lieutenant Lebrecht returning to the stand?

MS. COIT: It would be Lieutenant Lebrecht, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Lieutenant Lebrecht, please return to the stand.
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Counsel, if you would like to continue your direct examination.
BRANDON LEBRECHT,
called as a witness in behalf of the Defendants, being
previously duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
(Continuing)

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Thank you. All right. Lieutenant Lebrecht, so we've heard all about your performance review. Can you tell us how it is that you came to start doing a performance review of Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. I received, I believe, a voicemail message from

Matt Fisher who was essentially an associate of Lieutenant Morrow for professional standards. He's the professional standards administrator.
Q. And what was that voicemail about?
A. It was about a complaint that had come in, and I don't believe we knew who it was regarding at the time. It was an exact name given of the person who had called in, and I had to do some research to find out who was associated with it, from what I recall. Sometime in April 2012.
Q. Was this the -- did this ultimately end up being the complaint by the student that Lieutenant Morrow looked into?
A. Yes.
Q. And the complaint was from the student we heard from the voicemail message?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Did you review the video of that stop that

Mr. Cleavenger did of that student?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And from that review did you identify any concerns with his performance?
A. Yeah. There was a few issues that I thought I saw.
Q. Were those issues of such concern to you that you thought you should be looking further into his dash cam videos and the stops that he was making?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, who was aware that you were looking at

Mr. Cleavenger's video?
A. I believe it was both Lieutenant Morrow and Chief McDermed.
Q. And how were they aware of this?
A. Well, from what I recall I told them to take a look at the video, because I found it a little bit troubling, the fact that he didn't advise that he was recording. I felt that the behavior was a little bizarre, possibly flirty, from what I recall. And that's how they became aware of it.
Q. All right. You -- tell us how you started conducting the
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review. What did you actually do?
A. Well, after I saw the behavior exhibited on that one, I began looking at other videos to see if there was consistent behavior.

Also, on that video itself I thought there were things I saw officer safety concerns -- walking in between the vehicle, standing up square at the driver's side door, that kind of thing. Also, I was looking for other instances of those particular things as well.
Q. Why are those things just mentioned of concern to you?

Are those safety issues?
A. Yes. They could put his safety at risk.
Q. How so?
A. Well, officers are trained to actually not stand square at the driver's side door. You're supposed to stand almost at the passenger door behind there and then kind of turn sideways and lean forward and talk, and that's so if somebody were to pull out a handgun they would have -- you'd have more time to react if they're turning around with a gun in their hand or turning out with this hand. So that's why you stand back behind, basically, the pillar; not right square on with the door.
Q. So did you want to see if this was out-of-the-ordinary conduct for Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. Also walking in between the vehicles, if the person was in the vehicle, they could back up and run an officer over
as well.
Q. All right. In your review -- well, Zach, at some point during this review of videos, did you review a video having to do with the woman named Whitney Harder?
A. Yes. I came across a video of that.
Q. And tell us how you came to look into that incident.
A. I believe it was sometime -- can't remember the exact month. Might have been May of 2012. But I remember
Zach Hermens -- I walked into a briefing, and I heard him telling someone that Mr. Cleavenger transported an armed person in the back of his car. And I said, "What was that Zach?"

And he's like, "Oh, nothing. Nothing."
I said "Oh, no, no. What's going on?"
I pulled him aside and talked to him, and then he briefly explained it was something to do with a female that wanted an escort and Mr. Cleavenger gave her a ride knowingly with a gun.

And I said, "Are you sure that he knew?"
And he goes, "Yeah, he told me she was packing heat."
Q. So did you find the video of that incident?
A. Yes, I did.

MS. COIT: All right. Your Honor, Exhibit 351 is the entire performance review.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: 351 G is the Ms. Harder video.
THE COURT: 351. "G" like good or goat?
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MS. COIT: Or gun.
THE COURT: Gun. 351G.
MS. COIT: It's a really long video, so I'm going to
play excerpts, and I have the time stamps.
THE COURT: Okay. Just follow the same procedure we
did before and then --
MS. COIT: All right. So I'll start at minute
930 and play to 1030.
THE COURT: 930 to 1030.
MS. COIT: It will resume at 1315.
THE COURT: Resume at 1315.
MS. COIT: Through minute 29.
THE COURT: Through minute 29.
MS. COIT: Permission to play, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Please.
(Video played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What video are we seeing? Is this Mr. Cleavenger's dash cam footage?
A. This appears to be the one.

MS. COIT: Christy, I believe there's audio on this.
THE WITNESS: It could also be that the audio doesn't kick on for two minutes. Our video, once someone activates it, it has no audio for two minutes, because it goes backwards and starts recording.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. What was that?
A. Wonder if she's -- is she carrying? She is. That's the
handgun they're referring to.
Q. That's Mr. Cleavenger confirming that she's carrying?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. He says, "I'm going to ask 1030 if I can do
that." Who's 1030?
A. Sam 30. That would be Sergeant Cameron.
Q. So Sergeant Cameron is not there?
A. No. It doesn't appear he's there.
Q. Right there, was he calling to Sergeant Cameron on the
radio?
A. He was.
(Audio played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. What was that?
A. You can't hear what she's saying, but I talked to her on
the phone later, and she said she was referring to her handgun .
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Objection. Hearsay.
MS. COIT: Goes to state of mind, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: She told me later on she was referring
to her handgun and was basically asking him if he wanted her to
put it in the trunk, and you can hear him say either "leave it
2321
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BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So I'd like to go back and play certain
portions of that and ask you to comment.
A. Okay.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I do that?
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. COIT: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Who's Mr. Cleavenger talking to?
A. I believe Zach Hermens.
Q. Earlier in the video we saw a public safety officer car
drive up and cross the camera. Who was that?
A. That would be Zach Hermens.
Q. Why can't we see what's going on?
A. Mr. Cleavenger's camera is pointed in a different
direction than where everybody is standing.
Q. Is it supposed to be pointed at the people?
A. It's desirable to be pointed at the people.
(Audio played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What did you say he said there?
A. Something about a card, I believe. It's probably the
license-carry concealed weapon.
(Audio played for the jury.)
///
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BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So I'd like to go back and play certain portions of that and ask you to comment.
A. Okay.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I do that?
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. COIT: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Who's Mr. Cleavenger talking to?
A. I believe Zach Hermens.
Q. Earlier in the video we saw a public safety officer car
drive up and cross the camera. Who was that?
A. That would be Zach Hermens.
Q. Why can't we see what's going on?
A. Mr. Cleavenger's camera is pointed in a different
direction than where everybody is standing.
Q. Is it supposed to be pointed at the people?
A. It's desirable to be pointed at the people.
(Audio played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What did you say he said there?
A. Something about a card, I believe. It's probably the license-carry concealed weapon.
///
on" or "keep it on."

> (Audio played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Who's Mr. Cleavenger talking to there?
A. I believe it's Sergeant Cameron.
Q. He's on the radio still?
A. It could be on the radio or it could be on the phone.
Q. Let's go back. Sorry I kept stopping it.
A. I know I heard him say, "Go to channel two," and I didn't hear him talk about the phone numbers yet.
Q. So let's play this through and you tell me what you hear.

> (Audio played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So that prior conversation, that was

Sergeant Cameron?
A. That's what it appears to who it was with.
Q. Is he on the radio at that point?
A. It sounds like they're probably talking on channel two, because these are what Mr. Cleavenger said prior to that discussion, or maybe channel one.
Q. Do you recognize the other voice?
A. Zach Hermens is in the background.
Q. Why is it we can hear Mr. Hermens and Mr. Cleavenger's conversation?
A. Because Mr. Cleavenger is recording and it's picking up

```
the people in the area talking where the recording device is.
Q. So he's recording on his dash cam?
A. That's correct.
Q. That's what we're listening to; correct?
A. That's correct.
    (Audio played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Even if they went to three, which is an unrecorded line,
correct --
A. Right. It's not recorded. It's secure.
Q. -- we're still going to hear Mr. Cleavenger's side of the
conversation?
A. Because his dash cam is recording.
    (Audio played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. I'm going to stop that too early, but I want
you to just to watch on the street -- sorry. Am I not playing
the video?
```

THE WITNESS: It's not on.

```
THE WITNESS: It's not on.
    MS. COIT: Sorry.
    MS. COIT: Sorry.
    (Video played for the jury.)
    (Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. That car there, is that Officer Hermens
Q. All right. That car there, is that Officer Hermens
leaving?
leaving?
A. Yes. That would be Hermens leaving.
```

```
A. Yes. That would be Hermens leaving.
```

```
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Q. This is prior to Mr. Cleavenger leaving the parking lot
with Ms. Harder; correct?
A. That's correct.
    (Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So Mr. Cleavenger is leaving with Ms. Harder
right there; right?
A. Correct.
Q. We heard the entire conversation that he had with
Sergeant Cameron; right?
A. We heard Mr. Cleavenger's side, correct.
Q. And we heard that because it was being recorded on his
dash cam; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you ever hear Mr. Cleavenger tell Sergeant Cameron ,
"Hey, this woman has a gun"?
A. No. Not in that discussion.
Q. Did you hear Sergeant Cameron say, "Too bad. Take her on
this safety escort"?
A. No.
Q. You heard Mr. Cleavenger testify that he told
Sergeant Cameron on channel three that the woman had a gun and
Sergeant Cameron ordered her -- ordered him to do the transport
anyways?
A. I believe that's what he said.
A. Yes. That would be Hermens leaving.
Sergeant Cameron on channel three that the woman had a gun and
Q. And we heard Mr. Cleavenger's entire conversation there
with Ms. Harder -- excuse me, with Sergeant Cameron?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Mr. Cleavenger also testified that he felt he
had to give this transport to this woman because Zach Hermens
said that the guy was no longer in his car so he thought it was
urgent to get her out of there. Do you recall that?
A. I don't really recall.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. So that was Mr. Cleavenger talking; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Does it sound like he's directing Officer Hermens to find
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the car?
A. That's what it sounds like.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Should he be giving her the license plate number and the name?
A. No.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. What did he just say there?
A. "I'm not supposed to give you this, but I'm going to give you this."
Q. Why is he not supposed to give that information?
A. Because it's a Law Enforcement Database System, LEDS information.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Why are you not supposed to give out information that's protected by LEDS?
A. Well, it's a violation of one of their policies, for one thing. I mean, if there's an investigative purpose, you can release some information. I would say in a situation that he had there, perhaps something to the effect of, "Does the name Roger Tidwell ring a bell with you?" When she says no, then, "Okay, maybe are you familiar with a blue Jeep Cherokee?"

Something along those lines. But anything past that, as far as releasing drug history, or, you know, the license plate connected with the name, that's where you're kind of bordering violating LEDS.
Q. And Mr. Cleavenger acknowledged on that tape that he knew he was violating the policy; correct?
A. Twice I believe he said, "I'm not supposed to give you this."
Q. All right. After you watched this video, did you get in touch with Ms. Harder?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And what did she tell you happened?
A. Well, it's been a while, but she's told me she had contacted --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Objection. Hearsay again, Your Honor.

MS. COIT: Goes to his state of mind and the chief's that he relayed this to.

THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: She had been contacted by
Mr. Cleavenger twice that night, and there was something to do with she might have been stalked by an ex-boyfriend or husband, or whoever it was, and Mr. Cleavenger ended up giving her a safety escort to a hotel that was nearby, and she told me that she advised him that she was wearing a gun. She offered to put
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it in the trunk, and he told her to leave it on.
And I asked her if it was a loaded gun. And she said,
"Oh, yeah, it was loaded."
And I said, "Well, where was it?"
And she said, "Well, I was so upset I either had it in my lap or at my side."

And I said, "In the back of the car?"
And she said, "Yeah, in the back of the car."
So that was really concerning to me. And she -- I said,
"Well, what was your impression of Mr. Cleavenger?"
And she said something along the lines of that he was way
too trusting but he seemed nice or something like that.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Now, this incident, this transport of

Ms. Harder, was discussed with Mr. Cleavenger by you ; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Tell us when this was discussed.
A. This incident was discussed twice. Once was at a meeting with human resources. Mr. Cleavenger, Lois Yoshishige, and Scott Cameron, me, and Randy Wardlow. And it was on July 13, 2012, when we first discussed it.
Q. All right. What was the purpose of that first meeting?
A. Well, part of it was to go over the reprimand that he had been issued in May of 2012. And part was to show him a sampling of four of the videos that were in a series of, I
don't know, 33 or whatever there were.
Q. All right. What did Mr. Cleavenger tell you about why he did what he did; why transported the female with the gun?
A. He said that there was a letter from Jamie Moffitt, who
was the vice president of finance administration at the
university, who said we couldn't disarm people.
Q. Did he tell you that Sergeant Cameron told him he could do the transport with the loaded gun?
A. Yes. He did say that. And Sergeant Cameron differed in that opinion.
Q. From what we just saw on that video, had Mr. Cleavenger told Sergeant Cameron she was carrying a loaded gun when he requested the transport?
A. Not on that video, no.
Q. Did you question Mr. Cleavenger about why he violated the LEDS policy?
A. Yes. I brought that up as well.
Q. What was his response?
A. Basically -- essentially, I don't remember if it was that particular meeting or the one on August 13, 2012, but I know it was discussed in one of those, as far as the LEDS. And I remember him saying something about the effect of, "But have they heard my side of the story?"
Q. Is there any side of the story Mr. Cleavenger could have presented that would have justified his violation of giving out
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that information?
A. Not by what I saw and heard.
Q. All right. Did you report this incident with Ms. Harder to the chief?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And I mean Chief McDermed.
A. Correct.
Q. Did you report that in person to her?
A. I don't remember if it was in person or through email or maybe both.
Q. Do you recall what her reaction was?
A. Yes. She was really shocked and concerned that one of our officers would transport an armed person in the back of the car.
Q. Was Mr. Cleavenger removed from public safety officers' duties after you told Chief McDermed about this incident? A. Yes. On \(5 / 18\) of ' 12 he was reassigned.
Q. All right. Let's go back to your performance review. So as you were conducting the performance review, what were the primary concerns that you were finding in your review of the videos?
A. A lot of them was the failure to advise of recording, a lot of officer safety videos. Some decision-making and judgment -- officer safety issues, excuse me, decision-making and judgment.
Q. What did you believe was the objective of this performance review that you were conducting?
A. Well, I don't know. Stuff went back and forth several times, and I remember for a while we were trying to prepare for a training plan to get him to be successful.
Q. At some point did you put all of your findings from these reviews into a report?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that report completed after you had met with

Mr. Cleavenger to discuss the review -- the performance review ?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Do you recall when that meeting was with Mr. Cleavenger to discuss the performance review?
A. August 13, 2012.
Q. Who was there?
A. It was Mr. Cleavenger, me, Randy Wardlow, and Lois Yoshishige.
Q. I'm going to give you a copy of your report just for reference. Okay?

MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I approach?
THE COURT: You may.
MS. COIT: This is 351.
THE COURT: You said 351?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Thank you.
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BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Take a look at that and tell us if that's your report.
A. Okay. Yes, this is it.

MS. COIT: Permission to publish, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Did you do a synopsis at some point?
A. Yes.
Q. When was this synopsis completed?
A. I don't remember. I mean, there were -- I updated this document several times, so I couldn't tell you.
Q. Okay. I want to look at page 5.

Now, on page 5, the summary of incidents, tell us what these are.
A. Basically, those are brief summaries of the videos that I looked at involving Mr. Cleavenger for his performance review. Q. And does -- for each of the videos, did you create one of these summaries that listed your concerns with his performance?
A. Yes.
Q. Looking at your review there, do you recall how many videos were a part of this performance -- or performance review?
A. Mind if I look at it real quick? It appears there were 33.
Q. Do you recall, out of those 33, approximately how many of
those did Mr. Cleavenger fail to advise the person he was recording?
A. I think of these 33, there's two that were actually
referred to professional standards, so it might be more. 31?
But, overall, I -- from what I recall, there were 25 occasions of failed to advise a recording to the ones I actually looked at.
Q. So what happened in the other six?
A. My -- I believe he -- in two of them I believe he advised
people he was recording, and then other ones two of them probably referred back to Mike Morrow, from what I recall, and then the other ones could -- could have been someone else advised a recording. I remember Zach Hermens advised a recording on at least one of them.
Q. I want to play just a few of these videos so you can explain to the jury what it was you were having concerns with with Mr. Cleavenger's performance.
A. Okay.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to play 351B. THE COURT: 351 -- "B," like boy?
MS. COIT: "B" like boy.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. COIT: It will be time-stamped from two minutes
to four minutes.
THE COURT: Two minutes to four minutes.
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MS. COIT: And then minute 46 through minute 4930.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 46 to what?
MS. COIT: 4930.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. At the very end of that video, is that Mr. Cleavenger talking?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. What's he saying?
A. "Just letting you know our conversation is being recorded."
Q. So going back to the video, as a whole, what were some of the concerns you discussed with Mr. Cleavenger about that video?
A. Well, he recorded a lot of people without advising them he was recording. It's an accident going on.

He referred to the car as a silver slug bug from what I recall. That he was going code three without sirens, and he's not exempted, per the Oregon Revised Statute, while responding to an emergency, if you don't have your sirens -- lights and siren going.

He was responding to an emergency. Zach Hermens had called for a backup for someone who was fighting him. He was going pretty fast there, but officers often drive pretty fast when their co-workers are in fights, so I believe, you know,
certain speeds are reasonable.
He passed on the right at least two or three times with his lights on, it appeared. That's another thing you don't do, because people are supposed to pull off to the right. So that could cause an accident.

So if you're going to pass on the right, if they're not yielding to the right, you are supposed to shut down lights and sirens.

Again, he didn't have his siren going this whole time. He went through a couple of the stoplights and, again, without his sirens. So if he was going to have an accident with anybody, he wouldn't be exempted from the Oregon Revised Statute.
Q. So when you go code three, what does that mean?
A. Lights and siren, responding to a emergency.
Q. So Mr. Cleavenger was supposed to have his siren on during this?
A. Correct. I believe we even heard just a little bit of it when the other car was responding at the very end. It sounded like they just turned their siren off.
Q. This was one of the videos you discussed these performance issues with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Correct.

MS. COIT: Next one I want to play is 351 H . THE COURT: 351 H .

MS. COIT: Just start at 140 with no clips in between.

THE COURT: 140. How long will you go with that? MS. COIT: I believe it's about eight minutes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
(Video played for the jury.)
MS. COIT: I'll stop it there. I want to go back to the beginning. Is that all right, Your Honor?

Your Honor, may I replay it from the beginning?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. I just want you to tell me, in your opinion, if you found any reason for Mr. Cleavenger to make this stop.
A. Okay.
(Video played for the jury.)
THE WITNESS: Are you referring to the stop sign he
rolled through or this person?
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Not Mr. Cleavenger. Was there any reason for

Mr. Cleavenger to stop this man that's walking along the street?
A. I don't see any reason by looking at that video.
Q. Did you see anything on this video to indicate this man was digging through the garbage can?
A. It looked like he's at least a few feet away from the garbage can when he first came into view.
Q. Does Mr. Cleavenger or any of the public safety officers
have the legal authority to stop and detain people for no reason?
A. Not that I'm aware of.

> (Video played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Again, he advised of recording; correct?
A. This would be the third one then.
(Video played for the jury.)
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Is it appropriate for Mr. Cleavenger to offer that man cans?
A. No. I mean, it kind of sounded, from the exchange -- I was under the impression he was trying to bargain for his ID by giving him cans in exchange for the ID. And, to me, I mean, he's got his lights on -- to me, it's a detention. I didn't see the guy do anything. Even if he was looking in a garbage can, I don't know if that's against the law to glance over a garbage can.
Q. Did you hear Mr. Cleavenger run a wants and warrants check on him?
A. At some point does, yes.
Q. Is that appropriate to do if he had no reason to stop this
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man?
A. Yeah, I'd say once it's an illegal detention, that wouldn't be appropriate to do.
Q. Is this one of the videos you discussed with Mr. Cleavenger on the August 13 meeting?
A. I believe it was the August 13th meeting. I know I
discussed it with him at one of them.
Q. Was there anything else about this video that caused you concern that you discussed with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Well, the name was either Granham or Granhan, and

Mr. Cleavenger wrote it down, ran it. There was a -- you know, through the records check. There was some miscommunication about either Mr. Cleavenger got the last letter wrong, with an " \(N\) " or an " \(M\)," or did the guy tell it to him wrong.

So later on in the video Hermens says, "Hey, I know this guy. He has a record," or something to that effect. And they end up recontacting him over by McArthur Court, over by the old basketball court.

And then at another point Mr. Cleavenger is walk ing right in front of this guy who's following behind him, so I felt that was unsafe.
Q. Why is that unsafe?
A. Because if people aren't in front of you, you can't see what's in their -- potentially in their hands or if they might act out in anger.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to play 351F? THE COURT: 351F.
MS. COIT: This is from minute 140 to minute 725 .
Again, we'll just let it play.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Are from what time to what time?
THE COURT: 140 to 725.
(Video played for the jury.)

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. I'm going to stop that one there. What were the concerns with this interaction?
A. Well, this guy is urinating on the wall, and it would be desirable to keep the camera focused on him, because it's a violation. So it would be nice if he could actually keep the camera focused on him the whole time, but at least he comes over, back in view of the camera, and Mr. Cleavenger does advise him it's being recorded.

This is the third one I was referring to. I remembered two right offhand. Not that other one you just showed.

But he is standing right next to him. This guy is placing his hands in his pockets several times. That's another thing. If you see someone with their hands in their pockets, you say, "I need to have you keep your hands out of your pockets." You don't know if they have a weapon or anything in their pockets. I mean, just because the guy appears nice doesn't mean that they're always going to be like that. So you just treat every
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situation the same.
"I just need you to keep your hands out of your pockets."
Do it very politely, and the majority of the time people don't get offended with that.

He was standing really close to him, as he's running him for warrants, a records check on him, side by side. And then he's writing a ticket here, and this guy is leaning right up against him on the car.

And I remember at one point in this video he turns his back to the guy and walks away.

Then you have this auxillary public safety officer that was standing in the area. But, still, it just seemed nonchalant; almost like they had known each other for a long time. Like it's a buddy.
Q. Was that familiarity with contacts a pattern that

Mr. Cleavenger displayed throughout your supervision of him?
A. From what I recall, he was at times overly friendly and not really understanding safety measures.
Q. Had you spoken to him before this performance review was done about being too trusting and too familiar with people? A. I don't remember exact words, but I did have discussion with him regarding safety.

MS. COIT: All right. Last one. I promise. I'll
play 351 C and the times are 445 through 652 and then 957 through the end.

> MR. JASON KAFOURY: 957 to the end. And what was the other portion you were playing?
> MS. COIT: Minute 445 through 652.
> (Video played for the jury.)
> BY MS. CoIT: (Continuing)
> Q. All right. Now, what were the safety concerns with that contact?
> A. Well, first of all, he rolled through the stop sign himself, and I believe he was going about 45 miles per hour in a 15-mile-per-hour zone. It's usually a lot of students around here. I don't know about the particular time or day, but there usually is. And, to me, that's more careless driving than rolling through a stop sign. Driving 45 or 47 miles per hour, trying to catch up to somebody like that.
> Q. And was he following her with his lights on?
> A. It appeared his lights were on. I don't know exactly what point, but you could tell that they were on.
> Q. Would this be considered a traffic stop or a campus version of a traffic stop?
> A. I guess that depends on whose version it is. I thought it was a traffic stop, personally.
> Q. Okay. What other safety concerns did you see with this video?
> A. Well, as you could see, his vehicle placed -- I mean, I
> can understand why he placed it that way, because he probably
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didn't want to block all on the other cars. But, to me, if someone was to stop here, I probably still would have positioned it behind the vehicle, even if it did block other cars, because you're just at a disadvantage here. I mean, it's easy for someone to point their hand this way if they were to have a gun, and you're just right almost in a target zone.

Then he walks in between the vehicles and goes right square up to the window, and it looks like he has his hands in his pocket, and he's leaning into the window. To me, that was a big concern.
Q. Why is that a concern?
A. Well, again, he's putting himself in potential harm's way. It would be easy if someone wanted to shoot him. They could have shot him.
Q. Anything else with this video?
A. Yeah. He also stood in front of a vehicle on a couple of occasions. I don't know if the vehicle was on or off at that point. But, still, if somebody is sitting in the vehicle, and, I don't know -- he looks like he's probably trying to read a plate that's probably -- a license plate maybe that was up in the front window.

Well, I mean, it would probably be a lot better if you're standing off to the side so they don't lunge forward and potentially run you over.

So that's just another concern I saw.
Q. Are these all safety precautions that should be kept in mind with any contact that you're making?
A. Yes, they should be. In fact, I remember a comment he made to me once at one point when I was trying to discuss with him proper positioning when you go up to a vehicle, and his comment was -- it was almost sarcastic, but it was, "Am I supposed to stand basically behind the pillar and lean forward?" And I was like, "Yeah, that is what you're supposed to do."

So I just -- I don't understand why he doesn't understand how to do a proper approach on a traffic stop. He was a reserve officer with Junction City Police Department.
Q. Was the purpose of going over these videos with

Mr. Cleavenger and discussing these safety concerns to help him learn?
A. Yes.
Q. Anything else with the video ? Did he allow the woman to sit in the car with him?
A. I couldn't tell. It looked like she was off to the side.

I don't know if she was in the car or not. I know he had told her he had excellent video, but he didn't say that he was recording an audio and that kind of thing. But he did tell her he had excellent video. And he mentioned that he was a lawyer, too, for the second video we've seen now.

The first one being the law school dean.

THE COURT: Counsel, I'm going to send the jury home in a moment at a convenient place. How many more tapes?

MS. COIT: This was the last one, so this would probably be a good spot.

THE COURT: Is this a good place to stop or are you
close to with your direct? If you're close with your direct, we'll conclude it.

MS. COIT: I have more.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll send you home at 5:00. Don't
discuss this matter amongst yourselves; don't form any opinion or express any opinion about the case. I'll see you in the morning.

Off the record for a moment.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Jury not present.) } \\
& \text { (Recess taken.) }
\end{aligned}
\]

THE COURT: So I want you to pay particular attention. By the way, this isn't the order. This is the way we put it together. You can eventually choose your order. I want you to pay particular attention to First Amendment free speech claims against defendants McDermed and Lebrecht. You'll see a highlighted portion beginning "specifically." If we decide that, the rest of the decisions are relatively easy.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, you asked for the protected speech categories, and I made a list for you.

THE COURT: Yeah, I don't know. I'm -- in other
words, this was all work over the weekend. I don't know if you want that. So let's go on the record. Are we on the record? Good.

First, it was submitted to me by plaintiff initially with one and two in the jury instruction first amended free speech claims against defendants McDermed and Lebrecht. That was your original proposal, and then we added three. We thought three was necessary to be included.

Now, as the case rolled along, I started to think about the specificity -- take a moment and decide if you really want that.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well --
MR. MCDOUGAL: Don't jump to speech categories yet.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: The issue I have is some of the speech -- by going through the categories, we'll see that some of the speech happened before the August 13, 2012, meeting.

THE COURT: And that would be with --
MR. JASON KAFOURY: That would be with conversations my client had with the chief back in June, for example, about the federal -- or the state public officer's bill of rights not being followed.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's an example. I can give you more, but that's an example.

THE COURT: Well, then I'm going to go into chambers,
and let you draft this. In other words, this is a suggestion.
I don't mind that you two injected, but I'll put that back on the plaintiff, then, for the drafting of this instruction so the defendant can then make their objections.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. So tell me what time I need to return.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't think it will take long. THE COURT: An hour?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No, no, no. Five minutes.
THE COURT: Give me a time period.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Ten minutes max.
THE COURT: Ten minutes. Okay.
(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: We're on the record and the Court had submitted an instruction it worked on over the weekend to both counsel. The plaintiffs are concerned and would like specificity concerning the areas of protected speech, of which they drafted seven -- I'm sorry, nine, in number, and the defendant objects.

> MS. COIT: Yes. The -- it's the Court's
responsibility, it's my understanding, to tell the jury what the plaintiff complained about that was a matter of public concern. So before we can draft the instruction on what the Court is going to tell the jury, there needs to be a decision
by the Court on what was a matter of public concern.
Like, for example, number two there, declaring his intent to file a grievance and going through the grievance process, that is textbook private concern. I mean, there's case law on that. That is not a matter of public concern.

And then number three, "during a June 1st meeting." Well, he has to -- we need to know what it was that was a matter of public concern that was stated during that meeting.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I have that.
THE COURT: Let me ask this: I'm assuming that each
of you want to only try this case one time. It goes up for review for whomever prevails or whoever prevails. If the Court is right, let's say, on three, hypothetically -- up to four areas that would be submitted to the jury, but I'm wrong on one, that means that the circuit could reverse in total unless they know and have a special finding of some kind, and that's why I'm -- whatever I would decide on this, it might be segmented out -- strike that. We might have different delineations, depending upon what I decide, so that the circuit knows which protected speech areas were either sustained or rejected.

Now, if you disagree, please help me.
MS. COIT: I agree with that, Your Honor. But I think before we can get there, there needs to be a decision on what evidence he's presented on -- of him making complaints on
matters of public concern.
THE COURT: I understand. But each of you came to me
in a much different light. Initially, it was very general.
Except, I delayed, as you said, the areas of public concern and said I would decide them.

I want to take the first one for just a moment. Taser speeches and statements made to the media. Your argument?

MS. COIT: If the statements made to the media refer to the Taser speeches, then I'm in agreement. That's the public speech with regard to Sergeant Cameron.

THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. But just as an example, Cameron clearly communicated his philosophy about Tasers and my client to Lieutenant Lebrecht. That's in the record. So to limit Tasers only to Cameron is not true. Lieutenant Lebrecht could have been retaliating, the jury could find, against --

THE COURT: Just a moment. This says to the media.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. I know. I'm pointing out that she's attempting to limit the Taser speech to Cameron as a defendant, and that's not accurate.

THE COURT: We'll go through these one by one. Remember you drafted it. That way the defense isn't going to -- second, by declaring his intent to file a grievance on May 18th and filing of his grievance on June 18, 2012, and going through the levels of the grievance process for the
letter of reprimand and his termination. Your arguments?
MS. COIT: That matter is purely personal to
Mr. Cleavenger. It does not touch on anything that's a matter of public concern. And there's no complaint being made. He filed his grievance. That's fine. He went through the process. That's not a matter of public concern. This was fully briefed in our summary judgment motion, and I thought it was decided by Your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, we're talking about
the exercise of the right and not the contents of it. That's what's the protected speech. The jury could find that if he just hadn't have done the grievance that it never would have terminated him.

THE COURT: Can this be better drafted?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Sure.
THE COURT: Why don't you do that?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
MS. COIT: It still doesn't touch on matters of public concern. This is a First Amendment claim, not a --

THE COURT: I want to see the first best draft,

\section*{Counsel. I understand.}

Okay, three. During the June 1, 2012, meeting with McDermed.

MS. COIT: That gives no examples of what he's

\section*{talking about.}

THE COURT: Exactly. So if I do give a ruling about
some areas of protected speech -- let's take the Taser and let's say that there's two or three others. Should they all be listed under the June 1, 2012, with specificity?

MS. COIT: If the protected speech was engaged in in the June 1st meeting, then, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Just a moment. So your suggestion
is if I gave Taser and something else, whatever that is, under the June 1st meeting, I should delineate each one of those areas, which means if I was to follow that recommendation, I would do that again with the meeting of August 13th with Chief McDermed.

To be consistent, in other words, each time -- let's just assume, hypothetically, there's two, four -- it doesn't matter, but there's more than one. On each occasion, then, for June 1st, August 13th, September 2012 -- strike that. Let's say Brian Smith. Number six. October 2, 2012. If I believe that those were conveyed or it's arguable that they were conveyed, then you ask for specificity as to each one of those redundantly?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Number four. During a meeting with Defendant McDermed on August 13, 2012.

MS. COIT: So that -- that would be the same thing.

Your Honor would need to decide what the evidence showed is likely he discussed on a matter of public concern during that meeting.

THE COURT: By conversations and emails -- number five, by conversations and emails concerning the legality of his order to only report felonies in September of 2012.

MS. COIT: It needs to identify who he's reported
this to. It has to be specific to the defendant.
THE COURT: In other words, that's cumulative if
anything. It would have to be either June 1st, with McDermed, or, hypothetically, June 18th, I'm sorry, or with Brian Smith on October 2nd.

MS. COIT: I agree.
THE COURT: Okay. So this is -- okay. Six. During
a meeting with University of Oregon, assistant vice president of financial affairs, Brian Smith, on October 2, 2012, and in subsequent correspondence with him. So, once again, if I decide that there's any matter of public policy, I would list those redundantly.

MS. COIT: I agree.
THE COURT: Okay. Seven. During the predismissal
hearing with Linda King on October 12, 2012. The same?
MS. COIT: Same.
THE COURT: Okay. By filing for arbitration on
April 2013 and statements made during the actual arbitration

MR. JASON KAFOURY: And, Your Honor, let me -- I threw out some examples here. I wasn't making this a full exhaustive list, but you said today to put together categories of protected speech, so I want to make sure I'm --

THE COURT: Explain what the non-responding calls are -- what the evidence is in this record of those.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I believe there's been testimony
that these shift briefings lasted hours. Casey Boyd testified --

THE COURT: That's not the response to calls . You already got unnecessarily long shift briefings. That's your first one.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Right. As a result of that, Casey Boyd testified that there were dispatchers that were contacting her complaining about the fact that calls were going unanswered because the shift briefings were so long.

THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: There's no evidence that Mr. Cleavenger made that complaint. This isn't Casey Boyd's lawsuit. He presented no evidence that he made that complaint to anyone.

THE COURT: Number three. Complaints about discrimination within a police force and misconduct related to political bias. And then under that you have mistreatment of females within the department and campus community, overcharging of students, and disparagement of people in the
and thereafter. The same?
MS. COIT: Yeah, and it needs to be specific to what
was complained of in that arbitration that was a matter of public concern.

THE COURT: And by filing a federal lawsuit on
October 25, 2013, including the statements made within it?
MS. COIT: Correct. I agree.
THE COURT: Okay. Counsel? What are my matters of public concern?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, let me give you --
THE COURT: Why don't you put this up on the screen ?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can you put this up on the
screen, Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: I do not have --
MR. JASON KAFOURY: We can use the Elmo.
MR. HESS: I can use Elmo. That's true.
THE COURT: Okay. The first is Taser speeches an d
statements made to the media about the same. I think there's already an agreement that this goes to the jury as a matter of public concern.

Second: Complaints about misconduct within a police force, misuse of public funds, and wasted resources. Unnecessary long shift briefings, the bowl of dicks list, watching football videos on department time, and not responding to calls.

\section*{Occupy Movement.}

MR. JASON KAFOURY: And I would add I think the bowl
of dicks actually goes under two and three.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Well, can I go back to two or do we --
THE COURT: Sure.
MS. COIT: Okay. I -- again, we briefed all this and I'm happy to do it again, but complaints about misusing public funds -- him talking about telling someone that I think that the shift briefings went too long, it doesn't rise to that level of being a matter of public concern. He never went to the public with any of this stuff, and it's not something what rises to the level of a misuse of public funds, as that is interpreted in First Amendment speech.

This -- I don't think there's been any evidence that Lebrecht was involved in this watching of football videos other than what Mr. Cleavenger put into his lawsuit.

THE COURT: How about Sergeant Cameron?
MS. COIT: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Sergeant Cameron?
MS. COIT: Said --
THE COURT: Is he a part of that?
MS. COIT: Watching the football videos? No. I -they just said Lebrecht put -- watched football videos.

THE COURT: I believe so also. Counsel?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm pretty sure Officer Drake testified that there was a football video being watched, and I'm pretty sure my client testified he complained to Brian Smith about that. I'll have to go back and check the record. THE COURT: Why don't you do that for me. Find the place.

\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.}

MS. COIT: But, again, I mean, in the same breath Mr. Cleavenger said, "You know, well, I watched skiing videos, but those were for only 30 seconds or so," but somehow telling someone that Lieutenant Lebrecht put on a video of an old football highlight somehow rises to the matter of public concern complaining about the wasting of public funds? It just -- these are tikkitakki little things. I mean, it's not matters of public concern.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: It all goes to the weight, I think.

THE COURT: Complaints about -- four. Complaints about acts of retaliation and improper threats of retaliation by superiors. Cutting off of access to IA. Threat made after the Occupy briefing.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Those are just a couple of examples. There's a lot in the record.

THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Again, these are private matters that
concern only his employment, his complaints about his supervisors, not matters of public concern. They're purely personal to him.

THE COURT: Okay. Five. Complaints about state law violations and the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah. There's extensive testimony that he complained to Chief McDermed, starting with that meeting on \(6 / 1\), and throughout these various meetings with Lebrecht and with HR and, again, with McDermed, I believe, on August 13th, that he was not being given his legal rights to officer's bill of rights to record these meetings, to know the allegations against him. There were these secret investigations going on. I think there's a whole ton of testimony all throughout the summer that he was complaining about that.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?
MS. COIT: I think I agree that that touches on matters of public concern.

THE COURT: Okay. So five and one so far. Am I correct?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Complaints about federal law
violations, the Clery Act, and directive to PSO Cleavenger not to report anything but felonies.

MS. COIT: That I agree as well.

THE COURT: Okay. So filing of grievances, verbal notice to superiors of intent to file grievance, statements made throughout the grievance process, filing of appeal to arbitration, and statements made during the three-day arbitration. You would see that the same as number three, the complaints about discrimination, et cetera. No, strike that. My apologies.

You would see that as number two on the prior page by declaring his intent to file a grievance on May 18th.

MS. COIT: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: And the same issue with the statements
made during the arbitration. I mean, they have to be statements on matters of public concern.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: There's already been extensive
testimony that bowl of dicks was discussed at the arbitration, so --

THE COURT: Eight. The filing of this lawsuit. MS. COIT: Which I agree if there are matters in there that are matters of public concern.

THE COURT: Okay. And nine. Statements made to the media about this lawsuit.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll withdraw that because I don't think we can prove there's any adverse -- we'll withdraw
that.
THE COURT: So one, five, six, and eight thus far. I'll go back in chambers for a while. Why don't you start drafting what you think one, five, six, and eight would look like in an instruction.

MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: Before I go back with these instruction s
I have laid out on the table, concerning the causal connection instruction, you heard me indicate that I think the instruction's unnecessary and you heard me indicate this morning, informally -- and I don't think it was on the record -- that the legal standard is used by the courts in the Ninth Circuit -- and this addresses whether there's sufficient evidence to support an inference of causation under a summary judgment standard. I think it's up to the jury to determine whether the speech was a substantial factor. Although, I do subscribe that the plaintiff is free to argue that any of these three factors support an inference that the speech was a substantial factor in causing the adverse action to the jury in the closing argument.

Now, why don't you argue that as well, Counsel.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, I -- I've made my argument before,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any other comment, then?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No.

THE COURT: Any other by defendant?
MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think as soon as we finish this
protected speech issue this evening that the only thing I can foresee of what the jury verdict form would look like eventually -- and do you have any thoughts or suggestion -suggestions? Because you know the four categories, at least, are agreed upon. It's the way they get drafted. What is my special verdict form? Am I going to have a special verdict form?

MR. MCDOUGAL: We're not requesting one, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Counsel, what are your thoughts?
MS. COIT: I'm sorry. I missed that.
THE COURT: Well, they're going to request a general
verdict form. Are you going to want a special verdict form?
Depending upon what the Court eventually decides -- and there's
two problems that face each of you and they're equally damaging
to you. Assume that you, as the defendant, prevailed for a
moment, but that I've made an incorrect decision on what
protected speech is. In one of these categories. It comes back and gets tried again in that category.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Not if it's not on the verdict form ; right?

\section*{THE COURT: Pardon?}

MR. MCDOUGAL: If it's not on the verdict form, does

\section*{it come back?}

THE COURT: No. You're not listening. That's a decision you have to make.

Is the Court going to be right on the remaining of those? And if I'm too narrow, the circuit is going to reverse and send this back because I missed one of those.

So I'm not encouraging you to be expansive at all. I'm just pointing out the real practical issues involved. If I, for instance, exclude one of these or more than one, let's say, because they are inappropriate. So I'm not encouraging you to ever change your position. I'm just pointing out the danger.

By the same token, for the plaintiffs, if I give a general verdict form and I've inappropriately included one of these areas, it's coming back.

Tremendous risk to each of you in terms of not being able to come together.

Now, you -- it makes no difference to me. I frankly don't think you can sustain the lawsuit, probably going to be a burden, and I don't think your clients want to go through it again with the notoriety.

So I'll make my best attempt at it, but what I'm saying to you is unless this is severable, if it's put together and if I'm incorrect in any one of these categories, I don't see what choice the circuit has except to say, you know, in category number eight, or whatever, the judge should have included this
one category and didn't.
Now, that -- I leave that to you to resolve or not to resolve because it's just another trial to me. Just, you know, two and a half weeks of trial. It makes no difference whether I'm with you or on an antitrust or patent case. It really doesn't. So I leave that to you and your client, and I leave that in the wisdom of the defendant because, if I guess wrong, you're both equally harmed. So all right. We'll go back.

Counsel, start drafting and call me when you're done. Now time doesn't mean anything to me.
(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record. Counsel ? MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah, we -- we redid number two. THE COURT: Let me see it on the screen. I can't see what you're doing.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah. Mr. Hess, put this back on the screen.

THE COURT: Have you shown that to the opposition?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. Just literally finished it right now. It's not --

THE COURT: Why am I out here, Counsel? This is a waste of my time.

MR. MCDOUGAL: They were the ones drafting the instructions that you were waiting on, Your Honor.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah, you only asked us to do
one. They were the one that was drafting them.
THE COURT: Well, let's just start with how much specificity is required. Taser speeches and statements made to the media. Two. By stating his intent to file a grievance on May 18th and exercising his right to file a grievance on June 18, 2012, and exercising his right of grieving the letter of reprimand and his termination.

Now, just a moment.
I don't tend to think that that's a matter of public policy. I'll let you conclude it, but I don't think it is.

Three. During a June 1, 2012, meeting with McDermed.
Where is my specificity concerning what was relayed on June 1st?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I -- I think I --
THE COURT: Are you including -- you 've given me two sheets. Are you including on the June -- you just can't say June 1st meeting.

\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.}

THE COURT: Are you including, for instance, that there was allegedly a statement about misconduct within the police force and wasted resources? Are you alleging that the bowl of dicks list took place at that meeting?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.
THE COURT: On the -- well, you have to be specific. June 1st is far different than August 18th.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I believe --
THE COURT: So watching football videos on department
time. I'm a little hesitant about that, frankly; that
category. I also don't see the misuse of public funds. You can argue it, but I'm just telling you tentatively. It's not a final ruling. I don't think this is a classic misuse of public funds. You can argue that they were not used wisely because people wasted time. I don't think that's a misuse that I'm used to anyway.

During a meeting with defendant, McDermed, on August 13, 2012 -- where's -- see, you're assuming that on each of these dates the exact same thing was said. I know when you get to Brian Smith that the general statement by your client was "Everything that I said to McDermed, I said to Smith." So from that statement, it got lumped together.

Is that sufficient to give it to the jury, Counsel? In other words, just that general statement that he makes, "Everything I said to Chief McDermed, I said to Brian Smith"?

I'm assuming it is, by the way. I'm assuming if you're incorporating that in one statement by your client and he's laid out what he said to McDermed, that that's your responsibility to go back through the record and then Counsel's to object.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll put him back on rebuttal if we need to have me go through it with specificity. We went
through it in specificity in his deposition and --
    THE COURT: He won't come back on rebuttal for that,
Counsel.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
    THE COURT: You've had your opportunity with that.
So I'm just warning you.
    MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
    THE COURT: So the record will be what it is. If
it's true rebuttal, it will be on, but he's had his
opportunity.
    Now, do you have a proposed instruction?
    MS. COIT: I do.
    THE COURT: Put it up on the Elmo.
    MS. COIT: Two pages.
    THE COURT: Okay. I instruct you that if
Mr. Cleavenger made the following complaints, these complaints
were a -- on a matter of public concern: One. When he spoke
about Tasers in 2008.
    I think we all agree about the general category anyway.
    Two. When he complained to Brian Smith on October 2,
2012. Now, that may be sufficient.
    MS. COIT: No, Your Honor -- I'm sorry. We missed
number two. It's the Chief McDermed one.
    THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. When he complained to
Chief McDermed on August 13, 2012, that he thought he was not
being afforded his rights under the officer's bill of rights. We all agree on that?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. Three. When he complained to Brian Smith on October 2, 2012. Well, let me stop for a minute.

MS. COIT: I have subcategories there.
THE COURT: He thought he was not being afforded his
rights under the officer's bill of rights. Okay. That he thought the instruction not to call out crimes other than felonies was a violation of federal law. What about the general category that counsel includes on his third piece -- or second piece of paper? He spoke out about misconduct within the police department, allegedly, and he spoke out about wasted resources.

Now, it seems to me that those might be combined. I don't know.

MS. COIT: I don't think anything he spoke about regarding the bowl of dicks list, unnecessary long shift briefings, watching football is a matter of public concern.

THE COURT: Well, just a moment. Do you two -- you want me to make that ruling --

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: -- about those categories?
MS. COIT: Yes.
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THE COURT: Okay. And number three is as far as you
go. Is that correct? I can't see the bottom of your paper.
MS. COIT: That's it. And then --
THE COURT: Oh, there.
MS. COIT: Sorry.
THE COURT: When he complained to Linda King on
October 12, 2012.
MS. COIT: The same two categories as he complained
to Smith about. Sorry. I write really slow.
THE COURT: And when he complained --
MS. COIT: In the arbitration.
THE COURT: -- in the arbitration in 2013.
MS. COIT: Same two categories.
THE COURT: And when he complained in this lawsuit on
November 2013.
Now, I like that structure. That's a lot cleaner structure. The question is what gets filled in in addition to -- turn your paper over. Okay. What else would you fill in, Counsel, hypothetically, for the plaintiff?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: What's that?
THE COURT: What else would you fill in?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Into which number?
THE COURT: Any of them. They're redundant.
Now, this is the night you pay a price. Okay? Both of you. I'll have these instructions in good order by tonight,
and I'm not going to keep my court staff here. So you pick a place to meet and you pick it before midnight, and that's where we'll be. I'm not joking. You pick one of your offices or the lobby of the hotel that I'm staying in, but we're going to complete this or get darn close to it tonight.

MS. COIT: We can go to my office, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll meet you there in two hours?
Three hours? What time? This is what you both should be doing. I should have plaintiff's instruction with specificity. I should have the defendants' response. And I'm not waiting until tomorrow, and I'm not waiting until tomorrow night just in case we go to the jury on Wednesday because you two will be exhausted, so we might as well start tonight.

Give me the location.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Do you have somebody?
THE COURT: That way we can grab a little bit of dinner and I'll meet you at 11:00 tonight. You're laughing. I wouldn't be laughing, Counsel.

MS. COIT: I've got my instruction, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. But I'm not going to sit here with my court staff. We can do this informally tonight. I'll let my court staff go home. 10:00? 11:00? What time? I'll give you a couple of hours. That way you've got a little bit of dinner in you.

MS. COIT: 8:30?

\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: 8:30?}

THE COURT: No. Not enough time for you. MR. JASON KAFOURY: 9:00?
THE COURT: No. Not enough time for you. This is going to be complete. Earliest time is 8:00 -- or 10:00. Very earliest time. And I'm doubtful you'll have it done. I'm going to call, and if you don't, I'll meet you at 11:00. And whether you sleep tonight is of no concern to me now.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, you want us to agree on the instructions?

THE COURT: No. You don't have to. I still have decisions to make, but I want the basic format. In other words, I want to see plaintiff's laid out with preciseness and then I can start ruling out. I want this typed so that the redundancies are actually typed. Not just "same as Smith."

MS. COIT: Gotcha.
THE COURT: That way I can simply make the rulings. I can look at those categories. And that way you two know what to argue from these transcripts about. You know, "Judge, it really wasn't said at that meeting," or "It didn't come up."

MS. COIT: Did you say we could go to your office?
MR. MCDOUGAL: If we do it local --

\section*{MS. COIT: That's fine.}

THE COURT: Give me the location. Dress casually.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 411 Southwest Second. Second
floor.
THE COURT: Just a second. 411 Southwest Second.
And what floor?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Second floor.
THE COURT: Second floor. How do I get in?
MR. MCDOUGAL: You'll have to call upstairs, and let
me give you --
THE COURT: What's the number?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 971.
THE COURT: 971.
MR. MCDOUGAL: 563.
THE COURT: 563.
MR. MCDOUGAL: 3234.
THE COURT: 3234.
Now, there's something else we can do. The reason I'm meeting you is I don't believe you'll get it done tonight if you leave and I'm not around. If you really think you two can work together and you're over in an office together, you don't have to meet me. You can do it tomorrow morning. That way -but I want it at 7:30 in the morning.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's fine. We can follow her format and we can see if she disagrees certain things were said.

THE COURT: So far we know the following, don't we: We know that Taser speeches, one -- I'm just going to meet you at 10:00. I'll see what you have then. Okay? All right.

That takes care of that problem. Okay. Goodnight.
Also, work on the verdict form. Remember, I start taking things out: In other words, you can be as complete as you want. But what I don't want to do is have you start -- I want a format, and here's why: What you did with Smith was interesting. It was kind of a volume -- an all-encompassing question. Well, you included -- you said everything. It all came out. Probably have to let that go to the jury based upon what your client says that he said to McDermed. And I may have Smith reversed with McDermed, by the way.

But the most complete, I think, was actually in the June meeting. Then we got into August. And I don't know that everything was included. Sounded like it was. When we got into Smith, I think it was just kind of a summary because by that time we heard it. I think that's enough to go to the jury.

Okay. Now I'm going to wear a pair of Levi's. I'm going to dress casually and we'll see what you've got done.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't want to get sideways with the Court. If we want a general verdict, do you still want us to propose --

THE COURT: No. If you both stipulate to a general verdict, I'll give a general verdict. But, therefore, I have it on the record that you both requested it. It makes it harder for the circuit to reverse me.
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11247 [1] 2261/11
113 [1] 2295/10
116 [1] 2295/10
119 [7] 2281/23 2281/24 2282/1
2286/19 2286/21 2295/11 2295/12
11:00 [2] 2366/22 2367/7
11:00 tonight [1] 2366/17
11:15 a.m [1] \(2127 / 5\)
12 [4] 2172/23 2244/25 2350/22 2365/7
12/28/15 [1] 2372/15
13 [13] 2135/9 2139/22 2173/20
2318/16 2318/17 2327/20 2328/20
2330/14 2337/5 2344/16 2349/24
2362/10 2363/25
1315 [2] 2317/10 2317/11
13th [6] 2129/1 2139/18 2337/6
2349/12 2349/17 2355/10
14 [4] 2172/24 2173/18 2304/9 2308/24
140 [3] 2335/1 2335/3 2338/3
140 to [1] 2338/6
15 [5] 2112/17 2150/16 2182/6
2318/16 2372/15
15 to [1] 2318/17
15-mile-per-hour [1] 2340/10
15th [1] 2115/5
16 [1] 2209/20
162 [2] 2101/17 2101/18

17 [6] 2118/8 2257/19 2261/13 2270/10 2311/3 2372/16
172 [1] 2104/5
17th [4] 2257/8 2261/19 2272/9 2307/7
18 [6] 2200/4 2201/15 2270/18 2271/2
2347/24 2361/6
18th [5] 2347/24 2350/11 2356/9 2361/5 2361/25
1987 [1] 2178/10
1991 [1] 2178/8
1995 [3] 2170/12 2172/6 2172/9
1998 [1] 2171/2
1:00 [3] 2237/20 2237/20 2238/3 1:39 [1] 2230/10
1st [10] 2138/24 2255/5 2346/6 2349/7 2349/10 2349/17 2350/10 2361/13 2361/17 2361/25

\section*{2}

2-8-0 [1] 2305/22
20 [9] 2187/15 2187/19 2187/20
2191/5 2209/20 2269/2 2269/6 2279/14 2285/2
20-year [1] 2176/18
200 [2] 2099/5 2278/7
2000 [1] 2171/9
2002 [1] 2171/10
2008 [1] 2363/18
2010 [3] 2280/14 2292/17 2304/9
2011 [13] 2169/22 2185/15 2185/16
2185/19 2191/23 2192/3 2192/18 2193/16 2193/17 2193/17 2200/4 2201/16 2285/2
2012 [69] 2112/18 2112/23 2118/8 2121/11 2125/13 2127/2 2127/19 2128/16 2129/15 2130/9 2131/22 2132/8 2135/9 2135/16 2135/21 2136/4 2138/4 2138/11 2138/24 2139/10 2139/18 2139/22 2142/25 2159/20 2159/21 2192/2 2193/14 2219/19 2220/5 2220/11 2257/15 2257/16 2257/19 2261/1 2261/13 2261/17 2268/23 2270/10 2270/18 2271/2 2292/18 2304/3 2305/1 2306/2 2309/14 2311/3 2313/23 2316/8 2327/21 2327/24 2328/20 2330/14 2344/16 2347/24 2348/23 2349/5 2349/17 2349/18 2349/24 2350/6 2350/16 2350/22 2361/6 2361/11 2362/11 2363/21 2363/25 2364/5 2365/7
2013 [8] 2142/25 2143/18 2156/2 2265/17 2350/25 2351/6 2365/12 2365/15
2015 [3] 2098/7 2112/17 2372/6
20th [1] 2132/12
21 [3] 2098/7 2132/8 2372/6
2128 [1] 2100/5
21st [1] 2122/11
22 [3] 2121/11 2156/2 2183/24
225 [1] 2185/18
22nd [1] 2165/17
23 [4] 2183/24 2261/1 2261/17 2306/2
23rd [2] 2261/10 2306/12
24 [1] 2294/4
25 [5] 2149/5 2196/16 2269/17 2332/5 2351/6
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 2 & 2220/17 2222/1 & through [1] 23 \\
\hline 26 [1] 2171/10 & 427 [4] 2251/4 2251/5 2251/7 2251/15 & 971 [3] 2368/9 2368/10 2371 \\
\hline 272 [1] 2257/2 & 43 [1] 2172/21 & 97204 [2] 2099/5 2099/21 \\
\hline 273 [10] 2280/2 2280/3 2280/11 & 433 [2] 2257/12 2257/12 & 97401 [1] 2099 \\
\hline 2283/14 2295/8 2295/10 2298/11 & \[
44 \text { [1] 2240/2 }
\] & 98-0346 [1] 2372/1 \\
\hline 2302/20 2302/21 2303/5 & & 9:47 p.m [1] 2220/5 \\
\hline 279 [10] 2256/14 2256/15 2257/2 & \[
45 \text { [2] } 2246 / 18
\] & \\
\hline 2261/14 2270/9 2270/10 2270/19 &  & A \\
\hline 2271/6 2271/7 2311/4 & 46 [8] 2105/2 2105/3 2105/4 2105/5 & a.m [2] 2127/5 2127/5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 27th [4] } 2159 / 212196 / 112203 / 2 \\
& 2310 / 21
\end{aligned}
\] & 2107/5 2107/10 2333/1 2333/2 & ABBA [1] 2185/3 \\
\hline 28 [2] 2125/13 2137/11 & 47 [1] 2247/24 & Abbott [5] 2178/20 2178/21 2179/18 \\
\hline 280 [9] 2260/9 2260/10 2260/15 & 47 miles [1] 23 & 2180/2 2180/3 \\
\hline 2260/20 2261/11 2261/13 2261/14 & \[
49 \text { [1] } 2107 / 5
\] & 2247/16 2247/22 2248/10 2266/21 \\
\hline 2305/21 2305/22 & 4930 [2] 2333/1 2333 & able [11] 2106/6 2109/11 2114/10 \\
\hline 28th [1] 2203/3 & 4th [5] 2165/18 2165/18 2200/2 & 2114/25 2120/8 2132/25 2160/9 \\
\hline 29 [3] 2317/12 2317/13 2318/17 & 2221/22 2222/11 & 2239/13 2242/12 2277/2 2359/15 \\
\hline 2nd [4] 2125/19 2134/14 2134/23 & 5 &  \\
\hline 2350/12 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
5/18 of [1] 2329/17 \\
50 [7] 2178/25 2225/25 2226/3 \\
2268/25 2269/1 2269/4 2269/17 \\
50 yards [4] 2226/2 2232/23 2232/24
\end{tabular}} & above-entitled [1] 2372/10 \\
\hline 3 & & absolute [1] 2128/5 \\
\hline \[
30 \text { [4] 2152/16 2196/17 2320/9 }
\] 2354/10 & & absolutely [15] 2128/11 2160/1
2180/17 2183/14 2188/6 2189/6 \\
\hline & 2233/1 & 2189/17 2231/2 2235/7 2254/13 \\
\hline 30 you're [1] 2318/16 & 503 [2] 2099/22 2143/16 & 2263/9 2291/22 2310/9 2310/11 \\
\hline 30-something [1] 2172/23 & 51 [1] 2107/10 & 2370/23 \\
\hline 300 [1] 2099/9 & 52 [1] 2318/3 & absorbing [1] 2298/ \\
\hline 301 [1] 2099/21 & 536-3234 [1] 23 & \begin{tabular}{l}
academy [9] 2170/12 2170/13 \\
2170/19 2172/5 2266/13 2267/24
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 31 [5] 2208/22 2208/23 2208/24 & 563 [2] 2368/11 23 & 2267/25 2307/2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2209/3 2332/4 } \\
& 312 \text { [5] 2201/2 2201/3 2201/6 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 57 [5] 2124/20 2130/24 2131/2 2131/4 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
accept [7] 2114/23 2218/1 2223/18 \\
2225/11 2235/15 2235/18 2242/18 \\
acceptable [1] 2215/16 \\
accepted [3] 2171/16 2237/6 2278/6 \\
accepting [4] 2212/16 2218/5 2218/7
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
312[5] \\
2202 / 6
\end{gathered}
\] & 2131/18 & \\
\hline 314 [4] 2215/6 2215/7 2215/10 & 5:00 [1] 2343/9 & \\
\hline 2215/18 & 5th [2] 2221/22 22 & \\
\hline 3234 [3] 2368/13 2368/14 2371/1 & 6 & accepting [4] 2212/16 2218/5 2218/7
\[
2223 / 20
\] \\
\hline 326-8191 [1] 2099/22 & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{```
6/1 [1] 2355/8
60 [2] 2230/15 2230/25
64 [2] 2230/15 2231/1
652 [2] 2339/24 2340/3
6:13-cv-01908-DOC [2] 2098/5 2372/4
6th [1] 2114/13
```} & access [2] 2177/24 2354/20 \\
\hline 33 [4] 2328/1 2331/24 2331/25 2332/3 & & accident [3] 2333/16 2334/5 2334/11 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 331[4] \\
& 2309 / 22
\end{aligned}
\] & & accounted [1] 2213/14 \\
\hline 349 [6] 2121/1 2121/3 2121/6 2121/12 & & accuracy [1] 2244/15 \\
\hline 2122/9 2123/22 & & accurate [4] 2252/2 2253/10 2273/10
\(2347 / 20\) \\
\hline 35 [5] 2247/21 2248/2 2248/4 2248/12 2248/12 & 6th [1] \(2114 / 13\) & accurately [2] 2248/11 2253 \\
\hline 351 [5] 2316/21 2316/25 2330/22 2330/23 2332/20 & 725 [2] 2338/3 2338/6 & accusation [3] 2179/16 \(2283 / 7\)
2290/13 \\
\hline 351B [1] 2332/19 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
7900 \text { [1] 2172/20 } \\
7: 30 \text { in [1] } 2368 / 20
\end{array}
\] & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
accusations [1] 2180/21 \\
accused [1] 2282/19 \\
acknowledge [2] 2218/4 2247/1 \\
acknowledged [3] 2250/4 2267/12
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 351C [1] 2339/24 & \[
\text { 7th [3] } 2223 / 12 \text { 2223/14 2235/5 }
\] & \\
\hline 351G [2] 2316/24 2317/2 & 8 & \\
\hline 351H [2] 2334/24 2334/25 & 800-dollar-a-month [1] 2173/5 & acquired [1] 2114/14 \\
\hline 357 [5] 2124/17 2124/21 2124/24 2125/7 2127/1 & \[
8191 \text { [1] } 2099 / 22
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { acquired [1] 2114/14 } \\
& \text { across [4] 2137/23 2289/3 2316/5 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 360 [1] 2099/8 & \[
\text { 8:00 [1] } 2367 / 5
\] & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|l}
2370 / 18 \\
\text { act [5] 2268/22 2289/18 2293/19 } \\
2337 / 25 \text { 2355/23 }
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline 361 [3] 2155/21 2155/23 2156/6 & 8:07 [1] 2115/24 & \\
\hline 367 [1] 2124/19 & 8:30 [2] 2366/25 23 & \\
\hline 384 [1] 2114/23 & 9 & acting [4] 2105/10 2105/20 2159/15
\[
2257 / 16
\] \\
\hline 391 [4] 2117/19 2117/20 2118/9 2120/14 & \multirow[t]{7}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 9 / 23 / 04 \text { [1] } 2171 / 17 \\
& 9 / 3 / 2004 \text { after [1] } 2171 / 15 \\
& 9 / 30 / 17 \text { [1] 2372/16 } \\
& 9 / 7 / 95 \text { [1] } 2170 / 18 \\
& 930 \text { and [1] } 2317 / 8 \\
& 930 \text { to [1] } 2317 / 9 \\
& 95 \text { [2] } 2170 / 162170 / 18 \\
& 95 \text { percent [1] } 2133 / 6 \\
& 95-1 \text { [1] } 2170 / 13 \\
& 957 \text { [1] } 2340 / 1
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{acting-Chief [2] 2105/10 2105/20 action [18] 2144/8 2157/19 2195/24 2199/15 2210/11 2210/12 2216/5} \\
\hline 4 & & \\
\hline 40 [1] 2163/6 & & 2219/1 2219/9 2219/9 2236/24 2250/3 \\
\hline 403 [2] 2291/20 2298/5 & & 2250/6 2272/8 2289/19 2290/11 \\
\hline 411 [3] 2099/4 2367/25 2368/2 & & 2294/3 2357/19 \\
\hline 420 [7] 2194/20 2194/22 2194/23 & & actions [6] 2199/16 2242/19 2243/1 2258/6 2272/5 2277/5 \\
\hline 2195/2 2195/14 2195/17 2199/14 & & activate [1] 2240/14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 21 & agreement [23] 2108/25 2128/8 \\
\hline activated [3] 2243/14 2243/19 & 2134/7 2142/13 2153/13 2161/6
2164/9 2170/13 2171/1 2171/15 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2128/8 2143/15 2143/18 2146/16 \\
2147/6 2152/5 2155/3 2157/8 2157/8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 2172/12 2172/13 2173/5 2180/4 & 2157/10 2157/14 2157/16 2157/19 \\
\hline & 2182/2 2182/3 2182/23 2183/16 & 2157/21 2158/22 2159/1 2159/11 \\
\hline activities & 2185/15 2185/24 2185/25 2186/19 & 2234/17 2254/9 2347/9 2351/19 \\
\hline activity [1] 219 & 2188/24 2191/1 2191/14 2194/9 & agrees [1] 2284/8 \\
\hline [1] 2293/8 & 2197/9 2198/14 2198/19 2198/1 & ahead [3] 2101/7 \\
\hline acts [1] 2354/19 & 2199/2 2200/3 2201/24 2204/2 & Ahlen [11] 2149/11 2150/20 2150 \\
\hline actual [4] 2104/5 2185/17 2224/1 & 2221/3 2221/18 2221/22 2226/10 & 2164/6 2165/10 2166/7 2168/9 \\
\hline & 2231/14 2232/12 2233/5 2233/15 & aided [2] 2252/10 2278/7 \\
\hline & 2243/17 2243/23 2251/1 2254/3 & Aiken [4] 2186/16 2186/20 2187/6 \\
\hline 2172/14 2173/13 2175/1 2176/1 & 2254/19 2255/8 2265/17 2265/18 & 2187/8 \\
\hline 2178/12 2180/10 2185/16 2185/22 & 2265/19 2265/20 2272/21 2279/2 & air [1] 2136/6 \\
\hline 2186/20 2209/6 2213/21 2221/21 & 2299/13 2301/11 2301/19 2301/19 & al [2] 2178/10 2372 \\
\hline 2234/18 2236/13 2245/3 2315/1 & 23 & Al Gore [1] 2178/10 \\
\hline 2315/14 2332/3 2332/6 2338/13 & & Alex [1] 2104/4 \\
\hline 2353/3 2367/15 2369/11 & uired & \\
\hline ad [1] 2109/23 & afternoon [3] 2147/22 2253/
\[
2253 / 19
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
all-encompassing [1] 2369/6 \\
allegation [26] 2237/3 2239/8 2239/14
\end{tabular} \\
\hline ADAM [1] 2099/3 & afterwards [6] 2105/11 2164/1 & a240/17 2240/18 2240/20 2241/8 \\
\hline ac & 2165/10 2197/25 2213/17 2225/4 & 2241/9 2249/18 \\
\hline \[
22
\] & again [77] 2111/19 2111/19 2111/20 & 2278/12 2278/14 2288/17 2288/18 \\
\hline 236 & 2115/24 2118/16 2123/15 2126/22 & 2288/21 2288/23 2293/20 2294/3 \\
\hline & 2138/10 2145/13 2147/24 2148/13 & 2294/11 2301/10 2301/24 2303/1 \\
\hline \[
2125 / 252306 / 18
\] & 2149/2 2149/9 2150/6 2151/12 2153/1 & 2303/13 2303/14 23 \\
\hline ress [1] 2232 & 2158/9 2186/11 2186/12 2191/12 & allegations [17] 2186/19 2239/9 \\
\hline & 2194/10 2198/22 2201/17 2202/25 & 2241/9 2249/4 22 \\
\hline add & 2203/2 2203/3 2207/22 2212/13 & 2258/15 2270/3 2282/18 \\
\hline & 2217/18 2217/18 2225/8 2226/11 & 2301/8 2301/9 2301/10 2303/23 \\
\hline & 2227/1 2236/22 2237/7 2238/16 & 2303/24 2310/4 \\
\hline \[
23
\] & 2239/1 2239/25 2243/7 2244/20 & alleged [3] 2251/22 2267/10 229 \\
\hline administered [2] 2116/ & 2244/25 2248/10 2250/5 2254/8 & allegedly [4] 2105/14 2281/3 2361/20 \\
\hline administration [1] 2328/5 & 2255/5 2262/13 2264/5 & 236 \\
\hline administrative [2] 2132/13 2171/18 & 22 & alleging [1] 2361/21 \\
\hline nistrator [1] 2313/17 & 2276/23 2284/24 2285/8 2292/10 & allow [6] 2109/22 2298/11 2298/1 \\
\hline admissible [1] 2299/13 & 200/21 230 & 2300/3 2303/7 \\
\hline admit [1] 2300/9 &  & allowed [9] 2113/20 2115/10 2115/12 \\
\hline admitting [1] 2240/2 &  & 2239/24 2240/7 \\
\hline admonish [3] 2240/24 2242/4 2267/12 & 2354/8 2354/25 2355 & allowing [3] 2168/4 2168/5 2298/16 \\
\hline admonished [1] & 2359/20 & almost [12] 2133/12 2184/4 2184 \\
\hline It [1] & against [26] 2133/24 2157/19 2182/6 & 2185/2 2189/4 2200/9 2225/13 22 \\
\hline 2160/23 2161/3 & 2191/21 2250/13 2258/7 2258/9 & 2315/15 2339/13 2341/6 2342/6 \\
\hline & 2258/15 2259/22 2264/3 2264/22 & alone [1] 2300/4 \\
\hline advice [2] 2159/10 2159/13 & 2273/11 2276/9 2276/23 2277/12 & along [11] 2162/18 2228/24 2243/1 \\
\hline advise [14] 2252/16 2253/1 2258/10 & 2278/12 2301/24 2303/24 2306/13 & 2247/3 2248/6 2269/8 2326/1 2327/11 \\
\hline 2258/11 2259/21 2264/24 2267/6 & 2312/1 2336/20 2339/8 2343/20 & 2335/21 2344/9 2370 \\
\hline 2270/24 2309/6 2314/22 2329/22 & 2344/6 2347/16 2355/12 & already [28] 2130/25 2131/3 2133/11 \\
\hline 2332/1 2332/6 2338/16 & agency [3] 2143/22 2153/11 2172/10 & 2138/7 2138/20 2160/3 2160/6 \\
\hline advised [9] 2218/2 2221/16 2257/18 & agent [1] & 2166/13 2166/14 \(2194 / 232201\) \\
\hline 2308/17 2326/25 2332/9 2332/13 & ages [1] 2173/19 & 2201/10 2201/24 2202/21 2208/25 \\
\hline 2332/13 2336/9 & aggressive [9] 2149/22 2196/25 & 2210/20 2216/11 2221/25 2253/6 \\
\hline advising [5] 2211/3 2267/1 2269/12 & 197/14 2197/16 2204/5 2205/1 & 2258/21 2264/10 2281/22 2286/19 \\
\hline 2272/13 2333/15 & 2205/5 2206/8 2206/14 & 2296/6 2312/4 2351/19 2352/ \\
\hline affairs [28] 2171/20 2176/18 2190/12 & ago [3] 2108/4 2145/23 2164/16 agree [25] 2137/1 2139/9 2139/16 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
2356 / 16 \\
\text { also [46] 2114/10 2123/10 2126/ }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 2190/16 2250/2 2250/12 2252/24 & \[
2140 / 52151 / 242163 / 152163 / 18
\] & \[
2130 / 152145 / 92145 / 132149 / 12
\] \\
\hline 54/11 2254/18 2254/22 2255/13 & 2165/19 2165/23 2250/19 2258/3 & 2153/23 2154/8 2158/2 2171/12 \\
\hline 2268/20 2274/16 2304/6 2307/25 & 2272/23 2288/5 2294/14 2299/13 & 2172/2 2174/19 2180/7 2192/4 21 \\
\hline 808/4 2308/9 2308/11 2308/16 & 2346/23 2350/13 2350/20 2351/7 & 2196/25 2204/11 2205/11 2216/9 \\
\hline 2308/4 2308/9 & 2355/17 2355/25 2356/20 2363/19 & 2216/25 2229/6 2230/9 2235/12 \\
\hline \[
2350 / 16
\] & 2364/2 2367/9 & 2243/6 2243/13 2245/21 2258/10 \\
\hline & agreeable [1] 2148/16 & 2259/9 2289/2 2298/21 2300/8 2305/5 \\
\hline & agreed [3] 2195/23 2238/2 2358/8 & 2306/6 2311/6 2311/13 2315/5 2315/8 \\
\hline after [74] 2103/22 2110/16 2113/9 & agreeing [3] 2135/19 2135/21 2292/15 & 2315/24 2317/22 2324/16 2341/16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 2362/19 & \[
22
\] \\
\hline around [20] 2126/8 2130/16 2139/24 & & 29/5 2236/10 2236/11 2247 \\
\hline 2172/21 2174/8 2179/22 2180/4 & asterisk [1] 2248 & 2247/16 2247/16 2307/2 2336/1 \\
\hline 2183/20 2183/25 2184/15 2185/12 & at page [1] 2125/11 &  \\
\hline 2188/19 2192/13 2193/1 2299/6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { athlete [1] 2285/7 } \\
& \text { attached [3] 2220/7 2282/7 2286/24 }
\end{aligned}
\] & awful [1] 2286/5 awkward [1] 2193/4 \\
\hline 2299/11 2309/13 2315/19 2340/10 & attachment [2] 2220/8 2220/15 & awry [1] 2166/23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { 2368/17 } \\
\text { arranged [1] } 2138 / 20
\end{array}
\] & & B \\
\hline arrest [1] 2218/23 & attacked [2] 2289/24 2295/22 & back [111] 2104/13 2105/19 2108/3 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
arrests [2] \\
arrived [1]
\end{tabular} & attempt [4] 2131/23 2160/14 2199/17 & 2108/17 2110/21 2112/8 2114/6 \\
\hline as [217] & 2359/21 & 2116/3 2116/24 2117/14 21 \\
\hline aside [2] 2165/19 2316/14 & attempted [5] 2161/8 2165/10 2200 & 2120/7 2120/12 2 \\
\hline ask [30] 2104/14 2104/15 2106/1 & 2200/5 2272/19 & 2135/16 2135/19 2135/21 2136/4 \\
\hline 2114/2 2114/25 2123/15 2128/8 & attempting [1] & 2139/13 2147/1 2151/4 2152/13 \\
\hline 2135/6 2135/13 2138/10 2154/22 & attend [3] 2160/9 2162/25 & 2152/20 2152/22 2152/24 2161 \\
\hline 2158/3 2159/10 2163/22 2164/14 & attended [3] 2162/21 2252/13 226 & 2163/7 2171/1 2177/18 2178/10 \\
\hline 2267/18 2275/7 2276/21 2278/16 & attention [9] 2101/11 2110/22 2163/6 & 2178/22 2179/6 2179/10 2182/13 \\
\hline 2293/1 2293/12 2298/8 2299/21 & 2284/13 2285/23 2292/17 2302/9 & 2186/7 2190/10 2190/25 219 \\
\hline 2301/18 2303/1 2319/3 2320/7 2324/2 & 2343/17 2343/19 & 2191/6 2191/15 2192/10 2198/19 \\
\hline 2346/10 2349/20 & attitude [1] 2209/24 & 2199/14 2204/6 2204/12 2204/12 \\
\hline asked [40] 2126/5 2130/6 2140/2 & attorney [3] 2101/20 2101/21 2104 & 2205/5 2225/23 2228/2 2229 \\
\hline 2150/1 2163/8 2165/22 2180/2 2181/4 & attorneys [1] 2258/8 & 2229/23 2234/19 2239/6 2243/2 \\
\hline 2193/20 2205/17 2206/23 2207/5 & attracted [1] 2173/10 & 2244/3 2244/12 2244/22 2246/18 \\
\hline 2207/18 2225/19 2225/22 2228/21 & audio [17] 2231/25 2232/8 2266/5 & 2254/19 2279/21 \\
\hline 2242/6 2242/7 2242/13 2243/1 2243/5 & 2317/21 2317/22 2317/24 2318/8 & 2280/14 2283/9 2289/10 2291/12 \\
\hline 2243/16 2244/20 2248/6 2266/22 & 2318/10 2318/21 2319/19 2319/24 & 2291/16 2292/3 2292/14 2295/7 \\
\hline 2273/2 2273/16 2276/8 2276/22 & 2320/15 2321/2 2321/12 2322/6 & 2295/12 2296/20 2299/23 2300/14 \\
\hline 2283/20 2284/18 2293/4 2296/10 & 2322/14 2342/22 & 2307/8 2315/20 2315/25 2316/11 \\
\hline 2300/3 2310/19 2324/4 2324/5 2327/2 & audios [1] 2140/7 & \\
\hline 2343/23 2360/25 & August [24] 21 & \\
\hline asking [20] 2119/6 2119/8 2123/11 & 2139/10 2139/18 2139/22 2159/20 & 2330/3 2332/11 2333/12 2335/8 \\
\hline 2129/24 2136/14 2168/1 2182/9 & 2159/21 2159/21 2196/11 2309/20 &  \\
\hline 2243/3 2244/17 2264/11 2266/17 & 2328/20 2330/14 2337/5 2337/6 & 59/1 2359/6 2359/14 2360/8 \\
\hline 2267/3 2267/24 2271/18 2275/9 &  & 2362/24 \\
\hline 2279/7 2288/11 2293/19 2294/2 & 2359/12 & 2363/2 2370/10 2370/18 \\
\hline 2320/24 & August 13 [8] 2139/22 2328/20 & background [7] 2170/11 2171/20 \\
\hline  & 2330/14 2337/5 2344/16 2349/24 & 2202/11 2223/4 2306/7 2306/9 \\
\hline aspects [2] 2175/22 & 2362/10 2363/25 & 2321 \\
\hline assault [1] 2183/11 & August 13th [5] 2129/1 2139/18 & backpack [4] 2197/3 2197/5 2197/20 \\
\hline assert [3] 2245/17 2267/13 2276/2 & 2337/6 2349/17 2355/10 & \\
\hline asserted [3] 2246/10 2267/11 2275/10 & August 18th [1] 2361/25 & backs [1] 2176/24 \\
\hline asserting [1] 2243/11 & \begin{tabular}{l}
August 21st [1] 2122/11 \\
August 22 [1] 2121/11
\end{tabular} & backup [4] 2211/13 2211/14 221
\[
2333 / 23
\] \\
\hline assertion [1] 2244/6 & August 27th [2] 2159/21 2196/11 & backwards [1] 2317/24 \\
\hline assertions [1] 2253/5 & authority [15] 2103/11 2242/9 2243/10 & bad [5] 2115/8 2119/2 2172/25 2175/2 \\
\hline assessing [1] 2147/3 & 2243/11 2246/25 2247/5 2247/6 & 2323/18 \\
\hline assessment [5] 2163/20 2259/12
2259/25 2306/17 2311/16 & 2263/15 2264/2 2264/16 2264/18 & badmouthing [1] 2189/13 \\
\hline 2259/25 2306/17 2311/16 & 2264/19 2264/21 2268/11 2336/4 & baffled [1] 2285/18 \\
\hline assessments [1] 2260/1 & automatic [1] 2155/8 & bag [2] 2139/21 2139/25 \\
\hline assigned [4] 2241/14 2241/17 2247/23 2269/14 & auxillary [2] 2171/19 2339/11 & balance [1] 2210/11 \\
\hline & available [1] 2238/3 & bargain [1] 2336/16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { assignm } \\
2182 / 19
\end{gathered}
\] & Avenue [3] 2099/4 2099/8 2099/21 & bargaining [16] 2143/15 2146/16 \\
\hline assignments [4] 2171/18 2171/25 & average [2] 2194/1 2202/24 & 2147/5 2152/5 2155/2 2157/7 2157 \\
\hline \[
2182 / 242183 / 2
\] & avoid [1] 2212/4 & 2157/9 2157/14 2157/16 2157/18 \\
\hline & avoids [1] 2370/8 & 2157/20 2158/22 2159/1 2159/11 \\
\hline assist [2] 2259/8 & awake [2] 2188/16 2247/21 & 2234/16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { assistance [4] 21! } \\
& 2277 / 24
\end{aligned}
\] & aware [22] 2113/11 2130/15 2161/23 & base [3] 2111/3 2218/14 2244/15 \\
\hline & 2161/24 2188/23 2190/15 2196/10 & based [13] 2156/22 2157/6 2157/7 \\
\hline assistant [5] \(2103 / 4\) 2215/13 2305/15
\[
2307 / 32350 / 15
\] & 2211/21 2211/24 2219/17 2236/14 & 2158/9 2163/19 2208/12 2217/13 \\
\hline & 2262/7 2262/10 2272/19 2272/21 & 2249/7 2249/22 2249/25 2250/1 \\
\hline & 2301/10 2306/4 2307/20 2314/15 & 2252/10 2369/8 \\
\hline & 2314/19 2314/24 2336/6 & basic [2] 2170/13 2367/12 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
assumed [2] 2161/3 2179/1 \\
assuming [8] 2108/12 2164/22 2179/3
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
awareness [1] 2199/18 \\
away [20] 2124/6 2179/23 2182/6
\end{tabular} & basically [22] 2174/4 2199/1 2199/6 2200/19 2202/19 2207/11 2208/5 \\
\hline 2293/1 2346/10 2362/11 2362/19 & 2186/10 2196/17 2196/20 2217/6 & 2215/11 2231/20 2240/7 2241/12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

B
basically... [11] 2242/7 2246/23
2248/24 2251/12 2252/21 2303/17 2315/21 2320/24 2328/19 2331/15 2342/7
basis [1] 2102/1
basketball [1] 2337/18
Bates [2] 2257/12 2261/11
bathroom [1] 2291/10
baton [1] 2176/10
be [284]
became [6] 2171/6 2173/6 2243/22 2262/10 2272/21 2314/24
because [138]
Bechdolt [7] 2181/2 2181/7 2263/18 2263/23 2268/19 2273/24 2305/7
Bechdolt's [1] 2181/5
become [5] 2144/21 2173/11 2190/15
2216/19 2236/14
becoming [1] 2189/23
been [95] 2114/19 2122/1 2126/1 2126/16 2127/7 2127/14 2127/16 2130/21 2130/24 2131/2 2131/12 2131/24 2133/8 2133/11 2133/15 2133/24 2134/6 2136/18 2136/23 2137/11 2138/20 2149/24 2158/2 2159/18 2160/6 2161/9 2162/13 2166/12 2169/10 2169/21 2173/18 2175/23 2175/23 2176/18 2177/11 2178/2 2183/11 2189/2 2194/12 2194/23 2196/17 2199/22 2201/10 2208/24 2208/25 2209/8 2211/3 2214/3 2216/3 2218/21 2219/24 2220/7 2223/1 2223/15 2224/23 2229/9 2231/15 2234/6 2235/24 2236/12 2248/2 2248/5 2252/16 2252/22 2253/6 2254/19 2271/11 2274/13 2275/6 2285/15 2293/3 2293/15 2295/2 2296/7 2297/9 2297/20 2302/20 2305/5 2305/12 2307/17 2308/16 2308/24 2309/11 2309/16 2309/20 2316/8 2326/13 2326/20 2326/22 2327/24 2332/12 2347/16 2352/7 2353/15 2356/16 before [68] 2098/15 2101/25 2102/9
2108/13 2110/13 2110/17 2113/6 2113/14 2116/8 2116/12 2125/24 2126/23 2130/12 2133/15 2136/19 2138/24 2139/10 2153/2 2158/11 2165/9 2166/10 2166/12 2170/17 2173/6 2177/20 2180/24 2183/9 2185/17 2186/22 2187/7 2198/5 2200/12 2200/14 2207/17 2207/18 2212/19 2213/18 2222/11 2223/10 2225/14 2231/5 2233/17 2233/25 2237/4 2240/16 2245/8 2246/8 2256/2 2256/3 2256/6 2256/8 2258/17 2258/20 2260/3 2261/18 2276/1 2283/14 2291/22 2297/16 2300/5 2317/6 2339/19 2344/16 2345/24 2346/24 2357/7 2357/22 2366/2 beforehand [1] 2163/19 began [4] 2170/21 2171/16 2309/13 2315/3
begin [1] 2192/18
beginning [4] 2162/20 2335/9 2335/10 2343/21
behalf [7] 2117/8 2142/10 2158/21 2159/15 2169/6 2238/13 2313/4 behavior [22] 2196/4 2198/21 2199/17 2212/18 2216/2 2217/7 2217/8 2228/17 2241/22 2263/7 2272/6 2273/12 2279/7 2282/10 2282/19 2282/19 2285/9 2292/6 2304/25 2314/23 2315/2 2315/4
behavioral [1] 2306/14
behaviors [2] 2201/22 2217/11 behind [9] 2176/24 2182/5 2192/24 2310/10 2315/16 2315/20 2337/20 2341/3 2342/7
being [83] 2109/20 2114/25 2115/18 2117/8 2122/7 2126/12 2127/11 2132/4 2132/16 2132/20 2133/7 2134/3 2142/10 2150/7 2162/13 2163/8 2168/7 2168/20 2169/6 2170/1 2171/7 2172/24 2173/2 2179/15 2186/17 2189/15 2193/14 2197/19 2203/5 2203/8 2205/11 2208/16 2212/1 2215/25 2216/13 2219/12 2227/1 2232/20 2233/20 2238/13 2240/16 2240/23 2241/3 2241/24 2242/4 2243/1 2243/2 2243/5 2248/4 2248/12 2259/21 2262/25 2267/8 2272/13 2281/22 2285/1 2285/3 2292/22 2295/19 2295/20 2300/5 2300/6 2300/11 2304/22 2305/17 2307/24 2308/3 2308/18 2313/4 2313/24 2323/12 2333/10 2338/16 2339/20 2342/25 2344/21 2348/4 2353/11 2354/2 2355/10 2359/15 2364/1 2364/8
belief [1] 2240/1
beliefs [1] 2178/18
believe [89] 2104/11 2105/6 2105/22 2106/6 2107/25 2110/23 2117/5 2128/8 2131/3 2137/18 2137/20 2137/23 2137/25 2154/12 2154/13 2159/21 2159/24 2170/2 2176/15 2178/10 2181/5 2192/2 2192/3 2192/22 2193/15 2193/17 2196/14 2203/22 2205/2 2206/4 2208/18 2216/3 2217/4 2219/19 2221/22 2222/11 2223/6 2223/12 2224/17 2231/7 2231/8 2233/7 2233/22 2234/18 2247/10 2249/23 2258/4 2259/2 2259/10 2265/13 2266/3 2272/10 2276/13 2283/2 2283/19 2295/1 2298/5 2307/2 2308/14 2309/20 2310/8 2311/14 2311/23 2313/14 2313/20 2314/17 2316/7 2317/21 2319/10 2319/22 2321/5 2323/25 2324/9 2326/7 2330/1 2332/9 2332/9 2333/25 2334/18 2335/4 2337/6 2340/9 2349/18 2352/7 2353/25 2355/9 2362/1 2368/16 2370/20
believed [2] 2102/1 2160/24
believing [2] 2253/5 2287/23
bell [1] 2325/24
belligerent [1] 2150/7
below [4] 2104/2 2104/3 2117/24 2372/8
benchmark [1] 2255/1
benefits [2] 2170/18 2212/25
bent [1] 2102/3
besides [1] 2127/6
besieged [1] 2258/12
best [4] 2158/5 2236/5 2348/21 2359/21
better [6] 2158/5 2199/8 2212/17 2255/24 2341/22 2348/15
between [18] 2107/12 2125/1 2125/2 2134/11 2135/10 2143/16 2147/1 2238/2 2247/18 2255/8 2275/18 2280/20 2280/22 2308/6 2315/6 2315/24 2335/2 2341/7
bias [1] 2352/23
biases [1] 2298/7
bicycle [3] 2210/2 2210/14 2210/15 bicycles [3] 2210/1 2245/1 2245/2
bicyclists [1] 2278/10
bifurcated [1] 2223/23
big [6] 2172/16 2172/22 2227/18 2243/9 2292/23 2341/10
biggest [1] 2176/7
bike [4] 2186/24 2187/4 2192/22 2193/2
bikes [2] 2192/12 2192/24
bill [5] 2344/20 2355/5 2355/11 2364/1
2364/9
bills [1] 2173/7
birth [2] 2179/20 2180/6
bit [13] 2149/18 2181/22 2191/14
2196/19 2200/17 2207/17 2216/8
2233/14 2283/8 2314/21 2334/18
2366/16 2366/23
bizarre [2] 2196/4 2314/23
blame [2] 2237/14 2242/20
blank [2] 2261/5 2261/9
blindly [1] 2248/23
blindsided [1] 2111/5
block [2] 2341/1 2341/3
blocked [1] 2151/19
blow [1] 2297/6
blue [3] 2286/1 2288/1 2325/25
blurry [1] 2304/7
Board [2] 2162/4 2162/5
bolstering [1] 2298/20
bolsters [4] 2289/23 2295/23 2296/8 2296/9
bordering [1] 2326/3
born [1] 2179/15
boss [6] 2143/13 2150/23 2150/24 2154/25 2161/25 2186/3
both [34] 2106/17 2106/23 2108/7 2110/8 2120/19 2122/15 2127/4 2138/9 2147/14 2154/16 2170/10 2196/20 2201/15 2241/3 2242/9 2242/16 2286/16 2292/16 2293/16 2294/25 2296/19 2297/20 2297/22 2298/17 2300/9 2302/5 2314/17 2329/10 2345/16 2360/8 2365/24 2366/8 2369/22 2369/24
bothered [1] 2301/11
bothering [1] 2181/22
bottom [10] 2103/21 2122/10 2122/22 2123/2 2124/4 2126/5 2208/19 2209/13 2248/15 2365/2
Boulevard [2] 2184/23 2185/1
bounce [1] 2244/2
bound [1] 2157/15

B
bowl [9] 2126/7 2126/11 2126/13 2129/9 2351/23 2353/2 2356/17 2361/22 2364/19
box [1] 2298/25
boy [2] 2332/20 2332/21
Boyd [41] 2170/5 2189/7 2189/7 2189/16 2189/18 2190/21 2191/20 2278/18 2280/20 2280/22 2281/11 2283/7 2283/8 2283/13 2283/19 2284/9 2285/16 2286/2 2286/11 2287/1 2288/8 2288/20 2289/14 2289/24 2291/25 2292/18 2293/5 2294/12 2295/21 2297/2 2297/5 2298/20 2301/7 2302/6 2303/1 2303/24 2304/17 2305/4 2305/5 2352/8 2352/14
Boyd's [17] 2190/12 2281/20 2281/20
2288/17 2288/23 2289/1 2293/20 2294/3 2295/9 2295/23 2296/7 2296/20 2299/15 2301/23 2302/25 2303/11 2352/19
boyfriend [1] 2326/22
Brady [3] 2186/19 2272/15 2272/16
Brady material [1] 2186/19
Brailey [18] 2125/4 2125/5 2149/20
2149/21 2150/5 2150/21 2151/12
2151/13 2155/14 2161/1 2161/1 2161/4 2161/9 2162/15 2166/25 2167/13 2167/14 2168/7
brakes [2] 2243/20 2244/9
BRANDON [10] 2098/7 2169/2 2169/5 2169/12 2259/6 2259/8 2270/15
2311/8 2311/12 2313/3
Brathwaite [1] 2170/5
break [5] 2187/12 2237/17 2273/21 2279/10 2291/11
breakdown [1] 2217/9
breath [1] 2354/8
Brian [33] 2125/16 2125/23 2126/1
2126/8 2126/16 2126/20 2127/5
2127/8 2127/18 2129/7 2134/14
2134/15 2134/19 2138/4 2138/20
2138/25 2139/4 2139/6 2139/22
2142/5 2142/9 2142/16 2164/22
2185/21 2185/25 2349/18 2350/11
2350/16 2354/3 2362/13 2362/18
2363/20 2364/5
Brian Smith [1] 2364/5
brief [3] 2280/14 2299/23 2331/15 briefed [4] 2257/16 2257/16 2348/7 2353/7
briefing [22] 2114/9 2177/15 2178/24
2180/20 2180/23 2181/13 2182/2 2183/16 2183/19 2184/10 2185/25 2191/5 2191/9 2216/20 2216/24 2240/22 2251/14 2252/9 2252/13 2252/23 2316/9 2354/21
briefings [10] 2126/7 2174/15 2180/20 2192/4 2351/23 2352/8 2352/11
2352/16 2353/10 2364/20
briefly [4] 2238/24 2253/20 2280/14 2316/14
bring [9] 2101/11 2106/13 2128/10 2144/8 2145/7 2178/19 2266/14 2266/21 2279/23
bringing [3] 2216/14 2277/9 2287/25
broad [1] 2286/16
Broadway [1] 2190/2
broke [2] 2267/4 2267/5
brought [9] 2177/16 2183/7 2200/6 2205/16 2205/16 2227/25 2292/17
2302/7 2328/17
buddy [1] 2339/14
budget [1] 2172/22
bug [1] 2333/17
building [4] 2183/22 2184/4 2191/15 2275/16
built [1] 2297/19
bully [1] 2180/12
bunch [4] 2106/24 2107/12 2269/10 2299/6
burden [1] 2359/19
bus [3] 2276/14 2276/18 2277/15
Bush [1] 2179/19
business [1] 2141/3
busy [1] 2209/24
buy [1] 2370/6
C
C-A-U-F-I-E-L-D [1] 2142/16
CAD [1] 2278/6
California [5] 2170/8 2172/7 2172/15 2174/16 2179/2
Californians [2] 2179/1 2179/5
call [46] 2116/6 2116/8 2142/5 2143/8
2143/23 2153/13 2154/19 2159/9 2159/10 2170/17 2190/8 2197/6 2197/21 2204/2 2204/9 2211/10 2219/18 2220/6 2221/7 2221/12 2221/19 2223/21 2227/5 2235/13 2235/21 2235/25 2235/25 2236/1 2237/10 2237/12 2238/2 2238/6 2241/19 2279/21 2283/9 2291/7 2293/12 2294/23 2300/9 2312/15 2312/19 2360/9 2364/10 2367/7 2368/6 2371/1
called [21] 2113/18 2117/8 2142/10
2160/19 2169/6 2170/13 2170/23 2200/7 2206/23 2206/25 2213/5 2228/7 2238/13 2245/9 2246/13 2252/11 2284/12 2285/7 2313/4 2313/21 2333/23
calling [3] 2285/23 2320/12 2370/23
calls [16] 2116/10 2169/2 2171/5 2175/20 2188/15 2204/18 2206/21 2208/14 2211/25 2216/8 2217/25 2235/23 2351/25 2352/5 2352/10 2352/15
cam [23] 2228/3 2229/6 2229/19 2230/2 2232/12 2258/23 2265/9 2265/11 2265/12 2265/20 2266/1 2266/5 2267/1 2267/16 2269/10 2269/17 2269/17 2269/20 2314/12 2317/19 2322/2 2322/13 2323/13 came [30] 2101/9 2105/10 2114/22 2151/4 2162/15 2175/13 2190/5 2191/1 2191/6 2196/14 2198/19 2200/14 2204/9 2204/21 2212/5 2225/22 2232/8 2243/17 2252/12 2254/19 2259/23 2283/14 2303/24 2304/4 2313/12 2316/5 2316/6 2336/2 2347/2 2369/8
camera [6] 2244/4 2319/12 2319/15

2338/12 2338/14 2338/15
CAMERON [64] 2098/8 2113/16 2127/8 2133/25 2135/23 2139/2 2153/8 2180/7 2180/10 2181/15 2181/25 2181/25 2182/4 2182/7 2183/1 2193/22 2194/5 2202/16 2203/5 2211/9 2219/20 2220/4 2220/13 2220/23 2221/3 2221/15 2221/18 2223/22 2224/14 2224/15 2225/7 2226/15 2226/15 2226/22 2226/23 2227/11 2233/12 2234/14 2250/16 2266/20 2273/11 2320/9 2320/10 2320/12 2321/5 2321/15 2323/10 2323/15 2323/18 2323/22 2323/23 2324/5 2324/7 2324/14 2327/20 2328/7 2328/9 2328/12 2347/10 2347/13 2347/15 2347/19 2353/18 2353/20
Cameron's [4] 2221/6 2225/10 2273/2 2273/15
camp [5] 2181/4 2181/16 2205/7 2205/10 2207/19
camping [4] 2196/14 2247/4 2247/5 2247/12
campus [27] 2132/17 2149/15 2149/16 2175/15 2175/18 2209/25 2213/9 2214/3 2216/16 2218/21 2218/25 2219/4 2219/6 2219/8 2239/21 2239/24 2240/8 2240/13 2247/3 2252/12 2258/11 2258/12 2262/12 2264/8 2278/5 2340/18 2352/24
cams [2] 2259/1 2269/13 can [162]
can't [35] 2101/8 2106/14 2109/20 2130/22 2150/8 2150/13 2150/14 2154/22 2154/23 2157/16 2162/16 2163/20 2163/21 2165/22 2174/20 2177/8 2177/10 2180/24 2197/3 2202/15 2227/1 2231/11 2235/18 2236/20 2249/13 2284/18 2293/18 2312/7 2316/7 2319/14 2320/18 2337/23 2360/14 2361/16 2365/2
cancel [1] 2211/6
canceling [2] 2211/9 2211/14
cancelled [2] 2211/25 2211/25
candidate [1] 2178/12
cannot [2] 2266/3 2288/21
cans [2] 2336/14 2336/17
capabilities [1] 2241/13
Captain [1] 2275/23
car [27] 2190/1 2190/1 2198/6 2198/10 2204/7 2205/5 2205/9 2205/21 2206/8 2206/14 2243/18 2244/4 2267/5
2316/11 2318/5 2319/11 2322/23
2324/18 2325/1 2327/7 2327/8
2329/14 2333/17 2334/19 2339/8 2342/18 2342/20
card [2] 2218/22 2319/22
care [4] 2119/18 2120/19 2188/5 2369/1
career [5] 2145/20 2162/2 2162/3 2176/17 2176/18
careless [1] 2340/12
CAROLYN [5] 2098/7 2121/25
2135/19 2266/20 2271/21
Carolyn McDermed [1] 2121/25
carried [1] 2228/8
carry [7] 2197/1 2197/15 2197/18 2241/13 2241/17 2281/12 2319/23 carrying [3] 2320/3 2320/5 2328/12 cars [3] 2228/6 2341/1 2341/4 CARTER [1] 2098/15 case [21] 2098/5 2106/18 2158/8 2174/18 2187/15 2236/12 2245/20 2249/21 2279/13 2281/17 2296/15 2297/19 2302/10 2311/19 2343/11 2344/9 2346/4 2346/11 2360/5 2366/12 2370/22
Casey [41] 2170/5 2189/7 2189/10 2189/16 2190/21 2191/20 2278/18 2280/20 2280/22 2281/11 2281/20 2281/20 2284/8 2285/16 2286/2 2286/11 2286/25 2288/8 2288/17 2288/20 2288/23 2289/1 2289/14 2289/24 2291/25 2292/18 2293/5 2293/20 2294/3 2294/12 2296/7 2296/19 2297/2 2297/5 2301/7 2301/23 2303/11 2303/24 2352/8 2352/14 2352/19
Casey Boyd [2] 2288/8 2291/25
Casey Boyd's [2] 2281/20 2288/23
casually [2] 2367/24 2369/18
cat [2] 2139/20 2139/25
catch [1] 2340/14
categories [14] 2128/9 2209/11
2215/24 2343/24 2344/13 2344/15 2352/3 2358/7 2358/20 2359/23 2364/24 2365/8 2365/13 2367/18
category [8] 2242/18 2244/13 2358/21 2359/24 2360/1 2362/4 2363/19 2364/12
cattle [1] 2299/6
caucus [1] 2151/4
Caufield [5] 2142/5 2142/9 2142/16 2142/21 2158/19
caught [2] 2182/20 2182/22
causal [1] 2357/8
causation [1] 2357/14
cause [6] 2157/21 2245/10 2258/4 2272/10 2334/5 2372/10
caused [3] 2166/16 2248/10 2337/8
causing [2] 2161/13 2357/19
cautious [2] 2176/15 2177/13
cautiously [2] 2221/12 2221/15
Cave [1] 2216/22
caving [1] 2216/22
cell [3] 2282/5 2302/4 2302/4
center [3] 2149/12 2149/13 2149/18
certain [12] 2133/13 2143/25 2144/1
2146/17 2188/15 2191/17 2230/7
2286/13 2305/19 2319/2 2334/1 2368/22
certainly [12] 2239/23 2245/19 2248/4 2248/12 2253/25 2254/8 2263/9 2263/20 2266/19 2283/16 2305/4 2307/17
certainty [1] 2245/12
certificate [2] 2179/20 2180/6
certifications [1] 2172/9
certified [2] 2172/15 2372/12
certify [1] 2372/8
cetera [1] 2356/6
chain [2] 2124/23 2260/25 chair [5] 2142/14 2182/5 2182/14 2226/11 2238/17
chairs [3] 2183/20 2184/12 2184/16
challenge [5] 2133/19 2259/11 2311/15 2311/24 2311/25
challenged [2] 2242/9 2243/9
chambers [2] 2344/25 2357/3
chance [8] 2104/13 2104/15 2256/23 2260/15 2293/12 2294/6 2296/2 2298/15
change [7] 2132/6 2174/9 2175/14 2176/12 2199/17 2207/14 2359/11
changes [3] 2200/21 2200/22 2200/24 changing [1] 2162/16
channel [5] 2321/9 2321/18 2321/20 2323/22 2324/6
character [5] 2283/16 2284/19
2287/22 2291/3 2291/5
characterize [1] 2219/11
charge [6] 2145/11 2192/1 2262/25
2284/16 2284/16 2289/19
charged [1] 2146/4
charges [4] 2224/4 2258/5 2273/3 2277/12
Chase [3] 2103/11 2105/11 2106/7
check [10] 2114/11 2196/22 2205/12
2206/10 2207/6 2207/8 2336/22 2337/12 2339/6 2354/4
checked [3] 2103/12 2191/4 2206/4
checking [1] 2203/25
checks [1] 2209/25
Cherokee [1] 2325/25
chest [1] 2180/15
chief [87] 2103/4 2103/11 2104/12 2105/10 2105/11 2105/20 2106/7 2108/23 2111/2 2121/8 2125/6 2129/1 2135/19 2135/21 2136/1 2138/24 2139/3 2139/18 2140/18 2140/24 2141/7 2141/12 2153/7 2158/21 2170/1 2170/1 2190/20 2194/15 2194/15 2195/9 2195/23 2208/7 2208/9 2215/12 2215/13 2233/25 2234/22 2234/25 2250/9 2250/15 2250/19 2257/16 2268/12 2268/14 2268/21 2269/12 2270/20 2270/23 2275/12 2281/19 2281/20 2292/19 2293/2 2293/4 2293/7 2293/16 2293/23 2294/4 2294/12 2294/16 2296/1 2296/7 2297/22 2298/14 2298/18 2300/1 2300/11 2301/20 2302/9 2305/15 2305/15 2306/24 2307/2 2307/3 2307/4 2307/4 2307/9 2314/18 2329/4 2329/6 2329/16 2344/19 2349/13 2355/7 2362/18 2363/23 2363/25
Chief McDermed [38] 2129/1 2135/21 2136/1 2138/24 2139/3 2139/18 2140/18 2140/24 2141/7 2141/12 2153/7 2170/1 2194/15 2195/9 2195/23 2208/7 2215/12 2233/25 2234/22 2234/25 2268/12 2270/20 2270/23 2292/19 2294/12 2294/16 2301/20 2307/3 2307/4 2307/9 2314/18 2329/6 2329/16 2349/13 2355/7 2362/18 2363/23 2363/25
Chief McDermed's [1] 2250/19
chief's [2] 2108/23 2326/17
choice [1] 2359/24
choose [2] 2247/13 2343/18
chose [1] 2203/13
Christy [5] 2101/6 2111/12 2131/4 2135/2 2317/21
Cindy [1] 2163/14
circle [1] 2114/7
circuit [7] 2346/15 2346/19 2357/13 2359/5 2359/24 2369/25 2370/7
circumstances [2] 2246/6 2250/21
citation [4] 2210/21 2218/18 2218/23 2264/13
citations [2] 2174/14 2218/13
cite [2] 2243/12 \(2247 / 5\)
cites [1] 2245/11
cities [1] 2171/7
citizen [2] 2132/4 2176/17
Citrus [2] 2171/7 2171/8
city [12] 2101/20 2102/23 2104/12
2104/17 2172/17 2172/20 2175/19
2181/12 2268/8 2268/9 2309/8 2342/12
claim [3] 2159/16 2252/20 2348/20
claimed [2] 2241/1 2242/8
claims [3] 2139/18 2343/20 2344/6
clarification [18] 2131/24 2199/20
2200/1 2200/6 2200/7 2201/13 2202/7
2202/9 2203/1 2207/25 2208/19
2221/25 2223/20 2245/22 2246/2
2246/4 2246/10 2246/14
Clark [1] 2273/17
class [1] 2267/23
classic [1] 2362/6
clean [4] 2194/2 2194/3 2202/17 2202/19
cleaner [1] 2365/16
clear [4] 2109/16 2110/7 2134/2 2162/9
cleared [2] 2204/2 2204/8
clearly [5] 2146/16 2193/25 2203/11 2231/21 2347/13
CLEAVENGER [280]
Cleavenger's [29] 2119/4 2120/18 2123/22 2144/22 2153/20 2165/20 2177/21 2186/18 2192/19 2193/20 2209/23 2219/12 2220/6 2229/6 2229/19 2230/3 2232/12 2240/2 2250/20 2305/8 2308/21 2314/16 2317/18 2319/15 2321/23 2322/11 2323/11 2324/13 2332/17
Clery [1] 2355/23
client [38] 2102/4 2108/4 2110/11 2110/11 2110/24 2113/3 2113/13 2113/16 2114/23 2115/13 2115/19 2128/15 2128/16 2129/14 2130/12 2130/15 2130/21 2131/24 2132/12 2133/2 2133/10 2134/8 2134/15 2134/21 2135/22 2135/25 2141/11 2141/17 2286/12 2290/10 2290/11 2344/19 2347/14 2354/3 2360/6 2362/13 2362/20 2369/9
client's [1] 2132/19
clients [1] 2359/19
clipping [1] 2270/23
clips [1] 2335/1
close [11] 2139/11 2142/14 2225/20
close... [8] 2226/4 2238/17 2269/21
2300/9 2339/5 2343/6 2343/6 2366/5
closing [3] 2106/9 2109/12 2357/20
cloth [2] 2295/3 2295/24
clothed [1] 2295/19
clouded [1] 2286/9
clue [1] 2127/7
co [7] 2208/14 2218/15 2232/8
2232/10 2288/12 2289/5 2333/25
co-counsel [1] 2288/12
co-employee [1] 2289/5
co-workers [5] 2208/14 2218/15 2232/8 2232/10 2333/25
code [4] 2211/4 2211/6 2333/18 2334/14
codes [1] 2174/18
coffee [1] 2188/18
COIT [8] 2099/7 2117/13 2137/10 2142/20 2169/16 2239/5 2304/15 2313/9
cold [6] 2221/12 2227/5 2235/13 2235/25 2236/1 2237/12
collective [16] 2143/15 2146/16 2147/5 2152/5 2155/2 2157/7 2157/8 2157/9 2157/13 2157/15 2157/18 2157/20 2158/22 2158/25 2159/11 2234/16
college [3] 2175/15 2176/4 2218/25 combination [1] 2154/16
combine [1] 2154/9
combined [3] 2174/14 2206/12 2364/16
come [45] 2106/22 2108/11 \(2110 / 22\) 2114/6 2115/24 2120/7 2141/5 2147/8 2148/6 2151/8 2152/20 2152/22 2167/17 2169/3 2173/1 2173/21 2174/1 2184/24 2187/15 2188/7 2188/10 2188/18 2188/18 2188/25 2189/3 2197/23 2201/24 2233/15 2250/10 2276/4 2279/13 2283/25 2291/12 2292/25 2293/14 2293/22 2296/1 2300/1 2301/7 2313/19 2359/1 2359/16 2363/2 2367/20 2370/18 comes [13] 2158/25 2160/15 2196/22 2196/23 2231/6 2234/15 2248/20 2249/5 2262/6 2298/17 2338/14 2358/20 2370/10
comfortable [1] 2122/2
coming [16] 2105/12 2117/14 2146/6 2160/8 2160/24 2180/8 2190/10 2193/8 2211/10 2239/6 2288/6 2288/7 2297/21 2300/19 2309/15 2359/14 command [7] 2115/18 2130/11 2134/11 2223/2 2241/23 2249/6 2305/8
commanded [1] 2181/3
comment [12] 2107/1 2180/5 2213/20 2219/12 2243/8 2244/10 2289/20 2296/14 2319/3 2342/3 2342/6 2357/24
commented [1] 2246/13 comments [1] 2179/4 commit [2] 2115/3 2247/11 committed [2] 2105/7 2247/10 committee [2] 2171/14 2172/2 common [1] 2235/17
commonly [1] 2174/17
communicate [1] 2153/10
communicated [1] 2347/13
community [1] 2352/24
company [2] 2285/22 2286/2
comparable [2] 2290/24 2299/18
comparator [1] 2298/24
comparators [2] 2282/16 2291/6
compared [1] 2258/6
comparison [1] 2134/3
compel [1] 2224/2
competent [1] 2110/7
competently [2] 2247/23 2248/18
complained [12] 2285/6 2345/23
2351/3 2354/3 2355/7 2363/20 2363/24 2364/4 2365/6 2365/8 2365/10 2365/14
complaining [4] 2297/9 2352/15
2354/13 2355/14
complaint [15] 2176/17 2276/9 2276/11 2276/23 2277/10 2294/12 2294/17 2296/7 2309/15 2313/19 2313/25 2314/2 2348/4 2352/19 2352/20
complaints [19] 2190/11 2191/21 2262/2 2262/5 2273/6 2273/7 2306/13 2346/25 2351/21 2352/21 2353/8 2354/18 2354/18 2355/1 2355/4 2355/22 2356/6 2363/16 2363/16 complete [6] 2185/2 2264/25 2366/5 2367/5 2369/3 2369/11
completed [6] 2122/25 2309/18 2309/25 2310/13 2330/9 2331/9 completely [12] 2151/6 2180/17 2182/19 2182/22 2184/12 2184/16 2189/22 2191/7 2197/23 2236/2 2292/6 2292/21
completing [1] 2243/21
compliance [1] 2157/20
complied [1] 2157/13
comply [2] 2118/21 \(2118 / 25\)
comprehend [1] 2237/15
comprehensive [1] 2269/16 computer [8] 2171/22 2171/22 2179/22 2191/11 2191/12 2206/21 2208/15 2278/7
computer-aided [1] 2278/7
computerize [1] 2252/10
computerize-aided [1] 2252/10 computers [1] 2183/21
concealed [1] 2319/23 concept [1] 2129/14 concern [72] 2102/10 2102/11 2104/10 2104/16 2104/17 2104/24 2113/1 2113/2 2113/23 2132/19 2132/23 2198/12 2204/20 2209/15 2211/5 2211/14 2212/6 2216/12 2221/6 2222/13 2235/14 2237/8 2241/23 2242/16 2242/23 2242/25 2243/4 2243/11 2245/24 2246/19 2247/18 2247/20 2247/25 2248/10 2248/13 2255/10 2280/15 2294/22 2295/15 2308/4 2309/9 2314/11 2315/10 2337/9 2341/10 2341/11 2341/25 2345/24 2346/1 2346/4 2346/5 2346/8 2347/1 2347/4 2348/4 2348/6 2348/20 2350/2 2351/4 2351/9

2351/20 2353/11 2354/13 2354/15 2355/1 2355/2 2355/18 2356/14 2356/21 2363/17 2364/20 2367/8 concerned [12] 2105/22 2115/11 2119/2 2119/11 2128/21 2196/7 2204/10 2225/11 2228/19 2248/5 2329/12 2345/17
concerning [13] 2187/14 2206/12 2212/3 2281/16 2293/20 2295/22 2298/19 2305/20 2327/9 2345/18 2350/5 2357/8 2361/12
concerns [24] 2105/11 2192/18 2192/21 2194/12 2196/1 2197/10 2197/11 2198/1 2204/22 2204/23 2210/6 2211/20 2221/10 2225/8 2314/8 2315/6 2329/20 2331/18 2332/16 2333/13 2338/9 2340/6 2340/22 2342/14
concise [1] 2162/9
conclude [4] 2158/13 2252/8 2343/7 2361/10
concluded [3] 2168/16 2252/9 2256/6 conclusion [3] 2118/19 2156/24 2254/1
condition [1] 2247/21
conditioning [2] 2248/22 2249/5 conduct [9] 2153/8 2162/6 2166/16 2240/12 2242/9 2264/19 2269/9 2303/16 2315/23
conducted [9] 2151/6 2153/5 2217/25
2258/22 2259/15 2262/4 2285/5 2306/7 2308/22
conducting [12] 2210/1 2239/10 2239/22 2242/12 2247/2 2269/14 2270/3 2277/2 2278/13 2314/25 2329/19 2330/2
conducts [1] 2295/5
confer [1] 2233/5
confidence [2] 2245/12 2245/18
confirm [1] 2130/20
confirmed [1] 2207/5
confirming [3] 2204/18 2299/14 2320/5
conflict [1] 2132/5
conformed [1] 2372/11
confront [1] 2104/14
confrontation [2] 2166/11 2183/6
confrontational [1] 2136/8
confronted [1] 2242/20
confused [3] 2108/24 2112/16 2201/6
confusion [2] 2123/8 2166/4
connected [1] 2326/3
connection [1] 2357/8
consecutive [1] 2247/21
consider [6] 2144/9 2178/16 2188/2
2188/4 2276/18 2276/18
consideration [1] 2214/22
considered [4] 2147/14 2172/19
2249/11 2340/18
considering [1] 2299/13
consistency [2] 2246/3 2258/14
consistent [2] 2315/3 2349/14
consolidate [2] 2159/25 2160/6
consult [3] 2156/16 2250/9 2297/25
consultation [1] 2288/13
contact [14] 2139/11 2158/25 2174/22 2176/13 2177/17 2211/17 2241/5
contact... [7] 2267/7 2306/6 2306/9 2308/18 2309/6 2340/7 2342/2 contacted [8] 2113/21 2114/1 2176/2 2196/13 2196/21 2308/2 2326/14 2326/20
contacting [4] 2176/14 2192/11 2211/4 2352/15
contacts [4] 2210/1 2246/20 2272/13 2339/15
content [1] 2302/1 contents [2] 2233/21 2348/11 context [8] 2189/14 2202/11 2286/18 2292/7 2292/12 2294/17 2299/15 2302/12
continue [11] \(2117 / 4\) 2120/5 2146/3 2187/24 2227/5 2235/14 2238/4 2238/23 2298/10 2301/4 2313/1 continues [1] 2122/13
Continuing [2] 2100/19 2313/8 continuous [1] 2222/15 continuously [2] 2219/6 2227/6 contract [7] 2144/16 2152/17 2171/7 2271/1 2271/11 2271/24 2271/25 contrary [1] 2242/21
control [3] 2150/10 2269/15 2297/3
convenient [1] 2343/2
conventional [1] 2239/23
conversation [20] 2108/14 2139/23
2202/8 2221/23 2259/9 2281/4 2281/13 2281/15 2282/12 2283/6 2283/22 2283/23 2307/1 2311/13 2321/14 2321/24 2322/12 2323/9 2324/13 2333/10
conversations [13] 2161/6 2167/2 2240/23 2241/3 2242/4 2305/19 2305/20 2306/24 2308/3 2308/18 2344/18 2350/4 2350/5
converted [1] 2255/12
conveyed [5] 2289/16 2303/22
2309/12 2349/19 2349/20
conveying [2] 2140/20 2242/2
convicted [1] 2175/24
convince [2] 2179/11 2243/14 cool [1] 2173/13
cooperate [2] 2247/9 2247/13 copied [2] 2195/9 2257/1 copy [17] 2123/8 2123/10 2148/10 2148/19 2148/20 2148/20 2148/21 2148/24 2163/2 2256/16 2256/18 2256/19 2260/22 2275/9 2280/4 2301/21 2330/18
Corey [18] 2102/6 2259/9 2259/23
2259/24 2259/25 2260/4 2260/18 2260/19 2260/25 2306/3 2306/6 2306/7 2306/11 2306/12 2310/19 2310/24 2311/13 2311/18
Corey's [3] 2259/11 2311/15 2311/24 corner [1] 2231/5
cornering [2] 2180/15 2183/12 correct [114] 2103/6 2105/23 2111/7 2112/15 2118/16 2125/13 2126/17 2130/4 2130/13 2131/25 2132/8 2137/15 2138/5 2138/8 2138/12 2138/14 2138/17 2138/21 2138/25 2139/4 2139/7 2139/14 2157/22 2157/23 2157/25 2158/1 2159/14

2159/17 2160/1 2160/2 2160/13 2160/21 2160/22 2161/20 2163/24 2164/1 2164/3 2168/11 2186/4 2188/22 2195/6 2198/20 2199/11 2199/21 2201/21 2202/1 2203/18 2216/1 2220/16 2222/14 2222/15 2223/11 2223/12 2224/5 2224/6 2224/10 2225/1 2225/5 2226/8 2227/22 2227/23 2230/13 2230/14 2230/16 2230/17 2230/19 2231/5 2234/17 2253/1 2260/2 2260/5 2263/1 2266/16 2266/21 2269/18 2271/3 2272/4 2274/3 2275/5 2283/24 2303/19 2303/21 2304/17 2307/21 2310/4 2312/2 2312/6 2314/4 2320/6 2322/3 2322/4 2322/5 2322/9 2323/2 2323/3 2323/8 2323/11 2323/13 2323/14 2324/15 2324/23 2324/24 2326/6 2327/15 2327/16 2329/7 2334/18 2334/23 2336/9 2351/7 2355/20 2356/10 2365/2 2372/9 corrected [1] 2216/3 corrective [8] 2195/24 2199/16 2210/12 2216/5 2219/9 2250/3 2250/6 2272/8
correctly [4] 2145/8 2145/23 2147/21 2240/11
correspondence [1] 2350/17 corridor [1] 2302/3
cost [1] 2145/10
could [99] 2102/7 2112/18 2120/19 2129/8 2148/21 2150/11 2158/4 2158/5 2164/25 2167/23 2167/24 2167/25 2170/11 2176/9 2180/6 2181/22 2184/14 2188/17 2191/3 2197/22 2198/3 2199/8 2211/12 2211/16 2213/9 2214/5 2214/6 2223/15 2224/24 2225/20 2226/1 2226/4 2226/6 2227/17 2231/15 2232/23 2232/25 2233/1 2235/10 2236/2 2236/3 2239/19 2239/25 2242/17 2243/4 2243/7 2244/10 2244/25 2245/1 2247/13 2247/14 2248/18 2251/24 2252/22 2255/22 2259/19 2263/23 2264/8 2266/14 2268/2 2269/5 2269/6 2269/6 2271/13 2271/17 2274/25 2275/7 2275/13 2276/12 2276/16 2278/8 2289/7 2296/10 2301/16 2305/4 2307/11 2307/17 2308/4 2308/16 2308/18 2315/12 2315/25 2317/22 2321/7 2321/7 2328/7 2328/24 2332/12 2332/12 2334/5 2338/13 2340/17 2340/24 2341/13 2346/15 2347/16 2347/16 2348/12 2367/21
couldn't [20] 2132/16 2150/10 2163/20 2163/21 2165/1 2166/9 2183/14 2191/17 2206/5 2225/11 2231/21 2235/15 2236/8 2237/11 2237/14 2288/15 2324/2 2328/6 2331/11 2342/19
counsel [98] 2101/3 2105/25 2106/4 2108/8 2108/12 2110/7 2110/9 2110/14 2112/1 2112/3 2112/10 2114/3 2114/6 2116/4 2116/6 2116/8 2116/13 2117/4 2120/5 2120/13 2120/25 2124/18 2128/12 2141/22

2141/24 2142/4 2143/9 2143/11 2158/15 2160/18 2168/16 2168/22 2187/19 2187/22 2187/23 2194/24 2195/17 2201/10 2217/20 2222/16 2228/13 2236/19 2238/1 2238/6 2238/22 2250/10 2261/5 2266/17 2266/18 2279/17 2279/23 2285/16 2286/9 2288/12 2291/13 2291/15 2291/18 2292/11 2293/19 2295/22 2298/2 2299/2 2299/4 2300/22 2300/25 2301/4 2303/7 2304/19 2307/6 2307/14 2310/13 2312/12 2312/15 2312/19 2313/1 2318/15 2343/1 2345/17 2347/11 2348/9 2348/22 2351/8 2352/17 2353/4 2353/25 2354/24 2355/16 2357/21 2358/12 2360/9 2360/12 2360/21 2362/16 2363/3 2364/12 2365/19 2366/18 2370/10
counsel's [2] 2294/25 2362/22 counseled [1] 2220/7 counseling [1] 2200/9 counter [1] 2258/9 counter-allegations [1] 2258/9 counteroffer [5] 2130/7 2130/12 2131/21 2137/2 2137/3 counterproposal [1] 2130/8
County [4] 2104/4 2172/1 2172/4 2175/8
couple [15] 2117/15 2128/3 2135/12 2135/13 2182/13 2184/22 2189/2 2194/8 2201/17 2225/22 2229/15 2334/10 2341/16 2354/22 2366/23 course [7] 2128/16 2129/6 2141/3 2147/23 2148/8 2167/23 2172/14 court [30] 2098/1 2098/16 2099/20 2104/21 2105/24 2108/19 2108/25 2109/8 2130/24 2131/2 2245/14 2245/16 2266/15 2291/17 2293/16 2295/16 2299/5 2337/17 2337/18 2345/15 2345/25 2346/1 2346/12 2358/16 2359/4 2366/1 2366/21 2366/22 2369/20 2372/15
Court's [3] 2101/11 2109/10 2345/21 courtesy [1] 2128/11
Courthouse [1] 2099/20
courtroom [1] 2142/7
courts [1] 2357/12
courtyard [1] 2192/25
cover [1] 2156/3
crazy [1] 2113/10
create [1] 2331/17
created [3] 2209/7 2209/9 2209/9 credibility [10] 2282/9 2289/1 2289/23 2295/23 2295/23 2296/9 2296/16 2296/20 2298/20 2299/15
crime [2] 2247/10 2247/11
crimes [4] 2130/16 2132/3 2132/17 2364/10
criminal [5] 2213/5 2213/6 2213/23 2224/4 2258/5
criticizing [1] 2279/6
cross [17] \(2100 / 5\) 2100/10 2100/15 2102/25 2111/6 2128/1 2128/6 2128/12 2158/15 2158/17 2166/22 2238/5 2253/14 2253/16 2280/8 2301/5 2319/12



end [21] 2118/20 2133/7 2166/7 2167/19 2175/10 2175/12 2175/17 2178/11 2179/24 2183/4 2193/17 2215/2 2215/11 2259/24 2309/20 2313/24 2333/6 2334/19 2337/17 2339/25 2340/1
end-of-an-evaluation [1] 2215/11 end-of-evaluation-period [1] 2215/2
ended [3] 2115/8 2202/25 2326/23
enforce [2] 2246/25 2264/20
enforcement [10] 2175/6 2183/24
2183/25 2189/23 2210/12 2219/1 2241/11 2249/24 2258/7 2325/14 engaged [1] 2349/6
enough [9] 2133/18 2226/4 2265/3
2265/4 2272/7 2367/2 2367/4 2369/15 2370/24
ensure [1] 2269/13
entail [1] 2208/4
entailed [1] 2122/13
enter [1] 2295/6
Enterprise [1] 2142/22
entire [7] 2151/19 2151/20 2162/2
2176/17 2316/22 2323/9 2324/13
entirely [3] 2278/2 2285/12 2285/13
entitled [6] 2285/12 2285/13 2290/3
2290/4 2290/5 2372/10
entity [1] 2143/6
Enya [1] 2185/6
EPD [1] 2198/7
equally [2] 2358/17 2360/8
equipment [3] 2210/15 2244/6 2244/8
Eric [10] 2105/20 2107/20 2108/1
2184/19 2184/25 2192/22 2192/23 2196/15 2196/20 2198/4
escort [3] 2316/16 2323/19 2326/24
escorting [1] 2275/15
especially [8] 2173/22 2176/4 2176/8 2177/1 2188/14 2197/19 2199/17 2248/14
essentially [2] 2313/15 2328/19 established [3] 2218/12 2253/6 2264/10
et [2] 2356/6 2372/3
EUGENE [9] 2098/3 2099/9 2147/25 2151/20 2198/7 2204/12 2204/13 2216/8 2218/23
evaluate [1] 2249/12
evaluation [14] 2110/12 2110/13 2110/16 2110/19 2122/24 2171/24 2215/2 2215/11 2219/12 2259/13 2259/16 2263/8 2306/15 2311/17 evaluations [7] 2199/23 2208/12 2212/6 2214/13 2214/24 2215/16 2215/25
even [44] 2112/2 2149/17 2150/8
2168/5 2168/5 2174/22 2178/8 2180/3 2181/14 2182/11 2183/9 2184/15 2186/12 2186/22 2187/7 2202/2 2204/18 2206/4 2206/10 2206/11 2208/18 2214/16 2218/25 2228/5 2236/21 2242/20 2243/8 2244/5 2256/2 2258/23 2260/3 2264/6 2266/13 2267/13 2269/21 2274/22 2275/6 2276/1 2291/23 2292/25 2322/8 2334/18 2336/19 2341/3
evening [3] 2221/3 2286/8 2358/4 event [5] 2221/4 2282/3 2287/8 2289/17 2291/25
eventually [9] 2132/25 2133/13 2154/2 2200/3 2244/10 2293/21 2343/18 2358/6 2358/16
ever [44] 2130/11 2132/15 2132/16 2136/23 2137/17 2139/6 2139/23 2144/12 2152/20 2152/22 2158/3 2177/21 2179/11 2179/19 2180/16 2183/6 2183/9 2185/11 2185/13 2186/5 2186/16 2186/17 2189/4 2189/11 2189/15 2207/3 2233/4 2237/5 2267/18 2269/8 2270/5 2273/2 2273/5 2273/16 2275/1 2275/4 2278/18 2292/2 2301/7 2301/18 2303/1 2309/9 2323/15 2359/11 every [17] 2107/21 2118/20 2151/17 2177/15 2178/14 2194/1 2194/8 2202/17 2202/20 2202/24 2207/13 2226/19 2226/21 2226/24 2226/25 2236/8 2338/25
everybody [19] 2109/18 2174/8 2175/10 2175/11 2175/16 2176/15 2176/16 2177/16 2177/17 2177/18 2199/3 2199/6 2206/1 2213/11 2214/8 2280/10 2280/12 2284/8 2319/16 everybody's [2] 2178/7 2227/15 everyone [16] 2116/3 2136/7 2160/25 2170/21 2181/8 2181/9 2184/11 2184/12 2184/15 2191/8 2198/24 2198/25 2212/25 2213/13 2214/2 2237/4
everyone's [1] 2177/18
everything [10] 2134/15 2136/10 2139/21 2139/21 2139/25 2178/14 2362/14 2362/18 2369/7 2369/13 evidence [20] 2108/5 2113/4 2113/5 2113/12 2148/7 2169/20 2201/5 2242/20 2276/25 2279/5 2281/22 2288/13 2299/13 2346/25 2350/1 2352/6 2352/18 2352/20 2353/15 2357/14
evil [1] 2191/11
ex [1] 2326/22
ex-boyfriend [1] 2326/22
exact [9] 2164/22 2202/8 2204/6 2205/2 2228/24 2313/21 2316/7 2339/21 2362/12
exactly [7] 2108/13 2113/12 2190/21 2197/3 2236/7 2340/16 2349/2
exaggerate [1] 2244/13
exaggerates [1] 2253/7
exaggeration [1] 2245/25
exam [3] 2111/21 2111/24 2112/2
examination [40] 2100/4 2100/5 2100/6 2100/7 2100/9 2100/10 2100/12 2100/14 2100/15 2100/16 2100/17 2100/19 2111/4 2117/4 2117/12 2128/1 2128/6 2128/12 2137/9 2140/14 2142/17 2142/19 2158/13 2158/15 2158/17 2169/13 2169/15 2187/24 2238/4 2238/23 2239/4 2249/8 2253/14 2253/16 2263/11 2301/5 2304/14 2310/17 2313/2 2313/7
examine [1] 2111/6
examined [5] 2117/9 2142/11 2169/7 2238/14 2313/5
example [9] 2108/22 2174/12 2245/5 2255/24 2344/19 2344/23 2344/24 2346/2 2347/12
examples [4] 2241/22 2348/25 2352/2 2354/23
exams [1] 2112/2
excellent [4] 2110/7 2294/24 2342/21 2342/23
except [4] 2132/3 2283/7 2347/4 2359/24
excerpts [1] 2317/4
exchange [11] 2224/4 2275/18
2278/18 2278/21 2295/25 2298/22 2299/14 2299/16 2299/23 2336/15 2336/17
exchanged [1] 2190/4
exchanges [1] 2302/24
exclude [1] 2359/9
excuse [11] 2119/15 2123/21 2139/9 2172/17 2176/12 2194/13 2242/11 2247/4 2308/15 2324/14 2329/24
excused [4] 2141/21 2142/1 2168/20 2312/10
exempted [2] 2333/19 2334/12
exercise [1] 2348/11
exercising [2] 2361/5 2361/6
exhausted [1] 2366/13
exhaustive [1] 2352/3
exhibit [65] 2101/15 2101/16 2101/17 2101/24 2104/5 2117/19 2117/20 2120/25 2121/3 2121/5 2121/6 2123/22 2124/17 2124/18 2124/24 2127/1 2131/18 2155/21 2155/23 2194/20 2195/2 2201/2 2201/5 2201/10 2202/6 2209/3 2215/6 2215/10 2219/22 2220/2 2222/16 2229/9 2234/5 2234/6 2234/10 2238/25 2251/3 2251/7 2251/15 2256/12 2257/9 2257/11 2260/8 2260/9 2260/15 2260/20 2261/11 2270/19 2271/4 2279/24 2280/1 2280/15 2281/23 2283/14 2286/19 2295/7 2296/6 2302/20 2302/21 2305/21 2308/23 2308/24 2309/22 2311/4 2316/21
Exhibit 1 [1] 2234/10
Exhibit 119 [1] 2286/19
Exhibit 14 [1] 2308/24
Exhibit 162 [1] 2101/17
Exhibit 172 [1] 2104/5
Exhibit 273 [3] 2283/14 2302/20 2302/21
Exhibit 279 [2] 2270/19 2311/4
Exhibit 280 [5] 2260/9 2260/15
2260/20 2261/11 2305/21
Exhibit 3 [1] 2202/6
Exhibit 31 [1] 2209/3
Exhibit 312 [1] 2201/2
Exhibit 314 [2] 2215/6 2215/10
Exhibit 331 [3] 2238/25 2257/11 2308/23
Exhibit 349 [3] 2121/3 2121/6 2123/22 Exhibit 351 [1] 2316/21
Exhibit 357 [3] 2124/17 2124/24 2127/1

E
Exhibit 361 [2] 2155/21 2155/23
Exhibit 391 [2] 2117/19 2117/20
Exhibit 420 [2] 2194/20 2195/2
Exhibit 421 [2] 2220/2 2222/16
Exhibit 427 [2] 2251/7 2251/15
Exhibit 9 [1] 2229/9
exhibited [3] 2196/3 2212/19 2315/2
exhibiting [1] 2212/18
exhibits [8] 2101/25 2112/9 2112/10
2117/15 2259/7 2270/16 2280/7 2311/11
existed [2] 2227/9 2251/24
existence [2] 2111/9 2217/11
exited [1] 2228/6
exits [1] 2293/10
expand [1] 2232/16
expanded [1] 2167/23
expansive [1] 2359/7
expect [2] 2226/12 2262/25
expectations [1] 2166/10
expected [3] 2218/17 2258/14 2308/13
expecting [1] 2291/20
expedited [2] 2108/21 2109/2
experience [8] 2133/8 2145/24 2164/6
2176/6 2200/14 2223/1 2268/19 2268/19
experienced [1] 2182/20
experiences [2] 2119/4 2223/4
Expiration [1] 2372/16
expired [5] 2243/7 2243/12 2246/23
2264/17 2264/20
expires [1] 2172/13
explain [24] 2129/11 2144/6 2145/1
2150/4 2150/6 2180/25 2192/10 2202/7 2202/10 2210/9 2213/2 2215/15 2215/24 2239/14 2240/5 2240/9 2241/10 2242/2 2242/14 2244/1 2248/20 2296/3 2332/16 2352/5
explained [5] 2164/10 2202/17
2239/11 2240/11 2316/15
explaining [3] 2150/5 2165/9 2225/7
explanation [6] 2263/21 2293/17
2298/17 2300/5 2300/7 2300/10
exploring [1] 2216/23
exposure [1] 2160/15
express [5] 2187/14 2208/7 2237/21
2279/12 2343/11
expressed [3] 2191/19 2197/25
2242/25
extend [1] 2128/11
extended [1] 2216/15
extensive [5] 2145/18 2145/21 2223/6 2355/6 2356/16
extent [2] 2262/12 2285/20
extremely [1] 2225/16

\section*{F}

F'ing [2] 2189/25 2190/6
fabricated [1] 2180/17
face [5] 2150/11 2163/17 2163/18
2191/3 2358/17
faced [1] 2297/13
facilitating [1] 2145/13
facing [1] 2133/12
fact [48] 2113/9 2114/21 2115/13 2132/12 2134/21 2136/21 2153/10 2154/11 2160/3 2164/14 2164/21 2176/7 2176/11 2176/11 2176/12 2178/8 2178/19 2180/3 2183/7 2183/15 2185/22 2188/9 2199/2 2203/7 2225/8 2225/12 2227/21 2228/5 2228/25 2231/5 2235/15 2237/9 2237/13 2237/14 2252/25 2256/1 2260/6 2268/5 2272/21 2274/19 2279/2 2292/19 2293/2 2301/12 2301/19 2314/21 2342/3 2352/15
factor [3] 2185/3 2357/16 2357/19
factors [1] 2357/18
facts [3] 2144/9 2145/6 2270/7
factual [1] 2244/14
faggot [1] 2285/7
fail [1] 2332/1
failed [7] 2196/6 2242/25 2247/8 2258/10 2258/11 2267/12 2332/6
failing [2] 2246/2 2259/21
failure [3] 2158/7 2309/5 2329/22
fair [12] 2106/17 2110/20 2265/2
2265/4 2292/23 2295/20 2297/17 2299/25 2300/10 2303/11 2306/3 2306/18
fairly [3] 2139/11 2290/9 2305/9
fairness [3] 2258/15 2300/8 2311/7
fallen [1] 2188/16
falling [1] 2188/20
false [1] 2258/15
familiar [8] 2143/15 2143/18 2189/12 2218/9 2250/23 2274/17 2325/25 2339/20
familiarity [1] 2339/15
family [3] 2173/15 2177/17 2184/3 fan [2] 2191/6 2191/10
far [21] 2109/1 2184/18 2197/4 2197/4 2220/9 2222/6 2223/19 2225/23
2231/8 2232/25 2234/3 2274/16
2279/4 2326/1 2328/21 2355/19
2357/2 2361/25 2365/1 2368/23 2370/24
farther [1] 2236/11
fast [4] 2102/17 2213/21 2333/24 2333/24
fastest [1] 2172/20
fatal [1] 2172/3
fault [1] 2287/20
faulty [1] 2244/6
favor [5] 2167/18 2167/18 2210/11
2234/22 2311/21
favorite [1] 2185/4
FBI [3] 2267/21 2267/25 2295/2
February [2] 2159/22 2166/13
federal [4] 2344/20 2351/5 2355/22 2364/11
feedback [10] \(2174 / 4\) 2174/8 2174/24 2174/25 2208/14 2218/1 2218/2 2218/5 2218/7 2218/15
feel [10] 2122/2 2124/4 2127/10
2174/2 2174/25 2214/7 2237/7 2250/4 2266/18 2310/6
feet [3] 2182/6 2196/17 2336/1
fell [1] 2188/21
felonies [6] 2130/16 2132/3 2132/17

2350/6 2355/24 2364/11
felons [1] 2175/24
felt [18] 2124/12 2132/20 2133/18 2133/24 2134/3 2189/22 2214/25 2215/15 2217/3 2221/10 2221/11 2225/8 2249/21 2284/2 2307/24 2314/22 2324/16 2337/20
female [7] 2242/7 2243/3 2255/9 2282/21 2307/10 2316/15 2328/3 females [1] 2352/24
few [11] 2176/19 2184/14 2190/14 2192/12 2192/13 2193/11 2210/6 2268/6 2314/10 2332/15 2336/1
field [13] 2119/10 2122/3 2171/6 2171/13 2171/24 2177/19 2217/12 2218/22 2240/2 2240/10 2246/21 2265/14 2266/12
fifth [1] 2214/12
fight [2] 2136/18 2176/23
fighting [3] 2119/2 2136/15 2333/23
fights [1] 2333/25
figure [2] 2174/18 2187/9
file [13] 2112/23 2129/20 2145/6 2152/11 2255/5 2277/10 2309/19 2346/3 2347/23 2356/2 2356/9 2361/4 2361/5
filed [10] 2118/1 \(2144 / 2\) 2144/2 2146/11 2146/12 2146/17 2146/22 2147/5 2187/7 2348/5
files [2] 2251/11 2251/23
filing [8] 2154/3 2154/6 2347/24
2350/24 2351/5 2356/1 2356/3 2356/19
fill [4] 2290/2 2365/18 2365/21 2370/13
filled [2] 2218/22 2365/17
filling [1] 2307/3
final [10] 2103/22 2104/5 2108/23
2109/4 2109/11 2109/15 2109/15 2200/3 2241/8 2362/6
finally [4] 2129/8 2174/5 2182/14 2247/20
finals [1] 2109/2
finance [1] 2328/5
financial [1] 2350/16
find [17] 2119/11 2132/24 2182/2
2206/5 2239/19 2239/25 2278/12 2278/15 2283/2 2298/7 2309/21
2313/22 2316/19 2324/25 2347/16 2348/12 2354/5
finding [4] 2210/10 2299/17 2329/20 2346/16
findings [11] 2241/1 2241/22 2246/18
2249/7 2250/1 2250/11 2250/17
2252/6 2269/11 2310/10 2330/6
finds [1] 2259/20
fine [8] 2129/14 2152/25 2281/8
2283/2 2299/5 2348/5 2367/23 2368/21
finish [1] 2358/3
finished [2] 2252/11 2360/19
firearms [1] 2175/21
fired [12] 2120/20 2253/24 2254/5 2255/17 2256/2 2256/5 2256/7 2256/9 2258/18 2265/20 2274/11 2283/20
firing [3] 2272/3 2272/3 2274/10
firings [3] 2160/16 2274/10 2274/12



H
Hagemann's [2] 2154/14 2164/15 half [7] 2110/3 2110/6 2122/22 2200/13 2297/19 2308/21 2360/4 hallway [7] 2151/15 2180/15 2183/6 2183/13 2183/16 2253/21 2279/20
Halt [1] 2193/3
hand [10] 2101/12 2142/7 2148/25 2169/3 2202/21 2279/24 2302/20 2315/19 2315/20 2341/5 handbook [1] 2266/13 handcuffs [2] 2197/7 2273/17 handed [3] 2103/17 2134/22 2208/19 handgun [4] 2315/18 2320/4 2320/19 2320/24
handle [2] 2154/12 2159/13
handled [3] 2144/17 2161/1 2217/25
handles [1] 2149/14
handling [1] 2161/4
hands [14] 2110/20 2177/1 2182/14
2193/2 2197/4 2197/5 2226/11
2246/20 2337/24 2338/20 2338/21
2338/22 2339/2 2341/8
hands-on [1] 2246/20
hang [2] 2111/12 2150/22
hanging [1] 2300/7
Hansen [1] 2273/17
happen [7] 2166/1 2178/23 2180/16
2180/19 2202/3 2219/10 2294/8
happened [22] 2108/6 2150/1 2151/7
2151/22 2153/3 2153/24 2159/22 2161/16 2166/2 2166/6 2180/18 2180/24 2188/25 2191/10 2203/20 2221/7 2225/6 2281/9 2294/15 2326/12 2332/8 2344/16
happening [3] 2129/4 2134/19 2141/11
happens [2] 2134/10 2236/7
happy [3] 2180/7 2192/16 2353/8
happy-go-lucky [1] 2192/16 harassed [1] \(2127 / 7\)
harassment [2] 2183/10 2273/3
hard [6] 2123/8 2123/10 2140/2 2188/15 2192/10 2235/19
harder [9] 2316/4 2316/24 2323/2
2323/6 2324/14 2326/10 2327/15 2329/3 2369/25
Harder's [1] 2318/5
harm [1] 2249/1
harm's [2] 2225/12 2341/12
harmed [1] 2360/8
Harrang [1] 2099/8
has [52] 2103/11 2106/22 2109/18 2111/17 2118/20 2122/1 2123/9 2130/24 2131/2 2131/5 2131/12 2133/6 2133/7 2133/11 2136/22 2136/23 2147/11 2157/21 2160/25 2160/25 2161/9 2161/10 2166/20 2169/1 2194/23 2195/16 2197/11 2197/17 2211/3 2219/24 2229/9 2241/12 2247/22 2260/12 2272/2 2273/24 2282/9 2288/13 2294/6 2296/2 2296/24 2297/9 2300/4 2300/6 2317/24 2323/16 2337/16 2341/8 2346/7 2350/8 2359/24 2370/7 hasn't [2] 2161/14 2304/19 hate [2] 2186/5 2288/1
have [332]
haven't [11] 2103/15 2105/25 2108/24 2109/8 2110/24 2114/10 2136/19 2178/8 2254/14 2261/8 2284/25
having [33] 2104/11 2108/20 2111/18 2133/10 2135/16 2136/4 2139/23 2141/16 2146/8 2173/25 2175/25 2186/8 2203/3 2208/6 2210/6 2211/20 2212/4 2218/13 2218/23 2266/9 2283/13 2286/16 2287/23 2287/25 2288/14 2293/14 2296/14 2298/22 2302/10 2306/4 2306/24 2316/3 2332/16
he [666]
he's [93] 2105/14 2111/10 2111/15 2111/17 2111/19 2115/11 2131/10 2132/4 2140/18 2140/19 2150/6 2150/7 2150/8 2150/9 2150/9 2150/17 2154/25 2163/15 2163/18 2179/7 2180/21 2181/25 2185/22 2186/2 2188/23 2197/4 2197/6 2197/14 2197/15 2197/16 2197/17 2200/19 2204/6 2204/25 2205/4 2206/7 2206/9 2206/9 2211/4 2211/20 2211/22 2217/25 2220/6 2222/1 2223/20 2226/14 2231/24 2242/17 2244/1 2249/14 2279/6 2280/18 2281/14 2282/17 2282/19 2282/20 2284/20 2284/22 2284/23 2285/12 2285/13 2285/13 2286/2 2286/10 2287/2 2287/4 2289/9 2292/23 2295/1 2295/2 2295/4 2295/19 2296/3 2298/18 2313/16 2316/12 2320/11 2321/6 2322/2 2324/25 2333/18 2336/1 2336/18 2339/5 2339/7 2341/9 2341/12 2341/19 2346/25 2348/25 2350/7 2362/20 2363/9
head [1] 2141/7
headquarters [1] 2149/14
heads [1] 2190/24
heads-up [1] 2190/24
hear [25] 2101/8 2105/13 2106/3
2135/20 2148/1 2148/2 2148/2 2150/8 2154/9 2154/17 2184/8 2185/15 2232/8 2232/9 2291/18 2320/18 2320/25 2321/10 2321/11 2321/23 2322/11 2323/15 2323/18 2324/2 2336/22
heard [37] 2105/6 2105/25 2110/21 2114/10 2132/15 2144/13 2178/20 2180/14 2180/22 2183/9 2186/6 2186/22 2186/23 2189/13 2189/15 2204/14 2216/23 2217/13 2269/8 2289/10 2294/25 2313/11 2314/2 2316/9 2321/9 2323/9 2323/11 2323/12 2323/21 2324/12 2324/13 2328/23 2329/2 2334/18 2357/9 2357/10 2369/15
hearing [40] 2118/1 2127/9 2133/7 2135/22 2135/23 2136/1 2136/22 2144/5 2145/14 2146/24 2147/2 2147/3 2147/4 2147/20 2147/21 2148/8 2151/21 2151/22 2153/9 2153/13 2155/3 2159/19 2160/4 2160/9 2161/19 2162/7 2163/10 2163/23 2164/14 2165/21 2166/3 2166/4 2166/23 2167/21 2167/22

2167/24 2251/1 2251/22 2297/8 2350/22
hearings [9] 2136/19 2143/24 2144/12 2144/13 2154/19 2160/19 2162/5 2162/20 2164/7
hearsay [5] 2217/18 2278/2 2294/21 2320/20 2326/15
heat [1] 2316/18
heavy [1] 2284/22
Heights [2] 2171/7 2171/8
held [3] 2155/5 2160/5 2171/17
help [7] 2199/10 2254/10 2274/12 2279/17 2293/21 2342/14 2346/22 her [71] 2113/21 2123/8 2128/10 2129/3 2139/21 2139/25 2186/17 2187/9 2189/8 2190/1 2190/12 2190/19 2190/21 2190/22 2190/24 2191/2 2191/3 2191/11 2191/12 2191/17 2191/21 2234/2 2242/8 2243/11 2243/12 2250/23 2251/1 2251/11 2252/20 2255/10 2255/11 2268/22 2279/1 2283/9 2283/21 2287/4 2287/6 2287/11 2292/6 2292/13 2294/18 2294/19 2294/20 2295/22 2296/9 2301/11 2301/11 2301/25 2301/25 2302/4 2303/17 2316/16 2320/18 2320/19 2320/24 2320/24 2323/18 2323/23 2324/6 2324/19 2325/5 2326/23 2327/1 2327/2 2329/8 2329/11 2340/15 2342/21 2342/22 2352/15 2368/21 Herb [1] 2305/5
here [60] 2101/7 2102/7 2107/13 2108/5 2110/17 2116/3 2130/3 2131/17 2161/16 2162/11 2167/3 2174/20 2177/20 2181/8 2193/5 2193/6 2197/23 2199/25 2200/14 2203/7 2203/20 2211/3 2214/2 2214/10 2214/11 2214/19 2216/2 2218/6 2218/12 2221/11 2222/2 2226/5 2231/3 2231/23 2232/6 2235/12 2259/17 2266/16 2275/22 2284/3 2292/1 2292/10 2292/21 2294/6 2296/2 2297/18 2298/1 2299/6 2301/23 2309/7 2309/21 2318/7 2339/7 2340/11 2341/2 2341/4 2352/2 2360/21 2366/1 2366/20
here's [4] 2162/2 2244/8 2244/9 2369/5
Hermens [35] 2203/21 2203/24 2204/1 2204/4 2204/8 2204/21 2206/13 2225/17 2225/17 2225/19 2225/24 2227/7 2227/10 2227/25 2228/4 2228/12 2228/17 2231/22 2232/13 2235/9 2236/13 2236/17 2236/23 2316/9 2319/10 2319/13 2321/22 2321/23 2322/23 2322/25 2324/17 2324/25 2332/13 2333/22 2337/15 Hermens' [6] 2226/7 2229/20 2230/22 2231/17 2232/22 2235/11
hers [2] 2242/25 2243/11
herself [1] 2298/14
hesitant [2] 2218/1 2362/3
Hess [9] 2257/7 2261/11 2270/9
2282/1 2310/20 2310/21 2310/22 2351/13 2360/16
Hey [19] 2150/24 2154/25 2165/22

H
Hey... [16] 2179/20 2181/18 2182/9 2184/25 2189/13 2197/7 2197/22 2204/18 2228/21 2246/16 2266/15 2275/19 2276/16 2281/21 2323/16 2337/15
hi [1] 2191/2
hidden [2] 2293/11 2300/5
hiding [1] 2300/11
high [2] 2131/17 2245/18
high-tech [1] 2131/17
highlight [1] 2354/12
highlighted [1] 2343/21
highlighting [3] 2256/20 2260/12 2260/12
Hilyard [1] 2196/13
him [232]
himself [9] 2204/8 2225/12 2235/16
2237/5 2284/23 2285/13 2290/9
2340/9 2341/12
hips [1] 2193/3
hire [1] 2170/16
hired [2] 2110/13 2169/23
his [201]
histories [2] 2176/2 2176/9
history [7] 2137/17 2161/21 2162/12
2162/14 2162/16 2175/25 2326/2
hit [1] 2172/22
hold [11] 2102/17 2111/23 2152/20
2152/22 2155/3 2155/7 2155/7
2206/25 2285/13 2288/13 2289/3
holding [1] 2224/13
holds [1] 2199/6
home [8] 2175/10 2175/11 2175/16 2177/17 2184/6 2343/1 2343/9 2366/22
homophobe [1] 2292/23
Honor [81] 2101/5 2102/2 2102/4 2102/16 2102/22 2105/4 2106/1 2106/12 2106/25 2113/2 2116/15 2117/16 2118/9 2120/23 2121/12 2123/9 2125/7 2141/20 2155/19 2187/11 2187/25 2194/17 2194/25 2195/14 2200/25 2201/5 2215/18 2217/17 2222/17 2229/9 2230/6 2237/16 2238/20 2238/24 2251/2 2251/15 2279/4 2279/8 2291/19 2294/9 2295/11 2295/13 2296/5 2297/11 2298/11 2299/3 2299/8 2300/17 2301/3 2308/23 2309/22 2312/18 2312/23 2316/21 2317/14 2318/11 2319/5 2320/21 2326/16 2330/20 2331/4 2332/19 2335/5 2335/9 2335/10 2338/1 2343/23 2346/23 2348/8 2348/10 2350/1 2352/1 2357/23 2358/2 2358/11 2360/24 2363/22 2366/6 2366/19 2367/9 2370/21
HONORABLE [1] 2098/15
HOOD [1] 2099/7
hooligans [1] 2193/3
hope [1] 2158/5
hopefully [3] 2198/21 2370/7 2370/8
hoping [1] 2298/7
Horner [1] 2305/5
horrible [1] 2292/14
hospital [1] 2217/2
hotel [2] 2326/24 2366/4
hour [8] 2110/3 2110/6 2189/5 2207/17 2340/9 2340/10 2340/13 2345/9
hours [19] 2110/5 2147/21 2147/22 2147/22 2148/13 2151/16 2170/3 2247/21 2248/2 2248/4 2248/12 2248/12 2287/24 2289/5 2294/4 2352/8 2366/7 2366/8 2366/23
house [4] 2136/2 2136/10 2236/8 2237/9
houses [1] 2174/22
how [82] 2109/13 2129/10 2134/7 2135/16 2140/9 2144/6 2144/16 2144/17 2144/17 2147/3 2153/5 2153/8 2159/13 2159/18 2161/1 2161/3 2161/18 2162/6 2163/9 2163/15 2164/4 2164/7 2164/10 2164/11 2164/12 2169/21 2172/16 2174/3 2175/18 2176/11 2176/12 2176/13 2177/9 2178/5 2178/23 2183/22 2184/17 2184/17 2190/18 2192/5 2192/8 2197/3 2197/4 2198/3 2199/7 2202/25 2212/5 2216/21 2221/16 2225/23 2227/17 2229/14 2231/3 2244/4 2255/17 2260/4 2268/23 2274/12 2275/18 2277/25 2282/24 2285/23 2293/5 2296/14 2298/24 2304/24 2305/1 2313/11 2314/19 2314/24 2314/25 2315/13 2316/6 2331/20 2331/25 2335/3 2342/11 2343/2 2353/18 2361/2 2368/5 2371/1
however [2] 2204/13 2278/24
HR [18] 2127/5 2129/19 2130/11
2130/19 2134/11 2134/22 2138/8
2138/14 2250/9 2250/23 2259/8
2260/4 2270/2 2270/6 2270/17 2274/8
2311/11 2355/9
huh [1] 2165/8
human [4] 2129/23 2222/21 2223/1 2327/19
humbly [2] 2282/13 2283/15
humph [1] 2232/9
hunch [2] 2276/2 2276/4
hung [2] 2150/13 2151/13
husband [1] 2326/22
hypothetically [4] 2346/13 2349/15 2350/11 2365/19

I just [1] 2107/9
I'd [4] 2134/25 2190/14 2319/2 2337/2
I'II [46] 2107/7 2110/3 2128/11
2130/23 2135/13 2148/20 2148/25
2158/24 2162/23 2163/7 2165/4 2166/25 2217/17 2229/12 2265/1 2273/8 2279/21 2279/24 2289/10 2291/10 2291/11 2303/7 2317/7 2335/8 2339/23 2343/9 2343/11 2345/2 2354/4 2356/24 2357/3 2359/21 2361/10 2362/24 2365/25 2366/7 2366/17 2366/21 2366/22 2367/7 2368/25 2369/23 2370/17 2370/18 2370/23 2370/25
I'm [187]
I've [20] 2103/13 2104/5 2110/14

2121/3 2124/23 2145/19 2173/18 2174/25 2183/23 2200/11 2211/25 2211/25 2220/2 2235/23 2292/2 2357/22 2358/19 2359/13 2366/19 2370/25
IA [48] 2254/4 2255/5 2255/15 2255/20 2256/2 2256/3 2257/14 2258/2
2258/17 2258/19 2258/20 2258/20 2258/25 2259/2 2259/6 2259/14 2259/18 2259/20 2259/24 2260/3 2262/10 2264/4 2264/14 2267/4 2267/10 2268/23 2269/25 2270/2 2270/3 2270/5 2270/16 2271/11 2271/13 2271/16 2272/20 2273/2 2273/5 2274/24 2275/6 2275/13 2275/23 2282/17 2290/16 2290/18 2295/5 2309/19 2311/10 2354/20
ICB [1] 2266/3
ID [2] 2336/16 2336/17
idea [6] 2106/12 2129/3 2164/4 2184/17 2186/7 2228/10
identical [1] 2104/18
identifiers [1] 2243/3
identify [3] 2242/15 2314/8 2350/7
ignoring [2] 2203/8 2203/11
illegal [4] 2247/5 2247/12 2250/3 2337/2
imagine [3] 2109/20 2150/11 2293/18 immediately [5] 2165/22 2195/23
2199/16 2211/14 2211/17
immoral [2] 2182/24 2282/25
impact [2] 2245/13 2247/22
impartial [3] 2254/12 2255/23 2295/4
impeach [2] 2106/20 2109/11
impeaching [1] 2109/25
impeachment [2] 2107/18 2109/21
imperative [1] 2219/1
import [1] 2178/17
importance [1] 2218/2
important [10] 2101/10 2175/6
2188/11 2235/20 2241/15 2248/18
2248/21 2255/14 2255/17 2299/15
impounding [1] 2209/25
impression [8] 2113/21 2113/22
2211/8 2211/11 2221/2 2227/17
2327/10 2336/16
improper [2] 2279/5 2354/19 improve [3] 2198/17 2208/6 2210/10 improved [2] 2215/1 2215/15 improvement [3] 2210/4 2210/19 2214/18
improving [1] 2212/16
in discovery [1] 2111/11
in-car [3] 2243/18 2244/4 2267/5
in-house [2] 2136/2 2136/10
inability [4] 2190/23 2241/24 2242/18 2244/14
inaccurate [3] 2200/20 2245/12 2245/19
inadvertent [1] 2248/11
inappropriate [18] 2217/6 2267/21
2268/2 2268/3 2279/7 2281/4 2282/25 2283/1 2284/2 2285/24 2288/4 2289/12 2289/22 2290/1 2290/11 2295/8 2295/18 2359/10
inappropriately [1] 2359/13
inception [1] 2285/15
inches [1] 2148/6
incident [28] 2113/3 2114/19 2115/12 2172/3 2196/12 2197/9 2198/12 2198/14 2203/15 2203/20 2204/10 2205/14 2213/1 2213/4 2219/15 2220/9 2220/24 2225/8 2225/9 2236/14 2237/9 2308/17 2316/6 2316/19 2327/14 2327/18 2329/3 2329/16
incidents [4] 2198/13 2218/16 2241/3 2331/13
inclined [1] 2298/11
include [4] 2119/9 2232/18 2239/21 2241/3
included [6] 2303/12 2344/8 2359/13 2359/25 2369/7 2369/13
includes [2] 2235/3 2364/12
including [4] 2351/6 2361/15 2361/16 2361/19
incorporating [2] 2265/23 2362/20 incorrect [5] 2103/5 2281/12 2281/14 2358/19 2359/23
incredibly [2] 2113/14 2298/6
independently [1] 2259/3
INDEX [1] 2100/1
indicate [3] 2335/24 2357/9 2357/10
indicate that [1] 2357/9
indicated [2] 2159/24 2278/5
indicates [1] 2135/25
indicating [1] 2248/9
indication [1] 2115/14
individual [1] 2370/14
industry [2] 2183/23 2184/2
inference [4] 2114/23 2295/5 2357/14 2357/18
infers [1] 2281/14
influence [3] 2153/8 2216/5 2250/16
inform [1] 2309/10
informal [5] 2162/1 2184/12 2184/16 2195/12 2195/12
informally [2] 2357/11 2366/21
information [44] 2113/5 2113/6
2113/15 2115/11 2126/16 2127/14 2139/6 2141/4 2145/3 2145/4 2145/5 2145/12 2145/15 2145/18 2145/19 2145/21 2146/1 2147/9 2160/10 2190/15 2206/9 2207/13 2244/14 2244/15 2245/11 2248/11 2249/22
2252/23 2253/7 2253/8 2253/9 2276/8 2277/16 2277/17 2289/16 2293/11 2300/11 2306/19 2312/7 2325/13 2325/15 2325/19 2325/22 2329/1 informed [2] 2234/3 2305/9
initial [1] 2148/4
initially [6] 2145/2 2202/12 2218/1
2241/4 2344/4 2347/3
initiate [1] 2178/21
injected [1] 2345/2
input [2] 2209/10 2225/10
inquire [1] 2275/12
inquiry [9] 2251/11 2251/25 2252/14
2254/22 2254/24 2255/12 2277/22

\section*{2286/11 2294/3}
inside [1] 2141/12
insinuation [1] 2295/4
insist [1] 2227/5
insisted [1] 2207/24
insisting [1] 2235/13
instance [3] 2157/5 2359/9 2361/19
instances [2] 2242/16 2315/8
instead [9] 2127/11 2188/17 2188/19
2216/8 2218/19 2218/22 2225/17
2227/7 2235/9
instill [1] 2177/12
instruct [1] 2363/15
instruction [10] 2344/5 2345/3
2345/16 2345/24 2357/5 2357/9
2363/11 2364/10 2366/9 2366/19
instruction's [1] 2357/10
instructions [5] 2213/13 2357/7
2360/24 2365/25 2367/10
instructs [1] 2268/21
insubordination [1] 2227/1
integrity [3] 2245/11 2245/20 2282/9
intend [1] 2148/7
intending [1] 2228/13
intent [8] 2218/7 2250/12 2276/20
2346/2 2347/23 2356/2 2356/9 2361/4
intention [2] 2138/3 2151/17
intentionally [2] 2233/3 2253/8
interact [1] 2176/13
interacting [1] 2163/17
interaction [1] 2338/10
interest [1] 2154/8
interested [1] 2128/16
interesting [2] 2149/9 2369/6
interim [2] 2154/3 2307/3
internal [28] 2171/20 2176/18 2190/12
2190/16 2250/1 2250/11 2252/24
2253/6 2254/10 2254/17 2254/22
2255/12 2256/6 2256/8 2262/3
2262/13 2268/20 2274/16 2304/6
2307/25 2308/4 2308/9 2308/11
2308/16 2308/19 2308/22 2309/13 2310/1
Internet [2] 2281/4 2281/16
interpretation [1] 2159/10
interpreted [2] 2292/15 2353/14
interrupted [3] 2241/4 2241/24 2242/5
interview [5] 2218/22 2248/3 2267/17 2308/21 2309/4
interviewed [6] 2169/25 2239/15
2248/6 2254/4 2255/2 2267/10
interviewing [1] 2240/2
interviews [1] 2170/2
intimidated [1] 2127/7
intoxicated [5] 2204/5 2204/25 2206/7 2206/13 2210/14
introduced [2] 2201/7 2238/25
introductory [2] 2241/2 2252/25
investigate [6] 2239/14 2240/20 2258/14 2273/16 2282/10 2294/14
investigated [4] 2284/9 2284/17
2285/8 2287/15
investigating [1] 2310/3
investigation [69] 2176/19 2190/13
2190/16 2245/6 2250/2 2250/12 2250/17 2252/24 2253/7 2254/1 2254/3 2254/11 2254/12 2254/18 2254/21 2254/23 2254/24 2255/1 2255/13 2255/15 2256/2 2256/6 2256/8 2257/18 2258/1 2258/2 2258/17 2258/21 2258/22 2259/2

2259/19 2262/3 2262/11 2264/5 2268/21 2271/9 2271/12 2271/13 2273/2 2273/5 2274/17 2274/24
2276/1 2276/22 2277/2 2277/5 2277/9 2277/9 2277/22 2281/16 2282/17 2284/25 2285/2 2285/4 2290/25 2295/5 2304/2 2304/6 2307/25 2308/5 2308/9 2308/11 2308/16 2308/19 2308/22 2309/13 2310/1 2310/6 2310/8
investigations [8] 2171/20 2171/21 2171/21 2251/1 2268/23 2306/7 2306/10 2355/13
investigative [2] 2268/18 2325/21
investigator [1] 2277/21
invoke [1] 2289/11
involve [2] 2223/1 2305/18
involved [19] 2102/9 2113/3 2144/21
2149/18 2153/11 2154/20 2161/2 2171/21 2172/3 2241/5 2256/25 2274/8 2274/13 2281/14 2288/8 2291/24 2301/8 2353/16 2359/8
involvement [6] 2144/24 2145/1 2153/18 2153/19 2259/12 2311/16
involves [2] 2146/18 2203/15
involving [3] 2245/4 2273/17 2331/16
irrelevant [1] 2292/21
irritated [2] 2194/4 2202/23
is [539]
is a [1] 2280/21
isn't [19] 2114/7 2114/8 2130/12
2134/21 \(2137 / 4\) 2138/8 2141/5 2160/1
2160/3 2160/14 2214/9 2221/11
2256/1 2272/4 2287/23 2296/3
2343/17 2347/22 2352/19
isn't going [1] 2347/22
isolated [1] 2300/6
issue [35] 2112/5 2120/20 2143/24
2151/10 2152/9 2152/16 2153/14 2155/15 2200/1 2203/7 2205/11 2205/15 2219/3 2222/20 2225/4 2226/10 2227/21 2236/3 2243/13 2249/3 2264/17 2264/18 2267/8 2267/13 2273/19 2282/16 2286/3 2287/25 2291/16 2296/4 2296/20 2298/20 2344/14 2356/12 2358/4 issued [14] 2153/25 2156/1 2156/1 2156/14 2200/3 2201/13 2207/25 2210/13 2218/21 2227/12 2233/18 2233/25 2234/11 2327/24
issues [28] 2112/6 2114/8 2126/1 2136/2 2178/6 2196/8 2196/9 2201/25 2208/6 2217/8 2222/1 2222/12 2222/15 2223/5 2223/7 2228/1 2232/15 2273/20 2305/15 2305/17 2307/23 2308/12 2314/10 2314/11 2315/11 2329/24 2334/22 2359/8
issuing [6] 2156/17 2210/11 2218/13 2234/21 2234/22 2235/1
it [789]
it's [219]
items [1] 2108/10
iterated [1] 2227/19
its [2] 2201/20 2233/21
itself [4] 2147/5 2147/16 2185/10 2315/5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline J & June 18 [2] 2347/24 2361/6 & knew [17] 21 \\
\hline JAMES [8] 2098/4 2117/23 2121/7 & & \\
\hline 2121/25 2124/5 2125/2 2125/15 & June 1st [8] 2346/6 2349/7 2349/10 & 2168/1 2174/16 2179/8 2193/24 \\
\hline 2251/22 & 2349/17 2350/10 & \[
13 /
\] \\
\hline James' [1] 2130/4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2361/25 \\
juror [1] 2283/2
\end{tabular} & know [200] \\
\hline Jamie [1] 2328/4 & juror [1] jurors [1] 2113/14 & wingly [1] 2316/16 \\
\hline January [2] 2169/22 2171/2 & jury [80] 2101/2 2106/8 2108/7 & knowledge [2] 2153/3 2161/10 \\
\hline January 1998 [1] 2171/2
January 3 [1] \(2169 / 22\) & 2108/13 2113/7 2115/24 2116/2 & known [15] 2113/15 2113/23 2175/23 \\
\hline ary & 2116/4 2116/25 2117/22 2120/9 & 2196/25 2196/25 \\
\hline JASON [3] & 2120/11 2120/12 2142/15 2169/11 & 2197/16 2197/18 2203/16 2206/7 \\
\hline javelin [8] 2196/12 2197/1 2197/15 & 2187/18 2187/22 2229/17 2229/24 & 2218/20 2248/3 2264/7 2339/13 \\
\hline 2198/2 2198/3 2203/15 2203/16 & 2230/11 2230/20 2237/23 2237/25 & knows [4] 2160/25 2186/1 2290/25 \\
\hline 2203/17 & 2238/18 2241/10 2258/8 226 & 2346/20 \\
\hline Jeep [1] 2325/25 & 2291/4 2292/22 2298/7 2300/2 & L \\
\hline jeopardize [1] 2228/23
jeopardized [2] 2222/2 & 2300/14 2300/20 2300/21 2304/20 & L-E-B-R \\
\hline jeopardized [2] 2222/2 jeopardizes [1] 2223/21 & 2317/16 2318/1 2318/25 2319/19 & labor [8] 2143/9 2159/2 2159/6 215 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { je } \\
& \text { je }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2319/24 2320/15 2321/2 2321/12 & 2162/3 2162/4 2162/5 2167/4 \\
\hline Jessup [2] 2099/20 & 2322/6 2322/14 2322/21 2323/4 & lack [5] 2111/4 2193/20 2199/17 \\
\hline Jill [2] 2099/20 2372 & 2324/21 2325/3 2325/8 2325/16 & 2241/23 2281/15 \\
\hline Jim [4] 2205/20 2206/3 2214 & 2332/16 2333/4 2335/7 2335/16 & Ladies [2] 2120/7 2187/13 \\
\hline 2226/18 & 43 & lady [2] 2112/12 2114/11 \\
\hline job [12] 2119/18 2120/19 2120/20 & 2343/14 2344/5 2345/22 2345/25 & laid [7] 2134/18 2134/18 2172/24 \\
\hline 2159/14 2160/17 2175/18 2177/21 & 2346/14 2347/16 2348/12 2351/19 & 2357/2 2362/21 2367 \\
\hline 2213/15 2214/25 2216/9 2219/8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 235 / / 15235 / 122369 \\
& 2366 / 12
\end{aligned}
\] & [2] 2184/23 2185/ \\
\hline 2308/13 & just [206] & lanes [lage [1] 2303/12 \\
\hline \[
21
\] & justified [1] 2328/25 & lap [1] 2327/6 \\
\hline 2155/9 & justify [1] 2146/6 & last [38] 2116/20 2116/25 2 \\
\hline John Ahlen [4] 2149/11 2150/20 & K &  \\
\hline 2155/9 & KAFOURY [6] 2099/2 2099/3 2099/4 & 2166/22 2173/4 2186/13 2186/13 \\
\hline Johnson [1] 218 join [1] 2115/24 & 2102/3 2128/2 2140/15 & 2195/16 2212/20 2212/22 2212/23 \\
\hline & karma [1] 2191/15 & 2221/13 2221/13 2238/19 2239/8 \\
\hline \[
2185 / 72186 / 12
\] & keep [13] 2133/20 2136/2 2136/10 & 2245/9 2250/25 2253/3 2257/8 \\
\hline & 2136/15 2185/8 2219/4 2219/8 2321/1 & 2270/10 2270/11 2270/19 2271/5 \\
\hline & 2338/12 2338/13 2338/22 2339/2 & 2275/4 2289/2 2296/12 2311/3 \\
\hline jokes [1] 2180/1 & 2366/1 & 2337/13 2339/23 2343/3 \\
\hline \[
\mathrm{ng}
\] & Kent [6] 2178/20 2178/21 2179/6 & lasted [1] 2352/8 \\
\hline JONATHAN [1] 2099/7 & 2179/18 2180/2 2180/3 & late [3] 2180/24 2193/16 2292/18 \\
\hline judge [5] 2098/16 2114/22 2282/9 & Kent Abbott [1] 2180/2 & later [18] 2134/21 2134/22 2137/3 \\
\hline 2359/25 2367/19 & kept [7] 2179/3 2182/10 2191/12 & 2179/24 2191/16 2204/ \\
\hline Judges [1] 2286/8 & 2243/2 2243/11 & \[
05
\] \\
\hline judging [1] 2289/9 & kick [1] 2317/23 & 2320/23 2337/15 2370/25 \\
\hline judgment [5] 2249/10 2329/24 & & \\
\hline 2329/25 2348/7 2357/15 & \[
\text { kids [2] } 2173 / 172173 / 19
\] & latest [1] 2220/6 \\
\hline judgments [1] 2295/18 & KIEL [1] 2099/3 & Laue [4] 2121/8 2125/3 2126/5 \\
\hline July [2] 2285/2 2327/20 & kind [37] 2147/3 2148/15 2148/21 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
2139 / 17 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline July 13 [1] 2327/20 & 2149/2 2153/23 2164/12 2171/23 & laugh [1] 2185/6 \\
\hline July 20 [1] 2285/2 & 2173/25 2174/2 2174/2 2174/10 & laughing [4] 2181/8 2181/11 2366/ \\
\hline jump [1] 2344/13 & 2178/7 2179/8 2181/21 2184/3 & 2366/18 \\
\hline jumped [2] 2174/7 2205/9 & 2184/24 2190/25 2191/12 2192/10 & laundry [4] 2128/17 2128/21 2136/7 \\
\hline jumping [1] 2273/17 & 2193/2 2193/4 2193/5 2198/6 2198/21 & 2141/10 \\
\hline Junction [8] 2101/19 2102/23 2104/12 & 2205/9 2212/5 2235/17 2288/3 2295/6 & Lauren [1] 2186/21 \\
\hline 2104/17 2268/8 2268/9 2309/8 & 2315/7 2315/16 2326/3 2336/15 & law [29] 2175/6 2183/24 2183/24 \\
\hline June [27] 2135/9 2135/16 2135/20 & 2342/22 2346/16 2369/6 2369/14 & 2189/23 2241/11 2249/23 2258/7 \\
\hline June [27] 2135/9 2135/16 2135/20 2135/21 2136/4 2172/14 2255/6 & King [12] 2133/4 2133/6 2136/21 & 2259/22 2263/25 2264/1 2264/11 \\
\hline \[
2257 / 152257 / 162304 / 32344 /
\] & 2250/23 2251/10 2251/21 2252/6 & 2264/12 2264/13 2264/14 2264/15 \\
\hline 2346/6 2347/24 2348/23 234 & 2252/19 2253/4 2275/18 2350/22 & 2264/15 2265/9 2267/2 2272/12 \\
\hline 2349/7 2349/10 2349/17 2350/10 & 2365/6 & 2273/21 2308/7 2309/11 2325/14 \\
\hline 2350/11 2361/6 2361/11 2361/13 & King's [1] 2252/14 & 2336/20 2342/25 2346/4 2355/4 \\
\hline 2361/16 2361/17 2361/25 2369/11 & kiss [3] 2282/4 2287/5 2287/8 & 2355/22 2364/11 \\
\hline June 1 [5] 2257/15 2257/16 2348/23 & kiss-off [3] 2282/4 2287/5 2287/8 & lawful [2] 2264/18 2264/19 \\
\hline 2349/5 2361/11 & kissing [2] 2292/1 2302/2 & laws [4] 2174/17 2265/5 2265/7 \\
\hline June 13 [1] 2135/9 & Kiyono [1] 2117/2 & 2265/8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline L \\
\hline lawsuit [9] 2177/20 2187/7 2351/5 \\
\hline 2352/19 2353/17 2356/19 2356/23 \\
\hline 2359/18 2365/14 \\
\hline lawyer [2] 2167/4 2342/23 \\
\hline lawyers [1] 2167/6 \\
\hline lay [1] 2180/3 \\
\hline laying [2] 2153/1 2165/12 \\
\hline layoff [1] 2173/3 \\
\hline lead [2] 2128/22 2174/12 \\
\hline lead-by-example [1] 2174/12 \\
\hline leading [2] 2149/8 2307/13 \\
\hline leads [1] 2184/10 \\
\hline lean [2] 2315/17 2342/7 \\
\hline leaned [1] 2226/11 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{leaning [2] 2339/7 2341/9} \\
\hline \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{learn [5] 2198/16 2199/10 2291/1 2301/7 2342/15} \\
\hline \\
\hline learned [1] 2246/19 \\
\hline least [12] 2114/1 2118/21 2150/16 \\
\hline 2178/24 2208/9 2209/10 2276/16 \\
\hline 2332/14 2334/2 2336/1 2338/14 \\
\hline 2358/7 \\
\hline leave [16] 2132/13 2152/8 2172/12 \\
\hline 2172/13 2184/14 2228/9 2240/16 \\
\hline 2254/19 2309/16 2320/25 2327/1 \\
\hline 2360/2 2360/6 2360/6 2368/17 \\
\hline 2370/15 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{leaving [4] 2322/24 2322/25 2323/1
2323/6} \\
\hline \\
\hline LEBRECHT [48] 2098/8 2113/17 \\
\hline 2114/24 2115/10 2127/8 2131/23 \\
\hline 2133/24 2135/22 2139/3 2153/7 \\
\hline 2169/2 2169/5 2169/12 2169/17 \\
\hline 2188/2 2238/4 2250/16 2251/13 \\
\hline 2252/1 2252/9 2252/11 2252/22 \\
\hline 2258/22 2263/13 2266/19 2268/16 \\
\hline 2272/19 2285/3 2285/6 2297/23 \\
\hline 2305/3 2308/7 2311/8 2312/16 \\
\hline 2312/17 2312/20 2312/22 2312/24 \\
\hline 2313/3 2313/10 2343/20 2344/6 \\
\hline 2347/14 2347/15 2353/16 2353/24 \\
\hline 2354/11 2355/9 \\
\hline Lebrecht's [8] 2126/6 2129/10 \\
\hline 2251/11 2251/23 2258/1 2271/9 \\
\hline 2307/19 2308/15 \\
\hline led [2] 2190/12 2215/24 \\
\hline LEDS [5] 2325/14 2325/19 2326/4 \\
\hline 2328/16 2328/21 \\
\hline Lee [1] 2238/20 \\
\hline left [7] 2114/7 2152/12 2171/15 \\
\hline 2181/24 2182/8 2194/7 2224/14 \\
\hline legal [8] 2159/13 2246/19 2264/2 \\
\hline 2294/7 2296/4 2336/4 2355/10 \\
\hline 2357/12 \\
\hline legality [1] 2350/5 \\
\hline legitimate [1] 2276/19 \\
\hline legs [1] 2181/21 \\
\hline length [2] 2145/9 2177/4 \\
\hline lengths [1] 2237/13 \\
\hline lengthy [1] 2106/18 \\
\hline LeRoy [13] 2184/20 2185/8 2186/7 \\
\hline 2187/4 2188/22 2188/24 2189/4 \\
\hline 2192/22 2192/23 2193/5 2196/15 \\
\hline 2196/20 2198/4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
let [38] 2106/11 2106/15 2110/21 2114/11 2130/3 2139/20 2139/25 2148/20 2149/6 2163/22 2188/7 2197/20 2223/24 2245/8 2252/21 2257/10 2260/24 2266/19 2274/10 2279/10 2280/13 2284/24 2290/5 2293/1 2294/22 2298/8 2309/21 2338/4 2345/1 2346/10 2351/10 2352/1 2360/14 2361/10 2364/5 2366/21 2368/6 2369/8 let's [56] 2111/4 2119/20 2119/23 2120/5 2126/25 2151/14 2151/16 2154/9 2161/8 2161/16 2165/6 2165/24 2166/17 2178/1 2183/17 2183/17 2186/5 2187/20 2201/17 2202/9 2203/19 2209/21 2210/4 2210/24 2211/1 2212/7 2212/20 2217/22 2218/11 2219/15 2228/2 2241/19 2251/21 2252/5 2266/11 2283/12 2286/18 2286/21 2287/6 2287/17 2289/14 2290/21 2294/4 2311/3 2318/22 2321/8 2321/11 2329/18 2344/2 2346/13 2349/3 2349/4 2349/14 2349/17 2359/9 2361/2
letter [46] 2101/19 2101/21 2103/23 2104/5 2104/6 2104/19 2104/22 2107/19 2132/7 2133/19 2134/22 2146/25 2147/6 2157/5 2165/16 2165/17 2199/20 2200/1 2200/6 2200/7 2201/13 2208/18 2218/21 2223/20 2225/4 2227/21 2245/22 2246/1 2246/4 2246/10 2246/14 2274/20 2281/20 2281/20 2281/22 2283/10 2283/14 2284/9 2284/15 2292/18 2294/18 2302/8 2328/4 2337/13 2348/1 2361/6
letters [1] 2107/12
letting [1] 2333/10
level [6] 2154/8 2209/23 2307/24
2308/8 2353/11 2353/13
levels [2] 2215/16 2347/25
Levi's [1] 2369/17
lewd [1] 2289/18
liberals [1] 2186/5
library [1] 2192/24
license [7] 2243/4 2264/17 2264/20 2319/23 2325/5 2326/2 2341/20
license-carry [1] 2319/23
lie [4] 2104/23 2104/23 2171/23 2231/20
lieutenant [101] 2111/3 2113/17 2114/18 2114/24 2115/10 2131/23 2139/3 2153/7 2169/17 2169/19 2169/23 2169/24 2173/22 2181/2 2181/5 2181/7 2187/17 2188/2 2189/7 2191/19 2192/1 2192/3 2193/13 2194/12 2195/22 2196/2 2199/14 2199/24 2202/14 2238/4 2238/8 2239/6 2250/16 2251/11 2251/13 2252/1 2252/9 2252/11 2252/22 2258/1 2258/22 2262/18 2263/13 2263/18 2263/23 2268/16 2268/18 2268/19 2271/9 2272/19 2280/15 2280/22 2280/24 2280/25 2281/1 2281/11 2281/13 2282/5 2285/15 2285/22 2286/1 2286/11 2286/25

2289/14 2289/24 2289/25 2291/23 2292/20 2293/10 2294/19 2295/1 2296/19 2297/23 2301/7 2302/6 2302/25 2303/1 2304/16 2304/17 2305/3 2305/4 2305/5 2305/5 2305/7 2305/7 2307/19 2308/7 2308/15 2311/8 2312/16 2312/17 2312/20 2312/22 2312/24 2313/10 2313/16 2313/25 2314/17 2347/14 2347/15 2354/11
Lieutenant Bechdolt [1] 2181/2 Lieutenant Boyd [1] 2304/17 Lieutenant Lebrecht [30] 2114/24 2115/10 2131/23 2139/3 2153/7 2169/17 2188/2 2238/4 2250/16 2251/13 2252/1 2252/9 2252/11 2252/22 2258/22 2263/13 2268/16 2272/19 2297/23 2305/3 2308/7 2311/8 2312/16 2312/17 2312/20 2312/22 2312/24 2313/10 2347/15 2354/11
Lieutenant Lebrecht's [5] 2251/11 2258/1 2271/9 2307/19 2308/15 Lieutenant Mike [1] 2282/5 Lieutenant Morrow [20] 2191/19 2193/13 2194/12 2195/22 2196/2 2199/14 2199/24 2238/8 2239/6 2280/15 2281/13 2291/23 2292/20 2293/10 2294/19 2295/1 2304/16 2313/16 2313/25 2314/17
lieutenants [5] 2175/9 2181/3 2292/16 2302/5 2305/1
life [1] 2179/8
lifestyles [1] 2278/23
light [2] 2144/9 2347/3
lighting [1] 2210/15
lightly [1] 2249/19
lights [16] 2240/14 2243/14 2243/17 2243/19 2243/20 2243/23 2243/24 2244/2 2244/7 2333/20 2334/3 2334/7 2334/15 2336/18 2340/15 2340/16 like [110] 2110/16 2116/6 2118/14 2120/13 2127/10 2132/6 2132/20 2133/24 2134/3 2134/25 2136/2 2146/20 2147/7 2148/11 2150/7 2150/21 2150/22 2150/23 2150/24 2152/25 2154/1 2164/7 2164/10 2164/13 2173/3 2173/21 2177/14 2177/17 2179/4 2179/21 2181/7 2181/21 2181/25 2182/15 2183/3 2183/19 2183/23 2184/3 2184/9 2184/25 2185/3 2185/3 2185/6 2185/18 2186/6 2186/11 2187/9 2187/24 2189/8 2191/13 2192/16 2192/16 2193/2 2193/5 2193/6 2196/18 2198/2 2198/15 2199/3 2200/9 2202/5 2202/12 2202/14 2203/7 2203/10 2205/4 2207/15 2209/20 2211/15 2218/24 2221/13 2228/18 2238/6 2238/22 2275/1 2275/4 2279/8 2293/10 2293/21 2299/6 2300/11 2301/4 2312/15 2312/19 2313/1 2316/12 2316/25 2319/2 2321/18 2324/25 2325/2 2327/12 2332/20 2332/21 2334/20 2336/1 2338/25 2339/13 2339/14 2340/14 2341/8 2341/19 2342/8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L & 2181/11 2191/11 2209/21 2210/4 & \[
2253 / 5225
\] \\
\hline like... [7] 2342/19 2345/17 2346/2 & 2212/7 2212/22 2228/3 2229/1 & 2275/10 2275/12 227 \\
\hline 2357/5 2358/5 2365/16 2369/13 & 2229/23 2241/21 2251/21 2252/5 & 2286/11 2288/21 229 \\
\hline liked [2] 2178/13 2203/10 & 2253/3 2258/25 2260/24 2263/25 & 2303/17 2342/4 2343/24 2347/7 \\
\hline likely [6] 2222/3 2244/7 2258/8 & 2264/24 2266/9 2272/22 2284/21 & 2347/8 2348/4 2350/25 2351/6 \\
\hline 2266/23 2269/3 2350/2 & 2286/12 2293/10 2293/22 2300/11 & 2351/18 2352/19 2352/20 2354/20 \\
\hline liken [1] 2247/15 & 2305/21 2307/11 2314/20 2316/6 & 2356/3 2356/4 2356/13 2356/22 \\
\hline likened [1] 2247/2 & 2331/2 2331/12 2331/23 2357/4 & 2357/22 2358/19 2361/3 2363/16 \\
\hline limit [3] 2296/6 2347/15 2347/19 & 235 & maintain [4] 2173/7 2180/22 218 \\
\hline limited [4] 2161/10 2268/19 2299/20 & looked [14] 2138/9 2181/20 218 2206/21 2226/16 2254/3 2284/7 & \\
\hline 2299/20 & 2284/8 2307/6 2313/25 2331/16 &  \\
\hline Lincoln [10] 2170/8 2171/16 2171/17 & 2332/6 2336/1 2342/19 & y [2] 2181/10 2339/3 \\
\hline 2172/16 2172/16 2172/17 2173/24 & looking [17] 2157/24 2173/7 2185/23 & make [69] 2109/16 2113/8 2114/20 \\
\hline 1 & 2186/7 2197/1 2207/4 2209/11 2249/3 & 2114/25 2116/7 2122/23 2123/1 \\
\hline & 2249/4 2277/6 2314/12 2314/15 & 2123/3 2134/2 2140/16 2145/4 2147/9 \\
\hline 250/23 2251/10 2251/21 2252/19 & 2315/3 2315/8 2331/20 2335/23 & 2154/23 2154/24 2155/1 2160/10 \\
\hline & 2336/19 & 2162/8 2162/9 2173/1 2175/11 \\
\hline & looks [7] 2181/7 2181/24 2191/11 & 2175/16 2177/16 2177/16 2177/17 \\
\hline & 2209/20 2226/21 2341/8 2341/19 & 2185/5 2190/11 2197/20 2198/9 \\
\hline 2248/7 2269/9 2326 & lose [2] 2167/12 2318/21 & 2198/10 2200/21 2200/22 2201/22 \\
\hline 28] 2147/19 2148/14 2173/3 & losing [2] 2167/15 2242/15 & 2219/10 2249/10 2249/13 2250/5 \\
\hline 2183/18 2184/17 2184/20 2185/2 & loss [1] 2248/11 & 2250/8 2253/4 2257/11 2259/7 \\
\hline 2185/4 2185/8 2185/11 2185/13 & lost [3] 2282/13 2282/15 2295/7 & 2263/15 2263/19 2263/22 2263/24 \\
\hline 2185/17 2185/19 2185/21 2185/22 & Iot [51] 2112/13 2114/12 2137/14 & 2268/11 2270/2 2270/7 2270/16 \\
\hline 2186/1 2186/5 2186/8 2186/9 2186/ & 2145/21 2145/22 2160/24 2160/25 & 2276/9 2276/11 2276/23 2282/8 \\
\hline 2186/16 2186/24 2343/24 2350/18 & 2171/4 2171/19 2172/24 2173/2 & 2282/24 2290/21 2293/16 2294/7 \\
\hline 2351/23 2352/3 2361/22 2364/19 & 2173/3 2173/24 2174/15 2174/16 & 2295/18 2297/25 2299/18 2300/11 \\
\hline listed [3] 2243/4 2331/18 2349/5 & 2174/17 2175/21 2175/25 2176/3 & 2311/11 2335/14 2345/4 2352/4 \\
\hline listen [2] 2176/21 2178/6 & 2176/4 2176/6 2176/8 2177/9 2181/13 & 2359/3 2359/21 2364/22 2367/12 \\
\hline listening [3] 2225/9 2322/4 2359/2 & 2183/20 2183/25 2183/25 2185/16 & 2367/17 \\
\hline literally [2] 2285/21 2360/19 & 2185/18 2186/22 2188/14 2188/15 & maker [1] 2143/23 \\
\hline little [27] 2149/9 2180/24 2181/22 & 2192/11 2196/8 2198/12 2200/14 & makes [6] 2282/2 2289/20 23 \\
\hline 2182/18 2191/14 2193/7 2196/19 & 2200/21 2216/16 2218/15 2235/22 & 2360/4 2362/17 2369/24 \\
\hline 2197/9 2200/13 2200/13 2200/17 & 2254/4 2262/14 2318/3 2323/ & making [28] 2122/18 2123/17 2127/ \\
\hline 2207/17 2214/5 2233/14 2244/24 & 2329/22 2329/23 2333/15 2340/10 & 2130/8 2137/22 2138/1 2145/ \\
\hline 2269/16 2279/14 2283/8 2283/10 & 2341/22 2354/23 2365/16 & 2180/1 2180/21 2197/6 2241/2 \\
\hline 2304/7 2314/21 2314/23 2334/18 & low [4] 2180/4 2183/1 2211/17 & 2258/15 2259/17 2262/7 2264/4 \\
\hline 2354/14 2362/3 2366/16 2366/23 & 2211/23 & 2270/6 2277/21 2277/23 2290/1 \\
\hline 2354/14 2362/3 & low-key [2] 2211/17 2211/23 & 2290/14 2295/24 2303/24 2314/13 \\
\hline ing [1] 2179/8 & lower [1] 22 & 2329/23 2329/24 2342/2 2346/2 \\
\hline loaded [4] 2327/2 2327/3 & lowest [1] 2298/6 & 2352/2 \\
\hline 2328/12 & lucky [1] 2192/16 & Male [1] 2194/3 \\
\hline lobby [1] 236 & lumped [1] 2362/15 & malicious [1] 2283/2 \\
\hline local [2] 2143/16 2367/22 & lunch [2] 2237/22 2237/2 & man [7] 2183/1 2191/13 2282/20 \\
\hline locating [1] 2255/8 & lunge [1] 2341/23 & 2335/21 2335/24 2336/13 2337/1 \\
\hline location [3] 2142/7 2366/14 2367/24 & lungs [3] 2150/9 2151/1 2151/12 & management [9] 2119/6 2119/8 \\
\hline logs [1] 2206/21 & lying [3] 2107/18 2108/5 2236/4 & 2119/17 2132/24 2140/19 2141/5 \\
\hline Lois [5] 2116/10 2117/2 2117/7 & M & \\
\hline 2327/19 2330/17 & &  \\
\hline Lois Yoshishige [1] 2330/17 & ma'am [19] 2118/7 2122/15 2123/5 & manager [1] 2190/8 \\
\hline long [24] 2099/8 214 & 2123/16 2127/21 2239/7 2239/15 & managers [1] 2124/4 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 182164 / 1621 \\
& 32177 / 11220
\end{aligned}
\] & 2241/7 2250/14 2250/18 2250/24 & Manning [1] 2184/21 \\
\hline \[
23
\] & 2304/18 2304/21 2306/20 2306/23 & manual [5] 2265/23 2265/24 2265/25 \\
\hline 2345/8 2339/13 2335/3 & 2307/5 2307/22 2310/2 2310/5 & 2266/12 2266/13 \\
\hline 2351/23 2352/11 2352/16 2353/10 & machine [1] 2148/20 & many [17] 2140/9 2163/15 216 \\
\hline & mad [1] 2189/18 & 2189/8 2200/12 2210/12 2216/21 \\
\hline [3] 2 & made [68] 2110/23 2116/14 2122/21 & 2268/23 2269/20 2274/12 2277/25 \\
\hline look [66] 2102/7 2102/9 & 2125/24 2137/2 2140/24 2143/25 & 2297/24 2299/5 2305/1 2331/20 \\
\hline 2105/19 2106/2 2117/24 21 & 2144/1 2145/3 2158/2 2160/12 & 2331/25 2343/2 \\
\hline & 2174/16 2179/3 2180/5 2180/21 & map [1] 2231/9 \\
\hline 2122 & 2191/20 2198/17 2198/18 2199/10 & March [3] 2112/17 2159/22 2166/13 \\
\hline 125/11 2126/4 2126/25 2135/6 & 2200/24 2204/18 2206/20 2213/20 & March 9 [1] 2112/17 \\
\hline 2135/12 2150/3 2151/9 2152/2 2152/8 & 2219/17 2240/22 2241/4 2243/8 & MARK [3] 2099/2 2158/19 2253/20 \\
\hline 2152/10 2152/15 2154/17 2158/9 & 2244/5 2244/10 2244/18 2248/3 & marked [2] 2102/7 2302/20 \\
\hline 2161/16 2166/3 2178/14 2181/9 & 2248/16 2250/11 2252/15 2252/20 & Markell [5] 2103/5 2105/10 2105/20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Markell... [2] 2105/21 2107/20
married [3] 2173/17 2173/18 2173/18 Matchulat [3] 2181/17 2181/23 2181/24
material [1] 2186/19
materials [2] 2272/15 2272/16
Matt [1] 2313/15
Matt Fisher [1] 2313/15
matter [28] 2116/13 2130/4 2187/14
2197/18 2236/5 2237/21 2279/12 2289/11 2289/16 2292/25 2343/10 2345/23 2346/1 2346/5 2346/7 2348/2 2348/3 2348/6 2349/15 2350/2 2350/18 2351/3 2351/19 2353/11 2354/12 2361/9 2363/17 2364/20 matters [12] 2274/8 2294/20 2347/1 2348/19 2351/8 2354/15 2354/25 2355/2 2355/18 2356/14 2356/20 2356/21
max [1] 2345/12
may [86] 2103/2 2103/9 2103/10
2112/17 2114/13 2115/5 2117/16 2117/17 2118/12 2120/24 2121/15 2124/16 2131/8 2141/21 2142/2 2144/7 2155/20 2156/12 2159/8 2163/3 2163/4 2168/20 2168/24 2187/17 2194/18 2195/19 2201/1 2208/21 2215/5 2215/22 2219/22 2220/20 2229/11 2234/5 2239/2 2251/3 2251/19 2256/11 2256/12 2257/5 2257/6 2257/8 2257/19 2258/10 2260/7 2261/13 2261/19 2261/21 2270/10 2270/18 2271/2 2272/9 2273/23 2279/17 2283/16 2283/16 2283/17 2283/18 2288/5 2288/5 2292/15 2293/23 2294/9 2298/14 2303/9 2304/9 2305/12 2307/7 2308/12 2309/2 2311/3 2312/10 2316/8 2319/5 2327/24 2330/20 2330/21 2331/5 2335/10 2335/11 2347/24 2356/9 2361/5 2363/21 2369/9 2371/1
May 14 [1] 2304/9
May 15 [1] 2112/17
May 15th [1] 2115/5
May 17 [4] 2257/19 2261/13 2270/10 2311/3
May 17th [4] 2257/8 2261/19 2272/9 2307/7
May 18 [2] 2270/18 2271/2
May 18th [3] 2347/24 2356/9 2361/5
May 6th [1] 2114/13
maybe [27] 2144/9 2145/22 2149/1 2149/17 2161/13 2164/16 2165/4 2166/23 2171/1 2182/6 2194/6 2194/15 2196/16 2205/22 2206/17 2221/22 2226/3 2227/15 2227/16 2232/25 2259/24 2259/24 2279/14 2321/20 2325/25 2329/10 2341/20 McArthur [1] 2337/17
McDERMED [60] 2098/7 2121/25 2129/1 2135/19 2135/21 2136/1 2138/24 2139/3 2139/18 2140/18 2140/24 2141/7 2141/12 2153/7 2170/1 2194/15 2195/9 2195/23 2208/7 2215/12 2233/25 2234/22

2234/25 2250/15 2257/17 2266/20 2268/12 2270/20 2270/23 2284/15 2284/18 2292/19 2294/12 2294/16 2301/20 2302/9 2307/3 2307/4 2307/9 2314/18 2329/6 2329/16 2343/20 2344/6 2348/24 2349/13 2349/24 2350/10 2355/7 2355/9 2361/11 2362/10 2362/14 2362/18 2362/21 2363/23 2363/25 2369/9 2369/10 2372/3
McDermed spoke [1] 2302/9 McDermed's [2] 2250/19 2271/21 McDonald's [1] 2190/2 McDOUGAL [7] 2099/2 2099/4 2158/18 2158/19 2253/17 2253/20 2310/18
me [231]
me she [1] 2326/13
mean [73] 2105/18 2107/18 2118/24 2141/7 2145/18 2146/2 2150/15 2151/19 2152/8 2154/16 2154/22 2154/25 2155/12 2157/16 2159/2 2162/2 2163/20 2164/21 2167/2 2167/25 2175/20 2176/3 2176/16 2184/13 2185/11 2185/14 2185/15 2186/10 2189/2 2190/9 2192/11 2192/14 2193/4 2197/3 2197/22 2197/23 2198/18 2200/5 2211/12 2211/22 2213/3 2214/17 2216/6 2217/10 2221/25 2223/15 2235/22 2235/23 2245/15 2246/22 2278/21 2297/21 2302/17 2302/18 2303/22 2310/24 2325/21 2329/6 2331/10 2334/14 2336/15 2336/17 2338/24 2338/24 2340/24 2341/4 2341/22 2346/4 2354/8 2354/14 2356/13 2360/10 2370/12
mean-spirited [1] 2185/11
Meaning [1] 2211/7
means [9] 2106/18 2122/1 2197/17 2202/19 2203/23 2241/11 2346/15 2349/11 2372/10
meant [3] 2204/13 2232/9 2242/22 measures [1] 2339/18
media [6] 2347/7 2347/8 2347/17 2351/18 2356/23 2361/4
medical [1] 2204/1
meet [7] 2127/8 2366/2 2366/7 2366/17 2367/7 2368/19 2368/24 meeting [112] 2119/16 2121/8 2121/18 2121/20 2122/6 2122/11 2125/16 2125/18 2125/22 2125/23 2126/8 2126/15 2127/18 2128/25 2129/4 2129/6 2129/7 2132/2 2133/4 2134/14 2134/19 2138/4 2138/7 2138/8 2138/16 2138/19 2138/20 2138/24 2139/3 2139/7 2139/17 2139/20 2144/11 2144/19 2146/8 2147/7 2147/16 2147/17 2148/4 2150/1 2150/23 2150/25 2151/6 2151/8 2151/18 2152/1 2152/3 2152/4 2152/5 2152/14 2152/16 2152/20 2152/22 2153/2 2153/5 2153/19 2153/22 2155/7 2155/8 2155/10 2158/11 2160/5 2160/9 2162/11 2162/22 2164/9 2165/12 2166/8 2166/9 2166/10 2166/14 2166/16

2223/13 2224/19 2224/22 2224/24 2225/1 2225/3 2225/6 2226/9 2226/17 2227/4 2228/2 2228/16 2235/4 2257/17 2274/19 2327/18 2327/22 2328/20 2330/12 2337/5 2337/6 2344/16 2346/6 2346/8 2348/23 2349/7 2349/10 2349/12 2349/23 2350/3 2350/15 2355/8 2361/11 2361/17 2361/22 2362/10 2367/20 2368/16 2369/12 2370/17
meetings [21] 2126/2 2127/5 2138/9 2138/11 2140/19 2143/24 2144/16 2149/22 2149/23 2149/25 2153/4 2154/18 2155/5 2160/19 2161/2 2161/5 2168/6 2275/20 2305/14 2355/8 2355/11
mellow [2] 2163/16 2163/18
member [5] 2149/12 2149/13 2149/17 2149/19 2172/1
memorandum [2] 2215/12 2215/14 memorialize [1] 2115/9 memory [10] 2127/13 2130/23 2131/20 2135/15 2135/20 2248/11 2268/4 2302/14 2302/15 2309/25 men [34] 2278/23 2281/10 2281/10 2281/21 2281/21 2282/6 2282/6 2282/21 2282/21 2282/23 2282/23 2284/4 2284/4 2285/10 2285/10 2286/5 2286/5 2286/23 2286/23 2287/1 2287/1 2289/3 2289/3 2289/16 2289/21 2289/21 2292/1 2295/16 2295/16 2302/2 2303/12 2303/12 2303/18 2303/18
mental [11] 2110/12 2110/12 2110/23
2111/4 2111/24 2112/1 2114/20 2209/24 2241/12 2248/22 2249/5
mental/fitness [1] 2110/23
mentality [1] 2162/10
mention [4] 2233/4 2244/18 2272/9 2306/21
mentioned [9] 2126/21 2184/21 2184/22 2186/17 2206/4 2266/6 2266/23 2315/10 2342/23
Mertz [10] 2102/6 2102/22 2102/24 2104/14 2104/18 2105/7 2105/14 2105/18 2106/10 2108/2
Mertz's [2] 2103/12 2103/18 message [9] 2255/8 2281/7 2282/7 2282/20 2286/24 2287/16 2302/24 2313/14 2314/3
messages [2] 2297/2 2297/4
messing [2] 2193/1 2211/11
met [6] 2126/19 2217/23 2223/10
2223/12 2253/20 2330/9
MFing [1] 2189/14
mic [1] 2111/12
Michael [5] 2196/16 2197/8 2238/12 2238/20 2240/3
Michelle [1] 2206/25
microphone [2] 2142/14 2238/17
microphones [1] 2111/14
mics [1] 2101/6
middle [6] 2118/15 2118/18 2178/8 2217/23 2240/25 2309/16
midnight [2] 2366/2 2370/19
might [30] 2136/17 2153/14 2154/17 2155/1 2158/8 2164/19 2172/23

M
might... [23] 2184/13 2187/11 2189/2 2202/4 2211/9 2211/23 2236/2 2236/8 2256/19 2260/4 2263/8 2267/9 2271/11 2290/12 2301/14 2316/8 2326/22 2332/4 2337/24 2346/17 2346/18 2364/16 2366/13 Mike [20] 2110/15 2111/16 2169/1 2190/19 2193/18 2193/20 2194/15 2195/5 2202/13 2257/8 2280/21 2280/23 2281/9 2282/5 2286/25 2297/7 2297/13 2298/12 2300/16 2332/11
Mike Morrow [17] 2110/15 2169/1 2190/19 2193/18 2193/20 2194/15 2195/5 2202/13 2257/8 2280/21 2280/23 2286/25 2297/7 2297/13 2298/12 2300/16 2332/11
Mike Morrow's [1] 2111/16
mile [1] 2340/10
miles [2] 2340/9 2340/13
milling [1] 2299/6
mind [17] 2111/18 2166/22 2200/19 2212/15 2235/6 2248/5 2255/10 2262/6 2268/1 2272/1 2276/25 2294/20 2320/21 2326/17 2331/23 2342/2 2345/2
mindset [2] 2239/23 2248/19 mindsets [1] 2177/5
mine [1] 2154/16
minor [1] 2258/6
minute [14] 2164/24 2178/1 2183/17 2230/9 2295/12 2317/7 2317/12 2317/13 2333/1 2333/1 2338/3 2338/3 2340/3 2364/6
minutes [17] 2187/15 2187/19 2187/20 2191/5 2229/15 2279/14 2291/13 2317/23 2317/24 2332/23 2332/24 2332/25 2332/25 2335/4 2345/10 2345/12 2345/13 mischaracterize [1] 2165/16 mischief [3] 2213/5 2213/6 2213/24 miscommunication [1] 2337/12 misconduct [9] 2259/18 2271/14 2272/14 2301/9 2308/10 2351/21 2352/22 2361/20 2364/13 misdirection [1] 2258/16 mislead [1] 2260/13 misleading [1] 2253/9 misled [1] 2233/3 misperformances [1] 2259/19 misrepresentation [2] 2104/11 2105/23
misrepresented [1] 2104/21 missed [3] 2358/13 2359/6 2363/22 missing [15] 2204/12 2204/14 2204/15 2204/17 2204/19 2205/24 2206/9 2206/10 2206/18 2207/21 2207/23 2236/8 2283/5 2286/3 2298/1 misstated [1] 2261/18
Misstates [1] 2324/1
misstepping [1] 2272/3 mistaken [1] 2231/16 mistakes [2] 2198/16 2199/10 mistreatment [1] 2352/23 misuse [5] 2351/22 2353/13 2362/4 2362/6 2362/8
misusing [1] 2353/8
mitigate [1] 2160/14
Moffitt [1] 2328/4
moment [30] 2103/15 2103/20 2105/13 2108/24 2110/20 2111/8 2111/25 2112/12 2113/17 2119/24 2131/18 2213/1 2214/9 2214/17 2232/1 2261/12 2279/10 2279/18 2291/10 2291/18 2297/25 2343/2 2343/13 2344/10 2347/6 2347/17 2349/8 2358/19 2361/8 2364/21 momentarily [1] 2225/14
Monday [1] 2112/17
money [2] 2147/24 2154/9
month [6] 2122/24 2124/11 2173/5 2179/24 2254/21 2316/8
months [7] 2129/6 2139/10 2141/17 2159/23 2166/13 2170/25 2310/3 morass [2] 2102/10 2105/19 more [38] 2112/6 2117/1 2117/15 2145/6 2146/19 2149/18 2155/1 2168/19 2174/13 2175/22 2178/15 2184/10 2197/9 2203/8 2206/20 2217/5 2225/22 2226/10 2237/10 2244/24 2249/4 2250/1 2263/10 2269/3 2274/15 2283/8 2283/10 2286/13 2288/19 2312/7 2315/18 2332/4 2340/12 2343/2 2343/8 2344/24 2349/16 2359/9
morning [9] 2116/7 2128/3 2147/22 2158/19 2158/20 2343/12 2357/11 2368/19 2368/20
Morrow [52] 2110/15 2169/1 2190/19 2191/19 2193/13 2193/18 2193/20 2194/12 2194/15 2195/5 2195/22 2196/2 2199/14 2199/24 2202/13 2238/8 2238/12 2238/20 2239/6 2253/20 2257/8 2280/15 2280/21 2280/23 2281/9 2281/13 2281/21 2282/5 2285/2 2285/5 2286/25 2289/25 2291/23 2292/20 2293/10 2293/17 2294/1 2294/6 2294/19 2295/1 2296/2 2297/7 2297/13 2298/12 2298/15 2298/17 2300/16 2304/16 2313/16 2313/25 2314/17 2332/11
Morrow's [3] 2111/16 2118/18 2300/4 most [4] 2105/8 2175/6 2292/2 2369/11
mother [1] 2189/8
motion [1] 2348/7
motivated [1] 2250/12
move [6] 2151/16 2166/18 2166/19
2184/25 2219/15 2245/8
movement [2] 2181/1 2353/1
movements [1] 2290/14
movie [1] 2181/8
moving [5] 2225/14 2244/25 2244/25
2258/4 2272/10
Mr [20] 2107/14 2128/2 2140/15 2142/21 2158/18 2160/3 2162/18 2162/22 2167/12 2193/5 2197/12 2209/5 2237/8 2253/17 2261/11 2273/14 2282/1 2310/18 2323/1 2351/13
Mr. [325]
Mr. Ahlen [7] 2163/15 2163/18 2164/4

2164/6 2165/10 2166/7 2168/9
Mr. Brailey [15] 2149/21 2150/5 2150/21 2151/12 2151/13 2155/14 2161/1 2161/1 2161/4 2161/9 2162/15 2166/25 2167/13 2167/14 2168/7
Mr. Cameron [1] 2266/20
Mr. Caufield [1] 2158/19
Mr. Cleavenger [244]
Mr. Cleavenger's [27] 2119/4 2120/18 2123/22 2144/22 2153/20 2165/20 2177/21 2186/18 2192/19 2193/20 2219/12 2229/6 2229/19 2230/3 2232/12 2240/2 2250/20 2305/8 2308/21 2314/16 2317/18 2319/15 2321/23 2322/11 2323/11 2324/13 2332/17
Mr. Davis [1] 2216/12
Mr. Drake [5] 2197/2 2216/7 2216/14 2216/18 2217/13
Mr. Hagemann [1] 2143/13
Mr. Hagemann's [2] 2154/14 2164/15
Mr. Hermens [1] 2321/23
Mr. Hess [6] 2257/7 2270/9 2310/20 2310/21 2310/22 2360/16
Mr. Kafoury [1] 2102/3
Mr. Lebrecht [1] 2266/19
Mr. Mertz [1] 2108/2
Mr. Morrow [1] 2253/20
Mr. Wardlow [9] 2121/18 2122/4 2137/3 2137/17 2137/21 2137/23 2137/25 2223/8 2234/22
Mr. Wardlow's [1] 2124/10
MS [10] 2117/13 2137/10 2142/20 2169/16 2239/5 2252/6 2252/14 2253/4 2304/15 2313/9
Ms. [14] 2117/14 2117/19 2120/17 2137/11 2189/18 2190/12 2316/24 2318/5 2323/2 2323/6 2324/14 2326/10 2327/15 2329/3
Ms. Boyd [1] 2189/18
Ms. Boyd's [1] 2190/12
Ms. Harder [7] 2316/24 2323/2 2323/6 2324/14 2326/10 2327/15 2329/3
Ms. Harder's [1] 2318/5
Ms. Yoshishige [4] 2117/14 2117/19 2120/17 2137/11
much [11] 2103/1 2112/22 2113/1 2142/1 2147/3 2161/15 2225/13 2282/19 2312/14 2347/3 2361/2
Multi [1] 2146/18
Multi-university [1] 2146/18
Multiple [1] 2145/22
Muslim [2] 2179/12 2179/14
must [1] 2146/17
mutually [1] 2165/23
my [151]
Myers [1] 2273/5
myself [6] 2178/16 2188/4 2209/9 2224/13 2249/10 2305/6

\section*{N}
name [17] 2116/25 2117/1 2117/2 2142/15 2142/15 2149/19 2169/11 2186/17 2187/9 2225/24 2238/18 2238/19 2313/21 2325/6 2325/23 2326/3 2337/10
named [1] 2316/4
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline N & 2205/24 2290/25 & 2346/2 2346/6 2349/18 2349/23 \\
\hline names [1] 2184/20 & non [2] 2207/2 2352/5 & 0/4 2352/21 2356/5 2356 \\
\hline narrow [2] 2184/23 2359/5 & non-recorded [1] 2207/2 non-responding [1] 2352/5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2359/25 2360/13 2363/23 2365/1 } \\
& \text { 2365/22 2368/8 2371/1 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline nation [1] 2172/20 & nonchalant [1] 2339/13 & numbers [12] 2107/6 2225/21 222 \\
\hline na & nondisciplinary [1] 2201/19 & 2226/4 2226/6 2230/25 2231/3 \\
\hline \[
2263 / 72304 / 232304 / 242306 / 14
\] & none [5] 2113/15 2120/4 2139/2 & 2231/16 2232/24 2235/10 2236/8 \\
\hline nauseam [1] 2109/23 & 2167/24 2167/25 & 2321/10 \\
\hline near [3] 2185/19 2207/19 2208/19 & noon [1] 2237/18 & numerous [1] 2258/13 nurse [1] \(2217 / 2\) \\
\hline nearly [1] 2104/18 & normally [1] & 0 \\
\hline necessary [6] 2124/5 2175/1 2198/20 & not [359] & oath [3] 2104/23 2116/18 2116/22 \\
\hline 2215/17 2216/5 2344/8 & not involved [1] 2281/14 & Obama [2] 2179/12 2179/19 \\
\hline need [28] 2101/10 211 2145/6 2149/4 2150/4 2 & note [5] 2114/20 2194/6 2202/22 & object [5] 2140/25 2217/17 2273/25 \\
\hline \[
2161 / 122177 / 122193 / 5219
\] & 2202/23 2306/12 & 2279/4 2362/23 \\
\hline 2204/1 2211/7 2221/11 2221/11 & noted [1] & objected [1] 210 \\
\hline 2221/15 2237/7 2263/8 2270/24 & notes [19] & n [9] 2156/7 21 \\
\hline 2278/17 2279/21 2338/22 2339/2 & 2195/12 2195/13 2195/22 & 2324/1 2326/15 \\
\hline 2345/6 2346/7 2350/1 2362/25 & 2220/22 2221/2 2221/19 2251/11 & objections [1] \\
\hline needed [4] 2147/4 2166/18 2166/18 & 2251/23 2252/2 2306/16 2307/11 & objective [4] 2255/18 2255/21 \\
\hline 00/24 & nothing [11] 2103/4 2141/20 2160/6 & 2255/22 2330/1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { needs [7] } 2 \\
2345 / 25
\end{array} 2
\] & 2204/16 2216/14 2247/13 2253/13 & objects [2] 2303/6 2345/2 \\
\hline negotiations [3] 2134/7 2134/10 & 2302/25 2304/5 2316/12 2316/12 & obligation [1] 2167/21 \\
\hline \[
2137 / 19
\] & notice [7] 2119/17 2138/17 2138/19 & observations [2] 2248/17 2306/16 \\
\hline negotiator [1] 2158/21 & 2138/23 2220/23 2224/8 2356/2 & observed [2] 2193/14 2194/12 \\
\hline neighbors [1] 2230/18 & noticed [1] & us [1] 21 \\
\hline Neither [1] 2104/16 & \begin{tabular}{l}
notified [1] 2203/4 \\
notion [1] 2255/16
\end{tabular} & \[
2175 / 202186 / 112276 / 11
\] \\
\hline neutral [3] 2153/12 2153/17 2167/16 & notoriety [1] 2359/20 & occasion [5] 2188/7 2188/24 2203/6 \\
\hline \[
2132 / 102140 / 222151 / 72153 / 3
\] & November [5] 2192/5 2200/2 2200/4 & 2210/13 2349/16 \\
\hline \[
2158 / 42162 / 212176 / 162176 / 18
\] & 2201/15 2365/15 & occasionally [1] 2208/1 \\
\hline 2180/17 2180/22 2180/25 2182/20 & November 18 [2] 2200/4 2201/15 & occasions [5] 2176/19 2202/3 \\
\hline 2183/15 2185/17 2186/6 2186/11 & November 2013 [1] 2365/15 & 2211/23 2332/5 23 \\
\hline 2186/22 2187/4 2189/10 2206/19 & November 4th [1] 2200 & Occupy [14] \\
\hline 2207/5 2207/22 2250/4 2252/16 & now [97] 2104/1 210 & \\
\hline 2267/9 2267/10 2267/11 2267/25 & 2108/6 2108/10 2108/15 2108/ & 2205/7 2205/10 \\
\hline 2271/17 2273/14 2276/25 2284/7 & 2110/3 2111/23 2114/21 2115/23 & 53 \\
\hline 2284/8 2284/9 2287/15 2287/16 & 23/21 2127/6 2128/15 2128/25 & [2] 2252/3 2252/21 \\
\hline 2293/11 2295/16 2302/8 2309/12 & 2129/18 2130/6 2130/15 2136/ & occurred [5] 2125/19 2152/14 2222/ \\
\hline 2348/13 2353/11 & 2138/4 2139/9 2148/10 21 & 2293/18 2296/3 \\
\hline new [12] 2112/9 2112/10 2142/23 & 2153/25 2154/6 2159/18 2161/8 & occurring [1] 2220/2 \\
\hline 2144/9 2147/13 2151/7 2173/22 & 2161/10 2166/17 2166/19 217 & occurs [1] 2287 \\
\hline 2173/23 2214/18 2233/8 2265/20 & 2172/24 2185/22 2204/7 2212/22 & October [27] 2118/8 2125/19 2127/2 \\
\hline 2265/21 & 2218/2 2222/1 2223/20 2224/20 & 2127/19 2133/3 2 \\
\hline & 2226/14 2232/18 2234/19 2236/11 & 2134/23 2136/13 2136/16 2138/4 \\
\hline newspapers [1] next [29] 2102/6 & 2236/12 2237/17 2243/13 2257/19 & 2138/11 2138/24 2139/9 2192/5 \\
\hline  & 2258/12 2261/14 2264/11 2265/11 & 2193/17 2195/8 2203/2 2203/20 \\
\hline 04/1 2107/20 2116/6 2116/9 2116/9
\[
21 / 232122 / 132142 / 42166 / 20
\] & 2268/23 2275/18 2281/3 2282/24 & 2349/18 2350/12 2350/16 2350/ \\
\hline 21/23 2122/13 2142/4 2166/20 & 2283/24 2284/3 2286/13 2286/22 & 2351/6 2363/20 2364/5 2365/7 \\
\hline 17/22 2218/11 2222/3 2227/24 & 2290/1 2292/11 2293/7 2293/21 & October 1 [1] 2127/2 \\
\hline 17/22 2218/11 2222/3 2227/24 & 2294/7 2294/22 2296/20 2296/20 & October 12 [2] 2350/22 2365/7 \\
\hline 2248/16 2312/15 2312/19 2334/24 & 2297/8 2297/25 2298/20 2299/4 & October 17 [1] 2118/8 \\
\hline 2338/19 & 2299/20 2300/4 2300/13 2309/13 & October 1st [1] 2138/24 \\
\hline nexus [2] 2284/5 229 & 2314/15 2327/14 2331/13 2340/6 & October 2 [7] 2127/19 2138/4 2138/11 \\
\hline nice [7] 2187/15 2188/2 2237/22 & 2342/24 2344/9 2346/22 2357/21 & 2349/18 2350/16 2363/20 2364/ \\
\hline 2279/13 2327/12 2338/13 2338/24 & 2359/17 2360/2 2360/9 2360/2 & October 25 [1] 2351/6 \\
\hline night [11] 2101/24 2103/24 2176/4 & 2361/8 2363/11 2363/21 2364/16 & October 27th [1] 2203/2 \\
\hline 2181/16 2191/1 2192/24 2204/16 & 2365/16 2365/24 2367/8 2368/15 & October 29th [2] 2195/8 2203/20 \\
\hline 2220/25 2326/21 2365/24 2366/11 & 2369/17 2370/12 & October 2nd [3] 2125/19 2134/14 \\
\hline nights [1] 2188/15 & nowhere [1] 2185/19 & odd [2] 2148/17 2153/6 \\
\hline nine [4] 2113/3 2114/13 2345/19 2356/22 & \[
2124 / 192147 / 22194 / 212234 / 5
\] & off [38] 2108/14 2116/1 2137/3 \\
\hline Ninth [1] 2357/13 no [202] & 2240/18 2241/9 2244/22 2256/13
2257/12 2260/8 2261/11 2269/4 & \(2140 / 232149 / 22\) 2158/6 2172/24
\(2173 / 22182 / 202182 / 222185 / 24\) \\
\hline no [202] \(\begin{aligned} & \text { nobody [5] 2130/11 2183/6 2186/1 }\end{aligned}\) & 2271/4 2280/1 2295/2 2325/5 2345/19 & 2186/1 2186/2 2190/2 2191/5 2193/1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & P & 2162/3 2162/4 2162/8 2167/1 2170/2 \\
\hline out... [89] 2154/1 2160/8 2165/12 & P.C [1] 2099/8 & \\
\hline 2170/16 2174/3 2174/18 2177/3 & p.m [1] 2220/5 &  \\
\hline 2177/18 2181/17 2181/20 2181/23 & Pacific [1] 2282/3 & \\
\hline 2182/2 2182/15 2183/8 2184/25 & Pacifica [2] 2287/5 2287/8 & \\
\hline 2187/9 2193/1 2193/5 2193/19 2194/9 & packing [1] 2316/18 &  \\
\hline 2196/12 2200/12 2200/14 2202/13 & page [52] 2102/8 2103/21 2105/3 & 2353/22 \\
\hline 2202/15 2203/2 2203/21 2204/3 & 2105/5 2107/6 2118/19 2121/5 2121/6 & partake [1] 2186/11 \\
\hline 2205/9 2207/18 2213/5 2213/25 & 2121/23 2121/23 2122/14 2123/6
\(2123 / 212125 / 112126 / 42126 / 25\) & partial [4] 2297/20 2297/21 2297/23 \\
\hline 2231/21 2238/3 2241/13 2241/17 & 2131/6 2131/7 2131/17 2145/20 & \\
\hline 2241/19 2245/9 2252/23 2255/21 & 2156/19 2156/20 2163/6 2209/11 & participate [2] 2124/5 2174/19 \\
\hline 2265/22 2265/22 2268/1 2268/6 & 2210/24 2212/7 2212/7 2212/11 & \\
\hline 2274/12 2275/15 2276/18 2278/15 & 2217/22 2220/2 2220/12 2220/22 &  \\
\hline 2285/25 2288/1 2288/13 2291/7 & 2240/25 2244/12 2246/18 2247/24 & \[
2340 / 112343 / 162343 / 19
\] \\
\hline 2292/6 2293/12 2300/7 2300/8 2302/2 & 2248/15 2248/16 2251/21 2252/5 & arly [2] 2131/12 2131/15 \\
\hline 2306/10 2311/7 2313/22 2315/18 & 2257/8 2257/12 2270/10 2270/11 & parties [5] 2101/4 2116/4 2187/23 \\
\hline 2315/20 2315/22 2324/19 2325/18 & 2270/19 2271/5 2271/5 2310/22 & 2237/25 2241/5 \\
\hline 2328/25 2331/25 2337/25 2338/22 & 2311/3 2331/12 2331/13 2356/8 & artook [1] \\
\hline 2339/2 2346/18 2347/18 2352/2 & page 2 [2] 2210/24 2251/21 & parts \\
\hline 2357/8 2359/8 2359/11 2360/21 & page 3 [5] 2121/5 2121/6 2156/19 & party [1] 2152/6 \\
\hline 2362/21 2364/10 2364/13 2364/14 & 2212/7 2212/7 & pass [4] 2170/24 2236/5 2236/21 \\
\hline 2367/13 2367/14 2369/3 2369/8 & page 4 [5] 2121/23 2131/7 2131/17 & Pas34/6 \\
\hline & & sed [5] \\
\hline outcomes [ & P & \\
\hline outline [1] 2 & page 44 [1] 2 & \\
\hline outlined [1] & page & \begin{tabular}{l}
passive [1] \(2127 / 11\) \\
past [2] 2149/22 232
\end{tabular} \\
\hline outlining [2] & Page 46 [2] 2105/3 21 & \\
\hline outputting [1] & page 47 [1] 2247/24 & tent [1] 2360/5 \\
\hline outside [8] 2128/17 2128/22 2129 & page 5 [3] 2126/4 2331/12 233 & patience [1] 2291/17 \\
\hline 2129/12 2136/3 2141/10 2157/16
2279/5 & page by [1] 2356/8 & patrol [11] 2169/19 2170/23 2170/ \\
\hline & & 170/24 2171/3 2171 \\
\hline & & 2171/12 2171/18 2192/1 2239 \\
\hline outweighs [2] 2286/ & & trolled [1] 2172/ \\
\hline over [74] 2101/9 2103 & & patrolling [1] 21 \\
\hline 2108/11 2108/15 2108/19 2111/ & & 2] 22 \\
\hline 2117/15 2128/15 2129/6 2141/16 & page where [1] 2103 & \\
\hline 2145/19 2146/9 2147/23 2148/25 & pages [5] 2102/8 2102/10 2122/9 & ing [7] 220 \\
\hline 2150/5 2153/8 2154/15 2155 & 16 2363/14 & 2206/7 2206 \\
\hline 2160/4 2163/23 2166/24 2172/23 & paid [2] 2132/12 & \\
\hline 2173/4 2176/17 2181/9 2181/18 & pair [1] 2369/17 & pay [8] \\
\hline 2181/24 2182/7 2191/11 2196/14 & Pandora's [ & 2173/5 2173/5 2343/16 2343/19 \\
\hline 2196/22 2196/23 2197/1 2197/7 & paper [3] 2364/13 2365/2 2365/18 & \\
\hline 2197/22 2198/20 2200/16 2204/11 & paragraph [22] 2103/21 2118/15 & [1] \\
\hline 2204/16 2206/18 2210/7 2213/9 & 2118/18 2119/15 2119/15 2121/24 & payment [1] 2146/1 \\
\hline 2213/11 2214/3 2222/9 2226/22 & 2122/16 2156/20 2203/14 2203/19 &  \\
\hline 2231/24 2240/15 2240/15 2255/ & 2217/23 2218/11 2221/13 2221/1 & 2174/13 2200/ \\
\hline 2259/19 2263/12 2266/17 2268/1 & 2245/9 2253/4 2259/5 2270/12 & \\
\hline 2278/7 2288/12 2292/10 2299/6 & 2283/11 2283/12 2284/25 2311/5 & destrians [1] 2278/9 ers [2] 2218/13 2302 \\
\hline 2310/3 2315/25 2318/20 2318/24 & paragraphs [1] 2135/12 & pending [1] 2217/20 \\
\hline 2327/23 2336/20 2337/17 2337/17 & paralegal [1] 2111/18 & people [79] 2119/18 2134/4 2149/22 \\
\hline 2338/15 2341/24 2342/13 2344/1 & Pa & \[
2162 / 182165 / 202171 / 222172 / 24
\] \\
\hline 2345/16 2365/18 2368/18 & park [8] 2225/19 2225/23 2228/22 & \[
2173 / 2 \text { 2174/1 2174/2 }
\] \\
\hline overall [2] 2219/8 2332/5 & 2231/24 2232/7 2236/9 2236/10 & 2175/23 2175/24 2176/2 2176/8 \\
\hline overcharging [1] 2352/25 & 2236/21 & \[
2176 / 132176 / 232177 / 42177 / 1
\] \\
\hline overly [1] 2339/17 & parked [8] 2225/18 2225/20 2227/10 & 2178/6 2181/11 2183/20 2183/21 \\
\hline Overruled [4] 2217/19 2320/22 2324/8 & 2227/14 2228/19 2228/25 2229/5 &  \\
\hline 2326/19 & 2231/22 & 2185/3 2185/5 2185/5 2186/22 \\
\hline oversaw [2] 2171/24 2192/4 & parking [6] 2112/13 2114/12 2220/6 & 2188/16 2189/9 2192/11 2193/19 \\
\hline oversee [2] 2169/19 2269/23 & \[
\text { 2225/14 2232/22 } 2323
\] & 2194/10 2211/10 2211/25 \\
\hline overse & parole [2] & 2213/7 2213/13 2213/15 2213/16 \\
\hline overturn [2] 2160/20 216 & part [46] 2114/18 2123/1 2124/6 & 2213/18 2214/6 2218/17 \\
\hline overturned [1] 2136/23 & 2126/14 2128/20 2129/25 2132/19 & 2248/2 2248/20 2235/23 \\
\hline own [4] 2193/9 2256/4 2256/4 2302/4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2132 / 23 \text { 2133/23 2134/6 2135/25 } \\
& 2136 / 172149 / 132159 / 142160 / 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline P & 2353/24 2354/11 2359/22 2360/16 & \\
\hline public... [52] 2214/20 2241/16 & & [5] 2157/6 2210/12 2240/1 \\
\hline 2242/11 2245/14 2246/24 2247/4 & puts [1] 2294/ & 2245/17 224 \\
\hline 2247/14 2248/14 2248/23 2255/11 & putting [7] \(2122 / 22137 / 23\) 2205/21
\[
2242 / 22 \text { 2246/9 2248/25 2341/12 }
\] & \\
\hline 2264/1 2269/24 2289/18 2306/13 & & \\
\hline 2319/11 2329/15 2336/3 2339/11 & Q & \\
\hline 2344/20 2345/23 2346/1 2346/5 & qu & reach [3] 2157/1 2232/20 2306/10 \\
\hline 2347/1 2347/4 2347/10 23 & que & react [3] 2174/3 2176/22 2315/ \\
\hline 22 & question [36] 2114/2 2118/24 2120/17 & reaction [1] \\
\hline 2353/8 2353/11 2353/12 2353/13 & 2123/15 2126/11 2138/10 2154/23 & reactive [1] \\
\hline 2354/12 2354/13 2354/15 2355/2 & 2162/12 2162/16 2163/8 2163 & read [41] 2103/15 2103/22 2107/3 \\
\hline 2355/5 2355/18 2356/14 2356/21 & 2165/25 2167/22 & 2107/7 2107/9 2107/24 \\
\hline 2361/9 2362/4 2362/6 2363/17 & & 109/24 \\
\hline 2364/20 & 2242/6 2250/7 2252/18 & 7/6 2164 \\
\hline publish [16] 2118/11 2121/14 2125/8 & 2298/9 2303/14 2307/14 2311/6 & 2226/1 2225/20 2224/16 \\
\hline 2131/6 2156/11 2195/18 2209/1 & 2324/3 2324/8 2328/15 2365/17 & 2226/4 2226/6 2232/23 2232/25 \\
\hline 2215/21 2220/19 2239/1 2251/18 & 2369/7 & 2233/1 2235/10 2256/23 2257/14 \\
\hline 2261/10 2261/21 2303/8 2309/1
2331/4 & questionable [1] & 257/24 2259/5 2260/16 2261/3 \\
\hline & questioned [3] 2102/24 2232/24 & 270/14 2281/19 2284/25 2304 \\
\hline pull [12] 2111/18 2142/14 2176/22 & & 234 \\
\hline 2177/3 2229/6 2238/17 2240/15 & & 221/2 \\
\hline 2257/10 2257/19 2270/9 2315/17 & \[
2135 / 132168 / 19 \text { 2225/22 2241/2! }
\] & \\
\hline 2334/4 & 2242/13 2243/5 2248/6 2274/23 & y [3] 2106/25 2 \\
\hline pulled [6] 2106/8 2140/22 2229
\(2244 / 22\) 2255/11 2316/14 & 2275/7 2293/4 2296/10 2299/22 & Reagan [1] 2186/21 \\
\hline  & 23 & real [7] 2149/6 2197/23 2235 \\
\hline & quick [4] 2149/6 2197/23 2235/6 & 235/13 2250/22 2331/21 \\
\hline & 2331/23 & alize [2] 2212/24 \\
\hline - & kly [4] 2128/4 2134/7 2211/3 & alized [2] 2194/8 2237/1/ \\
\hline purely [2] & & ly [49] \\
\hline purport [1] & & 147/14 2150/4 215 \\
\hline purpose [17] 2121/20 2144/5 2146/14 & & 2174/20 2176/3 2176/9 2178/16 \\
\hline 2190/7 2198/14 2199/9 2201/20 & quite [5] 2185/15 2216/8 2217/13 & 179/7 2180/6 2183/21 2184/19 \\
\hline 2202/7 2215/14 2222/7 2223/13 & \[
2228 / 25 \text { 2229/5 }
\] & 179/7 2180/6 2183/21 2184/19 \\
\hline 2224/1 2270/5 2270/7 2325/21 & quotation & 198/1 2198/20 2204/25 2211/13 \\
\hline 2327/22 2342/13 & quote [7] 2136/6 228 & 212/1 2213/21 2214/22 22 \\
\hline purposely [1] 2 & 2285/22 2298/23 2299/17 2310/25 & 2232/25 2227/16 2226/1 \\
\hline purposes [1] 224 & quote/unquote [3] 2285/22 2298/23 & 285/11 2292/8 2317/3 2324/20 \\
\hline & 2299/17 & 2327/9 2329/12 2339/5 2339/18 \\
\hline & quotes [1] 2286/2 & 4/10 2360/5 2365/9 2367/20 \\
\hline [2] 2 & R & \\
\hline pushing [1] & radio [19] 2196/15 2196/22 2196/23 & ask [3] 2232/2 2232/3 2234/24 \\
\hline put [89] \(2102 / 162102 / 22\) 2104/22 & 2204/3 2204/8 2204/11 2204/16 & ason [35] 2106/14 2122/6 21 \\
\hline put [89] 2102/16 2102/22 2104/22 & 2205/23 2206/2 2206/3 2206/19 & 128/13 2128/20 2132/24 2133/23 \\
\hline 2106/6 2108/13 2113/14 2114/9 & 2206/23 2246/11 2246/12 2246/15 & 135/25 2136/17 2137/18 2 \\
\hline 2115/23 2123/7 2132/12 2149/5 & 2320/13 2321/6 2321/7 2321/17 & 151/23 2165/21 2188/11 2196/7 \\
\hline 2172/2 2185/1 2185/10 2186/10 & raise [5] 2142/7 2155/12 2169/3 & 2203/11 2210/14 2242/3 2242/16 \\
\hline 2186/16 2197/7 2198/5 2199/22 & 2226/9 2243/4 & \\
\hline 2204/11 2205/1 2205/3 2205/5 & & \\
\hline 2205/23 2206/1 2206/3 2206/8 & rampant [1] 2282/10 & 299/25 2311/25 2335/14 2335/20 \\
\hline 2206/14 2206/18 2208/1 2208/16 & \[
\text { ran [9] } 2162 / 22 \text { 2205/9 2207/12 }
\] & 2335/23 2336/5 2336/25 2368/15 \\
\hline 2209/4 2209/6 2214/11 2215/25 & 2213/19 2218/17 2246/11 2246/1 & reasonable [4] 2145/25 2247/9 2267/7 \\
\hline 2218/6 2225/12 2235/16 2237/4 & 2246/13 2337/11 & \[
2334 / 1
\] \\
\hline 2237/5 2241/21 2248/23 2248/24 & Randy [27] 2121/9 2121/24 2122/11 & reasons [2] 2235/22 2276/ \\
\hline 2259/6 2264/3 2264/13 2264/22 & 2122/12 2122/17 2122/21 2123/3 & assigned [1] 2329/17 \\
\hline 2264/23 2265/2 2265/5 2265/7 & 2123/17 2123/23 2124/1 2127/10 & uttal [3] 2362/24 2363/2 2363/9 \\
\hline 2266/11 2270/15 2276/13 2280/9 & 2130/2 2130/19 2131/23 2137/2 & recall [100] 2102/2 2116/18 2122/4 \\
\hline 2280/11 2280/12 2280/17 2281/24 & 2137/14 2141/3 2222/23 2222/24 & \[
2123 / 162126 / 122126 / 242128 / 15
\] \\
\hline 2282/1 2286/18 2286/21 2287/6 & 2223/3 2233/5 2233/17 2233/20 & 129/22 2130/19 2130/22 2133/4 \\
\hline 2287/11 2292/22 2295/6 2295/12 & 2234/25 2270/24 2327/20 2330/16 & 2140/1 2139/19 2139/19 2133 \\
\hline 2296/6 2296/7 2297/17 2304/19 & Randy Wardlow [1] 2122/21 & 2140/3 2145/8 2146/8 2146/23 \\
\hline 2310/20 2311/10 2315/12 2320/25 & Randy Wardlow's [1] 2123/23 & 2146/25 2163/8 2163/11 2163/1 \\
\hline 2326/25 2330/6 2343/18 2345/2
2351/11 \(2351 / 12 ~ 2352 / 3 ~ 2353 / 17 ~\) & rank [2] 2199/5 2199/7 & 2164/17 2164/19 2169/25 2179/17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
recall... [73] 2183/13 2186/25 2187/2 2190/22 2190/23 2202/8 2203/5 2203/24 2204/5 2208/9 2211/18 2213/14 2219/17 2220/11 2224/20 2229/20 2231/13 2233/11 2233/20 2233/24 2240/2 2240/11 2244/14 2245/6 2245/17 2248/11 2253/8 2253/25 2254/8 2261/3 2266/4 2266/9 2268/24 2269/3 2269/7 2269/12 2270/1 2272/24 2273/9 2274/14 2274/16 2274/20 2275/6 2275/9 2275/11 2275/15 2275/21 2275/22 2279/2 2302/1 2302/10 2304/22 2305/17 2305/19 2306/24 2307/1 2309/14 2309/15 2309/18 2311/19 2313/23 2314/20 2314/24 2324/19 2324/20 2329/11 2330/12 2331/20 2331/25 2332/5 2332/11 2333/18 2339/17
recalled [2] 2207/1 2324/4
recalls [1] 2238/8
recapped [1] 2152/14
receive [3] 2236/23 2293/5 2293/19
received [32] 2110/24 2111/19
2112/24 2115/10 2118/10 2120/21 2121/13 2125/9 2130/24 2131/2 2156/10 2194/23 2195/17 2201/4 2201/10 2208/24 2208/25 2215/20 2219/24 2220/18 2221/19 2229/10 2234/7 2238/25 2245/22 2251/17 2257/4 2260/21 2262/3 2303/7 2308/24 2313/14
receiving [1] 2246/1
recently [1] 2173/20
recertification [1] 2172/13
recess [12] 2108/16 2116/9 2120/10
2187/16 2187/21 2237/24 2279/13 2291/10 2291/14 2343/15 2345/14 2360/11
recipient [1] 2293/7
recognize [11] 2117/19 2121/3
2124/23 2155/23 2195/2 2251/7
2260/15 2260/17 2286/9 2302/21 2321/21
recognized [1] 2149/15
recollect [1] 2222/8
recollection [5] 2188/9 2189/15
2234/20 2244/7 2291/24
recommend [1] 2214/13
recommendation [2] 2268/16 2349/11
recommendations [1] 2250/6
recommended [1] 2268/12
recontacting [1] 2337/17
record [43] 2101/3 2108/15 2108/17
2109/17 2112/8 2115/16 2116/1 2116/7 2119/2 2119/13 2119/19 2149/10 2149/11 2158/6 2162/9 2239/20 2239/25 2246/8 2275/20 2286/19 2288/16 2288/20 2288/25 2291/16 2299/18 2309/5 2318/15 2337/16 2343/13 2344/2 2344/2 2345/15 2347/14 2352/6 2354/4 2354/23 2355/11 2357/12 2360/12 2362/22 2363/8 2369/24 2372/9 recorded [14] 2207/2 2240/23 2241/4 2242/5 2259/21 2267/8 2272/14

2308/3 2308/18 2322/10 2323/12 2333/11 2333/15 2338/16
recorder [1] 2254/20
recorders [1] 2228/7
recording [29] 2240/18 2240/19 2251/24 2252/17 2253/1 2258/10 2258/12 2265/9 2265/11 2266/1 2266/5 2267/1 2309/6 2309/11 2314/22 2317/25 2321/25 2322/1 2322/2 2322/13 2329/22 2332/2 2332/6 2332/10 2332/13 2332/14 2333/16 2336/9 2342/22
recordings [2] 2240/21 2265/12 records [8] 2156/22 2157/2 2196/22 2205/12 2206/10 2208/15 2337/12 2339/6
recount [1] 2152/18
recounting [1] 2139/17
Recross [6] 2100/7 2100/17 2140/12 2140/14 2310/15 2310/17
Recross-Examination [4] 2100/7 2100/17 2140/14 2310/17
Recruit [1] 2170/13
red [2] 2150/12 2191/3
redid [1] 2360/13
redirect [9] 2100/6 2100/16 2127/22
2128/13 2137/7 2137/9 2168/18 2304/12 2304/14
reductions [1] 2173/4
redundancies [1] 2367/15
redundant [1] 2365/23
redundantly [2] 2349/21 2350/19
reejected [1] 2267/16
reevaluate [1] 2227/11
refer [5] 2171/22 2180/23 2201/9 2309/19 2347/8
reference [4] 2126/11 2265/9 2267/2 2330/19
referenced [1] 2129/9
referral [5] 2249/11 2249/18 2249/19 2259/17 2275/12
referred [9] 2174/12 2196/11 2249/11
2271/13 2308/4 2308/19 2332/4 2332/11 2333/17
referring [15] 2112/3 2204/15 2259/14
2261/13 2265/8 2269/19 2271/25
2272/3 2272/12 2272/16 2320/4
2320/19 2320/23 2335/17 2338/17
reflect [3] 2244/3 2251/12 2251/23
reflecting [1] 2252/2
reflection [1] 2243/24
reflects [1] 2245/10
refresh [6] 2127/13 2130/23 2131/20
2135/15 2268/4 2309/25
refreshed [2] 2302/14 2302/15
refused [1] 2150/3
Regan [1] 2186/21
regard [4] 2158/22 2195/24 2265/12 2347/10
regarding [18] 2110/11 2195/12
2240/6 2250/25 2273/7 2273/12 2274/24 2278/19 2285/5 2303/2 2303/14 2303/15 2303/16 2306/19 2307/7 2313/20 2339/22 2364/19
regardless [2] 2245/10 2279/3
regards [1] 2251/10
registration [2] 2246/24 2264/17
reiterated [1] 2123/18
reiterating [2] 2122/12 2122/16
rejected [1] 2346/21
rejection [2] 2123/25 2130/7
related [7] 2270/25 2271/11 2271/24
2271/25 2283/7 2294/15 2352/22
relation [3] 2133/3 2262/2 2271/8
relations [6] 2159/3 2159/6 2159/9 2162/3 2162/4 2162/5
relationship [2] 2184/5 2192/6
relatively [1] 2343/22
relayed [2] 2326/18 2361/12
release [1] 2325/22
released [2] 2247/17 2275/11
releasing [2] 2275/13 2326/2
relevance [3] 2288/24 2292/24 2303/6
relevant [9] 2159/12 2259/10 2267/9
2286/15 2288/14 2298/3 2298/5
2311/14 2311/24
relied [1] 2278/3
relying [1] 2278/2
remain [3] 2241/24 2242/12 2279/20
remaining [1] 2359/4
remarks [2] 2241/2 2252/25
remedial [1] 2272/5
remediate [1] 2272/6
remedy [2] 2105/22 2106/4
remember [81] 2107/15 2107/17
2108/2 2130/17 2145/22 2147/1
2147/21 2154/22 2164/19 2170/1
2170/4 2170/6 2172/4 2177/8 2177/10 2179/13 2179/14 2179/14 2181/1 2182/3 2184/19 2184/21 2184/21 2185/14 2185/20 2186/17 2187/4 2187/5 2190/3 2192/11 2192/13 2193/4 2196/3 2196/17 2196/19 2196/24 2202/15 2204/1 2204/8 2209/8 2211/8 2213/6 2213/12 2213/17 2218/16 2221/24 2222/10 2224/13 2224/14 2225/7 2225/16 2226/5 2227/13 2227/19 2228/21 2228/24 2229/2 2229/22 2233/12 2234/3 2262/1 2278/23 2278/25 2288/15 2288/21 2293/8 2296/24
2307/16 2316/7 2316/8 2328/19
2328/22 2329/9 2330/4 2331/10
2332/13 2339/9 2339/21 2342/3
2347/22 2369/2
remembered [3] 2248/4 2302/12 2338/17
remembering [1] 2108/6
remind [1] 2277/4
reminded [1] 2210/16
remove [1] 2149/3
removed [3] 2161/14 2191/14 2329/15
rendered [2] 2157/4 2157/7
reoccur [1] 2201/23
rep [2] 2148/5 2150/19
repeat [3] 2110/21 2252/18 2289/10 repeated [1] 2308/6
replace [1] 2268/17
replay [4] 2230/6 2230/8 2318/9 2335/10
report [38] 2110/23 2110/24 2111/9 2118/19 2130/16 2132/2 2132/16 2183/11 2235/25 2236/1 2236/20 2239/1 2240/25 2254/5 2255/20
report... [23] 2257/14 2258/25 2259/24 2264/4 2264/14 2264/23 2264/24 2264/25 2265/1 2265/19 2266/24 2272/20 2275/7 2275/13 2275/24 2329/3 2329/8 2330/7 2330/9 2330/18 2331/2 2350/6 2355/24
reported [5] 2189/21 2196/5 2247/20 2285/2 2350/7
reporter [5] 2099/20 2108/19 2108/25 2109/9 2372/15
reporting [5] 2212/5 2286/2 2295/25 2298/21 2299/16
reports [1] 2174/14
representation [3] 2218/12 2224/9 2224/23
representative [1] 2168/4
representatives [2] 2167/8 2168/2
represented [4] 2102/12 2102/19 2129/24 2293/3
representing [1] 2131/11 reprimand [40] 2124/12 2133/19 2140/6 2153/20 2153/23 2153/24 2154/4 2154/7 2154/15 2155/4 2156/3 2156/23 2159/25 2160/4 2222/4 2222/7 2222/10 2222/21 2223/16 2225/4 2227/12 2227/21 2228/18 2232/16 2233/8 2233/11 2233/17 2233/21 2233/24 2234/2 2234/10 2234/13 2234/21 2234/23 2235/1 2235/3 2235/5 2327/23 2348/1 2361/7 reprimands [1] 2153/25
Republican [3] 2178/2 2179/2 2179/3
Republicans [1] 2179/1
request [16] 2107/2 2109/6 2129/23
2145/15 2145/19 2145/19 2145/21
2145/25 2152/4 2152/6 2152/15
2155/6 2166/3 2268/3 2275/10 2358/14
requested [6] 2110/16 2155/6 2224/22 2224/25 2328/13 2369/24
requesting [2] 2111/17 2358/11
requests [5] 2145/3 2145/4 2145/12
2145/16 2146/1
require [1] 2162/19
required [1] 2361/3
requirement [4] 2155/2 2155/5
2234/16 2266/2
rereading [1] 2109/23
research [5] 2104/13 2206/20 2207/15 2251/25 2313/22
researched [4] 2239/19 2264/1 2264/1 2264/12
reserve [2] 2266/13 2342/12
resign [2] 2119/3 2158/5
Resolution [1] 2172/2
resolve [4] 2124/7 2153/15 2360/2 2360/3
resource [5] 2149/12 2149/13 2149/18 2149/19 2222/22
resources [6] 2129/24 2223/1 2327/19
2351/22 2361/21 2364/15
respect [1] 2144/7
respond [6] 2178/22 2221/16 2293/5
2293/12 2294/7 2298/15
responded [5] 2130/11 2140/22
2171/4 2287/16 2302/3
responding [17] 2230/15 2283/4 2285/14 2285/21 2286/3 2286/10 2287/2 2287/3 2287/4 2296/13 2298/25 2333/19 2333/22 2334/15 2334/19 2351/24 2352/5
response [30] 2103/23 2117/23
2120/18 2123/22 2132/10 2180/5 2180/9 2182/11 2195/4 2211/16 2251/10 2251/25 2257/25 2258/21 2271/21 2279/1 2279/1 2286/4 2287/24 2288/7 2289/11 2295/25 2297/7 2298/21 2298/24 2299/16 2302/25 2328/18 2352/10 2366/10 responsibility [4] 2242/19 2300/24 2345/22 2362/22
responsible [1] 2265/11
rest [3] 2107/24 2297/1 2343/22
restate [3] 2116/25 2169/11 2238/18
resting [1] 2108/15
restrictions [1] 2239/22
restroom [1] 2291/11
result [5] 2224/5 2246/1 2269/25
2282/11 2352/13
resume [2] 2317/10 2317/11
resumé [1] 2177/22
reswear [1] 2238/11
retake [1] 2301/1
retaliate [1] 2250/12
retaliated [1] 2133/24
retaliating [1] 2347/16
retaliation [2] 2354/19 2354/19
retrain [2] 2123/23 2235/19
retrained [1] 2122/2
retraining [14] 2119/9 2121/21 2124/5
2129/14 2129/21 2129/25 2131/22 2132/21 2132/23 2134/8 2137/2 2138/1 2140/23 2141/4
retrieve [1] 2301/16
retrind [1] 2122/1
return [6] 2116/23 2120/14 2198/19
2309/16 2312/24 2345/7
returned [1] 2122/25
returning [6] 2116/11 2116/17
2300/22 2312/14 2312/16 2312/20
reversal [1] 2370/9
reverse [4] 2297/8 2346/15 2359/5 2369/25
reversed [1] 2369/10
review [37] 2140/9 2148/2 2156/22
2165/20 2208/14 2233/17 2235/6 2269/13 2269/16 2272/15 2275/23 2278/6 2307/20 2307/23 2308/8 2308/15 2313/11 2313/12 2314/5 2314/8 2315/1 2316/2 2316/3 2316/3 2316/22 2329/18 2329/19 2329/20 2330/2 2330/10 2330/10 2330/13 2331/16 2331/20 2331/22 2339/19 2346/12
reviewed [6] 2157/2 2231/14 2233/5 2239/15 2248/1 2269/21
reviewing [5] \(2147 / 4\) 2240/21 2246/4 2269/9 2269/17
reviews [2] 2269/23 2330/7
revised [4] 2200/23 2263/15 2333/19 2334/12
revisit [1] 2286/7
revoked [1] 2141/4
ride [6] 2186/24 2192/22 2208/8 2208/10 2246/7 2316/16
rides [1] 2187/4
ridiculous [1] 2185/7
riding [3] 2192/24 2210/14 2210/15
right [226]
rightly [1] 2289/7
rights [12] 2124/6 2129/16 2129/20
2129/25 2344/20 2355/5 2355/10
2355/11 2364/1 2364/1 2364/9 2364/9
ring [1] 2325/24
rise [2] 2308/8 2353/10
rises [2] 2353/13 2354/12
risk [3] 2188/18 2315/12 2359/15
risks [1] 2160/14
river [3] 2247/3 2273/18 2370/18
RMR [2] 2099/20 2372/14
Rockland [2] 2171/11 2175/9
rode [1] 2192/12
Roger [1] 2325/24
Roger Tidwell [1] 2325/24
role [12] 2143/20 2144/3 2144/15
2159/18 2160/14 2160/24 2161/10
2161/14 2162/6 2173/22 2191/25 2234/2
rolled [5] 2259/19 2265/22 2335/18
2340/8 2344/9
rolling [1] 2340/13
roof [1] 2218/17
room [5] 2099/21 2105/15 2150/20
2150/21 2184/10
rose [1] 2307/24
rotated [1] 2171/1
rough [3] 2109/14 2109/17 2164/21
roughs [3] 2109/1 2109/3 2109/18
routine [4] 2146/7 2241/2 2242/5
2309/7
routinely [1] 2246/3
Royce [1] 2273/5
Rudnick [1] 2099/8
ruin [1] 2184/5
rule [1] 2249/9
rules [7] 2151/5 2151/8 2151/11 2151/24 2153/1 2161/18 2165/12
ruling [7] 2116/15 2294/7 2299/1
2349/2 2362/6 2364/22 2367/14
rulings [1] 2367/17
run [10] 2205/11 2206/10 2206/24
2207/3 2246/2 2246/15 2246/16
2315/25 2336/22 2341/24
running [8] 2178/11 2213/7 2213/21 2214/2 2219/6 2246/5 2246/8 2339/5
runs [2] 2196/22 2282/10
rushes [1] 2213/11
Ryan [6] 2143/10 2154/17 2154/23 2161/25 2162/25 2163/14

\section*{S}

Sacramento [7] 2170/9 2170/12
2170/13 2170/14 2171/4 2172/8 2175/8
safe [7] 2122/1 2175/11 2177/13 2177/16 2177/16 2219/4 2219/9 safely [2] 2175/11 2247/22
safety [60] 2131/13 2132/6 2141/8 2169/24 2181/6 2188/18 2196/8
2196/9 2199/18 2205/8 2209/12
safety... [49] 2211/13 2214/19 2217/8 2218/13 2221/10 2222/1 2222/2 2222/8 2222/12 2222/15 2223/5 2223/17 2223/21 2227/15 2228/1 2228/23 2235/22 2241/16 2241/16 2242/11 2245/14 2246/24 2247/4 2247/14 2248/14 2248/23 2248/25 2255/11 2264/2 2269/24 2306/13 2315/6 2315/11 2315/12 2319/11 2323/19 2326/24 2329/15 2329/23 2329/24 2336/3 2339/11 2339/18 2339/22 2340/6 2340/22 2342/1 2342/14 2355/5
said [201]
Sam [1] 2320/9
same [35] 2112/2 2115/17 2116/22 2154/20 2165/2 2175/7 2175/8 2195/16 2201/7 2201/8 2204/3 2207/16 2210/17 2213/7 2243/13 2293/3 2296/21 2296/23 2309/22 2317/5 2318/20 2339/1 2349/25 2350/22 2350/23 2351/1 2351/18 2354/8 2356/5 2356/12 2359/12 2362/12 2365/8 2365/13 2367/15
sampling [1] 2327/25
sarcastic [2] 2179/21 2342/6 sat [10] 2103/4 2103/6 2105/16 2107/20 2108/1 2108/2 2189/4 2226/13 2226/18 2233/13
satisfied [1] 2370/24
save [2] 2112/20 2147/24
saved [1] 2112/21
saving [1] 2154/9
saw [23] 2103/5 2138/8 2158/11 2173/11 2180/25 2185/16 2186/18 2205/8 2206/16 2229/5 2231/14 2231/16 2264/12 2289/16 2302/8 2304/17 2314/10 2315/2 2315/6 2319/11 2328/11 2329/2 2341/25 say [86] 2107/21 2111/3 2111/4 2114/6 2130/22 2140/8 2140/9 2140/19 2147/13 2147/18 2148/18 2149/4 2149/4 2152/18 2153/14 2154/23 2158/8 2161/8 2162/22 2163/21 2163/21 2165/10 2165/22 2166/19 2178/24 2179/6 2180/24 2181/3 2183/14 2184/14 2185/5 2189/13 2190/14 2191/4 2197/22 2199/3 2206/9 2211/3 2216/2 2216/17 2217/13 2228/3 2232/8 2232/23 2245/10 2263/24 2264/12 2266/15 2268/23 2269/17 2272/5 2275/19 2281/9 2282/13 2283/1 2283/15 2284/22 2286/5 2288/19 2289/14 2290/12 2291/7 2297/15 2297/15 2303/11 2306/3 2306/18 2311/6 2312/8 2319/21 2320/25 2321/9 2323/18 2325/10 2325/22 2328/9 2337/2 2338/21 2342/21 2346/13 2349/4 2349/18 2359/9 2359/24 2361/16 2367/21
saying [34] 2102/2 2105/24 2129/19 2150/9 2166/3 2182/10 2183/25 2187/2 2190/3 2191/15 2200/19 2206/9 2214/16 2226/6 2229/2 2236/1 2256/5 2258/19 2269/8 2275/19

2277/13 2282/20 2282/21 2282/22 2284/4 2284/20 2291/25 2293/25 2295/17 2302/2 2320/18 2328/22 2333/9 2359/21
says [47] 2103/2 2103/22 2107/13 2107/20 2114/9 2119/15 2121/24 2124/4 2127/4 2139/20 2139/22 2146/16 2156/22 2164/21 2165/18 2166/7 2166/7 2183/1 2186/2 2194/2 2195/12 2195/22 2199/16 2203/3 2203/22 2206/19 2207/1 2207/2 2210/10 2214/7 2217/23 2218/12 2220/5 2226/21 2226/22 2241/1 2251/22 2281/20 2286/20 2286/20 2286/23 2311/23 2320/7 2325/24 2337/15 2347/17 2369/9
SBRA [1] 2170/13
scenarios [1] 2174/17
scene [1] 2212/25
school [1] 2342/25
scope [5] 2232/15 2249/15 2266/18 2274/9 2279/5
SCOTT [2] 2098/8 2327/20
scream [2] 2150/25 2151/13
screaming [5] 2150/9 2150/17 2155/10 2155/12 2155/14
screen [23] 2119/21 2119/25 2120/6 2120/15 2121/24 2122/15 2123/7 2240/18 2245/9 2257/14 2261/5 2280/9 2280/11 2280/17 2281/25 2286/21 2286/22 2304/19 2310/21 2351/11 2351/13 2360/14 2360/17 screens [3] 2119/23 2120/2 2120/4 Sean [6] 2125/4 2125/5 2125/6 2125/15 2149/20 2170/5
Sean Brailey [1] 2149/20
search [3] 2198/5 2198/8 2198/9
searchable [1] 2174/21
searches [2] 2174/20 2174/23
seasoned [1] 2277/21
seat [1] 2190/1
seated [7] 2116/4 2116/23 2142/13
2142/13 2238/1 2238/16 2301/2
second [41] 2099/4 2103/22 2118/14 2122/9 2123/6 2151/13 2163/17 2193/18 2199/1 2202/2 2202/15 2210/21 2212/23 2220/12 2220/22 2226/14 2232/2 2242/8 2243/6 2255/19 2259/5 2270/12 2270/19 2271/5 2284/12 2284/25 2286/9 2289/24 2294/22 2308/21 2311/5 2342/24 2347/23 2351/21 2364/13 2367/25 2367/25 2368/2 2368/2 2368/4 2368/5
second-guess [1] 2199/1
second-to-last [3] 2212/23 2270/19 2271/5
seconds [1] 2354/10
secret [1] 2355/12
secure [1] 2322/10
security [1] 2209/25
see [94] 2103/21 2106/23 2112/22 2114/21 2114/22 2114/24 2120/8 2124/8 2127/2 2145/5 2148/4 2150/10 2150/11 2158/10 2164/18 2164/24 2174/3 2176/25 2177/21 2178/15 2197/2 2197/3 2197/5 2198/2 2198/17

2203/25 2206/11 2209/12 2209/14 2211/10 2212/18 2214/18 2221/14 2228/4 2230/22 2230/25 2231/3 2231/22 2236/9 2237/20 2243/3 2243/22 2247/18 2258/15 2263/25 2272/23 2276/21 2279/11 2280/13 2280/13 2280/18 2281/24 2281/24 2282/2 2283/13 2287/6 2287/12 2287/17 2287/20 2295/9 2295/9 2298/23 2298/24 2304/20 2310/25 2311/5 2315/3 2315/22 2319/14 2335/23 2335/24 2336/19 2337/23 2338/21 2340/22 2340/24 2343/11 2343/21 2344/15 2348/21 2356/5 2356/8 2359/23 2360/14 2360/14 2362/4 2362/11 2365/2 2367/13 2368/22 2368/25 2369/18 2370/8 2371/1
seeing [4] 2158/9 2169/1 2211/22 2317/18
seem [3] 2145/16 2198/1 2296/9 seemed [6] 2192/14 2192/16 2235/15 2235/17 2327/12 2339/12
seems [7] 2101/10 2167/3 2185/14 2207/14 2210/11 2293/14 2364/16
seen [10] 2110/14 2145/19 2179/20 2181/4 2185/17 2205/20 2231/9 2232/10 2292/2 2342/24
sees [1] 2206/14
segmented [1] 2346/18
SEIU [1] 2143/16
select [1] 2297/6
selected [1] 2178/11
selection [2] 2268/12 2306/15
selectively [1] 2109/6
self [1] 2247/20
self-reported [1] 2247/20
send [9] 2103/1 2104/22 2107/22 2283/3 2296/10 2299/20 2343/1 2343/9 2359/5
sending [5] 2115/19 2130/19 2179/7 2281/21 2282/20
sends [2] 2292/2 2292/18
sense [5] 2122/23 2123/1 2123/3 2154/18 2155/1
sent [35] 2103/3 2103/9 2104/17 2110/15 2113/16 2115/17 2115/18 2123/3 2130/2 2131/21 2131/22 2132/23 2152/24 2164/9 2164/12 2164/22 2164/23 2164/25 2165/18 2194/14 2215/12 2220/4 2223/6 2233/22 2252/6 2257/8 2262/1 2272/17 2274/19 2291/25 2292/13 2292/14 2301/11 2302/1 2303/11 sentence [7] 2107/24 2119/14 2122/11 2212/22 2253/4 2257/24 2270/14
separate [8] 2112/2 2123/2 2123/11 2141/16 2143/6 2154/18 2259/14 2277/3
separately [1] 2276/24
September [9] 2098/7 2125/13
2129/15 2130/8 2131/22 2132/8 2349/17 2350/6 2372/6
September 10 [1] 2130/8
September 2012 [1] 2349/17
September 21 [1] 2132/8

S
September 28 [1] 2125/13
sergeant [67] 2113/16 2139/2 2153/8 2171/15 2171/18 2171/19 2171/19 2180/7 2180/10 2181/15 2181/17 2181/25 2182/4 2182/7 2183/1 2192/4 2193/22 2194/5 2200/12 2202/16 2203/5 2211/9 2219/20 2220/4 2220/13 2220/23 2221/3 2221/6 2221/15 2221/18 2223/22 2224/14 2224/15 2225/7 2225/9 2226/15 2226/15 2226/22 2226/22 2227/11 2233/12 2234/14 2250/16 2273/11 2273/15 2273/17 2273/24 2305/7 2320/9 2320/10 2320/12 2321/5 2321/15 2323/10 2323/15 2323/18 2323/22 2323/23 2324/5 2324/7 2324/14 2328/7 2328/9 2328/12 2347/10 2353/18 2353/20
Sergeant Cameron [42] 2180/10 2181/15 2182/4 2182/7 2183/1 2193/22 2194/5 2202/16 2203/5 2211/9 2219/20 2220/4 2220/23 2221/3 2221/15 2221/18 2223/22 2224/14 2224/15 2225/7 2226/15 2226/15 2226/22 2227/11 2233/12 2234/14 2320/12 2321/5 2321/15 2323/10 2323/15 2323/18 2323/22 2323/23 2324/5 2324/7 2324/14 2328/9 2328/12 2347/10 2353/18 2353/20

\section*{Sergeant Cameron forwarded [1]} 2220/13
Sergeant Cameron's [1] 2221/6 sergeants [3] 2174/14 2175/7 2175/9 series [5] 2109/20 2195/4 2256/24 2302/24 2327/25
serious [1] 2249/20
serve [2] 2126/10 2144/12
served [2] 2143/22 \(2162 / 5\)
service [2] 2171/5 2252/11
Services [1] 2142/22
session [2] 2116/3 2120/12
set [13] 2132/20 2137/22 2137/25 2138/8 2138/11 2144/15 2147/21 2164/12 2165/19 2166/10 2179/7 2181/12 2370/25
setting [4] 2145/13 2166/14 2213/15 2252/6
setup [1] 2183/19
seven [4] 2159/23 2245/1 2345/19 2350/21
severable [1] 2359/22
several [7] 2180/20 2189/21 2217/24 2306/13 2330/3 2331/11 2338/20
severely [1] 2245/13
sexual [1] 2273/3
shanty [1] 2181/11
share [5] 2126/6 2141/10 2164/6 2190/24 2194/11
shared [8] 2125/25 2126/16 2127/14 2142/22 2190/19 2191/19 2195/22 2196/1
sharing [1] 2141/14 shave [6] 2194/1 2194/8 2202/17 2202/20 2202/24 2203/13
shaved [1] 2203/3
shaven [4] 2194/3 2194/3 2202/18 2202/19
she [101] 2113/9 2116/12 2121/25 2122/2 2123/9 2126/5 2141/12 2170/2 2186/21 2186/23 2187/10 2189/21 2189/22 2190/4 2190/15 2190/17 2191/1 2191/2 2191/3 2191/4 2191/10 2191/11 2191/14 2191/15 2195/10 2207/1 2207/1 2207/2 2207/7 2207/9 2207/12 2242/25 2250/25 2251/1 2251/21 2255/9 2278/19 2278/25 2279/1 2281/1 2281/12 2281/12 2281/19 2283/20 2283/20 2283/21 2283/24 2284/1 2284/16 2287/12 2287/15 2292/13 2293/3 2294/13 2294/13 2294/17 2294/18 2294/18 2300/3 2300/4 2300/4 2300/5 2300/6 2301/21 2301/22 2301/24 2302/1 2302/3 2302/7 2303/17 2303/18 2303/25 2303/25 2304/24 2316/18 2320/3 2320/3 2320/19 2320/19 2320/23 2320/23 2324/6 2325/24 2326/12 2326/13 2326/20 2326/22 2326/24 2326/25 2326/25 2326/25 2327/2 2327/5 2327/8 2327/9 2327/11 2328/12 2329/12 2342/19 2342/20 2368/22
she's [11] 2243/9 2292/14 2295/23 2300/2 2300/6 2300/11 2320/3 2320/5 2320/18 2326/13 2347/19
sheets [1] 2361/16
sheriff [1] 2170/15
sheriff's [3] 2170/14 2170/22 2171/9
shift [18] 2175/12 2180/8 2188/8
2188/12 2188/13 2189/2 2189/5 2192/1 2192/2 2192/3 2193/10 2252/13 2351/23 2352/8 2352/11 2352/16 2353/10 2364/19
shock [1] 2185/3
shocked [1] 2329/12
shoot [1] 2341/13
shooting [1] 2171/21
short [1] 2177/2
shortly [6] 2186/19 2204/2 2254/19 2307/8 2309/15 2309/15
shot [4] 2167/12 2167/15 2235/24 2341/14
should [64] 2105/23 2106/5 2106/6 2112/24 2113/20 2120/14 2120/15 2122/15 2128/5 2133/3 2136/14 2145/6 2151/11 2153/21 2154/20 2154/21 2161/9 2161/14 2165/11 2166/23 2176/15 2208/4 2208/8 2212/24 2216/2 2221/16 2225/17 2227/6 2227/12 2235/9 2242/12 2249/11 2249/17 2249/23 2250/3 2253/24 2254/5 2254/11 2254/14 2255/21 2256/2 2256/5 2256/7 2258/18 2259/2 2259/20 2259/25 2263/20 2272/22 2289/17 2293/25 2295/1 2299/22 2311/7 2314/12 2325/5 2342/1 2342/3 2349/4 2349/10 2359/25 2366/8 2366/9 2366/10
shouldn't [2] 2161/7 2292/24
shouted [1] 2226/12
shouts [1] 2182/15
show [28] 2106/8 2106/9 2111/20

2113/7 2115/12 2115/13 2123/8 2130/23 2134/25 2164/18 2208/13 2216/8 2231/8 2246/12 2255/22 2270/8 2276/15 2277/18 2280/18 2281/3 2281/11 2281/13 2281/15 2288/17 2288/22 2297/6 2308/20 2327/24
showed [11] 2106/10 2110/9 2110/14 2165/21 2243/20 2244/4 2244/8 2305/21 2307/6 2338/18 2350/1
showing [4] 2119/21 2297/15 2310/21 2318/18
shown [2] 2245/19 2360/18 shows [2] 2115/11 2289/25
shut [1] 2334/7
side [29] 2114/17 2176/21 2178/15 2182/15 2239/16 2262/13 2262/13 2262/14 2262/19 2265/15 2292/10 2294/8 2296/24 2297/22 2299/2 2299/2 2299/24 2306/16 2315/7 2315/15 2322/11 2323/11 2327/6 2328/23 2328/24 2339/6 2339/6 2341/23 2342/19
sidebar [1] 2279/9
sides [6] 2110/8 2147/14 2226/12 2286/16 2297/20 2300/9
sideways [2] 2315/16 2369/19
sign [3] 2335/17 2340/8 2340/13
signals [1] 2240/14
signature [4] 2372/11 2372/11
2372/12 2372/15
signed [5] 2138/23 2201/15 2224/17 2267/15 2372/12
significant [5] 2184/6 2184/8 2202/4 2272/7 2272/14
signing [1] 2372/8
signs [1] 2219/6
silent [3] 2226/13 2282/4 2287/8
silently [1] 2226/18
silver [1] 2333/17
similar [4] 2154/20 2199/7 2213/20 2258/13
simple [1] 2290/22
simply [6] 2109/22 2278/16 2288/17 2291/3 2291/4 2367/17
since [10] 2132/4 2161/15 2169/22 2172/9 2178/8 2183/24 2185/16 2200/12 2295/21 2318/9
sincere [3] 2122/7 2137/18 2137/20 sinking [1] 2190/3
sir [66] 2142/6 2169/9 2238/10 2253/13 2253/19 2253/22 2254/6 2254/16 2254/25 2255/3 2255/25 2256/3 2256/9 2257/14 2258/19 2260/12 2260/14 2261/25 2262/9 2262/20 2264/10 2265/6 2265/8 2265/10 2265/18 2265/25 2266/7 2266/25 2267/3 2267/8 2268/7 2268/9 2268/13 2268/15 2268/24 2269/4 2269/7 2269/19 2270/4 2270/8 2270/15 2273/4 2273/7 2273/12 2273/19 2274/9 2274/11 2274/23 2275/3 2276/4 2276/5 2277/17 2278/3 2278/19 2279/19 2301/17 2302/22 2303/4 2303/15 2304/4 2310/12 2311/2 2311/9 2311/22 2312/5 2312/14
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline S & 2336/24 2337/12 2344/14 2344/15 & special [5] 2346/16 2358/9 2358/9 \\
\hline siren [5] 2333/21 2334/9 2334/15 & somebody [20] 2114/9 2133/6 & specific [18] 2154/22 2157/18 21 \\
\hline 2334/16 2334/20 & \[
2180 / 212181 / 42183 / 10 \text { 2189/13 }
\] & \[
2190 / 232213 / 42213 / 82218 / 16
\] \\
\hline sirens [4] 2333/18
\[
2334 / 11
\] & 2189/13 2189/23 2197/20 2203/23 & 2232/22 2233/2 2253/25 2254 \\
\hline  & 2206/16 2207/20 2237/14 2255/20 & 2255/24 2273/10 2305/13 2305/1 \\
\hline [72/15 2292/8 2342/18 2366/20 & 2255/23 2284/3 2315/17 2340/14 & 2350/8 2351/2 2361/2 \\
\hline siting [1] 2182/5 & 2341/18 2366/15 & specifically [12] 2102/2 2103/2 \\
\hline sitting [15] 2181/1 2181/6 2181/24 & somehow [4] 2292/15 2294/15 & 2188/21 2226/5 2307/1 2307/16 \\
\hline 2182/4 2182/7 2183/20 2184/11 & \[
2354 / 102354 / 12
\] & \[
2308 / 1 \text { 2343/21 }
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2184 / 12 \text { 2184/15 2224/14 2224/15 } \\
& 2226 / 5 \text { 2229/4 } 2301 / 232341 / 18
\end{aligned}
\] & someone [33] 2128/22 2129/8 & specificity [9] 2344/10 2345/18 \\
\hline situation [6] 21 & 2129/12 2129/19 2141/14 2174 & 2349/5 2349/20 \\
\hline 2292/21 2325/22 2339/1 & 2177/3 2184/9 2184/13 2196/13 & 2362/25 2363/1 2366/9 \\
\hline situations [1] 2247/19 & 2201/22 2202/14 2210/20 2213/8 & specifics [3] 2177/8 2177/1 \\
\hline six [10] 2122/24 2124/11 2148/6 & 2214/4 2242/14 2246/6 2247/3 22 & speech [19] 2343/20 23 \\
\hline 2159/22 2200/13 2332/8 2349/18 & 2247/12 2255/14 2258/10 2258/1 & 2344/13 234 \\
\hline 2350/14 2357/2 2357/4 & 2316/10 2317/23 2332/12 2333/23 & 2346/20 2347/10 2347/19 2348/12 \\
\hline six-month [2] 2122/24 2124/11 & 2338/21 2341/2 2341/5 2341/13 & 2349/3 2349/6 23 \\
\hline skiing [2] 2216/20 2354/9 & 2353/9 2354/ & 2357/16 2357/18 2358/4 23 \\
\hline skills [1] 2266/20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
someone's [2] \\
something [53]
\end{tabular} & speeches [5] \(2347 / 72347 / 92351\)
\[
2361 / 32368 / 24
\] \\
\hline skin [2] 2194/4 2202/23 & \[
2115 / 52132 / 242134 / 122134 / 12
\] &  \\
\hline skip [1] 2265/1 & 2147/13 2166/12 2172/23 2177/5 & speeds [1] 2334 \\
\hline hed [1] 2191/ & 2177/14 2177/15 2178/25 2179/18 & spell [3] 2116/25 2142/15 2238/19 \\
\hline & 2181/22 2189/16 2190/22 2194 & spelunking [1] 2216/22 \\
\hline & 2202/4 2213/20 2220/23 2235/18 & Spencer [9] 2219/15 2219/18 2220 \\
\hline ng [1] 233 & 2236/2 2245/16 2245/18 2249/2 & 2220/24 2221/4 2222/13 2223/21 \\
\hline smallest [1] 2291/8 & 2266/14 2272/7 2275/1 2275/4 & 2230/13 2237 \\
\hline smart [1] 2301/ & 2285/15 2287/2 2287/24 2288/7 & spend [1] 2148 \\
\hline smashed [1] 2191/7 & 2289/17 2291/25 2295/10 2298/1 & spending [1] 2216/1 \\
\hline smiles [1] 2191/12 & 2300/7 2302/2 2316/15 2319/22 & spent [2] 2151/20 2162 \\
\hline Smith [32] 2125/16 2125/23 2126/1 & 2325/23 2326/1 2326/21 2327/1 & spinoff [3] 2239/9 2241/9 2249 \\
\hline 2126/16 2126/20 2127/5 2127/8 & 2327/12 2328/22 2337/16 2349/9 & ted \\
\hline 2127/18 2129/7 2134/14 2134/15 & 2353/12 2368/ & spoke [15] 2132/20 \\
\hline 2134/19 2138/4 2138/20 2138/25 & sometime [2] 2313 & 203/15 22 \\
\hline 2139/4 2139/6 2139/22 2349/18 & sometimes [9] 2178/22 2183/2 & 2291/23 2301/20 2302/9 2307/1 \\
\hline 2350/11 2350/16 2354/4 2362/13 & 2183/20 2185/3 2188/11 2188/15 & 2363/17 2364/13 \\
\hline 2362/14 2362/18 2363/20 2364/5 & 22 & spoken [3] 2221/8 2293/11 2339/19 \\
\hline 2365/9 2367/15 2369/5 2369/10 & somewhere [4] 2178/7 2192/25 & spot [2] 2186/2 2343/4 \\
\hline 2369/14 & 22 & spouse [2] 2184/6 2184 \\
\hline snipers [1] 2235/24 & soon [3] 2163/6 2235/10 2 &  \\
\hline so [360] & \[
2143 / 22152 / 212156 / 192157 / 17
\] & \\
\hline So this [1] 2350/14 & 2158/24 2166/17 2172/15 2174/6 & square [4] 2315/7 2315/14 2315 \\
\hline sole [1] 2293/7 & 2190/11 2193/16 2209/13 2244/12 & \[
2341 / 8
\] \\
\hline some [99] 2104/13 2108/18 2109/4 & 2252/18 2257/3 2261/8 2261/20 & tack [1] 2148/5 \\
\hline 2109/18 2111/3 2111/4 2119/7 2125/3 & 2265/6 2274/6 2278/21 2281/5 & staff [9] 2115/18 2125/6 2130/ \\
\hline 2127/10 2128/9 2128/13 2135/6 & 22921/8 2303/22 2298/10 & \[
2134 / 112223 / 22
\] \\
\hline 2144/2 2144/21 2145/2 2145/9 & \[
2322 / 17 \text { 2322/20 2324/2 2345/19 }
\] & 2366/21 2366/22 \\
\hline 2151/24 2155/9 2158/2 2159/2 2159/5 & 2350/11 2353/19 2358/13 & \\
\hline 2159/8 2161/24 2171/3 2171/25 & \[
2363 / 24 \text { 2365/5 2365/9 }
\] & stages [1] 2148/4 \\
\hline 2179/13 2184/6 2184/20 2185/21 & sort [31] 2129/11 2143/22 2144/11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { stages [1] } 2148 / 4 \\
& \text { stalked [1] } 2326 / 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 2190/11 2190/11 2191/4 2192/18 & 2145/13 2147/1 2147/12 2147/20 & stamped [1] 2332/23 \\
\hline 2192/25 2193/12 2194/11 2194/11 & &  \\
\hline 2194/14 2196/4 2196/4 2196/5 2196/9 & 2148/4 2152 & ps [1] 23 \\
\hline 2198/2 2198/18 2199/15 2199/22 & 62/10 2162/15 2165/10 2165/11 & 2108/3 2111/2 2113/25 2116/12 \\
\hline 2200/22 2200/24 2202/2 2204/3 & 2165/12 2166/14 2166/17 2166/18 & \[
2116 / 232120 /
\] \\
\hline 2206/18 2206/20 2206/20 2208/13 & 2177/5 2177/10 2219/7 2223/2 229 & \[
2177 / 9 \text { 2180/2 }
\] \\
\hline 2208/14 2218/4 2218/16 2221/11 & 2294/12 2296/24 & \[
2232 / 1 \text { 2238/9 } 2268
\] \\
\hline 2222/9 2223/7 2223/7 2236/12 & sorts [1] 2296/25 & 2296/3 2298/18 2299/4 2300/2 23 \\
\hline 2236/14 2241/21 2248/16 2248/16 & sound [2] 2184/1 2324/25 & 2300/23 2301/1 2310/10 2312/16 \\
\hline 2250/25 2251/25 2253/25 2256/20 & sounded [3] 2334/19 2336/15 2369/13 & 2312/21 2312/25 2315/14 2315/15 \\
\hline 2257/1 2260/12 2265/5 2265/7 2266/3 2272/21 2281/16 2284/1 2288/3 & sounds [5] 2211/15 2221/13 2293/21 & \[
2315 / 202342 / 7
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
2272/21 2281/16 2284/1 2288/3 \\
2290/12 2292/5 2293/22 2294/12
\end{tabular} & 2321/18 2325/2 & standard [4] 2289/25 2290/8 2357/12 \\
\hline 2301/8 2313/22 2316/2 2325/22 2329/23 2330/6 2331/7 2333/12 & Southwest [2] 2367/25 2368/2 speak [3] 2158/24 2193/12 2211/6 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
2357 / 15 \\
\text { standards [7] 2202/13 2262/18 2282/5 }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


S
supervised [2] 2182/22 2192/2
supervision [1] 2339/16
supervisor [6] 2173/23 2174/11 2174/13 2234/15 2269/8 2289/4
supervisor's [1] 2239/23
supervisors [8] 2174/1 2227/2
2239/16 2264/7 2265/15 2269/12 2278/4 2355/2
supervisory [1] 2174/10 support [6] 2130/4 2217/7 2310/25 2311/2 2357/14 2357/18
supported [1] 2157/21 supporting [3] 2259/7 2270/16 2311/11
supportive [2] 2223/8 2235/1 supposed [14] 2144/16 2163/10 2315/15 2318/8 2319/17 2325/11 2325/13 2325/18 2326/7 2334/4 2334/7 2334/16 2342/7 2342/8 sure [44] 2101/10 2101/13 2122/19 2122/20 2122/22 2131/4 2143/22 2161/17 2161/22 2162/8 2162/9 2165/8 2175/11 2175/16 2176/16 2177/16 2177/17 2182/3 2198/9 2198/10 2257/11 2266/22 2269/19 2272/2 2273/9 2275/8 2279/11 2279/15 2279/21 2280/5 2298/1 2301/22 2301/22 2304/4 2304/5 2305/3 2305/3 2305/6 2316/17 2348/16 2352/4 2353/6 2354/1 2354/3
surprise [1] 2185/18
surprised [2] 2134/6 2134/7
suspect [1] 2137/21
suspected [1] 2274/23
suspects [1] 2267/1
suspended [1] 2133/11
suspension [1] 2119/17
suspicion [2] 2247/10 2284/1
suspicious [1] 2210/1
sustain [2] 2278/14 2359/18
sustained [6] 2141/1 2239/12 2274/1 2274/4 2274/5 2346/20
SW [2] 2099/4 2099/21
SWAT [1] 2171/14
swing [1] 2192/3
switch [2] 2318/20 2318/21
swore [1] 2170/20
sworn [7] 2117/9 2142/11 2169/7
2169/10 2170/17 2238/14 2313/5
synopsis [2] 2331/7 2331/9 system [11] 2106/4 2112/19 2143/1 2143/3 2143/4 2143/11 2146/3 2149/21 2243/18 2244/4 2325/14

T
table [4] 2137/4 2140/23 2290/13 \(2357 / 8\)
tactical [3] 2171/13 2176/21 2249/5 tactical-wise [1] 2249/5
tactically [1] 2249/2
tactics [2] 2177/5 2177/9
\(\boldsymbol{t a g}\) [1] 2213/8
tags [1] 2242/8
take [46] 2106/2 2119/24 2131/18 2135/6 2135/12 2151/9 2152/25 2154/15 2161/19 2162/23 2164/24

2165/4 2169/9 2177/2 2185/25 2187/20 2195/23 2199/15 2199/16 2214/22 2214/23 2219/1 2219/9 2245/8 2249/18 2249/19 2270/25 2271/23 2273/8 2279/8 2284/21 2286/12 2289/18 2289/19 2290/12 2291/10 2294/22 2297/16 2307/8 2314/20 2323/18 2331/2 2344/10 2345/8 2347/6 2349/3
taken [14] 2108/16 2120/10 2144/8 2166/14 2174/14 2187/21 2237/24 2291/14 2300/13 2302/10 2343/15 2345/14 2360/11 2372/9
takes [2] 2293/2 2369/1
taking [9] 2145/9 2157/19 2186/2 2210/12 2282/4 2287/5 2287/9 2290/25 2369/2
talk [43] 2108/15 2111/24 2112/6 2112/11 2125/23 2155/14 2168/5 2168/5 2176/20 2177/10 2178/1 2178/6 2178/15 2183/17 2188/18 2188/25 2193/21 2198/25 2200/16 2204/9 2204/21 2205/17 2210/22 2216/23 2221/18 2221/21 2222/9 2223/11 2226/19 2227/25 2229/13 2236/17 2237/2 2245/21 2247/6 2247/12 2250/22 2277/25 2278/1 2286/12 2300/18 2315/17 2321/10 talked [28] 2101/24 2102/4 2108/25 2109/8 2119/16 2126/13 2126/22 2133/2 2177/14 2180/7 2183/15 2186/25 2188/10 2191/1 2192/14 2192/23 2202/16 2205/13 2205/13 2207/10 2216/20 2216/21 2228/12 2233/13 2237/3 2239/8 2316/14 2320/18
talking [38] 2101/25 2111/10 2111/15 2126/20 2150/5 2151/5 2159/19 2180/5 2184/2 2186/8 2187/6 2193/23 2197/2 2197/8 2199/2 2199/7 2199/9 2205/25 2206/1 2207/1 2208/9 2225/17 2227/7 2235/9 2248/22 2278/4 2285/3 2285/9 2292/3 2319/9 2321/4 2321/18 2322/1 2324/23 2333/7 2348/10 2349/1 2353/9
tape [1] 2326/5
tapes [3] 2126/7 2129/10 2343/2 target [2] 2186/5 2341/6
Taser [8] 2347/6 2347/9 2347/19 2349/3 2349/9 2351/17 2361/3 2368/24
Tasers [3] 2347/13 2347/15 2363/18 taught [3] 2177/6 2240/5 2240/9 teach [2] 2199/10 2240/12
team [5] 2172/2 2174/19 2177/18 2183/22 2184/3
team's [1] 2292/10
team-building [1] 2183/22
tech [1] 2131/17
telephone [2] 2281/6 2370/22
tell [76] 2101/8 2102/10 2117/22 2117/24 2129/8 2139/22 2143/20 2147/16 2147/20 2148/19 2153/4 2157/1 2158/3 2163/7 2165/1 2165/6 2166/25 2169/17 2173/17 2173/21 2180/6 2180/19 2181/22 2182/11 2185/8 2189/20 2190/18 2191/3

2192/21 2196/1 2196/9 2197/10 2197/12 2197/13 2200/5 2203/20 2208/16 2209/3 2211/23 2214/5 2216/5 2220/3 2223/13 2225/6 2228/15 2231/11 2234/13 2242/22 2247/24 2251/3 2255/14 2260/8 2260/25 2267/15 2300/18 2310/24 2313/11 2314/25 2316/6 2321/11 2323/15 2326/12 2327/17 2328/2 2328/7 2331/2 2331/11 2331/13 2335/13 2337/14 2340/17 2342/19 2342/22 2345/6 2345/22 2345/25
telling [9] 2122/4 2122/7 2140/18 2212/16 2289/5 2316/10 2353/9 2354/10 2362/5
tells [1] 2206/15
Ten [2] 2345/12 2345/13
tend [1] 2361/9
tendency [1] 2216/7
tent [1] 2181/12
tentatively [2] 2291/9 2362/5
term [1] 2263/10
terminate [4] 2119/12 2132/25 2156/23 2250/19
terminated [13] 2128/23 2130/12 2133/7 2133/13 2134/8 2138/1 2214/13 2254/2 2302/7 2303/25 2304/1 2305/4 2348/14
terminating [2] 2115/18 2306/21 termination [28] 2133/4 2133/12 2133/20 2134/22 2136/22 2136/23 2139/10 2146/9 2146/11 2146/12 2146/24 2146/25 2147/6 2147/8 2147/17 2153/22 2154/5 2154/5 2154/7 2155/15 2156/3 2157/5 2157/5 2159/25 2165/20 2290/17 2348/1 2361/7
terminations [3] 2136/19 2146/19 2146/22
terms [8] 2137/1 2157/15 2157/18 2157/18 2241/11 2241/17 2295/19 2359/15
testified [27] 2102/6 2102/20 2106/10 2117/9 2133/6 2136/13 2136/21 2142/11 2169/7 2189/7 2189/21 2216/11 2238/14 2250/25 2263/2 2263/21 2267/20 2267/24 2281/19 2283/19 2293/17 2313/5 2324/16 2352/9 2352/14 2354/2 2354/3 testifies [2] 2293/23 2300/5 testify [8] 2115/10 2147/20 2148/14 2186/23 2245/13 2283/24 2298/12 2323/21
testifying [4] 2110/18 2114/25 2149/1 2324/5
testimony [19] 2103/19 2105/1 2105/12 2109/23 2109/24 2110/10 2148/2 2151/9 2155/9 2158/2 2187/1 2199/22 2236/12 2278/3 2324/1 2352/7 2355/7 2355/14 2356/17 text [36] 2278/21 2278/25 2281/6 2281/6 2281/6 2281/7 2281/8 2281/21 2282/7 2282/20 2286/24 2287/11 2287/16 2288/3 2288/15 2288/21 2291/24 2291/25 2292/14 2296/8 2296/11 2297/2 2297/4 2297/6 2297/14 2297/16 2299/14 2299/21
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & th & 2217/10 2220/22 2221/2 2221/19 \\
\hline text... [8] 2301/10 2301/18 2301/21 & themselves [2] 2238/2 2296/6 & 2228/7 2230/13 2241/22 2242/16 \\
\hline 2302/1 2302/12 2302/24 2303/12 & then [130] 2102/15 2102/25 2103 & 2243/5 2244/5 2249/4 2249/7 225 \\
\hline 2304/8 & 2105/24 2109/4 2114/5 2114/23 & 2260/18 2263/9 2276/21 2286/14 \\
\hline 2304/8 & 2119/20 2122/25 2123/18 2126/15 & 2297/4 2309/10 2310/3 2321/19 \\
\hline & 2132/25 2146/21 2146/21 2147/6 & 2330/6 2331/14 2331/18 2332/3 \\
\hline texted [6] 2282/5 2286/25 2288/16
\[
2289 / 15 \text { 2301/25 2303/21 }
\] & 2149/16 2150/25 2151/4 2151/10 & 2332/15 2334/21 2342/1 2342/13 \\
\hline & 2152/6 2152/9 2152/11 2153/1 2154/4 & 2342/14 2347/21 2352/8 2354/14 \\
\hline texts [8] 2278/21 2292/13 2297/24 & 2155/6 2157/6 2159/8 2162/15 & 2354/25 2355/8 2355/11 2355/12 \\
\hline 2301/14 2301/15 2302/25 2304/16 & 2166/20 2166/21 2171/1 2171/6 & 2357/7 2357/17 2358/20 2359/9 \\
\hline 2304/22 & 2172/21 2174/5 2182/7 2182/13 & 2359/13 2359/23 2362/11 2363/16 \\
\hline than [28] 2132/17 2146/19 2174/14 & 2182/23 2183/3 2184/14 2184/22 & 2365/25 2367/19 \\
\hline 2176/10 2192/14 2210/12 \(2217 / 5\) & 2191/14 2191/16 2197/6 2198/1 & they [152] \\
\hline 2240/8 2244/11 2244/24 2245/4 & 2198/4 2198/7 2199/1 2200/2 2204/10 & they'll [2] 2184/14 2370/3 \\
\hline 2245/17 2264/8 2269/4 2269/5 2269/6 & 2204/21 2205/3 2205/7 2205/22 & they're [24] 2110/18 2112/2 2112/ \\
\hline 2269/16 2273/24 2274/15 2284/19 & 2205/23 2205/23 2206/6 2206/10 & 2166/17 2177/1 2185/4 2219/5 2224/4 \\
\hline 2304/17 2319/16 2340/12 2349/16 & 2206/17 2206/17 2206/20 2206/23 & 2238/3 2259/21 2289/1 2290/5 \\
\hline 2353/17 2359/9 2361/25 2364/10 & 2209/1 2213/7 2213/22 2214/5 2214/7 & 2292/16 2298/7 2298/24 2315/19 \\
\hline thank [61] 2101/13 2112/22 2113 & 2220/8 2224/7 2224/16 2226/3 & 2320/4 2321/18 2334/6 2338/25 \\
\hline 2116/4 2116/11 2116/11 2116/17 & 2227/14 2229/12 2232/2 2232/7 & 2355/2 2358/14 2358/17 2365/23 \\
\hline 2116/24 2117/14 2120/14 2123/20 & 2232/10 2232/24 2234/19 2238/3 & they've [1] 2108/6 \\
\hline 2124/21 2127/21 2135/14 2140/11 & 2240/17 2241/8 2244/9 2244/12 & thick [1] 2148/6 \\
\hline 2142/1 2142/3 2143/4 2158/12 & 2244/20 2247/11 2248/13 2248/15 & thing [34] 2133/19 2175/7 2175/8 \\
\hline 2168/14 2169/9 2169/13 2187/25 & 2265/14 2269/10 2278/6 2283/12 & 2176/7 2177/10 2179/10 2183/7 \\
\hline 2201/11 2215/8 2219/24 2222/18 & 2289/19 2293/17 2294/14 2294/15 & 2183/22 2184/4 2210/2 2213/7 \\
\hline 2229/16 2234/8 2238/10 2238/16 & 2295/21 2296/5 2298/18 2300/1 & 2216/25 2219/7 2219/8 2257/10 \\
\hline 2238/17 2238/22 2238/24 2239/6 & 2309/18 2315/16 2316/14 2317/6 & 2262/6 2284/12 2284/23 2287/17 \\
\hline 2251/5 2253/13 2260/10 2260/14 & 2318/16 2325/24 2332/10 2332/12 & 2291/8 2292/2 2292/14 2311/5 2311/7 \\
\hline 2271/7 2279/19 2280/3 2285/18 & 2333/1 2336/10 2337/19 2339/6 & 2315/8 2318/20 2325/21 2334/3 \\
\hline 2291/15 2291/19 2292/12 2299/11 & 2339/11 2339/24 2341/7 2344/7 & 2338/20 2342/22 2349/25 2358/4 \\
\hline 2301/2 2301/3 2302/19 2304/10 & 2344/25 2345/3 2345/4 2346/6 2347/9 & 2362/12 2370/10 \\
\hline 2305/24 2308/25 2309/23 2310/12 & 2349/7 2349/16 2349/20 2352/23 & things [52] 2103/5 2106/24 2113/10 \\
\hline 2312/9 2312/14 2313/10 2319/7 & 2357/24 2362/22 2365/3 2367/14 & 2113/13 2119/12 2125/24 2125/25 \\
\hline 2330/25 2332/22 & 2368/25 2369/12 2370/24 & 2128/4 2129/3 2129/9 2132/4 2134/6 \\
\hline that [1419] & there [251] & 2141/11 2178/21 2181/13 2184/1 \\
\hline & there's [77] 2103/23 2107/11 2107/13 & 2184/11 2184/14 2184/22 2185/5 \\
\hline & 2108/5 2109/18 2110/10 2113/4 & 2185/18 2186/8 2189/21 2190/23 \\
\hline their [34] 2110/20 2111/3 2129/24 & 2113/12 2114/19 2145/6 2146/20 & 2193/6 2193/21 2198/18 2199/7 \\
\hline 2145/12 2147/20 2159/5 2162/19 & 2149/3 214 & \\
\hline 2174/22 2175/25 2176/21 2177/1 & 2149/4 2154/18 \(2155 / 52\) &  \\
\hline 2184/2 2185/1 2193/9 2228/6 2228/8 & 2158/2 2160/6 2160/6 2166/10 2176/4 & 2210/6 2211/23 2213/25 2214/7 \\
\hline 2228/9 2241/13 2269/13 2269/14 & 2180/20 2185/18 2186/22 2188/14 & 2216/23 2241/21 2289/22 2293/1 \\
\hline 2272/13 2287/16 2308/18 2315/19 & 2191/7 2198/10 2198/13 2199/22 & 2294/25 2295/21 2295/24 2296/25 \\
\hline 2325/20 2333/25 2334/20 2337/24 & 2202/1 2204/3 2206/9 2217/20 & 2297/21 2302/7 2315/5 2315/9 \\
\hline 2337/24 2338/21 2338/21 2338/23 & 2223/18 2231/24 2235/12 2236/12 & 2315/10 2354/14 2368/22 2369/3 \\
\hline 2341/5 2345/4 & 2247/13 2250/22 2271/13 2275/20 & think [109] 2105/6 2106/4 2106/14 \\
\hline them [84] 2103/6 2109/24 2112/20 & 2281/4 2281/15 2289/7 2290/24 & 2107/11 2107/13 2107/18 2108/4 \\
\hline \[
2112 / 212131 / 152140 / 92145 / 16
\] & 2292/1 2292/23 2297/20 2297/21 & 2108/5 2108/23 2110/20 2113/13 \\
\hline 2146/18 2148/7 2148/10 2148/11 & 2299/5 2306/13 2306/21 2308/10 & 2116/12 2122/1 2123/1 2135/24 \\
\hline 2148/22 2148/25 2149/1 2149/23 & 2317/21 2318/7 2318/9 2325/21 & 2138/3 2150/19 2153/14 2153/24 \\
\hline & 2332/3 2346/4 2348/4 2349/4 2349/15 & 2165/7 2170/5 2170/25 2172/12 \\
\hline & 2349/16 2350/18 2351/18 2352/7 & 2172/21 2178/11 2178/12 2181/14 \\
\hline & 2352/18 2353/15 2354/23 2355/6 & 2181/24 2183/10 2188/4 2189/20 \\
\hline & 2355/13 2356/16 2356/25 2357/13 & 2192/10 2196/12 2215/16 2222/9 \\
\hline & 2358/16 2368/15 2370/9 & 2223/22 2227/18 2231/20 2232/13 \\
\hline & there, [1] 2331/20 & 2233/2 2233/3 2234/18 2239/11 \\
\hline 2198/6 2200/16 2206/24 2209/9 & there, do [1] 2331/20 & 2239/13 2247/24 2248/15 2250/3 \\
\hline 2198/6 2200/16 2206/24 2209/9 & thereafter [1] 2351/1 & 2253/20 2254/20 2255/5 2256/5 \\
\hline & therefore [4] 2113/13 2114/14 2295/4 & 2256/7 2262/2 2263/17 2264/10 \\
\hline & 2369/23 & 2267/20 2270/24 2275/23 2276/16 \\
\hline & these [79] 2113/10 2113/13 2123/11 & 2279/14 2283/10 2284/20 2285/20 \\
\hline 53/1 2262/12 2269/25 2273/22 & 2126/2 2144/13 2144/16 2145/10 & 2287/14 2287/22 2291/6 2291/9 \\
\hline & 2145/16 2148/2 2149/23 2149/24 & 2291/16 2292/23 2294/4 2295/9 \\
\hline & 2150/17 2153/1 2154/17 2156/22 & 2295/15 2296/11 2299/18 2299/25 \\
\hline 2309/6 2309/11 2314/20 2318/20 & 2157/11 2157/12 2157/24 2160/19 & 2299/25 2300/8 2300/10 2304/4 \\
\hline 2329/22 2332/9 2332/10 2332/14 & 2161/11 2164/7 2168/6 2177/5 2177/5 & 2332/3 2344/9 2345/8 2346/24 \\
\hline 2333/15 2337/7 2347/5 2361/1 & 2200/11 2200/14 2209/6 2209/18 & 2351/18 2353/2 2353/9 2353/15 \\
\hline 2365/23 & 2212/6 2213/13 2214/13 2214/24 & 2354/17 2355/13 2355/17 2356/25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & 2357/18 2361/11 2364/4 2365/1 & \\
\hline 2357/9 2357/11 & & \\
\hline 2357/15 2358/3 2359/18 2359/19 & three-day [1] 2356/ & 2224/4 2227/10 2241/6 22 \\
\hline 2361/9 2361/10 2361/14 2362/6 & threw [3] 2226/11 2242/10 2352/2 & 2277/12 2285/5 \\
\hline 2362/8 2363/19 2364/18 2368/17 & through [58] 2105/19 2106/20 210 & 230 \\
\hline 2369/11 2369/14 2369/15 & 2107/10 2114/2 2123/17 2128/3 & 2323/21 2324/6 2326/13 2326/24 \\
\hline thinking [3] 2214/2 2260/3 2271/19 & 2133/15 2136/18 2146/20 2148/1 & 2327/1 2328/7 2328/12 2329/16 \\
\hline thinks [2] 2111/21 2296/17 & 2148/21 2149/1 2149/17 215 & 2342/20 \\
\hline third [5] 2099/21 2202/2 2336/10 & &  \\
\hline 2338/17 2364/12 & 2201/17 2202/9 2219/6 2229/12 & ton [1] 2355/13 \\
\hline & 2272/6 2286/12 2290/5 2296/1 & tone [2] 2163/19 2182/ \\
\hline 2310/6 & 2296/25 2298/17 2306/15 2317/12 & tonight [8] 2204/19 2365/25 \\
\hline those [65] 2104/16 2106/20 2109/5 & 2317/13 2321/11 2329/9 2333/1 & 2366/13 2366/17 2366/21 2367/8 \\
\hline 2109/18 2114/8 2125/1 2125/3 2129 & 2334/10 2335/18 2335/25 2337/12 & 2368/16 \\
\hline 2135/12 2140/19 2141/17 2145/12 & 2339/24 2339/25 2340/3 2340/8 & tons [3] \\
\hline 2148/8 2161/4 2170/5 2172/4 2192/21 & 0/13 2344/15 2346/3 2347/21 & too [21] 2175/9 2176/4 2178/ \\
\hline 2203/5 2209/15 2215/1 2215/24 & 2347/25 2348/5 2359/19 2362/ & 2198/24 2212/1 2234/19 2243 \\
\hline 2216/23 2220/12 2223/2 2226/1 & & 2243/2 2243/14 2248/16 2286/9 \\
\hline 2228/8 2228/24 2230/18 2231/12 & & \\
\hline 2244/24 2245/2 2248/7 2269/23 & 2134/2 2136/23 2167/1 2227/4 & 2327/12 2339/20 2339/20 \\
\hline 2274/25 2276/9 2293/3 2295/18 & 2235/23 2339/16 2355/8 235 & 2353/10 \\
\hline 2300/3 2301/8 2301/15 2304/19 & & too clouded [1] 2286/9 \\
\hline 2304/22 2305/17 2308/3 2314/11 & & \(1]\) 2151/4 2173 \\
\hline 2315/8 2315/10 2315/11 2326/1 & throwing [3] 2213/25 2214/4 22 & 174/4 2174/8 2220/8 2263/12 \\
\hline 2328/21 2331/15 2331/25 2332/1 & thrown [2] 22 & 2299/14 2310/3 2361/22 \\
\hline 2349/10 2349/19 2349/20 2350/19 & throws [2] & top [14] 2103/18 2103/20 2103 \\
\hline 2354/10 2354/22 2359/4 & thrusting [2] 2290/14 2291/7 & 2119/20 2120/18 2123/21 21 \\
\hline 2359/6 2364/16 2364/24 2367/18 & Thursday [2] 2132/12 2257/8 & 2151/1 2151/12 2195/21 2209/1 \\
\hline though [9] 2152/3 2186/12 2218/25 & thus [1] 2357/2 & 2215/24 2253/4 2270/1 \\
\hline 2222/10 2264/7 2282/11 2282/11 & ticket [1] 2339 & topic [1] 2237 \\
\hline 2299/17 2299/20 & tic & [15] 2141/16 \\
\hline thought [35] 2110/18 2114/15 2119/7 & Tidwell [1] 2325/24 & 2281/22 2282/7 2285/10 2286/5 \\
\hline 2160/23 2173/12 2186/24 2192/7 & tikkitakki [1] 2354/1 & 2286/23 2287/1 2289/3 22 \\
\hline 2193/7 2198/20 2206/13 2208/6 & & 2295/16 2303/12 2303/18 2346/15 \\
\hline 2208/7 2213/9 2214/17 2218/9 2228/5 & time-stamped & Totally [1] 2179/21 \\
\hline 2244/11 2256/1 2258/18 2259/16 & timeline [2] & totem [1] \\
\hline 2261/12 2263/7 2267/20 2276/12 & times [26] 2114/1 2139/13 2153/13 & touch [4] 2326/1 \\
\hline 2277/6 2314/10 2314/11 2315/5 & 178/25 2182/13 2188/14 2189/3 & 2370/22 \\
\hline 2324/18 2340/20 2344/7 2348/7 & 2192/13 2192 & touched [ \\
\hline 2363/25 2364/8 2364/10 & 216/21 2217/6 2217/24 2218/25 & touches [1] 2355/17 \\
\hline thoughts [5] 2208/4 2253/10 2292/13 & 2219/2 2235/17 2242/10 2248/14 & towards [1] 2292/6 \\
\hline 2358/6 2358/12 & 2334 & \\
\hline thousands [ & 2338/20 2339/17 2339/24 & track [2] 2242/15 2295 \\
\hline threat [4] 2221/1 & timing [1] 2 & racked [1] \\
\hline 2354/20 & tiny [1] 2226/ & tracks [1] 2243/18 \\
\hline th & 1] 223 & de [1] 2124/6 \\
\hline eats [1] & [1] & ion \\
\hline three [82] 2101/19 2103/17 2114/ & tired [1] 2226/1 & affic [57] 2204/3 2210 \\
\hline 2118/2 2127/6 2136/19 2136/22 & title [1] & 239/17 2239/18 2239/2 \\
\hline 2139/13 2143/24 2143/24 2143/25 & to the [1] 2312 & 2239/22 2239/24 2240/6 2240/7 \\
\hline 2144/1 2144/2 2144/5 2144/13 & today [12] 2103/17 2110/10 2110/18 & 2240/12 2240/13 2240/14 2240/14 \\
\hline 2145/14 2146/8 2146/11 2146/13 & 12/11 2113/18 2115/25 2152/8 & 2/24 2243 \\
\hline 2146/15 2146/17 2146/21 2146/22 & 2177/21 2180/23 2226/5 2301/23 & 2244/18 2244/19 2244/23 2245/3 \\
\hline 2147/16 2149/4 2149/17 2151/18 & 2352/3 & 2246/12 2246/23 2247/2 2247/4 \\
\hline 2153/4 2153/9 2153/13 2153/19 & together [17] 2154/11 2154/18 2172/3 & 247/15 2247/15 2262/5 2262/8 \\
\hline 2153/22 2153/25 2154/2 2154/4 & 2184/11 2209/5 2209/6 2233/13 & 2262/12 2263/1 2263/2 2263/19 \\
\hline 2154/5 2154/7 2154/8 2155/10 2156/1 & 2259/6 2270/15 2311/10 2343/18 & 2264/8 2264/9 2264/15 2264/19 \\
\hline 2157/4 2161/2 2161/4 2161/18 & 2352/3 2359/16 2359/22 2362/15 & 2269/25 2273/20 2276/3 2276/7 \\
\hline 2162/10 2162/22 2163/10 2163/22 & 2368/18 2368/18 & 2276/8 2276/12 2276/12 2276/15 \\
\hline 2166/13 2167/21 2167/22 2167/24 & to & 2277/18 2277/23 2278/5 2278 \\
\hline 2170/18 2172/12 2173/19 2209/15 & told [52] 2119/16 2121/25 2122/12 & 2278/13 2282/18 2340/18 2340/ \\
\hline 2275/20 2279/2 2301/11 2301/19 & 2129/3 2132/2 2132/16 2134/15 & 2340/21 2342/11 \\
\hline 2302/6 2322/8 2323/22 2324/6 & 39/6 2139/21 2139/24 & train [2] 2265/14 22 \\
\hline 2333/18 2334/2 2334/14 2344/7 & 2139/25 2175/7 2180/3 2180/10 & trained [10] 2121/25 2161/25 221 \\
\hline 2344/7 2346/6 2346/13 2348/23 & 2189/8 2190/20 2190/20 2204/4 & 2242/14 2252/16 2266/15 2267/9 \\
\hline 2349/4 2352/21 2353/3 2356/4 2356/5 & 2204/20 2204/24 2204/24 2205/1 & 2267/11 2309/11 2315/14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline V & 2246/17 2276/23 2336/22 & \[
2338
\] \\
\hline 2171/22 2182/ & Wardlow [34] 2121/9 2121/18 2122/4 & 2356/25 2360/8 2366/3 2369/18 \\
\hline 182/18 2193/3 2226/9 2321/21 & 2122/11 2122/12 2122/17 2122/2 & we're [33] 2108/15 2109/3 2114 \\
\hline voiced [1] 2213/7 & 2123/3 2123/17 2127 & /16 \\
\hline voicemail [5] 2254/20 2255/8 2313/14 & 2130/19 2131/23 2137/2 2137/3 & 2162/11 2166/13 2166/19 2169/1 \\
\hline 2313/18 2314/3 & 2137/14 2137/17 2137/21 2137/23 & 2177/20 2193/23 2197/22 2213/22 \\
\hline voicing [1] 2212/6 & 2137/25 2140/16 2140/22 2141/3 & 2214/9 2229/23 2237/16 2242/14 \\
\hline volume [5] 2145/16 2318/13 2318/14 & 2222/23 2222/24 2223/3 2223/8 & 2256/10 2261/14 2279/11 2280/8 \\
\hline 2318/19 2369/6 & 2233/6 2233/17 2233/20 2234/22 & 2291/16 2298/1 2306/14 2322/4 \\
\hline voluminous [1] 2145/23 & 2234/25 2327/20 2330/16 & 2322/11 2345/15 2348/10 2358/11 \\
\hline voluntarily [1] 2240/16 & Wardlow's [3] 2123/23 2124/1 & 2360/12 2366 \\
\hline volunteered [1] 2170/19 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2124/10 \\
warning [8] 2210/13 2210/18 2210/21
\end{tabular} & we've [12] 2116/13 2146/6 2149/20
2161/7 2161/11 2176/1 2183/9 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
vote [1] 2178/13 \\
voted [1] 2178/8
\end{tabular} & 2218/18 2224/1 2224/7 2292/9/6 & 2221/25 2231/9 2264/10 2313/10 \\
\hline & warnings [4] 2210/12 2218/16 2219 & \\
\hline & & 2198/11 2319/23 2338/ \\
\hline wait [4] 2107/7 2236/4 2240/15 & & \\
\hline 2284/22 & \[
2206 / 112272 / 8
\] & weapons [3] 2197/15 2205/4 2205/6 \\
\hline waiting [5] 2151/15 2296/22 2360/24 & warranted [2] 2124/13 2249/21 & wearing [1] 2326/25 \\
\hline 2366/10 2366/11 & warrants [14] 2206/24 2207/3 2207/6 & webcams [1] 2254/4 \\
\hline waive [2] 2224/18 2224/20 & 2207/6 2207/8 2207/12 2246/3 & Wednesday [1] 2366/12 \\
\hline walk [7] 2178/24 2188/19 2221/11 & 2246/11 2246/14 2246/15 2246/17 & week [13] 2116/20 2136/21 2189/3 \\
\hline 2221/15 2247/13 2247/16 2370/18 & 2336/22 2339/6 & 2195/16 2209/19 2209/20 2210/7 \\
\hline walked [5] 2179/18 2180/23 2180/24 & was [913] & 2210/17 2212/8 2212/9 2212/13 \\
\hline 2181/23 2316/9 & wasn't [35] 2108/6 2114/9 2132/13 & 2214/12 2250/25 \\
\hline walking [7] 2114/2 2158/11 2213/21 & 2134/10 2141/12 2151/23 2162/1 & weekend [7] 2101/9 2103/11 2106/22 \\
\hline 2315/6 2315/24 2335/21 2337/19 & 2162/21 2167/15 2168/2 2168/4 & 2108/11 2108/19 2344/1 2345/16 \\
\hline walks [3] 2184/13 2339/10 2341/7 & 2168/5 2168/8 2168/8 2173/2 2180/6 & weekly [6] 2199/23 2208/12 2209/4 \\
\hline wall [2] 2182/6 2338/11 & 2180/22 2182/10 2199/12 2216/12 & 2209/18 2215/16 2215/25 \\
\hline wandering [1] 2299/11 & 2218/9 2220/25 2225/9 2226/3 & weeks [4] 2170/19 2257/20 2257/20 \\
\hline want [93] 2105/19 2107/1 2109/10 & 2276/18 2277/1 2283/22 2290/21 &  \\
\hline 2118/22 2119/5 2120/8 2122/10 & 2276/18 \(2277 / 1\) 2283/22 2290/21 & weight [1] 2354/16 \\
\hline 2122/14 2128/3 2128/20 2129/16 & 2303/4 2352/2 2367/20 & Weingarten [2] 2223/23 2224/7 \\
\hline 2135/22 2136/6 2136/7 2136/18 & waste [1] 2360/22 & eird [2] 2191/17 2193/7 \\
\hline 2153/15 2154/19 2164/18 2165/15 & wasted [4] 2351/22 2361/21 2362/8 & ne [2] 2168/15 2239 \\
\hline 2166/4 2176/23 2176/24 2176/25 & & 22 \\
\hline 2184/8 2198/9 2208/10 2212/22 & wasting [2] 2189/5 & [190] \\
\hline 2217/16 2228/4 2237/17 2241/21 & watch [8] 2177/18 2180/8 2181 & ent [41] \\
\hline 2250/22 2253/3 2255/18 2257/2 & 2190/25 2230/10 2265/24 2322/17 & 2166/23 2170/12 2170/21 2171 \\
\hline 2257/11 2260/13 2273/9 2276/11 & 2370/20 & 2171/11 2172/20 2172/24 2173/21/21/ \\
\hline 2276/14 2276/17 2277/14 2278/24 & watched [6] 2233/15 2258/23 2326/9 & 2174/6 2178/12 2182/4 2182/13 \\
\hline 2279/11 2279/20 2279/23 2282/13 & 2353/24 2354/2 2354/9 & 2185/24 2186/24 2187/4 2191 \\
\hline 2286/15 2286/15 2287/12 2291/4 & watching [6] 2232/12 2351/24 & 2203/21 2209/13 2213/13 2213/15 \\
\hline 2291/18 2295/9 2295/9 2298/14 & 2353/16 2353/23 2362/2 2364/20 & 2216/21 2228/6 2228/12 2234/20 \\
\hline 2299/7 2299/18 2300/9 2300/18 & water [1] 2285/17 & 2237/13 2244/22 2255/9 2261/5 \\
\hline 2307/7 2308/20 2315/22 2318/9 & wave [2] 2207/16 2207/18 & 2261/9 2264/12 2306/15 2322/8 \\
\hline 2322/16 2331/12 2332/15 2334/24 & wave-down [2] 2207/16 2207/18 & 2330/3 2334/10 2348/5 2353/10 \\
\hline 2335/8 2335/13 2341/1 2343/16 & way [51] 2116/7 2116/24 2134/10 & 2353/11 2362/25 \\
\hline 2343/19 2344/2 2344/10 2346/11 & 2148/21 2154/1 2155/1 2161/7 2161/8 & were [233] \\
\hline 2347/6 2348/21 2352/4 2358/15 & 2161/25 2162/12 2164/8 2164/15 & weren't [6] 2168/6 2173/25 2213/2 \\
\hline 2359/19 2364/22 2367/9 2367/12 & 2164/15 2165/23 2167/17 2174/7 & 2227/15 2228/18 2243/4 \\
\hline 2367/13 2367/14 2368/20 2369/4 & 2176/22 2184/25 2191/8 2199/3 & what [398] \\
\hline 2369/4 2369/4 2369/19 2369/20 & 2225/12 2227/19 2229/12 2230/25 & what had [1] 2108/3 \\
\hline 2369/20 2370/1 & 2231/15 2236/10 2249/9 2266/11 & what's [25] 2104/1 2111/1 2112/4 \\
\hline wanted [33] 2103/5 2106/13 2115/9 & 2273/1 2278/14 2278/16 2280/10 & 2113/1 2123/15 2135/7 2181/25 \\
\hline 2117/14 2119/12 2129/15 2136/1 & 2280/12 2294/24 2300/13 2301/16 & 2182/9 2195/7 2208/21 2215/10 \\
\hline 2136/10 2138/9 2147/20 2148/15 & 2327/11 2340/25 2341/5 2341/12 & 2234/5 2243/9 2261/15 2275/22 \\
\hline 2149/2 2151/5 2151/24 2153/2 2158/3 & 2343/17 2343/17 2347/22 2358/8 & 2283/4 2294/8 2302/20 2316/13 \\
\hline 2168/12 2200/20 2231/21 2247/14 & 2362/19 2366/16 2366/23 2367/17 & 2319/14 2333/9 2337/24 2348/12 \\
\hline 2248/2 2248/9 2276/9 2276/21 & 2367/18 2368/19 2369/10 & 2365/20 2368/8 \\
\hline 2276/22 2277/8 2277/12 2277/16 & we [248] & whatever [21] 2101/13 2105/22 \\
\hline 2278/15 2283/9 2316/15 2320/24 & we'll [27] 2101/6 2116/9 2119/23 & 2111/17 2152/10 2154/19 2165/2 \\
\hline 2341/13 & 2120/7 2125/11 2148/21 2151/9 & 2172/10 2185/6 2187/6 2191/5 2196/7 \\
\hline wanting [1] 2141/10 & 2151/10 2152/6 2152/8 2152/9 & 2203/11 2213/14 2289/25 2293/8 \\
\hline wants [10] 2118/25 2121/25 2246/2 & 2152/10 2182/2 2187/15 2201/8 2201/9 2229/13 2237/20 2279/13 & 2294/3 2295/7 2328/1 2346/17 2349/9 \\
\hline 2246/8 2246/11 2246/14 2246/15 & 2201/9 2229/13 2237/20 2279/13 & 2359/25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline W & 2246/25 2249/21 2261/8 2284/14 \\
\hline write [16] 2102/3 2102/4 2102/13 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2288/11 2311/18 2321/10 2344/13 \\
yielding [1] 2334/7
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
2102/15 2102/20 2102/21 2102/24 \\
2104/22 2107/19 2114/19 2115/5
\end{tabular} & Yoshishige [9] 2116/10 2117/2 2117/7 \\
\hline 2231/9 22152/11 2200/19 & 2117/14 2117/19 2120/17 \\
\hline 2365/9 & 2327/19 2330/17 \\
\hline writer [1] 2105/16 & you [1342] \\
\hline writing [16] 2103/24 2105/8 2105/14 & you' [1] 2214/ \\
\hline 2105/17 2105/18 2115/3 2130/20 & you'd [1] 2315/18 \\
\hline 2132/7 2165/16 2200/10 2200/18 & you'll [5] 2165/19 2343/20 2367 \\
\hline 2218/23 2271/18 2306/3 2312/4 & \[
2
\] \\
\hline 2339/7 &  \\
\hline written [33] 2104/19 2107/14 2124/12 & \\
\hline 2140/6 2153/20 2153/23 2153/24 & \\
\hline 2153/25 2154/4 2154/7 2154/15 & 2142/1 2142/13 2152/2 2152 \\
\hline 2155/4 2156/14 2222/7 2222/10 & 2157/15 2157/24 2158/21 2158/24 \\
\hline 2222/21 2232/16 2233/8 2233/11 & 2159/15 2159/19 2168/15 2175/22 \\
\hline 2233/17 2233/21 2233/24 2234/10 & 2176/7 2176/11 2176/12 2176/14 \\
\hline 2234/13 2234/21 2235/1 2239/20 & 2176/22 2177/1 2179/23 2182/11 \\
\hline 2239/22 2239/25 2248/1 2248/8 & 2182/18 2182/19 2182/24 2183/1 \\
\hline 2263/5 2265/20 & 2183/2 2190/7 2193/25 2199/2 2199/7 \\
\hline written-wise [1] 2239/22 & 2211/7 2214/8 2222/25 2236/7 \\
\hline wrong [14] \(2119 / 82144 / 7\) 2193/16 & 2236/11 2239/7 2258/19 2266/17 \\
\hline 2232/13 2235/19 2237/11 2250/4 & 2269/19 2271/15 2284/13 2285/9 \\
\hline 2291/8 2293/23 2337/13 2337/14 & 2287/25 2289/23 2294/2 2297/15 \\
\hline 2346/14 2360/7 2370/9 & 2298/16 2299/5 2310/24 2312/3 \\
\hline wrote [8] 2102/1 2103/6 2107/21 & 2312/4 2315/15 2318/16 2326/3 \\
\hline 2107/25 2108/1 2213/2 2297/14 & 2334/6 2341/4 2341/6 2341/22 234 \\
\hline 2337/11 & 2342/8 2343/6 2359/2 2360/8 2360/9 \\
\hline Y & 2368/18 2370/24 2371/1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{34}{*}{} & you've [9] 2114/1 2137/11 2169/10 \\
\hline & 2288/6 2361/15 2363/5 2366/23
\[
2369 / 182370 / 11
\] \\
\hline & young [1] 2178/13 \\
\hline & your [312] \\
\hline & Your Honor [3] 2106/25 2312/23 \\
\hline & 2326/16 \\
\hline & yourself [8] 2175/3 2176/1 2178/5 \\
\hline & 2188/2 2242/15 2249/13 2275/18 \\
\hline & 2279/12 \\
\hline & yourselves [1] \\
\hline & Z \\
\hline & Zach [17] 2225/23 2225/24 2227/7 \\
\hline & 2227/14 2227/16 2227/25 2228/ \\
\hline & 2229/20 2316/2 2316/9 2316/11 \\
\hline & 2319/10 2319/13 2321/22 \\
\hline & 2332/13 2333/22 \\
\hline & Zach Hermens [2] 2228/17 2316/ \\
\hline & zone [2] 2340/10 2341/6 \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

