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your turn to tell the jury what -- what your story is.
So before we get into the details of this case, I just want to ask you -- can you just tell the jury a little bit about you? What kind of chief are you? What kind of a person are you?
A. Good morning.

A JUROR: Good morning.
THE WITNESS: So I really had no idea what campus policing was like until I went to the University of Oregon. I worked for the Eugene Police Department. The university was in the middle of the city of Eugene, but I really didn't know what happened there.

So when I went there, I learned that it is a very unique environment. Is truly is a city within a city, and it has a very unique population. At the time of this incident that we're discussing now, there was over 4,000 students living on campus, and we had students, faculty, and staff that came from over 80 countries in the world. A really diverse population.

In addition to that, there -- there was really a vulnerable population, in that we had bright, young people coming to the campus sometimes for the first time leaving their homes and their families to learn how to live independently and achieve their academic goals.

Some of those people came from other countries where their cultures were different, where there was a distrust of law
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enforcement, and being millions -- not millions, but thousands of miles away from home, I'm sure, was a huge impact on them.

So it was very apparent to me that the Department of Public Safety at that time had a huge responsibility to keep these people safe ; to keep them safe so that they could achieve their goals and move on to independent living and fulfill their life dreams.

In addition to that, we had public safety officers working at the campus. At that time, the impression of the Public Safety Department was not that good. They didn't have that great of reputation, and they basically were considered a security department.

What I learned about when I got there was that there was some pretty special, talented people working in that department. People that had above-average skills in the area of communication and in -- being able to deescalate situations and really to engage and learn and to develop relationships. And that's really important in a campus environment.

But, additionally, they had this title of public safety officer, which, if you look in the statute, under 352.385, it's a quasi police authority. They could do stop-and-frisk and probable cause, but they didn't have the same tools as police officers. They didn't have the same immunities and protections as police officers, and they definitely couldn't do enforcement off campus. And, in fact, sometimes they would get in foot
pursuant with people and they would have to stop on the boundary of campus because they had no legal authority to go off campus.

So when I got there I was really concerned about their safety, as well as keeping the university safe. It really was of great concern to me, and I can't tell you how important it was to me that we transition the department to a full police department where they did have the ability to have those protections. They didn't have access to a police academy or proper training, and they needed to have those kinds of things to keep themselves safe.

In the end, it is the people that work for you that make you able to do your job, and my job was to keep the campus safe and them safe. And without them, I wasn't going to achieve those goals.

The other thing is that that reputation of being a junior department or, as I heard yesterday, a Mickey Mouse department, I absolutely disagree with that. In fact, I think that a campus police department requires that you select from the broad pool of qualified police officers only those officers that are willing to really engage with people, to really learn about them in this unique environment of diverse populations and cultures.

It requires that they develop trust. And what was really important, going through this transition, after we went through
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it, was the department need ed to build legitimacy, and they had needed to have the campus believe that they were moral and just and that they could provide safety to them.

Trust is important, because we can't work on -- on keeping people safe if we don't get information from them. We have to know what is it that's bothering them, what has happened to them, and what can I do to make them safe? I have to have information from them. They do that through trust.

So it was really important to select people to work at the department that not only could keep themselves safe, but that could engage with this population to keep them safe.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Thank you, Chief. So can you tell us just a little bit about you personally? Where are you from? A. I was born in Oregon -- Prineville, Oregon -- but raised in the wilderness of British Columbia. I came back -- I -- I didn't have goals of being a police officer. In fact, it never entered my mind. I wanted to be a veterinarian. But I was in San Diego after college, and I couldn't get into that school right away, and I needed a job, so, on a dare, I applied to the city police department and got a job. And I truly love being a police officer. It's great.
Q. What year did you get into law enforcement?
A. 1983.
Q. So $30--32$ years you've been in law enforcement?

```
A. Yes.
Q. When you got that job in 1983, how many female officers
were there?
A. Not very many. It was a time of affirmative action and
trying to get more women into law enforcement, but there's many
challenges to being a female officer. It's a tough job when
you're trying to balance family and work and shifts.
    I recall when I first started they didn't make uniforms
and equipment to fit women. I had to buy my own ballistic
vest. I had to buy a shorter-barrel gun because the one I had
held me off the seat of my patrol car, and I had to -- I've
always had to work harder than a hundred percent to prove
myself, because people doubted if I could do the job. But it
made a better police officer.
Q. All right. Do you have a family?
A. I do. I have three children. I have two 19-year-old
twins and a 16-year-old son.
Q. They live with you in Eugene?
A. They do.
Q. How long have you been in Eugene?
A. I moved from the San Diego Police Department to the Eugene
department in 1991, as my mother was in failing health, and I
needed to be closer to her. So I worked there from }1991\mathrm{ until
I moved to the University of Oregon in 2008.
Q. All right. So give us just a background of your
```
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professional career in law enforcement ; where you worked and what positions that you held.
A. When I worked at the San Diego Police Department, just like most every other police officer, I did basic patrol duties, went through a probationary period, and then worked the street.

I took a field training officer assignment and was a field training officer for quite some time. And then I was able to take a position as a foot patrol officer in one of the bad areas of San Diego, so to speak, and it was there that I really discovered my passion for community policing and for helping people help themselves.
Q. How long were you in the San Diego police force?
A. I was there for eight years.
Q. Did you go there -- from there directly to Eugene?
A. I did.
Q. Tell us your position at the Eugene Police Department.
A. At the Eugene department, I started out as a police officer and then moved to the rank of agent, which is like a two stripe or a corporal, then sergeant, then lieutenant, and then also held the position of acting captain for a time.

And I -- I had a variety of assignments. Although, the majority of my work at Eugene Police Department was in patrol ; was out on the streets for the most part.

I did manage the street crimes unit for a while and I was
what they called a sector commander, where I had responsibility for one-third of the city, 24 hours a day. And that was a great assignment. It was -- it was a good assignment.

And then just prior to coming to the University of Oregon
I held the position of Professional Standards and Training
Lieutenant, which encompassed training, policies, and internal affairs.
Q. When you left the Eugene Police Department in 2008, about
how many -- how big was the department? Sworn officers, I
mean.
A. It was under 200 sworn officers.
Q. And how big was the city of Eugene?
A. Oh, sorry. It was the city of Eugene.
Q. No, I mean, the population of Eugene that you served.
A. 150,000 or so.
Q. So do you know how many female chiefs of police there are in Oregon?
A. I don't. Not very many.
Q. Does one sound about right?
A. I know two.
Q. Including yourself?
A. That would make three.
Q. When did you -- well, tell us why you moved from the Eugene Police Department to the University of Oregon.
A. In 2008 there was a posting for a deputy chief position at
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the University of Oregon, and that opportunity to move up in a higher command-level position appealed to me, and I thought it would be a good new challenge for me.

In addition, in 2008 I was crossing a street as a
pedestrian, and I was hit by a car, and I -- I suffered some injuries, including a mild traumatic brain injury.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection. Your Honor, I have a matter for the Court.

THE COURT: Pardon?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I have a matter for the Court.
THE COURT: I can't hear you.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection. Your Honor, I have a matter for the Court.

THE COURT: Relating to this?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. I can't describe it more in the presence of the jury, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the question was why she had gone from the University -- or Eugene to the University of Oregon Police Department, and she's talking about crossing a street and an injury. Is that your objection?

MR. MCDOUGAL: No. The objection is part of the answer, on something that Counsel and I discussed.

THE COURT: But you didn't inform me last evening.
MR. MCDOUGAL: That's --
THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, in this
rare occasion, I'm going to ask you to leave the courtroom for a moment. This will be the first and last. Trust me.
(Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Whatever this is, is this something discussed by the two of you that you two disagreed on -MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor. Let me -THE COURT: -- that didn't come to my attention last night?

MS. COIT: No, this came up earlier.
In deposition, Chief McDermed testified that she suffered a traumatic brain injury in this accident and it caused her to have some issues with her memory.

Mark McDougal talked to me earlier in the case and asked if we were going to be using that brain injury for some sort of excuse for the notes that she just found before trial, and I told him we were not, and we are not.

THE COURT: This is solely one of the reasons she went -- I'm anticipating, because of her part of that answer, that she's going to say that because of that injury this is one of the reasons she transferred to the University of Oregon. MS. COIT: Yes. Yes. MR. MCDOUGAL: Your Honor, my agreement was not limited to the notes. It was, "You're not going to get to the brain injury?" She said, "Yes." And I sent her a confirming email.
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THE COURT: Thank you. Your objection is overruled.
Please get the jury.
It's my understanding also that these notes are not going to be then used as an excuse because of the brain injury. Is that your understanding between the two of you?

MS. COIT: That's my understanding of the agreement .
MR. MCDOUGAL: Mine was broader, because I had some rebuttal evidence I would have gathered to bring in.

MS. COIT: Well, she can --
THE COURT: Well, Counsel, you didn't share this with the Court.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I apologize. I thought I could trust the email confirmation.

THE COURT: Well, happy to meet you any time to deal with these issues. It's not an excuse for either one of you.

```
(Jury present.)
```

THE COURT: I apologize for the inconvenience. The objection is overruled.

Counsel, restate your question.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Chief, you were telling us why you moved to the University of Oregon in 2008.
A. For the opportunity and because -- due to my injury, I was looking for -- it scared my kids, so I wanted to move to an assignment where my perception was it would be a little slower
pace and have an opportunity to do an administrative job and a new challenge.
Q. Was that a hard decision to make?
A. It was. I had been at the Eugene Police Department for 17 and a half years, but it was close by. It was just down the road from -- the two departments are close to each other, and I would have the ability to continue relationships with colleagues at the Eugene Police Department and try a new career at the same time.
Q. Was it the right decision for your family?
A. It was the right decision for me. I -- I wish I had done
it sooner. Campus policing is what I really enjoy doing. It's been a really great job.
Q. What position did you move into when you started at the University of Oregon?
A. I was hired as a deputy chief, which was number three in command at the time.
Q. Who was above you?
A. Above me was the assistant chief, Doug Tripp.
Q. Who was the chief at that time?
A. The chief at the time was Kevin Williams.
Q. At some point did Kevin Williams leave?
A. He did.
Q. Do you recall when that was?
A. Probably 2009.
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Q. So did Doug Tripp take over as chief when Mr. Williams left?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you move into the position of assistant chief?
A. No. I think it was about a year later I had assumed most
of the duties of the assistant chief position. It eliminated
the deputy chief position and made me the assistant chief.
Q. So in 2009 were you second in command?
A. I believe it was probably 2000 -- oh, yes, I was, sorry.
Q. So how big was the department back then in 2009?
A. Probably about 18 or so public safety officers, but I don't exactly recall how many. There were some security officers, as well. Noncommissioned officers that worked some different assignments.
Q. How would you describe Doug Tripp's leadership of the department at that time?
A. Doug Tripp was really difficult to work for. In fact, about a month after I got there I told him that I thought I made a really bad mistake coming to the university. He was very demanding and autocratic, demeaning of people, particularly women, including myself. He didn't -- he did nothing for himself. Everybody was tasked to do all his work for him.

I even wrote part of his self-evaluation for his annual review for him because he didn't want to write it himself. You
couldn't please him. Whatever you did, it wasn't good enough.
There was never any praise for what you did. And if you didn't stand by his orders to do something, he would let you know that he was not happy. It caused a lot of stress and tension in the department, because he absolutely lacked any empathy or people skills.
Q. How would you describe yourself as a leader? What's your leadership style?
A. I think the reason why it was so uncomfortable for me to work with Doug Tripp was that we appeared to be opposites. I'm a people person. I like to engage with people. I -- I
empathize with people. I -- people is my passion. But that wasn't him, and so we clashed.
Q. Are you a collaborative leader?
A. Absolutely.
Q. How do you come to decisions? How do you make decision s, big decisions?
A. I -- I try to get input from everybody around me before I make a decision, because I don't have -- usually have all the information, maybe not the skills or expertise or knowledge to make the decision on my own, and I believe that a collaborative effort is the way that the best decision is made.

Sometimes I just have to make a decision because the timing or a particular thing is important that that decision gets made. But, if possible, I believe in a collaborative-type
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of process.
Q. Was Doug Tripp a collaborative leader?
A. Absolutely not. He dictated orders and we followed.
Q. Did he have any law enforcement experience before he became chief for the Department of Public Safety?
A. He had not been a police officer, but he did have experience working in higher education in some capacity of campus security.
Q. All right. I want to talk about Casey Boyd just for a minute. Can you tell us your history with former-Lieutenant Casey Boyd? Professional history.
A. So Casey Boyd was a really good employee at first. She worked really hard. She took a lot of abuse from Doug Tripp, and I tried to deflect some of that for her. I tried to be a buffer between them. She worked really hard, and I think she told you about all the things she did, and she did good work. But it -- at some point Casey began displaying behaviors that were concerning. Members of the department started complaining about her, and it ultimately -- I looked into several issues with her, and I had to give her a reprimand.
Q. Was Ms. Boyd -- was she your friend when you were at the department?
A. I -- I think that professionally, yes, we collaborated on things. We did a lot of work together as a -- as I did with the other lieutenants in the department. We just were a team
working together trying to meet the goals of the department. Q. Now, you heard Ms. Boyd testify that she was called into meetings with you and Doug Tripp and the both of you instructed her on how to get rid of people. Do you recall that testimony? A. I do.
Q. Is there any truth to that?
A. No. But I will say that when Doug Tripp made up his mind that somebody didn't belong in the department it was up to us to take care of that.
Q. At some point did you do anything to try to protect Casey Boyd from Doug Tripp? Did you make a complaint about him?
A. I did. I went to affirmative action and said how
unbearable it was to work for him and how I didn't like the way he was treating, not just Casey, but some other employees in the department as well. We had a captain working for us by the name of Ed Rinne, who developed medical issues, which I believe was from stress related to working with Doug Tripp, and he eventually left the department. So there was a lot of tension and stress with Doug Tripp there.

So I did go and say this man is hard -- very difficult to work with. You can't please him. And everything was about him. Everything was about him. Things had to make him look good.

So I vented, basically. I was scared to do more than that
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because I feared that there would be some kind of retaliation back on me or somebody in the department if I spoke -- filed a complaint. Q. Was Mr. Tripp eventually moved out of the department? A. He was. Q. Tell us, how did that come about? A. My recollection is that Doug Tripp was at the police academy. He was going through the basic police academy in early 2012, after we became a police department. And while he was gone one of the members of the department, administrative manager or director, administrative director by the name of David Landrum, set up a meeting with Jamie Moffitt, my boss, Vice President for Finance and Administration, and several of us went and spoke with her about our concerns related to Doug Tripp. She listened, and when Doug Tripp returned from the academy, he left the building.
Q. All right. So going back to Ms. Boyd, when she testified, she -- she seemed angry at you. Did you -- do you know why she's angry at you?
A. I know Casey really liked her job at the department, and I don't know why she ended up doing what she did, but I think no one likes to lose their job, and Casey was not renewed.

And I frankly was disappointed in her as well. I -- I really believed in her and supported her until I couldn't do that any longer.
Q. Were you the person who did the internal affairs investigation that led to her being nonrenewed?
A. Lieutenant Morrow and I conducted the investigation together.
Q. Did you feel that her being nonrenewed was the right move to make at that point in her career?
A. I -- unfortunately, I did. She had such a negative impact on the department that I -- I just didn't see how we could retain her.
Q. Okay. So we saw the letter that Ms. Boyd wrote when she was nonrenewed, making some complaints about the department
Do you recall seeing that?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you shown that letter when it was sent in?
A. My boss, Jamie Moffitt, provided me with that letter.
Q. Was that in 2012?
A. I don't recall. The note was after Ms. Boyd left.
Q. Do you recall taking any action, looking into any of the complaints that Ms. Boyd raised in that letter?
A. I know I had a conversation with Lieutenant Morrow about the text message that she attached to her letter to the VPFA.
Q. And there's also been discussion about Mark Boyd, her husband, being trespassed from the University of Oregon. Can you just explain to us what happened in that situation, why he was trespassed?
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A. My recollection is that Mark Boyd was attending a football game at -- at -- Duck football games, it requires a lot of staffing, and so the department -- the University Department is there, the Eugene Police Department is there, and sometimes Lane County sheriff's officers are there, too, just to make up enough staffing to manage that game of about 55,000 people.

So Mark Boyd was attending a game and apparently got into some kind of altercation with other patrons while watching the game. And EPD responded with the Crowd Management Services and contacted Mr. Boyd, who was hostile and difficult to talk with.

They eventually got him up to the stairs, and he was uncooperative. And I believe that they were trying to handcuff him and finally got him out -- out of the stands and out to a gate where they could talk with him. But it was an incident that was handled by Eugene police officers.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor? THE COURT: You may.
MS. COIT: These are Exhibits 413 and 410.
THE COURT: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Do you recognize what Exhibit 413 is?
A. 413 appears to be a police report written by Eugene Police Department regarding this incident with Mark Boyd.

MS. COIT: Defense offers 413, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Received.

MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. So does this document describe the incident you just told us about where Mark Boyd was taken out of the stadium by Eugene police?
A. It does.
Q. Okay. Now, the second document that I've given you, Exhibit 410 --
A. Yes.
Q. -- what is that?
A. It's an email that the commander of the Springfield
detachment of Oregon State Police -- his name was Robert Edwards -- he sent me an email asking about the trespass letter that was provided to Mark Boyd. A trespass letter trespassing him from the University of Oregon property. Q. Tell us why Mark Boyd was trespassed as a result of this incident. What was the policy of trespassing or the practice? A. So all of my officers, public safety officers and police officers, have the authority to issue what they call a letter of trespass for incidents that provide, you know, some concern about a person being on campus.

And we will often issue them for people that are really disruptive. And, in this case, Mr. Boyd was very disruptive during a football game, impacting several Eugene police
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officers and Crowd Management Services, not to mention patrons watching the game.

So it was consistent and our practice to issue a letter of trespass to someone like this who was disruptive. And the terms of the trespass letter, usually you cannot return to the University of Oregon property for 18 months unless you appeal it and get it reversed or get some accommodations to it.
Q. And was Mark Boyd given a trespass letter?
A. He was.
Q. And the email that's Exhibit 410, is that addressed to you?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's the date of that?
A. November 15, 2013.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, Defendants offer 410.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish? THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Tell us again who this email was coming from.
A. Rob Edwards, who was the commander of the Springfield detachment of Oregon State Police.
Q. And Mark Boyd was an Oregon state police officer?
A. Yes.
Q. Still is; right?

[^0]
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He was still the executive director, as they called him, and response -- responsible for the overall operations of the department, but I was taking care of the working operations while he was in the academy.

About the end of June he completed the academy and then subsequently left, and so at that time I became the interim chief of police and the university began a nationwide search for a new police chief.
Q. Did you apply for that position?
A. I did.
Q. Did you go through a process?
A. I did.
Q. Tell us about that.
A. The process began, of course, with filling out an application and then -- then telephone interview, followed by an on campus series of interviews. I believe I met, over the course of 12 hours, or so, with -- in excess of 10 or 12 different groups and engaged with them on -- on questions that they had about a police chief coming to the University of Oregon.
Q. Who made the ultimate decision on who to hire as police chief?
A. Well, there was a search committee that was appointed by my boss to look for a finalist, and so I -- I'm sure that they all had input. And I know that the campus as a whole had input
because they allowed people to send in information via email and other modes of messaging to provide input on selection. I know they provided my resumé and experience online so that people could read about it and make decisions. But, ultimately, Jamie Moffitt was the one who decided who would be the next police chief.
Q. So when did you officially take on the title of chief of police for the University of Oregon Police Department?
A. I believe it was July 1, 2013.
Q. Tell us what your vision was for the department when you took over.
A. So I wanted to develop a police department -- well, first of all, because we were going through the police transition, I had an opportunity to look at all the best practices of every other police department I could read about and learn about and learn from what they had done and build a police department from the ground up in the best possible way. So it was a great opportunity.

But my vision was that we create a department built on trust, a community policing department where we engage with people; we problem-solve. We taught them to help themselves . We learned about shared responsibility. And we really were not warriors, but guardians and caretakers for our campus community. That was my vision.
Q. We've heard that term "community policing" a lot in this
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case. Can you just tell us what that actually means? What does that mean to you?
A. Well, if you Google it, you will probably find a number of different definitions for community policing, but to me it -what I talked about earlier, that building these trust-based relationships were -- where you have built legitimacy and people want to share information with you, they want to learn from you, they want to change their behaviors, and they believe that you're just and good and solve problems together, work together, and really be transparent about what we're doing.

And it was really important to me that our officers just didn't contact people and not take the time to really explain, "Well, this is why I do this. You know, this is the way -this is why I stand like this when I contact you at your window. This is why I stand back, because I need to be concerned about my safety. This is why I always want to see your hands."

It's important to explain that to people because they don't understand police work and tactics, and they have no idea of the dangers and things that officers face on a regular day.
Q. When Doug Tripp was away at the academy, was he still technically in charge of the department?
A. He was.
Q. Did you have to run decisions by him?
A. All the time.

## Q. How did you do that?

A. Mostly either talking to him on the phone or via email.
Q. Let's talk about Sergeant Cameron for a little bit. Do you like Sergeant Cameron as a person?
A. As a person, I do.
Q. Was he a good officer?
A. I believe he was. Sergeant Cameron -- Doug Tripp made Sergeant Cameron a police sergeant without having to go through any process or anything to become one, and so I don't know that he had -- that we clearly knew that he would be a good fit as a police sergeant, but he's a good person, I believe, but not one that fit for the campus police department; not one that I thought fit the vision I had for the police department.
Q. Did you ever have concerns about Sergeant Cameron supervising or teaching his officers?
A. No. No. He was a good supervisor.
Q. What were the general concerns that you had with Sergeant Cameron that made him ultimately not a good fit?
A. Ultimately, I think his ability to really engage a lot with people, he was pretty black and white. Very good at supervising in that, but I -- I'm not -- I wasn't confident that he could engage with our campus community the way that I wanted him to engage. And -- and he had had some complaints that I thought were troubling in a community where we have a very vulnerable population.

## McDermed - D

Q. And you are referring to the sexual harassment complaints? A. Yes.
Q. All right. Now, I just want to talk about those for a minute. You are aware of what the complaints were; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. None of the complaints dealt with any sort of physical harassment?
A. No.
Q. What were they generally complaints about?
A. Generally, they were related to opinions he voiced about women and how he talked about them, but he -- there was no physical harassment or that kind of thing.
Q. Did you recommend that he not be renewed?
A. I did.
Q. What went into that decision for you?
A. My decision was based on the fact that even though he had some of these instances in his past, that he recently had, yet again, said something that was inappropriate, and so I believed that there was a pattern of behavior that he either wasn't willing to correct or couldn't be corrected.
Q. Do you believe it was the right decision?
A. I do.
Q. The decision not to renew Sergeant Cameron, did it have
anything to do with his interactions or treatment of
Mr. Cleavenger?
A. No.
Q. All right. So when were you first aware of Mr. Cleavenger making a speech about Tasers?
A. Gosh, I don't recall. It was sometime during this -- this lawsuit. I just was totally unaware of the Taser incidents before I got to the University of Oregon, and we don't have Tasers at our department.
Q. So before you made the decision to terminate

Mr. Cleavenger, were you even aware that he had made this speech about Tasers?
A. No.
Q. Why doesn't the department have Tasers?
A. The decision to bring Tasers to the department is going to be a community conversation. There's been misuse of Tasers around the nation. And even in our local community, with Eugene, one of our students, a Chinese student, was tased by a Eugene Police Department and had a huge impact on the community. It was definitely a community-impact situation. So there would have to be thoughtful conversations about it.

There are good reasons to have Tasers. Right now my officers have pepper spray and a firearm and nothing in between. So in a community where we deal a lot with folks that exhibit signs of mental illness, because of lack of services in the county, Tasers might be a better option than firearms; but it's going to have to be a community conversation before we
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are -- have those kind of tools.
Q. Do you have an opinion on whether you should have Taser s, one way or the other?
A. I believe if we have appropriate training and policies in place about Tasers, that they would be a useful tool for my officers to have.
Q. Before Mr. Cleavenger was terminated, did anyone ever complain to you that Sergeant Cameron was mistreating him or picking on him because of Mr. Cleavenger's position on Tasers ?
A. No.
Q. Let's make it more broad. Before Mr. Cleavenger was terminated, did anyone come to you with complaints, include Mr. Cleavenger, that Sergeant Cameron was harassing him or retaliating against him?
A. No.
Q. All right. Let's go back now and -- to 2011, 2012, and I
want to know when you can recall first being -- first being made aware that there were problems with Mr. Cleavenger in the department.
A. Oh, my. I remember back in late 2011 hearing about
bizarre behavior that Mr. Cleavenger was displaying.
Q. Who do you remember getting reports from about

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. It might have been Lieutenant Lebrecht.
Q. Okay. As the chief -- or I guess you were the acting
chief at that time -- did you have direct supervision over any of the officers?
A. No.
Q. How did you get information about the officers?
A. I had to rely on my command staff to provide me with information.
Q. And, generally, what sort of information would command staff come to you with about their officers?
A. Usually, you know, good things; things they were doing well; things that might be deserving of a commendation or what we called an attaboy. And then also some performance issues come through often about, you know, things that -- you might hear about this.

Because I always told them if I'm going to read about something in the paper or my boss is going to tell me about something, I better know about it ahead of time.

## So those kinds of things.

Q. Did your lieutenants at that time have the authority to issue discipline to officers without first running it by you?
A. No.
Q. How about letters of clarification?
A. Usually they would give me a heads-up that they were going to do those, so I didn't always have to have immediate notification, but we -- we, as a command group, were trying to be consistent in how we applied constructive feedback to
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people. A letter of clarification to me was a written counseling, and so the goal of those written counselings were to put someone on notice that you're not doing this quite right and you need to change your behavior.
Q. So you said that in late 2011 you were made aware of some bizarre behavior.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you describe what you're talking about?
A. Well, I recall one incident where I was told

Mr. Cleavenger -- there was an incident with EPD chasing or having some people with a knife over by South Eugene High School, or something like that, and Mr. Cleavenger was hiding in the back of his patrol car, waiting for them to come his way, with the doors open, which sounded very odd.

And I remember -- I don't remember when I heard about the angel wings and the beer and the machete and the ninja look in the diner, but those were all really concerning behaviors for me.
Q. Were you informed around this time of an incident that Lieutenant Morrow had to speak to Mr. Cleavenger about bringing his Junction City firearm to the office?
A. I do remember parts of that. I believe it was when Mr. Cleavenger was an auxillary public safety officer, and he had been -- he had stored his firearm for Junction City reserve officer duties in his locker at work, which was of a concern.

And then, also, he had been overusing the visitor parking space outside of the department.
Q. Were you involved in the issuing of the letter of
clarification in 2011 to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I don't think so.
Q. What about the decision to put him on weekly evaluations?
A. I'm sure I was part of that discussion, because we were concerned about his behavior at that time.
Q. Do you recall if Lieutenant Morrow consulted with you on that whole issue of putting him on evaluations and issuing the clarification?
A. That would be something that I would want him to consult with me on. I don't independently recall that. Q. Is that something that Lieutenant Lebrecht and Lieutenant Morrow had the authority to handle on their own? A. Yes. Q. And did you trust their judgment on those issues? A. Yes. They were much closer to the employees than I was. Q. Do you remember being briefed on Mr. Cleavenger's progress during those weekly evaluations?
A. I do.
Q. And what do you recall being the general tone of those weekly evaluations?
A. I recall that he was doing better; that he was
performing -- moving to satisfactory with a little bit of
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oversight and guidance.
Q. All right. Let's move to April of 2012. In April were
you informed of a complaint that had come in about
Mr. Cleavenger by a student named Madeline Egan?
A. I am.
Q. How did you learn about that complaint?
A. I believe a complaint came in to the office. There was a
call into dispatch about it, and my recollection is maybe Lieutenant Lebrecht had a -- looked up, trying to find the incident that the caller was referring to, and found a video of it.
Q. Did he show you the video?
A. I did watch that video.
Q. Did you also listen to the telephone call that we heard here in court?
A. I did.
Q. Did your review of the video and listening to that telephone call raise concerns for you?
A. It did.
Q. Tell us about those. What were your concerns?
A. When I watched that video, I was really concerned; not just about the stop, but, more importantly, I was concerned about Mr. Cleavenger's motivation behind that stop.

He -- I think in there, of some part of it, he commented, "I have to make you fearful for a while," and that was just a

We need students to report things to us. We can't help them if we don't know what's happening. I'm sure you 've heard the statistics about sexual assaults. One in five college women will be sexually assaulted. Five percent of college men will be sexually assaulted. But they have to have trust that they can come forward and that you're going to help them.

So I watched this video and I heard him say that, and I thought this woman is going to be one of those people that had what I thought was not a very pleasant interaction with one of my officers and would she be willing to report anything to us? Would she trust us to help her if she needed us? That's what was going through my mind.
Q. What made this the sort of situation, the sort of issue that you felt needed to be referred for an internal affairs investigation?
A. Well, the contact was just disturbing. You know, the questions he asked and -- and his behaviors were concerning for me. I wondered, Why is he acting like this? Why does he look so distracted?

And I noticed his officer safety skills weren't good and his -- and the approach and the way he's looking off in different directions, and that kind of thing, and wondering, you know, is he okay?
Q. So shortly after you found out about this Madeline Egan

Again, I didn't understand his motivation.
Q. Did you also refer that stop to Lieutenant Morrow for an internal affairs investigation?
A. I did.
Q. Did you call Ms. Commissiong?
A. I did.
Q. Did you know her before you saw her on that video?
A. I recognized her in the -- when I watched the video.
Q. Why did you call her?
A. I called her to tell her that that wasn't appropriate for our officers to do; that they are not allowed to do those kind of stops by law. And I -- and she -- we had a conversation about the incident.
Q. What do you recall her telling you?
A. I don't remember her exact words, but -- but the word "profiling" came up, "racial profiling," and I know she -something -- either she was racially -- either she felt it was racially profiling or she felt others like her would be racially profiled or something to that effect.

I asked her if she wanted to file a complaint, and she said no.
Q. Why did you -- if she didn't want to file a complaint, why did you pursue this in an internal affairs investigation? A. Because of the unlawful stop and, you know, stopping her for something that we can't enforce and it being an unlawful
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## stop.

Q. Does there have to be a formal complaint before something -- you can make the decision to investigate something your officer has done?
A. No. And it's my belief that we need to command and I need
to, you know, be vigilant about addressing behaviors in our own department, regardless if there's a complaint or not. I mean, we should be managing our people in the most effective way.

We shouldn't have to rely on a complaint to come in before a situation is addressed, because it might not be the first time by the time somebody complains about it.

If we can catch it early, we can correct behavior, and then we have done the department and the officer a good service, I think.
Q. During this time in April of 2012, were you keeping

Doug Tripp updated on these two stops ? Did you tell him about these?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you also keep him updated on the other behaviors that you talked about before? Was he aware of the concerns with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. He was.
Q. How -- and, again, were you communicating by telephone?

By email?
A. Yes.

```
Q. Both?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Doug Tripp have any suggestions to you about what he
wanted to do?
A. Well, I recall, after I told him about another incident,
he -- his reply was really strong. He -- in his mind "gross
negligence," I think he said, and "This -- you need to deal
with this" or "You have my support," or something like that.
He was pretty definite.
Q. All right. Do you recall during that time period -- we're
in April of 2012 -- having discussions with Lieutenant Morrow
about checking with Dr. Corey to see if he had any additional
insight into Mr. Cleavenger's behaviors or why he was acting
how he was?
A. I -- I -- I vaguely recall there was a discussion about --
how -- we were trying to think of what can we do to help
Mr. Cleavenger. We had some concerns. And I believe I -- we
did discuss with Dr. Corey an idea of what might be helpful to
address the issues.
Q. Was Lieutenant Morrow involved in these discussions?
A. Yes.
Q. Why was Lieutenant Morrow the person who was point with
Dr. Corey?
A. So Lieutenant Morrow's position with Professional
Standards and Training also included the hiring of our -- our
```
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employees and the background process, and the background process includes evaluations by Dr. Corey on psychological fitness of a particular candidate
Q. If you recall, was a fitness-for-duty examination or evaluation discussed at that time, back in April of 2012?
A. I think -- I think it probably was, but I don't have an independent recollection of that. But I think that was one of the things that we were exploring.
Q. Were you exploring a lot of options on how to help Mr. Cleavenger at this time?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there's been discussion here about the performance review that Lieutenant Lebrecht conducted. Were you aware that Lieutenant Lebrecht was doing this performance review of Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Did it stem from his initial review of the Madeline Egan
complaint?
A. It did.
Q. And what was your understanding of the purpose of Lieutenant Lebrecht's review?
A. I -- I wanted him and he wanted to look at if there was a pattern of behavior in -- in Mr. Cleavenger's work that would help us better understand perhaps where there were training deficiencies or something so we could address it, or was it
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employees and the background process, and the background
process includes evaluations by Dr. Corey on psychological
fitness of a particular candidate.
Q. If you recall, was a fitness-for-duty examination or
evaluation discussed at that time, back in April of 2012?
A. I think -- I think it probably was, but I don't have an
independent recollection of that. But I think that was one of
the things that we were exploring.
Q. Were you exploring a lot of options on how to help
Mr. Cleavenger at this time?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, there's been discussion here about the performance
review that Lieutenant Lebrecht conducted. Were you aware that
Lieutenant Lebrecht was doing this performance review of
Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Did it stem from his initial review of the Madeline Egan
complaint?
A. It did.
Q. And what was your understanding of the purpose of
Lieutenant Lebrecht's review?
A. I -- I wanted him and he wanted to look at if there was a
pattern of behavior in -- in Mr. Cleavenger's work that would
help us better understand perhaps where there were training
deficiencies or something so we could address it, or was it
Q.
simply just a couple of instances where there was an issue or wasn't there a pattern.
Q. Lieutenant Lebrecht was the patrol lieutenant in 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. Was part of his job to review the performance of his
officers and make sure they had an adequate -- were performing adequately?
A. Yes.
Q. Was Lieutenant Lebrecht keeping you updated on what he was
finding in his performance review of Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. How was he keeping you updated?
A. He would send me updates via email, kind of a -- just a
$\log$ of what he had looked at and what he had found.
Q. As he's doing this review, initially getting into it, was he sharing his concerns he was finding?
A. Yes.
Q. And what do you recall those concerns being?
A. I remember a lot of officer safety concerns, also the fact that Mr. Cleavenger wasn't always advising people that he was recording them, and then there could have been some judgment issues there too.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to approach? THE COURT: Do you have a document? MS. COIT: I do. It's 403.
Ms. COIT: I do. Its 403.

THE COURT: 403. You may. Has that been received into evidence?

MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize what Exhibit 403 is?
A. Yes.
Q. What is this document?
A. This is Lieutenant Lebrecht's $\log$ of what he was reviewing and what he learned from the review.
Q. What is the date of the email showing that being sent to you?
A. May 12th.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Counsel, we don't have that exhibit --

MS. COIT: I'll get you a copy.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: -- with the date on top.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. As these logs are coming in from

Lieutenant Lebrecht, are you reading them?
A. I did my best.
Q. Okay.

MS. COIT: All Right, Your Honor. Defense offers
403.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Object, Your Honor. It's not the 403

```
that was given to us or the Court.
    THE COURT: We'll take that up during a recess.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So look at Exhibit 403 for us, and tell us --
    MS. COIT: Well, your Honor, may the witness testify
about 403?
    THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
    MS. COIT: All right.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. On the last page, well, these running logs, were they
updated with the most recent review at the end?
A. Yes.
Q. So on the last page of the review -
    THE COURT: Can I see 403 for a moment? Will
somebody hand me 403?
    Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
    Well, Lebrecht testified -- strike that. Brandon Lebrecht
testified, so I'm not going to receive the running log.
I'll -- it's only important that she received the running log
and obtain certain information from it, but all this isn't
going to come in. It would be hearsay.
    MS. COIT: Okay.
    THE COURT: Let me give this back to you, Chief.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. When you received this running log from
``` last three incidents that are listed on the second-to-last and last page?
A. I did.
Q. All right. Tell us what the -- the May 4, 2012, incident concerned.
A. The 423?
Q. No. The May 4, 2012.
A. That is May 4th.
Q. Oh, the 423 -- I thought you said number 423. Yeah, that incident.
A. Yes, I did review that.
Q. All right. Did anything that you read in the description of Lieutenant Lebrecht's review of this call raise concerns for you?
A. It did. Officer safety concerns.
Q. What were those concerns with this one?
A. That, well, one, he didn't -- part of it was he didn't -he drove right past them and -- before he made contact with them, which is an officer safety concern, but then it was -the timing of how he did it was confusing. He called out his -- he needed a code one cover unit or -- it was a strange call.
Q. Did Lieutenant Lebrecht give an opinion in this running log that he found no reason for the stop?
A. Yes.
Q. The next incident that --

THE COURT: Can you relate that stop to a particular incident? You have a date, but I don't think it's clear, without the introduction of this document, what that involves.

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Can you give us the -- the incident number for that incident?
A. It's 12-05-04-518738.
Q. All right. Then the next incident there that's described to you is the Whitney Harder incident; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When you received this document from Lieutenant Lebrecht, was this your first knowledge of the Whitney Harder incident? A. I believe so.
Q. What went through your mind when you read

Lieutenant Lebrecht's description of that incident?
A. I had some serious concerns about his actions in this
incident. Officer safety. Officer safety for himself and for our campus and our other officers.
Q. And we're going to come back to that in a minute. I just want to talk about the last incident described in this log.

And this involves a video that we saw yesterday, I believe, of the man Mr. Cleavenger claimed was digging through a garbage can?
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A. Yes.
Q. All right. What was it about that stop, that interaction that he had, that raised concern for you?
A. I didn't understand why he stopped him, and then, you know, wanting to see his ID. I didn't see that the man was ever canning, but yet he stopped him.
Q. What about the offer to give him cans in exchange for his ID?
A. That also was a concern, because I -- he -- he says, "Hey,

I'll give you some cans from my trunk if you let me see your
ID." That's not how we do police work.
Q. What's inappropriate about that?
A. Well, to me it was like, you know, asking him to do something in exchange for a favor. It's wrong.
Q. All right. So when you got this log on May 12 from Lieutenant Lebrecht, do you recall speaking with him in person shortly thereafter?
A. I do.
Q. Did you talk about the Whitney Harder incident at that time?
A. I did.
Q. What do you recall Lieutenant Lebrecht telling you about that incident?
A. I remember him telling me that -- I believe it was Hermens
had come to him and said -- talked about it in briefing, or
something, that, "Hey you know that transport that Cleavenger did, the -- he put a -- he let her keep her firearm and gave her a ride." And that's a huge officer safety issue. I -- our officers -- when they first became police officers, they weren't armed, and we wouldn't let them take anybody to jail because of the inherent risk of taking a suspect, unarmed, to jail for them. Because people do have access to weapons, and they cleverly conceal them, and so it's a risk.

So the fact that he would put an armed woman, distraught, obviously in a -- a difficult, even perhaps stalking situation, in the back of his car, was unthinkable. I was shocked.
Q. There was some testimony in this case about an officer shooting that occurred shortly before this. Officer Kilcullen.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you work with Officer Kilcullen?
A. I worked very closely with Officer Kilcullen.
Q. What happened with him?
A. Officer Kilcullen was on his way home from shift, tried to stop a violator. She took -- took off. He followed her. She stopped at a light. He pulled up alongside of her, and she turned and shot him. Killed him.
Q. Did that -- that experience, that having happened, did that play into your reaction to finding out about Mr. Cleavenger's decision to take Whitney Harder with a gun? A. It did. Over the course of my career, I've gone to way
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too many police funerals. And this particular one in April of 2011 was a huge impact. I never want to go to another police funeral. And I want to keep my officers as safe as I possibly can so they get to go home at the end of the day. I -- I -- it was terrible.
Q. Is it ever okay to take an unknown person in the back of your patrol car with them having a loaded gun?
A. I would never do it. There's so many other options available to choose from.
Q. Did you take this information to Doug Tripp when you got it?
A. I did.
Q. Why did you go to Doug Tripp before you took action?
A. Because he was still in charge of the department.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Do you have an exhibit?
MS. COIT: Exhibit 320.
THE COURT: Exhibit 320. Has that been received? MS. COIT: No. THE COURT: All right. You may approach.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 320?
A. I do.
Q. What is that?
A. It's an email correspondence with Chief Tripp.
Q. And yourself?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is the date on that?
A. May 14, 2012.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, defense offers 320.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
\(\quad\) THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. coIT: (Continuing)
Q. I want to look at the last email in the chain. The most
recent.
A. Yes.
Q. Are you writing this email to Doug Tripp? Sorry.
All right. Let's just look on the screen. Start at the
bottom email, May 14,2012, at 7:55 p.m.
A. Okay.
Q. You say, "I'm meeting with Brandon and Mike tomorrow, but
I'm seriously considering pulling JC from field duty due to his
extreme disregard for officer safety and unlawful stops. This
information comes reviewing his recorder and video contacting
people he contacts. A couple of nights ago he was flagged down
in the DPS east parking lot by a female who was frightened by
her boyfriend, that her boyfriend was stalking her. She was
carrying a gun on her side; had a concealed weapons permit.
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Cleavenger gave her a ride to a downtown hotel, allowing her to remain armed in his backseat. He did get permission for the transport from Cameron, but he failed to tell him she was armed."

Then you go on. "About that same day, Cleavenger observed a guy at the recycling and tells him he thinks he saw him canning."

A. Yes.
Q. "He tells the guy he'll make him a deal. Show him his ID, and he'll give him cans from his trunk. The guy declined, and then Cleavenger demanded the ID but said he would only get a warning. He runs the guy. No record. Releases him. Hermens contacts JC later and said he checked the guy JC ran and there should have been a long record. JC wrote his name wrong. JC hunts for the guy and detains. Runs him again. No warrant. And while explaining, he allows the guy to walk along behind him. I think we risk damage to the department reputation, as well, as long as he's out there. By the way, Randy Wardlow was in agreement for a written reprimand for past behavior."

What was the purpose of sending that email to Chief Tripp?
A. To tell him how alarmed I was by these incidents and how I
felt that to protect him and other officers we needed to pull him from his assignment.
Q. Why did you think pulling him from his assignment was so

\section*{urgent at that point?}
A. Because I didn't trust his judgment anymore. I didn't know what was going to happen if I didn't pull him out of that role he was in.
Q. Chief Tripp responded to you. He says, "I see this as unlawful in nature and gross negligence. If true and supported by facts, I really believe he needs to be released from employment. Likewise, you have prior issues which I believe are documented. There should -- these should be used to support this action."

Then he goes on to tell you he would be open to negotiate the settlement. However, this would be predicated on your findings, as illegal activity and gross negligence are unacceptable. Please take a firm stance. You have my support."

What was Chief Tripp's recommendation at that point in May of 2012?
A. I think in his mind Mr. Cleavenger should be terminated. Q. And you respond 14 minutes later, "Copy. Thanks. I truly believe we must remove him as soon as possible."

Did you mean terminate there or did you mean remove him from enforcement duties?
A. Remove him from enforcement duties.
Q. "We will make sure to document everything. There is a running log. And work swiftly."
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Why were you making this statement that you would be sure to document everything?
A. Because it would be important.
Q. "I have not ever heard of such bizarre behavior by a uniformed officer."

Was that a true statement?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And then Tripp finally chimes in, "Well, you can't get it right all the time. What is most important is how you react when you realize the mistake. It is really unfortunate, as he had something to offer, but that seems to be lost potential."

What did you do after you got this email from Doug Tripp?
A. I worked with Randy Wardlow and human resources to put together a reassignment for Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. Let's look at Exhibit 411.

MS. COIT: Exhibit 411, Your Honor.
THE COURT: 411. Has that been received?
MS. COIT: Not yet.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize 411?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is Exhibit 411?
A. It's an email conversation between Doug Tripp and I , with an attachment by Randy Wardlow as well.
Q. What is the date of the top email?
A. May 19, 2012.
Q. Okay. And then the first email, starting on the second

\section*{page, what is that date?}
A. May \(18,2012\).
Q. That's from Randy Wardlow?
A. The one at the top or the one from Doug Tripp to Randy ?
Q. The one at the bottom.
A. Sorry. Yes, it's from Randy Wardlow.

MS. COIT: Defense offers 411.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Bottom email there from Randy Wardlow, he says, "Good morning. I had a chance to speak with Linda" -Is that Linda King?
A. Yes.
Q. -- "regarding Officer Cleavenger's behaviors yesterday, and we agree that a fitness referral would be appropriate."

Do you know why they were discussing a fitness referral at that point?
A. I think to see what might explain some of the behaviors that Mr. Cleavenger was displaying.
Q. He continues, "In the interim, it is also appropriate that
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you consider reassigning him to nonpatrol duty."
Had you informed Randy Wardlow at this point that that is
something that you wanted done?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. Why was it that you needed to discuss that decision with human resources?
A. Any decisions involving discipline or change of duties, and that, were always vetted through human resources.
Q. All right. Then -- so Doug Tripp responds to Mr. Wardlow, and he says, "Carolyn and I will discuss further and let you know our preferred approach."

Was Doug Tripp's preference at that point to terminate
Mr. Cleavenger rather than go for a fitness-for-duty?
A. It was.
Q. Do you recall what your position was at that point; what you wanted to do?
A. I didn't think we had enough information to make that determination at that time.
Q. Okay. Then you respond to Doug on May 18th at 12:59 p.m.
and you tell Doug that you've discussed with Mike and
Brandon -- that's Mike Morrow and Brandon Lebrecht?
A. Yes.
Q. You said the three of you spoke with Randy Wardlow regarding a discussion you had with Chief Tripp related to preference for for-cause versus fitness-for-duty.
for me, and I was afraid for him and everyone that worked around him.
Q. All right. The date of that email is May 18, 2012. Did you reassign Mr. Cleavenger that day?
A. I did.

MS. COIT: Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, Your Honor. It's been received.

THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 2.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Is Exhibit 2 the reassignment notice that you gave to

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you draft this document?
A. I don't believe I did. I think someone in HR did. I
don't -- I don't recall drafting it. I may have.
Q. On the top, is that Mr. Cleavenger's initials?
A. I believe so.
Q. Okay. Did you actually give this document to

Mr. Cleavenger, or did Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. Lieutenant Lebrecht did that.
Q. And why was Lieutenant Lebrecht the one to give it to him rather than you?
A. It was just closer to the chain of command.
Q. Now, the temporary reassignment notice, it doesn't give any details about the investigation that's discussed or who's
doing the investigating. Do you know why those details aren't in there?
A. I don't.
Q. Was this a thought-out document, or was this something that needed to be done quickly and given to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Oh, I needed to reassign him. I think in my email to

Doug Tripp I said, "I need to hear back from you about the reassignment because he's scheduled to report for duty at 1500."
Q. And you were not comfortable putting him back out as an enforcement officer at that point?
A. No.
Q. Between the time you found out about his transporting Ms. Harder with the gun and when he's given this reassignment notice, did he work any shifts, that you know of?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. So you immediately took him off enforcement action?
A. Yes.
Q. With this notice, what were you telling him to stop doing ?
A. My intent in this memo was that you are not to be acting
in a commissioned capacity. We changed his uniform to one of a
polo shirt, and he was not to do any enforcement.
Q. Had you lost trust in him at that point?
A. I didn't trust his judgment anymore.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, this might be a good time for
a break.
THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we'll come get you in 20 minutes. Don't discuss this matter amongst yourselves. Don't form any opinions about this matter, and have a nice recess.

Counsel, if you would remain for one moment.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: Chief, would you be kind enough to hand
me Exhibit 403? Let's get a fair record concerning this document. What --

Chief, you may step down.
These are Mr. Lebrecht's notes. This would be the document you're objecting to, Counsel?

MR. MCDOUGAL: My objection was that --
THE COURT: I can't hear you.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, my surprise and offguard is that one different than the one I had marked as 403 was being given to the witness, and the material differences -- the 403 I was given doesn't have the date of the email on it.

MS. COIT: We replaced those emails and produced them to you over the weekend because we discussed the archive date was on there, and so we discussed we would go find the email that had the actual sent date, and that's what we replaced over the weekend.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't have a problem now. I was
able to sort it out while he was testifying.
THE COURT: Can this be received, then?
MR. MCDOUGAL: What?
THE COURT: Can this be received then? I'm extending
each of you the courtesy because here's another dispute between the two of you over discovery.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Hold on. I need to talk to counsel.
I don't understand.
THE COURT: Okay. Talk to counsel.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, my apologies. I thought it
was 10:30. I wouldn't have broke so early.
THE COURT: That's fine.
Counsel, why don't you two have that discussion.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, at --
MR. MCDOUGAL: Hold on. He wants us to have a

\section*{discussion.}

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: It's as simple as this, Counsel: If you received this document before, but it just didn't have the date, there were a whole lot of conversations concerning archive dates versus dates. Is this essentially the same document you received?

MR. MCDOUGAL: This deals with Lebrecht and -THE COURT: I've got one attorney.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
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THE COURT: You.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay. Apparently, in Mr. Lebrecht's
deposition, he testified he did not have notes and he re-created them. And if these are a re-creation, we object to them. Lebrecht testified that he re-created his notes. Mr. Lebrecht was not asked about these when he was on the stand. If it's an after-the-fact re-creation, we object to them.

MS. COIT: It's a completely different document. These are not his supervisory notes. I have not introduced his supervisory notes. This was the running log that was produced to you. It was turned into the performance evaluation. That is Exhibit 351. This document was produced as one of our exhibits at the very beginning of this -- this trial.

THE COURT: I understand this now. The objection is
overruled. They're received, Counsel. I'll receive this in front of the jury.

Counsel, now are there any other discovery disputes that the two of you have that you haven't brought to my attention?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: What other exhibits are you going to offer?

MR. MCDOUGAL: We have them, Jason.
Not that I'm aware of.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MS. COIT: Not that I'm aware of.
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THE COURT: No more surprises. Thank you very much. Have a nice recess.
(Recess taken.)

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I have a short issue. The document that I just offered, 403, and that was received, it's come to my attention it's not exactly what I thought it was. It does contain part of the supervisor notes, so I'm going to withdraw that exhibit.

MR. MCDOUGAL: One other short matter, Your Honor, an agreement between counsel and I that no question I ask, nothing I say, can open the door to them bringing in this traumatic brain injury that I thought I -- I let it --

THE COURT: I hadn't heard that they -- I hadn't heard that they brought in her brain injury.

MR. MCDOUGAL: She testified --
THE COURT: Other than -- no, just a moment. She can bring in the fact of her personal life and what's happening and the brain injury in terms of why the chief thought an administrative position would be better for her family, her health, or whatever, in terms of applying for the University of Oregon job.

What I'm hearing is your great fear is that the brain injury that she suffered would lead to some reason or excuse concerning notes. I thought the representation was that there weren't going to be any discussions concerning that.
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MR. MCDOUGAL: No. Defense counsel -- my agreement with defense counsel is there will be no mention of the traumatic brain injury. I got that agreement in lieu of going to discover what she had said in her personal injury case about her traumatic brain injury if she went there. So there was going to be no mention.

I just want the agreement that no matter what I --
THE COURT: I disagree with you. I would never make that ruling.

MR. MCDOUGAL: No, she agreed to that.
MS. COIT: I did not agree to that.
THE COURT: The chief did not testify about anything concerning her traumatic brain injury other than that was the reason for her shifting to and wanting an administrative position. That's entirely appropriate.

Now, whatever your personal agreements or disagreements are, I'm not present. I'm not going to sort out those personal conversations between the two of you. You didn't bring it to me, neither counsel, and I can't sort that out in hindsight.

Now, where do we lie with this traumatic brain injury?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I thought we had a stipulation.
THE COURT: No, apparently.
MR. MCDOUGAL: What?
THE COURT: She's disagreeing with you. You do not have that stipulation or agreement. She just said she didn't
say that and she's denying it.
Now, what are we going to do about it?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I just want her to confirm to my -THE COURT: Why don't you walk over and talk to her quietly.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm going to end this. She can just say what she thinks the agreement is.

THE COURT: Get the jury please, Christy.
(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Jury is present, counsel are present, and
the parties are present. Retake the stand, please.
Continue your direct examination, please.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. In -- we just talked about the reassignment that occurred

May 18th of 2012; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In June of 2012 was Chief Tripp removed from his position of authority at the department?
A. Yes. Late June 2012.
Q. Around that time, did the discussions regarding

Mr. Cleavenger focus more on finding ways to retrain him and make him a safe officer?
A. It did.
Q. Was human resources supportive of that -- that track for him?
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A. Yes
Q. Were you supportive of that track for him?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you still have concerns at this point that he could safely operate as a public safety officer?
A. I was hoping the retraining would address those issues.
Q. So you were willing to give it a shot?
A. Yes.
Q. Were Lieutenant Lebrecht and Randy Wardlow handling that aspect of the discussions with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes. Yes.
Q. And at that point Mr. Cleavenger also had a union steward representing him?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you help Lieutenant Lebrecht put together a retraining for Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did you see that retraining plan?
A. I did.
Q. Okay. Did you approve of it?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you think it was sufficient to address the needs that you saw with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I did. I felt Mr. Cleavenger needed to just go back, basically, to square one, build a new foundation, build on that
foundation.
Q. There's been some testimony that on June 1, 2012, Lieutenant Morrow gave Mr. Cleavenger notice of his internal affairs investigation. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
Q. Now there's also been testimony that Mr. Cleavenger had a meeting with you that same day. Do you recall that meeting?
A. I do not recall that meeting.
Q. I take it you don't recall anything he said in that meeting?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you recall at any time during June of 2012 -- and I
know this was a long time ago -- Mr. Cleavenger coming to you
with complaints that he was not being afforded his officer's bill of rights in these investigations that were occurring?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall him ever making that statement to you?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember Mr. Cleavenger asking you if you would handle his step one grievance of his written reprimand?
A. I do remember that.
Q. What was your response to that?
A. I believe after we met -- my recollection is I -- I asked
human resources about it, and Randy Wardlow felt that it would be better for him to sit in on that meeting. That was
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something that he should do, rather than me.
Q. Did you express that to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I did.
Q. How did you do that?
A. I believe it was by email.
Q. If you had agreed to oversee the step one grievance
hearing, would you have done it?
A. Yes.
Q. In 2012, the summer of 2012, what was going on at the University of Oregon?
A. The U.S. Olympic trials was happening in Eugene.
Q. Were you guys pretty busy during that time?
A. We were very busy.
Q. Now, there's been some testimony that Mr. Cleavenger was put back into a PSO role during the Olympic trials. Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Did you approve of that?
A. I didn't. We were caught in a dilemma where he had signed up for overtime for the Olympic trials, and we had asked for HR's guidance on whether we should allow him, because he was in this reassignment, to work the trials, and we didn't get an answer back. And I was concerned about keeping him from overtime that he signed up for; in other words, taking away some -- some money from him, some property right, and I also
weighed into my consideration that we were paired with Eugene police officers. And at that time, although we had some police officers, they weren't in an armed capacity, so they were really in a security function. So I felt like it would not be risk related to him working in that capacity.
Q. When he worked those events during that summer, was he paired up with a Eugene police officer during the entire time?
A. I'm not sure, but that was what we were going to strive to
do. We had some other assignments, too. I recall some security of the residential halls, too, and I'm not sure if he was a part of that.
Q. During the Olympic trials, while this was all going on, was Lieutenant Morrow continuing with his internal affairs investigation?
A. He was.
Q. And was Lieutenant Lebrecht also working on the performance review?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Let's jump to August 13, 2012. We talked yesterday -- well, someday this week -- about a meeting that Lieutenant Lebrecht, Randy Wardlow, Mr. Cleavenger, and his union steward had on that date to discuss the performance review.
A. Yes.
Q. At that time, August 13, 2012, were you still supportive
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of the retraining plan?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware that they were going to make the offer of what this training plan was and what it would entail during that meeting in August?
A. I don't know that I knew that.
Q. But did you know they were going to make the offer at some point?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were supportive of that?
A. Yes.
Q. This was before you had received the results of

Mike Morrow's internal affairs investigation; right?
A. Correct.
Q. All right. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger asking you to
have a meeting with him that afternoon of August 13th?
A. I do.
Q. What did he ask you?
A. He asked me, I think, did I have five minutes to talk.
Q. And did you tell him you did?
A. I did.
Q. Do you recall where you two met?
A. In my office.
Q. And do you recall what time of day it was?
A. Late afternoon.
Q. What do you recall Mr. Cleavenger discussing with you at that meeting?
A. So I ended -- I recall that he talked about -- well, he came in. He was very frustrated, and he came in, and he -- he told me that he felt like Lieutenant Morrow and
Lieutenant Lebrecht had been pointing and laughing at him while
he was inside our building and they were standing outside. He
felt that they were intentionally pointing and laughing at him.
Q. Did he talk about anything else?
A. He told me that he had ADHD, but that really wasn't
relevant to me. My son has that, so I think that's why I remember it.
Q. Did he talk to you about frustrations with the investigation process?
A. Yes. He was very frustrated.
Q. What did he say about that?
A. He just felt that it had gone too far and he was really
frustrated about where it had gotten to.
Q. During that meeting, did Mr. Cleavenger tell you he didn't
think he had gotten his officer's bill of rights during these investigations?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you that he thought Sergeant Cameron and Lieutenant Lebrecht were retaliating against him because of his position on Tasers?
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Q. Did he tell you that there was a discussion of a bowl of dicks list during briefings and he thought it was a waste of time?
A. No.
Q. Did he tell you that other officers had been disparaging
the Occupy Movement during a briefing?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger cry during this meeting?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Would you recall that?
A. I would hope I would. He was frustrated.
Q. What did you say to him? What were your responses?
A. I -- I told him that I would look -- well, I don't remember exactly what I told him, but I do know I talked to Lieutenant Lebrecht and Lieutenant Morrow about the pointing and laughing thing, because that was concerning to me.
Q. Did you tell him anything about your thoughts on the investigation process, if you can recall?
A. I think I may have talked to him about training possibilities or something. I don't recall specifically.
Q. All right. So after that meeting, did you do any
follow-up?
A. I did.
Q. What did you do?
```

A. I went and talked to Lieutenant Lebrecht and Lieutenant Morrow about my meeting with Mr. Cleavenger.
Q. And what did you talk to them about?
A. I talked to them about pointing and laughing and did that
happen, because Mr. Cleavenger was on reassignment and I felt
like, you know, it wouldn't be appropriate to point and laugh at him. That would be very unprofessional.
And they explained to me that from where they were standing, because of the tint on the windows, it wasn't possible that he could have -- it wasn't possible they could have seen him inside the building.
Q. Did you talk to them separately or at the same time?
A. My recollection is I talked to Lieutenant Morrow first and then Lieutenant Lebrecht.
Q. Did you believe them?
A. I did.
Q. All right. During that meeting, do you recall taking
notes?
A. Yes.
Q. During this lawsuit, were you asked to find those notes?
A. Yes.
Q. Could you find them?
A. No.
Q. Do you believe you took notes of your follow-up
discussions with Lieutenant Morrow and Lieutenant Lebrecht?

```
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A. I do now.
Q. All right. During this lawsuit were you asked to find any notes you had regarding this conversation?
A. I was asked to provide any of those types of materials. And I remember that I thought I had probably destroyed my notes of my meeting with Cleavenger, and I -- I still believe I did. But, recently, in preparation for the trial, I located a pad of paper behind a file -- bottom file drawer in my office, and that notepad contained some notes which appear to be notes about my follow-up meeting I had related to a meeting with Mr. Cleavenger.

I do not know when I prepared them, but there's information on that pad of paper that I didn't recall and that I felt I had a duty to provide it to this court, even though it calls into question my ability to recall, because there's some things on there that I didn't remember.
Q. And did you give those notes that you found to me the weekend before we started this trial?
A. I did.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to approach with Exhibit 436.

THE COURT: 436?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Question in aid of objection,
Your Honor.
Do you have any idea when you took those notes?
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THE WITNESS: I do not, sir. I don't believe I dated
the page. I don't know when they were taken, sir.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Do these notes have a date on it? MS. COIT: They do not.
THE COURT: All right. If these refresh your recollection, you can show them to her. I'm not going to receive them at this time.

MS. COIT: Okay.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Are these the notes that you found on that pad of paper and gave to me the weekend before trial?
A. They are.
Q. And just take a moment to look through those notes. Based on what's written there, do you have an opinion of when you created those notes?
A. I -- I don't. I would -- it would be speculation, but I
would assume sometime after I talked with Lieutenant Lebrecht and Lieutenant Morrow.
Q. All right. Is there anything in those notes that discuss officers' bill of rights?
A. No.
Q. Retaliation by supervisors for his position on Tasers?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: No, you can ask him that question.
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MS. COIT: Thank you.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Is there anything in your notes about his position on

Tasers?
A. No.
Q. How about this alleged bowl of dicks list?
A. No.
Q. How about the Occupy Movement?
A. No.

MS. COIT: Defense offers 436.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Same objection.
THE COURT: Not received. They will not be received, Counsel. BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recall being informed sometime in September that

Mr. Cleavenger had declined the offer of retraining?
A. I do.
Q. How did you become aware of that?
A. I believe I read an email that said he had rejected the offer. Q. Are you -- were you aware at the time that the retraining offer was made that it included a request that Mr. -- or term that Mr. Cleavenger drop his grievance of the written reprimand?
```

A. Yes.
Q. Do you know why that term was included in that offer?
A. I don't.
Q. Did you believe that the written reprimand was warranted?
A. Absolutely.
Q. For Mr. Cleavenger to move forward and succeed in the
department, did you believe that the adversarial nature of the
relationship at that point needed to stop?
A. Adversarial relationship?
Q. The fighting of the reprimand of the discipline that was
imposed because of the Spencer View incident and the grievance
process with the union, would you describe that relationship at
that point in time as getting to be adversarial?
A. Yes.
Q. In your opinion, for Mr. Cleavenger to go back through
training successfully and become a successful member of the
department again, was it important for that adversarial nature
of the relationship to stop?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Repeat the question, Counsel.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. For Mr. Cleavenger to become an asset to the department,
for him to become successful in the department again as a
public safety officer, did you believe he needed to go through
retraining and to -- for the adversarial nature of the

```

McDermed - D
relationship to stop?
THE COURT: It has to suggest the answer to be
leading. With the volume of emails in this conversation, it's not leading.

You can answer that question.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. So after you find out that Mr. Cleavenger won't agree to go back into retraining and drop his grievance of the written reprimand, were you still optimistic that this retraining plan was going to be successful?
A. Not very much, because Mr. Cleavenger wasn't accepting responsibility that he had done anything wrong, and I didn't know how to provide effective training if you can't learn from feedback.
Q. Also, during this time, September of 2012, were you becoming aware of Mr. Cleavenger using the radio to call out suspicious situations?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us about that. What were you learning?
A. Mr. Cleavenger was assigned to a nonenforcement role, where -- it was called parking enforcement, but it was a nonpublic safety officer enforcement role where I didn't want him using authority, and I was hope -- my reason for putting him on that assignment was that there wouldn't be instances
where he would put himself in an officer safety position or anyone else.

I learned that these callouts that were of issue to me because he was redirecting staff and what appeared to me to be to try to do some of the public safety officer's role.
Q. Why was this an issue for you?
A. Because there were three specific instances where I had an issue. One was where he reported people drinking alcohol and a public safety officer responded. It was Officer Waggoner. And based on the information he had provided -- Mr. Cleavenger had provided Mr. Waggoner, he issued citations to these people and later found out that the citation was not valid and he needed to rescind them. That was a problem.
Q. Was that the callout that we heard the audio of Mr. Cleavenger saying he could hear the liquid in the beer can ? A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So at some point did you decide that Mr. Cleavenger should be told not to report anything over the radio anymore unless it was really serious?
A. Yes. Q. Tell us about that decision and what your intention was. A. My intent was that he stay off the radio. Parking enforcement officers, of which he was assigned to the duties of, don't regularly get on the radio and advise public safety officers of things that are occurring, and so I -- my intent
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was that he stay off the air unless there was an emergency occurring that he needed to get information out.
Q. Do you recall who you told to give this instruction to Mr. Cleavenger?
A. I believe it was Sergeant Cameron.
Q. Do you recall telling Sergeant Cameron to instruct

Mr. Cleavenger that he couldn't report crimes in other ways?
A. No.
Q. You just told him to stay off the radio?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the Clery Act?
A. Yes.
Q. Tell us what that is.
A. The Clery Act is -- very generally, it requires us to do timely warnings to our campus community and emergency notification about issues that we think are an immediate or ongoing threat to the campus community so that they can keep themselves safe.
Q. Did the instruction that you gave to Mr. Cleavenger to stop using the radio unless it was an emergency, did -- in that -- in your opinion, did that instruction violate the Clery Act?
A. No. It -- you don't have to use a radio to report those types of crimes.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger ever make a complaint to you that he
thought that that instruction was a violation of federal law?
A. No.
Q. All right. Let's talk about Lieutenant Morrow's internal
affairs investigation. Do you recall when you reviewed the
final investigation?
A. About the middle of September.
Q. Had Captain Deshpande already completed his review?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that the general chain of command for an internal
affairs? You had to go through the captain?
A. Yes.
Q. Why is that?
A. Just for an additional review. More eyes on it can detect
issues, problems. Another example of collaboration.
Q. Does the captain have the authority to send the review
back to the investigator for additional investigation if he
feels it's needed?
A. Yes.
Q. And that's supposed to be done before it gets to you;
correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So you get the final document in September of -- middle of
September \(2012 ?\)
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review it at that time?
Q. Did you review it at that time?
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A. I did.
Q. What, if anything, in those findings concerned you?
A. There was a finding of an unlawful stop which was concerning to me.
Q. Did you review the section on fitness-for-duty?
A. I did.
Q. Did that section cause you concerns?
A. It did.
Q. Why was that?
A. Because it called into question Mr. Cleavenger's ability to perform the duties of a public safety officer.
Q. From your experience in internal affairs and as a police officer, if an officer is not fit for duty, can he be successfully retrained and put out into enforcement activities?
A. It's dependent on the reason, but oftentimes not.
Q. Did you begin to look into a fitness-for-duty exam?
A. I believe Lieutenant Morrow did.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach, Your Honor, with Exhibit 429?

THE COURT: Has that been received into evidence? MS. COIT: Not yet.

THE COURT: Just a moment. So we don't have a continuing issue, receive 429

MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Counsel?
```

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Do you recognize Exhibit 429?
A. Yes.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may. }429\mathrm{ is received and you can
publish.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What is 429?
A. It's an email from Lieutenant Morrow to Dr. Corey. He's
talking about a fitness-for-duty exam for Cleavenger and
providing background information.
Q. And what are the attachments that Mike Morrow sent to
Dr. Corey at that time?
A. It looks like his investigative report for his internal
affairs and also the performance review of Lieutenant Lebrecht .
Q. Were you -- you're copied on this email; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you in support of the decision to move forward for a
fitness-for-duty exam for Mr. Cleavenger at that point?
A. Yes
Q. Tell us why you were supportive of that alternative.
A. Due to the findings in Lieutenant Morrow's investigation
and the -- the behaviors described in his report and also
behaviors that Lieutenant Lebrecht had described in his
performance review, I felt that that fitness review was

```
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necessary.
Q. Was that something that you felt needed to be decided before you could determine if retraining would be an acceptable alternative?
A. I believed it would be a tool to help us perhaps structure his training in a different way, if it was determined that we could retrain him.
Q. Okay. Now, look at the second page of 429. This is another email from Mike Morrow to Dr. Corey. This one is dated two days later. September 20th.

In this email Lieutenant Morrow is canceling the fitness-for-duty. He says, "After additional discussions between personnel from the university's human resources and general counsel, it was decide d to move forward with dismissal from duty, based upon poor performance, and not have the employee evaluated as arranged by your office."

Do you recall seeing this email when it was sent?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you -- did you make that decision to not proceed with the fitness-for-duty?
A. I didn't. I was participating in the discussion with general counsel and outside counsel, and it was determined that there was significant safety concerns and we should not continue with the fitness-for-duty exam.
Q. Did you support that decision?
```

A. I did.
Q. Who was involved in those discussions?
A. I know human resources was there. Randy Wardlow. Perhaps
Linda King, as well. I can't remember. General counsel and
then outside counsel and me.
Q. Was Lieutenant Morrow there?
A. I believe so.
Q. Was the -- was it everyone's consensus? Was everyone in
agreement that termination should be pursued at that point?
A. Yes.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to approach with
Exhibit 358? It's the predismissal letter. I don't believe
it's been received.
THE COURT: It has not been received. I'm assuming
counsel has that.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: 358?
THE COURT: Predismissal letter. I can't imagine how
each party doesn't have that.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Received.
MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Tell us what Exhibit }358\mathrm{ is.
A. A notice of disciplinary suspension without pay and

```
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predismissal hearing.
Q. Did you prepare Exhibit 358?
A. No.
Q. Who did?
A. Linda King.
Q. Did you review Exhibit 358 before it was given to

Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you provide Ms. King with information to include in this letter?
A. I did.
Q. Did you sign it?
A. Yes.
Q. The last page. What date did you sign this letter?
A. October 1, 2012.
Q. From your understanding, what is the purpose of a predismissal letter?
A. To give them notice that they are going to be terminated but offer them a chance to come in and talk to human resources about it.
Q. Is it your understanding that every reason that you have based your termination decision on needs to be included in this letter?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Do you know why -- well, from your review of this letter,
did it encompass all of the considerations that you went into your decision to terminate Mr. Cleavenger's employment?
A. No.
Q. Do you know why all of those considerations were not included?
A. I believe part of them, because I asked Linda King about
it, was that with regard to the performance review done by
Lieutenant Lebrecht, he hadn't had a chance to fully provide and discuss the information within that, so she did not put that in there.
Q. The performance review that Lieutenant Lebrecht did, that included the review of the incident with Whitney Harder and the gun; correct?
A. It did.
Q. So that information is not in this predismissal letter?
A. Not specifically.
Q. Did you say Linda King prepared this?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have discussion with Linda King about whether or not she felt the information contained in this letter was sufficient to satisfy the just cause standard for termination? A. Can you repeat that question?
Q. Did you have a discussion with Linda King about this letter, whether or not she felt there was sufficient information contained here to satisfy the just cause
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requirement of the union contract for termination?
A. I don't remember having that discussion. That was -- that was the reason we work with human resources.
Q. Okay. Now, are you aware that Mr. Cleavenger had a meeting with Brian Smith on October 2nd of 2012?
A. Yes.
Q. What are you aware -- when did you become aware of that meeting?
A. I don't recall. I think after it happened.
Q. Do you know what the purpose of that meeting was?
A. I believe it had to do with his grievance, hearing about his grievance.
Q. About the written reprimand?
A. Or, sorry, the reprimand, yes.
Q. Did you attend that meeting on October 2?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall Brian Smith or anyone from -- on behalf of

Brian Smith discussing with you what was told to him by
Mr. Cleavenger at that meeting?
A. No.
Q. The predismissal letter gave notice to Mr. Cleavenger that he could have a predismissal meeting; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you attend that predismissal hearing?
A. No.
Q. Did you have conversation with Linda King, or anyone on her behalf, about what Mr. Cleavenger discussed with her at that predismissal hearing?
A. No.
Q. All right. So Mr. Cleavenger took his termination to arbitration; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you attend that arbitration?
A. I did.
Q. You were there every day; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the evidence that the university presented to support his decision for termination limited to the information in that predismissal letter?
A. It was.
Q. Do you know why additional evidence that went into your termination decision was not presented at the arbitration?
A. Because it wasn't in that predismissal notice.
Q. And that -- having sat through the arbitration and heard the evidence, what additional evidence went into your termination decision that was not brought out at the arbitration?
A. The information that Lieutenant Lebrecht had compiled, and the performance review, and, in particular, the incident with Whitney Harder, were of deep concern to me, and that played a
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lot into my decision about termination.
Q. Did the arbitration involve anything having to do with Lieutenant Morrow's findings that a fitness-for-duty was warranted?
A. No.
Q. Did you hear Mr. Cleavenger complain at that arbitration that he was not afforded his officer bill of rights?
A. I don't recall that.
Q. Did you hear him complain that he was ordered to violate federal law on September 7, 2012, when instructed not to call out over the radio?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall him saying at that arbitration that he felt the Occupy Eugene Movement was disparaged by the officers at the department?
A. No.
Q. All right. So let's move to the arbitration decision
itself.
MS. COIT: Your Honor, permission to approach with
412.

THE COURT: 412. That's been received, hasn't it, Counsel?

MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. You can look through all the pages there. And tell me
what Exhibit 412 is.
A. This is an email conversation between me and my command staff, also general counsel, about the arbitration decision.

MS. COIT: All right. Permission to publish,
Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. Can you look at the document? It has a number at the bottom 8009.

All right. The bottom email there, do you see it being
from Doug Park?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Who is Doug Park?
A. He's general counsel for the University of Oregon.
Q. Was Doug Park telling you in this email that the
arbitration decision had been rendered?
A. Yes.
Q. Before you got this email from Doug Park, were you
already -- were you already aware of what the arbitration decision was?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you become aware of that?
A. I think Lieutenant Lebrecht shared with me that an officer
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had told him Mr. Cleavenger had advised some -- at least one employee at the department of the decision.
Q. He had advised them that he had gained -- he had been awarded reinstatement rights; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall how long before you got this email from

Doug Park you were aware that Mr. Cleavenger had been given reinstatement rights?
A. A few days prior.
Q. All right. So I want to look at the -- sorry -- the
second page of this email. Mr. Park closes with his -- some recommendations of how to proceed.
A. Yes.
Q. It states there, "Cleavenger already has a new job, however, and the arbitrator did find that he engaged in misconduct. Unless UOPD is interested in taking Mr. Cleavenger back, I suggest you pursue a global settlement." Then he says, "Let me know your thoughts."
A. Yes.
Q. Did you respond to Mr. Park?
A. I did.
Q. And that's the top email on 412 -- or 8009 of 412 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you say in this email, second paragraph, "I'm concerned what precedent we set by this decision.

Mr. Cleavenger committed years -- unlawful stops, recording people without their knowledge, was untruthful, and allowed an armed woman displaying significant emotional distress to get in the backseat of his patrol car. If another officer exhibited similar misconduct, I would not want them employed with the department any longer. A written reprimand would not suffice. Not in a police department. I definitely don't want Mr. Cleavenger working for the department again. I value your expertise and what the \(U\) of \(O\) 's next steps are. Just very concerned about how to deal effectively with future misconduct cases.

Now, when you said you didn't want Mr. Cleavenger work ing for the department again, were you responding to Mr. Park's request that you let him know your thoughts on whether or not to bring him back or to pursue a global settlement?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you still -- at the time, was this an accurate
reflection of your thoughts about Mr. Cleavenger coming back to the department?
A. Yes.
Q. Why were you concerned about him coming back?
A. Because I had no idea what I would do with him. I would be faced with the same situation I was when I terminated him -or we terminated him. What options did I have to bring him back as a public safety officer? I didn't know how I would
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accomplish that.
Q. Okay. Let's go to the document that's numbered 8001 at the bottom of 412.

Now, this is an email that we've seen before. And is this you sending Doug Park's email and the arbitration decision to your command staff?
A. Yes.
Q. And you write on March 10, 2014, at 11:35-- well, first, let me ask you: Why the delay between receiving the email from Doug Park on March 6th and sending it to your command staff on March 10th?
A. I -- I don't know. It would be speculation, but probably --

MR. MCDOUGAL: Object, Your Honor.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. That's fine if you don't know.
A. I don't.
Q. You say, "Here's the opinion and award from the arbitrator. I responded to Doug Park's email that while I respected his expertise, I feared the precedent that would set."

Now, that's true. That's what you responded to Doug Park; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. "Mr. Cleavenger committed crimes and the arbitrator
determined a reprimand and a three-day suspension was appropriate discipline."

Again, that's what you told Doug Park; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you told him "No police department would accept that."

And then you reiterate again, "I also said we would not take him back."

And, again, was that your response to Mr. Park's request for your input on whether or not to take him back or explore a global settlement?
A. Yes.
Q. Then it says "Jamie and Randy Geller have not weighed in yet."

Who are Jamie and Randy Geller?
A. Jamie Moffitt is my supervisor, the Vice President of

Finance Administration, and Randy Geller was Mr. Park's boss.
Q. He was general counsel of \(U\) of \(O\) at that time?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And what did you anticipate they would weigh in on?
A. What we would do based on what Doug had -- information

Doug had provided them.
Q. Okay. So you get a response from that email from Andy Bechdolt. And is Mr. Bechdolt a lieutenant at this time? A. Yes.
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Q. So he's part of your command staff?
A. Yes.
Q. Lieutenant Bechdolt says, "There are also Brady issues to consider."
A. Yes.
Q. You respond to that?
A. Yes.
Q. You say, "Yep. I said that, as well, in my response." Now, we didn't actually see that in your response, did we,
to Doug Park?
A. Not the term "Brady," but I did say he was untruthful.
Q. And that's what you were referring to in that email to Lieutenant Bechdolt?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you upset by the arbitration decision?
A. I was. I didn't know what I would do.
Q. But you knew that at some point you may have to take him back; correct?
A. Absolutely. If they determined that was the course of action.
Q. And, in fact, we worked on it and figured out what we
would do with him; correct?
A. We did.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection.
//1
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Q. Are you aware --

THE COURT: Okay. What's the objection?
MR. MCDOUGAL: She's saying "we".
THE COURT: I cannot hear you, Counsel, unless you
get that microphone closer. I apologize.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Counsel is purporting to testify as to
what she did in a question.
THE COURT: Restate the question, Counsel.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. You had conversations with your counsel about what would
be done with Mr. Cleavenger when he came back to the
department; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And what options are -- what was being considered for Mr. Cleavenger when he came back?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Objection.
THE COURT: Objection overruled.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Are they waiving privilege during trial?

THE COURT: Well, that's my concern, also. If I let her get into this, the privilege is going to be waived between counsel, general counsel, and the chief.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, they've already brought in my emails and everything, so I think those have been put at issue.
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THE COURT: Well, they have. But I'm going to keep opening the box, so I want that clear.

MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: So this is going to waive, I would think, the attorney-client privilege.

MS. COIT: On this issue.
THE COURT: No, just -- I'm not sure. But "on this issue" is a pretty broad term, so I'm not going to get trapped into that kind of response. It's going to waive a certain portion of this minimally, and I haven't sorted through that as we go down the road.

So they've already waived a portion of that.
MS. COIT: I understand.
THE COURT: But I'm not sure if that opens up every
attorney-client privilege, so I don't know what counsel has in mind.

So if this is just a general scare tactic that opens --
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's not.
THE COURT: -- up the entire privilege, I'm not enthralled with it. I just don't -- I don't think any of us know where this leads.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Exactly.
THE COURT: There's the problem.
So I'll let you ask the question. Overruled.
We'll take it up in the future about what we think how
expansive this should be. That will give you both a fair opportunity.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Did you make a decision as to what you would do with

Mr. Cleavenger when he returned to work?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was that?
A. Basically --

THE COURT: This is based upon -- this isn't the same question you asked before.

MS. COIT: I agree.
THE COURT: I'm not chilling you on that question.
MS. COIT: I understand.
THE COURT: We've only gotten part of the
attorney-client privilege, you know, voluntarily waived.
You've got -- I'm not concerned about opening up that area.
That area seems now to be appropriate, but I'm not going to let
the plaintiff then seize upon that and make this an expansive
all discussion concerning every conceivable area. But I think it should being limited. It should be limited to the conversations that involved counsel and the chief concerning Mr. Cleavenger's firing.

What would be wrong with that ruling for me, from your perspective?

MR. MCDOUGAL: This is going to -- I -- I can't say
\[
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it out loud, Your Honor, in front of the jury.
THE COURT: All right. Ask the question, Counsel.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. What were you -- what was the plan that you were going to put in place when Mr. Cleavenger returned to work?
A. Basically, what we had in place for him when he would not accept that retraining plan that we had. So a new FTEP-type training, similar to what had been put together.

THE COURT: When you say "we," this is you and your general counsel?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And his name is?
THE WITNESS: Doug Park.
THE COURT: Okay.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Who else was involved in that decision?
A. I don't independently recall.
Q. Okay. Go ahead and explain what you were saying.
A. A plan to bring him back, retrain him in a similar plan that we had put together prior to his -- his termination, and then address any other issues that might impact his ability to perform as a public safety officer.
Q. Do you recall when Mr. Cleavenger was scheduled to come back to work?
A. I recall at first he was scheduled to come back April 1.

My recollection is he had to give two weeks' notice. And then the date changed to perhaps April 21st.
Q. Did Mr. Cleavenger report to work on April 21st?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that a settlement agreement was reached between the union, Mr. Cleavenger, and the university?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you sign that settlement? Well, no. Did you see that settlement agreement before it was signed?
A. No.
Q. Have you seen it since then?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, 376. It's the settlement agreement.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Received.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Now, take a look at that settlement agreement.

MS. COIT: Permission to publish, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. On page 2 , section \(2 B\).
A. Do you mean 3B?
Q. Sorry. 3B.
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All right. Section 3B, did that deal with the grievant; to keep Mr. Cleavenger's information confidential, his disciplinary information?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Just a moment. Did you want that displayed? Because it's come off the screen. In other words, it went up for a brief moment and then it went off.

MS. COIT: There's a sentence in there that -- if counsel is okay with me displaying it, I'm --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, no. It's --
MS. COIT: It doesn't need to be displayed to ask my question.

THE COURT: All right. Please continue.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. So section 3B, part of the agreement was that we would not disclose -- excuse me -- that the university would not disclose Mr. Cleavenger's disciplinary history unless required to do so. Do you see that now?
A. I do.
Q. Were you aware of section \(3 B\) when you made the submission to the district attorney?
A. No.
Q. Did you inform -- well, who was handling, as far as you know, the negotiation of the settlement agreement with Mr. Cleavenger?
A. Both your office -- you and with -- I believe you were working also with Doug Park.
Q. Did you inform me before you made the submission of the information to the district attorney?
A. No.
Q. All right. And part of the settlement agreement also called for Mr. Cleavenger to receive a neutral letter of reference. Do you recall that?
A. Yes.

MS. COIT: Permission to approach with 418? It's already been received.

THE COURT: You may.
Counsel, we also have Elmo. If you want to strike that sentence that's been agreed to, of 376, you can display 3B.

MS. COIT: Okay.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Is this the neutral letter of reference that you gave to Mr. Cleavenger as part of the settlement agreement?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you prepare this document?
A. No.
Q. The contents of the document, are they limited to a description of his job titles, dates he worked for the university, and his pay?
A. Yes.
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Q. Is there any reference in this letter to Mr. Cleavenger's abilities or his credibility or his honesty?
A. No.
Q. All right. Let's talk about the Brady submission. Tell us why you made the decision to submit information to the district attorney.
A. I've known about Brady for a long time. Officers do know that. But there was a practice in Lane County, up until very recently, that if employees were terminated, submissions weren't done.

In my experience with the Eugene Police Department, we had terminated officers that had been untruthful, but information hadn't been provided to the attorney's office. They were just terminated. Or district attorney's office.

But in January 2014, I guess it was, I attended a conference where the then-District Attorney Gardner talked about how they were pulling a work group together to talk about Brady and that to look at putting together consistent practices.

And then in April of 2014, at a chiefs conference, Chief Deputy Patty Perlow, who's now the district attorney, talked about that -- the product of that work group and was really compelling in the fact that we had a duty to disclose information about officers that we were aware of that had issues of truthfulness and possible Brady issues.
office for them to review. It was also my understanding that I merely provided the information, and it's up to the district attorney, his sole decision, on what happens with that information.

MS. COIT: All right. Your Honor, I just wanted to put up on the screen a document that's been received. It's Exhibit 364.

THE COURT: 364?
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. We heard Alex Gardner testify about this document as being the product of the work group that he put together to make Brady practices more uniform in the state.

Do you recall that testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall receiving Exhibit 364 in 2014?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you read it?
A. I did.
Q. Did that document reinforce the understanding that you had been given by Ms. Perlow and Mr. Gardner about your duties to disclose Brady information?
A. It did.
Q. Do you recall receiving drafts of 364 from Mr. Gardner
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prior to the final document coming out?
A. I don't.
Q. When you were told that Mr. Cleavenger was coming back to work at the department, did that raise for you the issue of whether or not you needed to submit the information you had on him to the district attorney?
A. When we knew that he was coming back or we thought he was coming back, we knew we were going to have to address Brady concerns with Mr. Cleavenger. But that -- the conversation that we had, when that came out, was in March, before Ms. Perlow had spoke with us. So I at least was still in the mindset that if he came back we'd have to deal with it, but if he didn't -- if he came back, we would have to deal with it. But if he stayed away, we wouldn't need to do anything. So we were preparing to deal with it if he should come back.
Q. All right. Did that understanding change after the meeting with Ms. Perlow?
A. After the meeting with Ms. Perlow, I felt that we had a duty to submit it. And, in fact, at -- I believe that meeting with Ms. Perlow was after the date -- it was after April 21st when he said he was coming back, and he settled. So I -- I knew at that point he wasn't coming back.
Q. Were you aware at that time that he was working as an officer in Coburg?
A. Yes.
Q. Did that play into your understanding of why you had a continuing obligation to submit the information?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you believe you had information on Mr. Cleavenger that
the district attorney would -- would need to see to make a credibility determination?
A. I did.
Q. What information did you believe you had?
A. I had the findings from Lieutenant Morrow's investigation and also the performance review of Lieutenant Lebrecht, and I felt that there were issues that raised concern that the DA should review.
Q. What was your understanding of your role, as opposed to the DA's role, in making a decision on Brady-listing an officer?
A. I felt my role was to provide information to the district attorney that he or she might not otherwise have access to, because what I heard Gardner and Perlow say was that they're responsible to provide information to prosecutors even if they're not aware of it being out there. They needed us to help them be aware that there's information out there that should be considered.
Q. Why do DAs need this information on officers?
A. It is to provide for -- if there is evidence that a
witness may not be truthful, then that might help the defendant
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in a prosecution.
Q. So, in the end, it's to protect the rights of a criminal defendant; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you motivated in any way to give this information to the district attorney about Mr. Cleavenger to retaliate against him for complaints he had made about the department?
A. No. In fact, he wasn't even coming back, so it wasn't
that I didn't want him to come back. And I was doing it. I was simply doing my duty to provide information to the district attorney.
Q. Who did you ask to put together the information to give to the district attorney?
A. I asked Lieutenant Lebrecht to do that.
Q. Why did you have Lieutenant Lebrecht do that?
A. Lieutenant Lebrecht had the most knowledge of what information was there. He had done the whole performance review.
Q. Did you have any concerns that Lieutenant Lebrecht was not objective and couldn't do this job properly?
A. No.
Q. Were there any instructions or guidelines on how to
prepare a submission to the district attorney?
A. None.
Q. Did you tell Mr. -- or Lieutenant Lebrecht how to go about

\section*{preparing the information?}
A. I didn't.
Q. Had you ever made a Brady submission before?
A. No.
Q. To the best of your knowledge, had Lieutenant Lebrecht?
A. He told me he hadn't.
Q. Did Lieutenant Lebrecht express his hesitation to do this ?
A. Yes.
Q. What did he tell you?
A. He said he feared that it would look like retaliation. It
had been a long time.
Q. You were both named as defendants in this lawsuit at the
time you made this decision; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. In your mind, that was enough? Was that a -- a
justification for you to forego your duties and obligations to provide this information to the district attorney?
A. No.
Q. Despite Mr. -- Lieutenant Lebrecht's concerns, did you instruct him to go forward with the Brady submission?
A. I did. I felt it was the right thing to do.
Q. Did you tell him you felt it was the right thing to do?
A. I did.
Q. Did you review the information Lieutenant Lebrecht put together to submit to the district attorney?
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A. I did.
Q. Did you ask him to make any changes to that information?
A. No.
Q. Did you ask him at some point to make it more concise or smaller?
A. I -- I did. It was a very large document, and I told him if we could provide information that was succinct, that would be better, and that they could always ask for more information if they needed it.
Q. Do you recall if that first packet of material you looked
at included a cover memo that Lieutenant Lebrecht had?
A. I don't.
Q. So the final packet that was submitted to the district
attorney, did Captain Deshpande review it?
A. Yes.
Q. And you reviewed it?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you feel that it was fair and accurate?
A. I did.
Q. Did you instruct Lieutenant Lebrecht to take that information to the district attorney?
A. I instructed both Captain Deshpande and Lieutenant Lebrecht to do that.

MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I approach with 374 and 375? They're letters with the district attorney. They're not
yet received.
THE COURT: All right. You may.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No objection.
THE COURT: Each are received, then, Counsel. 374

BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Do you recognize 374 and 375 ?
Q. Tell us what exhibit --

MS. COIT: Permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Tell us what Exhibit 374 is.
A. It's a letter from Alex Gardner to me.
Q. What did you understand him to be requesting from you in this letter?
A. He wanted to know -- or he wanted to confirm that -- that
it was -- that -- I can just read it. "Writing to confirm the
accurate and -- that this is accurate and that the unfavorable opinion is shared by you and your senior command staff, including Lieutenant Pete Deshpande."
Q. Did you respond to that letter?
A. Yes.
Q. And that is Exhibit 375?
A. Yes.

\section*{and 375.}
A. Yes.


MS. COIT: Okay. That's all I have. Thank you, Chief.

THE COURT: Cross-examination?

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. MCDOUGAL:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. I'd like to start with the Brady-listing materials, while it's on your mind. Let's start with this concept that if somebody is terminated Brady-listing doesn't have to be done. Okay? Can you remind me the purpose of Brady-listing?
A. To -- to identify officers who may have issues with untruthfulness.
Q. Okay. And they have those issues whether they're terminated or not; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So having a mindset that you don't have to protect the defendant's constitutional right if someone is terminated wouldn't make any sense, would it?
A. I believe that's why the -- they put this work group together to change that practice of allowing people to resign or be terminated, because it wasn't good.
Q. If that's the case, you would expect that to be talked about in the Brady v. Maryland document that you've been
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signing your life on; right?
A. I don't know, sir.
Q. It's not in there?
A. Okay.
Q. And anybody who would have a few minutes to think about
this issue would think that's just not common sense; correct?
It wouldn't take a work group to figure it out; correct?
A. I suppose.
Q. And that would be yet another reason that you're giving
for not Brady-listing Cleavenger earlier. Now for the first time on the stand today you're giving that reason; correct?
A. That was my understanding when I went through this process, sir.
Q. Let's look at what you said in your deposition.

Can you give the witness and me a copy of the -- or a
deposition to the witness?
THE COURT: I need to see a copy as well.
MR. MCDOUGAL: You'll need both volumes, Mr. Hess.
Is it okay if Mr. Hess approaches to give the --
THE COURT: Yes. In fact, if it helps him to stand right there and find the pages, if you're going to use more than one page --
\[
\text { MR. MCDOUGAL: Page 94, line 22. December 2, } 2014 .
\]

Page 194, line 22.
Permission to play it as a party opponent?
.

Your Honor. I see the confusion.
THE COURT: Line 195, line 22.
MR. MCDOUGAL: And to the end of that answer.
THE COURT: Now I can read it. So what's the question, Counsel?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Isn't it a fact that you testified more than once that the first time you became aware of the obligation, according to the Brady case, is in January of the year the guidelines came out?
A. I think when I was answering these questions, sir, either

I was discussing it generally that they were -- they were
discussing it. I don't believe that I thought that that was the case back then.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Permission to play the question and answer, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Well, you can play it from 194, line 19
through 196, line 3. That's complete.
MR. MCDOUGAL: May I go down to line 11?
THE COURT: Well, no, line 11 on 190 -- I said 196.
I mean 197 -- is just a question.
MR. MCDOUGAL: No. Line 11 on 196.
THE COURT: No. It's incomplete.
MR. MCDOUGAL: May I play the complete answer ?
THE COURT: Just a moment. 194.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm sorry. 195, line 22. I'm sorry,
m.
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THE COURT: You can play the complete answer.
You will start, Counsel, if I allow this to be played, on page 194, line 19, and it will conclude on page 197, at line 6.

If that's not acceptable, don't play it.
MR. MCDOUGAL: 197, line 6.
MR. HESS: Okay.
(Attempt to play video for the jury.)
MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't want to hold things up. May I have those?

THE COURT: All right.
(Attempt to play video for the jury.)
MR. HESS: Start over.
THE COURT: Start over. Let's move on.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: He's got it.
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: He's got it, Judge.
MR. MCDOUGAL: It's okay. We'll move on.
THE COURT: That's an order. That's not a request now.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. You will agree that on December 2nd you were asked when you were -- first became aware of the Brady -- let me just ask the question.

You'll agree in your deposition you said in January is when you first became aware of the obligation, according to the Brady case, that police officers were supposed to send
documents to the district attorney; correct? 1
A. Sir, I think if you read clear down, that's taken out of 2
context. I was aware that this discussion was occurring, and then I go on to say that by the time the email had come out and I talked with Patty Perlow I felt I needed to do that.

THE COURT: If you're set up now to play that section -- are you?

MR. HESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Then you can play that section that counsel has requested.

Counsel, do you still want those sections played?
MR. MCDOUGAL: I want to ask one other question here.
THE COURT: The problem is chopping off the answer
halfway through.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I understand.
THE COURT: All right.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you have volume two of your deposition?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Can you look at page 331?

THE COURT: For the record, that's Volume 3, January 28th. It's the second volume you have in front of you. Page 331.

And what lines, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Line 22. Sorry. Somebody took the
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pages out here. Can you hand me a fresh deposition copy, Mr. Hess?

BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Let me -- page 331. Okay. Okay. Page 331, line 11. This is your second deposition on January 28, 2015; correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did I ask you the question: The first you knew about this Brady disclosure was from the email you got from Gardner; is that right?

And what was your answer?
A. "I think" --
Q. Do you remember?
A. You want me to read it?
Q. Yes.
A. "I think in my deposition previously I thought I said we heard about it in a conference in a January Oregon Association Chiefs of Police conference and then Alex and then Patty Perlow spoke to us -- and then Patty Perlow had spoke to us at a quarterly chiefs luncheon in Florence, I think, and then an email with the material from Alex Gardner." THE COURT: Counsel, you may also play the previous answer, if would you like, that you requested, if you've got it.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes, Your Honor. I'm a little gun shy about the technical difficulties.

Exhibit 375. Can you display that, Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: I'm sorry. Which one?
MR. MCDOUGAL: 375.
MR. HESS: You said 375?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Who wrote that letter?
A. Counsel.
Q. Doug Park?
A. No.
Q. Who?
A. Ms. Coit.

THE COURT: Could you move that mic closer?
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Ms. Coit.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Let's talk a little bit about this neutral letter of
reference.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You've been involved in HR decisions?
A. Yes.
Q. You collaborated, fair enough to say, with Linda King,

Brian Smith, Doug Park?
A. Yes.
Q. HR decisions?

You share as much information about employees and theirA
A. I'm sure they say they were employed or I -- I don't know what -- a range, I'm sure.
Q. Have you ever heard of this mechanism that a number of HR departments use where they'll say either "eligible for hire" or "not eligible for rehire." Have you ever heard of that?
A. I think so. I think, you know, sometimes people will say, "Would you hire them again?"
Q. Okay. And you were giving Mr. Cleavenger a letter of reference that he could use in the community; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Was there anything, in your mind, materially missing from the letter?
A. There was no opinion about whether he should be hired or not.
Q. Well, you are giving him a letter that talks about his employment. Now, at the time you're writing this letter isn't it your opinion that he's a danger to others and himself?
A. It was my opinion. But the letter was supposed to only include specific things.
Q. Well, isn't that a little misleading to people if it doesn't include the relevant things?
A. I would assume they would ask if the information they were looking for wasn't there.
Q. But I thought you were worried about public safety. A. I was.
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Q. If you really thought he was a threat to public safety, you would say so in the letter; correct?
A. Not in that letter.
Q. So you were going to do a neutral letter of reference by agreement and then send out a different letter after somebody called about it. And that would be considered your settlement terms?
A. I don't know what HR would do if someone else called.
Q. It wouldn't be fair to send out a different letter when you agreed to a neutral letter, would it?
A. We provided for him as -- information on his past job experience.
Q. You listed a number of bizarre behaviors and I'll just go over them again. Let me know if I left any of them out. A knife by South Eugene was the first -- South Eugene High School. Angel wings was the second. The machete incident was the third. Help me out here. Overusing visitor parking was one of them. And then there was one about Mr. Cleavenger and the fire area; is that right?
A. The fire area?
Q. Oh, with the firearm. I'm sorry. With the firearm.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Can't read my own handwriting. My apologies.

And then right after you listed all of those, you were asked if in 2011 a letter of clarification went to

Mr. Cleavenger. Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Fair to say that not a single one of those is in the
letter of clarification?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Okay. So they're not there, to your memory; correct?
A. No.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Now, Exhibit 411, Mr. Hess, please .
MR. HESS: May we publish the exhibit?
THE COURT: Yes. Well, strike that. Has it been
received?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Yes, you may.

\section*{BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)}
Q. You looked at this document a short while ago?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you need a chance to reread it? I tell you what. Let me ask my question and see if you need it.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you highlight the bottom paragraph of -- the first paragraph, starting with "Mike, Brandon."

Right there at the bottom. Just go up. Starts with
"Brandon has prepared a document." Okay.
Go up to "a discussion." I'm sorry.
/1/
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\section*{BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)}
Q. I'll just -- I want to ask you what -- about the first sentence here. "A discussion of the issues won't occur today, but in very near future, once he has time to digest, obtain a steward, if desired, or waive having a steward present."
So at this time you -- did you write that, first?
A. Yes.
Q. So at this time you know that there's going to be charges or allegations made against -- about Mr. Cleavenger's performance or work and that he's going to have a chance to talk about them and even get a steward involved. You knew that at the time; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the next one says, "Brandon has prepared a document for Randy with each allegation of misconduct noted in chronological order and the actions taken by his supervisors to correct, inform, and prevent future behavior included."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Fair to say that in May there was a very collaborative discussion going on between yourself, Doug Park, Brandon Lebrecht, Morrow, and perhaps even others; correct?
A. Not Doug Park. Doug Tripp.
Q. Doug Tripp. I'm sorry. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Where is that document?
A. I -- I believe that is Lieutenant Lebrecht's log.
Q. That log doesn't have anything about actions taken by his
supervisors to correct and inform him or prevent future
behavior, does it?
THE COURT: Counsel, we can't hear you.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Oh.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. Do you know what it is? Have you ever seen it?
A. I don't recall now, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you pull up the --
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. The reassignment notice doesn't mention Whitney Hardlow
\{sic\}, does it?
A. No.
Q. And fair to say, in your mind, this is one of the key
defining moments that sort of changed the arc for
Mr. Cleavenger, as far as you would view him?
A. From my point of view, yes.
Q. And that was never disclosed to him?
A. I believe Lieutenant Lebrecht did discuss it with him.
Q. No. It was never put part of the reason for his termination?
A. Oh, no, sir.
Q. Or a reason for clarification even, as opposed to grooming
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\section*{standards; correct?}
A. No, sir.
Q. And that event was never investigated by IA; correct?
A. No, sir.
Q. And there was a dispute about what had happened; correct?
A. I believe there was two different opinions about it, yes.
Q. Do you have any documents still in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Do you have the email exchange between yourself and

Doug Tripp. Exhibit 320?
A. May?
Q. Yes. May 14, 2012.
A. Yes, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you display that?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I want to focus in on two things and I want to try to do it with my finger here and see.

One thing that Doug Tripp responds when you say, "Look, there's this incident where this woman was carrying a gun. She had concealed weapons, and he gave her a ride, allowing her to remain armed," one of the responses that Chief Tripp had at the time is, "If true and supported by facts, I really believe he needs to be released from employment." Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. One of your responses is, "We will make sure to document

\section*{everything"; correct?}
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So your boss wants you to document and figure out
if that allegation is true and you respond that you will
document everything; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you?
A. I didn't personally.
Q. Who documented what Hermens had to say about the incident?
A. Lieutenant Lebrecht.
Q. And why is there nothing in there about -- you were at trial; right? Hermens said that at the scene Cameron was told by Cleavenger that the woman had a gun. That's not in here. A. I don't recall that.
Q. Okay. All right. Well, there was no formal investigation where everybody was questioned and able to give their side.
There's not a document anywhere that says, "Cleavenger says this. Hermens says this. Cameron says that. This is what we conclude." Nothing like that?
A. No.
Q. And something like that would be useful not only to Chief Tripp, but would also be useful, not just to your superior, but your employee, so he would know and have a right to know and have input and see whether or not, quote, it's true. Fair enough? Afforded that opportunity?
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A. Yes. Possibly.
Q. Never was?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. You at a certain point -- what was the date of the Olympic trials? Do you remember?
A. Last part of June, first part of July, of 2012.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Hess, can you pull up Exhibit 279?
THE COURT: 279?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes.
THE COURT: Has this been received?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
MR. HESS: Permission to publish, Your Honor?
THE COURT: You may. It's been received.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Go to the next page, Mr. Hess. Next
page, please.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. In May, prior to --

MR. MCDOUGAL: Go back one page. Sorry.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. In May, prior to the Olympic trials, you formed some
opinions of Mr. Cleavenger; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And was one of those opinions that he was unsafe?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And was one of those opinions that he had committed

\section*{numerous crimes?}
A. I don't know about numerous.
Q. But the dash cam, you want him -- it crossed your mind maybe we should get him convicted for each time he had a dash cam on and didn't tell somebody; right?
A. I didn't have the thought of convicting him for each time back in May.
Q. Okay. Did you have the thought in May that he had committed crimes?
A. I'm not sure if I was aware of the illegal recording at that time.
Q. Well, anyway --
A. I was aware of the unlawful stop.
Q. You said -- now, the Olympic trials at \(U\) of \(O\), that was a big deal; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Something that was going to spotlight the university in the international community; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And one thing that you were going to be looking for was safety; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you were going to do everything in your power to make sure it was as safe as possible; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the last thing you wanted to do was subject the university to possible embarrassment; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. The last thing you would ever do is put an officer that you thought was unsafe on duty; correct?
A. I didn't put him out there alone, to my knowledge.
Q. Well --
A. He was basically in a security capacity.
Q. Tell me exactly what you told -- did you pair him with someone?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Who did you pair him with?
A. An EPD officer, is my recollection. I don't -- I didn't do it directly.
Q. Let me ask you something. This concept of he's unsafe but we'll use him anyway at an event with international attention, it doesn't make sense, does it, if that was really your mindset?
A. I told you that we were -- had a dilemma that should we deny him some overtime money or let him go do the assignment, and it wasn't a good idea.
Q. So deny overtime versus your version of unsafe. Let him be at an international spotlight event. Overtime won?
A. That was -- that was the discussion. That was the discussion that we had, was, "What should we do?"
Q. Did you use him as a training experience?
A. I don't understand the question.
Q. Did you do a performance review of how he was at the events?
A. Not to my recollection.
Q. Did you ask for any evaluations of how he did?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. And nothing unsafe happened?
A. That's true. Nothing I'm aware of.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you bring up Exhibit 403,
Mr. Hess? After -- Exhibit 279. Is that what is on the screen? Can you highlight the portion you just found for me, Mr. Hess?
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. This is May 17th. Before the Olympic trials. There had
been discussions of criminal charges, it appears.
A. Yes, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Exhibit 403, please, Mr. Hess. I wanted our Exhibit 403. MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's theirs. MR. MCDOUGAL: Okay. Let me go with my hard copy . BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. I'll move on and go back to that. You testified that Mr. Cleavenger told you he had ADHD.
A. Yes, sir.
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Q. Would you be surprised to know that he doesn't?
A. I only know what he told me. I don't know.
Q. Now, with regard to your notes --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- you say you found some behind a cabinet. Is it fair to
say your standard practice was to take notes --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- on a single notepad?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. All the notes of that month?
A. Yeah. Pretty much.
Q. And then at or around the end of each month you would go through that notepad, transfer information from it, and then destroy the notepad?
A. True.
Q. Okay. And this was your standard practice?
A. Generally. Yes, sir.
Q. You were asked to look for notes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You couldn't find notes?
A. No, sir.
Q. This note that you found the weekend before trial --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- was it in a notepad?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And now these notepads usually have your notes for the month; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did that notepad have any other notes?
A. No, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Exhibit 168, please, Mr. Hess.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. As of the date of the arbitrator's decision, you still
believed Mr. Cleavenger committed crimes; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That issue of whether or not Mr. Cleavenger did something inadvertently or knowingly was before the arbitrator, wasn't it?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And he found it was inadvertent; correct?
A. The unlawful stop?
Q. Oh, that's what you're calling a crime?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Oh, okay. I thought you were calling the crime not turning on the dash cam.
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. You were here when Bechdolt testified; correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You said that the order that you gave with regard to Mr. Cleavenger when he was on modified -- or parking duty,
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whatever you want to call it, was that it was only to do emergency callouts. That's your words?
A. I don't recall what I specifically said, but of an emergency nature. So nothing like two people drinking alcohol or something like that.
Q. Okay. But you didn't give order to Mr. Cleavenger, did you?
A. No, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you pull up Exhibit 57, Mr. Hess?
MR. HESS: I apologize.
MR. MCDOUGAL: That's 55. 57.
MR. HESS: My apologies.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Go to the next page.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. All right. Here we go. Do you recall Mr. Cleavenger actually writing down, in an email, what he was told to do? If your order was he was to call out an emergency, shouldn't somebody immediately have responded and said, "Only call out emergencies? That's not what we said. That's not what we meant"?
A. I don't know what he was told by Sergeant Cameron. I just know that the intent of my directive was that he stay off the radio for the most part.
Q. But that's not how he received it. And he made it clear what he received, and he asked for clarification, and nobody

\footnotetext{
clarified; right?
A. I don't know if they did or didn't. I didn't.
Q. You were cc'd on the email chain.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Can you go to page 1, Mr. Hess?
Actually, at the top end on the second one.
BY MR. MCDOUGAL: (Continuing)
Q. You didn't respond?
A. No, sir.
Q. Let's talk about this fitness-for-duty.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. We've already discussed how the Brady listing can be the death knell for someone's career; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Fitness-for-duty is another way that someone can lose their career in law enforcement; correct?
A. I would disagree. I think sometimes a fitness-for-duty can identify a problem that can be corrected and save an employee.
Q. That's not my question. It can also be a way to make sure that they can't work in law enforcement again.
A. It could be, yes.
Q. And you were talking about fitness for duty way back in May of 2012. Dr. Corey, getting him on board.
A. Yes. I believe Randy Wardlow said that he had discussed
it with Linda and that they liked that option and that there
}
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were several doctors to choose from of which one could be Dr. Corey; although, they had a conflict that maybe his associate would have to do it.
Q. All right. Correct me if I heard your answer wrong, but I
thought you said that you didn't get the fitness-for-duty evaluation done out of safety concerns.
A. It wasn't just the -- the discussion wasn't just about doing a fitness-for-duty thing. It was a decision to terminate versus going forward with a fitness-for-duty and that overwhelming concern was safety.
Q. And so you went for --
A. Termination.
Q. And we're talking about -- what time frame are you talking?
A. This was after the results of Lieutenant Morrow's IA investigation was brought forward.
Q. So you decided to terminate. And there's this October 1, 2012, predismissal letter; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, the fitness-for-duty was going to be largely or based in large part upon the handgun incident, the lady with the handgun; right?
A. I think it was going to be based on a number of behaviors that Mr. Cleavenger had demonstrated over the course of his employment.
Q. But that's the one, at least in your mind, as sort of the straw that broke the camel's back?
A. It was for me, but others had input.
Q. But you're the chief; right?
A. I'm not the only decision-maker on employee issues. Q. I understand.

So tell me every reason why one would not put that handgun -- the lady with the handgun event in the termination letter. Every good reason not to.
A. Well, I believe it falls back on the fact that you talked about where there wasn't any documentation about it. It -- the predismissal letter did say -- did talk about safety concerns. Q. It didn't talk about this incident. In fact, he didn't even know what was going on; right? He just gets this letter and it doesn't outline why he's going down; right?
A. I don't know.
Q. Well, then that would have been -- you got HR involved.

They're all about documenting stuff; right?
A. Correct, sir.
Q. And they chose not to document it?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, you were asked about the arbitration and why all your reasons for firing weren't put in the arbitration. Well --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. The whole purpose of this whole process and for having HR
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and for giving letters is to put somebody on fair notice of the reasons why they're being terminated; right?
A. Yes.
Q. It's not really fair if you don't tell them all the reasons, is it?
A. It may not be.
Q. And it wouldn't be fair to say, "Well, you know, you won the arbitration, but we really, really fired you for something else"; right?
A. I don't know anybody said that.
Q. I'm just saying it wouldn't be fair for someone to say it, correct, or do?
A. No.
Q. And this arbitrator, do you know how many decades he had done arbitrations with the National Labor Relations Board? A. I don't know anything about the arbitrator, sir. Q. Your email chain, right after you get the arbitrator's decision, when counsel was doing opening -- and we talked about this on your direct -- she said, "Well, look at that response. She's venting."

I haven't heard any testimony from you that you were venting. Were you?
A. I was -- I don't know what the appropriate term was, but I was really concerned.
Q. Right. Well, the reason why I ask it is because now we've

McDermed - X
learned that even before you heard from Doug Park, a few days before, you had heard through the grapevine that Cleavenger had told people that he won; right?
A. I hadn't seen any decision sir. I didn't know what that meant. I didn't know that he was -- what the terms of the -it was. I didn't know that it -- we were bringing him back. I didn't know anything. I just knew what he had told somebody. That's all I knew.
Q. One way not to have him back is if he's Brady-listed; correct?
A. It depends. I think there are -- it depends. First of all, the DA would have to review it.
Q. I'm just asking if it's one way. That's one way to do it. A. It wasn't my intent.
Q. I asked if it was a way that it could be done.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And the other way could be fitness for duty; right?
A. It could be.
Q. And both were considered?
A. Yes, sir. As options for what we could do for Mr. Cleavenger, but we also looked at retraining. Q. I'm back to a note here about Brady disclosures. You were asked in your direct testimony about a Bowes and you remember the fraudulent parking pass and theft charges?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And she was terminated; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You were asked why you didn't Brady-list her; right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You never once said because she was terminated, did you?
A. I said there was a settlement agreement.
Q. You can't settle the obligation to do a Brady disclosure, can you?
A. Well, I didn't recall anything about this incident, but I
heard Lieutenant Boyd testify. I didn't even recall that Patty Perlow had approached her and asked about whether we were interested in Brady. I have no recollection of that.
Q. My point is you didn't say, "Well, we didn't Brady-list her because she was terminated."
A. I didn't remember anything about Bowes. That was when Chief Tripp was in charge, and I know he was working directly with the district attorney and Lieutenant Boyd.
Q. Well, it's fair enough to say that when Mr. Cleavenger was still employed you knew all the Brady list info; right?
A. Could you repeat that question, sir?
Q. All the information that you gave to the DA about

Mr. Cleavenger's Brady listing, you knew at the time he was employed; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And when he was employed, before the arbitrator's
```

decision, you chose not to give the information to the DA;
correct?
A. I did not give it to the DA then.
Q. Did it cross your mind that Lieutenant Lebrecht was not a
neutral person to put together the Brady-listing materials?
A. No.
Q. Wasn't one of the conditions -- well, first, so you've got
these grave concerns about Mr. Cleavenger and you're saying
that you were going to think that retraining would be
sufficient. Is that your testimony?
A. I was hopeful that it could be.
Q. And what time frame is this retraining discussion going
on?
A. My recollection, it was over the course of summer through
to the latter part of September when he said he didn't want to
do the retraining.
Q. Well, did he say he didn't want to do it or did he say
don't -- don't condition my retraining on me dropping my
grievance?
A. I believe that is what he said.
Q. Okay. So he may have fully well have been willing to get
retrained; right?
A. My concern was was he willing -- it didn't appear he was
willing to take responsibility for his actions related to the
grievance -- or the reprimand.

```
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Q. He had every right to file a grievance?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And dropping his legal right in order to give you the option to retrain, he had every right to say, you know, I'll retrain, but I don't want to drop my legal right; right?
A. Okay.
Q. How many actual citizen complaints of officer safety concerns were ever filed by a citizen against James Cleavenger ?
A. I'm only aware of the Madeline Egan stop.
Q. You mean the call?
A. The call in.
Q. Who wouldn't return Morrow's calls?
A. Yes, sir.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's all I have. Thank you.
THE COURT: Redirect?
MS. COIT: No more questions.
THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you.
Counsel, you have one more additional witness?
MS. COIT: Your Honor, I think we have a stipulation.
THE COURT: You wanted me to read that. Is that
correct? Both parties are stipulating to this?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Can I have that? And make sure I read this correctly based on what you each submitted to me.

Ladies and gentlemen, the parties have reached a stipulation concerning a witness who was going to be called. They both consented, through the stipulation, that this would be a binding piece of evidence, and they've asked the Court to read that to you, and they refer to a couple of exhibits.

That was done last evening.
So, Counsel, track this closely, and make certain this meets with your agreement.

The parties stipulate that after Junction City Police Department Officer Corey Mertz testified Chief Chase ordered a search of Officer Mertz's email and discovered these documents there. And these documents refer to Exhibit 432, Exhibit 433, and Exhibit 434.

Now, just like any piece of evidence, if you want that read back, you can certainly have that read back to you as well.

Now, Counsel, does that comport with your stipulation?
MS. COIT: And, Your Honor, we would offer those documents: 432, 433, and 434.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No objection. THE COURT: Each of those are received into evidence .
And with that stipulation, Counsel, on behalf of the defendant?

MS. COIT: Defense rests.
(Defense rests.)

THE COURT: Counsel, you had stated you have a rebuttal witness.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah. We have one brief rebuttal witness.

THE COURT: Where is that person? Let's see if that person is in the hallway. What time did you order them to be here? We may take a restroom break. And, if so, come right back into session, and then go to lunch. It's a very short witness.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Oh, my understanding is they thought that they -- that we were going to lunch now, so they just left the building.

THE COURT: Why did they have that understanding?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I don't know.
THE COURT: I don't know either. You're apparently resting your case now?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Well, we can get them here.
THE COURT: Your cell phone is operating very rapidly to get them back here?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes, we can get them back here .
THE COURT: I notice your cell phone is now operating very rapidly.

Why don't we take a brief break while they operate their cell phone to get that witness back here very rapidly.

Thank you very much. All right. We'll take 15 minutes.
(Jury not present.)
MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I put one thing on the record?

THE COURT: Not right now.
(Recess taken.)

THE COURT: Back in session. All counsel are present and the parties are present.

Counsel, you stated that you wanted to have something put on the record.

MS. COIT: Yes, Your Honor. Just as a placeholder at
the end of defense's case, I want to renew my motion for judgment as a matter of law.

THE COURT: I'm going to deem that that was made at the end of the defense case.

Have we found that witness?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: He's in security, coming up the elevator right now.

THE COURT: Okay. The second thing is, with
stipulations, there's been two things have occurred in the past. Some counsel want that stipulation typed out with the exhibits behind it. Other counsel believe that the reading is sufficient and the Court just receive the documents. I'm not going to put in a handwritten note, so right now the record should reflect that what you'll have is Exhibit 432 --

Christy, there were two others.

DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Oh, I'm sorry. These two. THE COURT: -- 433 and 434, and the reading of the stipulation into the record is sufficient. Is that acceptable to both parties, or do you want to type that out and have that as an actual exhibit?

MS. COIT: It's acceptable to the defense.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes, that is fine.
THE COURT: That's acceptable? Do you have your witness present?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. Witness is ready.
THE COURT: Would you be kind enough to get the jury,
Christy?
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: What time do you anticipate arguments, Your Honor?

THE COURT: We'll discuss that when this witness is
done. Christy, get the jury. I want to discuss that and see what's fair with the parties, but that's a long discussion.

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Okay.
(Jury present.)
THE COURT: We went over that last night also.
The jury is present. Thank you. All counsel are present. The parties are present. The defendant has rested their case.

And that's subject, Counsel, to once again going over the exhibits, making certain the court records are comporting with both the plaintiff and the defendant.

We can do that when the jury goes to lunch.
There's a very brief rebuttal witness. Counsel, in your rebuttal, would you like to call that witness, please?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. We call Sergeant Chuck Salsbury to the stand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Come forward, sir. Why don't you step into the well and raise your right hand.

CHARLES SALSBURY
called as a witness in behalf of the Plaintiff, being first
duly sworn, is examined and testified as follows:
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Please be seated in this
witness box. The entrance is closest to the wall.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: After you're seated, sir -- would you sit down, face the jury. Would you state your full name and spell your last name, please.

THE WITNESS: Full name is Charles Edward Salsbury,
S-A-L-S-B-U-R-Y.
THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, direct examination.
```

/1/

```
/1/
//1

\footnotetext{
2735
Salsbury - D
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. Sergeant Salsbury, we're calling you today -- there were a variety of statements that Chief Chase made about Junction City and my client and we would like to give -- and yourself that we would like to give you an opportunity to address. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Can you just very briefly tell us your prior work history and experience in law enforcement?
A. Been in law enforcement for approximately 18 and a half years. Seventeen of those was spent with the Junction City Police Department. Currently, I'm employed by the City of Eugene Police Department, where I've been employed 18 months now.
Q. What is your title there at Eugene?
A. Police officer.
Q. What was your title from 2010 to 2013, at Junction City, while my client was employed there?
A. I was a police sergeant with the Junction City Police

Department.
Q. And what is -- how does a sergeant rank there at Junction City in comparison to the chief?
A. It would be second-in-command.
Q. Okay. Let's talk about -- so you, throughout those years, 2010 to 2013, were there when my client was there, correct, at
}
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Junction City?
A. Yes. Correct.
Q. Let's talk about the reserve officers and the policies and
procedures.
Let's start with reserve officers. Were they allowed to
drive Junction City Police Department patrol cars by themselves
if they had permission from a supervisor?
A. They did, yes.
Q. Okay. Were they allowed to make traffic stops when they
did those?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Who came up with these policies and procedures for reserve
officers when they were on duty?
A. It was verbal policies by the chief of police, Mark Chase,
who gave that permission directly to myself and Officer Brandon
Nicol -- that was the reserve coordinator -- to allow reserve
officers on a case-by-case basis, as they were supervised by us
on patrol -- a given patrol shift, if we felt that they were
able and ready to go out on their own, to be allowed to do
that.
Q. Throughout your years of working with Mr. Cleavenger, did
he ever disobey an order and just take a car out on his own to
drive around on patrol without permission?
A. Never.
Q. Throughout his years, did you ever see him make any

```
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A. Yes. Correct.
Q. Let's talk about the reserve officers and the policies and procedures.
Let's start with reserve officers. Were they allowed to drive Junction City Police Department patrol cars by themselves if they had permission from a supervisor?
A. They did, yes.
Q. Okay. Were they allowed to make traffic stops when they did those?
A. Yes, they were.
Q. Who came up with these policies and procedures for reserve officers when they were on duty?
A. It was verbal policies by the chief of police, Mark Chase, who gave that permission directly to myself and Officer Brandon Nicol -- that was the reserve coordinator -- to allow reserve officers on a case-by-case basis, as they were supervised by us on patrol -- a given patrol shift, if we felt that they were able and ready to go out on their own, to be allowed to do that.
Q. Throughout your years of working with Mr. Cleavenger, did he ever disobey an order and just take a car out on his own to drive around on patrol without permission?
Q. Throughout his years, did you ever see him make any

```
illegal stops while you were there at Junction City?
A. Never.
Q. I want to talk about my client and his termination from the University of Oregon and what your role was with that in relation to Junction City. Okay?
A. Okay.
Q. Did my client disclose that he was in trouble and under investigation while still employed at the University of Oregon Police Department?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. And did he disclose -- well, did he disclose that to you?
A. He did, yes.
Q. And did he disclose to you that he had been terminated from the University of Oregon?
A. He did, yes.
Q. Were you asked to investigate the allegations on behalf of Junction City that had been put forward by the University of Oregon Police Department?
A. Yes, I was.
Q. Okay. What were you tasked -- who tasked you to do this?
A. Chief Mark Chase.
Q. And what were you tasked to do?
A. To look into the allegations that were being in-currently being investigated at that time by the University of Oregon.
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Q. So you worked with Chief Chase. Chief Chase knew that my client was being investigated and knew that he was being terminated, and Chief Chase asked you to conduct a separate investigation afterward. That's all accurate?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Who assisted you with that project?
A. Officer Brandon Nicol.
Q. Do you remember my client providing you documentation from

University of Oregon involving the discipline that he had had there?
A. I do, yes. It was quite a large stack, yes.
Q. A lot of paper?
A. Yes. Correct.
Q. What was the outcome of your investigation in relation to what you had been given from the University of Oregon? A. My task was to determine the --

MS. COIT: Object to the foundation. He didn't receive anything from the University of Oregon.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I --
THE COURT: His investigation was on behalf of the Junction City Police Department, and I thought this was a request to him -- I'm sorry -- to the sergeant -- my apologies -- to undertake an investigation, apparently pursuant to Brady, I'm guessing.
//1
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BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Well, you tell us. What were you tasked to do by Chief Chase?
A. I was tasked to look into that investigation to determine suitability of James remaining on as a reserve officer with the City of Junction City.

THE COURT: Junction City? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Where did you get the information from the University of Oregon documents?
A. Two ways.
Q. Okay.
A. I got it from the documentations provided by

James Cleavenger and from phone calls I made to people at the University of Oregon.
Q. And as part of your investigation did you look at the
letter of reprimand, the letter of clarification, his termination letter, and the IA investigation?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you also look at various case reports and videos
involving my client?
A. I don't necessarily -- I recall that there were videos. I
had requested those videos of -- I don't remember the
lieutenant's name at the university, but due to their -- I wasn't able to see the videos directly personally. I was only able to look at the documentation. I had requested those videos and was told that it was currently under litigation and I was not able to obtain those.
Q. Tell us about what -- what was the outcome of your investigation?
A. Based upon my investigation, I brought to the chief that I did not see that there was anything that was suitable for not retaining James on as a reserve officer with the City of Junction City.
Q. How did Chief Chase respond when you told him that? A. He didn't make any comments to me that -- in regards to that. Basically, he said that he would take it into consideration and make a determination at the time of their conclusion of their investigation.

He did say that James would need to be put on -- or I
forget the exact term that he said, but some type of leave, indefinitely, until the outcome of that \(U\) of \(O\) investigation was completed.
Q. So that indefinite leave that Chief Chase ordered, was that communicated to my client?
A. There was a conversation that I had with him, along with Officer Brandon Nicol, yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And what did my client decide to do as a result of
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\section*{that?}
A. He decided to resign on his own.
Q. So, to be clear, was my client ever suspended or terminated from Junction City?
A. Absolutely not.
Q. Did he ever have any discipline on his record while at Junction City?
A. No, he did not.
Q. It was fair to say that at the time my client decided to
resign it was very unclear how long all this University of
Oregon stuff was going to last?
A. Correct.
Q. Were you upset about the fact that you lost

Officer Cleavenger there?
A. Absolutely.
Q. What kind of an officer was he?
A. James was a very dedicated officer to the City of Junction City and the -- the --

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I object. This is not rebuttal.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Chief Chase made a lot of allegations.

THE COURT: Overruled.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Go ahead.
A. I think his value to the Junction City Police Department was critical. He put in a lot of time. I know I utilized him quite a bit, with his skill level, with regards to policies and -- and working through reserve court stuff. I think that he was well-trusted. He was well-respected by the community, by his fellow officers, and he did a fantastic job for our community.
Q. Ever have any questions about my client's truthfulness? A. Never.
Q. Was my client a know-it-all with his law degree within the department?
A. Oh, absolutely not.
Q. Did he act like he was better than everybody else within the department?

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I object. This is not rebuttal.

THE COURT: I've allowed a certain amount of flexibility, Counsel, but I think the import of Chief Chase's testimony and the stipulation when Chief Chase was going to be called back is appropriate for rebuttal through Sergeant Salsbury, but this portion I think is not rebuttal. BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Okay. Let me ask you this question: Did you ever have any officer safety concerns about James Cleavenger while he was employed there?

\section*{A. Never.}

THE COURT: You'll have to each remind me, to be certain that I'm correct in that ruling, if Chief Chase ever testified about lack of credibility.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: He did.
THE COURT: I'm allowing this for -- Well, just a
minute. I'm allowing this because there was a whole question about why he resigned at 2:00 in the morning abruptly and his fitness for duty or nonfitness at Junction City being called into question and the chief had one opinion; Sergeant Salsbury apparently has a different opinion.

If credibility was called into question, then I may allow a question concerning character for truthfulness or veracity or reputation concerning that. I just don't recall from Chief Chase's testimony, quite frankly, if that was.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: He did make comments regarding Mr. Cleavenger's --

MS. COIT: I agree. Chief Chase did.
THE COURT: All right. Then I'll allow that. Limited. You can ask the question about reputation for veracity and truthfulness, Counsel. Beyond that, many of those questions aren't character and are truly opinion testimony. So if you want to ask that question, then let's move on.
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY: (Continuing)
Q. Just so we have a clear record, ever have any concerns
about James Cleavenger's truthfulness throughout all the years 1 you worked with him?
A. No, I have not.
Q. Why did you leave Junction City?
A. Because of supervisor issues.
Q. And, as you sit here today, are you fearful of retaliation by Chief Chase for your testimony even in this case?
A. No, I'm not.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: Cross-examination.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. COIT:
Q. Are you a sergeant at EPD?
A. I am not, no. I'm a police officer.
Q. Thank you. Officer Salsbury, so you conducted an
investigation into Mr. Cleavenger's activities at the
University of Oregon. Is that your testimony?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And you created a report?
A. Honestly, I don't -- it was a couple of years ago. I would assume that I might have wrote a memo or I talked to Chief Chase directly about my findings in regards to what I had -- what I was able to investigate into that -- into that case.

\section*{Salsbury - X}

MS. COIT: Your Honor, may I approach with Defendants' Exhibit 437?

THE COURT: You may. Has it been received into evidence?

MS. COIT: It has not. I apologize. This is the only copy I have. I was given this last night.

THE COURT: Do you want to see what it is, Counsel?
Why don't you follow her up.
Counsel, everybody else has done it. Why don't you just walk across the room?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: May I walk through the well?
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Fine with me.
THE COURT: Okay. 437. 437. That's the exhibit?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: The question?
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Does that refresh your memory of whether or not you created a report?
A. It does.
Q. And what is that document?
A. It's an internal affairs investigation or an investigative control form in regards to what I looked into, the allegations.
Q. And are you the author of that report?
A. I would be, yes.

\footnotetext{
MS. COIT: Your Honor, I offer 437.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: There's highlights on it. THE COURT: Take out the highlights, of course. Any objection?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'd like to read the whole thing.
I gave it a quick glance, but no objection in asking the question.

THE COURT: Give that to counsel. Go ahead and just walk across the well, Counsel.

I assume Chief Chase must have also had this, Counsel, so -- Counsel?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah, I don't have any objection.
THE COURT: Received. Any further questions of the witness, Counsel?

MS. COIT: Yes. Your Honor, permission to publish?
THE COURT: You may.
BY MS. COIT: (Continuing)
Q. Officer Salsbury, is this your report that you created after you looked into this investigation at the University of Oregon?
A. It is.
Q. And the due date says \(12 / 14 / 2012\). Is that the date you turned it in?
A. Can you repeat that question? I'm sorry.
}
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Q. On the bottom it says date due, December 14, 2012.
A. Yes.
Q. Is that the date you turned this in to Chief Chase?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. On page 3 there's an interview summary of an interview done by Mr. Cleavenger. The highlighted area says, "Officer Cleavenger explained that his reprimand and eventual firing ultimately resulted from a dispute loud noise complaint call where he compromised other officers' safety by driving past the location."

Is that what Mr. Cleavenger told you of why he was terminated from the University of Oregon?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you also testified that Mr. Cleavenger told you he was terminated from the University of Oregon; correct?
A. Yes, he did.
Q. When did he tell you that?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Was it right after he was terminated?
A. I don't recall. I remember him showing me a piece of paper, a documentation of his termination.
Q. All right. You write in your report, "The one issue I did have with this entire incident was the fact that Officer Cleavenger was slow to brief his supervisors on this pending action at the university."

Did you counsel Mr. Cleavenger on his failing to report his termination?
A. I'm sure we talked about it if it's in there, yes.
Q. Who did you talk to at the University of Oregon when you were doing this investigation?
A. I don't recall. Is it in the report?
Q. No.
A. I remember talking to a lieutenant and a few other people I attempted to call. You're talking, like I said, 2012, and I don't recall exactly who I talked to specifically.
Q. Did you call Lieutenant Morrow who did the internal affairs investigation?
A. I believe that would be his name, yes.
Q. Didn't you get counseled by Chief Chase after you turned in this investigation for failing to talk to Lieutenant Morrow about the investigation?
A. I never got counseled by Chief Chase in regards to anything in this investigation, that I recall.
Q. Chief Chase never talked to you about this investigation and your failure to investigate by actually talking to the investigating officer at the University of Oregon?
A. I don't ever recall that, ma'am.
Q. What documents did the university give you?
A. The university gave me no documents.

MS. COIT: That's all I have. Thank you.
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THE COURT: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. JASON KAFOURY:
Q. The evidence you looked at was the termination letter, which was dated \(10 / 25 / 12\); correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And the date that you opened this investigation was

11/1/12. Six days later; right?
A. Correct.
Q. Mr. Cleavenger told you he believes he was being specifically targeted by two supervisors who did not like him.
That's what he told you when you interviewed him in relation to
why he was terminated; right?
A. Correct.
Q. And it's fair to say, though, you weren't there to
investigate any claims of retaliation he might have against
these folks. You didn't dig any further than just documenting
that issue?
A. Correct.
Q. And Mr. Cleavenger did not get into the details of why
those people didn't like him, did he?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. It was your conclusion that after reviewing all these materials "these issues seemed to -- to me" -- being you -- "in
my opinion, a training issue. These issues seem to me, in my opinion, a training issue in order to make a person successful."

So that -- after looking at all of this, you thought that helping him, training, would be the best way to deal with it?
A. Yes. Absolutely.
Q. And you do not find -- you do not find, in your opinion, that there are concerns of unethical, unprofessional, or untruthful behavior that may reflect poorly upon Junction City Police Department.

That was your determination after reviewing all these materials; right?
A. Yes, sir. That's correct.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: That's all I have. THE COURT: Recross?

\section*{RECROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MS. COIT:
Q. So fair to say you reached this conclusion that everything at \(U\) of \(O\) was a training issue based on Mr. Cleavenger's representation to you that he was terminated because of a noise complaint at the Spencer View Apartments?
A. That was based upon my investigation, yes.
Q. Did you leave Junction City because you were going to be demoted from sergeant?
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\section*{A. Absolutely not.}

MS. COIT: Okay. No more. THE COURT: All right. May the witness be excused?
All right. Thank you, sir. You may step down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Now, Counsel, on behalf of the defense? MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm not the defense, even though you think I am. THE COURT: Well, it's because you two switched tables. You are sitting in the wrong location.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah. No -- I just -- } \\
& \text { THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, what you should }
\end{aligned}
\]
know is, before I came into this humble court, apparently there's a different way of doing business here. The plaintiff is supposed to be seated closest to the jury, and the defendant is supposed to be seated where the plaintiff is seated. So this has been a constant source of amazement to me, and I thought this must be the local culture here, but apparently it's not.

Now, Counsel, are you resting or do you have any more rebuttal?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. The only thing I would like to do is just make sure we have a clear record of the evidence, if I could read it here from this document that --

THE COURT: I think I received the document, didn't
```

I?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: You received it, yes. THE COURT: 137?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: Then it's received.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay
THE COURT: If you'll clean up the yellow underline, which is appropriate.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
DEPUTY COURTROOM CLERK: Judge, can you repeat the
number, please?
THE COURT: 437. I already received it.
Your concern was it was highlighted. We'll take the highlighting out, and it's received.
Now, is there anything further?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I don't know if Christy got the
thing about 403 was withdrawn. We need to add that.
THE COURT: You're withdrawing 403?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. They withdrew 403.
THE COURT: 403 is withdrawn. Is there anything
further?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.
THE COURT: Any surrebuttal?
MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Would you like to go to lunch?

```

I'll tell you what we're going to discuss. I previously represented that I would instruct first and then the parties would argue, but if I do that, I'll be done with the instructions by -- if we started at \(1: 45\), I would be done reading them to you by five after 2:00. I don't want to split the arguments. In other words, they should be arguing to you at one time, so I'm going to be talking to counsel about arguing first, filling our afternoon with that argument.

Now, you also told Christy this morning, or one of you did, that you're willing to stay later so the case gets to you. Is that true? If so, if you would raise your right hand? One of you has your hand kind of up.

All right. Now, how late? Because that will make a decision -- one of the counsel asked me, you know, just -well, how late can you stay? In other words, I always would rather read the instructions first if I can, but if I have to make a value choice, we'll fill the afternoon with argument, and I'll read the first instructions first thing tomorrow morning when you come in so we fill that time.

A JUROR: We determined 6:00.
THE COURT: Until 6:00. Maybe we can do it, then.
So can you take five minutes to go to lunch? I'm just kidding.
I want you to take an hour, okay? Take your full hour. We've got plenty of things to do. We're not going to lunch. And we'll see you at quarter to \(2: 00\). And I'll figure out if I'm
reading first or if they're arguing first.
(Jury not present.)
THE COURT: Counsel, the case is concluded now, and I
gave you some tentative thoughts yesterday. I want you to remain. I'll give you one more chance to argue what you submitted last evening and then make the final decision. Okay. (Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Counsel are present. Parties need not be present. Mr. Cleavenger is not here, nor is the chief or the lieutenant or the sergeant.

Thank you for your submissions last evening. I've considered the parties' objections sent to the Court last night. And, Counsel, if you would like to read your objections into the record, you're more than welcome to. In other words, I want to make certain that there's an adequate record for appellate review for either of you, and I want to preserve that record for the circuit.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I believe we filed ours with the court, so they're preserved.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. COIT: Ours were filed today as well.
THE COURT: You have them filed today?
MS. COIT: We did file it.
THE COURT: As long as you have an accurate record .
Do any of you wish to restate your argument? You're more
than welcome to.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I don't wish to restate my argument .
I might reply to one issue that's pending, but it's one of their arguments.

I think that on cross of McDermed, it was clear that a jury could find that Linda King would communicate to McDermed things that she was told from Cleavenger. And Brian Smith.

THE COURT: Counsel, you can state your argument. You can argue against any of their submissions.

MS. COIT: Linda King was here, and she was not asked
the question if she told Chief McDermed or any of the defendants about it. The defendants have all testified that they had no conversations with Linda King about what was discussed at the predismissal.

They have the burden of proof. The jury can't just make a jump off a cliff because of something that could possibly have happened.

There's no -- no evidence from which they could conclude that they had the knowledge of what Linda King was told in that meeting. Same with Brian Smith.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else that each of you or either of you would like to state for the record?

MR. MCDOUGAL: One other thing. Mr. Kafoury says that the chief said that she talked to Brian Smith.

THE COURT: I can't hear you.

MR. MCDOUGAL: Mr. Kafoury believes that the chief said that she talked to Brian Smith after the meeting.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Counsel?
MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Counsel, anything else?
MR. MCDOUGAL: On a different instruction, we have an agreement with defense counsel, but we can wait on that if you wish.

THE COURT: Well, before I make my rulings, you might -- if it affects my rulings at all, you may want --

MR. MCDOUGAL: It doesn't affect your rulings on this matter.

THE COURT: Okay. Then as to the September 2002 order about felonies, the Court disagrees with the plaintiff that the speech and email at the time of the incident related to a matter of public concern. The latter reports of the allegedly illegal order, however, was a matter of public concern.

As to the filing of the lawsuit itself, the Court disagrees that the filing of the lawsuit was protected because it related to a matter of public concern. This Court believes that the present jury instruction on the topic is sufficient but has modified the verdict form to reflect the filing of the lawsuit as a category of speech. And you'll see that on page 3 -- sorry, page 2.

Did I say "disagrees"? My apologies. I did. I misspoke.
As to the filing of the lawsuit itself, I misspoke. The Court agrees that the filing of the lawsuit was protected because it related to a matter of public concern. I apologize for misreading that.

Once again, I've modified the verdict form to reflect the filing of the lawsuit as a category of speech. I'm going to allow the plaintiff to present an alternative, if you desire, in a few moments, and I'll show you what we've done.

> MR. MCDOUGAL: All right.

THE COURT: Third, as to defendants' objection regarding the sufficiency of the evidence on a matter of public concern, this Court finds that there's sufficient evidence to conclude that speech about the list and about the Occupy Movement was an order -- was on a matter of public concern.

I still need to resolve the issue of the affirmative defense instruction, so I'm going to hear argument on that issue. And, in fact, I'm going to give you the Court's working copy right now with the verdict form and refer you all to also page 1.

Would somebody put page 1 up on the list -- I'm going to have to do this by memory, because this -- up on the Elmo, because I'm going to do this by memory.

On page 1, so we can put that up --
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Which section, Your Honor?

THE COURT: I want you to go down to -- go down, go down, go down. Right there, where it's highlighted in yellow.

I'm reluctant to have the Court look like it's making a finding when the two of you are debating that this is just a silly list or if it's a bowl of dicks list that's specifically being spoken about. And if I start labeling that in my instructions, it would appear to the jury like the Court has made a determination, and you two have had a constant battle and disagreement over whether this was just a list kept on a cell phone, added to on occasion, which is the defendants' position, or specifically a bowl of dicks list that was the -and I can't get used to you sitting on this side -- plaintiff's position.

I just drew a line for the present time, but if you read that it reads list/bowl of dicks list. Unless you are reading that carefully -- so I don't quite know what to do about that. And I talked to you about that at 11:00 the other evening when we got together and raised that for the first time I was concerned about that kind of labeling. I don't want to give either one of you an advantage.

So how do I list this? Complaints about the alleged list?
MR. MCDOUGAL: How about "list or bowl of dicks list"? That works for the plaintiff.

THE COURT: How about list or -- use the disjunctive "or"?
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MR. MCDOUGAL: Yeah.
THE COURT: What do you think, Counsel?
MS. COIT: That's fine.
THE COURT: Everybody knows there's a list of some
kind.
MS. COIT: Right.
THE COURT: It's how it's referred to.
MR. MCDOUGAL: I think she said she's fine with "or."
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that okay with you?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Or. We'll use the disjunctive.
Marie is trying to word process this for you so you don't have to go back to your offices.

MS. COIT: Thank you, Marie.
THE COURT: Turn to -- I've got to do this by memory,
but turn to page 3 on that -- put it up on the Elmo, and it may be page 2. Let me see.

No. Go back to page 2. My apologies. Thank you.
Go down to the last box. Yeah. Now go down. Move it down. Right there. This is what has been added that you didn't have last evening. You see the bottom: Filing the lawsuit on October 2013? We think that's much clear and comports with the jury instruction in light of my ruling. So we put that in as well.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Didn't you previously have the contents, you know, of the lawsuit that were protected and the lawsuit itself?

THE COURT: Yes. We think this is much clearer. MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. Well --
THE COURT: You divide it in two and we --
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Could we add that into it, so the
filing of the lawsuit and the content within the lawsuit itself?

THE COURT: Write it down for me. Handwrite that. That way we're not bouncing back verbally.

I will tell you what I'm willing to do: Upon reflection, I'm willing to try -- if you really think we can get done by 6:00 -- with no pressure on you to curtail your time. I don't want that. I'll make the effort. In other words, I'll make the effort to read the instructions first.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Let me ask you, as a veteran, you know the number of pages, how many minutes do you really think it's going to be for your --

MR. MCDOUGAL: Jason, you're wasting time.
THE COURT: As I was litigating I always used to go
over my argument by verbally making me pronounce the argument usually in my bedroom or the hallway, and I used to know those times. But when I'm sitting here on the bench reading these mentally, I can read them much quicker. So I just don't know.

My job isn't to speed through the instructions. It's to try to make them understandable. This is a relatively complex area, the First Amendment. Speech.

The courts, quite frankly, have been in quite disarray about this.

Now, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. We would add filing a lawsuit on
October 13th and its contents.
THE COURT: Give that back to me, then. I'll reconsider it.

So I'm willing to try to read these instructions first. And, quite frankly, maybe 6:00 doesn't mean 6:00 on the bell. I just don't know if they have child care problems. Maybe it means 6:05. But what I'm not willing to do is shorten their break between the arguments. They need some rest, and it's fair to the other party. So I don't want to rush them in and out while you prepare for the defense argument.

Now, what about the resolution of the issue of the affirmative defense instruction? Let me hear your argument. MR. MCDOUGAL: It's been resolved by counsel, Your Honor. The agreement is to delete the affirmative defense instruction and then on the damages instruction replace the wording to wages -- dot, dot, dot -- that were lost after June 16, 2013.

THE COURT: All right. Now, write that down because
we're going to go back and word process that for you so you don't have to go back to your office.

Now, tell me what else do we need to do in the next 35 minutes because I'm trying to give you some time.

MR. MCDOUGAL: I'm not aware of anything.
THE COURT: Are you okay, Counsel?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Are you okay?
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Well, are we on when you're
going to instruct, Your Honor? We might --
THE COURT: I just said I was going to try to do it at the beginning. I changed my mind. I was going to make the attempt.

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Okay. But does the math work?
THE COURT: Pardon me?
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Does the math work?
THE COURT: I don't know.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I tell you what. I would be willing to take a five- or ten-minute break before my rebuttal.

MR. MCDOUGAL: That's for the jury. Not us.
THE COURT: That would work.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: But you call it. If that's not fair at
the end and you need a chance to talk as a team, I don't want
to be a judge who cuts you off because of five minutes that you need for conversation. Okay? And if I can get them and nurse them and manipulate them into a little bit after 6:00, then I want to give you the time. But if you can make that attempt, then I think we can make it.

MS. COIT: Jason, I think I'll be an hour.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Just an hour?
THE COURT: Okay. Let us word process this and then let us do the work processing now so you can go to lunch and rest a little bit, and we'll have a set of instructions on the table.

Marie, is that convenient?
Counsel, Marie pointed out to me, and I haven't looked at this -- and that's why we want it in writing. The reasonable value of wages which with reasonable probability --

MR. MCDOUGAL: "Were" lost, it should be, instead of "was." I changed the tense.

THE COURT: Were lost after June 16, 2013. That doesn't make any sense to me.

THE LAW CLERK: No, it makes sense. It's just that "was" is not "were."

THE COURT: Take out the "was" and make it "were."
MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: To the present, should it say, Your Honor?

THE COURT: What?

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: To the present.
MR. MCDOUGAL: Stay out of the jury instructions,

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Well, "were lost" doesn't include the future, Mark.

THE COURT: Could it read this way: The reasonable value of wages which with reasonable probability were lost after June 16, 2013?

MR. MCDOUGAL: Yes. Would that work?
THE COURT: Does that work?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. That's what we'll do, then. That
takes into account your -- that takes into -- Counsel --
Counsel, that takes into account your argument if we phrase it that way.

MR. GREGORY KAFOURY: Thank you, sir. THE COURT: My pleasure. Okay. Go get some lunch.
Is there any further argument, Counsel, about the jury instructions?

MS. COIT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any further argument, Counsel?
MR. MCDOUGAL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Have a nice lunch.
(Recess taken.)
(Jury present.)
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THE COURT: Counsel, would you be seated, please.
Thank you for the courtesy, along with the parties. I'm going to read a set of jury instructions to you. I'm required to read these to you at one place and at one time. That's why they're being read to you, but you'll have a copy of my instructions in the jury room, so you'll have the law in front of you. Please return those to me when you're done.

Members of the jury, now that you've heard all of the evidence and the arguments of the attorneys -- in a few minutes -- it will be my duty to instruct you as to the law of the case.

A copy of these instructions will be sent with you to the jury room when you deliberate. You must not infer from these instructions or from anything that I have said or may say or did or may do as indicating that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be. It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts, you'll apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you, whether you agree with it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes, dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will recall that you took an oath to do so at the beginning of the case.

In following my instructions, you must follow all of them
and not single out some, ignore others -- or ignore others.
They are all important.
When a party has the burden of proof on any claim, by a preponderance of the evidence, it means that you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim or affirmative defense is more probably true than not true. You must base your decision on all the evidence regardless of which party presented it.

Let me read that again.
When a party has the burden of proof on any claim by a preponderance of the evidence, it means that you must be persuaded by the evidence that the claim is more probably true than not true. You should base your decision on all of the evidence regardless of which party presented it.

You should decide the case as to each defendant separately, unless otherwise stated. The instruction applies to all parties.

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the faults are consists of, first, the sworn testimony of any witness; second, the exhibits which were received into evidence; and, third, any facts to which the lawyers have agreed.

In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and the exhibits received into evidence. Certain things are not evidence and you may not consider them in
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deciding what the facts are. I'll list them for you.
First, arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements and will say in their closing arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is not evidence.

If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.

Second, questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it.

Third, testimony that has been excluded or stricken or that you have been instructed to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered -- and must not be considered. In addition, sometimes testimony and exhibits are received only for a limited purpose. When I have given a limiting instruction, you must follow it.

And, fourth, anything that you may have seen or heard when the court was not in session is not evidence. You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received at the trial.

Some evidence may have been admitted for a limited purpose only. When I have instructed you that an item of evidence has been admitted for a limited purpose, you must consider it only
for that limited purpose and for no other.
Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes to distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

There are rules of evidence that can control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asked a question or offered an exhibit into evidence and a lawyer on the other side thought that it was not permitted by the rules of evidence, that lawyer may have objected. If I overruled the objection, the question was answered or the exhibit received. If I sustained the objection, the question could not be answered and the exhibit could not be received. Whenever I sustained an objection to a question, you must ignore the question and must not guess what the answer might have been.

I ordered that some evidence be stricken from the record and you disregard or ignore the evidence. That means that when you are deciding the case, you must not consider the evidence that I told you to disregard.

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide
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which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says or part of it or none of it. Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account, first, the opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to; second, the witness's memory; third, the witness's manner while testifying; fourth, the witness's interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice; fifth, whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony ; sixth, the reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and, seventh, any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

During your deliberations, you will have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You will not have a transcript of the trial, but we have a court reporter, so if there's something you disagree about or something you're not certain of, we can have that testimony reread, but you don't have a transcript that you can look at.

And please be patient with us because it may take some time if you make that kind of request.

The plaintiff brings his claims under Federal Statute
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42 USC section 1983, which provides that any person or persons who under color of law deprives another of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution, our laws of the United States, shall be liable to the injured party.

In order to prevail on his section 1938 claims against the defendants, the plaintiff must prove as to each individual defendant each of the following elements by a preponderance of the evidence: First, the defendant acted under color of law; and, second, the acts of the defendant deprived the plaintiff of his right to freedom of speech under the First Amendment of The United States Constitution as explained in later instructions.

A person acts under color of law when the person acts or purports to act in the performance of official duties under any state, county, or municipal law, ordinance or regulation. The parties have stipulated that the defendants acted under color of law.

If you find the plaintiff has proved each of these elements and if you find that the plaintiff has proved all the elements he is required to prove as to each particular defendant under other instructions I have given you, your verdict should be for the plaintiff.

If, on the other hand, the plaintiff has failed to prove any one or more of these elements to a particular defendant, then your verdict should be for that particular defendant.
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In order to establish that the acts of the defendant -Scott Cameron, Brandon Lebrecht and Carolyn McDermed -deprived the plaintiff of his particular rights under the United States Constitution as explained in later instructions, the plaintiff must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the acts were so closely related to the deprivation of the plaintiff's rights as to be the moving force that caused the ultimate injury.

To help you follow the evidence, I'll give you a brief summary of the position of the parties. The plaintiff, James Cleavenger, was formerly employed as a public safety officer for the University of Oregon Police Department. The three defendants are Carolyn McDermed, who is the chief of police of the department; Brandon Lebrecht, who's a police officer lieutenant in the department; and Scott Cameron, who was a police officer sergeant in the department.

The plaintiff's claims arise from his employment as a public safety officer at the department.

The plaintiff alleges that each of the defendants violated his right to freedom of speech under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. He alleges that they violated his right to freedom of speech by retaliating against him for engaging in protected free speech. He alleges that he -- that they retaliated against him by taking certain adverse employment actions against him during and after his employment.

He seeks compensatory and punitive damages from the defendants. The plaintiff has the burden of proving his claims.

The defendants deny the plaintiff's claims.
The elements of the plaintiff's claim against Defendants Carolyn McDermed and Brandon Lebrecht are different than the elements of his claims against Defendant Scott Cameron.

I will now instruct you on the elements of the plaintiff's claim against Defendants McDermed and Lebrecht.

The plaintiff alleges that Defendants McDermed and Lebrecht violated his First Amendment right to freedom of speech by taking adverse employment actions against him in retaliation for specific protected speech which the plaintiff had engaged in.

The Court will instruct you on the protected speech in the next instruction.

Plaintiff claims that in retaliation for engaging in this protected speech, Defendant s McDermed and Lebrecht took adverse employment actions against the plaintiff. As previously explained, the plaintiff has the burden to proof as to each defendant that the acts or acts -- I'm sorry -- that the act or acts of the defendants deprived the plaintiff of particular rights under the United States Constitution.

In this case, plaintiff alleges each defendant deprived him of his rights under the First Amendment to the Constitution by retaliating against him for engaging in protected speech.
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Under the First Amendment, a public employee has a qualified right -- qualified right to speak on matters of public concern. In order to prove that Defendants McDermed and/or Lebrecht deprived him of his First Amendment right, the plaintiff must prove the following additional elements by a preponderance of the evidence: First, the plaintiff spoke as a citizen and not as part of his official duties; second, the speech was on a matter of public concern ; third, the defendant took an adverse employment action against the plaintiff; and, fourth, the plaintiff's speech was a substantial or motivating factor for the adverse employment action.

I will instruct you as to plaintiff's speech that was on a matter of public concern and, therefore, the second element requires no proof.

An action is an adverse employment action if a reasonable employee would have found the action materially adverse, which means it might have dissuaded a reasonable worker from engaging in protected speech. A substantial or motivating factor is a significant factor.

Plaintiff alleges that the following speech took place. If you find that this speech occurred, the Court instructs you that the topics were matters of public concern and was therefore protected speech as addressed in the previous instruction.

First, when he spoke about Tasers in 2008.

Second, when he complained to Chief McDermed on June 1, 2012, (a) that he thought he was being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights, in violation of state law; (b) that he thought he was being retaliated against by his supervisors because of his Taser speech.

Three, when he complained to Chief McDermed on August 12, 2012 (a) that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights; (b) that he thought he was being retaliated against by his supervision -by his supervisors because of the Taser speech; (c) about the list or bowl of dicks list and the time it wasted; (d) about the disparagement of people in the Occupy Movement.

Four, when he complained to Brian Smith on October 2, 2012, (a) that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights; (b) that he thought that the instruction he received on September 2012 not to call out crimes other than felonies was a violation of federal law; (c) about the list or bowl of dicks list and the time it wasted; (d) about the disparagement of people in the Occupy Movement.

Five, when he complained to Linda King on October 12, 2012, (a) that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights; (b) that he thought the instruction he received on September 2012 not to call out crimes other than felonies was a violation of federal
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Six, when he filed a lawsuit in October of 2013 with allegations, (a) that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights; (b) that he thought the instruction he received in September of 2012 not to call out crimes other than felonies was a violation of federal law; (c) about the list or bowl of dicks list and the time it wasted; (d) about the disparagement of people in the Occupy Movement.

Seven, when he complained in the arbitration in November and December 2013 (a) that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights; (b) that he thought the instruction he received in September of 2012 not to call out crimes other than felonies was a violation of federal law; (c) about the list or bowl of dicks list and the time it wasted.

If you found that speech on these topics occurred, that speech is protected speech on a matter of public concern, as addressed in the previous instruction.

I'll now instruct you on elements of the plaintiff's claim against Defendant Cameron. The plaintiff alleges that Defendant Cameron violated his First Amendment right to freedom of speech by taking adverse actions against him in retaliation for specific protected speech which the plaintiff had engaged in. Specifically, the plaintiff alleges that he engaged in
protected speech before he was employed by the University of Oregon Police Department on the subject of what -- of to what extent and subject to what safeguards public safety officers in the department should be armed with Tasers.

As previously explained, the plaintiff has the burden to prove that the acts of the defendant deprived the plaintiff of particular rights under the United States Constitution.

In this case, the plaintiff alleges the defendant deprived him of his rights under the First Amendment to the Constitution by retaliating against him.

Under the First Amendment, a citizen has the right to free expression. In order to prove that Defendant Cameron deprived him of his -- of this First Amendment right, the plaintiff must prove the following additional elements by a preponderance of the evidence: First, the plaintiff engaged in speech protected under the First Amendment; second, Defendant Cameron took adverse action against the plaintiff; third, the adverse action was reasonably likely to defer protected speech; and, fourth, retaliating against the plaintiff's protected speech was a substantial or motivating factor for the defendants' action.

I instruct you that the plaintiff's speech in this case about Tasers in the University of Oregon Police Department was protected under the First Amendment and, therefore, the first element requires no proof.

It is your duty -- it is the duty of the Court to
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to use reasonable efforts to mitigate damages ; and, second, the amount by which damages would have been mitigated.

If you find for the plaintiff, you may but are not required to award punitive damages. The purpose of punitive damages are to punish a defendant and to deter similar acts in the future. Punitive damages may not be awarded to compensate a plaintiff. The plaintiff has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that punitive damages should be awarded, and, if so, the amount of any such damages.

You may award punitive damages only if you find that the defendants' conduct that harmed the plaintiff was malicious, oppressive, or in reckless disregard of the plaintiff's rights.

Conduct is malicious if it is accomplished by ill-will or spite or if it is for the purpose of injuring the plaintiff. Conduct is a reckless disregard of the plaintiff's rights if under the circumstances it reflects complete indifference to the plaintiff's safety or rights or if the defendant acts in the face of a perceived risk that its actions will violate the plaintiff's rights under federal law.

And that is oppressive if the defendant injures or damages or otherwise violates the rights of the plaintiff with unnecessary harshness or severity, such as by the use -- strike that -- such as by the misuse or abuse of authority or power or by taking advantage of some weakness or disability or misfortune of the plaintiff.
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If you find that punitive damages are appropriate, you must use reason in setting the amount. Punitive damages, if any, should be in an amount sufficient to fulfill their purpose but should not reflect bias, prejudice, or sympathy toward any party.

In considering the amount of any punitive damages, consider the degree of reprehensibility of the defendants' conduct, including whether their conduct that harmed the plaintiff was particularly reprehensible because it also caused actual harm or posed a substantial risk of harm to people who are not parties to this case. You may not, however, set the amount of any punitive damages in order to punish the defendant for harm to anyone other than the plaintiff in this case.

In addition, you may consider the relationship of any award of punitive damages to any actual harm inflicted on the plaintiff. You may impose punitive damages against one or more of the defendants and not others and may award different amounts against different defendants.

Punitive damages may be awarded even if you award the plaintiff only nominal and not compensatory damages.

Any award for future economic damages must be for the present cash value of those damages. Noneconomic damages, such as pain and suffering, are not reduced to present cash value. Present cash value means the sum of money needed now which when invested at a reasonable rate of return will pay future damages
at the times and in the amounts that you find the damages would have been received.

The rate of return to be applied in determining present cash value should be the interest that can reasonably be expected from the safe investment that can be made by a person of ordinary prudence who has ordinary financial experience and skill. You should also consider decreases in the value of money which may be caused by future inflation.

Some of you have taken notes to help you remember the evidence. Whether or not you have taken notes, you should rely on your own memory of the evidence. Notes are only to assist your memory. You should not be overly influenced by your notes or those of your fellow jurors.

When you begin your deliberations after argument of counsel, you should elect one member of the jury as your presiding juror. That person will preside over the deliberations to speak for you here in court. You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so. Your verdict must be unanimous. Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have considered all the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of your fellow jurors. Do not hesitate to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. Do not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right. It is
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A verdict form has been prepared for you. I'll explain that after argument by counsel tonight because I want to make certain that that takes place at one time. The verdict form is very simple. It's self-explanatory. There are seven questions. Every answer to every question must be unanimous . After you have reached a unanimous agreement on a verdict, your presiding juror will fill in the form that's been given to you, sign it, and date it, and advise the Court that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

Now, one just further comment: Don't read about this case. Don't go to the Internet. Don't go to the dictionary. Don't Google or I have to start all over. Okay? You are the only eight people that will hear all the facts in this matter.

Now, Counsel, we will take a five- or ten-minute recess. I think that's fair. Just so you can get set up. We spent a half hour of reading. I want them fresh.

After you argue on behalf of the plaintiff, I'll take another recess before the defendant starts.

Five or ten minutes to stretch, Counsel.
```

                                    (Jury not present.)
    ```

THE COURT: The jury is not present. Counsel, was that reading appropriate? In other words, by that, I mean, did I read those instructions correctly?

MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel on behalf of defense?
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MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: Counsel on behalf of the plaintiff? MR. JASON KAFOURY: You did. However, there's a
typo. The meeting with Chief McDermed everyone agrees is August 13th, not August 12th.

THE COURT: Just a moment. Let me find that.
Let's correct that immediately when the jury comes back out.

Thank you. Go down the instruction. That would be August 13th, not August 12th?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
THE COURT: We'll correct that in the verdict form also.

Okay. Any other misreading, Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.
THE COURT: All right. We'll see you in 10 minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, Counsel, we're going to use a lecturn?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Correct. Yes.
THE COURT: You are both welcome to use it. Okay. MS. COIT: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. Christy, go get the jury.
Counsel, you have said you want me to inform them that the complaint was -- that the Court had misread that the complaint
to Chief McDermed was August 13, 2012, and not August 12th?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes.
(Jury present.)

THE COURT: The jury is present. All counsel are present. Parties are present.

Thank you for your courtesy. Counsel pointed to a mistake in the reading and asked the Court to inform you that it should read properly in the First Amendment free speech instruction, number three, that the complaint to Chief McDermed was on August 13, 2012, not August 12th. It was a one-day difference.

Counsel, your concluding argument -- arguments, please.

\section*{PLAINTIFE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT}

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, opposing counsel, ladies and gentlemen of this jury, first, on behalf of my client, we want to thank you for spending three weeks of your life with us here. You, the community, have been gathered and we are here because James Cleavenger exercised his First Amendment free speech rights. His supervisors were displeased. They targeted him. They dug through his files, went through his videos, searched for anything they could use to paper his files to terminate him, and when he was finally able to challenge their claims in front of a neutral professional arbitrator, over three days, that arbitrator rejected every claim of dishonesty against my client and determined that

\section*{Plaintiff's Closing Argument} job. But rather than accept that decision, the chief refused to take him back. She and Lieutenant Lebrecht filed what's -we all know now, a very well-known procedure called Brady-listing, branding him with that, and ruined his law enforcement career.

What's clear is that once that accusation was done, he can't challenge it, and that's the heart of this case. That's why we've all been brought here.

Who did they do this to?
My client is obviously extremely well-educated. He was number one in his class in the police reserve academy.
Chief Tripp told us he was a ten and that's why he wanted him, despite people's disagreements over his Taser position.

You heard from more than a dozen people, fellow officers that have worked with him now and worked with him historic ally. What did they say? Hardworking. Volunteered for extra shifts. Honest. Absolutely good judgment. Professional, routinely went above and beyond his duties. He even helped plow snow on roads outside of his job duties.

And many of those people that came before you eight folks had a lot of courage to come up here and say what they did. A lot of them fear retaliation for getting on that witness stand, and we heard from them.

My client, when you're weighing his testimony, he went
through three days of depositions, hundreds and hundreds of pages of questions, and when he got on that witness stand for a day and a half there wasn't one thing defense counsel pulled from his deposition contradicting anything he said. Not once did that happen.

We just heard from Sergeant Salsbury. James Cleavenger: Fantastic job. He's the number two guy at Junction City. Critical value to the community. Respectful. Trusting. And you'll have this document. This is his review of materials. He looked over everything, along with Nicol, at Junction City, in relation to this University of Oregon firing. He looked through the termination letter, the notice of pending discipline letter, the written reprimand, the temporary reassignment, the SEIU grievances, and the internal affairs investigation narrative. He looked through all of that stuff, and he states, "I never found any concern regarding James Cleavenger's performance. Never questioned his ethical standards. He's shown high moral ethics. I never witnessed or heard him being untruthful at any point."

James Cleavenger told Sergeant Salsbury that he believes he was being specifically targeted by two supervisors who did not like him. That's what he -- before we ever knew we were going to be here, that's exactly what, after a full investigation, Sergeant Salsbury just came and told us.

Well, what are the questions that you have to decide?
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You, the community. Well, did any of the defendants take an adverse employment action against my client for which James Cleavenger's speech on a matter of public concern was a substantial or motivating factor? That's the key. Was it a substantial or motivating factor in any of the adverse actions?

Well, what does that mean? That means in any of their actions were they retaliating against him?

What is a substantial or motivating factor? The judge just told you it doesn't have to be the only factor. It just has to be a significant factor.

As you go through all of the evidence, you only need to find that one of the many adverse actions that happened to him related to something to his free speech rights, and that's it. That's liability on that one claim for one person. And it doesn't have to be the only factor. It just has to be a significant factor.

\section*{What is an adverse employment action?}

Well, the judge just told you. If a reasonable employee would have found the action materially adverse, which means it would have dissuaded a reasonable worker from engaging in protected activity, well, let's look at all the things that happened to him. Letter of clarification. Was that adverse? They say, "Oh, it wasn't discipline." Except it ended up in his termination letter. Letter of reprimand. Obvious. Downgrading his annual evaluations seven of the eleven
categories from the last draft to the draft he first gets in his hands. All done after the time period that the one year was. This was all done in April and May, downgrading his scores.

Taking away his job duties. Ordering secret
investigations. The internal affairs investigations.
Lebrecht's investigation. The investigation into the problematic callouts. None of these things, throughout this, is he told what he's being investigated for; who's doing these investigations. None of the things, as he tells loudly over the course of that summer, the -- the State Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights. He is supposed to be given notice of who is investigating him and what they are investigating, what are the allegations, so he can defend himself. And he's supposed to be able to record all of those meetings while he's being investigated.

Do you think after everything you've learned about James Cleavenger in this case that he wouldn't have been protesting loudly about that all summer long, every meeting, every opportunity he could? There's one thing we've learned about him. He's clearly a tenacious guy.

And obviously the two big ones: Terminating him and Brady-listing him.

Now, I'm going to go through a lot of evidence, and I only have an hour and a half, so I'm going to stick to chapters, and
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I'm going to throw out some exhibits, and, as I do, take notes or mental notes, because you're going to get a stack of exhibits this high and you're going to want to be able to go back and find stuff easily.

So when you're weighing the circumstantial evidence, was my client's speech a substantial or motivating factor? When you go back there, here are some factors that I would suggest you use: First of all, what's the timing? When do the adverse actions take place in relation to the speech? That's number one. Number two: Is my client being singled out? Is this not happening to everybody else, or is it only -- or is it happening? That's the second factor . Number three: Now that we're this far along and they had to provide explanations over these years, do their explanations change? Do they shift? Do they make any sense? And, fourth, when you go through their explanations, is there evidence of bad faith?

And I present there's a lot of evidence of bad faith in this case.

You know, big picture themes: Challenging authority and speaking up. How authority figures react when you do that. These are big picture themes. We've seen this throughout the weeks. Standing up for your constitutional free speech rights. Our rights. This is our Constitution. Think about what happens to our rights if we don't stand up and try to defend them. You have learned an enormous amount about police
departments and especially this police department. We've heard a lot about community policing versus a paramilitary organization.

I thought it was interesting -- you may recall in opening statement defense counsel got up and said my client believed in community policing, and this is a paramilitary organization, and he just didn't get it. Do you remember that? Suddenly, today, Chief McDermed says, "Well, my police department. I run it with a community policing background." That's the first time anybody, in three weeks, from the defense, has said, "We believe in community policing. That's how we do it within our department" was today.

What other big picture themes? The right of a public safety officer to be critical of things within their department versus just shutting up and falling in line. Do we want our officers to be serious professionals, people whose ideas and thoughts matter, or are they just frontline infantry people? Which is healthier for our society?

Remember what Casey Boyd said about this department? Dysfunctional and toxic. Those were her words. She was there nearly every month my client was there.

Let's start with the Brady listing when we go through the evidence, because I suggest you -- as you go through the evidence, start your deliberations on this, because it's the cleanest, easiest way to the correct verdict. As the judge
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instructed you, we, as a society, our Constitution, protect people for exercising their First Amendment free speech rights. They can speak up about violations of laws. They can put that into their lawsuit. They can speak up during arbitration about things they don't like. They can -- the act of filing the lawsuit itself is protected speech. They can't be retaliated against for going into a court and filing a public document. We, as a society, don't allow that to happen.

Now, preliminarily, before we go through the Brady material, he signed his resignation September 4, 2012. There was a lot of talk about he had been reinstated and the negotiations going on back and forth, but he was, by an arbitrator's ruling, an employee until he wasn't, and he was until September 4, 2012. Months after he's Brady-listed. So there should be no debate about whether he was an employee when he was Brady-listed.

Okay. Let's go through the factors. Number one: Timing. Ladies and gentlemen, does anybody here really believe that if my client hadn't made all those statements and fought this at arbitration, hadn't won the arbitration, hadn't filed a lawsuit, hadn't sued these defendants personal ly, does anybody think he really would have been Brady-listed two years later? No. It's obvious. And their emails speak for themselves.

There is not a word about Brady-listing my client, not a word in writing, and I'm sure you would have seen it, with all

Brady-listing him. They never told him, despite the fact their duty as a department says notify the person before you do it, they didn't notify him. No explanation for why they didn't notify him before they did it.

What else shows bad faith? Well, what were they doing? Can we bring out 266, please, Mr. Hess?
What were they doing after they Brady-listed him?
July 2010. July 2014. I'm sorry. Remember two weeks ago I showed you this email from July? This portion down here was actually redacted -- we didn't have it -- of what the chief said. And what did the chief say? Remember her saying, "Oh, that July 11th email from 2014, I think that just dealt generally with Brady stuff. I don't think that related to Mr. Cleavenger himself."

Well, now it's unredacted. Now you have it. Who is mentioned first on the first line? "Received a letter from DA Gardner regarding Brady review. Mr. Cleavenger -- the review of Mr. Cleavenger."

So she's sending this to her command staff; Doug Park, general counsel; and defense counsel in this case, Andrea Coit, about Mr. Cleavenger on July 11, 2014. Talk about bad faith. July 23rd -- I've shown you the emails a number of times, July 23rd my client is being told, "You got your neutral letter of reference. We're ready to sign a settlement agreement. And we have a check for you."
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And the next day, July 24th, Ms. Coit says, "Well, I recently learned that you've been Brady-listed." And so here is this new information.

What else shows bad faith? Well, not including the arbitrator's opinion that makes findings about his honesty. When they're -- when you think about this case, what are their excuses for why they didn't include the arbitrator's decision? Well, we had it in the first draft. Although, Lieutenant Lebrecht doesn't remember a first draft. We've never seen a piece of paper of a first draft; but, oh, there was a first draft. It -- it just was too many pages to include the arbitrator's decision. It's okay to put a-hundred-plus pages of his Internet search history, but not enough to give the district attorney, when you're going to ruin somebody's career, an independent neutral arbitrator's decision comment ing on his honesty. Is that good faith?

What else shows bad faith? Lieutenant Lebrecht. I showed you just three examples. He took -- he selectively took stuff out of the IA report and then he changed it from what Morrow said and Morrow concluded and then he called my client a liar in a public document going to be going to criminal defense lawyers out there.

What's the first example? Morrow says, "You can't identify the race or gender of the driver on the dean stop." Lebrecht says "Oh, no, you can. Cleavenger was lying when he
said he couldn't."
Morrow says she accelerates after that turn.
Lieutenant Lebrecht says, "No, I reviewed the video. She doesn't accelerate." Powerful evidence that he's dishonest. His claim that she accelerated. Right? That's something that should be included.

Funniest darn thing happened. Obviously, didn't talk to the dean, because the dean came up on the witness stand yesterday and admitted, "Yeah, I did accelerate after that first turn." Bad faith. Not even interviewing witnesses before you put something in a public record calling my client a liar about it.

Let's explore the explanations we've been given for why it took two years and see if they make any sense.

Well, remember, big problem for them in this case is why are the only written words about Brady listing coming right when the arbitrator's decision comes out? Why didn't it happen two years earlier?

So Chief McDermed was on for not one but two days of deposition, on two different days. Did she ever say in any of those depositions, "Oh, yes, you know, I've known about Brady lists forever, but we just usually would" -- like she said today -- "usually people would get terminated, and we wouldn't Brady-list them afterward."

Did you hear that in opening statement? First time we
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heard that was today in this courtroom.
Why? Well, because there's a mountain of evidence that we dug up that Chief McDermed did know about Brady listing before 2014.

Let's go through this. Chief McDermed gets up and says, "Well, those other things that happened in our department about theft and fraud," she said, "I didn't know threat and fraud amounted to dishonesty." She said that a week and a half ago in this courtroom.

Are you kidding me? The chief of police, that long of a career, she gets up on that witness stand and she says, "I didn't know fraud and theft involved dishonesty for Brady listing." If someone is not telling you the truth, you can disregard everything else they say about these key events.

How else do we know that Chief McDermed knew about Brady listing before 2014? Casey Boyd came in and said, "No, that fraudulent parking pass, I had meetings with the district attorney back in 2011 and I briefed Chief McDermed on the Brady-listing possibility for that back in 2011." Let that sink in.

What else? Exhibit 215. Chief McDermed says, "Well, 2014 there was all this movement towards Brady-listing people." Well, it's funny. Chief Chase, do you remember what he said, unprepared for the question? Oh, this has been going on since 2009, 2011; this big push to get people Brady-listed. How do
we know that's true? March 5, 2012, from the DA. "If one of your officers, troopers, deputies is facing a well-supported allegation of untruthfulness, please advise us at your earliest convenience."

Why is that date critical?
In the next few months that's exactly when Chief McDermed says that she came to all these conclusions about my client being a liar and dishonest.

Now, what else? She can't stick with 2014 when she learned about Brady, because we brought in more than a dozen officers. Even Lieutenant Lebrecht said he knew about Brady materials since he was first there in 1995.

So what's defense counsel's explanation in opening statement about why Chief McDermed sent that email? "She's upset, venting, and sends an email to her command staff."

Well, what's the problem with that? There's a couple of problems with that explanation. First, she sends that email to her command staff four days after she gets the decision and days before that she knows about the decision. That's not venting. This was a calculated email discuss ing what they were going to do next.

What else is problematic?
Defense counsel, in opening, said, "Well, no one was supposed to see that email. That's her private email to her command staff."
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Wait a second. She's a public officer. She's a chief of police. She's a defendant in a lawsuit. Does that make any sense? No.

Exhibit 168, with that email string, that is the heart of the Brady case right there. That's real evidence, not manufactured evidence, and when you're going through this case look at what people said back at the time to figure out their state of mind, not what they come in after three weeks of prepared testimony to say.

What else? Well, you know, I was just submitting to the DA. It's the DA's obligation after that to investigate and determine if they should be put on the list.
Well, what did we hear from the DA? A, doesn't have the resources to do much investigation, especially if you hand him 500 pages. What else did he say? No. The moment he got that material, he's got a database. James Cleavenger has been red-flagged in that database. He is -- any time he's called, red-flagged. It's like a summary execution the moment they handed that over. No hearings. No appeals.

What else shows bad faith? Exhibit 158. They have a policy from 2013. This is their written policy on Brady. 2013.

Can you get the date, Mr. Hess, at the bottom?
Their own policy says they'll conduct a fair and impartial investigation and will provide incriminating and exculpatory
evidence. That's what they're supposed to do if they're acting in good faith.

Well, can we bring up, Mr. Hess, 178?
They're defendants in this lawsuit. Fifty pages of really embarrassing things have just been put out on the public record. They know in March, April, May -- someday this is going to get out. They know all of that. What's the fair, impartial thing to do at this point? The fair, impartial thing to do is you say, "You know what? Before I ruin someone's law enforcement career, why don't I step back, have an outside agency, another law enforcement, have a second look at this, look through the materials, make their own independent judgment before I ruin someone's career?" That's the fair and impartial way to do it. Not when you're a defendant in the case.

Alex Gardner told us he would want everything. Now, he said some things about arbitrator's decisions; but, come on, this arbitrator heard three days. He's a professional. He's trained. He came to conclusions on exactly what they're calling my client a liar about. It should have been included. What's in there?
"On the other hand, I find insufficient evidence to support the employer's argument that the grievant was dishonest when during the April 7th interview he claimed that Hermens' car was visible from the apartments. In this regard, I observe" -- and then he explains it.
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Next. "An arguable motive to deflect blame on others.
There is simply insufficient evidence to support the employer's burden of establishing a finding of a purposeful intention to mislead."

Next. This is Exhibit 178. The arbitrator's decision. "On the other hand, nothing on the record suggests anything other than inadvertence by grievant. That conclusion is supported by the evidence that the grievant did, in fact, record on numerous other similar occasions under these circumstances" -- he goes on.

Nothing about dishonesty. Every page.
What else? Well -- what else shows really bad faith in this? It's not just that the chief two years later says, "Hey, go meet with the DA. Bring him the materials." What else did the chief push for? She pushes Lieutenant Lebrecht to ask if my client can still be charged criminally two years later for these misdemeanors.

Now, can we go to 179 ? I believe it's the -- 279 , the last page, and the email from Morrow.

How do we know this is bad faith when she's asking two years later to criminally prosecute my client? What did she know two years earlier, back in May of 2012? They're discussing criminal charges back in May of 2012. What did Morrow say? "I suggest not pursuing criminal charges, in that the actions are minor compared to what generally is pursued
against LEOs and what DAs prefer to present to a jury.
Egregious misconduct. Plus, it will likely open the door for counter-allegations against other PSOs who may have failed to advise someone of a recording or stop off campus property and now besieged with the possibility of numerous allegations of similar activities and expected to open and investigate all for consistency and fairness." Right?

If you're going to go after James Cleavenger for not recording -- not advising people of recordings and you want to criminally prosecute him, how many other officers were doing it? They didn't spend one minute looking into any other officers and whether they were failing to record people. Didn't spend one minute looking into it; but, yet, two years later, she wants to ask the DA to charge my client with crimes.

This hundred pages of his Internet use, Lieutenant -Lieutenant Lebrecht said, "Oh, well, there's examples of him buying things online." Really? Is there anything more bad faith than saying: Years ago he said he would follow all rules, and in our thousand-page document of all the rules, one of them was involving Internet use at work. And why? He said he wouldn't violate any rules. So therefore I think all district attorneys, criminal defense lawyers should know that my client is a liar because he surfed the Internet at times.

Really? Is that -- is that really what should have been included in that material? But not the arbitrator's decision?
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Is that how we want to ruin someone's law enforcement career?
Let's talk about Lieutenant Lebrecht. This is a person who admits that he is the person who brought the big bowl of dicks concept -- he doesn't admit it was a list, but it was the concept -- to the department.

Now, we heard from John Ahlen, my client's union steward, who said, "I distinctly remember Lieutenant Lebrecht at the arbitration admitting it was the bowl of dicks list."

Suddenly, Lieutenant Lebrecht, at deposition, in court, never heard anybody call it the "bowl of dicks list." It just was "the list."

First, when you're talking about this back there, what's the point of having something just called "the list"? Why keep hundreds of names on something if it's just "the list." Does that make any sense? Or does it make sense that it was a bowl of dicks list and that's why people were going on, because it was funny and entertaining and whatever else to them on those countless hours spent doing it.

The point is they were wasting time. Casey Boyd told us sometimes these briefings went on for hours. Graveyard shift hours. She would be there until 1:00 or 2:00 in the morning. She complained about it. What happened? They closed the door on her.

Now, after all these countless hours wasted, they attack in enormous minutia every single thing they could have trained

No, the truth is Lieutenant Lebrecht knew IA. You saw his email where he points out he's done an illegal recording investigation for IA before back in California. He knew how to put together a file and that's what he did against James Cleavenger.

One of the big picture themes I talked about in this case is this police mentality. Why were they picking on James Cleavenger?

Well, it starts because he had a different philosophy about policing than they did. We've seen that in this case. It starts with the Taser speech back in 2008. Lebrecht and Cameron are old-school police. You do what you're told. This is a paramilitary organization. That's their style. And you saw it throughout this court. My client, they didn't like the fact that he gave unnecessary warnings to college students. They didn't like the fact he didn't cite people enough.

We heard a lot of officers, a lot of my client's fellow officers, but when you look at who was the most impressive person that testified in this courtroom as a police officer, hands down, in terms of political philosophy as a police officer, Chief Larson, from Coburg. What was his philosophy? "We work with the citizens. That's the best way to take care of them. If you arrest or give them a ticket, you do it with dignity and respect." That's Chief Larson's philosophy, and that's the philosophy that my client has as well.
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1 That's a pretty intense supervisor-to-employee relationship 2 moment.

What do we know? Within days of that briefing, my client is being met with and told he's getting a letter of clarification, including his grooming standards. Something that never happened at this department before and something that Lieutenant Lebrecht has never done to anybody else.

What fits with the timing of that? Somebody who stands up and complains and angers their superiors, or is it just a big coincidence that for three days he didn't shave and suddenly he's getting written up for grooming standards?

What happens with this conversation about the training requests and Morrow? My client will tell you he's brought into a room by Lieutenant Lebrecht. He feels threatened. And Lieutenant Lebrecht says, "What are you doing going behind my back to Lieutenant Morrow? Don't you know we're friends?" -which we now know is true -- "if you tell him anything, I'm going to find out about it."

You know why this fits? Look at all their emails together. The way they write stuff in May. They're working together, coming up with stuff to attack James Cleavenger.

Is this the real Brandon Lebrecht? "I'm gonna mother-fuck them before they mother-fuck me." He denies he ever said that. Never heard the phrase. I guess Casey Boyd and my client just, poof, came up with that out of thin air.
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Caring, protecting, serving people.
And you heard, Chief Larson said my client is automatically disqualified on this Brady. He'll have to talk to his city attorney. My client may not be able to remain as a reserve police officer.

What's critical when you sort of analyze these two police worlds? Not one discipline problem at one other department except massive problems at the University of Oregon. When you're weighing that fact, really let that sink in for a minute. Something went wrong bigtime with how my client and what he believed meshed with his superiors.

Let's -- I think this is critical. This is really critical when you look at this case. Look at Officer Kent Abbott. He's been there 30 years. Okay? He came in -- how pained was he up there answering questions ? "Ask it differently," he kept saying. Remember? This is a guy who's seen it all, but before he had to come in and testify with his chief looking at him, before he knew he was going to do that, he was interviewed back in 2012, and he was honest.

What did he say? What's the culture of the department? Conservative, age discrimination, gender discrimination, philosophical discrimination, not much respect for women officers. Cameron, just an ass, condescending, discriminates against women, belittles women officers; just flat out doesn't trust him.
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That's the real heart of this case. The people that get up on that stand and tell the truth versus the people that don't and the power dynamics. Kent Abbott, in a nutshell, personified that with what he said back in 2012 , before he knew he was going to have to be up here telling the truth about what he believed about the department.

Think of the courage of all the people that are still at this department that came into this courtroom and told you the truth. Black. Royce Myers. Can we show 204? Michael Drake. This is critical. When you talk about punitive damages -Michael Drake was deposed. He was the only officer before this lawsuit -- before this courtroom, that testified on behalf of my client that was deposed in this case, and what happened after his deposition?

MS. COIT: Your Honor, I object. This is improper punitive damages argument. This is Michael Drake.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Retaliation. It's in evidence.
THE COURT: This is not towards punitives. This would be your argument concerning credibility.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay. So April 1, 2015, does the
pattern of retaliation continue for speaking up in this department? Look at what it says in his annual evaluation given out shortly after his March 2015 deposition. "Michael needs to drastically improve in this area and immediately cease and all -- any and all negative and disparaging comments
regarding this department and any department employees.
Failure to do so will result in further disciplinary action up to and including termination."

Is that a threat in an annual evaluation?
This case is important on many levels, but continuing retaliation is a big one to consider.

Let's go back to Tasers. I think this is really important. When you think about Tasers and my client's speech, remember this: People that have strong visceral feelings about something from back in 2008, that are still with them years later, that's a very strong emotional reaction. That's not something that goes away. Think of it as a seed that's planted and sprouts, as an analogy for how people feel about other folks first talking and saying what they believe. How many officers came in here and said they can't trust Officer Cameron and Officer Lebrecht? Many.

Now, this Taser speech was a huge deal. The --

\section*{Can you put up 235?}

You'll have all the news articles back there. It's Exhibit 235. The chief of police at the time, Williams, said this whole debacle regarding Tasers, this was a huge thing on campus, a huge thing at this department, high profile, tons of media attention, going on for months. And James Cleavenger, as you'll see, is one of the chief spokes-folks interviewed in all these news articles.

Really, what this is, it's a story of power and authority. Cameron and other folks in the department wanted Tasers ; but, more importantly, they didn't want some law student getting out on his high horse telling them they couldn't have Tasers.

How do we know that's true? Well, what do we hear from Officer Nix and Royce Myers, the ones that were there in 2008 ? They said everybody was talking about James Cleavenger and that speech, but for -- remember what Myers said? Cameron was different. He just wouldn't let it go. For weeks, that's what he kept talking about; adamant that James Cleavenger didn't know what he was talking about when it came to Tasers. What did Nix tell us? Cameron took it personally -- he took it personally -- my client's stance on Tasers.

But Boyd said the chief was adamant he must be hired. Do you know who else was adamant? Cameron was adamant then that he should not be hired, and he told that to everybody. Think about how many witnesses said, "Oh, yeah, I remember Cameron said, 'James Cleavenger should never have been hired in this department.'" It's an us-against-them mentality. That's what you're seeing throughout this. James Cleavenger is over here because he doesn't believe police officers here at the department should have Tasers. He's not like the rest of us.

So let's look at the timing of all this as we go through the evidence. I told you timing is critical. My client is getting along with Lieutenant Lebrecht. He's getting along
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with everyone in the department for the first six months as a full-time officer. No negative evaluations. Morrow says he has good judgment. Nothing is going wrong until Scott Cameron becomes his direct supervisor in October of 2011. Suddenly, everything changes, and it changes quickly.

Now, did my client do some shenanigans in those first six, seven months? Sure. He admits it. My client is a quirky guy. He did some weird stuff. But you know what? He cleaned it up. He didn't do any more shenanigans. There's no evidence there were any more shenanigans by my client after the first six months.

In fact, the weekly evaluations say he's cleaned it up. He's doing everything like the rest of the officers. That's the end of 2012 -- or the end of 2012. Evaluations.

But, yet, he's written up and given a letter of clarification for things including not shaving. Really? Does he deserve to have something put in his file that then goes into his letter of termination, which then gets put in the Brady materials, because he doesn't shave for three days? That just doesn't make any sense. Put your commonsense cap on. Something was going on in the minds of Lebrecht and Cameron to give him that letter of clarification.

Now, we know that my client stood up and went around Cameron and Lebrecht's back to ask for these training requests to Morrow, and we know that that displeased Cameron and

Lebrecht in early 2012.
So let's look at the timeline of how quickly things happen at the beginning of April of 2012.

Mr. Hess, 166. The first draft.
This timeline, April 1 to April 2, is really critical to get the steps of what goes down here.

So at 3:08 the first annual evaluation draft is sent by Cameron to Lebrecht. April 1st. Spencer View incident happens that night around 6:00. Then April 2nd, the next day -- first time I've ever seen this document -- a fifth copy of the annual evaluation. You see it on the board over there. I told you at the beginning there were four drafts. Suddenly, yesterday, we got handed a fifth draft, from April 2nd. And look at that email between Lebrecht and Cameron on \(4 / 1,4 / 2\). Lebrecht is saying put more stuff in this evaluation. Look at that email from April 2nd.

What else happens on April 2nd? Well, a letter of reprimand is sent by Scott Cameron to my client -- not to my client, sent to -- the draft -- before there's allegedly anything my client says that was inaccurate about Hermens' car, all that, April 2nd, a letter of reprimand with a laundry list of issues is put in.

What else happens in that first week in April? Before my client has ever met with Cameron and Lebrecht to discuss the Spencer View incident, what else has happened? HR is involved.
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April 23rd -- April 27th. I'm sorry. April 27th
Lieutenant Lebrecht sends to a psychologist -- Morrow sends it , but Lebrecht tells him what to put in it. But they send it to a psychologist and say my client is deficient in 31 of 37 categories. Are you kidding me? Academic learning problems? Really? Talk about bad faith.

So weeks go by. Then my client is suddenly reassigned. His job duties are taken away, and he is put on a letter of reprimand. All that happens within those weeks.

We have seen this a lot, that the defense has attacked James Cleavenger's character in a whole variety of ways throughout this trial, and I want to respond to a few of them before we go through the rest of the timeline here.

In 2012 did my client act defensive when he was brought into meetings? You bet he did. When you feel like you're being retaliated against and you feel like you're being singled out and nobody else is getting called into the office to talk about things, you're going to be defensive and you're going to get angry sometimes about being retaliated against.

He didn't feel like he was being treated like everybody else. And there's a power dynamic here. His superiors are looking for things to go after him on.

Now, defense counsel played a bunch of audio clips in the last few days from meetings with my client. What is important about those? People, when they know they're being audio recorded, don't put their retaliatory intent into audio recordings. Okay?

What is real evidence is what they said at the time and what they did. Look at that when you're trying to debate what was going through their minds.

My client has got this huge ego. He's always right; smarter than everybody else. We heard from so many witnesses that have worked with my client. None of them that know him well testified that that is the kind of person he is.

You got to see him for a day and a half on the witness stand. He, just as all the folks talked about him, is a nice, caring, thoughtful guy. Did he think that this department was a bit of a Mickey Mouse operation? Well, this is a guy who is really energetic, who really wants to get out there and work and doesn't want to waste time. That's pretty clear from his statistics. We're going to go through those in a second.

He shows up at this department and he's put on a graveyard shift, and what do they do? They sit around for hours, throwing people on a bowl of dicks list.
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He didn't take his job seriously. That's one of the themes. Sure. He had a few pranks, but let's look at his stats. Exhibit 33. You'll have the full -- they -- defense counsel questioned, "Oh where did those stats come from?" These were stats discussed with Cameron. They had this since Cameron was talking about his annual evaluations. Look at the comparators of his statistics and how much he did throughout that eight-month period, after his training, up until the end of his annual evaluation period. March. 1,700 initiations --officer-initiated activities. 224 subjects contacted. 147 police reports written. You know, the truth is this guy would have been valuable at their department -- he worked hard and he wrote a lot of stuff -- if they ever would have given him a chance and trained him correctly.

The other thing we've seen throughout this case, defense counsel keeps pointing out all their witnesses say, "Boy, you've got to be ready for anything. Someone could get shot. This is a dangerous place."

Ladies and gentlemen, this is not Chicago. This is the University of Oregon. There are mostly students that they are dealing with on this campus. He's working graveyard. 11:00 to 7:00. The vast majority of his contacts are going to be young people, sometimes drunk and inebriated. These are our kids, our college kids. We don't need a paramilitary force on campus. We need people looking out for the students.

Now, you saw a lot of videos of my client, a lot of nitpicking of various things. We don't get to go look at every video of everybody else out there. But when you're back there talking about officer safety issues and the videos of my client, take a deep breath and say, "Let's watch Zach Hermens video again." This guy -- is that the least safe thing you've ever seen? He's told the guy has a knife. He's told the guy has robbery -- he's a robbery suspect. He comes into that video, grabs at the guy while the guy is smoking a cigarette, and then goes on a four-minute run around the parking lot spraying pepper spray everywhere. What happens at the end of the video? He doesn't even catch the guy. He doesn't even stop him from smoking. The guy gets on his bike, still smoking a cigarette, and takes right on off.

All the videos of my client that you saw, he might have been lighthearted at moments, he might have joked around with people, but did you ever see anything unsafe like that Hermens video? I mean Hermens really could have gotten killed by a guy with knife.

Hermens has been there 10 years. Hermens is now a police officer. He now carries a gun at that department. Let that sink in.

One other big picture theme: Think about how many times in this case we've had accusations thrown out by the defense without any paper to back them up. So when you go back there
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there and dealt with for hours at Spencer View said, "Thank you. You're the first officer who's ever treated me like a human being."

This is the incident he's fired for? How else do we know that it's just not a big deal? They're there hundreds of times. Look at the document on Spencer View. There's hundreds of visits to Spencer View. Okay? Five weeks earlier my client just happened to find, throughout this process, a video, the exact same noise disturbance at the exact same apartments where Phillips and Hermens report. What do they do? They pull right up to the front door. Way more dangerous than parking down the street.

They say it -- defense says, well, no, the letter of reprimand was really about him being untruthful. Really? Well, then why was the letter of reprimand drafted on April 2nd, before you even met with him to discuss it? And if they wanted to have a fair meeting -- if they want to have a fair meeting, they should have said, "Let's go review the dash cam videos. We've had the technology for months. Before you make statements about where cars were, let's sit down and go through this." So if they wanted to be fair, that would have been the fair way to do it. Not interrogate him, get answers about things, and then use it to call him a liar down the road.

Loaded-gun incident. What do we know for sure about this? Well, she's got -- she's certified to carry a concealed weapons
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permit. Number two? Incredibly unusual situation. No good options, like James Cleavenger tells us. What is critical, though, about this incident? He's trying to help her. Everything he did, there was a scary guy after her, stalking her. She's got all of her possessions in a trailer.

They now want to say that this is the reason that he's so unsafe.

Well, they didn't interview Zach Hermens at the time that this happened, and Zach Hermens was honest in his deposition at first. He said, "Yep, what happened when we got -- when I got there, James Cleavenger told Scott Cameron she had a gun." That's what he said in his deposition. Well, then we take a break, and that needs to get fixed, so we come back and suddenly it's, "No, there was a second get-together where that happened."

You know what's funny about that second get-together that they supposedly do?

Can you put up, Mr. Hess, Exhibit 234?
It's after my client's shift is over. And do you know who didn't testify that there was a second get-together where this happened? Sergeant Cameron. He was here yesterday. Did he say, "Oh, no, that loaded-gun incident, we had a second meeting with us after she had been dropped off and that's where Hermens said that"? No. It's not easy to keep your story straight when it's a story.

Let's think about this from my client's point of view. He knows he's being written up and he knows he's being investigated for Spencer View. He knows his superiors have it out for him. Why on earth would he bring Cameron all the way out there, into this very well-lit parking lot, and not say -which unfortunately we don't have on video. We don't have the audio or video of that first interaction with them, with Cameron there, but why would he not say, "Hey, you okay if I give this lady a ride? She's got a concealed weapons permit here."

They don't want to talk about Spencer View, because, A, it was turned over by the arbitrator. They found that -- the arbitrator found that my client did something wrong, but did not find he was lying and was not -- did not merit termination.

I have to move quickly here because we only have an hour and a half. The IA stops, the dean, and -- and the young gal, Madeline Egan. What do we know about this? Number one, again, my client is acting trying to keep people safe. One person is running a stop sign in an area where people ride bikes a lot. He gives her a warning. The dean had bad tags. She's driving erratically. You guys be the judge of that video, but she goes left and then goes right and accelerates quickly. He doesn't know who she is. He has no idea at that moment who she is.

But when you think about this case, ask yourself, do those two stops, do they merit a 175-page internal affairs
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investigation for two women that never filed a complaint and they had to go dig to find -- Lebrecht had to go dig through videos to find these incidents to then start investigations.

And what else? Morrow concludes officers were doing traffic stops. It was happening -- 243 times this was happening. There was no written policy about traffic stops, no written policy about this audio recording. And Bechdolt told us, yes, a public safety officer has a right to stop people for anything in the ORS statute book. That's their own witness.

The bottom line is this department wants to blame my client when they don't have written policies and they don't train him effectively and now they want to say that he's unsafe and doesn't do his job right about all these incidents, and they don't look up anybody else.

One note I will give you -- defense has a new exhibit that they got. My client did send a draft of the letter to the district attorney to Corey Mertz. Corey Mertz said he had taken a draft of it. He got a draft from my client. And you'll see that. That's after the Brady materials. It doesn't relate to retaliation. Corey Mertz got that wrong.

So let's go through the timeline really quickly. My client meets June 1st. He's under secret investigations. He doesn't know what they're about. He doesn't know who's doing them. There's two of them. You bet he's going to go to that chief on June 1 and say this is a violation of my state public
safety officer rights. You bet he would do that. He wants to know who's doing this. Look at how hard he worked through that time period.

Number two: The Public Safety -- the Public Officer Bill of Rights says he's got a right to record interviews.

He's not allowed to record most of those interviews that he goes through over that summer.

The August 13th meeting. Think of the timing of this meeting with the chief. He knows he's being retaliated against by superiors. He knows he has very secret investigations. He knows he's going out of town for two weeks. He knows he's been reassigned to parking duties. He believed in the chief at this moment. He really did. He thought she was sympathetic to his cause. He cried in that meeting. He told you he hadn't cried in years.

The chief has no memory of tears. She doesn't know where her notes are; thinks she destroyed them. Then she brings some note she finds right before this trial, from that meeting. Critical meeting. My client told you he told her about wasting time, the briefings, the bowl of dicks, the overcharging of the students, that he felt like he was being retaliated against about his beliefs on Tasers. He told you all that stuff that he told the chief.

Now, why is the timing of this important? He comes back and suddenly, September 7th, he's brought into a meeting with
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Lebrecht and Cameron. In that meeting he is told explicitly, "You will not report any crimes unless they're felonies." What do we know about that order? It's illegal. A public safety officer can't be told -- he's been working regular events for them all summer. The Olympics. Football games. He's being told now, "You can't report anything but felonies."

So he starts sending emails. His emails -- and the timeline on this is critical. September 7th he's given that order. September 10th he puts it in writing back to them, "Please confirm. This is my understanding of what I've just been ordered to do."

No response. They won't respond in writing.
What happens next? September 18th his union steward sends an email to Wardlow HR and the chief: Please confirm in writing my client was given this order. They won't respond.

And Lois Yoshishige says in that email, "I want a response by September 21, in writing, that that is the order that was given."

What happens on September 20th? He's given his predismissal administrative leave.

Think about the timing of all of this. They say, "Oh, we wanted to retrain him. That was our plan." Well, he speaks up to the chief and lays out some things about what's really going on. Then he's given an order. Then he's obviously causing trouble, saying, "You're giving me an illegal order." He's
putting it in writing. Does that fit with the timing of, "Okay, it's now time for James Cleavenger to end his employment here at the department"?

How do we know these problematic callouts were just another piece of paper for the file? Andy Bechdolt investigated them. He said at the arbitration, he said at his deposition, and he said here in court he didn't find anything wrong with these callouts. The guy from their own department who investigated them.

He has a meeting with Brian Smith on October 2nd. My client obviously at that meeting laid it all out. And we just heard from the chief today. She said she talked to Brian Smith after that October 2nd meeting. What do you think they talked about that my client laid it all on the floor for an hour for? He tells it to Linda Smith \(\{s i c\}\) in his predismissal hearing. And then he's terminated.

I want to talk just for a couple of minutes about how this has impacted my client. In terms of his economic damages, what do we know for sure? He has a job for at least a year and a half as a law clerk. It's really clear he's not going to work in law enforcement anymore. Once you've been Brady-listed, nobody is going to hire you. We've heard from many, many officers who all agreed with that. We don't know what's going to happen with him down the road, but I'll tell you this: You eight people are the only jury that will get to hear his
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economic damages in relation to this First Amendment claim, and I am certain that this is going to be a tattoo on him, marked, this Brady listing, for the rest of his life.

What else do we know? He's lost his dream of being a police chief. That's for sure. How else has this impacted him? Well, he used to be a really fun guy. He used to be outgoing. He used to be the life of the party. He was charismatic, energetic. He used to organize things, huge outdoor activities, camping trips. His dad -- his dad came into this courtroom and told you 12 to 20 times a year they did outdoor stuff together. He's barely seen his son in the last few years. This has completely and utterly consumed my client's life. Completely. It's a nightmare. It's an absolutely nightmare.

He's obviously in and has been very depressed about it. We heard from some of his friends and colleagues. He's gotten a little bit better recently. He still doesn't go to lectures and movies. He even had nightmares where Lebrecht and Cameron were trying to kill him.

Ten years from now, this is all going to be a distant memory for us, but not for him. He is going to remember this day for the rest of his life. This decision. This trial. These people, by Brady-listing him, have changed the arc of his life. It's not the same anymore. And you're the only one with any power to do anything.

Punitive damages. We've heard some really disturbing things about this department in the last three weeks. Let's look at Casey Boyd's story. Toxic place. Full of fear. Dysfunctional. Hours to burn on bowl of dicks list. Lebrecht and Morrow working together on IA with McDermed to ruin her career. You know what's amazing about her story? You don't normally have people that knew the playbook. She did it with McDermed and Tripp for years. She got rid of close to a dozen people in that department. She knew how they wrote people up, and then they flipped the script on her when Lebrecht got there. Remember her phrase for the place? It was a pack of wolves. She told you she feels bad for all the families she hurt, all the people she ran out of there.

What did they get rid of her for? Her son stood on the dugout of a baseball game? She bartered with somebody over \$5 for a T-shirt. Read her 119. Have you ever seen a bigger load of nonsense for getting rid of somebody? You saw her. She got emotional in this courtroom.

Is wasn't mean enough or spiteful enough to get rid of her. Two years later, a week after the event, they trespassed her husband for 18 months from the entire campus. You know the sad part about it, though? McDermed used to call Boyd her superwoman. Suddenly, McDermed and Lebrecht crush her. Morrow's IA investigation gets rid of her. Does that script sound familiar to you?
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What's left at this department? There are no female officers left. In opening, defense counsel said McDermed was very concerned about the female student that my client stopped on \(4 / 2\). How concerned was McDermed when the first complaint of sexual harassment against Cameron came in? How concerned was she on the second one? How concerned was she on the third one? The guy was there for five years. Four allegations of sexual harassment. What's going on at this department, ladies and gentlemen? It's got serious problems -- this department does. It's clear.

If you feel in the last three weeks that what you've heard about this department is unacceptable and its leaders, if you don't think that they're leaders of a public institution and this is the way they should act, only you can let them know with your verdict. I can tell you this right now. Chiefs of police around this state, good and bad, are watching this. Honorable officers around this state are watching this. The media is watching this. The community is watching. As jurors, you have the power of a thunderbolt but the lifespan of a gnat. I suggest you use your power wisely.

THE COURT: We're going to take a recess, then, for 15 minutes or so. Would that be acceptable? I'll let the party get prepared for their argument. Please don't discuss this matter. Don't even form or express an opinion. Thank you.

Christy, please take the jury out.
(Jury not present.) when you finish your arguments tonight, if I leave a discussion of the verdict form until tomorrow morning at 8:00 when they come in, and the reason for that is it's a nice bookend. It ties together the instructions today, which is a long time ago in their mind after your arguments. And by going over the can do that tonight. So I'll seek the wisdom after your argument. Go prepare for your argument, and we'll see you in 15 minutes.

> (Recess taken.)
(Jury present.) Parties are still present. Counsel's concluding argument. You can argue from the lecturn if you want to or from the table.

MS. COIT: What?
like to or the table.
MS. COIT: Okay. Thank you. A JUROR: Good afternoon.

\section*{Defendants' Closing Argument} evidence, I think you should pay attention to, in this case -I think I'll start by talking about evidence I don't think warrants your attention.

The first thing I want to start with is the exhibit that was entered into evidence today. You haven't seen that document yet, so I'm going to give you some context. from Junction City, on the stand first week of trial. He put him on the stand to testify to you about a letter that the district attorney in response to the district attorney's his friend Officer Mertz on the stand, to tell you that he read that letter, he felt it was incorrect, he did the research, and he sat there all day with Sergeant Markell and typed that letter up. wrote what letter, and he said, "No, he didn't." And I said, "Did he send you a document to look at while you wrote the letter?" He said, "He might have. He might have sent me an email that had an outline of dates, but that's it. He did not send me anything of substance." That's what he told you.

THE COURT: Counsel, I think it might be wise if -verdict form, it shows them what they have to respond to. Or I

THE COURT: We're back in session. Counsel are here.

THE COURT: You can argue from the lecturn if you'd
```
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MS. COIT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

MS. COIT: Before I talk to you today about the

Mr. Cleavenger put his best friend, Officer Corey Mertz, Mr. Mertz, Acting Chief Markell , and Brandon Nicol submitted to request for information from Junction City. Mr. Cleavenger put

Now, I asked Officer Mertz on the stand if Mr. Cleavenger

That's what Mr. Cleavenger put him up there to tell you. He said, "I sat there with Sergeant Markell all day. We wrote
that letter. I did the research, and then we sent it to the district attorney."

After Officer Mertz testified, we asked Chief Chase to look into that Junction City email account that Officer Mertz told you there may be a document still in.

Chief Chase found this email from Mr. Cleavenger. It's dated August 13, 2014. The day before the information was sent to the district attorney on behalf of Junction City.

He says in this email -- and the exhibit number is 432. I'm not going to read it to you, but he basically says: U of O sent in this -- he calls it a bunch of crap -- to the district attorney. It's full of lies. I need somebody on my side. Here is a draft of what I want you to send. You don't have to do it, but you know what? I would do it for you.

And attached to that email is the draft -- this is what Mr. Cleavenger sent to Officer Mertz. And this, ladies and gentlemen, is document 172 , the document Mr. Cleavenger put his friend on the stand to tell you he did -- he wrote all by himself. You can compare the documents. They are almost identical.

Mr. Cleavenger has told you a number of things in this case that do not warrant your belief or your trust. He advised -- he told you that he advised Sergeant Cameron the day -- the moment he called and said, "Can I give this woman a courtesy transport," he said he told Sergeant Cameron again,
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"This woman is carrying a loaded gun," and Sergeant Cameron said, "You have to take her. Give her that transport." But he said you couldn't hear that part of the call because it was on unrecorded line 3. Mr. Cleavenger forgot, again, that his dash cam was recording that entire time. You heard the entire conversation he had with Sergeant Cameron. He did not tell him that that woman was carrying a loaded gun. Sergeant Cameron did not tell him to transport a woman with a loaded gun. That's Exhibit 351G.

Mr. Cleavenger put in his lawsuit and he testified to you in this trial that Federal Judge Ann Aiken was on this list. Every officer, with the exception of Michael Drake, Mr. Cleavenger's other friend, testified that they did not even know who Ann Aiken was before this lawsuit was filed. Officer LeRoy sat on that stand under oath. He's a police officer now. He has everything to lose by lying under oath. He sat up there and he told you he didn't know who Ann Aiken was before this lawsuit was filed. He never removed a person from that list other than Doug Park. That's what he told you. He told you Mr. Cleavenger never saw his phone, never asked him if Ann Aiken was on that list. Mr. Cleavenger worked for the other federal judge. He thought Ann Aiken was going to hear this case.

Mr. Cleavenger told you for the first time ever in this case here that he was assaulted by Brandon Lebrecht in the
hallway after a briefing. All these other officers milling around. Now he says, "I didn't say I was assaulted. I said I was poked in the chest." He's a lawyer, ladies and gentlemen. The definition of criminal assault is a physical, offensive touching. That's what he's complaining of. Yet, he forgot to put that in his 50-page lawsuit? Not one person testified that they witnessed this poking, this assault.

Sergeant Cameron testified he was with Lieutenant Lebrecht when they left that briefing. They went directly to his office and they talked in his office on separate sides of the desk about the briefing. Mr. Cleavenger tried to make -- say that there was offensive joking going on in briefings about sending your daughter to Occupy camp to get raped.

Nobody testified that there was ever a rape joke going on in these briefings. Sergeant Cameron explained to you what was said. One of the officers was upset because somebody's daughter was sexually assaulted at that camp. He was voicing his concern and said something to the effect of, "Why would you send your 16 -year-old daughter to Occupy?" Sergeant Cameron testified there was no way you could interpret that as a joke. Yet, when Mr. Cleavenger is trying to make this lawsuit a little more salacious, he put that in there.

He even changed his testimony towards the end, when the poking wasn't working, to say that that was an example of Lieutenant Lebrecht taking a joke too far.
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Lieutenant Lebrecht wasn't even there when that statement was made.

Mr. Cleavenger told you, "I never ate lunch at the Occupy." Not a big deal, but the fact of the matter was when he called out that he was eating lunch at Occupy, he was called on it by his supervisors, and he told them he wasn't doing it. That's why he had to keep up this lie about "Never ate lunch at Occupy." He wouldn't have gotten in trouble for that. But, again, he comes into this court and he tells you it didn't happen because my lunch was at 3:00 and nobody can prove that they were serving lunch at 3:00 at the Occupy.

Well, Officer Phillips came in and he told you he saw Mr. Cleavenger eating the plate of food. Mr. Cleavenger told him, "Got it from the Occupy. Go get you some if you want." Officer Phillips is a police officer. If he lies on the stand, he loses his job as well.

Mr. Cleavenger testified that it was Cameron who strong-armed him into giving this woman in the parking lot, who lost her phone, into writing her a ticket for disorderly conduct, and Officer Cleavenger stood up to Sergeant Cameron, and said, "I'm not going to do it."

You heard in the audio yesterday. The audio of the meeting with -- was Sergeant Cameron and Mr. Cleavenger. Mr. Cleavenger expressly stating, "It was Officer Drake who wanted me to do that." It wasn't Sergeant Cameron.

Sergeant Cameron says, "Well, I understand you standing up to Drake." Sergeant Cameron wasn't even there.

Mr. Cleavenger testified that he was never talked to about the Whitney Harder incident until this lawsuit came about. He said we made that up.

Well, you saw Lieutenant Lebrecht's report. You heard Lois Yoshishige, his union steward, say, "Yep, we talked about it in a meeting with Lieutenant Lebrecht twice." You heard Lois Yoshishige also say that Mr. Cameron talked to her about this and told her he didn't think he had done anything wrong.

Mr. Cleavenger also said he was never talked to about this Spencer View call until he was dragged into a surprise meeting on April 7, 2012. We showed you Sergeant Cameron's notes. He testified he came back to the department; he met with Mr. Cameron -- Mr. Cleavenger that night. He prepared those notes, and he sent them in an email to Lieutenant Lebrecht. You saw the email. The sent and the receive date was on there. He was talked to about that. He knew there was going to be a meeting coming.

And then this August 13th meeting he claims he had with Chief McDermed. We agree there was a meeting. But Mr. Cleavenger claims it was the meeting where he let the cat out of the bag. This is the one where he sat down with her and spilled everything. He told her about this bowl of dicks list. He told her about wasting time; that his supervisors were
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harassing him.
Well, you saw the email. That's Exhibit 423. Over a month later he sends to his union steward and says, "Are you sure you want to let the cat out of the bag on this bowl of dicks thing?" That never happened in the meeting with Chief McDermed. She told you what happened in that meeting.

We also saw the email from Mr. Cleavenger. On October 1, 2012, two months after he says he spilled the beans to Chief McDermed, this one he says, "Nobody but you three, my union stewards, have any idea I'm being harassed by my supervisors." Earlier in that chain his other steward, Donna Laue, says, "Is this the time we're finally going to talk about Lebrecht's football tapes? Wasting time about the bowl of dicks list?" They even say the bowl of dicks phrase. It wasn't even determined to be a list by Mr. Cleavenger.

This was October 1st he's writing this to his union stewards. The people he's supposed to be telling everything to. Lois Yoshishige testified he never came to her and told her, "Oh, by the way, I went to Chief McDermed and I told her everything." He never told her that because it didn't happen.

Mr. Cleavenger testified that he accepted this offer of retraining. He was all ready to do it and we revoked it. We took it off the table without telling him why. You saw the email from Lois Yoshishige to Randy Wardlow. They declined the training offer. They said, "We will do what we're ordered to
do." That is not the person that you think is now going to be receptive to your teaching and your training. He is going to do what he's ordered to do, but "I'm going to keep fighting you." Again, he's a lawyer. That's a rejection.

Then the whole issue of the recording -- the advising of the audio recordings. I cannot tell you, standing here today, after three weeks of trial, what position Mr. Cleavenger is taking on whether or not he knew he had to advise of recordings. He told Mike Morrow, "I always -- it's part of my initial introductory comments. I always tell them I'm recording."

Well, that proved not to be true when Lieutenant Lebrecht did the performance review and there were 27 examples of him not recording. So then, when we got to the arbitration and this issue of failure to record came up, his testimony then changed to "Well, Lieutenant Lebrecht didn't train me on it, so I didn't know I had this obligation to record."

Again, I'm not sure what position he's taking here today, but it has not been consistent. The fact remains he advised sometimes that he needed to -- that he was recording. He knew what the law was.

Mr. Cleavenger testified and he put in his lawsuit some hateful things about Lieutenant Lebrecht. His -- his position on politics and the statements he -- he made to him. He testified in this court to you that Lieutenant Lebrecht
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harassed him continually by disparaging liberals and Democrats to get at him. Every single officer who came in here and testified, including Mr. Cleavenger, told you nothing Lieutenant Lebrecht ever said about politics could be interpreted as anything other than a joke.

Mr. Cleavenger told you he would never disparage females and he made complaints to others about disparagement of females in the department. You heard him on two separate occasions talking about the drunken stripper. You heard Officer LeRoy tell you that Mr. Cleavenger jumped out from behind the bushes and did hip thrusts at some young female students. You heard Officer Hermens tell you he stood up on a table and was doing the same thing towards students. He's complaining about things when he thinks it fits the moment.

And then, finally, and I think most -- well, almost most disturbing to me is throughout this lawsuit he has attacked Lieutenant Lebrecht. He sent an email to the DA, and he admits to this, that this email -- it calls him the most vindicative person he's ever met. It says he's a liar and he's untrustworthy. Lieutenant Lebrecht is a police officer. This is a district attorney in the county that he works in. He's putting this information out to that district attorney and the entire office. But then we get here and he tells you on the stand, "Well, I -- I guess I made a mistake. I didn't have all the information when I made that statement, so I take it back.

I don't really think those things about Lieutenant Lebrecht." Well, you know what? The damage is done.

I asked him, "Have you told the DA that you no longer believe this about Lieutenant Lebrecht?" And he says he's going to when this lawsuit is over.

That's not enough.
So why do I tell you all this? I tell you this first because it's important for you to know and to understand that Mr. Cleavenger has not been forthright with you throughout this trial. He has put on evidence that he knows -- he knew it was false. He put on a witness to lie to you. And he himself has manipulated the facts. There's always a tiny bit of truth in what he says. But he manipulates the facts to fit the claim he's trying to proof.

If he's not telling you the truth about what he -- what -these things I've just talked about, you cannot trust the other things he's told you in this case.

So let's move on to what I do find is worth your attention in this case.

Despite what Mr. Kafoury keeps arguing, I just want to remind you that opening statements and closing arguments are not evidence. You sat here and you heard all the evidence that came in through the witnesses and the documents and the audio. That's the evidence. But let's look at what I told you three weeks ago.
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First, I said that Mr. Cleavenger came into this job believing it to be a Mickey Mouse operation. Michael Drake, his -- one of his best friends and his field training officer told you that that, in fact, was true. He thought it was a Mickey Mouse operation. This was a stepping stone until he could find a real job.

His wife, Chelsea Brandenburg -- his ex-wife -- testified that that was the discussion that she had with Mr. Cleavenger prior to him starting work there. This wasn't an opinion he gave once he came and sat through briefings, as Mr. Kafoury tried to tell you. This was his opinion coming in. He looked at this as a Mickey Mouse operation and a stepping stone to get where he wanted to go.

I told you the evidence would show that Mr. Cleavenger did not take his job seriously and that he did not respect Sergeant Cameron and Lieutenant Lebrecht.

Again, both Mr. Drake and Chelsea Brandenburg confirmed that. Mr. Drake testified during field training, his first six months working at the department, they would sit around and make fun of Lieutenant Lebrecht and Sergeant Cameron. Chelsea Brandenburg testified that Mr. Cleavenger did not respect Sergeant Cameron and Lieutenant Lebrecht and both of them told you that Mr. Cleavenger thought he was smarter than them. Officers Black, Hermens, LeRoy, and Phillips all told you that Mr. Cleavenger's antics went too far.

Mr. Cleavenger testified that this ninja incident when he snuck into the restaurant with a machete down his back, everybody laughed. Nobody here who came and testified about that told you they laughed. They were mortified.

Lieutenant Bechdolt even told you that he was embarrassed by the game day antics.

I told you that the evidence would show that it was Mr. Cleavenger's lack of respect for the job and for his supervisors. His inability to accept feedback and to accept that sometimes he did not have all the answers, it was that inability that would prove to be his downfall at the university.

I told that you his ego held him back. By saying that, I never meant to claim that he walked around acting as if he was better than other people. What we meant by that is his ego shows itself in his inability to understand that he's the new guy. He doesn't know the right way to do everything, and he's coming in at the bottom of the totem pole. There are people in place who are there to teach him, and he needs to learn from them. He needs to be able to acknowledge the fact that he makes mistakes and to learn from them. Not everyone is picking on him all the time.

The evidence showed numerous and inconsistent -- excuse me, numerous and consistent instances of unsafe behavior. Specific examples were given by many of his co-workers. You
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heard discussion on audiotape. You saw videos of numerous examples of the kind of safety concerns that were going on at the department with Mr. Cleavenger.

The evidence supported the defendants' positions that they have taken all through this case that the problem they encountered with Mr. Cleavenger was figuring out a way to correct his unsafe behavior when he refused to acknowledge that it even existed.

To this day, Mr. Cleavenger still claims he did nothing wrong at Spencer View. Everyone agrees him driving in front of the apartment was not a huge deal, but everyone else, other than Mr. Cleavenger, agrees that it's an unsafe act.

Lois Yoshishige testified that to this day Mr. Cleavenger does not believe that transporting Whitney Harder in the back of his car with a loaded gun was unsafe.

Mr. Cleavenger denies doing anything unsafe when he allowed the man who was reported by police to be known to be aggressive with officers and to carry a weapon, nothing unsafe about allowing him to dig through his backpack, because he says he could see his phone on the top of the pack. Well, common sense tells you that that's not safe, and he -- his inability to acknowledge that fact is troubling, and it was troubling to his supervisors.

As Lieutenant Morrow told you, Mr. Cleavenger simply could not understand how the two females he stopped in those stops
that Lieutenant Morrow investigated -- Mr. Cleavenger could not understand how his actions made them uncomfortable. He couldn't put himself in their position. With the Madeline Eagan stop, Mr. Cleavenger kept asking Lieutenant Morrow, "What's the problem? I was being too friendly?" Well, no. She was a young 18-year-old college student, and she felt he was doing something inappropriate. Maybe he wasn't. Maybe he was asking these questions for a valid reason. But if he so wants to follow this community policing, maybe he should have realized that he should have told her why he's asking those questions. But instead of acknowledging, yes, maybe I made her uncomfortable, he simply says, "I did nothing wrong."

You heard from Ms. Commissiong. She came in yesterday and testified. She felt Mr. Cleavenger was mocking her. She felt he was condescending and, yet, he took the position -Mr. Cleavenger took the position with Lieutenant Morrow that they were being -- his words were "snippy." They were being snippy with him.

Mr. Cleavenger still can't understand why Ms. Commissiong was questioning his authority for the stop. The fact of the matter is she was right to question his authority. He had no jurisdiction to pull her over for expired tags. Once he made that stop, he committed an lawful detention. He violated her Fourth Amendment rights.

Mr. Cleavenger's interviews with Lieutenant Morrow, if you
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want to look back at them, they're in Exhibit 331, Exhibits 13 and 14.

Now, I also told you in my opening that the evidence would show Mr. Cleavenger's supervisors tried to help him succeed, and the evidence did show that. Mr. Cameron testified that he was hard on Mr. Cleavenger. He admits to that. But he also admits that he was hard to all -- on all of his officers. There were a lot of people that came in here and testified that Sergeant Cameron was a hard supervisor, but not one person came in and testified that he was an unfair supervisor.

Lieutenant Lebrecht spent hours with Mr. Cleavenger going over his videos, trying to give him suggestions of what he was doing wrong, trying to help him to learn how to safely perform his job. They both testified they tried many times to give Mr. Cleavenger feedback, but he was defensive. They went so far as to get Officer Phillips to try to help Mr. Cleavenger. They brought him into the office and said, "We are worried about this guy. Can you help him out? Because he's not taking feedback from us." Officer Phillips told you on the stand he agreed to do that because he himself also felt that Mr. Cleavenger was not being safe.

The training plan that was ultimately devised for Mr. Cleavenger was put together with thoughtfulness, with care, and with the intent that he be successful. He was given the two most-senior training officers. Lieutenant Lebrecht was not
going to oversee this program. It was going to be Mr. -Officer Lillengreen and Officer Brathwaite. Mr. Cleavenger was told all this at the meeting he had on August 13th.

But by that time in the process, Mr. Cleavenger was in such a fight mode, which I -- I think was not helped at all by his union supervisor s, Lois Yoshishige, who told him she agreed that maybe he was trying to set him up, despite the fact that she's had 28 years of experience with Randy Wardlow and had no basis to have that opinion.

But they both testified that Mr. Cleavenger would not agree to this training plan, despite the fact that he says he wanted to be retrained and wanted to become a -- a functioning and successful part of this department. He wouldn't give it up if it required him to stop fighting this written reprimand.

If Mr. Cleavenger -- if they were going to move forward, they had to put all of this behind them. His supervisors to this day, five supervisors -- Chief McDermed; Lieutenant Lebrecht; Sergeant Cameron; Randy Wardlow, not a supervisor, but Randy Wardlow; and Mike Morrow -- all felt this written reprimand was justified before it was issued. They felt it was justified after it was issued. This written reprimand was litigated at the arbitration in depth. The arbitrator felt it was justified.

Mr. Kafoury's statement to you that the arbitrator overturned the written reprimand is not true. You can read the
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recording that Chief McDermed heard. She has to investigate that.

The stop with Nicole Commissiong, she told you yesterday she felt there was no jurisdiction for that stop. She felt Mr. Cleavenger was mocking and belittling to her. And she said if someone else in my position, who looks like me, was involved in this stop, they would probably accuse him of racial profiling. The chief has to look into that.

At some point the chief finally said enough is enough, and she came to that conclusion in September. Mr. Cleavenger had rejected the training offer. He would not follow instructions to stop engaging in public safety officer enforcement activities. He kept calling out over the radio. You heard some of the officers come in and testify, they thought Mr. Cleavenger -- he was wearing a body camera. He would show up to these calls. They thought they were -- he was trying to set them up. These are the complaints they're getting. She has a department to run. She made the decision that enough was enough, and that's when she recommended termination.

Now, plaintiff's counsel spent the better part of an hour talking to you about bad faith. This is not a bad faith case. This is a First Amendment case. This is a case based on retaliation that they claim was done to get back at Mr. Cleavenger for engaging in protected speech.

The claim against Lieutenant Lebrecht, to prove that
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claim, Mr. Cleavenger has the burden of showing you, by the preponderance of the evidence, that he spoke on a matter of public concern, that Lieutenant Lebrecht took an adverse action against him, and that he took that adverse action motivated in substantial part -- that's a big thing. That's not just a cause -- motivated in substantial part to retaliate against him for the protected speech.

The judge read to you what the protected speech is, and you'll have all of that in your jury instructions.

For Lieutenant Lebrecht and Chief McDermed, the protected speech at issue is this 2008 Taser speech. Chief McDermed testified she didn't even know about this Taser speech until this lawsuit was filed. There's been no evidence presented by plaintiff that Lieutenant Lebrecht knew about this Taser speech when he issued the letter of clarification or had assistance in the written reprimand.

The other protected speech did not occur, at the earliest, until June 1, 2012, when Mr. Cleavenger claims he told Chief McDermed that he complained about not getting his officer's bill of rights. No adverse actions were taken by Lieutenant Lebrecht after that date, other than submitting the information to the district attorney.

So unless you find that Lieutenant Lebrecht issued the letter of clarification to Mr. Cleavenger or assisted in the written reprimand that was given to Mr. Cleavenger to retaliate
against him for speaking out about Tasers in 2008, then you have to find for Lieutenant Lebrecht on that claim.

Now, certainly, there was more than sufficient evidence that the letter of clarification was warranted. Each of the incidents that are discussed in that clarification have been testified to here in court. There has been evidence to support every single one of those issues. The issue with the grooming isn't the fact that he was working a lot and he came to work unshaven a couple of times. The issue with the grooming is that he was told three times -- well, two times -- excuse me. Two times. The first time he's told orally. The second time he's called into a meeting with Lieutenant Lebrecht and Sergeant Cameron and reminded, "This is a police organization. We have" -- at the time it was a public safety organization. "We have policies that have to be followed. One of those policies is that you have to be clean shaven. Do you need a copy of the policy?" Mr. Cleavenger said, "I know the policy." Well, he knows the policy, and, yet, he did it again. He didn't care. The policy didn't apply to him. That's why that grooming is in that letter of clarification.

The other incident involved a man digging through his backpack and making a cell phone call. There's evidence that that was completely warranted to be in the letter of clarification.

And the other issues had to do with the judgment. And I
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think there have been seven, maybe eight witnesses who came in here and told you all of the antics that Mr. Cleavenger engaged in. And, yes, now he says, okay, maybe I took it too far. But the fact of the matter is in 2011 he was still engaging in this conduct. The letter of clarification was to tell him to stop engaging in this conduct. That's why it was issued.

Now, the written reprimand. This was issued by Sergeant Cameron, but primarily because he was his supervisor . By union contract, Sergeant Cameron had to sign that document. You heard testimony from numerous people that there were a lot of hands in this document. And all of them agree that it should be issued.

The April 2nd reprimand that Sergeant Cameron initially drafted, that came out of his conversation with Mr. Cleavenger on the night of the Spencer View incident when he refused to acknowledge that three officers responded to this as a -- as an active call. Mr. Cleavenger is the only one who did not and, yet, Mr. Cleavenger took the position that all three of those officers were wrong.

That's why Sergeant Cameron was going to issue that initial written reprimand. If you look through that document -- and it's also an exhibit -- it has nothing to do with him being dishonest and trying to get Zach Hermens in trouble. That didn't come until later.

At the meeting that was held on April 7th, Mr. Cleavenger
knew there was going to be a meeting. He had been talked to about this. He didn't tell Sergeant Cameron on the 1st that Zach Hermens had tipped off, you know, the apartment people that there was police presence. He didn't tell Sergeant Cameron that that night because he hadn't thought of that yet. When he gets to the meeting on April 7th, he says, "Don't look at me. You need to look at Officer Hermens because he was parked in direct view of the apartments. When I drove by his car, I could read the apartment numbers."

Two witnesses told you -- Sergeant Cameron and Lieutenant Lebrecht told you that that's specifically what he said. You saw the video. It is impossible to see the apartment numbers until you turn the corner. There's no way that was a mistake. Mr. Cleavenger didn't think he could get caught for that. He didn't know there was dash cam video.

When we went to the arbitration, the arbitrator said that, "I don't think Mr. Cleavenger was dishonest in this Spencer View incident because why would somebody lie about something so easy to figure out?" What the arbitrator didn't know and didn't appreciate was the fact that Mr. Cleavenger didn't know that there was dash cam video. He didn't know he could be found out. Lieutenant Lebrecht didn't even know there was dash cam video until he went and talked to Officer Hermens. Had there not been dash cam video, it may have been Officer Hermens that got that written reprimand.
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So the written reprimand was an example of Mr. Cleavenger trying to deflect blame off of himself rather than simply accepting the fact that, "I made a mistake. I won't do it again." He's trying to get Officer Hermens in trouble. That's why it was issued, and that's why it was justified.

So -- and, again, none of that is relevant unless you find that Lieutenant Lebrecht issued or played a role in that written reprimand to retaliate against Mr. Cleavenger for his speech about Tasers in 2008. That is the only protected speech at issue for that claim.

Now, we move on to the Brady submission. And at this point everything has been said. All the protected speech is out there. The lawsuit has been filed. So on that claim we have to look at why did Lieutenant Lebrecht do what he did.

The chief testified that she asked him to do it. She told him to do it. Captain Deshpande testified that Lieutenant Lebrecht did it because the chief instructed him to do it. He said Lieutenant Lebrecht was hesitant because he feared being sued again by Mr. Cleavenger and that Mr. Cleavenger would claim it was retaliation.

Lieutenant Lebrecht himself told you that he did it because he believed the chief's order was not immoral, unethical, or illegal. He believed what she told him, that she did it because she felt she had an ethical and a legal obligation to submit this information to the district attorney.
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Mr. Cleavenger himself testified he doesn't think that Lieutenant Lebrecht made that submission to retaliate against him. He sat there on that stand and said I don't -- "I think it was retaliation, but I don't believe Lieutenant Lebrecht did it. I think Chief McDermed was behind it." That in and of itself should convince you that Lieutenant Lebrecht -- that Mr. Cleavenger can't prevail on this claim.

Now, the contents of the submission itself have been questioned. Whether or not putting together a document is an adverse employment action is up to you to decide. You will have the definition of what an adverse employment action is. It's our position that there is no adverse employment action until the document itself was delivered to the district attorney.

It was Chief McDermed who made that decision. But the document itself, if we do want to look at its contents, Lieutenant Lebrecht testified he's never done this before. There were no guidelines on what to do. Chief McDermed said she didn't give him instructions. She said, "Put together the information we had." Lieutenant Lebrecht testified he did the best he could. He did what he thought would be helpful to the district attorney to have to review to make his determination about Mr. Cleavenger's credibility.

Now, did Lieutenant Lebrecht add too much opinion to his summary? Perhaps he did. Did he not include all of the
information that may have been relevant to the district attorney? Perhaps. But the fact of the matter is no evidence was given to you by Mr. Cleavenger that him doing that was done to retaliate for anything. He did the best he could. That's the information that you were given.

Now, there's a lot of argument that this arbitration decision should have been included. DA Gardner was here and he told you he didn't want that arbitration decision. He said the reason all this work group -- one of the main reasons they had to convene and set out new levels of Brady guidelines is because these labor arbitrators are putting police officers who have been dishonest and terminated for dishonesty, they're putting them back to work. They needed to come up with a way to figure out how those officers could continue in their jobs.

The DA didn't want that arbitration decision. DA Gardner further explained to you what the reference to exculpatory information is with regard to a Brady submission. Plaintiff keeps trying to argue that the arbitration decision had some good information about Mr. Cleavenger in it so, by definition, it was exculpatory to him and needed to be submitted, pursuant to their own policy. DA Gardner explained to you that exculpatory in this context has to do with the criminal defendant. That's the whole purpose of Brady. You need to give this criminal defendant everything that is exculpatory and helpful to his case, which includes information about the
officer who's involved in the case who may have credibility issues.

There is no requirement under Brady that you have to -that if you have concerns about an officer's credibility, that when you turn that over to the DA, you also have to go and dig up examples of all the times that that officer has been truthful. That is not the purpose of the Brady rules.

Now, the arbitrator also made the decision, and you have been informed of it numerous times, that he didn't find Mr. Cleavenger was dishonest when he failed to record or failed to advise the two stops that he was recording.

All the arbitrator was given in this -- in this arbitration as the basis for termination, the just cause basis, was the internal affairs investigation into the two stops, the finding that he had -- failed to advise on two occasions in those two stops, and then these three problematic callouts. That was the basis given for termination. That was HR's decision that that was sufficient just cause to satisfy the union requirements.

My clients had nothing to do with that decision; what goes into that HR letter. That is why the University of Oregon has an entire HR department.

But if the arbitration -- the arbitrator was not told that there -- there were 27 additional instances of him failing to advise that he was recording. The arbitrator found that two
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instances is probably just a mistake. We can't find that that rises to the level of dishonesty.

The arbitrator did not reinstate Mr. Cleavenger, as they're trying to claim. He was not an employee when the Brady information was submitted. The arbitration -- and you have the award again. He's given reinstatement rights. It is a right he has to exercise. He never came back to work at the University of Oregon. He was not an employee after October 25th of 2012.

Again, this is a First Amendment claim against Mr. -Lieutenant Lebrecht. Whether or not you think he didn't put together the right packet of information to send to the DA doesn't matter. To find against Lieutenant Lebrecht on the issue of the Brady submission, you have to determine that he was motivated to make that submission in substantial part to retaliate against Mr. Cleavenger for a complaint that was made.

The evidence in this case all support the finding that Lieutenant Lebrecht did what he did because he was told to do so by his chief. He followed the order that he found to not be unethical, immoral, or illegal. He didn't want to do this. And he certainly didn't do it to retaliate for any complaint that had been made.

Now, the claim against Sergeant Cameron the judge told you is a bit different. This is based on speech, just the Taser speech that was made while Mr. Cleavenger was not a public
employee. He was a private citizen.
So to prove his claim against Mr. -- Sergeant Cameron, he has to prove that Mr. Cleavenger engaged in protected speech, which he did. The Taser speech was protected. And that Sergeant Cameron took an adverse action against him , that the adverse action was reasonably likely to deter speech, and that retaliating against Mr. Cleavenger's protected speech was a substantial or motivating factor for Sergeant Cameron's actions.

The two adverse actions that are at issue here with Sergeant Cameron are the performance evaluation and the written reprimand. Did he issue those two documents to retaliate against Mr. Cleavenger for making a speech four years before ?

There's been evidence in this case that Sergeant Cameron didn't agree with Mr. Cleavenger's position on Tasers. He was baffled, in his own words, about why Mr. Cleavenger wanted to come to work for the department.

Sergeant Cameron has First Amend ment rights, too. He can say what he wants to say. That is not a violation of Mr. Cleavenger's First Amendment rights. There has to be an action, an adverse action, taken against Mr. Cleavenger that is motivated by that protected speech.

You heard the audio of the performance evaluation meet ing. You didn't hear all of it. But if you want to listen to it, there's more. In that audio, Sergeant Cameron is nothing but
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polite. He listens to Mr. Cleavenger. He takes his feedback. He makes the changes that are requested. This was over a six-month period. There were, I think, three drafts he testified went back and forth to Mr. Cleavenger for him to again comment on to make changes to. Sergeant Cameron again made changes for him.

For one thing, the performance review is not adverse. It -- it's -- there's some bad things in there, but, as Sergeant Cameron testified, there's also some good things in there. So it's not an adverse action in and of itself, but, beyond that, there's no evidence, no evidence whatsoever, that that was issued to retaliate against Mr. Cleavenger for that Taser speech.

And the second adverse action is this written reprimand. Sergeant Cameron was in favor of it. He was the supervisor. He had to sign it. But, as we heard, there were many hands in it. Sergeant Cameron believes that the written reprimand was justified. He testified that he did not -- he could not continue to accept the fact that Mr. Cleavenger would not acknowledge that he had made mistakes. That is an unsafe officer because he cannot learn and move forward. There was no evidence, other than the people coming in saying Sergeant Cameron had voiced concerns about Mr. Cleavenger coming to the department, no other evidence that Sergeant Cameron took that action to retaliate against

Mr. Cleavenger for engaging in the 2008 Taser speech.
You cannot return a verdict against Sergeant Cameron based on guesswork. There has to be something, some evidence. He has the burden of proof in this case.

Now, we heard a lot about mistakes. Sergeant Cameron made sexual harassment complaints that were made against him by female officers. Sergeant Cameron was nonrenewed at the department. He has paid for those mistakes. None of those mistakes -- none of that evidence had anything to do with Mr. Cleavenger.

Finally, we have the claim against Chief McDermed. It's based on the same -- same items of protected speech, but with her there are two adverse actions at issue. The first being her recommendation for termination and the second being the Brady submission.

Now, you've seen the predismissal letter. It is dated and signed October 1, 2012. You saw emails in her testimony today from Chief McDermed that the decision to terminate was made sometime in September of 2012. They cancelled the fitness-for-duty exam with Dr. Corey on the 20th of September because they had decided to go for termination rather than fitness for duty.

So the complaints we are looking at, the protected speech we are looking at, had to occur, at a minimum, before October 1st of 2012. So that brings into play the 2008 Taser
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speech. There's no evidence Chief McDermed had any idea that he had engaged in this speech until this lawsuit was filed.

Then it brings into play the June 1st meeting where
Mr. Cleavenger says he told Chief McDermed -- he admits this was a very brief meeting, but I remember I told her I wasn't getting my officer's bill of rights. I wasn't being allowed to record my meetings or given notice who was investigating me.

What Mr. Cleavenger didn't provide to you was any example of a meeting where he was told he couldn't record. We've heard a lot of audio of meetings that were recorded. So whether or not he's making this complaint, the complaint itself has to be in good faith.

But you heard Chief McDermed testify that she has no recollection of Mr. Cleavenger making that complaint to her on June 1st.

So that leaves us with the August 13th meeting. And, again, there is no evidence, other than Mr. Cleavenger's testimony, that he told her about some bowl of dicks list, of wasting time in the department, not getting his officer's bill of rights, being harassed by his supervisors for this Taser speech, no evidence of that in the record other than his testimony of that being told to Chief McDermed on August 13th.

Lois Yoshishige testified none of that was informed to anyone until they met with Brian Smith on October 2nd. That is too late.

Mr. Cleavenger's own email supports this. He said, "I really want to get it out there. No one else knows. They're going to make their decision, just like Randy Wardlow did, without having all the information." But, beyond that, even if the speech occurred, if we assume it occurred, you heard Chief McDermed tell you why she terminated Mr. Cleavenger. This was a long process. She gave consideration after consideration to him. They went and looked at many different avenues, and, in the end, she did what she felt she had to do to protect her officers and to protect her community.

Mr. Cleavenger wasn't going to be a successful part of the University of Oregon Police Department. At some point she has to say enough is enough, and she got to that point. It had nothing to do with her -- with his complaints he made about it.

Now, the other adverse action is, of course, the Brady submission. The chief testified that she -- she's passionate about being a police chief. She understands the import of making a Brady submission. She's not going to do this lightly.

We showed you the entire email chain that occurred after the arbitration decision came out, including the parts that plaintiff failed to show you.

Doug Park, general counsel for the University of Oregon, came to -- through this email, came to Chief McDermed and said, "This is the arbitration decision. He's been awarded reinstatement rights. I understand your concerns with him. I
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understand the department doesn't believe that he is a safe officer. Unless you want him back" -- this is what the email says, "Unless you tell me you want him back, I'm going to look at negotiating a global settlement."

To that email, she responds, "We don't want him back."
There's nothing dishonest, retaliatory about that statement.

She tells you on the stand she didn't want him back. She didn't know what to do with him. This is the same officer who left her department -- who was immediately taken off of enforcement duties when she found out about the transport with the gun. He has not engaged in enforcement activities since May 18th of 2012. And now she's being told, over two years later, you have to take this person back and put him into a uniform and put him out there on the street. She has concerns, and those concerns were justified.

But she knows he's coming back. He has these rights. He's indicated through his union attorney he wants to come back. So she begins to move forward. They make a decision to put him back into retraining. He's not going to be given enforcement duties right away. The plan is in place for him to come back. But with him coming back, he's going to be an officer. And so she needs to know what his limitations are going to be. She testified about why she felt information on an employee, such as this, needed to be turned over to the
district attorney. She gave you her reasons about why she did it, and her reasons was that she felt she had the obligation to turn this information over. The obligation was there because Mr. Cleavenger was coming back. The obligation remained there when he decided not to come back. He's still an officer at Coburg.

Chief McDermed knew she was a defendant in this lawsuit.
She knew she was being personally sued for retaliation. She knew that turning this information over to the district attorney would likely result in additional claims. Her own lieutenant told her that he feared that. Despite that, even maybe because of that, she did what she felt she had an ethical obligation to do.

You have not heard one person, other than Casey Boyd, come into this court and tell you anything negative about Chief McDermed. She is a good person who cares about her officers. She felt she had an obligation as the chief of police to turn this information over to the district attorney. It is not in her character to do something like this just to punish someone. He's gone at that point? What does she gain? She has everything to lose.

Now I have to talk to you about damages. If you get to that point, you're going to be asked to make an award for future lost wages, and that claim is based on Mr. Cleavenger's dream of becoming a chief of police. There has been evidence
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submitted in this case by Mr. Cleavenger, by Mr. Cleavenger's father, by Mr. Cleavenger's ex-wife, that he has applied -- by Chief Chase, that he has applied at position after position to become a police officer. He has never been hired as a police officer.

You cannot just speculate that one day he would have become a police chief if you award damages. He has the burden -- a preponderance of the evidence of proving to you that he has suffered future lost wages as a result of the Brady submission. He's presented no evidence that he could even be hired as a police officer, let alone make it to a police chief.

You will be given an instruction on punitive damages. Read that instruction because Mr. Kafoury has argued to you facts that imply that you can award punitive damages to punish the defendants for actions taken against others. Specifically, Casey Boyd they keep bringing up. That is not the law, and you'll see that when you read that instruction. You cannot award punitive damages for damage to other people. It has to be based on damage these defendants caused to Mr. Cleavenger.

And, finally, you cannot hold my defendants, these three people, liable for anything that was done by someone else. There has been extensive testimony about this terrible culture in the department all under Chief Tripp's watch. You cannot punish them for what was done by somebody else.

So when you're looking at whether or not they retaliated
against Mr. Cleavenger by doing an adverse action based on -to get back at him for protected speech. You can only consider the actions that they took against Mr. Cleavenger.

If you do not find that my clients retaliated against Mr. Cleavenger for making a speech on Tasers, for complaining about not getting his officer's bill of rights, for wasting time talking about a list of people, for disparaging the Occupy Movement, or for complaining that he could not call out felonies, then you have to find in favor of my clients.

I want to talk to you just a minute. I forgot about this violation of federal right.

Mr. Kafoury has not accurately stated the law of what the Clery Act requires. Telling Mr. Cleavenger that he cannot report out anything other than felonies over the radio is not a violation of the Clery Act. That is what the evidence is in this case. That's the instruction he was given.

There is by no means any instruction that he cannot report Clery reportable crimes in other ways. You can do it orally under the Clery Act.

So what has been presented to you as being a violation of the Clery Act is not a violation of the Clery Act. I just wanted to clear that up.

All right. So we thank you for your time here over the last three weeks, and we ask that you return the verdict in favor of Chief McDermed, Lieutenant Lebrecht, and
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Scott Cameron. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We're going to take another recess. Counsel has 12 minutes, by our clock, for rebuttal. But I want them to have a chance to make a presentation. The plaintiff has the burden by a preponderance, so they're given two opportunities to argue. That's why you'll see one more argument.

Counsel, how long would you like? 20 minutes or 15 ?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Oh, 15 minutes is fine.
THE COURT: I'll say 15. We'll come back and get you in 15 minutes. Please don't discuss this matter or form or express any opinion concerning this case.

\section*{(Jury not present.)}

THE COURT: Counsel, if it's acceptable, 5:30? Go use the restrooms and we'll see you at 5:30.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Recess taken.) } \\
& \text { (Jury present.) }
\end{aligned}
\]

THE COURT: We're back in session. Counsel is present. The jury is present. The parties are present.

Counsel, if you would like to proceed with your closing argument in rebuttal?
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MR. JASON KAFOURY: I've only got 12 minutes. Let's
make this really quick.

Number one, look at the big picture here. The constellation. 18 officers from four different departments came and told you about my client. Talked about his honesty, talked about his officer safety. None of them had bad things to say about him. Who are the only people that criticized him in the courtroom? It is the same people that are the defendants and the people that got promoted to be police officers within this department. Those are the people that attacked his credibility. Everybody else backed him up.

Now, defense says, "Well, gosh, we really wanted to retrain him in September and August, and it was he who pulled out of these negotiations for retraining."

Wait a second. They wanted him to take away his legal rights. They wanted to have him take away his legal rights to fight that grievance for Spencer View, which I finally heard for the first time just now Spencer View, as defense counsel said, really wasn't a very big deal incident. Well, it was to them, and this is how you know it was retaliation. Cameron, the day afterward, had already drafted a three-page letter of reprimand for that Spencer View incident. You'll have that exhibit.

Now, take a look at this. This is in May. Are they targeting this guy, trying to get rid of him, or are they just trying to help him? Look at what they both said. "Leaning towards dismissal." Lebrecht agrees. Agrees with dismissal.
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Lebrecht then sends something to a psychologist saying 31 of 37 categories he's deficient in. Attacks him in every possible way.

No. He had a target on his back. They didn't like his beliefs. They didn't like it, and it started with Tasers. It was us-against-them mentality, and that's where my client got off on a bad foot with these folks.

Let me go through this stuff quick. Number one, bowl of dicks. Judge Ann Aiken wasn't on the list. Well, isn't it funny that every name my client put into the lawsuit didn't end up on the final list that came off Eric LeRoy's cell phone after all the media and after the lawsuit was in the media? Do you think that's just a big coincidence that none of the names, like Aiken, made it in there?

She says, "Oh, officers didn't know who Judge Ann Aiken is." Are you kidding me? They're police officers in Eugene. She's the head of the Eugene Federal Court. Of course everybody knows who the judge is there.

This August 13th meeting, did it happen? Well, we did hear from his ex-wife, the written record. What did I ask her? "Do you remember an emotional meeting in August? What did he say?"
"I do remember that."
What else? This idea of, oh, my client didn't agree to retraining. No, he was fine with retraining. But when you
look at these emails -- he didn't even know it at the time, but when you look at these emails, does anybody in this room really believe that if he had gone back to retraining that they weren't going to get rid of him within the next few months for something? I mean, look at what they did. Look at the amount of paper they generated at that point. He was out of there, and they were just trying to paper their file because HR needed it to look right. That's what this case is about.

He didn't respect Cameron and Lebrecht. Well, when people are picking on you, when they're retaliating, when they're picking all little stuff, everything you do, yes, you're not going to have respect for those people. But he had respect for a lot of people.

Look at Officer Black. He's still there. Said -- came in and said my client is honest. No officer safety issues. Look at Officer Royce Myers. He's still there. With his chief sitting here, said my client is honest, no truthfulness problems.

This -- these stops by the dean and everything. First of all, this is manufactured evidence. The dean never complained. Nobody would ever know that stop ever happened if Lebrecht doesn't go watch videos, find out about it, show it to the chief, the chief calls her, and all of a sudden we have a 175-page annual -- IA report.

Think about that. This is completely manufactured
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evidence. And you know what? Nobody likes getting pulled over by a police officer. Nobody is happy when they get pulled over. It is understandable that a young girl is wondering why she's getting asked eleventy-four questions, James Cleavenger didn't create the FI -- field identification card he's supposed to fill out. He's just doing his job.

The meeting with -- after Spencer View, James Cleavenger told you he didn't think Hermens should get in trouble. He didn't think anybody should get in trouble. This was no big deal.

The attacks on my client. Think about how many thousands of hours he was on that radio that was recorded. And what did they bring into this courtroom? One statement where he calls himself "Cle-Avenger" and another one where he says there was a -- a drunk stripper the other night, trying to find out. That's it. That's the only radio that they pulled to show that my client is a liar or has other issues in this whole case. Think about how many hours they must have gone through to find that stuff.

This global settlement idea came up again here. Wait a second. Doing the -- we've heard so many different reasons why they -- why they Bradyed him; right?

Well, we all have to agree that if they're trying to force him to give up the rights to this lawsuit by doing a global settlement, that is chilling speech. That is a confession.

That is a confession that they were trying to stop him from being here and doing this.

Next, Lois and these meetings that are happening. My client obviously, as the emails show, starting in June, is working really hard not to go outside of the department to complain about all the things happening within the department. That's why he says in June, "I don't want to air the dirty laundry." That's why he meets with Chief McDermed right after he has just been shown four hours of his videos from a secret investigation. And the timing of it fits with that being the reason that they want to get rid of this guy. He comes in. He spills the beans to the chief. Chief then goes on -- he goes on -- my client goes on vacation for two weeks, comes back, suddenly he's given this order: "Do not report any crimes."

Defense counsel says, "Oh, he could have reported these in other ways." No. He wrote a very explicit email of what he was told. His email doesn't say, "Don't report any crimes but felonies only over the radio, but do it other ways." That's not what he was told. And how do we know that? If that wasn't the order, they would have respond in writing and said, "No, Mr. Cleavenger, that's not the illegal order that we gave you."

Next, exculpatory evidence on the Brady materials. Well, their own policy says "provide exculpatory evidence for the person that they're Brady-listing." It's not what the DA wants, and it's not the DA's interpretation of exculpatory.

\section*{Plaintiff's Rebuttal Argument}

It's their own policy. That's what they violated when they picked and choosed what to give the district attorney.

Next, the DA -- DA. Well, he might not, you know, want to read the arbitrator's report because of his opinion about arbitrators. No. Wait a minute for a second, ladies and gentlemen. They didn't know what Alex Gardner thought about arbitrators' opinions because they already put together the materials, already met with him, and handed over the materials. They made all those decisions about what to include before they ever talked to the DA about what should be included. So think about that when you're talking -- when you're thinking about their intent.

And the district attorney himself said on the witness stand, "I would want everything." That's what he said.

My client said Lebrecht wasn't responsible on the witness stand. That's what they just said for the Brady materials. No. Check your notes. What he said was when he -- when he sent the information to the district attorney, he thought Lebrecht was the only one involved, and he said he was the most vindicative person in the world.

What he didn't -- what my client didn't realize, until we got through depositions and into this trial, was actually Chief McDermed had ordered it, and Lebrecht had said, whoa, this might look like retaliation, not once, but twice, and he did it anyway. He didn't know those facts. The chief is
clearly the most responsible person for it. But Lebrecht selected very selectively what went into that material. He did the draft. And if you find that he picked things out with bad faith, like putting 100-plus pages of Internet search history and not the arbitrator's decision in there, then he's retaliating for my client filing a lawsuit and laying this all out to the public.

No one admits to retaliating. But when you're looking for the smoking gun in this case, Exhibit 168, where they're all responding to the arbitrator's opinion within 15 minutes, there's a second exhibit you'll -- I don't know the number, where Lebrecht, we found out halfway through this trial, had chimed in on that. That is the main document showing the intent for the defense. That's 276.

The union contract requires just cause for getting rid of people. And they've come up with a laundry list of things they say that were problematic about my client. But just remember they didn't put it in any of their written materials to get rid of him. He never had a chance to -- they didn't investigate any of them and he never had a chance to confront any of them. So it's not fair now to claim that all these things are the real reason he was terminated when they selected what they were going to put in there.

I only have a minute.
My client, in terms of his employment, only has this job

\section*{Plaintiff's Rebuttal Argument}
for the next year and a half. It's really uncertain what's going to happen. A legal job with all this Brady stuff over him, signing documents, putting that into the public record, going before judges, uncertain. This is very unchartered territory. But I'll tell you right now, after a year and a half, you're the only people in this world that can give him any justice for the economic damages, future lost income that this might impact him. He told you chiefs of police, \(\$ 100,000\), \$200,000 a year.

You set the standard. The freedom of police to speak their mind on matters of public importance. You guys decide. It's your decision here. You set the standard for what chiefs can do to protect their turf, to protect their community. No one but you is big enough to change the culture within the department. It's not a time to speak softly. This verdict should match what we, as a community, will accept, and what we've heard, and we thank you for all your time and energy throughout these three weeks.

THE COURT: Well, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to send you home tonight, and I want to thank you for being willing to stay and notifying Christy of that.

A JUROR: 8:00?
THE COURT: I thought it was 6:00. 8:00. I'm just
joking with you.
Now, don't discuss this with anybody. Don't form or
express an opinion. I'll take a very short verdict form and walk through it with you at 8:00 tomorrow morning.

For a number of reasons, I don't want to do it tonight because it will tie nicely back to the instructions I read four hours ago; number two, it gets us off to a very professional start tomorrow; and, number three, it let us work tonight getting all that evidence back in the jury room so it's waiting for you tomorrow morning when you 've come in and you're not waiting for us. Okay?

So I think that's a great guarantee. Forget about this case tonight. We'll see you tomorrow at 8:00. Please don't discuss this matter or form or express any opinion until you start your deliberations.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. Just for the record,there's one additional exhibit, 376 , that needs a redaction.there 2
We will bring a redacted copy tomorrow morning at 8:00 ..... 3
THE COURT: 7:45 a.m. ..... 4
MR. JASON KAFOURY: That too. ..... 5
THE COURT: And the reason for that is these exhibits ..... 6
are going back in that jury room, and they'll be waiting for ..... 7 them.

\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: I see}

THE COURT: That doesn't happen at 8:00. That happens earlier. Jurors are going to be brought in here.
\(7: 45\). Everyone is ordered to be present at 7:45 tomorrow morning. Clients, counsel, everybody.

All right? Anything else this evening, then?

\section*{MR. JASON KAFOURY: No}

THE COURT: Well, then, just a brief statement: I
think Chief, Lieutenant, and Mr. Cleavenger, each of you respectfully were very fortunate to have your respective counsel. Huge amount of documents to absorb. So, therefore, on the way out the door, thank these two gladiators on the respective sides because just an outstanding presentation, I think, with the volume of material. I wanted to put that on the record. I don't have to. But I think it's well deserved on both of your parts

So now we wait for members of the public, and I don't 25
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think anybody can claim that you haven't had a pretty complete and thorough trial.

If you're missing some documents, it's got to be very few.
Good night.
MS. COIT: Thank you.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Thank you, Your Honor.
\[
\text { (Trial Day } 10 \text { adjourned.) }
\]
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\hline & 2653/22 2687/21 2693/8 27 & 2811/10 2814/3 2815/8 2819/4 \\
\hline complish [1] 2681/1 & addressed [4] 2611/10 2627/10 & 2819/19 2819/23 2821/19 2824/13 \\
\hline accomplished [1] 2778/13 & 2773/23 2775/19 & 2826/20 2828/8 2828/10 2830/3 \\
\hline according [2] 2702/10 2703/24 & addressing [1] 26 & 2832/1 2835/8 2836/7 2844/2 \\
\hline account [4] 2764/13 2764/14 2769/6 & adequate [2] 2630/6 & 2847/21 2855/8 2860/7 2860/19 \\
\hline 2830/4 & adequately [1] 2630/7 & 2863/3 2867/12 2867/12 2869/7 \\
\hline accurate [6] 2680/17 2697/18 2698/19 & adjourned [1] 2877/7 & after-the-fact [1] 2649/7 \\
\hline 2698/19 2738/4 2754/24 & Administration [2] 2607/13 2682/16 & afternoon [6] 2657/16 2657/25 2753/8 \\
\hline accurately [2] 2699/10 2864/12 & administrative [6] 2602/1 2607/10 & 2753/17 2828/24 2828/25 \\
\hline accusations [2] 2816/24 2817/9 & 2607/11 2650/19 2651/14 2823/20 & afterward [3] 2738/4 2796/24 2866/19 \\
\hline accuse [1] 2846/7 & admit [2] 2793/22 & again [39] 2591/14 2611/20 2617/18 \\
\hline accused [1] 2817/1 & admits [9] 2803/3 & 26 \\
\hline achieve [3] 2592/23 2593/5 2594/14 & 2817/21 2837/17 2843/6 2843/7 & 2664/17 2664/23 2680/8 2680/13 \\
\hline acknowledge [5] 2840/20 2841/7 & 2859/4 2872/8 & 2682/3 2682/6 2682/8 2708/7 270 \\
\hline 2841/22 2849/16 2857/20 & admitted [4] 2767/23 2767 & 2722/20 2733/23 2757/6 276 \\
\hline ck & 2796/9 & 2816/6 2820/17 2830/25 2831/4 \\
\hline across [2] 2745/10 2746/10 & admitting [1] 2803 & 2833/9 2836/4 2836/18 2839/17 \\
\hline act [15] 2667/11 2667/14 2667/22 & adults [1] 2845/13 & 2848/18 2851/4 2851/6 2851/19 \\
\hline 2742/13 2770/14 2772/20 2791/5 & advantage [2] 2758/2 & 2855/6 2855/10 2857/5 2857/5 \\
\hline 2813/20 2827/14 2841/12 2864/13 & adversarial [5] 2664/7 2664/9 2664/ & 2859/17 2869/ \\
\hline 2864/15 2864/19 2864/21 2864/21 & 2664/17 2664/25 & against [68] 2619/14 2658/24 2695/ \\
\hline cted [2] 2770/8 2770/16 & adverse [33] 2771/24 2772/11 2772/ & 2711/9 2729/8 2749/17 2755/9 2770/5 \\
\hline acting [10] 2597/21 2612/25 2619/25 & 2773/9 2773/11 2773/15 2773/16 & 2771/22 2771/24 2771/25 2772/4 \\
\hline 2624/19 2628/13 2646/20 2800/1 & 2775/23 2776/17 2776/17 2787/2 & 2772/6 2772/8 2772/11 2772/18 \\
\hline 820/18 2829/11 2840/14 & 2787/5 2787/12 2787/17 2787/19 & 2772/25 2773/9 2774/5 2774/9 \\
\hline & 2787/22 2789/8 2847/3 2847/4 & 2775/21 2775/23 2776/10 2776/17 \\
\hline 2637/13 2640/10 2646/17 2683/20 & 2847/20 2852/10 2852/11 2852/12 & 2776/19 2779/16 2779/18 2784/25 \\
\hline 2747/25 2773/9 2773/11 2773/15 & 2856/5 2856/6 2856/10 2856/21 & 2787/2 2787/7 2791/7 2793/5 2802/1 \\
\hline 2773/15 2773/16 2776/17 2776/17 & 2857/7 2857/10 2857/14 2858/13 & 2802/3 2806/4 2807/24 2810/19 \\
\hline 2776/20 2787/2 2787/17 2787/19 & 2860/15 2864/1 & 2813/4 2813/22 2813/25 2 \\
\hline 2809/2 2847/3 2847/4 2852/10 & advise [8] 2666/24 2782/8 2798/3 & 2822/21 2827/5 2846/25 2847/4 \\
\hline 2852/11 2852/12 2856/5 2856/6 & 2802/4 2836/8 2854/11 2854/15 & 2847/6 2848/1 2851/8 2852/2 2855/10 \\
\hline 2856/21 2856/21 2857/10 2857/14 & 2854/25 & 2855/13 2855/16 2855/23 2856/2 \\
\hline 2857/25 2860/15 2864/1 & advised [5] 2679/1 2679/3 2830/23 & 2856/5 2856/7 2856/13 2856/21 \\
\hline actions [21] 2634/18 2711/16 2712/3 & 2830/23 2836/19 & 2857/12 2857/25 2858/2 2858/6 \\
\hline 2728/24 2771/25 2772/11 2772/18 & advising [4] 2630/20 2644/8 2802/9 & 2858/11 2863/15 2864/1 2864/3 \\
\hline 2775/23 2778/18 2787/5 2787/7 & affairs [19] 2598/7 2608/1 2624/15 & 2864/4 2867/6 \\
\hline 2787/12 2789/9 2801/25 2842/2 & affairs [19] 2598/7 2608/1 2624/15
2626/3 2626/23 2654/4 2656/13 & age [1] 2807/21 agency [1] 2800/11 \\
\hline 2847/20 2856/9 2856/10 2858/13 & 2669/12 2657/13 2668/4 & agent [1] 2597/19 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2863/15 2864/3 } \\
& \text { active [1] } 2849 / 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 2670/15 2745/22 2748/12 2786/14 & aggressive [1] 2841/18 \\
\hline activities [7] 2669/14 2744/17 2802/6 & 2788/6 2820/25 2845/19 2854/14 & ago [12] 2638/22 2654/13 2710/15 \\
\hline 2815/10 2825/9 2846/13 2861/12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
affect [1] 2756/11 \\
affects [1] 2756/10
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
2744/21 2792/19 2793/1 2794/8 \\
2797/8 2802/18 2828/7 2838/25
\end{tabular} \\
\hline activity [2] 2640/13 2787/21 & \begin{tabular}{l}
affects [1] 2756/10 \\
affirmative [6] 2596/4 2606/13
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2797/8 2802/18 2828/7 2838/25 } \\
& 2874 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline acts [11] 2770/9 2770/13 2770/13
\[
2771 / 12771 / 6 \text { 2772/20 2772/20 }
\] & 2757/16 2761/19 2761/21 2766/5 & agree [15] 2642/20 2651/11 2665/8 \\
\hline 2772/21 2776/6 2778/5 2778/17 & afforded [9] 2654/14 2677/7 2714/25 & 2686/11 2703/20 2703/23 2743/18 \\
\hline actual [5] 2647/23 2729/7 2733/5 & 2774/2 2774/7 2774/14 2774/22 & 2765/19 2834/21 2844/11 2845/4 \\
\hline 2779/10 2779/15 & 2775/3 2775/11 & 2849/11 2856/15 2867/24 2869/23 \\
\hline actually [11] 2612/21 2615/1 2645/18 & afraid [1] 2645/1 & agreed [8] 2651/10 2655/6 2690/14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 2854/8 2857/9 & 2687/21 2691/1 2695/5 2695/19 \\
\hline agreed... [5] 2709/10 2766/22 2824/23 & alter [1] 2804/9 & 2695/22 2697/2 2700/20 2707/21 \\
\hline 2843/20 2844/6 & altercation [1] 2609/8 & 2709/14 2713/7 2718/6 2720 \\
\hline agreement [27] 2600/22 2601/6 & alternative [3] 2670/21 267 & 724/11 2725/21 2726/4 2730/14 \\
\hline 2639/20 2644/14 2650/10 2651/1 & although [4] 2597/22 2656/2 2723/2 & 2736/25 2740/13 2741/6 2742/8 \\
\hline 2651/3 2651/7 2651/25 2652/7 2672/9 & 2795/8 & 2742/24 2743/25 2746/2 27 \\
\hline 2688/5 2688/9 2688/14 2688/19 & always & 2746/13 2746/14 2749/17 2749/18 \\
\hline 2689/15 2689/24 2690/6 2690/18 & 2620/23 2630/20 2643/8 2697/8 & 2751/20 2752/23 2754/25 2755/9 \\
\hline 2709/5 2727/6 2730/8 2756/7 2761/21 & 2753/15 2760/21 2814/12 2836/9 & 2755 \\
\hline 2780/18 2782/6 2794/24 & 836/10 2838/1 & 765/20 2766/3 2766/10 2766 \\
\hline agreements [1] 2651/16 & am [4] 2623/5 2744/15 2751/8 2825/2 & 2766/21 2768/9 2769/5 2769 \\
\hline agrees [6] 2757/3 2783/4 2841/10 & amazement [1] 275 & \[
2769 / 13
\] \\
\hline 2841/12 2866/25 2866/25 & Amendment [23] 2761/3 2770/10 &  \\
\hline ahead [4] 2620/16 2687/18 2741/25 & 2771/20 2772/10 2772/24 2773/1 & 2779/14 2779/15 2779/21 27 \\
\hline & 2773/4 2775/22 2776/9 2776/11 & 2781/16 2781/21 2781/24 2781/25 \\
\hline & 2776/13 2776/16 2776/23 2784/8 & 2783/14 2786/16 2786/19 2787/1 \\
\hline Aiken [8] 28 & 2784/19 2791/2 2793/10 2825/ & 2787/5 2787/6 2789/15 2792/16 \\
\hline 2831/21 2831/22 2867/9 2867/1 & 2842/24 2846/22 2855/10 2856/18 & 2796/14 2796/20 2799/2 2799/17 \\
\hline 2867/15 & 2856/20 & 2802/11 2802/21 2803/15 2808/25 \\
\hline air [3] 2667/1 2805/25 2870/7 & amongst [2] 2647/3 2707/2 & 2809/1 2811/9 2811/10 2811/20 \\
\hline al [1] 2878/3 & amount [11] 2742/17 2777/7 27 & 2816/25 2817/18 2823/2 2825/25 \\
\hline alarmed [1] 2 & 2779/2 2779/3 2779/6 2779 & 2835/10 2855/21 2859/1 2859/8 \\
\hline alcohol [2] 2666/8 2721/4 & 2789/25 2868/5 2876/19 & 2864/17 2865/12 2870/14 2870/17 \\
\hline Alex [7] 2692/12 2698/14 2699/25 & amounted [1] 2797 & 2872/18 2872/20 2872/20 2873/7 \\
\hline 2705/17 2705/20 2800/15 2871/6 & amounts [2] 2 analogy [1] 28 & \\
\hline all [234] & analyze [1] 2807/6 & 2781/22 2790/10 2791/18 2791/21 \\
\hline allegation [3] 2711/15 2714/4 2798/3 & and all [1] 2808/25 & 2803/10 2805/7 2821/14 2868/2 \\
\hline  & and being [1] 2593/ & 2869/9 2873/25 2877/1 \\
\hline 2788/14 2802/3 2802/5 2827/7 & and/or [1] 2773/4 & anymore [5] 2640/2 2646/24 2666/1 \\
\hline alleged [2] 2663/7 2758/21 & ANDREA [2] 2589/7 2794/20 Andy [2] 2682/24 2824/5 & \[
2824 / 212825 / 24
\] \\
\hline allegedly [2] 2756/17 2812/19 & Andy [2] 2682/24 2824/5 Andy Bechdolt [1] 2682/24 & anyone [7] 2619/7 2619/12 2666/2 2675/17 2676/1 2779/13 2859/24 \\
\hline alleges [9] 2771/19 2771/21 2771/23 & angel [2] 2621/16 2709/16 & anything [57] 2606/10 2616/9 2617/24 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2772/9 2772/23 2773/20 2775/21 } \\
& 2775 / 25 \text { 2776/8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & angers [1] 2805/9 & 2624/11 2633/13 2651/12 2654/9 \\
\hline allow [7] 2655/2 & angle [1] 2817/18 & 2658/9 2659/18 2662/20 2663/4 \\
\hline 2743/12 2743/19 2757/8 2791/8 & angry [3] 2607/18 2607/19 2813/2 & 2665/13 2666/18 2669/2 2677/ \\
\hline allowed [10] 2614/1 2626/11 2680/2 & Ann [7] 2831/11 2831/14 2831/17 & 2693/14 2708/11 2712/3 2725/16 \\
\hline 2736/5 2736/9 2736/19 2742/17 & 2831/21 2831/22 2867/9 2867/15 & 2726/7 2727/9 2727/15 2738/18 \\
\hline 2822/6 2841/17 2859/6 & annual [9] 2603/24 2787/25 2808/22 & 2740/9 2748/18 2752/15 2752/20 \\
\hline allowing [6] 2639/1 2700/22 2713/20 & 2809/4 2812/7 2812/10 2815/6 2815/9 & 2755/21 2756/3 2756/5 2762/5 \\
\hline 2743/6 2743/7 2841/19 & 2868/24 & 2765/14 2767/20 2781/16 2784/21 \\
\hline allows [1] 2639/1 & another [17] & 278 \\
\hline almost [2] 2830/19 2837/15 & 2648/5 2668/14 2671/9 2680/4 2701/9 & 2805/17 2812/20 2815/17 2816/17 \\
\hline alone [4] 2717/6 2845/14 2845/25 & 2722/14 2768/6 2770/2 2782/ & 2821/9 2823/6 2824/7 2825/2 \\
\hline 2863/11 & 2800/11 2817/11 2824/5 2865/3 & 2829/23 2834/10 2837/5 2841/16 \\
\hline along [7] 2639/17 2740/23 2765/2 & 2869/14 & 2853/4 2858/9 2862/15 2863/21 \\
\hline 2786/10 2789/13 2810/25 2810/25 & answer [16] 2599/22 2600/18 2655/23 & 2864/14 2876/1 \\
\hline alongside [1] 2636/20 & 2665/2 2665/5 2702/5 2702/17 & anyway [3] 2716/12 2717/16 2871/2 anywhere [1] 2714/17 \\
\hline already [13] 2668/7 2678/21 2678/21 & 2705/22 2723/4 2768/20 2781/21 & apartment [4] 2841/11 2850/3 2850/9 \\
\hline 2679/14 2684/24 2685/12 2690/11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2705/22 } 2723 / 42768 / 202781 / 21 \\
& 2782 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { apartment [4] } 2841 / 112850 / 32850 / 9 \\
& 2850 / 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2722/11 2752/12 2792/5 2866/19 } \\
& \text { 2871/7 2871/8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & answered [2] 2768/16 2768/17 & apartments [4] 2750/22 2800/24 \\
\hline also [55] 2597/21 2601/3 2608/22 & answering [3] 2702/12 2781/19 & 2818/9 2850/8 \\
\hline 2620/11 2622/1 2623/14 2625/6 & 2807/15 & apologies [6] 2648/10 2709/23 \\
\hline 2625/14 2626/2 2627/19 2628/25 & answers & 2721/12 2738/23 2757/1 2759/19 \\
\hline 2630/19 2635/9 2642/25 2644/18 & anticipate [2] 2682/19 2733/13 & apologize [6] 2601/12 2601/17 268 \\
\hline 2653/12 2654/6 2655/25 2656/16 & \begin{tabular}{l}
anticipating [1] 2600/18 \\
antics [3] 2839/25 2840/6 2849/2
\end{tabular} & 2721/10 2745/5 2757/4 \\
\hline 2665/16 2670/15 2670/23 2678/3 & any [129] 2601/14 2604/2 2604/5 & apparently [8] 2609/7 2649/2 2651/22 \\
\hline 2682/6 2683/3 2684/21 2690/2 2690/6 & 2605/4 2606/6 2607/25 2608/18 & \[
2731 / 152738 / 232743 / 112751 / 13
\] \\
\hline 2690/13 2692/3 2694/10 2705/21 & 2608/18 2612/6 2616/9 2617/6 2620/1 & \\
\hline 2714/22 2722/19 2726/21 2733/20 2739/22 2746/11 2747/14 2753/9 & 2628/3 2628/12 2643/7 2645/25 & appeal [4] 2611/6 2612/12 2612/16 \\
\hline 2757/19 2779/9 2780/7 2783/13 & 2646/15 2646/22 2647/4 2649/18 & 2612/17 \\
\hline 2834/9 2834/11 2835/7 2843/3 2843/6 & 2650/25 2654/12 2659/22 2661/2 & appealed [1] 2599/2 \\
\hline 2843/20 2845/1 2849/22 2854/5 & 2661/4 2661/25 2680/6 2685/20 & appeals [1] 2799/19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline A & 2759/14 2759/19 2760/11 & 2664/11 2665/12 2666/4 2666/7 \\
\hline August... [19] 2657/16 2774/6 2783/5 & 2762/1 2762/2 2781/14 2783/7 278 & 2669/10 2674/6 2676/18 2680/22 \\
\hline 2783/5 2783/10 2783/10 2784/1 & 2789/4 2789/7 2791/12 2797/18 & 2689/6 2694/18 2700/23 2725/25 \\
\hline 2784/1 2784/10 2784/10 2822/8 & 2797/19 2799/7 2800/10 2801/22 & 2727/5 2727/14 2743/7 2744 \\
\hline 2830/7 2834/20 2844/3 2859/16 & 2801/23 2803/12 2805/16 2806/3 & 2750/21 2750/24 2751/9 2753/13 \\
\hline 2859/22 2866/11 2867/19 2867/21 & 2806/11 2807/19 2808/4 2809/7 & 2755/16 2756/20 2757/3 2757/22 \\
\hline August 12 [1] 2774/6 & 2809/10 2809/19 2811/24 2816/3 & \[
2757 / 23 \text { 2761/25 2762/4 } 2763
\] \\
\hline August 12th [4] 2783/5 2783/10 & 2816/25 2816/25 2817/2 28171 & \[
276
\] \\
\hline 2784/1 2784/10 & 2828/15 2834/14 2837/25 2840/2 & 2790/23 2790/24 2793/25 2796/8 \\
\hline August 13 [5] 2656/19 2656/25 2784/1 & 2840/13 2841/14 2843/1 2846/23 & 2797/2 2798/10 2802/23 2803/16 \\
\hline 2784/10 2830/7 & 2853/13 2855/7 2857/4 2861/2 2861/3 & 2806/9 2810/21 2811/19 2817/4 \\
\hline August 13th [7] 2783/5 2783/10 & 2861/5 2861/8 2861/14 2861/17 & 2820/11 2820/15 2831/3 2832/16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2834 / 20 \\
& 2867 / 19
\end{aligned}
\] & 2861/19 2861/20 2861/22 2861/22 & 2833/10 2835/20 2838/8 2841/19 \\
\hline 2867 & 2862/4 2862/5 2864/2 2865/10 & 2843/18 2843/20 2845/20 2849/8 \\
\hline authority [15] 2593/21 2594/2 2610/20 & 2865/18 2867/4 2868/3 2870/13 & 2850/5 2850/7 2850/18 2851/17 \\
\hline 2620/18 2622/15 2625/12 2652/18 & 2874/4 2874/7 2875/2 2875/13 2876/7 & 2851/18 2851/22 2851/24 2853/11 \\
\hline 2665/24 2668/15 2778/23 2789/19 & ba & 285 \\
\hline 2789/20 2810/1 2842/20 2842/21 & \begin{tabular}{l}
background [5] \\
2629/1 2670/11
\end{tabular} & 2862/12 2863/13 2868/7 2871/4 \\
\hline authorizes [1] 2777/17 & backpack [2] 2841/19 2848/22 & Bechdolt [9] 2682/24 2682/24 2683/3 \\
\hline autocratic [1] 2603/20 & backseat [2] 2639/2 2680/4 & 2683/13 2720/22 2821/7 2824/5 \\
\hline & bad [24] 2597/9 2603/19 2789/16 & 2840/5 2845/14 \\
\hline available [1] & 2789/17 2792/16 2794/5 2794/2 & become [10] 2616/9 2663/19 2664/16 \\
\hline Avenger & 2795/4 2795/17 2796/10 2799/20 & 2664/22 2664/23 2675/7 2678/24 \\
\hline Avenue [3] 2589/4 258 & 2801/12 2801/20 2802/17 2813/12 & 2844/12 2863/4 2863/7 \\
\hline avenues [1] 2860/9 & 2820/20 2826/12 2827/16 2846/2 & becomes [2] 2781/6 2811/4 \\
\hline average [1] 2593/15 & 2846/21 2857/8 2866/4 2867/7 2872/3 & becoming [2] 2665/17 2862/25 \\
\hline avoid [1] 2777/23 & ba & droom [1] 2760/23 \\
\hline award [15] 2681/18 2777/15 2777/17 & bag [2] 2834/23 2835/4 & been [119] 2591/24 2595/25 2596/20 \\
\hline 2777/20 2778/4 2778/10 2779/15 & balance [1] 2596/7 & 2602/4 2602/13 2605/6 2608/22 \\
\hline 2779/17 2779/19 2779/21 2855/6 & ballistic [1] 2596/9 & 2618/14 2619/24 2621/24 2622/1 \\
\hline 2862/23 2863/7 2863/14 2863/18 & barely [1] 2825/11 & 2625/11 2629/12 2630/21 2631/ \\
\hline awarded [5] 2679/4 2778/6 2778/9 & barrel [1] & 2637/18 2639/15 2641/17 2645/7 \\
\hline 2779/19 2860/24 & bartered [1] 2826/15 & 2654/2 2654/6 2655/14 2658/6 2659/6 \\
\hline aware [39] 2612/15 2612/17 2617/4 & base [2] 2766/6 2766/13 & 2669/20 2672/13 2672/14 2677/2 \\
\hline 2618/2 2618/9 2619/18 2621/5 & baseball [1] 2826/15 & 2678/18 2679/3 2679/7 2684/25 \\
\hline 2627/20 2629/13 2649/23 2649/25 & based [25] 2615/5 2617/16 2662/1 & 2687/8 2690/11 2690/14 2691/12 \\
\hline 2657/3 2663/19 2663/22 2665/17 & 2666/10 2671/15 2673/22 2682/21 & 2691/13 2692/8 2692/22 2696 \\
\hline 2675/4 2675/7 2675/7 2678/21 & 2686/9 2699/3 2699/13 2723/20 & 2700/25 2706/19 2710/10 2715/10 \\
\hline 2678/24 2679/7 2684/2 2688/5 & 2723/23 2729/24 2740/8 2750/20 & 2715/13 2718/16 2724/17 2728/21 \\
\hline 2689/20 2691/24 2693/23 2694/20 & 2750/23 2769/18 2777/15 2846/22 & 2732/19 2735/10 2735/13 2737/13 \\
\hline 2694/21 2702/10 2703/21 2703/24 & 2855/24 2858/2 2858/12 2862/24 & 2737/17 2738/15 2745/3 2751/17 \\
\hline 2704/3 2716/10 2716/13 2718/7 & 2863/19 2864/1 & 2759/21 2761/4 2761/20 2767/14 \\
\hline 2718/9 2729/9 2762/5 2845/17 & basic [2] 2597/4 2607/8 & 2767/15 2767/23 2767/25 2768/20 \\
\hline away [13] 2593/2 2595/20 2615 & basically [8] 2593/11 2606/25 2653/25 & 2777/14 2778/2 2780/2 2781/24 \\
\hline 2625/19 2655/24 2693/14 2788/5 & 2686/8 2687/6 2717/8 2740/14 & 2782/1 2782/7 2784/ \\
\hline 2809/12 2813/14 2845/13 2861/21 & 2830/10 & 2788/18 2791/11 2791/22 2795/2 \\
\hline 2866/13 2866/14 & basis [5] 2736/17 2844/9 2854/13 & 2796/13 2797/24 2799/16 2800/5 \\
\hline B & battle [1] 2758/8 & 2815/12 2816/16 2816/20 2818/22 \\
\hline back [134] 2595/16 2603/10 2607/2 & be [280] & 2819/23 2822/11 2823/4 2823/11 \\
\hline 2607/17 2612/6 2615/15 2619/16 & beans [2] 2835/8 2870/12 & 2824/21 2825/15 2836/19 2838/9 \\
\hline 2619/20 2621/13 2629/5 2632/23 & bear [1] 2769/13 & 2847/13 2848/5 2848/6 2849/1 2850 \\
\hline 2634/21 2636/11 2637/6 2646/7 & became [8] 2605/5 2607/9 2612/25 & 2850/24 2850/24 2851/12 2851/13 \\
\hline 2646/10 2653/24 2655/15 2655/23 & 2613/6 2636/4 2702/10 2703/21 & 2852/8 2853/1 2853/7 2853/12 2854/6 \\
\hline 2664/15 2665/9 2668/16 2679/17 & 2703/24 & 2854/9 2855/22 2856/14 2860/24 \\
\hline 2680/15 2680/18 2680/21 2680/25 & because [119] 2594/2 2595/4 2596/10 & 2862/25 2863/4 2863/22 2864/20 \\
\hline 2682/7 2682/9 2683/18 2684/12 & 2596/13 2600/18 2600/19 2601/4 & 2870/9 \\
\hline 2684/16 2687/19 2687/24 2687/25 & 2601/7 2601/23 2603/25 2604/5 & beer [2] 2621/16 2666/15 \\
\hline 2693/3 2693/7 2693/8 2693/12 & 2604/19 2604/23 2607/1 2614/1 & before [83] 2588/15 2592/2 2600 \\
\hline 2693/13 2693/15 2693/21 2693/22 & 2614/13 2615/15 2615/18 2618/23 & 2604/18 2605/4 2618/6 2618 \\
\hline 2695/8 2695/9 2702/15 2715/18 & 2619/9 2620/14 2622/7 2625/23 & 2618/25 2619/7 2619/11 2626/7 \\
\hline 2716/7 2718/23 2722/22 2724/2 & 2626/24 2627/10 2635/9 2636/6 & 2627/2 2627/9 2627/20 2633/19 \\
\hline 2724/10 2726/6 2726/9 2726/22 & 2636/7 2637/14 2640/2 2641/3 2646/8 & 2636/13 2637/13 2648/19 2657/12 \\
\hline 2730/15 2730/15 2731/8 2731/19 & 2647/21 2648/5 2655/21 2658/24 & 2661/18 2662/12 2668/19 2671/3 \\
\hline 2731/20 2731/24 2732/6 2742/20 & 2659/17 2660/5 2660/9 2661/15 & 2673/6 2678/20 2679/6 2681/4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

B
before... [56] 2686/10 2688/9 2690/3
2693/10 2696/3 2718/15 2719/22
2720/12 2726/1 2726/2 2727/25 2751/13 2756/9 2762/19 2765/22 2776/1 2781/18 2782/18 2785/21 2786/22 2791/9 2793/19 2793/20 2794/2 2794/4 2796/11 2797/3 2797/16 2798/19 2800/9 2800/13 2805/6 2805/23 2806/3 2807/17 2807/18 2808/4 2808/11 2808/12 2812/19 2812/23 2813/2 2813/19 2818/16 2818/19 2822/18 2829/1 2830/7 2831/14 2831/18 2844/20 2852/17 2856/13 2858/24 2871/9 2873/4
began [3] 2605/17 2613/7 2613/14
begin [3] 2644/21 2669/16 2780/14
beginning [5] 2649/14 2762/12 2765/23 2812/3 2812/12
begins [1] 2861/19
behalf [15] 2591/10 2675/17 2676/2
2730/22 2734/10 2737/16 2738/20
2751/6 2782/17 2782/25 2783/2
2784/15 2808/12 2830/8 2875/8
behavior [14] 2617/19 2619/21 2621/4
2621/6 2622/8 2627/12 2629/23
2639/20 2641/4 2711/17 2712/5 2750/9 2840/24 2841/7
behaviors [14] 2605/17 2615/8 2621/17 2624/18 2627/6 2627/19 2628/13 2642/19 2642/23 2670/23 2670/24 2709/13 2723/23 2845/8 behind [10] 2623/23 2639/17 2661/8 2719/5 2732/21 2805/15 2837/10 2844/16 2845/4 2852/5
being [87] 2591/10 2593/1 2593/16 2594/16 2595/17 2595/21 2596/6 2608/2 2608/5 2608/23 2610/22 2612/2 2619/17 2619/17 2622/19 2622/22 2626/25 2630/18 2631/11 2647/17 2654/14 2663/16 2678/10 2684/15 2686/20 2692/12 2694/20 2725/2 2734/10 2737/23 2737/24 2738/2 2738/2 2743/9 2749/11 2749/25 2758/6 2765/5 2774/2 2774/4 2774/7 2774/9 2774/14 2774/22 2775/3 2775/11 2786/19 2786/21 2788/9 2788/16 2789/10 2794/23 2798/8 2805/4 2813/1 2813/22 2813/22 2813/25 2814/1 2814/6 2818/3 2818/14 2820/2 2820/2 2822/9 2822/21 2823/6 2825/4 2835/10 2842/5 2842/17 2842/17 2843/21 2849/23 2851/19 2858/13 2858/14 2859/6 2859/20 2859/22 2860/17 2861/13 2862/8 2864/20 2870/2 2870/10 2873/20
belief [3] 2627/5 2781/3 2830/22
beliefs [2] 2822/22 2867/5
believability [1] 2769/13
believe [73] 2595/2 2603/9 2604/21 2604/25 2606/17 2609/12 2613/16 2614/9 2615/8 2616/7 2616/11 2617/21 2619/4 2621/22 2623/7 2625/20 2628/17 2634/15 2634/24 2635/24 2640/7 2640/8 2640/20

2645/14 2645/17 2654/23 2655/5 2660/15 2660/24 2661/6 2662/1 2663/20 2664/4 2664/7 2664/24 2667/5 2669/17 2672/7 2672/12 2673/24 2674/6 2675/11 2690/1 2693/19 2694/4 2694/8 2699/14 2700/21 2702/14 2712/2 2712/21 2713/6 2713/22 2722/24 2724/10 2728/20 2732/21 2748/13 2754/18 2767/11 2769/1 2769/1 2769/2 2790/11 2791/18 2801/18 2809/14 2810/21 2838/4 2841/14 2852/4 2861/1 2868/3
believed [10] 2607/24 2617/18 2671/5 2720/9 2790/5 2807/11 2808/6 2822/12 2851/22 2851/23
believes [5] 2749/11 2756/1 2756/21 2786/20 2857/17
believing [1] 2839/2
belittles [1] 2807/24
belittling [1] 2846/5
bell [1] 2761/12
belong [1] 2606/8
below [1] 2878/8
bench [1] 2760/24
besieged [1] 2802/5
best [11] 2604/22 2614/14 2614/17 2631/21 2696/5 2750/5 2806/22 2829/8 2839/3 2852/21 2853/4 bet [3] 2813/21 2821/24 2822/1 better [12] 2596/14 2618/24 2620/16 2622/24 2629/24 2650/19 2654/25 2697/8 2742/13 2825/17 2840/15 2846/20
between [20] 2601/5 2605/15 2618/22 2641/23 2646/13 2648/5 2650/10 2651/18 2671/13 2678/2 2681/9 2684/22 2688/6 2707/7 2707/10 2711/21 2713/9 2761/15 2768/7 2812/14
beyond [4] 2743/21 2785/19 2857/11 2860/4
bias [2] 2769/10 2779/4
big [26] 2598/9 2598/12 2603/10 2604/17 2644/10 2716/15 2788/22 2789/19 2789/21 2790/13 2796/15 2797/25 2803/3 2805/9 2806/6 2809/6 2816/23 2817/19 2818/5 2833/4 2847/5 2866/1 2866/17 2867/13 2869/9 2873/14
bigger [2] 2793/7 2826/16
bigtime [1] 2807/10
bike [1] 2816/13
bikes [1] 2820/19
bill [16] 2654/15 2658/20 2662/21 2677/7 2774/3 2774/8 2774/15 2774/23 2775/4 2775/12 2788/12 2822/4 2847/20 2859/6 2859/19 2864/6
binder [1] 2699/6
binding [1] 2730/4
bit [12] 2592/3 2595/14 2616/3
2622/25 2706/16 2742/3 2763/3 2763/10 2814/19 2825/17 2838/12 2855/24
bits [1] 2707/4
bizarre [4] 2619/21 2621/6 2641/4

2709/13
black [4] 2616/20 2808/9 2839/24 2868/14
blame [3] 2801/1 2821/10 2851/2
board [3] 2722/23 2725/15 2812/11
body [1] 2846/15
bombshell [1] 2792/17
book [1] 2821/9
bookend [1] 2828/6
born [1] 2595/15
boss [6] 2607/12 2608/15 2613/24 2620/15 2682/16 2714/3
both [21] 2606/3 2628/1 2686/1 2690/1 2696/12 2697/22 2701/18 2726/19 2729/21 2730/3 2733/4 2733/25 2768/6 2783/21 2817/20 2839/17 2839/22 2843/14 2844/10 2866/24 2876/24
bothering [1] 2595/6
bottom [14] 2638/16 2642/7 2642/15 2661/8 2678/9 2678/10 2681/3 2710/19 2710/22 2747/1 2759/22 2799/23 2821/10 2840/18
bouncing [1] 2760/11
boundary [1] 2594/2
Bowes [2] 2726/23 2727/15
bowl [24] 2659/2 2663/7 2758/5 2758/11 2758/15 2758/22 2774/11 2774/18 2775/7 2775/15 2793/15 2803/3 2803/8 2803/10 2803/15 2814/25 2822/20 2826/4 2834/24 2835/4 2835/13 2835/14 2859/18 2867/8
box [4] 2591/14 2685/2 2734/14 2759/20
Boy [1] 2815/16
Boyd [37] 2605/9 2605/11 2605/12 2605/21 2606/2 2606/11 2607/17 2608/10 2608/17 2608/19 2608/22 2609/1 2609/7 2609/10 2609/23 2610/5 2610/15 2610/17 2610/24 2611/8 2611/23 2612/2 2612/4 2612/5 2612/6 2727/10 2727/17 2790/19 2797/16 2803/19 2804/10 2804/12 2805/24 2810/14 2826/22 2862/14 2863/16
Boyd's [1] 2826/3
boyfriend [2] 2638/24 2638/24
Brady [94] 2683/3 2683/11 2691/4 2691/7 2691/18 2691/25 2692/14 2692/23 2693/8 2694/14 2696/3 2696/20 2699/9 2699/15 2699/20 2700/9 2700/11 2700/12 2700/25 2701/10 2702/11 2703/21 2703/25 2705/8 2722/11 2726/9 2726/22 2727/3 2727/7 2727/12 2727/13 2727/19 2727/22 2728/5 2738/24 2785/5 2788/23 2790/22 2791/9 2791/14 2791/16 2791/22 2791/24 2792/3 2792/4 2792/10 2792/14 2792/21 2792/24 2793/6 2793/9 2793/17 2793/19 2794/1 2794/7 2794/13 2794/17 2795/2 2796/16 2796/21 2796/24 2797/3 2797/12 2797/15 2797/19 2797/22 2797/25 2798/10 2798/11 2799/5 2799/21 2804/8 2807/3 2811/19 2821/19

B
Brady... [19] 2824/21 2825/3 2825/23 2851/11 2853/10 2853/17 2853/23 2854/3 2854/7 2855/4 2855/14 2858/15 2860/15 2860/18 2863/9 2870/22 2870/24 2871/16 2873/2
Brady materials [1] 2821/19 Brady-list [4] 2727/3 2727/13 2793/9 2796/24
Brady-listed [10] 2726/9 2791/14
2791/16 2791/22 2792/14 2793/17 2794/7 2795/2 2797/25 2824/21
Brady-listing [18] 2694/14 2700/9 2700/11 2700/12 2701/10 2728/5 2785/5 2788/23 2791/24 2792/3 2792/21 2793/6 2793/19 2794/1 2797/19 2797/22 2825/23 2870/24
Bradyed [1] 2869/22
brain [13] 2599/6 2600/11 2600/14 2600/24 2601/4 2650/12 2650/14 2650/18 2650/22 2651/3 2651/5 2651/13 2651/20
Brandenburg [3] 2839/7 2839/17 2839/21
branding [1] 2785/5
BRANDON [19] 2588/7 2632/17 2638/18 2643/21 2643/21 2699/11 2710/21 2710/23 2711/14 2711/22 2736/15 2738/7 2740/24 2771/2 2771/14 2772/5 2805/22 2829/11 2831/25
Brandon Lebrecht [1] 2711/22 Brathwaite [1] 2844/2
break [6] 2647/1 2731/7 2731/23
2761/15 2762/19 2819/13
breath [1] 2816/5
Brian [14] 2675/5 2675/17 2675/18
2706/22 2707/10 2707/11 2755/7 2755/20 2755/24 2756/2 2774/13 2824/10 2824/12 2859/24
brief [9] 2689/7 2731/3 2731/23
2734/2 2747/24 2771/9 2859/5 2875/6 2876/16
briefed [2] 2622/19 2797/18 briefing [7] 2635/25 2659/7 2804/16 2805/3 2832/1 2832/9 2832/11 briefings [6] 2659/3 2803/20 2822/20 2832/12 2832/15 2839/10
briefly [1] 2735/8
bright [1] 2592/20
bring [17] 2601/8 2618/13 2650/17 2651/18 2680/15 2680/24 2687/19 2707/1 2718/10 2781/14 2794/6 2800/3 2801/14 2817/11 2820/4 2869/13 2876/3
bringing [4] 2621/20 2650/11 2726/6 2863/16
brings [4] 2769/25 2822/17 2858/25 2859/3
British [1] 2595/16
broad [3] 2594/20 2619/11 2685/8
broader [1] 2601/7
broke [2] 2648/11 2724/2
brought [16] 2649/19 2650/14 2676/21 2684/24 2723/16 2740/8 2785/9 2798/10 2803/3 2805/13 2813/20 2817/7 2817/7 2822/25

2843/17 2876/11 buffer [1] 2605/15
build [4] 2595/1 2614/16 2653/25 2653/25
building [5] 2607/16 2615/5 2658/7 2660/11 2731/12
built [2] 2614/19 2615/6 bunch [2] 2814/4 2830/11
burden [14] 2755/15 2766/3 2766/10 2772/2 2772/19 2776/5 2777/5
2777/24 2778/7 2801/3 2847/1 2858/4 2863/8 2865/5
burn [1] 2826/4
bushes [1] 2837/10
business [1] 2751/14
busy [2] 2655/12 2655/13
buy [2] 2596/9 2596/10
buying [1] 2802/17

\section*{C}
cabinet [1] 2719/5
calculated [1] 2798/20
California [1] 2806/3
call [35] 2591/4 2610/20 2623/8 2623/14 2623/18 2626/5 2626/9 2633/14 2633/23 2665/17 2677/10 2721/1 2721/17 2721/18 2729/10 2729/11 2734/3 2734/4 2747/8 2748/9 2748/11 2762/24 2774/17 2774/25 2775/6 2775/14 2803/10 2818/23 2826/22 2831/3 2834/12 2845/22 2848/22 2849/17 2864/8
called [30] 2591/10 2598/1 2606/2 2613/1 2620/11 2626/10 2633/21 2665/22 2669/10 2690/7 2709/6 2709/8 2730/2 2734/10 2742/20 2743/9 2743/12 2785/4 2795/20 2799/17 2803/13 2804/12 2813/23 2817/20 2817/25 2830/24 2833/5 2833/5 2845/21 2848/12
caller [1] 2623/10
calling [6] 2720/17 2720/19 2735/3
2796/11 2800/19 2846/13
callout [1] 2666/14
callouts [6] 2666/3 2721/2 2788/8 2824/4 2824/8 2854/16 calls [10] 2591/5 2661/15 2707/16 2729/12 2739/16 2830/11 2837/18 2846/16 2868/23 2869/13
cam [9] 2716/3 2716/5 2720/20
2818/19 2831/5 2850/15 2850/21 2850/23 2850/24
came [54] 2592/17 2592/24 2595/16
2600/9 2623/7 2626/16 2658/4 2658/4 2684/12 2684/16 2693/10 2693/12 2693/13 2702/11 2736/12 2751/13 2785/21 2786/24 2796/8 2797/16 2798/7 2800/18 2805/25 2807/14 2808/8 2809/15 2810/11 2825/9 2827/5 2833/12 2834/4 2834/14 2835/18 2836/15 2837/2 2838/23 2839/1 2839/10 2840/3 2842/13 2843/8 2843/9 2846/10 2848/8 2849/1 2849/14 2855/7 2860/20 2860/23 2860/23 2866/3 2867/11 2868/14 2869/20
came in [1] 2868/14
camel's [1] 2724/2
camera [1] 2846/15
CAMERON [98] 2588/8 2616/3 2616/4 2616/7 2616/8 2616/14 2616/18 2617/23 2619/8 2619/13 2639/3 2658/23 2667/5 2667/6 2714/12 2714/18 2721/21 2771/2 2771/15 2772/6 2775/21 2775/22 2776/12 2776/16 2806/12 2807/23 2809/15 2810/2 2810/8 2810/12 2810/15 2810/17 2811/3 2811/21 2811/24 2811/25 2812/8 2812/14 2812/18 2812/24 2815/5 2815/6 2819/11 2819/21 2820/4 2820/8 2823/1 2825/18 2827/5 2830/23 2830/25 2831/1 2831/6 2831/7 2832/8 2832/15 2832/19 2833/17 2833/20 2833/23 2833/25 2834/1 2834/2 2834/9 2834/15 2839/16 2839/20 2839/22 2843/5 2843/9 2844/18 2848/13 2849/8 2849/9 2849/13 2849/20 2850/2 2850/5 2850/10 2855/23 2856/2 2856/5 2856/11 2856/14 2856/18 2856/25 2857/5 2857/9 2857/15 2857/17 2857/23 2857/25 2858/2 2858/5 2858/7 2865/1 2866/18 2868/9
Cameron's [3] 2817/19 2834/13 2856/8
camp [3] 2804/18 2832/13 2832/17
camping [1] 2825/9
campus [31] 2592/8 2592/17 2592/21
2593/9 2593/18 2593/25 2594/2 2594/3 2594/13 2594/19 2595/2 2602/12 2605/8 2610/22 2612/9 2613/16 2613/25 2614/23 2616/12 2616/22 2634/20 2667/15 2667/17 2802/4 2804/17 2809/22 2815/21 2815/25 2826/21 2845/15 2845/16 can [126] 2592/3 2595/7 2595/13 2601/9 2605/10 2608/23 2615/1 2619/17 2624/7 2627/3 2627/12 2627/12 2628/16 2632/14 2634/3 2634/7 2634/25 2637/4 2648/2 2648/4 2650/11 2650/16 2652/6 2659/19 2662/7 2662/25 2665/5 2666/15 2667/17 2668/13 2669/13 2670/5 2674/22 2677/25 2678/8 2690/14 2698/18 2700/12 2701/15 2702/6 2702/18 2703/1 2704/9 2704/20 2705/1 2706/1 2710/19 2712/11 2713/14 2715/7 2718/10 2718/12 2721/9 2722/4 2722/11 2722/14 2722/17 2722/17 2722/19 2727/8 2729/23 2730/15 2731/17 2731/20 2734/1 2735/8 2743/20 2746/25 2752/10 2753/15 2753/16 2753/21 2753/22 2755/8 2755/9 2756/7 2757/24 2760/13 2760/25 2763/2 2763/4 2763/5 2763/9 2768/11 2768/11 2769/21 2769/22 2780/4 2780/5 2780/19 2781/2 2782/15 2788/14 2791/3 2791/3 2791/4 2791/5 2793/6 2794/6 2795/25 2797/13 2799/23 2800/3 2801/16 2801/18 2808/9 2809/18 2819/18 2827/14 2827/15 2828/10 2828/17 2828/19

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline C & & 2650/6 2673/19 2687/23 2687/25 \\
\hline [3] 2625/12 2625/13 2806/16 & 37 & \[
26
\] \\
\hline citizen [5] 2729/7 2729/8 2773/7 & 2737/7 2738/2 2738/8 2739/23 & 2734/6 2753/19 2780/24 2785/22 \\
\hline 2776/11 2856/1 & 27 & \\
\hline citizens [1] 2806/22 & 278 & 2819/13 2828/6 2846/14 2849/24 \\
\hline city [43] 2592/11 2592/14 2592/14 & & \[
2862 / 5 \text { 2862/14 } 2865 / 102872 / 16
\] \\
\hline 2595/21 2598/2 2598/12 2598/13 & & \\
\hline 2621/21 2621/24 2730/9 2735/4 & & \\
\hline 2735/11 2735/12 2735/17 2735/19 & & ] \\
\hline 2735/22 2736/1 2736/6 2737/1 2737/5 & & \\
\hline 2737/17 2738/21 2739/6 2739/6 & & 2870/11 2870/13 \\
\hline 2739/7 2740/10 2740/11 2741/4 & & \\
\hline 2741/7 2741/17 2741/18 2742/1 & & 2013/ \\
\hline 2743/9 2744/4 2750/9 2750/24 2786/7 & 280 & 2613/ \\
\hline 2786/10 2807/4 2829/9 2829/13 & & 2680/18 2680/21 2693/1 2693/3 \\
\hline 2830/4 2830/8 & 2812/19 2812/20 2812/24 281 & 2693/7 2693/8 2693/21 2693 \\
\hline claim [29] 2766 & 2813/13 2813/20 281 & 2695/8 2732/ \\
\hline 2766/12 2772/4 2772/8 2775/20 & 2814/12 2814/14 2816/1 2816/5 & 2817/14 2834/19 2839/11 2840/18 \\
\hline 2784/25 2787/14 2796/5 2825/1 & 2816/15 2817/3 2817/ & 2857/22 2857/24 2861/17 2861/22 \\
\hline 2838/13 2840/14 2846/23 2846/25 & 2818/7 2820/13 2820/18 2821/1 & 286 \\
\hline 2847/1 2848/2 2851/10 2851/13 & 6 2821/18 2821/22 2822 & command [19] \\
\hline 2851/20 2852/7 2855/4 2855/10 & 28 & 2620/5 2620/7 \\
\hline 2855/23 2856/2 2858/11 2862/24 & 2827/3 2866/3 2867/6 2867/10 & 2645/23 2668/9 2678/2 2681/6 \\
\hline 2872/21 2877/1 & 868/15 2868/17 2869 & 2681/10 2683/1 2698/20 2735/23 \\
\hline claimed [2] 2634/24 2800/23 & 28 & 798/15 2798/18 2798/25 \\
\hline claims [15] 2749/17 2769/25 2770/5 & 2872/17 2872 & ve \\
\hline 2771/17 2772/2 2772/3 2772/6 & 2803/6 2806/17 2809/8 2810/13 & 2611/21 \\
\hline 2772/16 2784/23 2793/16 2834/20 & 2817/8 2817/12 2819/19 2820/ & commendation [1] 2620/10 \\
\hline 2834/22 2841/9 2847/18 2862/10 & 2825/13 & comment [3] 2624/1 2782/10 28 \\
\hline 2/4 2622/11 2709/25 2710/4 & clients [5] 2767/10 2854/20 2864 & commented [2] 2623/24 2792/5 \\
\hline 2712/25 2721/25 2739/19 2787/22 & 2876 & commenting [1] 2795/1 \\
\hline 2805/5 2811/16 2811/22 2847/15 & c & ts [4] 2740/13 2743/ \\
\hline 2847/24 2848/4 2848/5 2848/20 & clock [1] & \\
\hline 2849/5 & clock [1] & commissioned [1] 2646/ \\
\hline clarified [1] 2722/1 & close [3] 2602/5 26 closed [1] 2803/22 & Commissiong [4] 2626/5 2842 2842/19 2846/3 \\
\hline clashed [1] 2604/13 & closely [3] 2636/16 2730/7 277 & committed [6] 26 \\
\hline & closer [5] 2596/23 2622/18 2645/23 & 2715/25 2716/9 2720/9 2842/2 \\
\hline & 2684/6 2706/13 & committee [1] 26 \\
\hline & & common [2] 2701/6 \\
\hline ned [2] 2811/8 2811/12 & closest [2] 2734/14 & commonsense [1] 2811/20 \\
\hline cleanest [1] 2790/25 & closing [5] 2767/4 2784/13 2838/21 2865/20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
communicate [4] 2755/6 278 \\
2781/10 2781/16
\end{tabular} \\
\hline clear [14] 2634/4 2685/2 & & communicated [1] 2740 \\
\hline 43/25 27 & co-workers [1] 2840/2 & communicating [1] 2627/2 \\
\hline \[
281
\] & Coburg [3] 2693/24 2806/21 2862 & communication [1] 2593/1 \\
\hline & code [1] 2633/2 & community [33] 2597/11 2614/20 \\
\hline rly [3] 2616/10 278 & coincidence [2] 2805/10 286 & 2614/24 2614/25 2615/4 2616/22 \\
\hline CLEAVENGER [285] & COIT [8] 2589/7 2591/21 2706/1 & 616/24 2618/14 2618/15 2618/ \\
\hline & 2706/14 2744/13 2750/18 2794/20 & 618/18 2618/22 2618/25 2667/1 \\
\hline 2623/23 2628/13 2629/23 2636/24 & 2795/1 & 2667/17 2708/9 2716/18 2742/5 \\
\hline 2642/19 2645/16 2669/10 2674/2 & collaborated [2] 2605/23 2706/21 & 2742/7 2784/17 2786/8 2787/1 279 \\
\hline 2686/22 2689/2 2689/17 2691/1 & collaboration [1] & 790/9 2790/11 2827/ \\
\hline 2699/12 2711/9 2727/22 2743/17 & collaborative [5] 2604/14 & 2842/9 2845/12 2845/12 2860/10 \\
\hline 2744/1 2744/17 2750/20 2786/17 & 2604/25 2605/2 2711/20 & 2873/13 2873/16 \\
\hline 2787/3 2813/17 2831/13 2839/25 & colleagues [2] 2602/8 2825/16 & community-impact [1] 2618/18 comparators [1] 2815/7 \\
\hline 2840/8 2842/25 2843/4 2845/8 & college [6] 2595/19 2624/4 2624/5 & compare [1] 2830/19 \\
\hline 2856/7 2856/15 & 2806/15 2815/24 2842/6 & mpared [1] 2801/2 \\
\hline \[
2863
\] & color [4] 2770/2 2770/8 2770/1 & comparison [1] 2735/22 \\
\hline clerk [3] 2781 & 2770/16 & mpelling [1] 2691/23 \\
\hline Clery [9] 2667/11 2667/14 2667/22 & Columbia [1] 2595/16 & ate [2] 27 \\
\hline 2864/13 2864/15 2864/18 2864/19 & come [47] 2600/7 2604 & mpensatory [2] 2772/1 2779/20 \\
\hline 2864/21 2864/21 & 2 & 1] \\
\hline Clery Act [1] 2667/22 cleverly [1] 2636/8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2621/13 2623/3 2624/7 2625/1 262 } \\
& 2632 / 21 \text { 2634/21 2635/25 2647/3 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { complain [4] 2619/8 2677/6 2677/9 } \\
& 2870 / 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



discriminates [1] 2807/23 discrimination [3] 2807/21 2807/21 2807/22
discuss [18] 2628/18 2643/5 2643/10 2647/3 2656/22 2662/20 2674/9 2712/21 2733/15 2733/16 2753/1 2780/18 2812/24 2818/16 2827/23 2865/11 2873/25 2874/12
discussed [14] 2599/22 2600/5 2629/5 2643/20 2645/25 2647/21 2647/22 2676/2 2722/11 2722/24 2755/14 2780/21 2815/5 2848/5 discussing [8] 2592/16 2642/21 2658/1 2675/18 2702/13 2702/14 2798/20 2801/23
discussion [30] 2608/22 2622/7 2628/15 2629/12 2643/24 2644/1 2644/4 2644/6 2644/13 2648/13 2648/16 2659/2 2671/21 2674/19 2674/23 2675/2 2686/19 2704/3 2710/24 2711/3 2711/21 2717/24 2717/25 2723/7 2728/12 2733/17 2780/24 2828/4 2839/8 2841/1 discussions [9] 2628/11 2628/20 2650/25 2652/20 2653/10 2660/25 2671/12 2672/2 2718/16
dishonest [8] 2796/4 2798/8 2800/22 2849/23 2850/17 2853/12 2854/10 2861/6
dishonesty [7] 2784/25 2792/14 2797/8 2797/12 2801/11 2853/12 2855/2
disjunctive [2] 2758/24 2759/12 dislikes [1] 2765/21
dismissal [3] 2671/14 2866/25 2866/25
disobey [1] 2736/22
disorderly [1] 2833/19
disparage [1] 2837/6
disparaged [1] 2677/14
disparagement [4] 2774/12 2774/19 2775/8 2837/7
disparaging [4] 2659/6 2808/25
2837/1 2864/7
dispatch [1] 2623/8
display [3] 2690/14 2706/1 2713/14
displayed [2] 2689/6 2689/11
displaying [5] 2605/17 2619/21
2642/24 2680/3 2689/9
displeased [2] 2784/19 2811/25
dispute [3] 2648/5 2713/5 2747/8
disputes [1] 2649/18
disqualified [1] 2807/3
disregard [7] 2638/20 2767/15
2768/22 2768/24 2778/12 2778/15 2797/14
disruptive [3] 2610/24 2610/24 2611/4
dissuaded [2] 2773/17 2787/20
distant [1] 2825/20
distinction [1] 2768/7
distinctly [1] 2803/7
distracted [1] 2624/20
distraught [1] 2636/9
distress [1] 2680/3
district [50] 2588/1 2588/2 2588/16
2589/20 2689/21 2690/4 2691/6
2691/14 2691/16 2691/21 2692/2

2692/4 2693/6 2694/5 2694/16 2695/6 2695/10 2695/13 2695/23 2696/17
2696/25 2697/13 2697/21 2697/25 2699/8 2699/20 2704/1 2727/17 2795/14 2797/17 2802/22 2821/17 2829/12 2829/12 2830/2 2830/8 2830/11 2837/21 2837/22 2847/22 2851/25 2852/13 2852/22 2853/1 2862/1 2862/9 2862/18 2871/2 2871/13 2871/18
distrust [1] 2592/25
disturbance [1] 2818/9
disturbing [4] 2624/1 2624/17 2826/1 2837/16
diverse [2] 2592/18 2594/22
divide [1] 2760/6
DIVISION [1] 2588/3
do [339]
DOC [2] 2588/5 2878/4
doctors [1] 2723/1
document [64] 2610/4 2610/8 2630/24 2631/8 2634/5 2634/13 2640/24 2641/2 2645/13 2645/18 2646/4 2647/10 2647/13 2648/19 2648/22 2649/9 2649/13 2650/5 2668/22 2678/8 2681/2 2690/20 2690/22 2692/8 2692/12 2692/21 2693/1 2697/6 2700/25 2710/15 2710/23 2711/15 2712/1 2713/25 2714/3 2714/5 2714/17 2724/20 2745/21 2751/24 2751/25 2786/9 2791/7 2792/23 2795/21 2802/19 2812/10 2818/6 2829/7 2829/20 2830/5 2830/17 2830/17 2849/9 2849/11 2849/22 2852/9 2852/13 2852/16 2872/13 2875/17 2875/17 2875/24 2875/24
documentation [4] 2724/11 2738/8 2740/3 2747/21
documentations [1] 2739/15 documented [2] 2640/9 2714/9 documenting [2] 2724/18 2749/18 documents [20] 2704/1 2713/7 2730/11 2730/12 2730/19 2732/22 2739/12 2748/23 2748/24 2792/23 2817/5 2830/19 2838/23 2856/12 2873/3 2874/16 2874/22 2875/25 2876/19 2877/3
does [35] 2598/19 2610/4 2610/7 2615/2 2624/19 2627/2 2650/7 2668/15 2712/5 2712/14 2717/17 2730/17 2735/21 2745/18 2745/20 2762/14 2762/16 2764/10 2769/3 2769/14 2777/2 2787/6 2791/18 2791/21 2799/2 2803/14 2803/15 2808/20 2811/16 2824/1 2826/24 2827/9 2841/14 2862/20 2868/2 doesn't [44] 2618/12 2645/24 2647/19 2672/18 2689/11 2700/11 2708/21 2712/3 2712/13 2717/17 2719/1 2724/15 2756/11 2761/12 2763/19 2764/4 2787/9 2787/15 2793/20 2795/9 2796/4 2799/13 2803/4 2807/24 2810/21 2811/19 2811/20 2814/21 2816/12 2816/12 2820/22 2821/13 2821/19 2821/23 2821/23 2822/16 2825/17 2840/17 2852/1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline D & 2628/23 2629/2 2670/9 2670/13 & \\
\hline doesn't... [5] 2855/13 2861/1 2868/22 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { 2671/9 2723/2 2858/20 } \\
\text { draft [17] } 2645 / 132788 / 12788 / 1
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2719 / 12 \text { 2729/24 2730/21 2743/2 } \\
& 2755 / 21 \text { 2766/15 } 2770 / 6 \text { 2770/7 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 2870/17 2876/10 & \[
2795 / 8 \text { 2795/9 2795/10 2795/11 }
\] & \[
8 \text { 2770/20 2771/19 2772/1 }
\] \\
\hline doing [38] 2602/12 2607/21 2615/10 2620/9 2621/3 2622/24 2629/14 & 2812/4 2812/7 2812/13 2812/19 & 2772/23 2780/19 2781/2 2848/4 \\
\hline 2630/15 2646/1 2646/19 2695/9 & 2821/16 2821/18 2821/18 2830/13 & 2875/7 2876 \\
\hline 2695/10 2723/8 2725/18 2748/5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2830/15 2872/3 \\
drafted [3] 2818/15 2849/14 2866/19
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Eagan [1] 2842/4 \\
earlier [9] 2600/9 2600/13 2615/5
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2751/14 2788/9 2793/11 2794/5 2794/7 2802/10 2803/18 2805/15 & drafting [1] 2645/15 & 2701/10 2796/18 2801/22 2818/7 \\
\hline 2811/13 2821/4 2821/23 2822/2 & drafts [4] 2692/25 2812/12 2813 & 2835/11 2 \\
\hline 2833/6 2837/12 2841/16 2842/7 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 2857 / 3 \\
\text { dragged [1] }
\end{array}
\] & earliest [2] 2798/3 2847/17 early [4] 2607/9 2627/12 2648/1 \\
\hline 2843/13 2853/3 2864/1 2869/6 & Drake [9] 2808/9 2808/11 2808/1 & \[
2812 / 1
\] \\
\hline \[
\text { dollar [1] } 27
\] & 2831/12 2833/24 2834/2 2839/2 & earth [1] \\
\hline don't [156] & \begin{tabular}{l}
2839/17 2839/18 \\
drastically [1] 2808/2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
easiest [1] 2790/2 \\
easily [1] 2789/4
\end{tabular} \\
\hline done [39] 2591/15 2602/11 2614/16 2627/4 2627/13 2643/3 2646/5 2655/7 & drawer [1] 2661/8 & east [2] 2589/8 2638/2 \\
\hline 2665/13 2668/19 2674/7 2684/12 & dream [2] 2825/4 & easy [2] 2819/24 2 \\
\hline 2691/10 2695/17 2700/11 2723/6 & dreams [1] & eating [2] 2833/5 \\
\hline 2725/15 2726/15 2730/6 2733/16 & & omic \\
\hline 2745/9 2747/6 2753/3 2753/4 2757/9 & & \\
\hline 2760/13 2765/7 2785/7 2788/2 2788/3 & drive [2] 2 & Ed [1] \\
\hline 2805/7 2806/2 2834/10 2838/2 & & \\
\hline 2846/23 2852/17 2853/3 2863/21 & dr & Edward [1] 27 \\
\hline Donna [1] & dropped [2] & Edwards [2] \\
\hline Donna Laue & dropping [2] & ect [3] 262 \\
\hline door [5] 2650/11 2802/2 2803/22 & & \\
\hline 2818/11 2876/20 & & \\
\hline do & &  \\
\hline dot [3] 2761/23 2761 & due [6] 260 & Egan [5] 2623/4 2624/25 262 \\
\hline  & 2740/1 2746/23 2747/ & 2729/9 2820/17 \\
\hline 2603/17 2604/10 2605/2 2605/13 & du & ego [3] 2814/12 2840/13 2840/ \\
\hline 2606/3 2606/7 2606/11 2606/18 & du & Egregious \\
\hline 2606/20 2607/7 2607/15 2607/15 & & eight [6] 2597/14 2782/13 2785/21 \\
\hline 2615/21 2616/7 2627/16 2628/3 & during [30] 2610/25 2618/4 2622/20 & 815/8 2824 \\
\hline 2637/10 2637/13 2638/14 2641/12 & 2 & eight-month \\
\hline 2641/23 2642/6 2643/9 2643/12 & 2654/12 2655/12 2655/15 & either [12] 2601/15 261 \\
\hline 2643/19 2643/20 2644/16 2646/7 & 2656/7 2656/12 2657/4 26 & 2626/17 2626/17 \\
\hline 2678/11 2678/15 2678/17 2678/20 & 2658/20 2659/3 2659/7 2659/9 & 2731/15 2754/16 \\
\hline 2679/7 2681/5 2681/10 2681/19 & 60/17 2660/20 2661/2 2665/16 & 68/8 \\
\hline 2681/22 2682/3 2682/21 2682/22 & 2684/19 2769/17 2771/25 2781 & ct [1] 2780/1 \\
\hline 2683/10 2687/13 2690/2 2706/9 & 2328 & [ \\
\hline 2706/22 2711/21 2711/23 2711/23 & duties [19] 2597/5 2603/6 2621/25 & nts [10] \(2770 / 72770\) \\
\hline 2711/24 2713/10 2713/18 2726/1 & 2640/22 2640/23 264 & 0/20 2770/24 \\
\hline 2794/19 2831/19 2860/22 & 2669/11 2692/22 2696/16 2770/14 & 2772/7 2773/5 2775/20 2776/14 \\
\hline Doug Tripp [4] 2607 & 2773/7 2785/19 2785/20 27 & or [1] 27 \\
\hline 2646/7 2713/10 & 28 & 1] \\
\hline down [31] 2602/5 2638/22 2647/1 & duty [45] 2629 & eleventy [1] 2869 \\
\hline 2685/11 2702/20 2704/2 2721/16 & 2643/13 2643/25 2644/5 2644/1 & eleventy-four [1] \\
\hline 2724/15 2729/17 2734/17 2751/4 & 2646/8 2661/14 2669/5 2669/13 & eligible [2] 27 \\
\hline 2758/1 2758/1 2758/2 2758/2 2759/20 & 2669/16 2670/10 2670/19 2671/12 & eliminated [1] 2 \\
\hline 2759/20 2759/21 2760/10 2761/25 & 2671/15 2671/20 2671/24 2677/3 & Elmo [3] 2690/1 \\
\hline 2783/9 2792/2 2794/9 2806/20 2812/6 & /23 2692/1 2693/19 269 & else [52] 2658/9 2666/2 2687/1 \\
\hline 2818/11 2818/20 2818/23 282 & 2717/5 2720/25 2722/9 2722/14 & 2709/8 2725/9 2742/13 2745 \\
\hline 2834/23 2840/2 & 722/16 2722/22 2723/5 2723/8 & 2755/21 2756/3 2756/5 2762 \\
\hline & 2723/9 2723/20 2726/17 2736/13 & 2789/11 2792/9 2794 \\
\hline & 2743/9 2765/10 2765/16 2767/10 & 5/17 2797/14 2797/15 27 \\
\hline wntown [1] 2639/ & 2776/25 2776/25 2777/22 2794/2 & 2 \\
\hline dozen [3] 2785/15 2798/10 2826/8 & 2858/20 2858/22 & 801 \\
\hline DPS [1] 2638/23 & dynamic [1] 2814/2 & 2804/24 2805/7 \\
\hline & dynamics [1] 2808/3 & 2812/17 2812/23 2812/25 2813/5 \\
\hline Dr. [9] 2628/12 & Dysfunctional [2] 2790/20 2826/4 & 2813/23 2814/2 2814/13 2816/3 \\
\hline 2629/2 2670/9 2670/13 2671/9 2723/2 & E & \\
\hline 2858/20
Dr. Corey [9] 2628/12 2628/18 & each [25] 2602/6 2648/5 2672/18 & 2863/24 2866/9 2867/24 2876/14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
email [93] 2600/25 2601/13 2610/12 2610/14 2611/10 2611/20 2614/1 2616/2 2627/24 2630/13 2631/11 2637/25 2638/11 2638/14 2638/16 2639/21 2641/12 2641/23 2641/25 2642/2 2642/15 2645/3 2646/6 2647/19 2647/22 2655/5 2663/20 2670/9 2670/16 2671/9 2671/11 2671/17 2678/2 2678/10 2678/17 2678/20 2679/6 2679/11 2679/22 2679/24 2681/4 2681/5 2681/9 2681/19 2682/23 2683/12 2699/7 2699/25 2704/4 2705/8 2705/20 2713/9 2721/16 2722/3 2725/17 2730/11 2756/15 2792/20 2792/20 2794/9 2794/12 2798/14 2798/15 2798/17 2798/20 2798/24 2798/24 2799/4 2801/19 2806/2 2812/14 2812/15 2823/14 2823/16 2829/22 2830/4 2830/6 2830/9 2830/15 2834/16 2834/17 2835/2 2835/7 2835/24 2837/17 2837/18 2860/1 2860/19 2860/23 2861/2 2861/5 2870/16 2870/17
emails [13] 2647/20 2665/3 2684/25 2791/23 2792/4 2794/22 2805/19 2823/7 2823/7 2858/17 2868/1 2868/2 2870/4
embarrassed [3] 2793/20 2804/7 2840/5
embarrassing [3] 2793/22 2800/5 2804/7
embarrassment [1] 2717/2
emergencies [1] 2721/19
emergency [6] 2667/1 2667/15
2667/20 2721/2 2721/4 2721/17
emotional [5] 2680/3 2777/10 2809/11 2826/18 2867/21
empathize [1] 2604/12
empathy [1] 2604/5
employed [13] 2680/5 2699/13 2708/1
2727/19 2727/23 2727/25 2735/12 2735/13 2735/18 2737/8 2742/25 2771/11 2776/1
employee [16] 2605/12 2671/16
2679/2 2714/23 2722/18 2724/5
2773/1 2773/16 2787/18 2791/13
2791/15 2805/1 2855/4 2855/8 2856/1
2861/25
employees [6] 2606/15 2622/18 2629/1 2691/9 2706/25 2809/1
employer's [2] 2800/22 2801/2
employment [20] 2640/8 2674/2
2708/16 2713/23 2723/25 2771/17 2771/25 2771/25 2772/11 2772/18 2773/9 2773/11 2773/15 2787/2 2787/17 2824/2 2852/10 2852/11 2852/12 2872/25
encompass [1] 2674/1
encompassed [1] 2598/6
encountered [1] 2841/6
end [20] 2594/12 2613/5 2632/11 2637/4 2652/6 2695/2 2702/5 2719/12 2722/5 2732/11 2732/14 2762/25 2811/14 2811/14 2815/8 2816/11 2824/2 2832/23 2860/9 2867/10
ended [3] 2607/21 2658/3 2787/23 energetic [2] 2814/20 2825/8
energy [1] 2873/17
enforce [1] 2626/25
enforcement [30] 2593/1 2593/24 2595/23 2595/25 2596/5 2597/1 2605/4 2640/22 2640/23 2644/20 2646/11 2646/17 2646/22 2665/22 2665/23 2666/23 2669/14 2722/15 2722/20 2735/9 2735/10 2785/6 2800/10 2800/11 2803/1 2824/21 2846/12 2861/11 2861/12 2861/21 engage [8] 2593/17 2594/21 2595/11 2604/11 2614/20 2616/19 2616/22 2616/23
engaged [10] 2613/18 2679/15 2772/13 2775/24 2775/25 2776/15 2849/2 2856/3 2859/2 2861/12
engaged in [1] 2776/15
engaging [10] 2771/23 2772/16 2772/25 2773/17 2787/20 2846/12 2846/24 2849/4 2849/6 2858/1
enjoy [3] 2602/12 2781/11 2781/12 enormous [2] 2789/25 2803/25 enough [22] 2591/6 2604/1 2609/6 2643/17 2647/8 2696/15 2706/21 2714/25 2727/18 2733/11 2795/13 2806/16 2826/19 2826/19 2838/6 2846/9 2846/9 2846/18 2846/19 2860/13 2860/13 2873/14
ensure [1] 2845/10
entail [1] 2657/4
entered [2] 2595/18 2829/6
entertaining [1] 2803/17
enthralled [1] 2685/20
entire [9] 2656/7 2685/19 2747/23
2826/21 2831/5 2831/5 2837/23
2854/22 2860/19
entirely [1] 2651/15
entitled [1] 2878/10
entrance [1] 2734/14
environment [3] 2592/14 2593/18 2594/22
EPD [4] 2609/9 2621/10 2717/13 2744/14
equipment [1] 2596/9
Eric [1] 2867/11
erratically [1] 2820/21
especially [2] 2790/1 2799/14
essentially [1] 2648/21
establish [1] 2771/1
establishing [1] 2801/3
et [1] 2878/3
ethical [3] 2786/17 2851/24 2862/12
ethics [1] 2786/18
EUGENE [39] 2588/3 2589/9 2592/10 2592/11 2596/18 2596/20 2596/21 2597/15 2597/17 2597/18 2597/23 2598/8 2598/12 2598/13 2598/14 2598/24 2599/18 2602/4 2602/8 2609/4 2609/15 2609/22 2610/5 2610/25 2618/16 2618/17 2621/11 2655/11 2656/1 2656/7 2677/14 2691/11 2709/15 2709/15 2735/13 2735/15 2845/15 2867/16 2867/17 evaluated [1] 2671/16
evaluation [12] 2603/24 2629/5

2649/12 2723/6 2808/22 2809/4 2812/7 2812/11 2812/15 2815/9 2856/11 2856/23
evaluations [11] 2622/6 2622/10 2622/20 2622/23 2629/2 2718/6 2787/25 2811/2 2811/12 2811/14 2815/6
even [39] 2603/24 2617/16 2618/9 2618/15 2636/10 2661/14 2694/19 2695/8 2711/11 2711/22 2712/25 2724/14 2726/1 2727/10 2744/7 2751/7 2779/19 2785/19 2796/10 2798/11 2816/12 2816/12 2818/16 2825/18 2827/24 2831/13 2832/23 2833/1 2834/2 2835/14 2835/15 2840/5 2841/8 2847/12 2850/22 2860/4 2862/11 2863/10 2868/1 evening [8] 2599/23 2730/6 2754/6 2754/11 2758/17 2759/22 2875/2 2876/14
event [5] 2713/3 2717/16 2717/23 2724/8 2826/20
events [4] 2656/6 2718/4 2797/14 2823/5
eventual [1] 2747/7
eventually [3] 2606/19 2607/4 2609/11
ever [43] 2616/14 2619/7 2635/6 2637/6 2641/4 2654/17 2667/25 2696/3 2708/3 2708/5 2712/9 2717/4 2729/8 2736/22 2736/25 2741/3 2741/6 2742/8 2742/23 2743/3 2743/25 2748/22 2781/9 2786/22 2792/9 2792/14 2793/25 2796/20 2805/23 2812/10 2812/24 2815/13 2816/7 2816/17 2818/2 2826/16 2831/24 2832/14 2837/4 2837/19 2868/21 2868/21 2871/10
every [25] 2597/4 2614/14 2673/21 2676/10 2685/14 2686/19 2724/7 2724/9 2729/1 2729/4 2782/5 2782/5 2784/24 2788/19 2788/20 2790/21 2801/11 2803/25 2816/2 2817/4 2831/12 2837/2 2848/7 2867/2 2867/10
everybody [17] 2603/22 2604/18 2714/16 2742/13 2745/9 2759/4 2789/11 2804/24 2810/7 2810/16 2814/1 2814/13 2816/3 2840/3 2866/9 2867/18 2876/13
everyone [8] 2645/1 2672/8 2783/4 2811/1 2840/21 2841/10 2841/11 2876/12
everyone's [1] 2672/8
everything [29] 2606/22 2606/23 2640/24 2641/2 2684/25 2714/1 2714/5 2716/23 2750/19 2769/2 2786/10 2788/17 2793/23 2797/14 2800/15 2811/5 2811/13 2819/4 2831/16 2834/24 2835/17 2835/20 2840/17 2851/12 2853/24 2862/21 2868/11 2868/19 2871/14
everywhere [1] 2816/11
evidence [131] 2601/8 2631/2 2669/20 2676/12 2676/16 2676/20 2676/20 2694/24 2730/4 2730/14 2730/21 2745/4 2749/5 2751/23 2755/18
evidence... [116] 2757/12 2757/13 2765/9 2765/16 2765/17 2765/22 2766/4 2766/5 2766/7 2766/11 2766/12 2766/14 2766/18 2766/21 2766/24 2766/25 2767/3 2767/6 2767/6 2767/10 2767/12 2767/15 2767/21 2767/22 2767/23 2767/24 2768/2 2768/2 2768/5 2768/7 2768/8 2768/10 2768/11 2768/12 2768/13 2768/14 2768/21 2768/22 2768/23 2769/10 2769/12 2769/14 2769/18 2770/8 2771/5 2771/9 2773/6 2776/15 2777/6 2777/15 2777/25 2778/8 2780/10 2780/11 2780/21 2781/4 2787/11 2788/24 2789/5 2789/16 2789/17 2790/23 2790/24 2792/11 2792/16 2796/4 2797/2 2799/5 2799/6 2800/1 2800/21 2801/2 2801/8 2808/17 2810/24 2811/9 2814/9 2829/2 2829/3 2829/6 2838/10 2838/22 2838/22 2838/24 2839/14 2840/7 2840/23 2841/4 2843/3 2843/5 2847/2 2847/13 2848/3 2848/6 2848/22 2853/2 2855/17 2856/14 2857/11 2857/11 2857/22 2857/24 2858/3 2858/9 2859/1 2859/17 2859/21 2862/25 2863/8 2863/10 2864/15 2868/20 2869/1 2870/22 2870/23 2874/7
ex [3] 2839/7 2863/2 2867/20 ex-wife [3] 2839/7 2863/2 2867/20 exact [5] 2625/11 2626/15 2740/18 2818/9 2818/9
exactly [10] 2603/12 2650/6 2659/15
2685/22 2717/9 2748/10 2786/23
2793/10 2798/6 2800/18
exam [5] 2669/16 2670/10 2670/19 2671/24 2858/20
examination [18] 2590/4 2590/5 2590/11 2590/12 2590/13 2590/14 2591/18 2591/20 2629/4 2652/12 2700/3 2700/5 2734/21 2735/1 2744/10 2744/12 2749/3 2750/17
examined [2] 2591/11 2734/11
example [6] 2668/14 2793/7 2795/23 2832/24 2851/1 2859/8
examples [6] 2795/18 2802/16 2836/13 2840/25 2841/2 2854/6
exceed [1] 2777/21
except [3] 2781/10 2787/23 2807/8
exception [2] 2612/8 2831/12
excerpted [1] 2878/9
excess [1] 2613/17
exchange [3] 2635/7 2635/14 2713/9 excluded [1] 2767/14
exculpatory [8] 2799/25 2853/16
2853/20 2853/22 2853/24 2870/22 2870/23 2870/25
excuse [8] 2600/15 2601/4 2601/15 2650/23 2689/16 2793/4 2840/23 2848/10
excused [1] 2751/3
excuses [1] 2795/7
execution [1] 2799/18
executive [1] 2613/1
exercise [1] 2855/7
exercised [1] 2784/18 exercising [1] 2791/2 exhibit [76] 2609/21 2610/9 2611/10 2618/23 2631/6 2631/15 2632/4 2637/16 2637/17 2637/18 2637/22 2641/15 2641/16 2641/22 2645/6 2645/8 2645/10 2647/9 2649/13 2650/8 2661/21 2669/19 2670/2 2672/12 2672/24 2673/2 2673/6 2678/1 2692/9 2692/17 2698/9 2698/13 2698/24 2706/1 2710/8 2710/9 2713/10 2715/7 2718/10 2718/11 2718/18 2718/19 2720/6 2721/9 2730/12 2730/12 2730/13 2732/24 2733/5 2745/2 2745/14 2768/13 2768/16 2768/18 2797/21 2799/4 2799/20 2801/5 2804/10 2809/20 2813/5 2815/3 2819/18 2821/15 2829/5 2830/9 2831/9 2835/2 2843/1 2845/1 2849/22 2866/21 2872/9 2872/11 2875/13 2876/2
Exhibit 119 [1] 2804/10
Exhibit 158 [1] 2799/20
Exhibit 168 [2] 2720/6 2799/4
Exhibit 178 [1] 2801/5
Exhibit 2 [2] 2645/8 2645/10
Exhibit 215 [1] 2797/21
Exhibit 235 [1] 2809/20
Exhibit 279 [2] 2715/7 2718/11
Exhibit 280 [1] 2813/5
Exhibit 320 [3] 2637/18 2637/22 2713/10
Exhibit 33 [1] 2815/3
Exhibit 331 [1] 2843/1
Exhibit 351 [1] 2649/13
Exhibit 351G [1] 2831/9
Exhibit 358 [4] 2672/12 2672/24
2673/2 2673/6
Exhibit 364 [2] 2692/9 2692/17
Exhibit 374 [1] 2698/13
Exhibit 375 [2] 2698/24 2706/1
Exhibit 403 [6] 2631/6 2632/4 2647/9
2718/10 2718/18 2718/19
Exhibit 410 [1] 2610/9
Exhibit 411 [4] 2641/15 2641/16
2641/22 2710/8
Exhibit 412 [1] 2678/1
Exhibit 413 [1] 2609/21
Exhibit 423 [1] 2835/2
Exhibit 429 [2] 2669/19 2670/2
Exhibit 432 [2] 2730/12 2732/24
Exhibit 433 [1] 2730/12
Exhibit 434 [1] 2730/13
Exhibit 436 [1] 2661/21
Exhibit 57 [1] 2721/9
exhibited [1] 2680/4
exhibits [14] 2609/18 2649/14
2649/20 2730/5 2732/21 2733/24
2766/20 2766/24 2767/17 2789/1
2789/3 2843/1 2875/8 2876/6
existed [1] 2841/8
expansive [2] 2686/1 2686/18
expect [1] 2700/24
expected [2] 2780/5 2802/6
experience [11] 2605/4 2605/7 2614/3
2636/22 2669/12 2691/11 2709/12
2718/1 2735/9 2780/6 2844/8
experienced [2] 2777/10 2777/11
expertise [3] 2604/20 2680/9 2681/20
Expiration [1] 2878/16
expired [1] 2842/22
explain [7] 2608/24 2615/12 2615/18 2642/23 2644/22 2687/18 2782/1
explained [9] 2660/8 2747/7 2770/11
2771/4 2772/19 2776/5 2832/15 2853/16 2853/21
explaining [1] 2639/17
explains [2] 2800/25 2804/7
explanation [4] 2793/3 2794/3 2798/13 2798/17
explanations [5] 2789/13 2789/14
2789/16 2792/15 2796/13
explanatory [1] 2782/4
explicit [1] 2870/16
explicitly [1] 2823/1
explore [2] 2682/9 2796/13
exploring [2] 2629/8 2629/9
express [6] 2655/2 2696/7 2827/24
2865/12 2874/1 2874/12
expression [1] 2776/12
expressly [1] 2833/24
extending [1] 2648/4
extensive [1] 2863/22
extent [1] 2776/3
extra [1] 2785/17
extreme [1] 2638/20
extremely [1] 2785/11
eye [1] 2845/24
eyes [1] 2668/13
F
face [3] 2615/20 2734/17 2778/18 faced [1] 2680/23
facing [1] 2798/2
fact [44] 2593/25 2594/18 2595/17 2603/17 2617/16 2630/19 2636/9 2649/7 2650/17 2683/21 2691/23 2693/19 2695/8 2701/20 2702/9 2724/10 2724/13 2741/13 2747/23 2757/18 2768/3 2768/6 2769/3 2769/14 2794/1 2801/8 2806/15 2806/16 2807/9 2811/12 2833/4 2836/19 2839/4 2840/20 2841/22 2842/20 2844/7 2844/11 2848/8 2849/4 2850/20 2851/3 2853/2 2857/19
factor [15] 2773/11 2773/18 2773/19 2776/20 2787/4 2787/5 2787/8 2787/9 2787/10 2787/15 2787/16 2789/6 2789/12 2792/8 2856/8
factors [3] 2769/13 2789/7 2791/17 facts [14] 2640/7 2713/22 2765/17 2765/18 2766/21 2767/1 2767/7 2768/5 2768/25 2782/13 2838/12 2838/13 2863/14 2871/25
faculty [1] 2592/17
faggot [1] 2804/13
failed [9] 2639/3 2770/23 2777/19 2777/25 2802/3 2854/10 2854/10 2854/15 2860/21
failing [5] 2596/22 2748/1 2748/15
2802/12 2854/24
failure [3] 2748/20 2809/2 2836/15
fair [32] 2647/9 2686/1 2697/18
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline F & \[
2638
\] & firearms [1] 2618/24 \\
\hline fair... [29] 2706/21 2709/9 2710/3 & males [3] 2837/6 & fired [2] 2725/8 2818/4 \\
\hline 2711/20 2712/16 2714/25 2719/5 & females [3] 2837/6 2837/7 2841/25 & firing [4] 2686/22 2724/23 2747 \\
\hline 2725/1 2725/4 2725/7 2725/11 & few [13] 2679/9 & \\
\hline 2727/18 2733/17 2741/9 2749/16 & \(7 / 9276\) & firm [1] 26 \\
\hline 2750/19 2761/16 2762/24 2782/15 & 2815/2 2825/12 2868/4 2877/3 & first [113] 2591/10 2592/21 2596 \\
\hline 2799/24 2800/7 2800/8 2800/13 & FI & 2619/1 \\
\hline 2804/8 2818/17 2818/18 2818/21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { field [6] } 2597 / 7 \\
& 2839 / 182869 / 5
\end{aligned}
\] & 2634/14 2636/4 2642/2 2660/13 \\
\hline 2818/22 2872/21 & fifth [3] 2769/10 2812/10 2812/13 & 2681/8 2687/25 2697/10 2699/4 \\
\hline [2] & Fifty [1] 2800/4 & 2701/10 2702/10 2703/21 2703/24 \\
\hline \[
\text { ] } 2789 / 1627
\] & fight [2] 2844/5 2866/15 & 2705/7 2709/15 2710/20 2711/2 \\
\hline \[
2794 / 52794 / 212795 / 4 \text { 2795/16 }
\] & fighting [3] 2664/10 2836/3 2844/1 & 2711/6 2715/6 2726/11 2728/7 \\
\hline 2795/17 2796/10 2799/20 2800/2 & figure [6] 2701/7 2714/3 2753/25 & 2734/10 2753/2 2753/8 2753/16 \\
\hline 2801/12 2801/20 2802/18 2813/12 & 2799/7 2850/19 2853/14 & 2753/18 2753/18 2754/1 2754/1 \\
\hline 2846/21 2846/21 2859/12 2872 & figured [1] 2683 & 2758/18 2760/16 2761/3 2761/11 \\
\hline falling [1] 2790/15 & figures [1] 2789/20 & 2766/19 2767/2 2769/6 2770/8 \\
\hline falls [1] 272 & figuring [1] 2841/6 & 2770/10 2771/20 2772/10 2772/24 \\
\hline fals & file [10] 2626/20 2626/22 2661 & 2773/1 2773/4 2773/6 2773/25 \\
\hline familiar [3] 2667/11 2707/19 2826/25 & 2661/8 2729/1 2754/23 2806/4 & 2775/22 2776/9 2776/11 2776/13 \\
\hline families [2] 2592/22 2826/12 & 2811/17 2824/5 2868/7 & 2776/15 2776/16 2776/23 2776/23 \\
\hline family [4] 2596/7 2596/15 260 & filed [16] 2607/2 2729/8 2754/ & 2777/10 2777/25 2784/8 2784/1 \\
\hline 2650/19 & 2754/21 2754/22 2775/2 2785/3 & 2784/18 2788/1 2789/8 2790/9 2791/2 \\
\hline fantastic [2] 2742/6 27 & 2791/20 2793/5 2793/13 2821/1 & 2793/10 2794/16 2794/16 2795/8 \\
\hline far [8] 2658/17 2689/23 2712/18 & 2831/14 2831/18 2847/13 2851/13 & 2795/9 2795/10 2795/11 2795/23 \\
\hline 2789/13 2832/25 2839/25 2843/1 & 2859/2 & 2796/10 2796/25 2798/12 2798/17 \\
\hline 2849/3 & files [2] 2784/20 2784/2 & 2803/12 2809/14 2811/1 2811/6 \\
\hline father [1] 2 & filing [12] 2756/19 2756/20 2756/23 & 2811/10 2812/4 2812/7 2812/9 \\
\hline faults [1] 276 & 2757/2 2757/3 2757/7 2759/22 2760/8 & 2812/23 2818/2 2819/10 2820/7 \\
\hline favor [4] 2635/14 28 & 2761/7 2791/5 2791/7 2872/6 & 2825/1 2827/4 2829/5 2829/9 283 \\
\hline 2864/25 & fill [4] 2753/17 2753/19 2782/7 2869/6 & 2838/7 2839/1 2839/18 2845/13 \\
\hline fear [3] 2650/22 2785/23 2826/3 & filling [2] 2613/14 2753/8 & 2846/22 2848/11 2855/10 2856/1 \\
\hline feared [6] 2607/1 2681/20 2696/10 & final [7] 2668/5 2668/22 26 & 556/20 2858/ \\
\hline 2817/13 2851/19 2862/11 & 2697/13 2754/6 2867/11 2874/1 & fit [8] 2596/9 2616/10 2616/12 2616/13 \\
\hline fearful [2] 2623/25 2744/6 & finalist [1] 2613/24 & 2616/18 266 \\
\hline February [1] 2612/24 & finally [10] 2609/13 26 & ess [28] 2629/3 2629/4 2642/20 \\
\hline federal [12] 2668/1 2677/10 2769/25 & 2804/19 2835/12 2837/15 2846/9 & 2642/21 2643/13 2643/25 2644/5 \\
\hline 2774/18 2774/25 2775/7 2775/15 & 1 2863/20 2866 & 2669/5 2669/16 \\
\hline 2778/19 2831/11 2831/22 2864/11 & Finance [2] 2607/13 2682/16 & 2670/25 2671/12 2671/20 2671/24 \\
\hline 2867/17 & financial [1] 2780/6 & 2677/3 2722/9 2722/14 2722/16 \\
\hline feedback [6] 2620/25 2665/15 2840/9 & find [50] 2615/3 2623/9 2647/22 & 722/22 2723/5 2723/8 \\
\hline 2843/15 2843/19 2857/1 & 2660/20 2660/22 2661/2 2665/8 & 2723/20 2726/17 2743/9 2858/20 \\
\hline feel [8] 2608 & 2679/15 2701/21 2719/20 2750/7 & 2858/22 \\
\hline 2809/13 2813/21 2813/22 2814/1 & 2750/7 2755/6 2765/17 27 & fitness-for-duty [19] 2629/4 2643 \\
\hline 2827/1 & 2770/18 2770/19 2773/21 2777/3 & 2643/25 2669/5 2669/16 2670/10 \\
\hline ] & 2777/8 2777/18 2777/18 2778/3 & 2670/19 2671/12 2671/20 2671/24 \\
\hline & 2778/10 2779/1 2780/1 2783/6 & 2677/3 2722/9 2722/14 2722/16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { teelings [1] } \\
& \text { feels [4] } 2688 / 17 \text { 28 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2787/12 2789/4 2800/21 2805/18 & 2723/5 2723/8 2723/9 2723/20 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { reeis [4] } \\
\text { 2845/9 }
\end{gathered}
\] & 2818/8 2820/14 2821/2 2821/3 2824/7 & 2858/20 \\
\hline fellow [6] 2742/6 2780/13 2780/18 & 2838/18 2839/6 2847/23 2848/2 & fits [4] 2805/8 2805/19 2837/14 \\
\hline 2780/22 2785/15 2806/17 & 2851/6 2854/9 2855/1 2855/13 2864/4 & 2870/10 \\
\hline felonies [10] 2756/14 2774/17 & 2864/9 2868/22 2869/15 2869/18 & five [13] 2624/4 2624/5 2657/19 \\
\hline 2774/25 2775/6 2775/14 2823/2 & 2872/3 & 2753/5 2753/22 2762/19 2763/1 \\
\hline 2823/6 2864/9 2864/14 2870/18 & finding [9] 2630/10 2630/16 2636/23 & 2774/21 2782/14 2782/19 2818/7 \\
\hline felt [44] 2624/15 2626/17 2626/18 & 2652/21 2669/3 2758/4 2801/3 & 2827/7 2844/17 \\
\hline 2639/23 2644/18 2644/20 2653/24 & 2854/15 2855/17 & Five percent [1] 2624/5 \\
\hline 2654/24 2656/4 2658/5 2658/8 & findings [7] 2640/13 2669/2 2670/22 & fixed [1] 2819/13 \\
\hline 2658/17 2660/5 2661/14 2670/25 & 2677/3 2694/9 2744/23 2795/5 & flagged [3] 2638/22 2799/17 27 \\
\hline 2671/2 2674/20 2674/24 2677/13 & finds [2] 2757/13 2822/18 & flat [1] 2807/24 \\
\hline 2692/1 2693/18 2694/11 2694/16 & fine [8] 2648/12 2681/16 2733/7 & flexibility [1] 2742/18 \\
\hline 2696/21 2696/22 2704/5 2736/18 & 2745/13 2759/3 2759/8 2865/9 & flipped [1] 2826/10 \\
\hline 2822/21 2829/15 2842/6 2842/14 & 2867/25 & floor [1] 2824/14 \\
\hline 2842/14 2843/20 2844/19 2844/21 & finger [1] 271 & Florence [1] 2705/19 \\
\hline 2844/23 2846/4 2846/4 2851/24 & finish [1] 2828/4 & focus [2] 2652/21 2713/16 \\
\hline 2860/9 2861/24 2862/2 2862/12 & fire [2] 2709/19 2709/20 & folks [9] 2618/22 2749/18 2785/21 \\
\hline 2862/17 & firearm [6] 2618/21 2621/21 2621/24 & 2804/18 2809/14 2809/24 2810/2 \\
\hline female [10] 2596/2 2596/6 2598/16 & 2636/2 2709/21 2709/21 & 2814/17 2867/7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

F
follow [11] 2659/23 2660/24 2661/10 2745/8 2765/19 2765/25 2767/19 2771/9 2802/18 2842/9 2846/11
follow-up [3] 2659/23 2660/24 2661/10
followed [5] 2605/3 2613/15 2636/19 2848/15 2855/19
following [7] 2765/25 2770/7 2773/5 2773/20 2776/14 2777/9 2845/23
follows [2] 2591/11 2734/11
food [1] 2833/13
foot [3] 2593/25 2597/9 2867/7
football [5] 2609/1 2609/2 2610/25 2823/5 2835/13
for-cause [1] 2643/25
forbids [1] 2793/10
force [6] 2597/13 2771/7 2793/3
2793/8 2815/24 2869/23
forego [1] 2696/16
foregoing [1] 2878/8
forever [1] 2796/22
forget [3] 2625/11 2740/18 2874/10
forgot [3] 2831/4 2832/5 2864/10
form [18] 2647/4 2745/23 2756/23
2757/6 2757/19 2782/1 2782/3 2782/7 2783/12 2827/24 2828/5 2828/9 2865/11 2873/25 2874/1 2874/12 2874/22 2875/14
formal [2] 2627/2 2714/15
formed [1] 2715/20
former [1] 2605/10
former-Lieutenant [1] 2605/10
formerly [1] 2771/11
forth [2] 2791/12 2857/4
forthright [1] 2838/9
fortunate [1] 2876/18
forward [13] 2624/7 2664/6 2670/18
2671/14 2696/20 2723/9 2723/16 2734/6 2737/17 2844/15 2845/3 2857/21 2861/19
fought [1] 2791/19
found [26] 2600/15 2623/10 2624/25 2630/14 2633/25 2646/13 2661/17 2662/11 2666/12 2718/12 2719/5 2719/22 2720/15 2732/15 2773/16 2775/17 2786/16 2787/19 2820/12 2820/13 2830/6 2850/22 2854/25 2855/19 2861/11 2872/12
foundation [3] 2653/25 2654/1 2738/17
four [10] 2774/13 2798/18 2812/12 2816/10 2827/7 2856/13 2866/2 2869/4 2870/9 2874/4
four-minute [1] 2816/10
fourth [6] 2767/20 2769/9 2773/10
2776/18 2789/15 2842/24
frame [2] 2723/13 2728/12
frankly [4] 2607/23 2743/15 2761/4 2761/12
fraud [4] 2792/13 2797/7 2797/7 2797/12
fraudulent [3] 2726/24 2792/12 2797/17
free [9] 2771/23 2776/11 2784/8 2784/19 2787/13 2789/22 2791/2 2793/7 2793/18
freedom [6] 2770/10 2771/20 2771/22 2772/10 2775/22 2873/10
fresh [2] 2705/1 2782/16 friend [5] 2605/21 2829/8 2829/14 2830/18 2831/13
friendly [1] 2842/5
friends [3] 2805/16 2825/16 2839/3
frightened [1] 2638/23
frisk [1] 2593/21
front [8] 2649/17 2687/1 2704/22
2713/7 2765/6 2784/23 2818/11
2841/10
frontline [1] 2790/17
frustrated [4] 2658/4 2658/15 2658/18 2659/12
frustrations [1] 2658/13
FTEP [1] 2687/7
FTEP-type [1] 2687/7
fuck [2] 2805/22 2805/23
fulfill [2] 2593/6 2779/3
full [9] 2594/7 2734/17 2734/19
2753/23 2786/23 2811/2 2815/3
2826/3 2830/12
full-time [1] 2811/2
fully [3] 2674/8 2728/21 2780/21
fun [2] 2825/6 2839/20
function [1] 2656/4
functioning [1] 2844/12
funeral [1] 2637/3
funerals [1] 2637/1
Funniest [1] 2796/7
funny [4] 2797/23 2803/17 2819/16 2867/10
further [11] 2643/10 2746/14 2749/18
2752/15 2752/21 2764/18 2764/21
2782/10 2792/16 2809/2 2853/16
future [14] 2680/10 2685/25 2711/4
2711/17 2712/4 2764/5 2777/12
2778/6 2779/21 2779/25 2780/8
2862/24 2863/9 2873/7

\section*{G}
gain [1] 2862/20
gained [1] 2679/3
gal [1] 2820/16
game [8] 2609/2 2609/6 2609/7 2609/9
2610/25 2611/2 2826/15 2840/6
games [2] 2609/2 2823/5
garbage [1] 2634/25
Gardner [15] 2691/16 2692/12
2692/22 2692/25 2694/18 2698/14
2699/25 2705/8 2705/20 2794/17
2800/15 2853/7 2853/15 2853/21
2871/6
Gary [1] 2589/8
gate [1] 2609/14
gathered [2] 2601/8 2784/17
gave [20] 2636/2 2639/1 2645/10
2654/3 2662/12 2667/19 2675/21
2690/17 2713/20 2720/24 2727/21
2736/15 2746/7 2748/24 2754/4
2806/15 2839/10 2860/7 2862/1 2870/21
Gawker [1] 2793/15
Geller [3] 2682/12 2682/14 2682/16
gender [2] 2795/24 2807/21
general [14] 2616/17 2622/22 2668/9

2671/14 2671/22 2672/4 2678/3 2678/16 2682/17 2684/23 2685/17 2687/10 2794/20 2860/22
generally [10] 2617/9 2617/10 2620/7 2667/14 2702/13 2707/12 2707/20 2719/17 2794/13 2801/25
generated [1] 2868/6
gentlemen [13] 2599/25 2647/2 2730/1 2751/12 2784/15 2791/18 2815/19 2827/9 2828/24 2830/17 2832/3 2871/6 2873/19
get [97] 2592/2 2593/25 2595/5 2595/19 2595/23 2596/5 2600/23 2601/2 2604/18 2606/4 2611/7 2611/7 2612/6 2620/4 2631/16 2637/4 2639/2 2639/12 2641/8 2647/3 2647/9 2652/8 2655/22 2666/24 2667/2 2668/22 2680/3 2682/23 2684/6 2684/22
2685/8 2707/14 2711/11 2716/4 2723/5 2725/17 2728/21 2731/17 2731/19 2731/20 2731/24 2733/11 2733/16 2739/11 2748/14 2749/21 2758/12 2760/13 2763/2 2764/17 2782/15 2783/23 2789/2 2790/7 2792/4 2796/23 2797/25 2799/23 2800/7 2804/25 2808/1 2812/6 2813/25 2814/20 2815/17 2816/2 2818/22 2819/13 2819/14 2819/16 2819/20 2824/25 2826/14 2826/19 2827/23 2832/13 2833/14 2837/2 2837/23 2839/12 2843/16 2846/23 2849/23 2850/14 2851/4 2860/2 2862/22 2864/2 2865/10 2866/23 2868/4 2869/2 2869/8 2869/9 2870/11 2872/18 2875/2
get-together [3] 2819/14 2819/16 2819/20
gets [13] 2604/25 2668/19 2724/14 2753/10 2788/1 2797/5 2797/11 2798/18 2811/18 2816/13 2826/24 2850/6 2874/5
getting [21] 2619/22 2630/15 2664/13 2722/23 2785/23 2805/4 2805/11 2810/3 2810/25 2810/25 2813/23 2826/17 2846/17 2847/19 2859/6 2859/19 2864/6 2869/1 2869/4 2872/15 2874/7
girl [1] 2869/3
give [58] 2596/25 2605/20 2612/4 2620/22 2632/23 2633/24 2634/7 2635/7 2635/10 2639/11 2645/18 2645/21 2645/24 2653/7 2661/17 2667/3 2673/18 2686/1 2688/1 2695/5 2695/12 2701/15 2701/19 2714/16 2721/6 2728/1 2728/3 2729/3 2735/5 2735/6 2746/9 2748/23 2754/5 2757/18 2758/19 2761/9 2762/4 2763/4 2765/18 2765/19 2768/9 2771/9 2795/13 2806/23 2811/22 2820/9 2821/15 2829/7 2830/24 2831/2 2843/12 2843/14 2844/13 2852/19 2853/24 2869/24 2871/2 2873/6
given [43] 2610/8 2611/8 2632/1 2646/5 2646/14 2647/17 2647/19 2673/6 2679/7 2692/22 2707/20 2736/18 2738/15 2745/6 2767/18
given... [28] 2768/8 2770/21 2782/7 2788/12 2796/13 2804/8 2808/23 2811/15 2815/13 2823/8 2823/15 2823/18 2823/19 2823/24 2840/25 2843/24 2847/25 2853/3 2853/5 2854/12 2854/17 2855/6 2859/7 2861/20 2863/12 2864/16 2865/6 2870/14
gives [2] 2707/25 2820/20
giving [7] 2701/9 2701/11 2708/8 2708/15 2725/1 2823/25 2833/18
gladiators [1] 2876/20
glance [1] 2746/7
global [9] 2679/17 2680/15 2682/10 2793/4 2793/4 2793/8 2861/4 2869/20 2869/24
gnat [1] 2827/19
go [99] 2594/2 2597/15 2606/21 2613/11 2616/8 2619/16 2637/2 2637/4 2637/13 2639/5 2643/13 2644/11 2647/22 2653/24 2664/15 2664/24 2665/9 2668/10 2681/2 2685/11 2687/18 2695/25 2696/20 2702/20 2704/4 2709/13 2710/22 2710/24 2715/14 2715/18 2717/20 2718/21 2718/23 2719/12 2721/13 2721/15 2722/4 2731/8 2736/19 2741/25 2746/9 2752/25 2753/22 2758/1 2758/1 2758/1 2758/2 2759/14 2759/19 2759/20 2759/20 2760/21 2762/1 2762/2 2763/9 2764/17 2782/11 2782/11 2783/9 2783/23 2787/11 2788/24 2789/3 2789/7 2789/15 2790/22 2790/23 2791/9 2791/17 2792/15 2797/5 2801/14 2801/18 2802/8 2809/7 2810/9 2810/23 2813/13 2813/19 2814/3 2814/22 2816/2 2816/25 2818/18 2818/20 2821/2 2821/2 2821/21 2821/24 2825/17 2828/11 2833/14 2839/13 2854/5 2858/21 2865/14 2867/8 2868/22 2870/5
goal [1] 2621/2
goals [5] 2592/23 2593/6 2594/15 2595/17 2606/1
goes [15] 2640/11 2734/1 2801/10 2809/12 2811/17 2812/6 2816/10 2817/23 2820/21 2820/22 2822/7 2854/20 2870/12 2870/12 2870/13 going [169] gone [9] 2599/17 2607/10 2612/22 2636/25 2658/17 2862/20 2868/3 2869/18 2874/17
gonna [1] 2805/22
good [42] 2591/22 2591/23 2592/6 2592/7 2593/10 2598/3 2599/3 2604/1 2605/12 2605/16 2606/24 2615/9 2616/6 2616/10 2616/11 2616/16 2616/18 2616/20 2618/20 2620/9 2624/21 2627/13 2642/16 2646/25 2700/7 2700/8 2700/23 2717/21 2724/9 2785/18 2795/16 2800/2 2811/3 2819/1 2827/16 2828/24 2828/25 2853/19 2857/9 2859/12 2862/16 2877/4
Google [2] 2615/3 2782/12
gosh [2] 2618/4 2866/10 got [64] 2593/13 2594/4 2595/21 2596/2 2603/18 2609/7 2609/11 2609/13 2618/6 2635/15 2637/10 2641/12 2648/24 2651/3 2678/20 2679/6 2686/16 2699/25 2703/14 2703/15 2705/8 2705/22 2724/17 2728/7 2739/15 2748/17 2752/16 2753/24 2758/18 2759/16 2786/2 2790/5 2792/23 2793/13 2794/23 2799/15 2799/16 2812/13 2814/12 2814/16 2815/17 2817/21 2818/25 2819/5 2819/10 2819/10 2820/9 2821/16 2821/18 2821/20 2822/5 2826/8 2826/10 2826/17 2827/9 2833/14 2836/14 2850/25 2860/13 2865/24 2866/7 2867/6 2871/22 2877/3
gotten [7] 2658/18 2658/20 2686/14
2781/11 2816/18 2825/16 2833/8
grabs [1] 2816/9
grant [2] 2874/23 2875/23
granted [1] 2612/20
grapevine [1] 2726/2
grave [1] 2728/8
graveyard [3] 2803/20 2814/23
2815/21
great [8] 2593/11 2594/6 2595/22 2598/3 2602/13 2614/17 2650/22 2874/10
greeting [1] 2781/12
GREGORY [1] 2589/3
grievance [11] 2654/20 2655/6 2663/24 2664/11 2665/9 2675/11 2675/12 2728/19 2728/25 2729/1 2866/15
grievances [1] 2786/14
grievant [4] 2689/1 2800/22 2801/7 2801/8
grooming [6] 2712/25 2805/5 2805/11 2848/7 2848/9 2848/20
gross [3] 2628/6 2640/6 2640/13
ground [1] 2614/17
group [7] 2620/24 2691/17 2691/22
2692/13 2700/21 2701/7 2853/9
groups [1] 2613/18
guarantee [1] 2874/10
guardians [1] 2614/23
guess [6] 2619/25 2691/15 2699/4 2768/20 2805/24 2837/24
guessing [1] 2738/24
guesswork [2] 2777/16 2858/3
guidance [2] 2623/1 2655/21
guidelines [4] 2695/22 2702/11
2852/18 2853/10
gun [21] 2596/10 2636/24 2637/7 2638/25 2646/14 2674/13 2705/24 2713/19 2714/13 2793/6 2816/21 2817/17 2818/24 2819/11 2819/22 2831/1 2831/7 2831/8 2841/15 2861/12 2872/9
guy [30] 2639/6 2639/10 2639/11 2639/13 2639/14 2639/16 2639/17 2786/7 2788/21 2807/16 2811/7 2814/18 2814/19 2815/11 2816/6 2816/7 2816/7 2816/9 2816/9 2816/12 2816/13 2816/18 2819/4 2824/8

2825/6 2827/7 2840/17 2843/18 2866/23 2870/11
guys [3] 2655/12 2820/21 2873/11
H
had [262]
hadn't [12] 2650/13 2650/13 2674/8 2691/13 2696/6 2726/4 2791/19 2791/20 2791/20 2791/21 2822/14 2850/5
half [11] 2602/5 2735/10 2782/16 2786/3 2788/25 2797/8 2814/16 2820/16 2824/20 2873/1 2873/6
halfway [2] 2704/14 2872/12
halls [1] 2656/10
hallway [4] 2731/6 2760/23 2804/23 2832/1
hand [11] 2591/7 2632/15 2647/8 2705/1 2734/7 2753/11 2753/12 2770/23 2799/14 2800/21 2801/6
handcuff [1] 2609/12
handed [3] 2799/19 2812/13 2871/8
handgun [4] 2723/21 2723/22 2724/8 2724/8
handle [3] 2622/15 2654/20 2707/22
handled [1] 2609/15
handling [2] 2653/9 2689/23
hands [5] 2615/17 2788/2 2806/20 2849/11 2857/16
Handwrite [1] 2760/10
handwriting [1] 2709/23
handwritten [1] 2732/23
happen [12] 2640/3 2660/5 2786/5
2791/8 2796/17 2812/2 2824/24 2833/10 2835/20 2867/19 2873/2 2876/10
happened [27] 2592/12 2595/6 2608/24 2636/17 2636/22 2675/9 2713/5 2718/8 2755/17 2787/12 2787/22 2793/23 2796/7 2797/6 2803/22 2805/6 2808/13 2812/25 2817/24 2818/8 2819/9 2819/10 2819/15 2819/21 2835/5 2835/6 2868/21
happening [10] 2624/3 2650/17 2655/11 2789/11 2789/12 2813/4 2821/5 2821/6 2870/3 2870/6
happens [12] 2692/5 2789/24 2793/17 2805/12 2812/8 2812/17 2812/23 2813/15 2816/11 2823/13 2823/19 2876/11
happy [3] 2601/14 2604/4 2869/2
harassed [3] 2835/10 2837/1 2859/20
harassing [2] 2619/13 2835/1 harassment [6] 2617/1 2617/7 2617/12 2827/5 2827/8 2858/6 hard [11] 2602/3 2605/13 2605/15 2606/21 2718/21 2815/12 2822/2 2843/6 2843/7 2843/9 2870/5
harder [10] 2596/12 2634/11 2634/14 2635/19 2636/24 2646/14 2674/12 2676/25 2834/4 2841/14
Hardlow [1] 2712/13
Hardworking [1] 2785/17
harm [4] 2779/10 2779/10 2779/13 2779/15
harmed [2] 2778/11 2779/8
harshness [1] 2778/22
has [126] 2592/14 2595/6 2627/4 2631/1 2637/18 2641/17 2658/11 2665/2 2669/20 2672/14 2672/15 2678/8 2679/14 2685/15 2704/10 2707/15 2710/10 2710/23 2711/4 2711/14 2715/10 2733/22 2743/11 2745/3 2745/5 2745/9 2751/17 2753/12 2756/23 2758/7 2759/21 2766/3 2766/10 2767/14 2767/24 2770/18 2770/19 2770/23 2772/2 2772/19 2773/1 2776/5 2776/11 2777/5 2777/19 2777/22 2777/24 2778/7 2780/6 2782/1 2787/10 2787/15 2790/10 2793/13 2797/24 2799/16 2805/7 2806/25 2811/3 2812/24 2812/25 2813/16 2814/12 2816/7 2816/8 2816/20 2817/9 2820/23 2821/8 2821/15 2822/10 2822/16 2824/10 2824/18 2824/19 2825/5 2825/12 2825/15 2830/21 2831/16 2836/19 2837/16 2838/9 2838/10 2838/11 2846/1 2846/8 2846/18 2847/1 2848/6 2849/22 2851/12 2851/13 2853/22 2854/6 2854/21 2855/7 2856/3 2856/18 2856/20 2858/3 2858/4 2858/8 2859/11 2859/13 2860/12 2861/12 2861/15 2861/17 2862/21 2862/25 2863/2 2863/3 2863/4 2863/7 2863/9 2863/13 2863/18 2863/22 2864/12 2864/20 2865/3 2865/5 2869/17 2870/9 2872/25
hasn't [1] 2677/21
hateful [1] 2836/23
have [361]
haven't [7] 2649/19 2685/10 2725/21 2763/13 2781/11 2829/6 2877/1 having [14] 2616/8 2621/11 2628/11 2636/22 2637/7 2675/2 2676/19 2677/2 2700/18 2711/5 2724/25 2781/2 2803/13 2860/4
he [881]
he'll [3] 2639/10 2639/11 2807/3 he's [118] 2616/11 2624/22 2630/15 2639/19 2646/8 2646/14 2670/9 2678/16 2683/1 2703/14 2703/15 2708/17 2711/10 2717/15 2724/15 2726/9 2732/16 2786/7 2786/18 2788/9 2788/14 2788/15 2788/21 2791/14 2792/25 2793/5 2793/17 2796/4 2799/16 2799/17 2800/17 2800/17 2805/4 2805/11 2805/13 2806/2 2807/14 2810/22 2810/25 2811/12 2811/13 2811/15 2814/12 2814/23 2815/21 2816/7 2816/7 2816/8 2817/10 2818/4 2819/3 2819/6 2820/2 2820/2 2821/12 2821/22 2821/24 2822/5 2822/6 2822/9 2822/11 2822/11 2822/25 2823/4 2823/6 2823/8 2823/19 2823/24 2823/24 2823/25 2824/16 2824/20 2825/4 2825/11 2825/15 2825/16 2831/15 2832/3 2832/5 2835/16 2835/17 2836/3 2836/4 2836/18

2837/13 2837/19 2837/19 2837/19 2837/21 2838/4 2838/14 2838/15 2838/17 2840/16 2840/17 2842/10 2843/18 2848/11 2848/12 2851/4 2852/17 2855/6 2859/11 2860/24 2861/17 2861/18 2861/20 2861/22 2862/5 2862/20 2863/10 2867/2 2868/14 2868/16 2869/5 2869/6 2870/14 2872/5
head [1] 2867/17
heads [1] 2620/22
heads-up [1] 2620/22
health [2] 2596/22 2650/20 healthier [1] 2790/18
hear [23] 2599/11 2620/13 2624/1 2646/7 2647/15 2666/15 2677/6 2677/9 2684/5 2712/6 2755/25 2757/17 2761/19 2769/7 2782/13 2796/25 2799/13 2810/5 2824/25 2831/3 2831/22 2856/24 2867/20 heard [71] 2591/25 2594/17 2606/2 2614/25 2621/15 2623/14 2624/3 2624/8 2625/19 2625/20 2641/4 2650/13 2650/14 2666/14 2676/19 2692/12 2694/18 2705/16 2708/3 2708/5 2723/4 2725/21 2726/1 2726/2 2727/10 2765/8 2767/20 2768/4 2785/15 2785/24 2786/6 2786/19 2790/1 2797/1 2800/17 2803/6 2803/10 2805/24 2806/17 2807/2 2814/13 2817/12 2824/12 2824/22 2825/16 2826/1 2827/11 2831/5 2833/22 2834/6 2834/8 2837/8 2837/9 2837/11 2838/22 2841/1 2842/13 2845/23 2846/1 2846/13 2849/10 2856/23 2857/16 2858/5 2859/9 2859/13 2860/5 2862/14 2866/15 2869/21 2873/17
hearing [8] 2619/20 2650/22 2655/7 2673/1 2675/11 2675/24 2676/3 2824/15
hearings [1] 2799/19
hearsay [1] 2632/21
heart [3] 2785/8 2799/4 2808/1
held [6] 2596/11 2597/2 2597/21 2598/5 2840/13 2849/25 help [22] 2597/12 2614/21 2624/2 2624/7 2624/12 2628/16 2629/9 2629/24 2653/15 2671/5 2694/21 2694/25 2709/17 2767/5 2771/9 2780/9 2819/3 2843/4 2843/13 2843/16 2843/18 2866/24
helped [2] 2785/19 2844/5
helpful [3] 2628/18 2852/21 2853/25
helping [2] 2597/11 2750/5
helps [1] 2701/20
her [140] 2596/23 2600/11 2600/12 2600/18 2600/24 2605/14 2605/19 2605/20 2605/20 2606/4 2607/14 2607/20 2607/23 2607/24 2607/24 2608/2 2608/5 2608/6 2608/9 2608/21 2608/22 2624/12 2625/14 2625/19 2626/7 2626/7 2626/8 2626/9 2626/10 2626/10 2626/14 2626/15 2626/18 2626/20 2626/24 2636/2 2636/2 2636/3 2636/19 2636/20 2638/24 2638/24 2638/24 2638/25 2639/1

2639/1 2650/14 2650/17 2650/19 2650/19 2651/4 2651/5 2651/13 2651/14 2652/3 2652/4 2662/7 2676/2 2676/2 2684/22 2713/20 2713/20 2727/3 2727/11 2727/14 2745/8 2790/20 2794/11 2794/19 2798/15 2798/18 2798/24 2798/24 2803/23 2804/10 2819/3 2819/4 2819/5 2819/5 2820/20 2822/17 2822/19 2826/5 2826/6 2826/10 2826/11 2826/14 2826/14 2826/16 2826/17 2826/20 2826/21 2826/22 2826/23 2826/24 2831/2 2831/2 2833/19 2833/19 2834/9 2834/10 2834/23 2834/24 2834/25 2835/18 2835/19 2835/19 2835/20 2842/10 2842/11 2842/14 2842/22 2842/23 2845/8 2845/9 2845/10 2845/10 2845/22 2845/24 2845/24 2846/5 2858/13 2858/14 2858/17 2859/5 2859/14 2859/18 2860/10 2860/10 2860/14 2861/10 2862/1 2862/2 2862/10 2862/11 2862/16 2862/19 2867/20 2868/23 2875/1
here [71] 2591/24 2623/15 2629/12 2674/25 2699/2 2704/12 2705/1 2709/17 2711/3 2713/17 2714/13 2720/22 2721/15 2726/22 2731/7 2731/17 2731/19 2731/20 2731/24 2744/6 2751/14 2751/18 2751/24 2754/9 2755/10 2760/24 2780/17 2781/17 2784/17 2784/18 2785/9 2785/22 2786/23 2789/7 2791/18 2794/9 2795/2 2808/5 2809/15 2810/20 2810/21 2812/6 2813/3 2813/19 2814/2 2819/21 2820/10 2820/15 2824/3 2824/7 2828/15 2830/13 2831/25 2836/6 2836/18 2837/2 2837/23 2838/22 2840/3 2843/8 2848/6 2849/2 2853/7 2856/10 2864/23 2866/1 2868/17 2869/20 2870/2 2873/12 2876/11
here's [2] 2648/5 2681/18
Hermens [23] 2635/24 2639/13 2714/9 2714/12 2714/18 2816/5 2816/17 2816/18 2816/20 2816/20 2818/10 2819/8 2819/9 2819/23 2837/12 2839/24 2849/23 2850/3 2850/7 2850/23 2850/24 2851/4 2869/8
Hermens' [3] 2800/23 2812/20 2817/22
hesitant [1] 2851/18
hesitate [1] 2780/23
hesitation [1] 2696/7
Hess [21] 2701/18 2701/19 2705/2 2706/1 2710/8 2710/19 2712/11 2713/14 2715/7 2715/14 2718/11 2718/13 2718/18 2720/6 2721/9 2722/4 2794/6 2799/23 2800/3 2812/4 2819/18
Hey [4] 2635/9 2636/1 2801/13 2820/8 hiding [1] 2621/12
high [6] 2621/11 2709/15 2786/18 2789/3 2809/22 2810/4
higher [2] 2599/2 2605/7
highlight [2] 2710/19 2718/12
highlighted [3] 2747/6 2752/13

H
highlighted... [1] 2758/2
highlighting [1] 2752/14
highlights [2] 2746/3 2746/4
highly [1] 2845/21
him [319]
himself [16] 2603/22 2603/25 2634/19 2666/1 2708/17 2788/14 2794/14 2830/19 2838/11 2842/3 2843/20 2851/2 2851/21 2852/1 2869/14 2871/13
hindsight [1] 2651/19
hip [1] 2837/11
hire [4] 2613/21 2708/4 2708/7 2824/22
hired [7] 2602/16 2708/13 2810/14 2810/16 2810/18 2863/4 2863/11
hiring [1] 2628/25
his [310]
historically [1] 2785/16
history [6] 2605/10 2605/11 2689/17
2735/8 2795/13 2872/4
hit [2] 2599/5 2804/25
hold [4] 2648/7 2648/15 2703/8 2863/20
home [5] 2593/2 2636/18 2637/4 2845/13 2873/20
homes [1] 2592/22
honest [7] 2781/3 2785/18 2807/19
2817/13 2819/9 2868/15 2868/17
Honestly [1] 2744/21
honesty [4] 2691/2 2795/5 2795/16 2866/3
Honor [64] 2599/7 2599/12 2599/16 2600/6 2600/22 2609/16 2609/24 2611/15 2630/23 2631/23 2631/25 2632/5 2637/15 2638/5 2641/16 2645/6 2646/25 2648/10 2648/14 2650/4 2650/9 2661/20 2661/24 2662/3 2669/18 2670/4 2672/11 2677/19 2677/23 2678/5 2681/14 2684/24 2687/1 2688/13 2688/20 2692/7 2697/24 2702/3 2702/17 2705/24 2715/12 2729/19 2729/22 2730/18 2732/2 2732/10 2733/14 2734/5 2741/19 2742/15 2745/1 2746/1 2746/16 2752/24 2756/4 2757/25 2761/21 2762/10 2763/24 2764/20 2764/22 2784/14 2808/15 2877/6
HONORABLE [2] 2588/15 2827/17 HOOD [1] 2589/7
hope [2] 2659/12 2665/24
hopeful [1] 2728/11
hoping [1] 2653/6
horse [1] 2810/4
hostile [1] 2609/10
hotel [1] 2639/1
hour [9] 2753/23 2753/23 2763/6 2763/7 2782/16 2788/25 2820/15 2824/14 2846/20
hours [14] 2598/2 2613/17 2803/18 2803/20 2803/21 2803/24 2814/24 2818/1 2826/4 2843/11 2869/12 2869/18 2870/9 2874/5
how [104] 2592/22 2594/6 2596/2 2596/20 2597/13 2598/9 2598/9

2598/12 2598/16 2603/10 2603/12 2603/15 2604/7 2604/16 2604/16 2606/4 2606/13 2606/14 2607/6 2608/8 2616/1 2617/11 2620/4 2620/21 2620/25 2623/6 2627/23 2628/14 2628/16 2629/9 2630/12 2633/21 2635/11 2639/22 2639/22 2641/9 2655/4 2663/7 2663/9 2663/19 2665/14 2672/17 2678/24 2679/6 2679/12 2680/10 2680/25 2685/25 2691/17 2695/22 2695/25 2718/3 2718/6 2721/24 2722/11 2725/14 2729/7 2735/21 2740/12 2741/10 2753/13 2753/15 2758/21 2758/22 2758/24 2759/7 2760/18 2768/9 2781/22 2789/20 2790/11 2797/15 2797/25 2801/20 2802/10 2803/1 2806/3 2807/10 2807/15 2809/13 2809/14 2810/5 2810/17 2812/2 2815/7 2816/23 2818/4 2822/2 2824/4 2824/17 2825/5 2826/9 2827/4 2827/5 2827/6 2841/25 2842/2 2843/13 2853/14 2865/8 2866/18 2869/11 2869/18 2870/19
however [5] 2640/12 2679/15 2756/17 2779/11 2783/3
HR [12] 2645/14 2706/19 2706/24 2708/3 2709/8 2724/17 2724/25 2812/25 2823/14 2854/21 2854/22 2868/7
HR's [2] 2655/21 2854/17
Huffington [1] 2793/15
huge [13] 2593/2 2593/4 2618/17
2636/3 2637/2 2644/25 2809/17
2809/21 2809/22 2814/12 2825/8
2841/11 2876/19
human [12] 2641/13 2643/6 2643/8 2652/24 2654/24 2671/13 2672/3
2673/19 2675/3 2707/22 2707/25 2818/3
humble [1] 2751/13
hundred [3] 2596/12 2795/12 2802/15
hundreds [5] 2786/1 2786/1 2803/14
2818/5 2818/6
hunts [1] 2639/16
hurt [1] 2826/13
husband [2] 2608/23 2826/21
I
I'd [2] 2700/9 2746/6
I'II [35] 2631/16 2632/19 2635/10 2649/16 2685/24 2709/13 2711/2 2718/23 2729/4 2743/19 2753/1 2753/3 2753/18 2753/25 2754/5 2757/9 2760/15 2760/15 2761/9 2763/6 2767/1 2771/9 2775/20 2781/16 2781/18 2782/1 2782/17 2824/24 2827/22 2828/10 2829/3 2865/10 2873/5 2874/1 2875/19 I'm [104] 2593/2 2600/1 2600/18 2604/10 2613/24 2616/21 2620/14 2622/7 2624/3 2632/18 2638/18 2638/19 2648/4 2649/23 2649/25 2650/7 2650/22 2651/17 2651/17 2652/6 2656/8 2656/10 2662/7 2672/14 2679/24 2685/1 2685/7 2685/8 2685/14 2685/19 2686/12

2686/16 2686/17 2689/9 2702/2 2702/2 2705/24 2706/2 2706/14 2708/1 2708/2 2709/21 2710/24 2711/24 2716/10 2718/7 2718/9 2724/5 2725/11 2726/13 2726/22 2729/9 2732/13 2732/22 2733/1 2735/12 2738/22 2738/24 2743/3 2743/6 2743/7 2744/8 2744/15 2746/25 2748/3 2751/7 2753/7 2753/22 2753/25 2757/7 2757/17 2757/18 2757/21 2757/23 2758/3 2760/12 2760/13 2760/24 2761/11 2761/14 2762/4 2762/5 2765/2 2765/3 2772/20 2788/24 2788/25 2789/1 2791/25 2794/8 2805/17 2805/22 2813/7 2829/7 2830/10 2833/21 2835/10 2836/3 2836/10 2836/18 2861/3 2873/19 2873/23 2875/23 I've [17] 2596/11 2610/8 2636/25 2648/24 2691/7 2735/13 2742/17 2754/11 2757/6 2759/16 2792/5 2794/22 2796/21 2812/10 2823/10 2838/16 2865/24
IA [11] 2713/3 2723/15 2739/20 2795/19 2804/9 2806/1 2806/3 2820/16 2826/5 2826/24 2868/24
ID [5] 2635/5 2635/8 2635/11 2639/10 2639/12
idea [12] 2592/8 2615/19 2628/18 2661/25 2680/22 2707/24 2717/21 2820/23 2835/10 2859/1 2867/24 2869/20
ideas [1] 2790/16
identical [1] 2830/20
identification [1] 2869/5
identify [3] 2700/13 2722/17 2795/24
ignore [4] 2766/1 2766/1 2768/19
2768/22
ill [1] 2778/13
ill-will [1] 2778/13
illegal [10] 2640/13 2716/10 2737/1
2756/17 2806/2 2823/3 2823/25 2851/23 2855/20 2870/21
illness [1] 2618/23
imagine [1] 2672/17
immediate [2] 2620/23 2667/16
immediately [8] 2644/9 2644/19 2644/23 2646/17 2721/18 2783/7 2808/24 2861/10
immoral [2] 2851/22 2855/20
immunities [2] 2593/23 2770/3 impact [8] 2593/2 2608/7 2618/17 2618/18 2637/2 2687/21 2699/15 2873/8
impacted [2] 2824/18 2825/5
impacting [1] 2610/25
impartial [4] 2799/24 2800/8 2800/8 2800/13
imply [1] 2863/14
import [2] 2742/18 2860/17
importance [2] 2707/5 2873/11
important [19] 2593/18 2594/6
2594/25 2595/4 2595/9 2604/24 2615/11 2615/18 2632/19 2641/3 2641/9 2664/17 2766/2 2781/1 2809/5 2809/8 2814/5 2822/24 2838/8
importantly [2] 2623/22 2810/3

2780/12
info [1] 2727/19
inform [7] 2599/23 2689/23 2690/3
2711/17 2712/4 2783/24 2784/7
information [86] 2595/5 2595/8
2604/20 2614/1 2615/7 2620/4 2620/6
2620/7 2632/20 2637/10 2638/21
2643/17 2661/13 2666/10 2667/2
2670/11 2673/9 2674/9 2674/15
2674/20 2674/25 2676/13 2676/23
2682/21 2689/2 2689/3 2690/4 2691/5
2691/12 2691/24 2692/2 2692/4
2692/6 2692/23 2693/5 2694/2 2694/4
2694/8 2694/16 2694/19 2694/21
2694/23 2695/5 2695/10 2695/12
2695/17 2696/1 2696/17 2696/24
2697/2 2697/7 2697/8 2697/21 2699/3
2699/14 2699/15 2699/16 2706/25
2707/21 2708/22 2709/11 2719/13
2727/21 2728/1 2739/11 2795/3
2829/13 2830/7 2837/22 2837/25
2847/22 2851/25 2852/20 2853/1
2853/5 2853/17 2853/19 2853/25
2855/5 2855/12 2860/4 2861/24
2862/3 2862/9 2862/18 2871/18
informed [6] 2621/19 2623/3 2643/2
2663/16 2854/9 2859/23
inherent [1] 2636/6
initial [3] 2629/17 2836/10 2849/21
initially [2] 2630/15 2849/13
initials [1] 2645/16
initiated [1] 2815/10
initiations [1] 2815/9
injured [1] 2770/4
injures [1] 2778/20
injuries [1] 2599/6
injuring [1] 2778/14
injury [19] 2599/6 2599/20 2600/11
2600/14 2600/19 2600/24 2601/4
2601/23 2650/12 2650/14 2650/18
2650/23 2651/3 2651/4 2651/5
2651/13 2651/20 2771/8 2777/8
input [7] 2604/18 2613/25 2613/25
2614/2 2682/9 2714/24 2724/3
inside [2] 2658/7 2660/11
insight [1] 2628/13
instances [8] 2617/17 2630/1 2665/25 2666/7 2699/13 2840/24 2854/24 2855/1
instead [3] 2612/14 2763/16 2842/11 institution [1] 2827/13
instruct [12] 2667/6 2696/20 2697/20 2753/2 2762/10 2765/10 2772/7 2772/14 2773/12 2775/20 2776/21 2777/1
instructed [8] 2606/3 2677/10 2697/22
2767/15 2767/24 2791/1 2845/18 2851/17
instructing [1] 2777/1
instruction [27] 2667/3 2667/19 2667/21 2668/1 2756/6 2756/22 2757/17 2759/24 2761/19 2761/22 2761/22 2766/16 2767/19 2772/15 2773/24 2774/16 2774/24 2775/5 2775/13 2775/19 2783/9 2784/8 2863/12 2863/13 2863/17 2864/16 2864/17
instructions [26] 2695/22 2753/4 2753/16 2753/18 2758/7 2760/16 2761/1 2761/11 2763/10 2764/2
2764/19 2765/3 2765/6 2765/12 2765/14 2765/25 2770/12 2770/21
2771/4 2777/20 2782/23 2828/7
2846/11 2847/9 2852/19 2874/4
instructs [1] 2773/21
insufficient [2] 2800/21 2801/2
intended [1] 2767/5
intense [1] 2805/1
intensity [1] 2804/17
intent [9] 2646/20 2666/22 2666/25
2721/22 2726/14 2814/7 2843/24
2871/12 2872/14
intention [2] 2666/21 2801/3
intentionally [1] 2658/8
interact [1] 2707/11
interaction [4] 2624/10 2625/8 2635/2 2820/7
interactions [1] 2617/24
interest [2] 2769/9 2780/4
interested [2] 2679/16 2727/12
interesting [1] 2790/4
interim [2] 2613/6 2642/25
internal [19] 2598/6 2608/1 2624/15
2626/3 2626/23 2654/3 2656/13
2657/13 2668/3 2668/9 2669/12
2670/14 2745/22 2748/11 2786/14
2788/6 2820/25 2845/19 2854/14
international [3] 2716/18 2717/16 2717/23
Internet [6] 2782/11 2795/13 2802/15
2802/20 2802/23 2872/4
interpret [2] 2767/5 2832/20
interpretation [1] 2870/25
interpreted [1] 2837/5
interrogate [1] 2818/22
interview [5] 2613/15 2747/5 2747/5 2800/23 2819/8
interviewed [3] 2749/13 2807/19 2809/24
interviewing [1] 2796/10
interviews [4] 2613/16 2822/5 2822/6 2842/25
introduced [1] 2649/10
introduction [1] 2634/5
introductory [1] 2836/10
invested [1] 2779/25
investigate [9] 2627/3 2737/16 2744/24 2748/20 2749/17 2799/11 2802/6 2846/1 2872/19
investigated [9] 2713/3 2737/24 2738/2 2788/9 2788/16 2820/3 2824/6 2824/9 2842/1
investigating [5] 2646/1 2748/21 2788/13 2788/13 2859/7
investigation [53] 2608/2 2608/3 2624/16 2626/3 2626/23 2645/25 2654/4 2656/14 2657/13 2658/14 2659/19 2668/4 2668/5 2668/16 2670/22 2694/9 2714/15 2723/16 2737/8 2738/4 2738/14 2738/20 2738/23 2739/4 2739/18 2739/20 2740/7 2740/8 2740/16 2740/19 2744/17 2745/22 2746/20 2748/5 2748/12 2748/15 2748/16 2748/18
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline I & 276 & joked [1] 2816/16 \\
\hline investigation... [15] 2748/19 27 & 2792/7 2794/15 2795/12 2797/23 & joking [2] 2832/12 2873/2 \\
\hline 2750/23 2786/15 2786/24 2788/7 & 2799/11 2799/18 2801/13 2801/18 & JONATHAN [1] 25 \\
\hline 2788/7 2799/14 2799/25 2806/3 & /17 & judge [15] 2588/16 2703/15 275 \\
\hline 2821/1 2826/24 2845/19 2854/14 & 28 & 2763/1 2787/8 2787/18 27 \\
\hline 2870/10 & 28 & 2820/21 283 \\
\hline investigations [8] 2654/15 2658/21 & 819/25 2823/3 2824/2 2824/20 & 2855/23 2867/9 2867/15 2867/1 \\
\hline 2788/6 2788/6 2788/10 2821/3 & 28 & judges [1] 287 \\
\hline 2821/22 2822/10 & & judgment [9] \\
\hline investigative [2] 2670/14 2745/22 & 241/12 2845/9 & 2646/24 2732/12 2785/18 2800/12 \\
\hline investigator [1] 2668/16 & 2849/22 2852/12 2857/8 2857/10 & 2811/3 2848 \\
\hline investment [1] 2780/5 & & July [12] \\
\hline involve [1] 2677/2 & & 2794/21 2794/22 2794/23 2795/1 \\
\hline involved [15] 2622/3 2628/20 2672 & & \[
2804 / 15
\] \\
\hline 2686/21 2687/16 2706/19 2711/11 & items [1] & July 1 [1] 2614/ \\
\hline 2724/17 2797/12 2812/25 2817/21 & its [4] 2761/8 2778/18 2827/12 & July 11 [1] 2794/21 \\
\hline & 2852/16 & July 11th [1] 2794/12 \\
\hline  & itself [13] 2677/18 2756/19 2757/2 & July 2010 [1] 2794/8 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Involving } \\
& \text { 2802/20 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2760/3 2760/9 2791/6 2840/16 2852/6 & July 2012 [1] 2804/15 \\
\hline & 2852/8 2852/13 2852/16 2857/10 & July 2014 [1] 2794/8 \\
\hline isn't [9] & 285 & July 23rd [2] 2794/22 279 \\
\hline 2708/16 2708/20 2761/1 2848/8 & \(J\) & \\
\hline 2867/9 2875/17 & jail & jumped [ \\
\hline issue [41] 2610/20 2610/23 2611/3 & JAMES [33] 2588/4 2729/8 2739/5 & Junction [31] \\
\hline 2620/19 2622/10 2624/14 2630/1 & 2739/16 2740/10 2740/17 2741/17 & 2735/4 2735/11 2735/17 \\
\hline 2636/3 2644/25 2650/4 2666/3 2666/6 & 2742/24 2744/1 2771/11 2784/18 & 2735/21 2736/1 2736/6 2737/ \\
\hline & 2786/6 2786/17 2786/20 2787/3 & 2737/17 2738/21 2739 \\
\hline 2685/8 2693/4 2701/6 2707/21 & 2788/18 2799/16 2802/8 2804/25 & 2740/11 2741/4 2741/7 2741/1 \\
\hline 2720/11 2747/22 2749/19 2750/1 & 2805/21 2806/5 2806/8 2809/23 & 2742/1 2743/9 2744/4 2750/9 2750 \\
\hline 2750/2 2750/20 2755/3 2757/16 & 2810/7 2810/10 2810/20 2813/17 & \\
\hline 2757/18 2761/18 2836/5 2836/15 & \[
2817 / 21 \text { 2819/2 2819/11 } 2
\] & 2830/4 2830/8 \\
\hline 2847/11 2848/7 2848/9 2849/20 & 2869/4 2869/7 & \\
\hline 2851/10 2855/14 2856/10 2856/12 & James Cleavenger [6] 2739/16 & 2654/2 2654/12 2699/6 2715/6 \\
\hline 285 & 2771/11 2788/18 2806/5 2806/8 & 2761/24 2763/18 2764/8 2774/ \\
\hline issued [11] 2666/11 2844/20 28 & 2809/23 & 2777/13 2821/22 2821/25 2847/18 \\
\hline /7 & James Cleavenger's [2] 2786/17 & 2859/3 2859/15 2870/4 2870/7 \\
\hline 2849/12 2851/5 2851/7 2857/12 & 2787/3 & June 1 [3] 2774/1 2821/25 2847/18 \\
\hline \[
2606 / 172620 / 112622 / 17 \text { 2628/19 }
\] & Jamie [6] 2607/12 2608/15 2614/5 & June 16 [3] 2761/24 2763/18 2764/8 \\
\hline 2630/22 2640/8 2653/6 2667/16 & 2682/12 2682/14 2682/15 & June 17 [1] 2699/6 \\
\hline 2668/14 2683/3 2687/21 2691/25 & January [6] 2691/15 2702/11 2703/23 & June 1st [3] 2821/22 2859/3 2859/15 \\
\hline 2691/25 2694/11 2700/13 2700/15 & 2704/22 2705/5 2705/16 & June 2012 [1] 2652/19 \\
\hline 2711/3 2724/5 2744/5 2749/25 2750/1 & Ja & junior \\
\hline 2792/24 2792/24 2812/22 2816/4 & January 28 [1] 2705 & jurisdiction [2] 2842/22 2846 \\
\hline 2848/7 2848/25 2854/2 2868/15 & January 28th [1] 2704/22 & juror [3] 2780/16 278 \\
\hline 2869/17 & JASON [6] 2589/2 2649/22 2735/2 & jurors [7] 2780/13 2780/18 2780/22 \\
\hline issuing [2] 2 & 2749/4 2760/20 2763/6 & 2780/23 2780/25 2827/18 2876/11 \\
\hline & ] 2638/19 2639/14 & jury [63] 2591/3 2591/15 2592/ \\
\hline it's [130] 2591/25 2593/20 2595/22 & 9/15 2639/15 & 2592/3 2599/16 2600/3 2601/ \\
\hline 2596/6 2601/3 2601/15 2602/12 & Jessup [2] 2589/20 2878 & 601/16 2647/7 2649/17 2652/8 \\
\hline 2610/12 2615/18 2618/25 2627/5 & Jill [2] 2589/20 2878/14 & 2652/9 2652/10 2687/1 2703/7 \\
\hline 2630/25 2631/25 2632/19 2634/4 & job [36] 2594/13 2594/13 2595/20 & 2703/11 2732/1 2733/11 2733/16 \\
\hline & 2595/21 2596/2 2596/6 2596/13 & 2733/19 2733/21 2734/1 2734/17 \\
\hline & 2602/1 2602/13 2607/20 2607/22 & 2751/15 2754/2 2755/6 275 \\
\hline 665 & 2630/5 2650/21 2679/14 2690/23 & 2756/22 2758/7 2759/24 2762/20 \\
\hline 2649/7 2649/9 2650/5 2650/6 2665/3 & 2695/20 2709/11 2742/6 2761/1 & 2764/2 2764/18 2764/25 2765/3 \\
\hline 2668/17 2669/15 2670/9 2672/12 & 2785/2 2785/20 2786/7 2788/5 & 2765/6 2765/8 2765/13 2780/15 \\
\hline 2672/13 2685/9 2685/18 2688/13 & 2813/14 2815/1 2821/13 2824/19 & 2781/9 2781/9 2781/16 2781/22 \\
\hline 2689/6 2689/10 2690/10 2692/4 & 2833/16 2839/1 2839/6 2839/15 & 782/20 2782/21 2783/7 2783/23 \\
\hline 2692/8 2695/2 2698/14 2700/10 & 2840/8 2843/14 2869/6 2872/25 & 2784/3 2784 \\
\hline 2701/3 2702/24 2703/16 2704/22 & 287 & \[
2828
\] \\
\hline 2707/4 2714/24 2715/13 2725/4 & jobs [1] 2853/14 & 2847/9 2865/13 2865/17 2865/19 \\
\hline 2726/13 2727/18 2731/8 2733/6 & John [1] 2803/6 & 2874/7 2874/14 2875/2 2876/7 \\
\hline 2745/22 2748/3 2749/16 2751/9 & John Ahlen [1] 2803/6 & \\
\hline 2751/19 2752/5 2752/14 2755/3 & joke [4] 2832/14 2832/20 2832/25 & just don't [1] 2685/20 \\
\hline 2758/2 2758/3 2758/5 2759/7 2759/7 & \[
2837 / 5
\] & justice [1] 2873/7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline J & L & 2832/3 2836/4 \\
\hline justification [2] 2644/8 2696/16 & labeling [2] 2758/6 2758/19 & lawyers [7] 2766/21 2767/2 2767/3 2767/8 2767/9 2795/22 2802/22 \\
\hline justified [6] 2844/20 2844/21 2844/23 2851/5 2857/18 2861/16 & labor [2] 2725/15 2853/11 & laying [1] 2872/6 \\
\hline & & lays [1] 2823/23 \\
\hline K & ladies [13] 2599/25 & lead [1] 2650/23 \\
\hline KAFOURY [11] 2589/2 2589/3 2589/4 & 2751/12 2784/15 2791/18 2815/19 & leader [3] 2604/7 2604/14 2605 \\
\hline 2735/2 2749/4 2755/23 2756 & 2827/8 2828/24 2830/16 2832/3 & leaders [2] \\
\hline 2838/20 2839/10 2863/13 2864/12 & 2871/5 2873/19 & leadership [2] \\
\hline Kafoury's [1] 2844/24 & lady [4] 2723/21 2724/8 2817/25 & leading [4] 2662/24 2664/19 2665/3 \\
\hline keep [19] 2593/4 2593/5 2594/11 & 2820/9 & leads [1] 2685/21 \\
\hline 2594/13 2595/10 2595/11 2627/19 & laid [2] 2824/11 2824/14 & Leaning [1] 2866/24 \\
\hline 2636/2 2637/3 2667/17 2685/1 2689/2 & Landrum [1] 2607/12 &  \\
\hline 2781/15 2803/13 2819/24 2820/18
\(2833 / 7\) 2836/3 2863/16 & Lane [2] 2609/5 2691/8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { learn [12] 2592/22 2593/17 2594/21 } \\
& 2614 / 15 \text { 2614/16 2615/7 } 2623 / 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 2833/7 2836/3 2863/16 & large [3] 2697/6 2723/21 2738/11 & 2665/14 2840/19 2840/21 2843/13 \\
\hline keeping [6] 2594/5 2595/4 2627/15 & largely [1] 2723/20 & \[
2857 / 21
\] \\
\hline 2630/9 2630/12 2655/23 & Larson [2] 2806/21 2807/2 & learned [11] 2592/13 2593/13 2614/22 \\
\hline keeps [3] 2815/16 2838/20 2853/18 Kent [2] 2807/14 2808/3 & Larson's [1] 2806/24 & \[
\begin{array}{rl} 
\\
2631 / 10 & 2666 / 3 \\
2726 / 1 & 2788 / 17
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Kent [2] 2807/14 2808/3 \\
kept [5] 2758/9 2807/16 2810/10
\end{tabular} & last [34] 2591/16 2599/23 2600/2 & 2788/20 2789/25 2795/2 2798/10 \\
\hline kept [5] 2758/9 2807/16 2810/10
\[
2842 / 42846 / 13
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
2600/7 2632/10 2632/13 2633/2 \\
2633/2 2633/3 2634/22 2638/11
\end{tabular} & learning [2] 266 \\
\hline Kevin [2] 2602/21 2602 & 2673/14 2699/2 2699/19 2715/6 & least [5] 2679/1 2693/11 2724 \\
\hline key [3] 2712/16 2787/4 2797/14 & 2717/1 2717/4 2730/6 2733/20 & 2816/6 2824/19 \\
\hline kidding [5] 2753/22 2797/10 2813/11 & 2734/18 2741/11 2745/6 2754/6 & leave [8] 2600/1 2602/22 2740/18 \\
\hline 2817/8 2867/16 & 2754/11 2754/12 2759/20 2759/22 & 2740/21 2744/4 2750/24 2823/ \\
\hline kids [3] 2601/24 2815/23 2815/24 & 2788/1 2801/19 2814/5 2825/11 & 2828/4 \\
\hline KIEL [1] 2589/3 & 2826/2 2827/11 2864/24 & leaves [1] 2859/ \\
\hline Kilcullen [4] 2636/13 2636/15 2636/16 & late [7] 2619/20 2621/5 2652/19 & leaving [1] 2592/21 \\
\hline 2636/18 & 2657/25 2753/13 2753/15 2859/25 & LEBRECHT [159] \\
\hline kill [1] 2825/19 & later [20] 2603/5 2639/14 2640/19 & Lebrecht's [13] 262 \\
\hline killed [2] 2636/21 2816/18 & 2666/12 2671/10 2749/9 2753/10 & 14 2634/17 \\
\hline kind [21] 2591/6 2592/4 2592/4 2607/1 & 2770/11 2771/4 2791/22 2792/7 & 2696/19 2712/2 2788/7 2804/2 \\
\hline 2609/8 2617/12 2619/1 2624/23 & 2801/13 2801/16 2801/21 2802/14 & 2811/24 2834/6 2835/13 \\
\hline 2626/11 2630/13 2647/8 2685/9 & 2809/11 2826/20 2835/3 2849/24 & lectures [1] 2825/17 \\
\hline 2707/21 2733/11 2741/16 2753/12 & 2861/14 & lecturn [3] 2783/19 2828/17 2828/1 \\
\hline 2758/19 2759/5 2769/24 2814/15 & latter [2] 2728/15 2756/16 & led [1] 2608/2 \\
\hline 2841/2 & Laue [1] 2835/12 & left [14] 2598/8 2603/2 2606/19 \\
\hline kinds [3] 2594/10 2620/17 2768/7 & laugh [1] 2660/6 & 2607/16 2608/17 26 \\
\hline King [17] 2642/17 2672/4 2673/5 & laughed [2] 2840/3 2840/4 & 2709 \\
\hline 2673/9 2674/6 2674/17 2674/19 & laughing [7] 2658/6 2658/8 2659/17 & 2827/2 2832/9 286 \\
\hline 2674/23 2676/1 2706/21 2707/7 & 2660/4 2804/15 2804/22 2804/24 & legal [7] 2594/2 2729/3 \\
\hline 2707/12 2755/6 2755/10 2755/13 & laundry [3] 2812/21 2870/8 2872/16 & 28 \\
\hline 2755/19 2774/21 & law [42] 2592/25 2595/23 2595/25 & legitimacy [2] 2595/1 2615/6 \\
\hline knell [1] 2722/12 & 2596/5 2597/1 2605/4 2626/12 2668/1 & LEOs [1] 280 \\
\hline knew [32] 2616/10 2657/6 2683/17 & 2677/10 2722/15 2722/20 2732/12 & 3] 28 \\
\hline 2693/7 2693/8 2693/22 2705/7 & 2735/9 2735/10 2742/10 2765/6 & LeRoy's [1] 286 \\
\hline 2711/11 2726/7 2726/8 2727/19 & 2765/10 2765/18 2765/19 2768/7 & let [42] 2600/6 \\
\hline 2727/22 2738/1 2738/2 2786/22 & 2770/2 2770/8 2770/13 2770/15 & 2632/23 2635/10 2636/2 2636/5 \\
\hline 2797/15 2798/11 2806/1 2806/3 & 2770/17 2774/4 2774/18 2775/1 & 2643/10 2650/12 267 \\
\hline 2807/18 2808/4 2826/7 2826/9 & 2775/7 2775/15 2777/17 2778/19 & 2681/9 2684/21 2685/24 2686/17 \\
\hline 2834/18 2836/8 2836/20 2838/10 & 2785/5 2800/9 2800/11 2803/1 2810/3 & 2703/21 2705/4 2709/14 2710/17 \\
\hline 2847/14 2850/1 2862/7 2862/8 2862/9 & 2824/20 2824/21 2836/21 2863/16 & 2717/15 2717/20 2717/22 2718/21 \\
\hline knife [4] 2621/11 2709/15 2816/7 & 2864/12 & 2742/23 2759/18 2760/17 2761/19 \\
\hline 2816/19 & lawful [1] & 2763/8 2763/9 2766/9 2783/6 2797/19 \\
\hline know [189] & laws [2] 2770/3 2791/3 & (9 2810/9 2816/21 2827/14 \\
\hline know-it-all [1] 2742/10 & lawsuit [43] 2618/5 2660/20 2661/2 & 2832 2834/22 2835/4 2863/ \\
\hline knowingly [1] 2720/12 & 2696/12 2756/19 2756/20 2756/24 & 2867/8 2874/6 \\
\hline knowledge [8] 2604/20 2634/14 & 2757/2 2757/3 2757/7 2759/23 2760/2 & let's [48] 2616/3 2619/11 2619/ \\
\hline 2680/2 2695/16 2696/5 2715/3 2717/6 & 2760/3 2760/8 2760/8 2761/7 2775/2 & 2623/2 2638/15 2641/15 2647/9 \\
\hline 2755/19 & 2791/4 2791/6 2791/21 2793/5 & 2656/19 2668/3 2677/17 2681/2 \\
\hline known [4] 2691/7 2785/4 2796/21 & 2793/14 2799/2 2800/4 2804/6 & 4 \\
\hline 2841/17 & 2808/12 2831/10 2831/14 2831/18 & 2703/13 2706/16 2722/9 2731/5 \\
\hline knows [14] 2759/4 2798/19 2820/2 & 2832/6 2832/21 2834/4 2836/22 & 735/24 2736/3 2736/5 2743/23 \\
\hline 2820/2 2820/3 2822/9 2822/10 & 2837/16 2838/5 2847/13 2851/13 & 2783/7 2787/21 2790/22 2791/17 \\
\hline 2822/11 2822/11 2838/10 2848/18 & 2859/2 2862/7 2867/10 2867/12 & 2792/15 2793/8 2796/13 2797/5 \\
\hline 2860/2 2861/17 2867/18 & 2869/24 2872/6 & 2810/23 2812/2 2815/2 2816/5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L & 2851/18 2852/2 2852/6 2852/17 & liquid [1] \\
\hline let's... [9] 2817/15 2818/18 2818/20 & 2852/20 2852/24 2855/11 2855/13 & list [54] 2659/3 2663/7 2727/3 2727/13 \\
\hline 2820/1 2821/21 2826/2 2838/18 & 2855/18 2864/25 & 2727/19 2757/14 2757/21 \\
\hline 2838/24 2865/24 & Lieutenant Lebrecht's [8] 2629/21 & 2758/5 2758/9 2758/11 2758/15 \\
\hline letter [91] 2608/10 2608/14 2608/15 & 2631/9 2633/14 2634/17 2696/19 & 2758/15 2758/21 2758/21 2758/22 \\
\hline 2608/19 2608/21 2610/15 2610/15 & 2712/2 2804/2 2834/6 & 2758/23 2758/24 2759/4 \\
\hline 2610/20 2611/3 2611/5 2611/8 2612/3 & Lieutenant Morrow [27] 2608/3 & 2774/11 2774/11 2774/18 2774/18 \\
\hline 2612/13 2621/1 2622/3 2672/12 & 2608/20 2621/20 2622/9 2622/15 & 2775/7 2775/7 2775/15 27 \\
\hline 2672/17 2673/10 2673/14 2673/17 & 2626/2 2628/11 2628/20 2628/22 & 2792/10 2793/9 2793/16 2796/24 \\
\hline 2673/23 2673/25 2674/15 2674/20 & 2654/3 2656/13 2658/5 2659/16 & 2799/12 2803/4 2803/8 2803/10 \\
\hline 2674/24 2675/21 2676/14 2690/7 & 2660/2 2660/13 2660/25 2662/19 & 2803/11 2803/13 2803/14 2803/1 \\
\hline 2690/17 2691/1 2698/14 2698/16 & 2669/17 2670/9 2671/11 2672/6 & 2812/21 2814/25 2826/4 28 \\
\hline 2698/22 2699/24 2706/7 2706/16 & 2804/15 2805/16 2841/24 2842/4 & 2831/19 2831/21 2834/24 2835/14 \\
\hline 2708/8 2708/12 2708/15 2708/16 & 2842/25 2845/19 & 2835/15 2859/18 2864/7 2867/9 \\
\hline 2708/18 2709/2 2709/3 2709/4 2709/5 & Lieutenant Morrow's [6] 2628/24 & 2867/11 2872/16 \\
\hline 2709/9 2709/10 2709/25 2710/4 & 2668/3 2670/22 2677/3 2694/9 & list/bowl [1] 2758/15 \\
\hline 2723/18 2724/9 2724/12 2724/14 & 2723/15 & listed [13] 2633/2 2709 \\
\hline 2739/19 2739/19 2739/20 2749/5 & lieutenant's [1] 2740/1 & 2726/9 2791/14 2791/16 2791/22 \\
\hline 2786/12 2786/13 2787/22 2787/24 & lieutenants [2] 2605/25 2620/18 & 2792/14 2793/17 2794/7 2795/2 \\
\hline 2787/24 2794/16 2794/23 2804/10 & life [9] 2593/7 2650/17 2701/1 27 & 2797/25 2824/21 \\
\hline 2805/4 2811/15 2811/18 2811/22 & 2825/3 2825/7 2825/13 2825/22 & listen [2] 2623/14 2856/24 \\
\hline 2812/17 2812/21 2813/14 2817/2 & 2825/24 & listened [4] 2607/15 2625/16 2625/ \\
\hline 2818/13 2818/15 2821/16 2829/10 & lifespan [1] 2827/19 & \\
\hline 2829/15 2829/17 2829/19 2829/21 & light [3] 2636/20 2759/24 2769/12 & listening [1] 2623/17 \\
\hline 2830/1 2847/15 2847/24 2848/4 & lighthearted [1] 2816/16 & listens [1] 285 \\
\hline 2848/20 2848/23 2849/5 2854/21 & lightly [1] 2860/18 & listing [26] 2694/14 2700/9 2700/11 \\
\hline 2858/16 2866/19 & like [72] 2592/9 2597/4 2597/19 & 2700/12 2701/10 2722/11 2727/22 \\
\hline letters [3] 2620/21 2697/25 2725/ & 2604/11 2606/14 2611/4 2612/19 & 2728/5 2785/5 2788/23 2790/22 \\
\hline level [3] 2599/2 2742/3 2855/2 & 2615/14 2616/4 2621/12 2624/19 & 2791/24 2792/3 2792/21 2793/6 \\
\hline levels [2] 2809/5 2853/10 & 2625/10 2626/18 2628/8 2635/13 & 2793/19 2794/1 2796/16 2797/3 \\
\hline liability [1] 2787/14 & 2656/4 2658/5 2660/6 2670/14 & 2797/13 2797/16 2797/19 2797/22 \\
\hline liable [2] 2770/4 2863/21 & 2696/10 2699/23 2700/9 2705/22 & 2825/3 2825/23 2870/24 \\
\hline liar [8] 2795/20 2796/12 2798/8 & 2714/19 2714/21 2721/4 2721/5 & lists [1] 2796/22 \\
\hline 2800/19 2802/23 2818/23 2837/19 & 2730/14 2734/3 2735/5 2735/6 & lit [1] 2820/5 \\
\hline 2869/17 & 2742/13 2746/6 2748/9 2749/12 & litigated [1] 2844/22 \\
\hline liberals [1] & 2749/22 2751/22 2752/25 2754/13 & litigating [1] 2760/21 \\
\hline als & 2755/22 2758/3 2758/7 2786/22 & litigation [1] 2740/4 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { lie [4] } 26 \\
2850 / 18
\end{gathered}
\] & 2791/5 2796/22 2799/18 2804/12 & little [13] 2592/3 2595/14 2601/25 \\
\hline & 2804/22 2804/24 2806/14 2806/16 & 2616/3 2622/25 2705/24 2706/16 \\
\hline  & 2810/22 2811/13 2813/21 2813/22 & 2708/20 2763/3 2763/10 2825/17 \\
\hline & 2814/1 2814/1 2816/17 2818/2 2819/2 & 2832/22 2868/11 \\
\hline Lieu & 2822/21 2828/20 2846/6 2860/3 & live [2] 2592/22 2596/18 \\
\hline \[
2622 / 14 \text { 2623/9 2625/1 2629/13 }
\] & 2862/19 2865/8 2865/20 2867/4 & living [2] 2592/16 2593/6 \\
\hline 2629/14 2630/3 2630/9 2631/20 & 2867/5 2867/14 2871/24 2872/4 & load [1] 2826/16 \\
\hline 2633/1 2633/24 2634/13 2635/16 & liked [2] 2607/20 2722/25 & loaded [8] 2637/7 2817/17 2818/24 \\
\hline 2635/22 2645/19 2645/20 2645/21 & likely [4] 2776/18 2802/2 2856/6 & 819/22 2831/1 2831/7 2831/8 \\
\hline 2653/9 2653/15 2656/16 2656/21 & 28 & 2841/15 \\
\hline 2658/6 2658/24 2659/16 2660/1 & likes [3] 2607/22 2765/20 2869/1 & aded-gun [3] 2817/17 2818/24 \\
\hline 2660/14 2660/25 2662/18 2670/15 & Likewise [1] 2640/8 & 819/22 \\
\hline 2670/24 2674/8 2674/11 2676/23 & Lillengreen [1] 2844/2 & local [2] 2618/15 2751/1 \\
\hline 2678/25 2694/10 2695/14 2695/15 & limitations [1] 2861/23 & located [1] 2661/7 \\
\hline 2695/16 2695/19 2695/25 2696/5 & limited [10] 2600/23 2676/13 2686/20 & location [2] 2747/10 2751/1 \\
\hline 2696/7 2696/24 2697/11 2697/20 & 2686/20 2690/22 2743/20 2767/18 & locker [1] 2621/25 \\
\hline 2697/23 2712/21 2714/10 2785/3 & 2767/23 2767/25 2768/1 & log [12] 2630/14 2631/9 2632/18 \\
\hline 2792/18 2792/24 2795/9 2795/17 & limiting [1] 2767/18 & 632/19 2632/25 2633/25 2634/2 \\
\hline 2796/3 2798/11 2801/15 2802/16 & Linda [19] 2642/16 2642/17 2672/4 & 2635/15 2640/25 2649/11 2712/2 \\
\hline 2803/2 2803/7 2804/12 2804/21 & 2673/5 2674/6 2674/17 2674/19 & 2712/3 \\
\hline 2804/23 2805/7 2805/14 2805/15 & 2674/23 2676/1 2706/21 2707/7 & logs [2] 2631/19 2632/10 \\
\hline 2806/1 2810/25 2813/8 2832/8 & 2707/12 2722/25 2755/6 2755/10 & Lois [9] 2823/16 2834/7 2834/ \\
\hline 2832/25 2833/1 2834/8 2834/16 & 2755/13 2755/19 2774/21 2824/15 & 2835/18 2835/24 2841/13 2844/6 \\
\hline 2836/12 2836/16 2836/23 2836/25 & line [20] 2701/23 2701/24 2702/2 & 2859/23 2870/3 \\
\hline 2837/4 2837/17 2837/20 2838/1 & 2702/4 2702/4 2702/18 2702/19 & long [17] 2589/8 2596/20 2597/13 \\
\hline 2838/4 2839/16 2839/20 2839/22 & 2702/20 2702/21 2702/23 2703/3 & 639/15 2639/19 2654/13 2679/6 \\
\hline 2843/11 2843/25 2844/18 2846/25 & 2703/3 2703/5 2704/25 2705/4 & 2691/7 2696/11 2733/17 2741/10 \\
\hline 2847/3 2847/10 2847/14 2847/21 & 2758/14 2790/15 2794/16 2821/10 & 2754/24 2788/19 2797/10 2828/7 \\
\hline 2847/23 2848/2 2848/12 2850/11 & 2831/4 & 2860/7 2865/8 \\
\hline 2850/22 2851/7 2851/14 2851/17 & lines [1] 2704/24 & longer [3] 2607/25 2680/6 2838/3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L & 2616/18 2618/8 2618/9 2619/18 & 2824/22 2824/22 2840/25 2843/14 \\
\hline look [81] 2593/20 2606/23 2613/24 & 21/5 2624/14 2625/14 2633/19 & 857/16 2860/8 2869/11 2869/1 \\
\hline 2614/14 2621/16 2624/19 2629/22 & 2663/23 2689/20 2690/3 2691/5 & 2869/21 \\
\hline 2632/4 2638/11 2638/15 2641/15 & 2695/7 2696/3 2696/13 2711/9 & March [10] 2681/8 2681/10 268 \\
\hline 2659/14 2662/14 2669/16 2671/8 & 2732/13 2735/4 2739/1 & 2693/10 2792/20 2793/ \\
\hline 2677/25 2678/8 2679/10 2688/19 & 1/2 & 2800/6 2808/23 2815/9 \\
\hline 2691/18 2696/10 2699/1 2701/14 & 2791/19 2792/12 2833/2 2834/5 & March 10 \\
\hline 2704/20 2713/18 2719/18 2725/19 & 2837/7 2837/24 2837/2 & arch 10th [2] 2681/11 2792/20 \\
\hline 2737/23 2739/4 2739/18 2739/22 & 2842/2 2842/11 2842/22 2845/24 & March 2015 [1] 2808/23 \\
\hline 2740/3 2758/3 2769/22 2787/21 & 2846/18 2851/3 2852/2 2852/15 & March 5 [1] 27 \\
\hline 2799/7 2800/11 2800/12 2805/19 & 855/16 2855/22 2855/25 & March 6th [1] 2681/10 \\
\hline 2806/18 2807/13 2807/13 2808/22 & 2857/6 2857/20 2858/5 2858/6 & March of [1] 2793/14 \\
\hline 2810/23 2812/2 2812/13 2812/15 & 2860/14 2867/14 2871 & Marie [4] 2759/13 2759/15 2763/12 \\
\hline 2814/10 2815/2 2815/6 2816/2 2817/1 & Madeline [6] 2623/4 2624/25 2629/1 & 2763/13 \\
\hline 2817/1 2817/2 2817/18 2818/6 & 2729/9 2820/17 2842/3 & MARK [16] 2589/2 260 \\
\hline 2821/14 2822/2 2826/3 2829/20 & main [2] 2853/9 287 & \\
\hline 2830/4 2838/24 2843/1 2846/8 & majority [2] 2597/23 2815/22 & 2610/15 2610/17 26 \\
\hline 2849/21 2850/7 2850/7 2851/14 & make [91] 2594/12 2595/7 2596/8 & 2612/2 2612/5 2736/14 2737/21 \\
\hline 2852/16 2861/3 2866/1 2866/22 & 2598/22 2602/3 2604/16 2604/19 & 2764/5 \\
\hline 2866/24 2868/1 2868/2 2868/5 2868/5 & 2604/21 2604/23 2606/11 2606/23 & Mark Chase [1] 2736/ \\
\hline 2868/8 2868/14 2868/15 2871/24 & 2608/6 2609/5 2612/14 2614/4 & marked [2] 2647/17 2825/2 \\
\hline looked [18] 2605/19 2623/9 2625/10 & 2619/11 2623/25 2627/3 2630/6 & Markell [3] 2829/11 2829/16 \\
\hline 2625/17 2625/21 2630/14 2697/10 & 2643/17 2651/8 & Maryland [3] 2699/9 2699/16 2700/25 \\
\hline 2710/15 2726/21 2745/23 2746/20 & 2657/7 2667/25 & sive [1] 2807/8 \\
\hline 2749/5 2763/13 2786/10 2786/11 & 2671/19 2686/4 2686/18 2692/13 & match [1] 2873/16 \\
\hline 2786/15 2839/11 2860/8 & 697/4 2700/2 & material [9] 2647/18 \\
\hline looking [15] 2601/24 2608/18 2624/22 & 2716/23 2717/17 2722/19 & 2791/10 2793/23 2799/16 2802/25 \\
\hline 2708/23 2716/20 2750/4 2802/11 & 2729/23 2730/7 2736/9 2736/25 & 2872/2 2876/22 \\
\hline 2802/13 2807/18 2814/3 2815/25 & 2740/13 2740/15 2743/16 2750/2 & materially [3] 2708/11 2773/16 \\
\hline 2858/23 2858/24 2863/25 2872/8 & 2751/23 2753/13 2753/17 2754/6 & 278 \\
\hline looks [2] 2670/14 2846/6 & 2754/15 2755/15 2756/9 2760/15 & materials [17] 2661/4 2699/10 2700/9 \\
\hline looseleaf [1] 2699/6 & 2760/15 2761/2 2762/12 2763/4 & 2728/5 2749/25 2750/12 2786/9 \\
\hline lose [4] 2607/22 2722/14 2831/16 & 2763/5 2763/19 2763/22 2769/17 & 2798/12 2800/12 2801/14 2811/19 \\
\hline 2862/21 & 2769/24 2782/2 2789/15 2792/16 & 2821/19 2870/22 2871/8 2871/8 \\
\hline loses [1] & 2793/12 2796/14 2799/2 2800/12 & 2871/16 2872 \\
\hline lost [14] 2641/11 2646/23 2741/13 & 2803/15 2803/15 2811/20 2817/16 & math [2] 2762/14 27 \\
\hline 2761/23 2763/16 2763/18 2764/4 & 2818/20 2832/11 2832/21 2839/20 & matter [30] 2599/8 2599/10 2599/13 \\
\hline 2764/7 2777/13 2825/4 2833/19 & 2852/22 2855/15 2857/5 2860/3 & 2647/3 2647/4 2650/9 2651/7 2732/12 \\
\hline 2862/24 2863/9 2873/7 & 2861/19 2862/23 2863/11 2865/4 & 2756/12 2756/16 2756/17 2756/21 \\
\hline lot [43] 2604/4 2605/13 2605/24 & 2865/25 2874/17 2875/6 2875/6 & 2757/4 2757/12 2757/15 2773/8 \\
\hline 2606/19 2609/2 2612/12 2614/25 & make it [1] 2763/22 & 2773/13 2775/18 2782/13 2787/3 \\
\hline 2616/19 2618/22 2629/9 2630/19 & maker [1] 2724/5 & 2790/17 2827/24 2833/4 2842/21 \\
\hline 2638/23 2644/4 2644/6 2648/20 & makes [7] 2763/20 2768/7 2793/20 & 2847/2 2849/4 2853/2 2855/13 \\
\hline 2677/1 2738/12 2741/21 2742/2 & 2795/5 2804/19 2840/21 2857/2 & 2865/11 287 \\
\hline 2785/22 2785/23 2788/24 2789/17 & making [14] 2608/11 2618/3 2641/1 & matters [3] 2773/2 2773/22 2873/1 \\
\hline 2790/2 2791/11 2804/17 2806/17 & 2654/17 2694/14 2733/24 2758/3 & may [106] 2609/17 2610/2 2611/18 \\
\hline 2806/17 2813/16 2815/13 2816/1 & 2760/22 2848/22 2856/13 2859/11 & 2631/1 2631/13 2632/5 \\
\hline 2816/1 2816/10 2820/5 2820/19 & 2859/14 2860/18 2864/5 & 2633/8 2633/9 2635/15 2637/20 \\
\hline 2833/18 2843/8 2848/8 2849/10 & malicious [2] 2778/11 2778/13 & 2638/4 2638/9 2638/16 2640/16 \\
\hline 2853/6 2858/5 2859/10 2868/13 & man [6] 2606/21 2634/24 2635/5 & 2642/1 2642/4 2642/13 2643/19 \\
\hline loud [2] 2687/1 2747/8 & 2804/13 2841/17 2848/21 & 2645/3 2645/15 2647/11 2652/15 \\
\hline loudly [2] 2788/10 2788/19 & manage [2] 2597/25 2609/6 & 2659/20 2670/5 2672/22 2678/6 \\
\hline love [1] 2595/21 & Management [2] 2609/9 2611/1 & 2683/17 2688/21 2690/12 2694/25 \\
\hline lunch [14] 2731/8 2731/11 2734/1 & manager [1] 2607/11 & 2697/24 2698/2 2698/11 2700/13 \\
\hline 2752/25 2753/22 2753/24 2763/9 & managing [2] 2612/22 2627/8 & 2702/20 2702/25 2703/8 2705/21 \\
\hline 2764/17 2764/23 2833/3 2833/5 & manipulate [1] 2763/3 & 2710/9 2710/13 2711/20 2713/11 \\
\hline 2833/7 2833/10 2833/11 & manipulated [1] 2838/12 & 2713/12 2715/13 2715/17 2715/20 \\
\hline luncheon [1] 2705/19 & manipulates [1] 2838/13 & 2716/7 2716/8 2718/15 2722/23 \\
\hline lying [3] 2795/25 2820/14 2831/16 & manner [1] 2769/8 & 2725/6 2728/21 2729/17 2731/7 \\
\hline M & 2868/25 & 2745/11 2746/17 2750/9 2751/3 \\
\hline M-C-D-E-R-M-E-D & many [32] 2596/2 2596/4 2596/5 & 2751/4 2756/10 2759/17 2765/14 \\
\hline ma'am [2] 2747/4 2748/22 & 2598/9 2598/16 2598/18 2603/12 & 2765/15 2766/23 2766/25 2767/20 \\
\hline machete [3] 2621/16 2709/16 2840/2 & 2637/1 2637/8 2725/14 2729/7 & 2767/23 2768/2 2768/15 2768/25 \\
\hline made [63] 2596/14 2603/7 2603/19 & 2743/21 2760/18 2785/21 2787/12 & 2769/2 2769/5 2769/23 2777/21 \\
\hline 2604/22 2604/25 2606/7 2612/8 & 2795/11 2802/10 2809/5 2809/14 & 2778/3 2778/6 2778/10 2779/11 \\
\hline 2612/11 2612/17 2613/21 2616/7 & 2809/16 2810/17 2814/13 2816/23 & 2779/14 2779/16 2779/17 2779/19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


M
mistakes [5] 2840/21 2857/20 2858/5 2858/8 2858/9
mistreating [1] 2619/8
misuse [2] 2618/14 2778/23
mitigate [3] 2777/23 2777/23 2778/1
mitigated [1] 2778/2
mocking [2] 2842/14 2846/5
mode [1] 2844/5
modes [1] 2614/2
modified [3] 2720/25 2756/23 2757/6 Moffitt [4] 2607/12 2608/15 2614/5 2682/15
moment [22] 2600/2 2632/14 2647/6
2650/16 2662/14 2669/22 2689/5 2689/7 2702/1 2783/6 2799/15 2799/18 2804/17 2805/2 2817/15 2820/23 2822/13 2830/24 2837/14 2874/15 2874/18 2875/20
moments [3] 2712/17 2757/9 2816/16 money [6] 2655/25 2717/20 2777/7
2779/24 2780/8 2792/11
month [8] 2603/18 2719/10 2719/12
2720/2 2790/21 2815/8 2835/3 2857/3
months [15] 2611/6 2735/13 2791/14 2793/24 2798/6 2809/23 2811/1 2811/7 2811/11 2817/10 2818/19 2826/21 2835/8 2839/19 2868/4 moral [2] 2595/2 2786/18 more [40] 2596/5 2599/15 2606/25 2619/11 2623/22 2650/1 2652/21 2668/13 2692/14 2697/4 2697/8 2701/21 2702/9 2729/16 2729/18 2751/2 2751/20 2754/5 2754/14 2754/25 2766/6 2766/12 2768/5 2770/24 2779/16 2781/8 2785/1 2785/15 2798/10 2802/17 2804/22 2810/3 2811/9 2811/10 2812/15 2818/11 2832/22 2848/3 2856/25 2865/7
morning [18] 2591/22 2591/23 2592/6 2592/7 2642/16 2700/7 2700/8 2743/8 2753/9 2753/19 2803/21 2817/25 2828/5 2845/7 2874/2 2874/8 2876/3 2876/13
Morrow [49] 2608/3 2608/20 2621/20 2622/9 2622/15 2626/2 2628/11 2628/20 2628/22 2643/21 2654/3 2656/13 2658/5 2659/16 2660/2 2660/13 2660/25 2662/19 2669/17 2670/9 2670/12 2671/9 2671/11 2672/6 2711/22 2748/11 2748/15 2795/19 2795/20 2795/23 2796/2 2801/19 2801/24 2804/15 2805/13 2805/16 2811/2 2811/25 2813/8 2821/4 2826/5 2836/9 2841/24 2842/1 2842/4 2842/16 2842/25 2844/19 2845/19
Morrow's [9] 2628/24 2657/13 2668/3 2670/22 2677/3 2694/9 2723/15 2729/12 2826/24
mortified [1] 2840/4 most [18] 2597/4 2597/24 2603/5 2625/8 2627/8 2632/11 2638/11 2641/9 2695/16 2721/23 2806/18 2822/6 2837/15 2837/15 2837/18 2843/25 2871/19 2872/1
most-senior [1] 2843/25
mostly [2] 2616/2 2815/20
mother [3] 2596/22 2805/22 2805/23
mother-fuck [2] 2805/22 2805/23
motion [1] 2732/11
motivated [5] 2695/5 2847/4 2847/6
2855/15 2856/22
motivating [8] 2773/10 2773/18
2776/20 2787/4 2787/5 2787/8 2789/6 2856/8
motivation [2] 2623/23 2626/1
motive [1] 2801/1
motives [1] 2845/20
mountain [1] 2797/2
Mouse [5] 2594/17 2814/19 2839/2 2839/5 2839/12
move [25] 2593/6 2599/1 2601/24
2602/14 2603/4 2608/5 2623/2 2644/8 2664/6 2670/18 2671/14 2677/17 2703/13 2703/16 2706/13 2718/23 2743/23 2759/20 2820/15 2838/18 2844/15 2845/3 2851/11 2857/21 2861/19
moved [6] 2596/21 2596/24 2597/19 2598/23 2601/21 2607/4
movement [10] 2659/7 2663/9
2677/14 2757/15 2774/12 2774/20 2775/9 2797/22 2804/20 2864/8 movies [1] 2825/18
moving [2] 2622/25 2771/7
Mr [21] 2609/10 2663/23 2666/11 2679/7 2682/24 2695/25 2696/19 2700/6 2735/2 2749/4 2819/18 2842/25 2844/1 2845/20 2849/17 2855/10 2856/2 2859/8 2863/1 2863/2 2863/19
Mr. [317]
Mr. Boyd [2] 2610/24 2612/4
Mr. Cameron [3] 2834/9 2834/15 2843/5
Mr. Cleavenger [238]
Mr. Cleavenger's [31] 2619/9 2622/19 2623/23 2628/13 2629/23 2636/24 2645/16 2669/10 2674/2 2686/22 2689/2 2689/17 2691/1 2699/12 2711/9 2727/22 2743/17 2744/17 2750/20 2831/13 2839/25 2840/8 2843/4 2845/8 2852/23 2856/7 2856/15 2856/20 2859/17 2860/1 2862/24
Mr. Drake [2] 2839/17 2839/18
Mr. Gardner [2] 2692/22 2692/25
Mr. Hess [20] 2701/18 2701/19 2705/2 2706/1 2710/8 2710/19 2712/11 2713/14 2715/7 2715/14 2718/11 2718/13 2718/18 2720/6 2721/9 2722/4 2794/6 2799/23 2800/3 2812/4 Mr. Kafoury [6] 2755/23 2756/1 2838/20 2839/10 2863/13 2864/12
Mr. Kafoury's [1] 2844/24
Mr. Lebrecht [1] 2649/6
Mr. Lebrecht's [2] 2647/12 2649/2
Mr. Mertz [1] 2829/11
Mr. Park [2] 2679/11 2679/20
Mr. Park's [3] 2680/13 2682/8 2682/16
Mr. Tripp [1] \(2607 / 4\)
Mr. Wardlow [1] 2643/9

Mr. Williams [1] 2603/1
MS [7] 2591/21 2673/9 2706/12 2706/14 2744/13 2750/18 2795/1 Ms. [15] 2605/21 2606/2 2607/17 2608/10 2608/17 2608/19 2626/5 2646/14 2692/22 2693/11 2693/17 2693/18 2693/20 2842/13 2842/19 Ms. Boyd [6] 2605/21 2606/2 2607/17 2608/10 2608/17 2608/19
Ms. Commissiong [3] 2626/5 2842/13 2842/19
Ms. Harder [1] 2646/14
Ms. Perlow [5] 2692/22 2693/11 2693/17 2693/18 2693/20
much [17] 2622/18 2632/16 2650/1
2665/12 2706/25 2707/11 2719/11
2731/25 2759/23 2760/4 2760/25
2768/9 2799/14 2807/22 2815/7
2845/8 2852/24
municipal [1] 2770/15
must [33] 2640/20 2699/16 2746/11 2751/18 2765/13 2765/18 2765/20 2765/22 2765/25 2766/4 2766/6 2766/11 2767/16 2767/16 2767/19 2767/25 2768/19 2768/19 2768/23 2770/6 2771/5 2773/5 2776/13 2777/4 2777/15 2777/20 2779/2 2779/21 2780/19 2780/20 2782/5 2810/14 2869/18
my [257]
Myers [4] 2808/9 2810/6 2810/8 2868/16
myself [3] 2596/13 2603/21 2736/15
N
name [13] 2591/14 2591/16 2606/17 2607/11 2610/13 2639/15 2687/12 2734/17 2734/18 2734/19 2740/1 2748/13 2867/10
named [2] 2623/4 2696/12
names [2] 2803/14 2867/13
narrative [1] 2786/15
nation [1] 2618/15
national [2] 2725/15 2793/15
nationwide [1] 2613/7
nature [5] 2640/6 2664/7 2664/17
2664/25 2721/4
near [1] 2711/4
nearly [1] 2790/21
necessarily [3] 2739/24 2769/3 2769/15
necessary [4] 2644/19 2644/23 2671/1 2781/6
need [34] 2615/15 2621/4 2624/2 2627/5 2627/5 2628/7 2644/19 2646/7 2648/7 2689/11 2693/14 2694/5 2694/23 2699/15 2701/17 2701/18 2710/17 2710/18 2740/17 2752/17 2754/8 2757/16 2761/15 2762/3 2762/25 2763/2 2787/11 2815/24 2815/25 2830/12 2848/16 2850/7 2853/23 2875/5
needed [30] 2594/10 2595/1 2595/2 2595/20 2596/23 2624/12 2624/15 2633/22 2639/23 2643/5 2644/24 2646/5 2646/6 2653/24 2664/8 2664/24 2666/12 2667/2 2668/17
needed... [11] 2671/2 2693/5 2694/20 2697/9 2704/5 2779/24 2836/20 2853/13 2853/20 2861/25 2868/7 needs [10] 2640/7 2653/22 2673/22 2713/23 2808/24 2819/13 2840/19 2840/20 2861/23 2876/2
negative [4] 2608/7 2808/25 2811/2 2862/15
negligence [3] 2628/7 2640/6 2640/13
negotiate [1] 2640/11
negotiating [1] 2861/4
negotiation [1] 2689/24
negotiations [2] 2791/12 2866/12
neither [1] 2651/19
neutral [9] 2690/7 2690/17 2706/16 2709/4 2709/10 2728/5 2784/23 2794/23 2795/15
never [45] 2595/17 2604/2 2637/2 2637/8 2651/8 2712/20 2712/22 2713/3 2715/2 2727/5 2736/24 2737/2 2742/9 2743/1 2748/17 2748/19 2786/16 2786/17 2786/18 2794/1 2795/10 2803/10 2805/6 2805/7 2805/24 2810/18 2821/1 2831/18 2831/20 2831/20 2833/3 2833/7 2834/3 2834/11 2835/5 2835/18 2835/20 2837/6 2840/14 2852/17 2855/7 2863/4 2868/20 2872/19 2872/20
new [14] 2599/3 2602/2 2602/8 2613/8 2653/25 2679/14 2687/7 2792/23 2795/3 2821/15 2840/16 2853/10 2874/24 2875/23
news [2] 2809/19 2809/25
next [24] 2591/4 2614/6 2634/2 2634/10 2680/9 2711/14 2715/14 2715/14 2721/13 2762/3 2772/15 2795/1 2798/6 2798/21 2801/1 2801/5 2812/9 2817/24 2823/13 2868/4 2870/3 2870/22 2871/3 2873/1
nice [5] 2647/5 2650/2 2764/23 2814/17 2828/6
nicely [1] 2874/4
Nicol [5] 2736/16 2738/7 2740/24
2786/10 2829/11
Nicole [1] 2846/3
night [11] 2600/8 2733/20 2745/6
2754/13 2812/9 2817/23 2834/15
2849/15 2850/5 2869/15 2877/4
nightmare [2] 2825/13 2825/14
nightmares [1] 2825/18
nights [1] 2638/22
ninja [2] 2621/16 2840/1
nitpicking [1] 2816/2
Nix [2] 2810/6 2810/12
no [199]
nobody [12] 2721/25 2792/8 2792/14 2813/23 2824/22 2832/14 2833/10 2835/9 2840/3 2868/21 2869/1 2869/2
noise [3] 2747/8 2750/21 2818/9
nominal [4] 2777/18 2777/20 2777/20 2779/20
Noncommissioned [1] 2603/13
none [13] 2617/6 2695/24 2769/2
2788/8 2788/10 2793/16 2814/14
2851/6 2858/8 2858/9 2859/23 2866/4

2867/13
Noneconomic [1] 2779/22
nonenforcement [1] 2665/21
nonfitness [1] 2743/9
nonpatrol [1] 2643/1
nonpublic [1] 2665/23
nonrenewed [4] 2608/2 2608/5
2608/11 2858/7
nonsense [1] 2826/17
normally [1] 2826/7
not [397]
note [8] 2608/17 2719/22 2726/22 2732/23 2781/7 2781/25 2821/15 2822/18
noted [1] 2711/15
notepad [6] 2661/9 2719/8 2719/13 2719/14 2719/24 2720/4
notepads [1] 2720/1
notes [42] 2600/15 2600/23 2601/3
2647/12 2649/3 2649/5 2649/10 2649/11 2650/7 2650/24 2660/18 2660/20 2660/24 2661/3 2661/5 2661/9 2661/9 2661/17 2661/25 2662/4 2662/11 2662/14 2662/16 2662/20 2663/4 2719/3 2719/6 2719/10 2719/18 2719/20 2720/1 2720/4 2780/9 2780/10 2780/11 2780/12 2789/1 2789/2 2822/17 2834/13 2834/16 2871/17
nothing [20] 2603/22 2618/21 2650/10
2714/11 2714/19 2718/8 2718/9 2721/4 2801/6 2801/11 2811/3 2837/3 2841/9 2841/18 2842/12 2849/22 2854/20 2856/25 2860/14 2861/6 notice [17] 2621/3 2645/10 2645/24 2646/15 2646/19 2654/3 2672/25 2673/18 2675/21 2676/18 2688/1 2712/13 2725/1 2731/21 2786/12 2788/12 2859/7
noticed [2] 2624/21 2817/16 notification [2] 2620/24 2667/16 notify [3] 2794/2 2794/3 2794/4 notifying [1] 2873/21
November [2] 2611/14 2775/10 November 15 [1] 2611/14 now [139] 2591/25 2592/16 2606/2 2610/8 2617/3 2618/20 2619/16 2629/12 2645/24 2647/25 2649/15 2649/18 2651/16 2651/20 2652/2 2654/6 2655/14 2661/1 2671/8 2675/4 2679/24 2680/12 2681/4 2681/22 2683/9 2686/17 2688/19 2689/18 2691/21 2699/2 2699/19 2701/10 2702/6 2703/18 2704/6 2708/16 2710/8 2712/10 2716/14 2719/3 2720/1 2723/20 2724/22 2725/25 2730/14 2730/17 2731/11 2731/16 2731/21 2732/4 2732/17 2732/23 2735/14 2747/14 2751/6 2751/20 2752/15 2753/9 2753/13 2754/3 2757/19 2759/20 2761/6 2761/18 2761/25 2762/3 2763/9 2765/8 2772/7 2775/20 2779/24 2781/11 2782/10 2782/14 2783/18 2785/4 2785/16 2788/24 2789/12 2791/9 2792/8 2792/15 2793/2 2793/18 2794/15 2794/15 2798/9 2800/15 2801/18

2802/5 2803/6 2803/24 2804/7 2805/17 2809/17 2811/6 2811/23 2814/4 2816/1 2816/20 2816/21
2819/6 2821/12 2822/24 2823/6 2824/2 2825/20 2827/15 2829/18 2831/16 2832/2 2836/1 2843/3 2845/7 2846/20 2848/3 2849/3 2849/7 2851/11 2852/8 2852/24 2853/6 2854/8 2855/23 2858/5 2858/16 2860/15 2861/13 2862/22 2866/10 2866/16 2866/22 2872/21 2873/5 2873/25 2874/18 2874/19 2875/22 2876/25
number [32] 2602/16 2615/3 2633/10 2634/7 2678/8 2708/3 2709/13 2723/23 2752/11 2760/18 2769/4 2769/15 2784/9 2785/12 2786/7 2789/9 2789/10 2789/12 2791/17 2792/8 2794/22 2819/1 2820/17 2822/4 2830/9 2830/21 2866/1 2867/8 2872/11 2874/3 2874/5 2874/6 numbered [1] 2681/2
numbers [2] 2850/9 2850/13
numerically [1] 2781/22
numerous [9] 2716/1 2716/2 2801/9 2802/5 2840/23 2840/24 2841/1 2849/10 2854/9
nurse [1] 2763/2
nutshell [1] 2808/3

\section*{0}

O's [1] 2680/9
oath [3] 2765/23 2831/15 2831/16 object [9] 2631/25 2649/4 2649/7 2681/14 2738/17 2741/19 2742/15 2767/10 2808/15
objected [1] 2768/15
objecting [1] 2647/13
objection [32] 2599/7 2599/12 2599/20 2599/21 2601/1 2601/18 2638/6 2642/10 2647/14 2649/15 2661/23 2662/3 2662/24 2663/12 2664/19 2669/24 2683/24 2684/3 2684/17 2684/18 2688/16 2698/3 2730/20 2746/2 2746/5 2746/7 2746/13 2757/11 2767/12 2768/15 2768/17 2768/19
objections [3] 2754/12 2754/13 2767/9
objective [1] 2695/20
obligation [12] 2694/2 2702/10
2703/24 2727/7 2799/11 2836/17
2851/25 2862/2 2862/3 2862/4 2862/13 2862/17
obligations [2] 2696/16 2699/8
observe [1] 2800/25
observed [1] 2639/5
obtain [3] 2632/20 2711/4 2740/5
obvious [4] 2787/24 2791/23 2792/7 2817/18
obviously [9] 2636/10 2785/11 2788/22 2796/7 2804/18 2823/24 2824/11 2825/15 2870/4
occasion [2] 2600/1 2758/10
occasions [3] 2801/9 2837/8 2854/15
occupants [1] 2625/24
Occupy [16] 2659/7 2663/9 2677/14

Occupy... [13] 2757/14 2774/12
2774/20 2775/9 2804/16 2832/13 2832/19 2833/4 2833/5 2833/8 2833/11 2833/14 2864/7
occur [3] 2711/3 2847/17 2858/24
occurred [8] 2636/13 2652/14 2732/19 2773/21 2775/17 2860/5 2860/5 2860/19
occurring [4] 2654/15 2666/25 2667/2 2704/3
October [18] 2673/15 2675/5 2675/15 2723/17 2759/23 2761/8 2774/13 2774/21 2775/2 2811/4 2824/10 2824/13 2835/7 2835/16 2855/9 2858/17 2858/25 2859/24
October 1 [4] 2673/15 2723/17 2835/7 2858/17
October 12 [1] 2774/21
October 13th [1] 2761/8
October 1st [2] 2835/16 2858/25
October 2 [2] 2675/15 2774/13
October 2013 [1] 2759/23
October 25th [1] 2855/9
October 2nd [3] 2675/5 2824/10 2824/13
odd [1] 2621/14
off [26] 2593/25 2594/3 2596/11 2624/22 2636/19 2644/24 2646/17 2666/22 2667/1 2667/9 2689/6 2689/7 2704/13 2721/22 2755/16 2763/1 2802/4 2816/14 2819/23 2835/23 2850/3 2851/2 2861/10 2867/7 2867/11 2874/5
offensive [2] 2832/4 2832/12 offer [16] 2611/15 2635/7 2641/11 2649/21 2657/3 2657/7 2663/17 2663/21 2663/23 2664/2 2673/19 2730/18 2746/1 2835/21 2835/25 2846/11
offered [2] 2650/5 2768/13
offers [5] 2609/24 2631/23 2638/5 2642/9 2663/11
offguard [1] 2647/16
office [18] 2612/15 2621/21 2623/7
2657/23 2661/8 2671/16 2690/1 2691/13 2691/14 2692/3 2699/5 2699/14 2762/2 2813/23 2832/9 2832/10 2837/23 2843/17
officer [140] 2593/20 2595/17 2595/22 2596/6 2596/14 2597/4 2597/7 2597/8 2597/9 2597/19 2605/6 2611/23 2616/6 2621/23 2621/25 2624/21 2625/21 2627/4 2627/13 2630/19 2633/16 2633/20 2634/19 2634/19 2636/3 2636/12 2636/13 2636/15 2636/16 2636/18 2638/20 2641/5 2642/19 2646/11 2652/22 2653/5 2656/7 2664/24 2665/23 2666/1 2666/9 2666/9 2669/11 2669/13 2669/13 2677/7 2678/25 2680/4 2680/25 2687/22 2693/24 2694/15 2717/4 2717/13 2729/7 2730/10 2730/11 2735/16 2736/15 2738/7 2739/5 2740/10 2740/24 2741/14 2741/16 2741/17 2742/24 2744/15 2744/16 2746/19 2747/6 2747/24

2748/21 2771/12 2771/15 2771/16 2771/18 2790/14 2799/1 2806/19 2806/21 2807/5 2807/14 2808/11 2809/15 2809/16 2810/6 2811/2 2815/10 2816/4 2816/21 2817/11 2818/2 2821/8 2822/1 2822/4 2823/4 2829/8 2829/14 2829/18 2830/3 2830/4 2830/16 2831/12 2831/15 2831/16 2833/12 2833/15 2833/15 2833/20 2833/24 2837/2 2837/9 2837/12 2837/20 2839/3 2843/16 2843/19 2844/2 2844/2 2846/12 2850/7 2850/23 2850/24 2851/4 2854/1 2854/6 2857/21 2861/2 2861/9 2861/23 2862/5 2863/4 2863/5 2863/11 2866/4 2868/14 2868/15 2868/16 2869/2
Officer Cleavenger [2] 2741/14 2747/24
Officer Kent Abbott [1] 2807/14 Officer LeRoy [1] 2831/15 Officer Nix [1] 2810/6
Officer Phillips [4] 2833/12 2833/15 2843/16 2843/19
officer's [8] 2654/14 2658/20 2666/5 2847/20 2854/4 2859/6 2859/19 2864/6
officer-initiated [1] 2815/10 officers [95] 2593/8 2593/23 2593/24 2594/20 2594/20 2596/2 2598/9 2598/11 2603/11 2603/13 2603/13 2609/5 2609/15 2610/19 2610/19 2610/20 2611/1 2615/11 2615/20 2616/15 2618/21 2619/6 2620/2 2620/4 2620/8 2620/19 2624/11 2626/11 2630/6 2634/20 2636/4 2636/4 2637/3 2639/23 2656/2 2656/3 2659/6 2666/23 2666/25 2677/14 2691/7 2691/12 2691/24 2694/23 2700/13 2703/25 2736/3 2736/5 2736/13 2736/17 2742/6 2774/3 2774/8 2774/15 2774/23 2775/4 2775/12 2776/3 2785/15 2788/12 2790/16 2798/2 2798/11 2802/10 2802/12 2806/17 2806/18 2807/23 2807/24 2809/15 2810/21 2811/13 2821/4 2824/23 2827/2 2827/17 2832/1 2832/16 2839/24 2841/18 2843/7 2843/25 2845/11 2846/14 2849/16 2849/19 2853/11 2853/14 2858/7 2860/10 2862/17 2866/2 2866/8 2867/15 2867/16
officers' [2] 2662/21 2747/9
offices [1] 2759/14
official [3] 2770/14 2773/7 2878/15
officially [1] 2614/7
often [2] 2610/23 2620/12
oftentimes [1] 2669/15
oh [32] 2598/13 2603/9 2619/20 2633/10 2646/6 2647/16 2672/19 2709/21 2712/7 2712/24 2720/17 2720/19 2731/10 2733/1 2742/12 2787/23 2792/19 2794/11 2795/10 2795/25 2796/21 2797/24 2802/16 2810/17 2815/4 2819/22 2823/21 2835/19 2865/9 2867/15 2867/24 2870/15
okay [114] 2608/10 2610/8 2619/25 2624/24 2631/22 2632/22 2637/6 2638/17 2642/2 2643/19 2645/18 2648/9 2648/17 2648/25 2649/2 2653/20 2662/9 2666/17 2671/8 2672/19 2675/4 2678/8 2681/2 2682/23 2684/3 2685/3 2687/14 2687/18 2688/15 2689/9 2690/15 2699/5 2700/1 2700/12 2700/15 2701/4 2701/19 2703/6 2703/16 2705/4 2705/4 2707/7 2707/14 2707/18 2708/8 2710/6 2710/23 2711/14 2712/1 2714/3 2714/15 2716/8 2717/1 2718/21 2719/16 2720/19 2720/22 2720/24 2721/6 2728/21 2729/6 2732/18 2733/18 2734/15 2735/6 2735/7 2735/24 2736/9 2737/5 2737/6 2737/20 2738/6 2739/14 2740/25 2742/23 2744/9 2745/14 2749/8 2751/2 2752/6 2753/23 2754/6 2754/20 2756/3 2756/13 2759/10 2759/12 2760/5 2762/6 2762/8 2762/14 2762/22 2762/23 2763/2 2763/8 2764/12 2764/17 2782/12 2783/14 2783/18 2783/21 2783/22 2791/17 2795/12 2807/14 2808/20 2814/8 2818/7 2820/8 2824/2 2828/21 2849/3 2874/9 2874/23
old [5] 2596/16 2596/17 2806/12 2832/19 2842/6
old-school [1] 2806/12
Olympic [8] 2655/11 2655/15 2655/20 2656/12 2715/4 2715/20 2716/14 2718/15
Olympics [1] 2823/5
once [13] 2591/14 2702/9 2711/4 2727/5 2733/23 2757/6 2785/7 2786/4 2824/21 2839/10 2842/22 2871/24 2874/19
one [143] 2596/10 2597/9 2598/2 2598/19 2600/17 2600/19 2601/15 2607/10 2607/22 2614/5 2616/9 2616/11 2616/12 2618/16 2619/3 2621/9 2624/4 2624/9 2624/10 2629/7 2633/17 2633/18 2633/22 2637/1 2642/6 2642/6 2642/7 2645/21 2646/21 2647/6 2647/17 2647/17 2648/24 2649/13 2650/9 2653/25 2654/20 2655/6 2666/8 2671/9 2679/1 2701/22 2704/12 2706/2 2709/18 2709/18 2710/3 2711/14 2712/16 2713/18 2713/21 2713/25 2715/18 2715/23 2715/25 2716/20 2722/5 2723/1 2724/1 2724/7 2726/9 2726/13 2726/13 2728/7 2729/18 2731/3 2732/2 2743/10 2747/22 2753/7 2753/9 2753/11 2753/14 2754/5 2755/3 2755/3 2755/23 2758/20 2765/4 2765/4 2768/5 2770/24 2777/21 2779/16 2780/15 2781/8 2782/3 2782/10 2784/10 2785/12 2786/3 2787/12 2787/14 2787/14 2788/2 2788/20 2789/10 2791/17 2796/19 2798/1 2798/23 2802/11 2802/13 2802/19 2804/12 2806/6 2807/7 2807/7 2809/6 2809/24 2815/1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & 2736/22 2750/2 2756/14 2756/17 & 2628/25 2628/25 2634/20 2634/20 \\
\hline one... [32] 2816/23 2817/11 2817/15 & 2757/15 2770/5 2771/1 2773/3 & \[
263
\] \\
\hline 2820/17 2820/18 2821/15 2825/24 & 2776/12 2779/12 2823/3 282 & 2667/15 271 \\
\hline 2827/6 2827/6 2832/6 2832/16 & 15 2823/17 2823/24 282 & 789/2 \\
\hline 2834/23 2835/9 2839/3 2843/9 2848/7 & 2851/22 2855/19 2870/14 2870/20 & 2790/11 2790/15 2790/18 \\
\hline 2848/15 2849/17 2853/9 2857/7 & 287 & 2793/10 2797/6 2802/19 2815/23 \\
\hline 2860/2 2862/14 2863/6 2865/7 2866/1 & ordered [9] 2677/9 2730/10 27 & 815/24 2823/22 2852/12 2865/3 \\
\hline 2867/8 2869/13 2869/14 2871/19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2768/21 2823/11 2835/25 2836/3 } \\
& \text { 2871/23 2876/12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
ours [2] 2754/18 2754/21 \\
out [129] 2597/18 2597/24 2607/4
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & Ordering [1] & 2609/13 2609/13 2609/13 2610/5 \\
\hline & orders [2] 2604/3 2605/3 & 2613/14 2624/25 2633/21 2636/23 \\
\hline ones [2] 2788 & ordinance [1] 2770/15 & 2639/19 2640/3 2646/4 2646/10 \\
\hline ongoing [1] & ordinary [2] 2780/6 2780 & 2646/13 2648/1 2651/17 2651/19 \\
\hline online [2] 2 & OREGON [57] 2588/2 2588 & 2665/8 2665/17 2 \\
\hline only [49] 2594/20 2595/10 2632/19 & 2595/15 2595/15 2596/24 2598/4 & 2669/14 2676/21 2677/11 2683/21 \\
\hline 2639/12 2686/14 2708/18 2714/21 & 2598/17 2598/24 2599/1 2599/4 & 2687/1 2693/1 2693/ \\
\hline 2719/2 2721/1 2721/18 2724/5 2729/9 & 2600/20 2601/22 2602/15 2608/23 & 2694/21 2699/23 2701/7 2702 \\
\hline 2740/2 2745/6 2751/22 2766/23 & 2610/13 2610/16 2611/6 2611/22 & 2704/2 2704/4 2705/1 2709/5 2709/9 \\
\hline 2767/17 2767/24 2767/25 2778/10 & 2611/23 2612/6 2612/19 2613 & 2709/14 2709/17 2714/3 2717/6 \\
\hline 2779/20 2780/11 2780/20 2781/2 & 2614/8 2618/6 2650/21 2655/10 & 2721/17 2721/18 2723/6 2732/20 \\
\hline 2781/14 2781/17 2782/13 2787/9 & 2678/16 2705/16 2737/4 2737/8 & 2733/4 2736/19 2736/22 2746/4 \\
\hline 2787/11 2787/15 2788/24 2789/11 & 2737/14 2737/18 2737/25 2738 & 2752/14 2753/25 2 \\
\hline 2796/16 2808/11 2820/15 2824/25 & 2738/15 2738/18 2739/12 2739/17 & 2763/22 2764/2 2766/1 2774/17 \\
\hline 2825/24 2827/14 2849/17 2851/9 & 2741/11 2744/18 2746/21 2747/12 & 2774/25 2775/6 2775/14 2781/18 \\
\hline 2864/2 2865/24 2866/5 2869/16 & 2747/15 2748/4 2748/21 2771/ & 2783/8 2789 \\
\hline 2870/18 2871/19 2872/24 2 & 2776/2 2776/22 2786/11 2807/8 & 2792/24 2793/1 2793/19 2794 \\
\hline 2873/6 & 2815/20 2854/21 2855/8 2860/12 & 2795/19 2795/22 2796/17 2799/7 \\
\hline open [7] & 2860/22 2878/16 & 2800/5 2800/7 2805/18 2805/25 \\
\hline 2781/17 2802/2 & organization [5] 2790/3 2790/6 & 2806/2 2807/24 2808/23 \\
\hline opened [1] 2749/8 & 28 & 2813/23 2814/20 2815/16 2815/25 \\
\hline opening [11] 2685/ & org & \\
\hline 2767/4 2790/4 2796/25 2798 & original [1] 2878/11 & 2820/5 2822/11 2823 \\
\hline 2798/23 2827/2 2838/21 2843/3 & ORS [1] 282 & 2826/13 282 \\
\hline opens [2] 2685 & ORS statute [1] 2821/9 & 2835/4 2837/10 2837/22 2841/6 \\
\hline & other [98] 2592/24 2594/ & 2843/18 2845/14 284 \\
\hline operating [2] 2731/18 2731/21 & 2602/6 2605/25 2606/15 2609/8 & 2849/14 2850/19 2850/22 2851/13 \\
\hline & 2614/2 2614/15 2619/3 2625/20 & 2853/10 2853/14 2860/2 2860/20 \\
\hline & 2627/19 2634/20 2637/8 2639/23 & 2861/11 2861/15 2864/8 2864/14 \\
\hline & 2644/12 2649/18 2649/20 2650/9 & 2866/12 2868/6 2868/22 2869/6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { op } \\
& \text { op }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2650/16 2651/13 2655/24 2656/9 & 2869/15 2872/3 2872/7 2872/1 \\
\hline \[
2662 / 152664 / 15 \text { 2667/21 2681/18 }
\] & 2659/6 2667/7 2687/21 2689/6 & 2876/20 \\
\hline 2698/20 2708/13 2708/17 2708/18 & 2704/12 2720/4 2726/17 2732/21 & outcome [5] 2644/1 2738/14 2740/6 \\
\hline 2743/10 2743/11 2743/22 2750/1 & 2747/9 2748/8 2753 & 2740/19 2769/9 \\
\hline 2750/2 2750/7 2765/15 2780/23 & 2754/14 2755/23 2758/17 2760/ & outdoor [2] 2825/9 2825/ \\
\hline 2795/5 2827/24 2839/9 2839 & 1/16 2767/5 2768/1 2768/13 & outgoing [1] 2825 \\
\hline 2844/9 2845/24 2852/24 2865/12 & 0 2769/ & ine [2] \\
\hline 2871/4 2872/10 2874/1 2874/12 & 2774/17 2774/25 2775/6 2775/1 & outside [8] \\
\hline opinions [8] 2617/10 2647/4 2713/6 & 2779/13 2780/22 2780/25 2782/22 & 2672/5 2785/20 2800/10 2804/14 \\
\hline 2715/21 2715/23 2715/25 2765/21 & 2802/3 2801/9 2801/7 2801/6 & outstanding \\
\hline 2871/7 & 2802/10 2802/11 2807/7 2809/13 & over [63] 2592/16 2592/18 2603/1 \\
\hline op & 2810/2 2815/15 2816/23 2831/13 & 2612/21 2613/16 2614/11 2620/1 \\
\hline & 2831/19 2831/22 2832/1 2835/11 & 2621/11 2636/25 2647/21 2647/23 \\
\hline Or & 2837/5 2838/16 2840/15 2841/11 & 2648/6 2652/4 2666/18 2677/11 \\
\hline 2714/25 2735/6 2769/6 2788/20 & 2845/16 2847/17 2847/21 2848/2 & 2703/12 2703/13 2709/14 2723/24 \\
\hline & 2848/25 2857/22 2857/24 2859/17 & 2728/14 2733/20 2733/23 2758/9 \\
\hline & 2859/21 2860/15 2862/14 2863/18 & 2760/22 2780/16 2782/12 2784/24 \\
\hline & 2864/14 2864/18 2869/15 2869/17 & 2785/14 2786/10 2788/10 2789/13 \\
\hline  & 2870/16 2870/18 & 2792/22 2799/19 2810/20 2812/11 \\
\hline optimistic [1] 2665/10 & others [11] 2626/18 2708/17 2711/22 & 2817/3 2819/19 2820/12 2822/7 \\
\hline & 2724/3 2732/25 2766/1 2766/1 & 2826/15 2828/8 2835/2 2838 \\
\hline options [9] 2629/9 2637/8 2644/6 & 2779/17 2801/1 2837/7 2863/15 & 842/22 2843/12 2845/22 2846/13 \\
\hline 2644/12 2680/24 2684/15 2707/18 & otherwise [4] 2694/17 2766/16 & 2857/2 2861/13 2861/25 \\
\hline 2819/2 & 2778/21 2781/23 & 2862/3 2862/9 2862/18 2864/14 \\
\hline & our [39] 2607/14 2611/3 2614/23 & 2864/23 2869/1 2869/3 2870/18 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
oraly [2] \\
order [28] \(2703 / 17\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
2615/11 2616/22 2618/7 2618/15 \\
2618/16 2626/11 2627/6 2627/8
\end{tabular} & 2871/8 2873/2 2874/17 2875/23 \\
\hline 2721/6 2721/17 2729/3 2731/6 & & overail [1] \(2613 / 2\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
overcharging [1] 2822/20
overly [1] 2780/12
overruled [8] 2601/1 2601/18 2649/16 2663/1 2684/18 2685/24 2741/23 2768/15
oversee [2] 2655/6 2844/1 oversight [1] 2623/1
overtime [5] 2655/20 2655/24 2717/20 2717/22 2717/23
overturned [1] 2844/25 overusing [2] 2622/1 2709/17 overwhelming [1] 2723/10 own [21] 2596/9 2604/21 2622/15 2627/6 2709/23 2736/19 2736/22 2741/2 2780/11 2781/2 2799/24 2800/12 2817/9 2821/9 2824/8 2853/21 2856/16 2860/1 2862/10 2870/23 2871/1

\section*{P}
P.C [1] 2589/8
p.m [2] 2638/16 2643/19
pace [1] 2602/1
pack [2] 2826/11 2841/20
packet [3] 2697/10 2697/13 2855/12 pad [3] 2661/8 2661/13 2662/11 page [41] 2632/10 2632/13 2633/3 2642/3 2662/2 2671/8 2673/14 2679/11 2688/23 2699/6 2699/9 2701/22 2701/23 2701/24 2703/3 2703/3 2704/20 2704/23 2705/4 2705/4 2715/14 2715/15 2715/18 2721/13 2722/4 2747/5 2756/25 2756/25 2757/20 2757/21 2757/24 2759/17 2759/18 2759/19 2801/11 2801/19 2802/19 2820/25 2832/6 2866/19 2868/24
page 1 [4] 2722/4 2757/20 2757/21 2757/24
Page 194 [1] 2701/24
page 197 [1] 2703/3
page 2 [4] 2688/23 2756/25 2759/18 2759/19
page 3 [3] 2747/5 2756/25 2759/17
page 331[4] 2704/20 2704/23 2705/4 2705/4
Page 94 [1] 2701/23
page of [3] 2632/13 2671/8 2679/11
pages [14] 2677/25 2701/21 2705/1
2760/18 2786/2 2792/1 2793/23 2795/11 2795/13 2799/15 2800/4 2802/15 2804/11 2872/4
paid [1] 2858/8
pain [2] 2777/10 2779/23
pained [1] 2807/15
pair [2] 2717/9 2717/12
paired [2] 2656/1 2656/7
paper [13] 2620/15 2661/8 2661/13
2662/11 2738/12 2747/21 2784/21
2795/10 2816/25 2817/1 2824/5
2868/6 2868/7
paragraph [6] 2679/24 2699/2 2699/4 2699/19 2710/20 2710/20
paramilitary [4] 2790/2 2790/6 2806/13 2815/24
Pardon [2] 2599/9 2762/15

Park [21] 2678/11 2678/15 2678/17 2678/20 2679/7 2679/11 2679/20 2681/10 2681/22 2682/3 2683/10 2687/13 2690/2 2706/9 2706/22 2711/21 2711/23 2726/1 2794/19 2831/19 2860/22
Park's [5] 2680/13 2681/5 2681/19 2682/8 2682/16
parked [1] 2850/8
parking [16] 2622/1 2638/23 2644/20 2665/22 2666/22 2709/17 2720/25 2726/24 2792/12 2792/12 2797/17 2816/10 2818/11 2820/5 2822/12 2833/18
part [35] 2597/24 2599/21 2600/18 2603/24 2622/7 2623/24 2630/5 2633/18 2650/7 2656/11 2674/6 2683/1 2686/14 2689/15 2690/6 2690/18 2712/22 2715/6 2715/6 2721/23 2723/21 2728/15 2739/18 2769/2 2773/7 2781/12 2826/22 2831/3 2836/9 2844/13 2846/20 2847/5 2847/6 2855/15 2860/11 participate [1] 2792/20 participating [1] 2671/21 particular [11] 2604/24 2629/3 2634/3 2637/1 2676/24 2770/20 2770/24 2770/25 2771/3 2772/21 2776/7
particularly [2] 2603/21 2779/9 parties [20] 2591/3 2652/11 2729/21 2730/1 2730/9 2732/7 2733/4 2733/17 2733/22 2753/2 2754/8 2765/2 2766/17 2770/16 2771/10 2779/11 2781/18 2784/5 2828/16 2865/19 parties' [1] 2754/12
parts [3] 2621/22 2860/20 2876/24
party [12] 2672/18 2701/25 2761/16
2766/3 2766/7 2766/10 2766/14
2770/4 2777/2 2779/5 2825/7 2827/23
pass [2] 2726/24 2797/17
passenger [1] 2625/19
passes [1] 2792/12
passion [2] 2597/11 2604/12
passionate [1] 2860/16
past [6] 2617/17 2633/19 2639/20 2709/11 2732/20 2747/9
patient [1] 2769/23
patrol [13] 2596/11 2597/4 2597/9
2597/23 2621/13 2630/3 2637/7 2644/19 2680/4 2736/6 2736/18 2736/18 2736/23
patrons [2] 2609/8 2611/1
pattern [4] 2617/19 2629/23 2630/2 2808/21
Patty [5] 2691/21 2704/5 2705/18 2705/18 2727/11
Patty Perlow [1] 2727/11
Patty Perlow spoke [1] 2705/18
pay [4] 2672/25 2690/24 2779/25 2829/2
pedestrian [1] 2599/5
pending [3] 2747/25 2755/3 2786/12
people [112] 2592/20 2592/24 2593/5 2593/14 2593/15 2594/1 2594/12 2594/21 2595/5 2595/9 2596/13 2597/12 2603/20 2604/5 2604/11 2604/11 2604/12 2604/12 2606/4

2609/6 2610/23 2614/1 2614/4 2614/21 2615/7 2615/12 2615/18 2616/20 2621/1 2621/11 2624/9 2627/8 2630/20 2636/7 2638/22 2666/8 2666/11 2680/2 2700/22 2707/14 2708/6 2708/20 2721/4 2726/3 2739/16 2748/8 2749/22 2774/12 2774/19 2775/8 2779/10 2782/13 2785/15 2785/21 2790/16 2790/17 2791/2 2792/11 2792/12 2792/13 2793/10 2796/23 2797/22 2797/25 2799/7 2802/9 2802/12 2803/16 2806/16 2807/1 2808/1 2808/2 2808/7 2809/9 2809/13 2814/6 2814/25 2815/23 2815/25 2816/17 2817/20 2817/24 2820/18 2820/19 2821/8 2824/25 2825/23 2826/7 2826/9 2826/9 2826/13 2835/17 2840/15 2840/18 2843/8 2845/11 2845/16 2849/10 2850/3 2857/22 2863/18 2863/21 2864/7 2866/5 2866/6 2866/7 2866/8 2868/9 2868/12 2868/13 2872/16 2873/6
people's [1] 2785/14
pepper [2] 2618/21 2816/11
perceived [1] 2778/18
percent [3] 2596/12 2624/5 2804/20
perception [1] 2601/25
perform [3] 2669/11 2687/22 2843/13
performance [24] 2620/11 2629/12
2629/14 2630/5 2630/10 2649/12 2656/17 2656/22 2670/15 2670/25 2671/15 2674/7 2674/11 2676/24 2694/10 2695/17 2711/10 2718/3 2770/14 2786/17 2836/13 2856/11 2856/23 2857/7
performing [2] 2622/25 2630/6
perhaps [8] 2629/24 2636/10 2671/5
2672/3 2688/2 2711/22 2852/25 2853/2
period [8] 2597/5 2628/10 2644/7 2788/2 2815/8 2815/9 2822/3 2857/3
Perlow [11] 2691/21 2692/22 2693/11 2693/17 2693/18 2693/20 2694/18 2704/5 2705/18 2705/18 2727/11 permission [25] 2609/16 2610/1 2611/17 2630/23 2637/15 2638/8 2639/2 2642/12 2661/20 2669/18 2670/4 2672/11 2672/21 2677/19 2678/4 2688/20 2690/10 2698/10 2701/25 2702/16 2715/12 2736/7 2736/15 2736/23 2746/16
permit [3] 2638/25 2819/1 2820/9
permitted [1] 2768/14
person [37] 2592/4 2604/11 2608/1 2610/22 2616/4 2616/5 2616/11 2628/22 2635/16 2637/6 2728/5 2731/5 2731/6 2750/2 2770/1 2770/13 2770/13 2780/5 2780/16 2787/14 2794/2 2803/2 2803/3 2806/19 2814/15 2820/18 2831/18 2832/6 2836/1 2837/19 2843/9 2861/14 2862/14 2862/16 2870/24 2871/20 2872/1
personal [7] 2650/17 2651/4 2651/16 2651/17 2765/20 2845/9 2845/10 personality [1] 2804/2
personally [8] 2595/14 2714/8 2740/2 2768/4 2791/21 2810/12 2810/13 2862/8
personified [1] 2808/4
personnel [1] 2671/13
persons [1] 2770/1
perspective [1] 2686/24
persuaded [2] 2766/5 2766/12
persuades [1] 2780/24
Pete [2] 2698/21 2699/11
Pete Deshpande [1] 2699/11
Phillips [6] 2818/10 2833/12 2833/15 2839/24 2843/16 2843/19
philosophical [1] 2807/22
philosophy [5] 2806/9 2806/20 2806/21 2806/24 2806/25
phone [12] 2616/2 2731/18 2731/21
2731/24 2739/16 2758/10 2831/20 2833/19 2841/20 2845/22 2848/22 2867/11
phrase [4] 2764/14 2805/24 2826/11 2835/14
physical [3] 2617/6 2617/12 2832/4 picked [2] 2871/2 2872/3
picking [5] 2619/9 2806/7 2840/21 2868/10 2868/11
picture [6] 2789/19 2789/21 2790/13 2806/6 2816/23 2866/1
piece [6] 2730/4 2730/14 2747/20 2795/10 2817/4 2824/5
place [13] 2619/5 2687/5 2687/6 2765/4 2773/20 2782/3 2789/9 2804/12 2815/18 2826/3 2826/11 2840/19 2861/21
placeholder [1] 2732/10 plaintiff [67] 2588/5 2589/2 2686/18 2733/25 2734/10 2751/14 2751/16 2756/14 2757/8 2758/23 2769/25 2770/6 2770/9 2770/18 2770/19 2770/22 2770/23 2771/3 2771/5 2771/10 2771/19 2772/2 2772/9 2772/12 2772/16 2772/18 2772/19 2772/21 2772/23 2773/5 2773/6 2773/9 2773/20 2775/21 2775/24 2775/25 2776/5 2776/6 2776/8 2776/13 2776/15 2776/17 2777/4 2777/5 2777/8 2777/18 2777/19 2777/22 2777/25 2778/3 2778/7 2778/7 2778/11 2778/14 2778/21 2778/25 2779/9 2779/13 2779/16 2779/20 2782/17 2783/2 2847/14 2853/17 2860/21 2865/5 2875/20 plaintiff's [21] 2590/9 2645/6 2758/12 2771/7 2771/17 2772/3 2772/4 2772/7 2773/10 2773/12 2775/20 2776/19 2776/21 2777/4 2778/12 2778/15 2778/17 2778/19 2784/13 2846/20 2865/23
plan [12] 2653/18 2657/1 2657/4 2665/10 2687/4 2687/7 2687/19
2687/19 2823/22 2843/22 2844/11 2861/21
planted [1] 2809/12
plate [1] 2833/13
play [15] 2636/23 2694/1 2701/25 2702/16 2702/18 2702/25 2703/1

2703/4 2703/7 2703/11 2704/6 2704/9 2705/21 2858/25 2859/3
playbook [1] 2826/7
played [5] 2676/25 2703/2 2704/11 2814/4 2851/7
pleasant [1] 2624/10
please [32] 2591/4 2591/7 2591/13
2591/15 2601/2 2604/1 2606/22
2640/14 2652/8 2652/11 2652/12
2689/13 2710/8 2715/15 2718/18 2720/6 2734/3 2734/13 2734/18
2752/11 2765/1 2765/7 2769/23
2784/11 2794/6 2798/3 2823/10
2823/14 2827/23 2828/1 2865/11
2874/11
pleasure [1] 2764/17
plenty [1] 2753/24
plow [1] 2785/19
plus [4] 2793/23 2795/12 2802/2 2872/4
point [46] 2602/22 2605/17 2606/10 2608/6 2628/22 2640/1 2640/16 2642/22 2643/2 2643/12 2643/15 2644/15 2646/11 2646/23 2653/4 2653/12 2657/8 2660/6 2664/8
2664/13 2666/17 2670/19 2672/9 2683/17 2693/22 2697/4 2699/2 2699/13 2699/23 2712/19 2715/4 2727/13 2786/19 2800/8 2803/13 2803/19 2820/1 2845/3 2845/3 2846/9 2851/12 2860/12 2860/13 2862/20 2862/23 2868/6
pointed [2] 2763/13 2784/6
pointing [6] 2658/6 2658/8 2659/16
2660/4 2804/14 2815/16
points [1] 2806/2
poked [1] 2832/3
poking [2] 2832/7 2832/24
pole [1] 2840/18
police [130] 2592/10 2593/21 2593/22
2593/24 2594/7 2594/9 2594/19 2594/20 2595/17 2595/21 2595/22 2596/14 2596/21 2597/3 2597/4 2597/13 2597/17 2597/18 2597/23 2598/8 2598/16 2598/24 2599/19 2602/4 2602/8 2605/6 2607/7 2607/8 2607/9 2609/4 2609/15 2609/22 2609/22 2610/6 2610/13 2610/19 2610/25 2611/22 2611/23 2612/25 2613/7 2613/8 2613/19 2613/21 2614/6 2614/8 2614/8 2614/12 2614/13 2614/15 2614/16 2615/19 2616/8 2616/11 2616/12 2616/13 2618/17 2635/11 2636/4 2637/1 2637/2 2656/2 2656/2 2656/7 2669/12 2680/7 2682/5 2691/11 2699/8 2703/25 2705/17 2730/9 2735/12 2735/13 2735/16 2735/19 2735/19 2736/6 2736/14 2737/9 2737/18 2738/21 2742/1 2744/15 2750/10 2771/12 2771/14 2771/14 2771/16 2776/2 2776/22 2785/12 2789/25 2790/1 2790/8 2797/10 2799/2 2806/7 2806/12 2806/19 2806/20 2807/5 2807/6 2809/20 2810/21 2815/11 2816/20 2825/5 2827/16 2831/15 2833/15 2837/20 2841/17 2848/13

2850/4 2853/11 2860/12 2860/17 2862/18 2862/25 2863/4 2863/4 2863/7 2863/11 2863/11 2866/7 2867/16 2869/2 2873/8 2873/10 policies [9] 2598/6 2619/4 2736/3 2736/12 2736/14 2742/3 2821/11 2848/15 2848/16
policing [12] 2592/9 2597/11 2602/12 2614/20 2614/25 2615/4 2790/2 2790/6 2790/9 2790/11 2806/10 2842/9
policy [13] 2610/18 2799/21 2799/21 2799/24 2821/6 2821/7 2848/17 2848/17 2848/18 2848/19 2853/21 2870/23 2871/1
polite [1] 2857/1
political [2] 2804/19 2806/20
politics [2] 2836/24 2837/4
polo [1] 2646/22
poof [1] 2805/25
pool [1] 2594/20
poor [1] 2671/15
poorly [1] 2750/9
population [6] 2592/15 2592/18 2592/20 2595/11 2598/14 2616/25
populations [1] 2594/22
portion [5] 2685/10 2685/12 2718/12 2742/21 2794/9
Portland [3] 2588/9 2589/5 2589/21 posed [1] 2779/10
position [37] 2597/9 2597/17 2597/21 2598/5 2598/25 2599/2 2602/14 2603/4 2603/6 2603/7 2613/9 2619/9 2628/24 2643/15 2650/19 2651/15 2652/17 2658/25 2662/23 2663/4 2666/1 2758/11 2758/13 2771/10 2785/14 2836/7 2836/18 2836/23 2842/3 2842/15 2842/16 2846/6 2849/18 2852/12 2856/15 2863/3 2863/3
positions [2] 2597/2 2841/4
possessions [1] 2819/5
possibilities [1] 2659/21
possibility [2] 2797/19 2802/5
possible [9] 2604/25 2614/17 2640/20
2660/10 2660/10 2691/25 2716/24 2717/2 2867/3
possibly [3] 2637/3 2715/1 2755/16 Post [1] 2793/15
posting [1] 2598/25
potential [1] 2641/11
power [8] 2716/23 2778/23 2808/3 2810/1 2814/2 2825/25 2827/19 2827/20
Powerful [1] 2796/4
practice [6] 2610/18 2611/3 2691/8 2700/22 2719/6 2719/16
practices [3] 2614/14 2691/19 2692/14
praise [1] 2604/2
pranks [1] 2815/2
precedent [2] 2679/25 2681/20
predicated [1] 2640/12 predismissal [17] 2672/12 2672/17 2673/1 2673/17 2674/15 2675/21 2675/22 2675/24 2676/3 2676/14 2676/18 2723/18 2724/12 2755/14
predismissal... [3] 2823/20 2824/15 2858/16
prefer [1] 2802/1
preference [2] 2643/12 2643/25
preferred [1] 2643/11
prejudice [2] 2769/10 2779/4
prejudices [1] 2765/21
preliminarily [1] 2791/9
preparation [1] 2661/7
prepare [5] 2673/2 2690/20 2695/23 2761/17 2828/11
prepared [8] 2661/12 2674/17 2710/23 2711/14 2782/1 2799/9 2827/23 2834/15
preparing [2] 2693/15 2696/1 preponderance [12] 2766/4 2766/11
2770/7 2771/5 2773/6 2776/14 2777/5 2777/25 2778/8 2847/2 2863/8 2865/5 presence [2] 2599/16 2850/4 present [51] 2591/3 2591/3 2591/3 2600/3 2601/16 2647/7 2651/17 2652/9 2652/10 2652/10 2652/11 2711/5 2732/1 2732/6 2732/7 2733/9 2733/19 2733/21 2733/21 2733/22 2754/2 2754/8 2754/9 2756/22 2757/8 2758/14 2763/23 2764/1 2764/25 2779/22 2779/23 2779/24 2780/3 2782/20 2782/21 2784/3 2784/4 2784/5 2784/5 2789/17 2802/1 2828/2 2828/14 2828/16 2865/13 2865/17 2865/19 2865/19 2865/19 2874/14 2876/12
presentation [2] 2865/5 2876/21 presented [7] 2676/12 2676/17 2766/8 2766/14 2847/13 2863/10 2864/20 preserve [1] 2754/16
preserved [1] 2754/19
preside [1] 2780/16
president [4] 2607/13 2612/15 2682/15 2817/13
presiding [3] 2780/16 2781/8 2782/7 press [1] 2793/19
pressure [1] 2760/14 pretty [11] 2593/14 2616/20 2628/9
2644/17 2655/12 2685/8 2719/11
2805/1 2814/21 2817/18 2877/1
prevail [2] 2770/5 2852/7
prevent [2] 2711/17 2712/4
previous [3] 2705/21 2773/23 2775/19 previously [6] 2591/15 2705/15
2753/1 2760/1 2772/18 2776/5
primarily [1] 2849/8
Prineville [1] 2595/15
prior [9] 2598/4 2640/8 2679/9
2687/20 2693/1 2715/17 2715/20 2735/8 2839/9
private [2] 2798/24 2856/1
privilege [6] 2684/19 2684/22 2685/5 2685/15 2685/19 2686/15
privileges [1] 2770/3
probability [4] 2763/15 2764/7
2777/11 2777/13
probable [1] 2593/22
probably [13] 2602/25 2603/9 2603/11
2615/3 2629/6 2661/5 2681/13 2707/3 2766/6 2766/12 2781/13 2846/7

2855/1
probably 2000 [1] 2603/9
probationary [1] 2597/5
problem [11] 2614/21 2647/25
2666/13 2685/23 2704/13 2722/17
2796/15 2798/16 2807/7 2841/5 2842/5
problem-solve [1] 2614/21
problematic [5] 2788/8 2798/22
2824/4 2854/16 2872/17
problems [9] 2615/9 2619/18 2668/14 2761/13 2798/17 2807/8 2813/11 2827/9 2868/18
procedure [1] 2785/4
procedures [2] 2736/4 2736/12
proceed [3] 2671/19 2679/12 2865/20 proceedings [3] 2588/14 2591/1 2878/10
process [19] 2605/1 2612/12 2613/11
2613/14 2616/9 2629/1 2629/2
2658/14 2659/19 2664/12 2701/13
2724/25 2759/13 2762/1 2763/8
2804/4 2818/8 2844/4 2860/7
processing [1] 2763/9
produced [3] 2647/20 2649/11 2649/13
product [2] 2691/22 2692/13
professional [8] 2597/1 2598/5
2605/11 2628/24 2784/23 2785/18 2800/17 2874/5
professionally [1] 2605/23
professionals [1] 2790/16
profile [1] 2809/22
profiled [1] 2626/19
profiling [4] 2626/16 2626/16 2626/18 2846/8
program [1] 2844/1
progress [1] 2622/19
project [1] 2738/6
promoted [1] 2866/7
pronounce [1] 2760/22
proof [11] 2755/15 2766/3 2766/10
2768/3 2768/5 2769/3 2772/19 2773/14 2776/24 2838/14 2858/4
proper [2] 2594/10 2874/22
properly [2] 2695/20 2784/8
property [4] 2610/16 2611/6 2655/25 2802/4
prosecute [2] 2801/21 2802/10
prosecution [1] 2695/1
prosecutors [1] 2694/19
protect [10] 2606/10 2639/23 2695/2 2700/18 2791/1 2845/12 2860/10 2860/10 2873/13 2873/13
protected [33] 2756/20 2757/3 2760/2 2771/23 2772/12 2772/14 2772/17 2772/25 2773/18 2773/23 2775/18 2775/24 2776/1 2776/15 2776/18 2776/19 2776/23 2787/21 2791/6 2846/24 2847/7 2847/8 2847/10 2847/17 2851/9 2851/12 2856/3 2856/4 2856/7 2856/22 2858/12 2858/23 2864/2
protecting [1] 2807/1
protections [2] 2593/23 2594/9
protesting [1] 2788/19
prove [16] 2596/12 2770/6 2770/20

2770/23 2771/5 2773/3 2773/5 2776/6 2776/12 2776/14 2777/19 2833/10 2840/11 2846/25 2856/2 2856/3 proved [4] 2770/18 2770/19 2777/15 2836/12
provide [20] 2595/3 2610/21 2612/13 2614/2 2620/5 2661/4 2661/14 2665/14 2673/9 2674/8 2694/16 2694/19 2694/24 2695/10 2696/17 2697/7 2789/13 2799/25 2859/8 2870/23
provided [12] 2608/15 2610/15 2612/3 2614/3 2666/10 2666/11 2682/22
2691/13 2692/4 2699/5 2709/11 2739/15
provides [1] 2770/1
providing [2] 2670/11 2738/8
proving [5] 2772/2 2777/5 2777/24 2778/7 2863/8
prudence [1] 2780/6
PSO [1] 2655/15
PSOs [1] 2802/3
psychological [1] 2629/2
psychologist [3] 2813/8 2813/10 2867/1
public [62] 2593/4 2593/8 2593/9 2593/19 2603/11 2605/5 2610/19 2621/23 2653/5 2664/24 2666/5 2666/9 2666/24 2669/11 2680/25 2687/22 2708/24 2709/1 2756/16 2756/17 2756/21 2757/4 2757/12 2757/15 2771/11 2771/18 2773/1 2773/3 2773/8 2773/13 2773/22 2774/3 2774/8 2774/15 2774/23
2775/4 2775/12 2775/18 2776/3 2787/3 2788/11 2790/13 2791/7 2793/24 2795/21 2796/11 2799/1 2800/5 2821/8 2821/25 2822/4 2822/4 2823/3 2827/13 2846/12 2847/3 2848/14 2855/25 2872/7 2873/3 2873/11 2876/25
publicity [1] 2793/15
publicly [1] 2793/20
publish [13] 2610/1 2611/17 2638/8 2642/12 2670/4 2670/6 2672/21 2678/4 2688/20 2698/10 2710/9 2715/12 2746/16
pull [8] 2639/23 2640/3 2644/24 2712/11 2715/7 2721/9 2818/10 2842/22
pulled [8] 2636/20 2786/3 2817/3 2845/22 2866/11 2869/1 2869/2 2869/16
pulling [3] 2638/19 2639/25 2691/17 punish [5] 2778/5 2779/12 2862/20 2863/14 2863/24
punitive [19] 2772/1 2778/4 2778/4 2778/6 2778/8 2778/10 2779/1 2779/2 2779/6 2779/12 2779/15 2779/16 2779/19 2808/10 2808/16 2826/1 2863/12 2863/14 2863/18
punitives [1] 2808/18
purporting [1] 2684/7
purports [1] 2770/14
purpose [15] 2629/20 2639/21
2673/16 2675/10 2700/12 2724/25
2767/18 2767/23 2767/25 2768/1
purpose... [5] 2778/4 2778/14 2779/3 2853/23 2854/7
purposeful [1] 2801/3
pursuant [3] 2594/1 2738/23 2853/20 pursue [3] 2626/23 2679/17 2680/15
pursued [2] 2672/9 2801/25
pursuing [1] 2801/24
push [2] 2797/25 2801/15
pushes [1] 2801/15
pussy [1] 2804/13
put [82] 2621/3 2622/6 2636/2 2636/9 2641/13 2653/15 2655/15 2666/1 2669/14 2674/9 2684/25 2687/5 2687/8 2687/20 2692/8 2692/13 2695/12 2696/24 2700/21 2712/22 2717/4 2717/6 2724/7 2724/23 2725/1 2728/5 2732/2 2732/8 2732/23 2737/17 2740/17 2742/2 2757/21 2757/24 2759/17 2759/25 2791/3 2792/9 2793/22 2795/12 2796/11 2799/12 2800/5 2806/4 2809/18 2811/17 2811/18 2811/20 2812/15 2812/22 2813/1 2813/9 2813/14 2814/7 2814/23 2817/4 2819/18 2829/8 2829/9 2829/13 2829/24 2830/17 2831/10 2832/6 2832/22 2836/22 2838/10 2838/11 2842/3 2843/23 2844/16 2845/4 2852/19 2855/11 2861/14 2861/15 2861/20 2867/10 2871/7 2872/18 2872/23 2876/22
puts [2] 2804/5 2823/9
putting [13] 2622/10 2646/10 2665/24 2691/18 2792/24 2793/1 2824/1 2837/22 2852/9 2853/11 2853/13 2872/4 2873/3

\section*{Q}
qualified [3] 2594/20 2773/2 2773/2 quarter [1] 2753/25
quarterly [1] 2705/19 quasi [1] 2593/21 question [49] 2599/17 2601/19 2650/10 2661/15 2661/23 2662/25 2664/20 2665/5 2669/10 2674/22 2684/8 2684/9 2685/24 2686/10 2686/12 2687/2 2689/12 2702/7 2702/16 2702/22 2703/22 2704/12 2705/7 2710/18 2718/2 2722/19 2727/20 2742/23 2743/7 2743/10 2743/12 2743/13 2743/20 2743/23 2745/16 2746/8 2746/25 2755/11 2767/11 2768/12 2768/16 2768/17 2768/19 2768/19 2781/18 2781/21 2782/5 2797/24 2842/21 questioned [5] 2714/16 2786/17 2815/4 2845/20 2852/9 questioning [1] 2842/20 questions [17] 2613/18 2624/18 2702/12 2729/16 2742/8 2743/22 2746/14 2767/9 \(2782 / 5\) 2786/2 2786/25 2807/15 2842/8 2842/11 2869/4 2875/19 2875/22
quick [3] 2746/7 2865/25 2867/8
quicker [1] 2760/25
quickly [7] 2646/5 2811/5 2812/2

2813/4 2820/15 2820/22 2821/21 quietly [1] 2652/5
quirky [1] 2811/7
quite [9] 2597/8 2621/3 2738/11 2742/3 2743/15 2758/16 2761/4 2761/4 2761/12
quote [1] 2714/24

\section*{R}
race [1] 2795/24
racial [2] 2626/16 2846/7 racially [3] 2626/17 2626/18 2626/19 radio [14] 2665/17 2666/18 2666/22 2666/24 2667/9 2667/20 2667/23 2677/11 2721/23 2846/13 2864/14 2869/12 2869/16 2870/18
raise [7] 2591/7 2623/18 2625/6 2633/14 2693/4 2734/7 2753/11 raised [5] 2595/15 2608/19 2635/3 2694/11 2758/18
ran [2] 2639/14 2826/13
Randy [30] 2639/19 2641/13 2641/24
2642/5 2642/6 2642/8 2642/15 2643/2
2643/23 2644/2 2644/7 2644/10
2644/13 2644/18 2653/9 2654/24
2656/21 2672/3 2682/12 2682/14
2682/16 2711/15 2722/24 2813/1
2813/2 2835/24 2844/8 2844/18
2844/19 2860/3
range [3] 2707/18 2707/24 2708/2
rank [2] 2597/19 2735/21
rape [1] 2832/14
raped [1] 2832/13
rapidly [3] 2731/18 2731/22 2731/24
rare [1] 2600/1
rate [2] 2779/25 2780/3
rather [7] 2643/13 2645/22 2655/1 2753/16 2785/2 2851/2 2858/21 RDR [2] 2589/20 2878/14 re [4] 2649/4 2649/4 2649/5 2649/7 re-created [2] 2649/4 2649/5 re-creation [2] 2649/4 2649/7 reach [3] 2780/18 2781/1 2781/4 reached [5] 2688/5 2730/1 2750/19 2781/23 2782/6
reaching [1] 2766/23
react [2] 2641/9 2789/20 reaction [2] 2636/23 2809/11 read [48] 2614/4 2614/15 2620/14 2633/13 2634/16 2663/20 2692/19 2698/18 2699/4 2702/6 2704/2 2705/13 2709/23 2729/20 2729/24 2730/5 2730/15 2730/15 2746/6 2751/24 2753/16 2753/18 2754/13 2758/14 2760/16 2760/25 2761/11 2764/6 2765/3 2765/4 2765/5 2766/9 2782/10 2782/23 2784/8 2804/10 2804/11 2804/11 2826/16 2829/14 2830/10 2844/25 2847/8 2850/9 2863/13 2863/17 2871/4 2874/4 reading [10] 2631/20 2732/21 2733/2 2753/5 2754/1 2758/15 2760/24 2782/16 2782/22 2784/7
reads [1] 2758/15
ready [6] 2733/10 2736/19 2782/8 2794/24 2815/17 2835/22
real [6] 2799/5 2805/22 2808/1 2814/9

2839/6 2872/22
realize [2] 2641/10 2871/21
realized [1] 2842/10
really [82] 2592/8 2592/11 2592/18 2592/19 2593/17 2593/18 2594/4 2594/5 2594/21 2594/21 2594/24 2595/9 2597/10 2602/12 2602/13 2603/17 2603/19 2605/12 2605/13 2605/15 2607/20 2607/24 2610/23 2614/22 2615/10 2615/11 2615/12 2616/19 2621/17 2623/21 2628/6 2640/7 2641/10 2656/4 2658/10 2658/17 2666/19 2691/23 2707/11 2709/1 2713/22 2717/17 2725/4 2725/8 2725/8 2725/24 2760/13 2760/18 2791/18 2791/22 2800/4 2801/12 2802/17 2802/24 2802/24 2807/9 2807/12 2809/7 2810/1 2811/16 2812/5 2813/4 2813/12 2814/20 2814/20 2816/18 2818/14 2818/14 2821/21 2822/13 2823/23 2824/20 2825/6 2826/1 2838/1 2860/2 2865/25 2866/10 2866/17 2868/2 2870/5 2873/1
reason [23] 2604/9 2633/25 2650/23 2651/14 2665/24 2669/15 2673/21 2675/3 2701/9 2701/11 2712/22 2712/25 2724/7 2724/9 2725/25 2779/2 2819/6 2828/6 2842/8 2853/9 2870/11 2872/22 2876/6
reasonable [15] 2612/19 2763/14 2763/15 2764/6 2764/7 2773/15 2773/17 2777/11 2777/12 2777/13 2777/22 2778/1 2779/25 2787/18 2787/20
reasonableness [1] 2769/11
reasonably [4] 2776/18 2777/7 2780/4 2856/6
reasons [11] 2600/17 2600/20 2618/20 2724/23 2725/2 2725/5 2853/9 2862/1 2862/2 2869/21 2874/3
reassign [2] 2645/4 2646/6
reassigned [2] 2813/13 2822/12 reassigning [1] 2643/1
reassignment [12] 2641/14 2644/4 2644/21 2645/10 2645/24 2646/8 2646/14 2652/14 2655/22 2660/5 2712/13 2786/14
rebuttal [16] 2590/9 2601/8 2731/2 2731/3 2734/2 2734/3 2741/20 2742/16 2742/20 2742/21 2751/21 2762/19 2804/10 2865/4 2865/21 2865/23
recall [88] 2596/8 2602/24 2603/12 2606/4 2608/12 2608/17 2608/18 2618/4 2619/17 2621/9 2622/9 2622/13 2622/22 2622/24 2626/14 2628/5 2628/10 2628/15 2629/4 2630/18 2635/16 2635/22 2643/15 2644/1 2644/3 2644/6 2644/7 2645/15 2646/16 2653/17 2654/4 2654/7 2654/8 2654/9 2654/12 2654/17 2655/16 2656/9 2657/15 2657/22 2657/24 2658/1 2658/3 2659/10 2659/11 2659/19 2659/21 2660/17 2661/13 2661/15 2663/16 2667/3 2667/6 2668/4 2671/17 2675/9
recall... [32] 2675/17 2677/8 2677/13 2679/6 2687/17 2687/23 2687/25 2690/8 2692/15 2692/17 2692/25 2697/10 2710/5 2712/10 2714/14 2721/3 2721/15 2727/9 2727/10 2739/24 2743/14 2747/18 2747/20 2748/6 2748/10 2748/18 2748/22 2749/23 2765/23 2769/18 2790/4 2792/18
receive [8] 2632/18 2649/16 2662/8 2669/23 2690/7 2732/22 2738/18 2834/17
received [58] 2609/25 2611/16 2631/1
2632/19 2632/25 2634/13 2637/18
2638/7 2641/17 2642/11 2645/7
2648/2 2648/4 2648/19 2648/22
2649/16 2650/5 2657/12 2663/13
2663/13 2669/20 2670/5 2672/13 2672/14 2672/20 2677/21 2688/17 2690/11 2692/8 2698/1 2698/4 2710/11 2715/10 2715/13 2721/24 2721/25 2730/21 2745/3 2746/14 2751/25 2752/2 2752/5 2752/12 2752/14 2766/20 2766/24 2767/17 2767/22 2768/12 2768/16 2768/18 2774/16 2774/24 2775/5 2775/13 2780/2 2794/16 2874/16
receiving [3] 2681/9 2692/17 2692/25 recent [2] 2632/11 2638/12 recently [5] 2617/17 2661/7 2691/9 2795/2 2825/17
receptive [1] 2836/2 recess [15] 2632/2 2647/5 2650/2 2650/3 2732/5 2754/7 2764/24 2782/14 2782/18 2783/17 2827/21 2828/13 2865/3 2865/16 2875/4 reckless [2] 2778/12 2778/15 recognize [6] 2609/21 2631/6 2637/22 2641/20 2670/2 2698/7
recognized [1] 2626/8 recollection [13] 2607/7 2609/1 2623/8 2629/7 2654/23 2660/13 2662/7 2688/1 2717/13 2718/5 2727/12 2728/14 2859/14 recommend [1] 2617/13 recommendation [2] 2640/16 2858/14 recommendations [1] 2679/12 recommended [1] 2846/19 reconsider [1] 2761/10 record [42] 2591/2 2639/13 2639/15 2647/9 2704/21 2732/3 2732/9 2732/23 2733/3 2741/6 2743/25 2751/23 2754/14 2754/15 2754/17 2754/24 2755/22 2768/21 2781/15 2788/15 2793/24 2796/11 2800/6 2801/6 2801/9 2802/12 2822/5 2822/6 2836/15 2836/17 2854/10 2859/7 2859/9 2859/21 2867/20 2873/3 2875/5 2875/6 2875/6 2876/1 2876/23 2878/9
recorded [3] 2814/7 2859/10 2869/12 recorder [1] 2638/21
recording [15] 2630/21 2680/1
2716/10 2802/4 2802/9 2806/2 2821/7 2831/5 2836/5 2836/11 2836/14 2836/20 2846/1 2854/11 2854/25
recordings [4] 2802/9 2814/8 2836/6 2836/9
records [1] 2733/24
Recross [3] 2590/14 2750/15 2750/17
Recross-Examination [2] 2590/14 2750/17
recycling [1] 2639/6
red [2] 2799/17 2799/18
red-flagged [2] 2799/17 2799/18
redacted [3] 2794/10 2875/25 2876/3
redaction [1] 2876/2
redactions [2] 2874/23 2875/18
Redirect [4] 2590/13 2729/15 2749/1 2749/3
redirecting [1] 2666/4
reduce [1] 2777/23
reduced [1] 2779/23
reemphasizing [1] 2699/7
refer [4] 2626/2 2730/5 2730/12
2757/19
reference [8] 2690/8 2690/17 2691/1
2706/17 2708/9 2709/4 2794/24 2853/16
referenced [2] 2699/3 2699/14
referral [2] 2642/20 2642/21
referred [2] 2624/15 2759/7
referring [3] 2617/1 2623/10 2683/12
reflect [5] 2732/24 2750/9 2756/23 2757/6 2779/4
reflection [2] 2680/18 2760/12
reflects [1] 2778/16
refresh [2] 2662/6 2745/18
refused [3] 2785/2 2841/7 2849/15
regard [5] 2674/7 2719/3 2720/24 2800/24 2853/17
regarding [12] 2609/23 2642/19
2643/24 2652/20 2661/3 2743/16 2757/12 2765/15 2786/16 2794/17 2809/1 2809/21
regardless [3] 2627/7 2766/7 2766/14
regards [5] 2740/13 2742/3 2744/23
2745/23 2748/17
regular [2] 2615/20 2823/4
regularly [1] 2666/24
regulation [1] 2770/15
rehire [1] 2708/5
reinforce [1] 2692/21
reinstate [1] 2855/3
reinstated [1] 2791/11
reinstatement [4] 2679/4 2679/8
2855/6 2860/25
reiterate [1] 2682/6
rejected [3] 2663/20 2784/24 2846/11
rejection [1] 2836/4
relate [2] 2634/3 2821/20
related [13] 2606/18 2607/14 2617/10
2643/24 2656/5 2661/10 2728/24
2756/15 2756/21 2757/4 2771/6
2787/13 2794/13
Relating [1] 2599/14
relation [6] 2737/5 2738/14 2749/13 2786/11 2789/9 2825/1
Relations [1] 2725/15
relationship [7] 2664/8 2664/9
2664/12 2664/18 2665/1 2779/14 2805/1
relationships [3] 2593/17 2602/7

2615/6
relatively [1] 2761/2
released [2] 2640/7 2713/23
Releases [1] 2639/13
relevant [4] 2658/11 2708/21 2851/6 2853/1
reluctant [1] 2758/3
rely [3] 2620/5 2627/9 2780/10
remain [5] 2639/2 2647/6 2713/21
2754/5 2807/4
remained [1] 2862/4
remaining [1] 2739/5
remains [1] 2836/19
remember [48] 2619/20 2619/22
2621/15 2621/15 2621/22 2622/19 2626/15 2630/19 2635/24 2654/19 2654/21 2658/12 2659/15 2661/5 2661/16 2672/4 2675/2 2705/12 2710/1 2715/5 2726/23 2727/15 2738/8 2739/25 2747/20 2748/8 2767/7 2780/9 2781/21 2790/7 2790/19 2793/13 2794/8 2794/11 2795/9 2796/15 2797/23 2803/7 2807/16 2809/9 2810/8 2810/17 2825/21 2826/11 2859/5 2867/21 2867/23 2872/17
remind [3] 2700/12 2743/2 2838/21
reminded [1] 2848/13
remove [4] 2640/20 2640/21 2640/23 2644/19
removed [2] 2652/17 2831/18
rendered [2] 2678/18 2777/3
renew [2] 2617/23 2732/11
renewed [2] 2607/22 2617/13
repeat [5] 2664/20 2674/22 2727/20 2746/25 2752/10
replace [1] 2761/22
replaced [2] 2647/20 2647/23
reply [2] 2628/6 2755/3
report [30] 2609/22 2624/2 2624/11 2646/8 2666/18 2667/7 2667/23 2670/14 2670/23 2688/3 2744/20
2745/19 2745/24 2746/19 2747/22 2748/1 2748/6 2795/19 2804/8 2804/9 2818/10 2823/2 2823/6 2834/6 2864/14 2864/17 2868/24 2870/14 2870/17 2871/4
reportable [1] 2864/18
reported [3] 2666/8 2841/17 2870/15
reporter [3] 2589/20 2769/20 2878/15
reporting [1] 2699/8
reports [4] 2619/22 2739/22 2756/16 2815/11
reprehensibility [1] 2779/7
reprehensible [1] 2779/9
representation [2] 2650/24 2750/21
represented [1] 2753/2
representing [1] 2653/13
reprimand [39] 2605/20 2639/20
2644/5 2654/20 2663/25 2664/4 2664/10 2665/10 2675/13 2675/14 2680/6 2682/1 2728/25 2739/19 2747/7 2786/13 2787/24 2812/18 2812/21 2813/15 2818/14 2818/15 2844/14 2844/20 2844/22 2844/25 2845/2 2847/16 2847/25 2849/7 2849/13 2849/21 2850/25 2851/1
reprimand... [5] 2851/8 2856/12 2857/14 2857/17 2866/20
reputation [5] 2593/11 2594/16 2639/18 2743/14 2743/20
request [8] 2612/19 2663/23 2680/14
2682/8 2703/17 2738/22 2769/24 2829/13
requested [5] 2704/10 2705/22
2739/25 2740/3 2857/2
requesting [1] 2698/15
requests [2] 2805/13 2811/24
required [5] 2689/18 2765/3 2770/20
2778/4 2844/14
requirement [2] 2675/1 2854/3
requirements [1] 2854/19
requires [8] 2594/19 2594/24 2609/2
2667/14 2773/14 2776/24 2864/13 2872/15
reread [2] 2710/17 2769/22
rescind [1] 2666/13
research [2] 2829/15 2830/1
reserve [11] 2621/24 2736/3 2736/5
2736/12 2736/16 2736/16 2739/5
2740/10 2742/4 2785/12 2807/5
residential [1] 2656/10
resign [3] 2700/22 2741/2 2741/10
resignation [1] 2791/10
resigned [1] 2743/8
resolution [1] 2761/18
resolve [1] 2757/16
resolved [1] 2761/20
resources [12] 2641/13 2643/6 2643/8
2652/24 2654/24 2671/13 2672/3 2673/19 2675/3 2707/22 2707/25 2799/14
respect [8] 2806/24 2807/22 2839/15
2839/22 2840/8 2868/9 2868/12
2868/12
respected [2] 2681/20 2742/5
Respectful [1] 2786/8
respectfully [1] 2876/18
respective [2] 2876/18 2876/21
respond [14] 2640/19 2643/19
2679/20 2683/6 2698/22 2714/4
2722/7 2740/12 2781/14 2813/18
2823/12 2823/15 2828/9 2870/20
responded [8] 2609/9 2625/21 2640/5
2666/9 2681/19 2681/22 2721/18 2849/16
responding [2] 2680/13 2872/10 responds [3] 2643/9 2713/18 2861/5
response [13] 2613/2 2654/22 2682/8
2682/23 2683/8 2683/9 2685/9 2699/7 2707/23 2725/19 2823/12 2823/16 2829/12
responses [4] 2659/13 2707/24
2713/21 2713/25
responsibility [8] 2593/4 2598/1
2614/22 2665/13 2728/24 2845/10 2874/25 2875/19
responsible [4] 2613/2 2694/19 2871/15 2872/1
rest [7] 2761/15 2763/10 2810/22
2811/13 2813/19 2825/3 2825/22
restate [4] 2601/19 2684/9 2754/25 2755/2
restaurant [1] 2840/2
rested [1] 2733/22
resting [2] 2731/16 2751/20
restroom [1] 2731/7
restrooms [1] 2865/15
rests [3] 2590/7 2730/24 2730/25
result [5] 2610/17 2740/25 2809/2
2862/10 2863/9
resulted [1] 2747/8
results [2] 2657/12 2723/15
resumé [1] 2614/3
retain [1] 2608/9
retaining [1] 2740/10
Retake [1] 2652/11
retaliate [11] 2695/6 2847/6 2847/25
2851/8 2852/2 2853/4 2855/16
2855/21 2856/12 2857/12 2857/25
retaliated [10] 2771/24 2774/4 2774/9
2791/6 2813/22 2813/25 2822/9
2822/21 2863/25 2864/4
retaliating [11] 2619/14 2658/24
2771/22 2772/25 2776/10 2776/19
2787/7 2856/7 2868/10 2872/6 2872/8
retaliation [20] 2607/1 2662/23
2696/10 2744/6 2749/17 2772/12 2772/16 2775/23 2785/23 2808/17
2808/21 2809/6 2817/14 2821/20
2846/23 2851/20 2852/4 2862/8 2866/18 2871/24
retaliatory [3] 2804/3 2814/7 2861/6
retrain [7] 2652/21 2671/7 2687/19
2729/4 2729/5 2823/22 2866/11
retrained [3] 2669/14 2728/22 2844/12
retraining [22] 2653/6 2653/15
2653/18 2657/1 2663/17 2663/22
2664/25 2665/9 2665/10 2671/3
2687/7 2726/21 2728/9 2728/12
2728/16 2728/18 2835/22 2861/20
2866/12 2867/25 2867/25 2868/3
return [8] 2611/5 2729/12 2765/7 2779/25 2780/3 2782/9 2858/2 2864/24
returned [3] 2607/15 2686/5 2687/5 reversed [1] 2611/7
review [47] 2603/25 2623/17 2629/13 2629/14 2629/17 2629/21 2630/5 2630/10 2630/15 2631/10 2632/11 2632/13 2633/1 2633/12 2633/14 2656/17 2656/23 2668/7 2668/13 2668/15 2668/25 2669/5 2670/15 2670/25 2670/25 2673/6 2673/25 2674/7 2674/11 2674/12 2676/24 2692/3 2694/10 2694/12 2695/18 2696/24 2697/14 2718/3 2726/12 2754/16 2786/9 2794/17 2794/17 2818/18 2836/13 2852/22 2857/7 reviewed [3] 2668/4 2697/16 2796/3 reviewing [4] 2631/9 2638/21 2749/24 2750/11
revoked [1] 2835/22
rid [11] 2606/4 2826/8 2826/14
2826/17 2826/19 2826/24 2866/23
2868/4 2870/11 2872/15 2872/18
ride [5] 2636/3 2639/1 2713/20 2820/9 2820/19
right [192]
rights [53] 2654/15 2658/20 2662/21

2677/7 2679/4 2679/8 2695/2 2770/2 2771/3 2771/7 2772/22 2772/24
2774/2 2774/3 2774/7 2774/8 2774/14 2774/15 2774/22 2774/23 2775/4
2775/4 2775/12 2775/12 2776/7
2776/9 2778/12 2778/15 2778/17
2778/19 2778/21 2784/19 2787/13
2788/12 2789/22 2789/23 2789/24
2791/2 2822/1 2822/5 2842/24
2847/20 2855/6 2856/18 2856/20
2859/6 2859/20 2860/25 2861/17
2864/6 2866/14 2866/14 2869/24
Rinne [1] 2606/17
rises [1] 2855/2
risk [6] 2636/6 2636/8 2639/18 2656/5 2778/18 2779/10
RMR [2] 2589/20 2878/14
road [4] 2602/6 2685/11 2818/23
2824/24
road from [1] 2602/6
roads [1] 2785/20
Rob [1] 2611/21
robbery [2] 2816/8 2816/8
Robert [1] 2610/14
Robert Edwards [1] 2610/14
role [11] 2640/4 2655/15 2665/21
2665/23 2666/5 2694/13 2694/14
2694/16 2699/20 2737/4 2851/7
room [8] 2589/21 2745/10 2765/6
2765/13 2805/14 2868/2 2874/7 2876/7
routinely [1] 2785/18
Royce [3] 2808/9 2810/6 2868/16
Rudnick [1] 2589/8
ruin [5] 2795/14 2800/9 2800/13 2803/1 2826/5
ruined [1] 2785/5
rules [7] 2767/11 2768/11 2768/14
2802/19 2802/19 2802/21 2854/7
ruling [6] 2651/9 2686/23 2743/3 2759/24 2767/13 2791/13
rulings [3] 2756/9 2756/10 2756/11
run [4] 2615/24 2790/8 2816/10 2846/18
running [9] 2620/19 2632/10 2632/18
2632/19 2632/25 2633/24 2640/25
2649/11 2820/19
runs [2] 2639/13 2639/16
rush [1] 2761/16

\section*{S}

S-A-L-S-B-U-R-Y [1] 2734/20
sad [1] 2826/22
safe [20] 2593/5 2593/5 2594/5 2594/11 2594/13 2594/14 2595/5 2595/7 2595/10 2595/11 2637/3 2652/22 2667/18 2716/24 2780/5 2816/6 2820/18 2841/21 2843/21 2861/1
safeguards [1] 2776/3
safely [2] 2653/5 2843/13
safety [65] 2593/4 2593/8 2593/10 2593/19 2594/5 2595/3 2603/11 2605/5 2610/19 2615/16 2621/23 2624/21 2630/19 2633/16 2633/20 2634/19 2634/19 2636/3 2638/20
2644/25 2653/5 2664/24 2665/23
safety... [42] 2666/1 2666/5 2666/9 2666/24 2669/11 2671/23 2680/25 2687/22 2708/24 2709/1 2716/21 2723/6 2723/10 2724/12 2729/7 2742/24 2747/9 2771/11 2771/18 2774/3 2774/8 2774/15 2774/23 2775/4 2775/12 2776/3 2778/17 2788/11 2790/14 2816/4 2821/8 2822/1 2822/4 2823/4 2841/2 2845/10 2845/11 2845/17 2846/12 2848/14 2866/4 2868/15
said [145] 2600/24 2606/13 2617/18 2621/5 2625/10 2625/16 2626/21 2628/7 2633/10 2635/25 2639/12 2639/14 2643/23 2646/7 2651/4 2651/25 2654/9 2663/20 2680/12 2682/6 2683/8 2693/21 2696/10 2701/14 2702/21 2703/23 2705/15 2706/4 2707/16 2714/12 2716/14 2720/24 2721/3 2721/18 2721/19 2722/24 2723/5 2725/10 2725/19 2727/5 2727/6 2728/15 2728/20 2740/14 2740/18 2748/9 2755/24 2756/2 2759/8 2762/11 2765/14 2767/3 2783/24 2786/4 2790/5 2790/10 2790/19 2792/19 2794/11 2795/20 2796/1 2796/22 2797/7 2797/8 2797/16 2797/23 2798/11 2798/23 2799/7 2800/16 2802/16 2802/18 2802/20 2803/7 2804/12 2805/23 2807/2 2808/4 2809/15 2809/20 2810/7 2810/8 2810/14 2810/17 2810/18 2813/2 2814/9 2817/25 2818/1 2818/18 2819/10 2819/12 2819/24 2821/17 2824/6 2824/6 2824/7 2824/12 2827/2 2829/19 2829/19 2829/21 2829/25 2830/24 2830/25 2831/2 2831/3 2832/2 2832/16 2832/18 2833/21 2834/5 2834/11 2835/25 2837/4 2839/1 2843/17 2845/22 2845/25 2846/5 2846/9 2848/17 2850/12 2850/16 2851/12 2851/18 2852/3 2852/18 2852/19 2853/8 2860/1 2860/23 2866/17 2866/24 2868/14 2868/15 2868/17 2870/20 2871/13 2871/14 2871/15 2871/16 2871/17 2871/19 2871/23
salacious [1] 2832/22
Salsbury [11] 2734/5 2734/9 2734/19 2735/3 2742/21 2743/10 2744/16 2746/19 2786/6 2786/20 2786/24 same [24] 2593/22 2593/23 2602/9 2639/5 2648/21 2654/7 2660/12 2663/12 2680/23 2686/9 2707/10 2755/20 2804/13 2817/24 2818/9 2818/9 2825/24 2837/13 2858/12 2858/12 2861/9 2866/6 2875/19 2875/22
San [5] 2595/19 2596/21 2597/3 2597/10 2597/13
sat [9] 2676/19 2829/16 2829/25 2831/15 2831/17 2834/23 2838/22 2839/10 2852/3
satisfactory [1] 2622/25
satisfied [4] 2874/18 2874/21 2875/11

2875/25
satisfy [3] 2674/21 2674/25 2854/18 save [1] 2722/17
saw [23] 2608/10 2626/7 2634/23 2639/6 2653/23 2768/4 2792/23 2806/1 2806/14 2816/1 2816/15 2826/17 2831/20 2833/12 2834/6 2834/17 2835/2 2835/7 2835/23 2841/1 2845/24 2850/12 2858/17 say [88] 2591/25 2600/19 2606/7 2606/21 2624/8 2638/18 2650/11 2652/1 2652/7 2658/16 2659/13 2674/17 2679/24 2681/18 2683/8 2683/11 2686/25 2687/9 2694/18 2699/2 2699/5 2704/4 2706/21 2708/1 2708/4 2708/6 2709/2 2710/3 2711/20 2712/16 2713/18 2714/9 2719/5 2719/6 2724/12 2725/7 2725/11 2727/13 2727/18 2728/17 2728/17 2729/4 2740/17 2741/9 2749/16 2750/19 2757/1 2763/23 2765/14 2767/4 2785/17 2785/22 2787/23 2794/11 2796/20 2797/14 2799/9 2799/15 2800/9 2801/24 2804/25 2807/20 2811/12 2813/10 2815/16 2816/5 2818/13 2819/6 2819/22 2820/5 2820/8 2821/12 2821/25 2823/21 2832/2 2832/11 2832/24 2834/7 2834/9 2835/14 2856/19 2856/19 2860/13 2865/10 2866/5 2867/22 2870/17 2872/17
saying [17] 2666/15 2677/13 2684/4 2687/18 2725/11 2728/8 2793/8 2794/11 2802/18 2804/24 2807/16 2809/14 2812/15 2823/25 2840/13 2857/22 2867/1
says [65] 2635/9 2640/5 2642/16 2643/10 2671/12 2679/17 2682/12 2683/3 2711/14 2714/17 2714/17 2714/18 2714/18 2746/23 2747/1 2747/6 2755/23 2769/2 2790/8 2792/5 2794/2 2795/1 2795/23 2795/25 2796/2 2796/3 2797/5 2797/11 2797/21 2798/7 2799/24 2801/13 2804/6 2804/14 2805/15 2808/22 2811/2 2812/20 2818/13 2822/5 2823/16 2830/9 2830/10 2832/2 2834/1 2835/3 2835/8 2835/9 2835/12 2837/19 2838/4 2838/13 2841/19 2842/12 2844/11 2849/3 2850/6 2859/4 2861/3 2866/10 2867/15 2869/14 2870/7 2870/15 2870/23
scare [1] 2685/17
scared [2] 2601/24 2606/25
scary [1] 2819/4
scene [1] 2714/12
scheduled [3] 2646/8 2687/23 2687/25
school [4] 2595/19 2621/12 2709/16 2806/12
scores [1] 2788/4
SCOTT [8] 2588/8 2771/2 2771/15 2772/6 2811/3 2812/18 2819/11 2865/1
Scott Cameron [1] 2865/1 screen [4] 2638/15 2689/6 2692/8 2718/12
script [2] 2826/10 2826/24
search [5] 2613/7 2613/23 2730/11 2795/13 2872/4
searched [1] 2784/21
seat [2] 2596/11 2874/15
seated [7] 2591/13 2734/13 2734/16 2751/15 2751/16 2751/16 2765/1 second [40] 2589/4 2603/8 2610/8 2633/2 2642/2 2671/8 2679/11 2679/24 2704/22 2705/5 2709/16 2722/5 2732/18 2735/23 2766/20 2767/9 2769/7 2770/9 2773/7 2773/13 2774/1 2776/16 2777/12 2778/1 2789/12 2799/1 2800/11 2814/22 2819/14 2819/16 2819/20 2819/22 2827/6 2848/11 2857/14 2858/14 2866/13 2869/21 2871/5 2872/11
second-in-command [1] 2735/23
second-to-last [1] 2633/2
secret [4] 2788/5 2821/22 2822/10 2870/9
section [11] 2669/5 2669/7 2688/23
2689/1 2689/15 2689/20 2704/7 2704/9 2757/25 2770/1 2770/5
sections [1] 2704/11
sector [1] 2598/1
secured [1] 2770/3
security [7] 2593/12 2603/12 2605/8 2656/4 2656/10 2717/8 2732/16 see [50] 2608/8 2615/16 2628/12 2632/14 2635/5 2635/5 2635/10 2640/5 2642/23 2644/24 2653/18 2678/10 2683/9 2688/8 2689/18 2694/5 2701/17 2702/3 2710/18 2711/18 2713/17 2714/24 2731/5 2733/16 2736/25 2740/2 2740/9 2745/7 2753/25 2756/24 2759/18 2759/22 2769/7 2783/16 2792/15 2796/14 2798/24 2809/24 2812/11 2814/16 2816/17 2821/19 2828/11 2841/20 2850/12 2863/17 2865/7 2865/15 2874/11 2876/9
seed [1] 2809/12
seeing [3] 2608/12 2671/17 2810/20
seek [1] 2828/10
seeks [1] 2772/1
seem [1] 2750/1
seemed [3] 2607/18 2612/19 2749/25
seems [2] 2641/11 2686/17
seen [19] 2660/11 2681/4 2688/11 2712/9 2726/4 2767/20 2789/21 2791/25 2795/10 2806/10 2807/17 2812/10 2813/16 2815/15 2816/7 2825/11 2826/16 2829/6 2858/16
SEIU [1] 2786/14
seize [1] 2686/18
select [2] 2594/19 2595/9
selected [2] 2872/2 2872/22
selection [1] 2614/2
selectively [2] 2795/18 2872/2
self [2] 2603/24 2782/4
self-evaluation [1] 2603/24
self-explanatory [1] 2782/4
send [15] 2614/1 2630/13 2668/15 2703/25 2709/5 2709/9 2781/18 2813/9 2821/16 2829/20 2829/23 2830/13 2832/19 2855/12 2873/20
sending [6] 2639/21 2681/5 2681/10 2794/19 2823/7 2832/12
sends [7] 2798/15 2798/17 2813/8 2813/8 2823/13 2835/3 2867/1
senior [2] 2698/20 2843/25
sense [15] 2700/20 2701/6 2717/17 2763/19 2763/20 2789/15 2792/16 2793/12 2793/20 2796/14 2799/3 2803/15 2803/15 2811/20 2841/21 sent [22] 2600/24 2608/14 2610/14 2631/11 2647/23 2670/12 2671/17 2754/12 2765/12 2798/14 2812/7 2812/18 2812/19 2829/21 2830/1 2830/7 2830/11 2830/16 2834/16 2834/17 2837/17 2871/18 sentence [3] 2689/8 2690/14 2711/3 separate [3] 2738/3 2832/10 2837/8
separately [2] 2660/12 2766/16
September [27] 2588/7 2663/16 2665/16 2668/6 2668/22 2668/23 2671/10 2677/10 2728/15 2756/13 2774/16 2774/24 2775/5 2775/13 2791/10 2791/14 2822/25 2823/8 2823/9 2823/13 2823/17 2823/19 2846/10 2858/19 2858/20 2866/11 2878/6
September 10th [1] 2823/9 September 2002 [1] 2756/13
September 2012 [2] 2774/16 2774/24
September 20th [2] 2671/10 2823/19
September 21 [1] 2823/17
September 4 [1] 2791/10
September 7th [2] 2822/25 2823/8 sergeant [77] 2597/20 2616/3 2616/4 2616/7 2616/8 2616/8 2616/11 2616/14 2616/18 2617/23 2619/8 2619/13 2658/23 2667/5 2667/6 2721/21 2734/4 2735/3 2735/19 2735/21 2738/22 2742/21 2743/10 2744/14 2750/25 2754/10 2771/16 2786/6 2786/20 2786/24 2819/21 2829/16 2829/25 2830/23 2830/25 2831/1 2831/6 2831/7 2832/8 2832/15 2832/19 2833/20 2833/23 2833/25 2834/1 2834/2 2834/13 2839/16 2839/20 2839/22 2843/9 2844/18 2848/13 2849/8 2849/9 2849/13 2849/20 2850/2 2850/5 2850/10 2855/23 2856/2 2856/5 2856/8 2856/11 2856/14 2856/18 2856/25 2857/5 2857/9 2857/15 2857/17 2857/23 2857/25 2858/2 2858/5 2858/7
Sergeant Cameron [54] 2616/4 2616/7 2616/8 2616/14 2616/18 2617/23 2619/8 2619/13 2658/23 2667/5 2667/6 2721/21 2819/21 2830/23 2830/25 2831/1 2831/6 2831/7 2832/8 2832/15 2832/19 2833/20 2833/23 2833/25 2834/1 2834/2 2839/16 2839/20 2839/22 2843/9 2844/18 2848/13 2849/8 2849/13 2849/20 2850/2 2850/5 2850/10 2855/23 2856/2 2856/5 2856/11 2856/14 2856/18 2856/25 2857/5 2857/9 2857/15 2857/17

2857/23 2857/25 2858/2 2858/5 2858/7
Sergeant Cameron's [2] 2834/13 2856/8
Sergeant Salsbury [1] 2742/21
series [1] 2613/16
serious [4] 2634/18 2666/19 2790/16 2827/9
seriously [3] 2638/19 2815/1 2839/15 served [1] 2598/14
service [1] 2627/14
services [3] 2609/9 2611/1 2618/23
serving [2] 2807/1 2833/11
session [5] 2731/8 2732/6 2767/21
2828/15 2865/18
set [13] 2607/12 2679/25 2681/21 2704/6 2763/10 2765/3 2779/11 2782/15 2844/7 2846/17 2853/10 2873/10 2873/12
setting [1] 2779/2
settle [1] 2727/7
settled [1] 2693/21
settlement [21] 2640/12 2679/17
2680/15 2682/10 2688/5 2688/8
2688/9 2688/13 2688/19 2689/24
2690/6 2690/18 2709/6 2727/6 2793/4
2793/4 2793/8 2794/24 2861/4
2869/20 2869/25
seven [5] 2775/10 2782/4 2787/25 2811/7 2849/1
Seventeen [1] 2735/11
seventh [1] 2769/13
several [4] 2605/19 2607/13 2610/25 2723/1
severity [1] 2778/22
sexual [5] 2617/1 2624/4 2827/5 2827/7 2858/6
sexually [3] 2624/5 2624/6 2832/17
shall [1] 2770/4
share [5] 2601/10 2615/7 2699/11 2706/25 2707/4
shared [4] 2614/22 2678/25 2698/20 2707/2
sharing [1] 2630/16
shave [2] 2805/10 2811/19
shaven [1] 2848/16
shaving [1] 2811/16
she [189]
she's [25] 2599/19 2600/19 2607/19 2651/24 2652/1 2684/4 2725/20
2759/8 2794/19 2798/14 2799/1
2799/1 2799/2 2801/20 2818/25
2818/25 2819/5 2820/9 2820/20
2844/8 2860/16 2860/18 2861/13
2867/17 2869/4
shenanigans [3] 2811/6 2811/9 2811/10
sheriff's [1] 2609/5
shift [6] 2636/18 2736/18 2789/14 2803/20 2814/24 2819/19
shifting [1] 2651/14
shifts [3] 2596/7 2646/15 2785/17
shirt [2] 2646/22 2826/16
shocked [1] 2636/11
shooting [1] 2636/13
short [5] 2650/4 2650/9 2710/15 2731/8 2874/1
shorten [1] 2761/14
shorter [1] 2596/10
shorter-barrel [1] 2596/10
shortly [4] 2624/25 2635/17 2636/13 2808/23
shot [4] 2636/21 2653/7 2804/8 2815/17
should [71] 2619/2 2627/8 2639/15 2640/9 2640/9 2640/18 2655/1 2655/21 2666/18 2671/23 2672/9 2686/1 2686/20 2686/20 2693/15 2694/12 2694/22 2699/4 2699/24 2708/13 2716/4 2717/19 2717/25 2732/24 2751/12 2753/6 2763/16 2763/23 2765/16 2766/13 2766/15 2767/12 2768/6 2770/22 2770/25 2776/4 2777/3 2777/9 2778/8 2779/3 2779/4 2780/4 2780/7 2780/10 2780/12 2780/15 2780/20 2780/24 2781/9 2784/7 2791/15 2796/6 2799/12 2800/19 2802/22 2802/24 2810/16 2810/18 2810/22 2818/18 2827/14 2829/2 2842/9 2842/10 2849/12 2852/6 2853/7 2869/8 2869/9 2871/10 2873/16
shouldn't [2] 2627/9 2721/17
show [14] 2623/12 2639/10 2662/7 2757/9 2808/9 2839/14 2840/7 2843/4 2843/5 2846/15 2860/21 2868/22 2869/16 2870/4
showed [7] 2792/19 2794/9 2795/17 2813/6 2834/13 2840/23 2860/19 showing [4] 2631/11 2747/20 2847/1 2872/13
shown [5] 2608/14 2786/18 2792/11 2794/22 2870/9
shows [8] 2794/5 2795/4 2795/17 2799/20 2801/12 2814/23 2828/9 2840/16
shutting [1] 2790/15
shy [1] 2705/24
sic [2] 2712/14 2824/15
side [5] 2638/25 2714/16 2758/12 2768/13 2830/12
sides [2] 2832/10 2876/21
sign [10] 2673/12 2673/14 2688/8
2781/7 2782/8 2794/24 2820/19
2849/9 2857/16 2874/19
signature [6] 2874/20 2874/21
2878/11 2878/12 2878/12 2878/15
signed [9] 2655/19 2655/24 2688/9 2781/8 2781/10 2791/10 2858/17 2875/13 2878/12
significant [5] 2671/23 2680/3
2773/19 2787/10 2787/16
signing [3] 2701/1 2873/3 2878/8 signs [1] 2618/23
silly [1] 2758/5
similar [6] 2680/5 2687/8 2687/19 2778/5 2801/9 2802/6
simple [2] 2648/18 2782/4
simply [9] 2630/1 2695/10 2780/25 2781/4 2801/2 2841/24 2842/12 2845/8 2851/2
since [5] 2688/11 2797/24 2798/12 2815/5 2861/12
single [6] 2710/3 2719/8 2766/1
single... [3] 2803/25 2837/2 2848/7 singled [3] 2789/10 2792/8 2813/22 sink [3] 2797/20 2807/9 2816/22 sir [65] 2662/1 2662/2 2687/11 2700/17 2701/2 2701/13 2702/12 2704/2 2704/8 2704/19 2705/6 2706/18 2709/22 2710/16 2712/10 2712/24 2713/2 2713/4 2713/13 2715/24 2716/16 2717/11 2718/17 2718/25 2719/4 2719/7 2719/9 2719/17 2719/19 2719/21 2719/23 2719/25 2720/3 2720/5 2720/10 2720/14 2720/18 2720/21 2720/23 2721/8 2722/8 2722/10 2722/13 2723/19 2724/19 2724/21 2724/24 2725/16 2726/4 2726/16 2726/20 2726/25 2727/2 2727/4 2727/20 2729/2 2729/13 2734/6 2734/13 2734/16 2739/8 2740/24 2750/13 2751/4 2764/16
sit [6] 2654/25 2734/16 2744/6 2814/24 2818/20 2839/19
sitting [4] 2751/10 2758/12 2760/24 2868/17
situation [7] 2608/24 2618/18 2624/14 2627/10 2636/10 2680/23 2819/1 situations [2] 2593/16 2665/18 six [10] 2699/6 2699/9 2749/9 2775/2 2811/1 2811/6 2811/10 2817/10 2839/18 2857/3
six-month [1] 2857/3
six-page summary [2] 2699/6 2699/9
sixth [1] 2769/11
skill [2] 2742/3 2780/7
skills [4] 2593/15 2604/6 2604/20 2624/21
slow [1] 2747/24
slower [1] 2601/25
smaller [1] 2697/5
smarter [2] 2814/13 2839/23
Smith [15] 2675/5 2675/17 2675/18
2706/22 2707/10 2707/11 2755/7
2755/20 2755/24 2756/2 2774/13
2824/10 2824/12 2824/15 2859/24
smoking [5] 2793/6 2816/9 2816/13 2816/13 2872/9
snippy [2] 2842/17 2842/18
snow [1] 2785/19
snuck [1] 2840/2
so [240]
society [3] 2790/18 2791/1 2791/8 softly [1] 2873/15
sole [1] 2692/5
solely [3] 2600/17 2765/22 2767/22
solve [2] 2614/21 2615/9
some [92] 2592/24 2593/14 2597/8
2599/5 2600/12 2600/14 2601/7 2602/22 2603/12 2603/13 2605/7 2605/14 2605/17 2606/10 2606/15 2607/1 2608/11 2609/8 2610/21 2611/7 2616/23 2617/17 2620/11 2621/5 2621/11 2623/24 2628/17 2630/21 2634/18 2635/10 2636/12 2642/23 2650/23 2654/2 2655/14 2655/25 2655/25 2655/25 2656/2 2656/9 2656/9 2657/7 2661/9 2661/15

2666/5 2666/17 2679/1 2679/11 2683/17 2697/4 2715/20 2717/20 2719/5 2732/20 2740/18 2754/4 2759/4 2761/15 2762/4 2764/17 2766/1 2767/23 2768/21 2769/23 2778/24 2780/9 2781/19 2789/1 2789/7 2800/16 2810/3 2811/6 2811/8 2822/17 2823/23 2825/16 2826/1 2829/7 2833/14 2836/22 2837/11 2845/7 2846/9 2846/14 2853/18 2857/8 2857/9 2858/3 2859/18 2860/12 2874/16 2877/3
somebody [20] 2606/8 2607/2 2627/11 2632/15 2700/11 2704/25 2707/16 2709/5 2716/5 2721/18 2725/1 2726/7 2757/21 2793/19 2805/8 2826/15 2826/17 2830/12 2850/18 2863/24
somebody's [2] 2795/14 2832/16 someday [2] 2656/20 2800/6
someone [14] 2611/4 2621/3 2645/14 2700/19 2709/8 2717/10 2722/14 2725/11 2797/13 2802/4 2815/17 2846/6 2862/20 2863/21
someone's [4] 2722/12 2800/9 2800/13 2803/1
something [59] 2599/22 2600/4 2604/3 2617/18 2620/15 2620/16 2621/12 2622/12 2622/14 2626/17 2626/19 2626/25 2627/3 2627/3 2628/8 2629/25 2635/14 2636/1 2641/11 2643/3 2646/4 2655/1 2659/21 2671/2 2707/4 2707/5 2714/21 2716/17 2717/15 2720/11 2721/5 2725/8 2732/8 2755/16 2769/20 2769/21 2781/13 2787/13 2793/24 2796/5 2796/11 2803/13 2803/14 2805/5 2805/6 2807/10 2809/10 2809/12 2811/17 2811/21 2813/3 2820/13 2832/18 2842/7 2850/18 2858/3 2862/19 2867/1 2868/5
sometime [4] 2618/4 2662/18 2663/16 2858/19
sometimes [13] 2592/21 2593/25 2604/23 2609/4 2707/15 2708/6 2722/16 2767/17 2803/20 2813/25 2815/23 2836/20 2840/10
son [4] 2596/17 2658/11 2825/11 2826/14
soon [1] 2640/20
sooner [1] 2602/12
sorry [24] 2598/13 2603/9 2638/14 2642/8 2675/14 2679/10 2688/25 2699/4 2702/2 2702/2 2704/25 2706/2 2706/14 2709/21 2710/24 2711/24 2715/18 2733/1 2738/22 2746/25 2756/25 2772/20 2794/8 2813/7 sort [11] 2600/14 2617/6 2620/7 2624/14 2624/14 2648/1 2651/17 2651/19 2712/17 2724/1 2807/6
sorted [1] 2685/10
sound [2] 2598/19 2826/25
sounded [1] 2621/14
sounds [1] 2804/22
source [1] 2751/17
South [3] 2621/11 2709/15 2709/15
space [1] 2622/2
speak [10] 2597/10 2621/20 2642/16 2773/2 2780/17 2791/3 2791/4 2791/23 2873/10 2873/15
speaking [4] 2635/16 2789/20 2808/21 2848/1
speaks [1] 2823/22
special [1] 2593/14
specific [6] 2666/7 2699/12 2708/19 2772/12 2775/24 2840/25
specifically [11] 2659/21 2674/16
2721/3 2748/10 2749/12 2758/5 2758/11 2775/25 2786/21 2850/11 2863/15
speculate [1] 2863/6
speculation [3] 2662/17 2681/12 2777/16
speech [80] 2618/3 2618/10 2756/15 2756/24 2757/7 2757/14 2761/3 2770/10 2771/20 2771/22 2771/23 2772/11 2772/12 2772/14 2772/17 2772/25 2773/8 2773/10 2773/12 2773/18 2773/20 2773/21 2773/23 2774/5 2774/10 2775/17 2775/18 2775/18 2775/23 2775/24 2776/1 2776/15 2776/18 2776/19 2776/21 2784/8 2784/19 2787/3 2787/13 2789/6 2789/9 2789/22 2791/2 2791/6 2793/7 2793/18 2806/11 2809/8 2809/17 2810/8 2846/24 2847/7 2847/8 2847/11 2847/11 2847/12 2847/14 2847/17 2851/9 2851/9 2851/12 2855/24 2855/25 2856/3 2856/4 2856/6 2856/7 2856/13 2856/22 2857/13 2858/1 2858/12 2858/23 2859/1 2859/2 2859/21 2860/5 2864/2 2864/5 2869/25
speed [1] 2761/1
spell [2] 2591/15 2734/17
Spencer [21] 2664/11 2750/22 2812/8 2812/25 2817/15 2817/17 2817/19 2817/23 2818/1 2818/6 2818/7 2820/3 2820/11 2834/12 2841/10 2849/15 2850/17 2866/15 2866/16 2866/20 2869/7
spend [2] 2802/11 2802/13
spending [1] 2784/16
spent [5] 2735/11 2782/15 2803/18 2843/11 2846/20
spilled [2] 2834/24 2835/8
spills [1] 2870/12
spite [1] 2778/14
spiteful [1] 2826/19
split [1] 2753/5
spoke [9] 2607/2 2607/14 2643/23 2693/11 2705/18 2705/18 2773/6 2773/25 2847/2
spoken [1] 2758/6
spokes [1] 2809/24
spokes-folks [1] 2809/24
spotlight [2] 2716/17 2717/23
spray [2] 2618/21 2816/11
spraying [1] 2816/11
Springfield [2] 2610/12 2611/21
sprouts [1] 2809/13
square [1] 2653/25
stack [2] 2738/11 2789/2
stadium [1] 2610/5
staff [13] 2592/17 2620/5 2620/8 2666/4 2678/3 2681/6 2681/10 2683/1 2698/20 2794/19 2798/15 2798/18 2798/25
staffing [2] 2609/3 2609/6
stairs [1] 2609/11
stalking [3] 2636/10 2638/24 2819/4
stance [2] 2640/14 2810/13
stand [29] 2604/3 2615/14 2615/15
2649/7 2652/11 2701/11 2701/20 2734/5 2785/23 2786/2 2789/24 2792/18 2796/8 2797/11 2808/2 2814/17 2829/9 2829/10 2829/14 2829/18 2830/18 2831/15 2833/15 2837/24 2843/19 2852/3 2861/8 2871/14 2871/16
standard [5] 2674/21 2719/6 2719/16 2873/10 2873/12
standards [6] 2598/5 2628/25 2713/1 2786/18 2805/5 2805/11
standing [5] 2658/7 2660/9 2789/22 2834/1 2836/6
stands [4] 2609/13 2781/22 2804/19 2805/8
start [19] 2638/15 2700/9 2700/10 2703/2 2703/12 2703/13 2736/5 2758/6 2782/12 2790/22 2790/24 2821/3 2829/3 2829/5 2845/5 2845/5 2845/23 2874/6 2874/13
started [7] 2596/8 2597/18 2602/14 2605/18 2661/18 2753/4 2867/5 starting [4] 2642/2 2710/20 2839/9 2870/4
starts [5] 2710/22 2782/18 2806/9 2806/11 2823/7
state [17] 2591/14 2610/13 2611/22
2611/23 2644/18 2692/14 2699/10
2734/17 2755/8 2755/22 2770/15 2774/4 2788/11 2799/8 2821/25 2827/16 2827/17
stated [5] 2731/1 2732/8 2766/16 2767/8 2864/12
statement [13] 2641/1 2641/6 2654/17
2790/5 2796/25 2798/14 2804/19 2833/1 2837/25 2844/24 2861/7 2869/13 2876/16
statements [9] 2735/4 2767/2 2767/4
2791/19 2804/5 2804/6 2818/20 2836/24 2838/21
states [11] 2588/1 2588/16 2589/20 2679/14 2770/4 2770/11 2771/4 2771/21 2772/22 2776/7 2786/16 stating [1] 2833/24
statistics [3] 2624/4 2814/22 2815/7 stats [3] 2815/3 2815/4 2815/5
statute [3] 2593/20 2769/25 2821/9 stay [8] 2666/22 2667/1 2667/9 2721/22 2753/10 2753/15 2764/2 2873/21
stayed [1] 2693/14
stem [1] 2629/17
stenographic [1] 2878/10
step [8] 2644/11 2647/11 2654/20
2655/6 2729/17 2734/7 2751/4 2800/10
stepping [2] 2839/5 2839/12 steps [2] 2680/9 2812/6
steward [10] 2653/12 2656/22 2711/5 2711/5 2711/11 2803/6 2823/13 2834/7 2835/3 2835/11
stewards [2] 2835/10 2835/17
stick [2] 2788/25 2798/9
stifle [1] 2793/18
still [30] 2611/25 2613/1 2615/21
2637/14 2644/18 2653/4 2656/25
2661/6 2665/10 2680/17 2693/11
2704/11 2713/7 2720/8 2727/19
2737/8 2757/16 2801/16 2808/7
2809/10 2816/13 2825/17 2828/16
2830/5 2841/9 2842/19 2849/4 2862/5
2868/14 2868/16
stipulate [1] 2730/9
stipulated [1] 2770/16
stipulating [1] 2729/21
stipulation [10] 2651/21 2651/25
2729/19 2730/2 2730/3 2730/17
2730/22 2732/20 2733/3 2742/19
stipulations [1] 2732/19
stole [1] 2792/11
stolen [1] 2625/17
stone [2] 2839/5 2839/12
stood [4] 2811/23 2826/14 2833/20 2837/12
stop [42] 2593/21 2594/1 2623/22
2623/23 2625/1 2625/2 2625/14
2625/15 2625/23 2625/24 2626/2
2626/24 2627/1 2633/25 2634/3
2635/2 2636/19 2646/19 2664/8
2664/18 2665/1 2667/20 2669/3
2716/13 2720/16 2729/9 2795/24
2802/4 2816/13 2820/19 2821/8
2842/4 2842/20 2842/23 2844/14
2846/3 2846/4 2846/7 2846/12 2849/5
2868/21 2870/1
stop-and-frisk [1] 2593/21
stopped [5] 2635/4 2635/6 2636/20
2827/3 2841/25
stopping [1] 2626/24
stops [16] 2626/12 2627/16 2638/20
2680/1 2736/9 2737/1 2820/16
2820/25 2821/5 2821/6 2841/25
2845/20 2854/11 2854/14 2854/16 2868/19
stored [1] 2621/24
story [6] 2592/1 2810/1 2819/24
2819/25 2826/3 2826/6
straight [1] 2819/24
strange [1] 2633/22
straw [1] 2724/2
street [6] 2597/6 2597/25 2599/4
2599/19 2818/12 2861/15
streets [1] 2597/24
stress [3] 2604/4 2606/18 2606/20
stretch [1] 2782/19
stricken [2] 2767/14 2768/21
strike [4] 2632/17 2690/13 2710/10 2778/22
string [1] 2799/4
stripe [1] 2597/20
stripper [2] 2837/9 2869/15
strive [1] 2656/8
strong [4] 2628/6 2809/9 2809/11

2833/18
strong-armed [1] 2833/18
structure [1] 2671/5
student [6] 2618/16 2623/4 2810/3 2827/3 2842/6 2845/21
students [11] 2592/16 2592/17
2618/16 2624/2 2806/15 2815/20
2815/25 2822/21 2837/11 2837/13 2845/16
stuff [19] 2724/18 2741/11 2742/4
2786/15 2789/4 2793/22 2794/13
2795/18 2805/20 2805/21 2811/8
2812/15 2815/13 2822/22 2825/11 2867/8 2868/11 2869/19 2873/2
style [2] 2604/8 2806/13
subject [4] 2717/1 2733/23 2776/2 2776/3
subjects [1] 2815/10
submission [17] 2689/20 2690/3
2691/4 2695/23 2696/3 2696/20
2699/20 2851/11 2852/2 2852/8 2853/17 2855/14 2855/15 2858/15 2860/16 2860/18 2863/10
submissions [3] 2691/9 2754/11 2755/9
submit [7] 2691/5 2692/2 2693/5
2693/19 2694/2 2696/25 2851/25
submitted [7] 2697/13 2729/24 2754/6
2829/11 2853/20 2855/5 2863/1
submitting [2] 2799/10 2847/21
subsequently [1] 2613/6
substance [1] 2829/23
substantial [12] 2773/10 2773/18
2776/20 2779/10 2787/4 2787/5
2787/8 2789/6 2847/5 2847/6 2855/15 2856/8
succeed [2] 2664/6 2843/4
successful [7] 2664/16 2664/23
2665/11 2750/3 2843/24 2844/13
2860/11
successfully [2] 2664/16 2669/14 succinct [1] 2697/7
such [9] 2608/7 2641/4 2768/3 2778/9 2778/22 2778/23 2779/22 2844/5 2861/25
sudden [1] 2868/23
suddenly [11] 2790/7 2792/23 2803/9 2805/10 2811/4 2812/12 2813/13
2819/14 2822/25 2826/23 2870/14
sued [3] 2791/21 2851/19 2862/8
suffered [4] 2599/5 2600/10 2650/23
2863/9
suffering [2] 2777/10 2779/23
suffice [1] 2680/6
sufficiency [1] 2757/12
sufficient [12] 2644/8 2653/22
2674/21 2674/24 2728/10 2732/22
2733/3 2756/22 2757/13 2779/3
2848/3 2854/18
suggest [7] 2665/2 2679/17 2777/2
2789/7 2790/23 2801/24 2827/20
suggestions [2] 2628/3 2843/12
suggests [1] 2801/6
suitability [1] 2739/5
suitable [2] 2644/20 2740/9
Suite [2] 2589/5 2589/9
sum [1] 2779/24
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline S & T & |ta \\
\hline summary [6] 2699/6 2699/9 2747/5 & T-shirt [1] 2826/16 & tapes [1] 2835/13 \\
\hline 2771/10 2799/18 2852/25 & table [5] 2763/11 2828/17 2828/20 & target [1] 2867/4 \\
\hline summer [7] 2655/9 2656/6 2728/14 2788/11 2788/19 2822/7 2823/5 & 2835/23 2837/12 & target [1] 206
targeted [3] 2749/12 2784/20 2786/21 \\
\hline & & targeting [1] 2866/23 \\
\hline superiors [5] 2805/9 2807/11 2814/2 & & tased [1] 2618/16 \\
\hline 2820/3 2822/10 & tags [3] 2625/10 2820/20 2842/22 & \\
\hline supervised [1] & take [60] 2597/9 2603/1 2606/9 &  \\
\hline supervising [2] 2616/15 2616/21 & 2612/21 2614/7 2615/12 2632/2 & 2857/13 2858/1 2858/25 2859/20 \\
\hline supervision [2] 2620/1 2774/9 & 2636/5 2636/24 2637/6 2637/10 & Tasers [31] 2618/3 2618/7 2618/10 \\
\hline supervisor [12] 2616/16 2650/7 & 2640/14 2644/11 2654/9 2662/14 & 2618/12 2618/13 2618/14 2618/20 \\
\hline 2682/15 2736/7 2744/5 2805/1 2811/4 & 2682/7 2682/9 2683/17 2685/25 & 2618/24 2619/2 2619/5 2619/9 \\
\hline 2843/9 2843/10 2844/19 2849/8 & 2688/19 2697/20 2701/7 2719/6 & 2658/25 2662/23 2663/5 2773/25 \\
\hline 2857/15 & 2728/24 2731/7 2731/23 2731/25 & 2776/4 2776/22 2809/7 2809/8 \\
\hline supervisor-to-employee [1] 2805/1
supervisors [20] 2662/23 2711/16 & 2736/22 2740/14 2746/4 2752/13 & 2809/21 2810/2 2810/4 2810 \\
\hline 2774/10 2784/19 2786/21 2833/6 & 2782/14 2782/17 2785/3 2787/1 & 2851/9 2856/15 2864/5 \\
\hline 2834/25 2835/11 2840/9 2841/23 & 2789/1 2789/9 2806/22 2815/1 2816/5 & task [1] 273 \\
\hline 2843/4 2844/6 2844/16 2844/17 & 2819/12 2827/21 2828/1 2831/2 & \\
\hline 2845/5 2859/20 & 2837/25 2839/15 2861/14 2865/3 & 2739/2 2739 \\
\hline supervisory [2] 2649/10 2649/1 & 2866/13 2866/14 2866/22 2874/1 & taught [1] 2614/21 \\
\hline superwoman [1] & taken [23] 2610/5 2650/3 2662/2 & teach [1] 2840/19 \\
\hline support [11] 2612/2 2628/8 2640/10 & 2704/2 2711/16 2712/3 2732/5 2754/7 & \\
\hline 2640/15 2670/18 2671/25 2676/12 & 2764/24 2780/9 2780/10 2783/17 & team [2] 2605/25 2762/2 \\
\hline 2800/22 2801/2 2848/6 2855/17 & 2813/14 2821/18 2828/13 2841/5 & tears [1] \\
\hline supported [6] 2607/24 2640/6 & 2847/20 2856/21 2861/10 2863/15 & \\
\hline 2713/22 2798/2 2801/8 2841/4 & 2865/16 2875/4 2878/9 &  \\
\hline supporting [1] 2699/10 & takes [6] 2764/13 2764/13 2764/14 & technology [1] 2818/19 \\
\hline supportive [5] 2652/24 2653/2 & 2782/3 2816/14 2857/ & telephone [4] 2613/15 2623/14 \\
\hline 2656/25 2657/10 2670/21 & taking [15] 2608/18 2613/3 2636/6 & \[
2623 / 182627 / 23
\] \\
\hline supports [1] 2860/1 & 2655/24 2660/17 2679/16 2771/24 & tell [85] 2592/1 2592/3 2594/6 25 \\
\hline suppose [1] 2701/8 & 2772/11 2775/23 2778/24 2788/5 & 2597/17 2598/23 2605/10 2607/6 \\
\hline supposed [11] 2668/19 2703/25 & 2832/25 2836/8 2836/18 2843/18 & 2610/17 2611/20 2613/13 2614/10 \\
\hline 2708/18 2751/15 2751/16 2788/12 & talented [1] 2593/14 & 2615/1 2620/15 2623/20 2625/8 \\
\hline 2788/15 2798/24 2800/1 2835/17 & talk [46] 2605/9 2609/10 2609/14 & 2625/20 2626/10 2627/16 2632/4 \\
\hline 2869/5 & 2616/3 2617/3 2634/22 2635/19 & 2633/5 2639/3 2639/22 2640/11 \\
\hline supposedly [1] & 2648/7 2648/9 2652/4 2657/19 2658/9 & 2643/20 2657/20 2658/19 2658/23 \\
\hline sure [30] 2593/2 2613/24 2622/7 & 2658/13 2660/3 2660/12 2668/3 & 2659/2 2659/6 2659/18 2665/20 \\
\hline 2624/3 2630/6 2640/24 2641/1 2656/8 & 2673/19 2691/4 2691/17 2706/16 & \[
2666 / 21 \text { 2667/13 2670/21 2672/2 }
\] \\
\hline 2656/10 2685/7 2685/14 2708/1 & 2711/11 2722/9 2724/12 2724/13 & 2677/25 2691/4 2695/25 2696/9 \\
\hline 2708/2 2713/25 2716/10 2716/24 & 2735/24 2736/3 2737/3 2748/4 & 2696/22 2698/9 2698/13 2707/12 \\
\hline 2722/19 2729/24 2745/12 2748/3 & 2748/15 2762/25 2791/11 2794/21 & 2707/18 2710/17 2716/5 \\
\hline 2751/23 2791/25 2811/7 2815/2 & 2796/7 2803/2 2804/16 2807/3 & 2740/6 2739/2 2725/4 2735/8 \\
\hline 2818/24 2824/19 2825/5 2835/4 & 2808/10 2813/12 2813/23 2817/15 & 2747/17 2753/1 2760/12 27 \\
\hline 2836/18 2874/17 & 2820/11 2824/17 2829/1 2835/12 & 2762/18 2781/21 2793/25 2805/13 \\
\hline surfed [1] 2802/23 & 2862/22 2864/10 & 2805/17 2808/2 2810/12 2824/24 \\
\hline surprise [3] 2647/16 2817/21 2834/12
surprised [1] \(2719 / 1\) & talked [44] 2600/13 2615/5 2617/11 & 2827/15 2829/14 2829/24 2830/18 \\
\hline surprised [1] 2719/1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2627/20 2635/25 2639/8 2652/14 \\
2656/19 2658/3 2659/15 2659/20
\end{tabular} & 2831/6 2831/8 2836/6 2836/10 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
surprises [1] 26 \\
surrebuttal [1]
\end{tabular} & 2656/19 2658/3 2659/15 2659/20 & 2837/10 2837/12 2838/7 2838/7 \\
\hline surrebuttal [1] & \[
26
\] & 2839/11 2849/5 2850/2 2850/4 2860 \\
\hline suspended [2] 2741/3 281 & 2748/3 2744/22 2725/18 & 2861/3 2862/15 2873 \\
\hline suspension [3] 2672/25 2682/1 & 2748/10 2748/19 2755/24 2756/2 & 1/21 2626/14 2635 \\
\hline 2785/1 & 2758/17 2806/6 2814/17 2824/12 & 2635/24 2646/19 2667/6 2678/17 \\
\hline suspicious [3] 2625/17 2625/22 & 2824/13 2832/10 2834/3 2834/7 & \\
\hline 2665/18 & 2834/9 2834/11 2834/18 2838/1 & \\
\hline sustained [2] 2768/17 2768/18 & 2850/1 2850/23 2866/3 2866/4 & 2813/9 2819/2 2824/15 2833/9 \\
\hline SW [2] 2589/4 2589/21 & 2871/10 & \[
2837 / 232841 / 21 \text { 2861/8 }
\] \\
\hline swiftly [1] 2640/25 & talking [24] 2599/19 2616/2 2621/8 & temporary [2] 2645/24 2786/13 \\
\hline switched [1] 2751/9 & 2644/12 2670/10 2722/22 2723/13 & ten [5] 2762/19 2782/14 2782/19 \\
\hline sworn [5] 2591/11 2598/9 2598/11 & 2723/14 2748/8 2748/9 2748/20 & \[
2785 / 132825 / 20
\] \\
\hline 2734/11 2766/19 & 2753/7 2803/12 2809/14 2810/7 & ten-minute [2] 2762/19 2782/14 \\
\hline sympathetic [1] 2822/13 & 2810/10 2810/11 2815/6 2816/4 & tenacious [1] 2788/21 \\
\hline sympathy [2] 2765/21 2779/4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2829/3 2837/9 2846/21 2864/7 } \\
& 2871 / 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\text { tens [1] } 2792 / 1
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
tense [1] 2763/17
tension [2] 2604/4 2606/19 tentative [1] 2754/4
term [7] 2614/25 2663/23 2664/2
2683/11 2685/8 2725/23 2740/18
terminate [8] 2618/8 2640/21 2643/12 2674/2 2723/8 2723/17 2784/22 2858/18
terminated [32] 2619/7 2619/12
2640/18 2673/18 2680/23 2680/24 2691/9 2691/12 2691/14 2700/11 2700/16 2700/19 2700/23 2707/14 2725/2 2727/1 2727/5 2727/14 2737/13 2738/3 2741/4 2747/12 2747/15 2747/19 2749/14 2750/21 2796/23 2817/6 2824/16 2853/12 2860/6 2872/22
Terminating [1] 2788/22
termination [34] 2644/9 2644/11 2644/14 2644/17 2672/9 2673/22 2674/21 2675/1 2676/5 2676/13 2676/17 2676/21 2677/1 2687/20 2707/21 2712/23 2723/12 2724/8 2737/3 2739/20 2747/21 2748/2 2749/5 2786/12 2787/24 2809/3 2811/18 2817/2 2820/14 2846/19 2854/13 2854/17 2858/14 2858/21 terms [9] 2611/5 2650/18 2650/20 2709/7 2726/5 2806/20 2824/18 2872/25 2875/18
terrible [2] 2637/5 2863/22 territory [1] 2873/5
testified [64] 2591/11 2600/10 2607/17 2632/17 2632/18 2649/3 2649/5 2650/15 2702/9 2718/24 2720/22 2730/10 2734/11 2743/4 2747/14 2755/12 2769/7 2806/19 2808/12 2814/15 2830/3 2831/10 2831/13 2832/6 2832/8 2832/14 2832/20 2833/17 2834/3 2834/14 2835/18 2835/21 2836/22 2836/25 2837/3 2839/7 2839/18 2839/21 2840/1 2840/3 2841/13 2842/14 2843/5 2843/8 2843/10 2843/14 2844/10 2845/7 2845/9 2845/14 2845/18 2847/12 2848/6 2851/15 2851/16 2852/1 2852/17 2852/20 2857/4 2857/9 2857/18 2859/23 2860/16 2861/24
testify [13] 2606/2 2632/5 2651/12 2684/7 2692/12 2727/10 2769/4 2769/15 2807/17 2819/20 2829/10 2846/14 2859/13
testifying [2] 2648/1 2769/8 testimony [36] 2591/24 2606/4 2636/12 2654/2 2654/6 2655/14 2692/15 2725/21 2726/23 2728/10 2742/19 2743/15 2743/22 2744/7 2744/18 2766/19 2766/24 2767/14 2767/17 2768/3 2769/1 2769/1 2769/5 2769/11 2769/12 2769/21 2785/25 2792/19 2799/9 2832/23 2836/15 2849/10 2858/17 2859/18 2859/22 2863/22
tests [1] 2792/13
text [1] 2608/21
than [49] 2596/12 2606/25 2618/24 2622/18 2643/13 2645/22 2647/17 2650/16 2651/13 2655/1 2701/22 2702/9 2742/13 2749/18 2754/14 2755/1 2766/6 2766/13 2772/5 2774/17 2774/25 2775/6 2775/14 2779/13 2785/1 2785/2 2785/15 2793/7 2798/10 2801/7 2802/18 2806/10 2814/13 2818/11 2831/19 2837/5 2839/23 2840/15 2841/12 2845/16 2847/21 2848/3 2851/2 2857/22 2858/21 2859/17 2859/21 2862/14 2864/14
thank [42] 2591/6 2591/13 2591/18 2595/13 2601/1 2609/19 2632/16 2650/1 2663/2 2700/1 2729/14 2729/17 2731/25 2733/21 2734/6 2734/13 2734/21 2739/9 2744/9 2744/16 2748/25 2751/4 2751/5 2754/11 2759/15 2759/19 2764/16 2765/2 2783/9 2784/6 2784/16 2818/1 2827/24 2828/21 2864/23 2865/1 2865/2 2873/17 2873/20 2876/20 2877/5 2877/6
Thanks [1] 2640/19
that [1702]
that's [163]
theft [4] 2726/24 2792/13 2797/7 2797/12
their [72] 2592/21 2592/22 2592/23
2592/24 2593/6 2593/6 2594/4 2607/22 2612/18 2615/8 2620/8 2622/15 2622/17 2680/2 2706/25 2714/16 2722/15 2731/23 2733/22 2736/19 2740/1 2740/15 2740/16 2755/4 2755/9 2761/14 2767/4 2767/4 2767/10 2779/3 2779/8 2784/23 2787/6 2789/14 2789/15 2790/14 2791/2 2791/4 2791/23 2792/9 2792/9 2792/15 2794/1 2795/6 2799/7 2799/21 2799/24 2800/12 2805/9 2805/19 2806/13 2814/7 2814/11 2815/12 2815/16 2817/4 2821/9 2824/8 2827/23 2828/8 2842/3 2853/14 2853/21 2860/3 2868/7 2870/23 2871/1 2871/12 2872/18 2873/11 2873/13 2873/13
theirs [1] 2718/20
them [130] 2593/2 2593/5 2594/14 2594/14 2594/22 2595/3 2595/5 2595/6 2595/7 2595/7 2595/8 2595/11 2605/15 2610/23 2613/18 2614/21 2617/11 2620/14 2621/13 2624/3 2624/7 2625/22 2625/23 2630/21 2631/20 2633/19 2633/20 2636/5 2636/7 2636/8 2637/7 2647/20 2649/4 2649/5 2649/8 2649/22 2650/11 2660/3 2660/4 2660/12 2660/15 2660/22 2661/12 2662/7 2662/8 2666/13 2673/18 2673/19 2674/6 2679/3 2680/5 2682/22 2692/3 2694/21 2707/16 2707/22 2708/7 2709/14 2709/14 2709/18 2711/11 2725/4 2731/6 2731/17 2731/19 2731/20 2753/5 2754/22 2760/25 2761/2 2761/16 2763/2 2763/3 2763/3 2765/25 2766/25 2767/1 2767/7

2767/8 2767/8 2782/16 2783/24 2785/23 2785/24 2789/25 2796/15 2796/24 2802/20 2803/17 2805/23 2806/23 2806/23 2809/10 2810/4 2810/19 2813/18 2814/14 2816/25 2820/7 2821/24 2821/24 2822/17 2823/5 2823/9 2824/6 2824/9 2827/14 2828/9 2833/6 2834/16 2836/10 2839/23 2839/24 2840/20 2840/21 2842/2 2843/1 2844/16 2846/17 2849/11 2853/13 2863/24 2865/4 2866/4 2866/18 2867/6 2872/20 2872/20 2875/2 2876/8
theme [2] 2816/23 2817/16
themes [5] 2789/19 2789/21 2790/13 2806/6 2815/2
themselves [7] 2594/11 2595/10 2597/12 2614/21 2667/18 2736/6 2791/23
then [111] 2597/5 2597/8 2597/19 2597/20 2597/20 2597/21 2598/4 2601/4 2603/10 2613/5 2613/15 2613/15 2620/11 2622/1 2627/13 2630/21 2633/20 2634/10 2635/4 2639/5 2639/12 2640/11 2641/8 2642/2 2643/9 2643/19 2648/2 2648/4 2660/14 2672/5 2679/17 2682/6 2682/12 2686/18 2687/21 2688/1 2688/11 2689/7 2691/16 2691/20 2694/25 2698/4 2702/15 2704/4 2704/9 2705/17 2705/17 2705/18 2705/20 2709/5 2709/18 2709/24 2719/12 2719/13 2724/17 2728/3 2731/8 2743/12 2743/19 2743/23 2752/5 2753/2 2753/21 2754/6 2756/13 2761/9 2761/22 2763/3 2763/5 2763/8 2764/12 2770/25 2780/17 2795/19 2795/20 2800/25 2810/15 2811/17 2811/18 2812/9 2813/13 2816/10 2817/2 2817/4 2818/15 2818/23 2819/12 2820/22 2821/3 2822/17 2823/24 2823/24 2824/16 2826/10 2827/21 2830/1 2834/20 2836/5 2836/14 2836/15 2837/15 2837/23 2848/1 2854/16 2859/3 2864/9 2867/1 2870/12 2872/5 2876/14 2876/16
then-District [1] 2691/16 there [249]
there's [56] 2596/5 2608/22 2618/14 2627/7 2629/12 2637/8 2654/2 2654/6 2655/14 2661/12 2661/15 2685/23 2689/8 2694/21 2711/8 2713/19 2714/17 2723/17 2732/19 2734/2 2746/3 2747/5 2751/14 2754/15 2755/18 2757/13 2759/4 2769/20 2783/3 2788/20 2789/17 2797/2 2798/16 2802/16 2804/16 2804/17 2811/9 2812/19 2814/2 2818/6 2821/24 2838/12 2847/13 2848/22 2850/13 2853/6 2856/14 2856/25 2857/8 2857/9 2857/11 2859/1 2861/6 2872/11 2875/17 2876/2
there, [1] 2820/8
there, but [1] 2820/8
thereafter [1] 2635/17
therefore [6] 2773/13 2773/23
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & 2605/15 2605/23 2607/21 2616/19 & 2633/10 2646/4 2648/10 2650/6 \\
\hline therefore... [4] 2776/23 2802/21 & 2622/5 2623/24 2627/14 2628/7 & \\
\hline 2874/23 2876/19 & 2628/16 2629/6 2629/6 2629/7 263 & 2658/23 2659 \\
\hline these [92] 2593/5 2601/3 2601/15 & 2639/18 2639/25 2640/18 2642/23 & 2702/14 2705/15 2708/24 27 \\
\hline 2609/18 2615/5 2617/17 2627/16 & 2643/17 2645/14 2646/6 2653/22 & 2716/6 2716/8 2717/5 2720/19 2723/5 \\
\hline 2627/17 2628/20 2631/19 2632/10 & 2657/19 2658/11 2658/20 2659/20 & 731/11 2738/21 2750/4 2751/18 \\
\hline 2639/22 2640/9 2647/12 2649/4 & 2667/16 2675/9 2678/25 2684/25 & 2768/14 2774/2 2774/4 2774/7 2774/9 \\
\hline 2649/6 2649/10 2654/15 2658/20 & 2685/4 2685/20 2685/25 2686/19 & 2774/14 2774/16 2774/22 \\
\hline 2662/4 2662/6 2662/11 2666/3 & 5 2701/6 2702/12 2704/2 & 2775/3 2775/5 2775/11 2775/13 \\
\hline 2666/11 2702/12 2720/1 2728/8 & 2705/11 2705/15 2705/19 2707/20 & 2790/4 2792/5 2804/25 2822/13 \\
\hline 2730/11 2730/12 2733/1 2736/12 & 2708/6 2708/6 2722/16 2723/23 & 2831/22 2839/4 2839/2 \\
\hline 2749/18 2749/24 2749/25 2750/1 & 2726/11 2728/9 2729/19 2742/1 & 2846/16 2850/5 2852/21 2871/6 \\
\hline 2750/11 2760/24 2761/11 2765/4 & 2742/4 2742/18 2742/21 2751/8 & 2871/18 2873/23 \\
\hline 2765/12 2765/13 2770/18 2770/24 & 27 & thought-out [1] \\
\hline 2775/17 2777/20 2788/8 2788/9 & 2759/23 2760/4 2760/13 2760/ & thoughtful [2] 2618/19 \\
\hline 2789/14 2789/21 2791/21 2792/10 & 2763/5 2763/6 & thoughtfulness [1] 2843/23 \\
\hline 2793/16 2797/14 2798/7 2801/9 & & thoughts [6] \\
\hline 2801/17 2803/20 2803/24 2807/6 & 2794/12 2794/13 2795/6 2802/21 & 2680/14 2680/18 2754/4 2790/ \\
\hline 2809/25 2811/24 2815/5 2815/23 & 2807/12 2808/7 2809/7 2809/8 & thousand [1] 2802/19 \\
\hline 2821/3 2821/13 2824/4 2824/8 & 2809/12 2810/16 2814/18 2816/23 & thousand-page [1] \\
\hline 2825/23 2832/1 2832/15 2838/16 & 20/1 2820/24 2822/8 2823/21 & thousands [3] 2593/1 2792/1 2869/1 \\
\hline 2842/8 2846/16 2846/17 2853/11 & 3 2827/13 2828 & threat [5] 2667/17 2709/1 2793 \\
\hline 2854/16 2861/17 2863/19 2863/20 & 2829/3 2834/10 2836/1 & 2797/7 2809/4 \\
\hline 2866/12 2867/7 2868/1 2868/2 & 2837/15 2838/1 2844/5 2849/1 & threatened [1] 2805/14 \\
\hline 2868/19 2870/3 2870/15 2872/21 & 2850/14 2850/17 2852/1 2852/3 & three [38] 259 \\
\hline 3/18 2875 & 2852/5 2855/11 2857/3 2867/13 & 2602/16 2633/2 2643/23 \\
\hline 2876/20 & 2868/25 2869/8 2869/9 2869/1 & 2682/1 2771/13 2774/6 2784/ \\
\hline & 2869/18 2871/10 2874/10 2874/25 & 2784/16 2784/24 2785/1 2786/ \\
\hline they'Il [3] 27 & 2876/17 2876/22 2876/23 2877/1 & 2789/12 2790/10 2795 \\
\hline they're [39] 2649/16 2694/18 269 & & 2800/17 2805/10 2811/19 2817/3 \\
\hline 2697/25 2697/25 2700/15 2710/6 & thinks [4] 2639/6 2652/7 2822/17 & 2826/2 2827/11 2835/9 2836 \\
\hline 2724/18 2725/2 2754/1 2754/19 & 28 & 2838/24 2848/10 2849/16 2 \\
\hline 2765/5 2795/6 2800/1 2800/1 2800/4 & third [10] 2589/21 2598/2 2709/17 & 2854/16 2857/3 2863/20 2864/2 \\
\hline 2800/18 2801/22 2805/20 2814/6 & 2757/11 2766/21 2767/14 2769/8 & 2866/19 2873/18 2874/6 \\
\hline 2818/5 2821/23 2823/2 2827/13 & 2827 & three-day [2] \\
\hline 2843/1 2845/11 2845/14 2846/17 & & three-page let \\
\hline 2853/12 & this now [1] & through [71] 2591/24 2594/25 \\
\hline 2867/16 2868/10 2868/10 2869/23 & & 2594/25 2595/8 2597/5 \\
\hline 2870/24 2872/9 2874/22 & thoroughly [1] 2875/2 & 2613/11 2614/13 2616/8 2620/1 \\
\hline & those [93] 2592/24 2594/8 2594/10 & 2624/13 2634/16 2634/2 \\
\hline & 2594/15 2594/20 2617/3 2619/1 & 2662/14 2664/15 2664/24 2668/10 \\
\hline & 2620/17 2620/23 2621/2 2621/17 & 2676/19 2677/25 2685/10 2701/12 \\
\hline  & 2622/17 2622/20 2622/22 2623/20 & 2702/19 2704/14 2719/13 2726/2 \\
\hline 2624/23 2659/17 2696/21 2696/22 & 2624/9 2626/11 2630/18 2633/17 & 2728/14 2730/3 2742/4 2742/20 \\
\hline 2707/10 2713/18 2716/20 271 & 2646/1 2647/20 2651/17 2653/6 & 2745/11 2761/1 2781/7 2784/20 \\
\hline & 2656/6 2660/20 2661/4 & 2784 \\
\hline & 2661/25 2662/14 2662/16 2662/20 & 2787/11 2788/24 2789/15 2790/22 \\
\hline 2786/3 2788/20 2796/7 2800/8 2800/8 & 2667/23 2669/2 2672/2 2674/4 & 2790/23 2791/9 2791/17 2792/15 \\
\hline & 2684/25 2700/15 2703/9 2704/11 & 2797/5 2799/6 2800/12 2810/23 \\
\hline & 2709/24 2710/3 2715/23 2715/25 & 2813/19 2814/11 2814/22 2818/2 \\
\hline \(2857 / 7\) & 2730/18 2730/21 2735/11 2735/24 & 2821/2 2821/21 2822/2 2822/7 \\
\hline & 2736/10 2739/25 2740/3 2740/5 & 2838/23 2839/10 2841/5 2841/19 \\
\hline \[
2606 \text { /23 2615/20 2620/9 } 2620
\] & 2743/21 2749/22 2760/23 2765/7 & 848/21 2849/21 2860/23 2861/18 \\
\hline 2620/10 2620/12 2620/17 2624/2 & 2765/17 2779/22 2780/13 2782/23 & 2867/8 2869/18 2871/22 2872/12 \\
\hline 2629/8 2661/16 2666/25 2703/8 & 2785/21 2788/15 2790/20 2791/19 & 2874/2 \\
\hline 2707/1 2708/19 2708/21 2713/1 & 2793/24 2796/21 2797/6 2803/17 & throughout [16] 2735/24 2736/21 \\
\hline 2732/19 2753/24 2755/7 2766/25 & 2811/6 2813/15 2814/ & 36/25 2744/1 \\
\hline 2769/7 2787/21 2788/8 2788/10 & 2814/22 2815/4 2820/24 2822/6 & 2804/4 2806/14 2810/20 2813/18 \\
\hline 2790/14 2791/5 2792/22 2797/6 & 2834/15 2838/1 2841/25 2842/10 & 2815/7 2815/15 2818/8 2837/16 \\
\hline 2800/5 2800/16 2802/17 2811/16 & 2848/7 2848/15 2849/18 2853/14 & 838/9 2873/1 \\
\hline 2812/2 2813/3 2813/24 2814/3 2816/2 & 2854/16 2856/12 2858/8 2858/8 & throw [1] 2789/1 \\
\hline 2817/4 2818/23 2823/23 2825/8 & 2861/16 2866/8 2868/12 2871/9 & throwing [1] 2814 \\
\hline 2826/2 2830/21 2836/23 2837/13 & 874 & 退 [1] 283711 \\
\hline 2838/1 2838/16 2838/17 2857/8 & though [7] 2617/16 2661/14 2749/16 & thrusts [1] 2837/11 \\
\hline 2857/9 2866/4 2870/6 2872/3 2872 & 7 2781/12 2819/3 2826/22 & thunderbolt [1] 2827/19 \\
\hline 2872/21 & thought [60] 2599/2 2601/12 2603/18 & ticket [2] 2806/23 2833/19 \\
\hline think [120] 2594/18 2603/5 2604/9 & 2616/13 2616/24 2624/9 2624/10 & tie [1] 2874/4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & tol & 2837/13 2866/25 \\
\hline ] 2828/7 & & town [1] 2822/11 \\
\hline time [130] 2592/15 2592/21 2593/4 & 2644/18 2658/5 2658/10 2659/14 & toxic [2] \\
\hline 2593/9 2596/4 2597/8 2597/21 & & \begin{tabular}{l}
track [3] 2652/24 2653/2 2730/7 \\
traffic [5] 2625/14 2625/15 2736/9
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2601/14 2602/9 2602/17 2602/20 & 2696/6 2697/6 2714/12 2717/9 & \[
2821 / 5 \text { 2821/6 }
\] \\
\hline 2602/21 2603/16 2613/6 2615/12 & 2717/19 2718/24 2719/2 2721/16 & trailer [1] 2819/5 \\
\hline 2615/25 2620/1 2620/16 2620/18 & 2721/21 2726/3 2726/7 2740/4 & train [2] 2821/1 \\
\hline 11 & 2740/12 2747/11 2747/14 2749/11 & trained [3] 2800/18 2803/25 2815/14 \\
\hline 5/20 & 2749/13 2753/9 2755/7 2755/11 & training [31] 2594/10 2597/7 259 \\
\hline 2646/13 2646/25 2652/20 2654/12 & 2755/19 2768/24 2785/13 2786/20 & 2598/5 2598/6 \\
\hline 2654/13 2655/12 2656/2 2656/7 & 2786/24 2787/9 2787/18 2788/9 & 2629/24 2644/5 2657/4 2659/20 \\
\hline 2656/25 2657/24 2659/4 2660/12 & 2794/1 2794/23 2800/15 2803/19 & 2664/16 2665/14 \\
\hline 2662/8 2663/22 2664/13 2665/16 & 2805/4 2806/12 2808/8 2810/16 & 2718/1 2750/1 27 \\
\hline 2668/25 2670/13 2680/17 2682/17 & 2810/24 2812/11 2816/7 2816/7 & 2805/12 2811/24 2815/8 2835/25 \\
\hline 2682/24 2691/7 2693/23 2696/11 & 2819/11 2821/7 2822/14 2822/19 & 2836/2 2839/3 2839/18 2843/22 \\
\hline 2696/13 2701/11 2702/10 2704/4 & 2/19 2822/22 2822/23 2823/1 & 284 \\
\hline 2708/16 2711/4 2711/6 2711/8 & 3/4 2823/6 2825/10 2826/12 & transcript [6] 2588/14 2591/1 2769/ \\
\hline 2711/12 2713/22 2716/4 2716/6 & /21 & 28/9 2878/11 \\
\hline 2716/11 2723/13 2727/22 2728/12 & & transfer [1] \\
\hline 2731/6 2733/13 2737/24 2740/15 & 2833/3 2833/6 2833/12 & transferred [1] 2600/20 \\
\hline 2741/9 2742/2 2753/7 2753/19 & 2833/13 2834/10 2834/24 2834 & transition [3] 2594/7 2594/ \\
\hline 2756/15 2758/14 2758/18 2760/14 & 35/19 2835/20 & transparent \\
\hline 2760/20 2762/4 2763/4 2765/4 & 2837/6 2838/3 & transport [7] 2612/18 26 \\
\hline 2769/24 2774/11 2774/19 2775/8 & 2838/24 2839/4 2839/14 2839/23 & 2830/25 2831/2 2831/8 2861/11 \\
\hline 2775/16 2781/19 2782/3 2788/2 & & transporting [2] 2646/13 284114 \\
\hline 2790/10 2793/12 2796/25 2799/7 & 2840/13 2841/24 2842/10 2843/3 & pped [1] 26 \\
\hline 2799/17 2803/19 2809/20 2811/2 & 2843/19 2844/3 2844/6 2846/3 & matic [7] \\
\hline 2812/10 2814/9 2814/21 2819/8 & 2848/10 2848/11 284 & 2651/5 2651/13 2651/20 \\
\hline 2822/3 2822/20 2824/2 2828/7 2831/5 & 0 2850/11 2851/15 2851/21 & treated [2] 2814/1 2818/2 \\
\hline 2831/24 2834/25 2835/12 2835/13 & & ng [1] \\
\hline 2840/22 2844/4 2845/13 2848/11 & & eatment [1] 2617/24 \\
\hline 2848/11 2848/14 2859/19 2864/7 & 2859/18 2859/22 2861/13 2862/11 & 7] 2610/14 2610/15 \\
\hline 2864/23 2866/16 2868/1 2873/15 & & 611/5 2611/8 2612/13 \\
\hline 2873/17 2875/7 & 28 & trespassed [6] 2608/23 2608/25 \\
\hline timeline [5] 2812/2 2812/5 2813/19 & tomorrow [9] & 2610/17 261 \\
\hline 2821/21 2823/8 & 2874/2 2874/6 2874/8 2874/11 2876/3 & trespassing [2] 2610/16 2610/18 \\
\hline timely [1] 2667/15 & 2876/12 & ] 25 \\
\hline times [17] 2760/24 2767/5 2780/1 & tone [1] 2622/22 & 2661/18 2662/12 2684/20 \\
\hline 2794/22 2802/23 2816/23 2818/6 & tonight [7] 2782/2 2828/4 2828/10 & 2714/12 2719/22 2767 \\
\hline 2821/5 2825/10 2843/14 2845/17 & 28/20 2874/3 2874/6 2874/11 & 28 \\
\hline 2848/9 2848/10 2848/10 2848/11 & tons [1] 2809/22 & 2829/9 2831/11 2836/7 2838/10 \\
\hline 2854/6 2854/9 & too [17] 2609/5 2630/22 2637/1 & 2871/22 2872/12 2874/24 2875/2 \\
\hline timing [14] 2604/24 2633/21 2789/8 & 2656/9 2656/10 2658/17 2764/3 & 2877/2 2877/7 2878/5 \\
\hline 2791/17 2792/7 2793/13 2805/8 & 2795/11 2832/25 2839/25 2842/5 & trials [9] 2655/11 2655/15 2655/20 \\
\hline 2810/23 2810/24 2822/8 2822/24 & 2845/8 2849/3 2852/24 2856/18 & 2655/22 2656/12 2715/5 2715/ \\
\hline 2823/21 2824/1 2870/10 & 2859/25 2876/5 & 2716/14 2718/15 \\
\hline tint [1] 2660/9 & took [31] 2597/7 2605/13 2614/ & tried [7] 2605/14 2605/14 2636/1 \\
\hline tiny [1] 2838/12 & 2636/19 2636/19 2637/13 2646/17 & 2832/11 28 \\
\hline tipped [1] 2850/3 & 2660/24 2661/25 2676/5 2704/25 & Tripp [45] 2602/19 2603/1 2603/17 \\
\hline title [4] 2593/19 2614/7 & 2765/23 2772/17 2773/9 2773/20 & 2604/10 2605/2 2605/13 2606/3 \\
\hline 2735/17 & 2776/16 2795/18 2795/18 2796/14 & 2606/7 2606/11 2606/18 2606/20 \\
\hline & 2810/12 2810/12 2835/23 2842/15 & 2607/4 2607/7 2607/15 2607/15 \\
\hline today [18] 2701/11 2711/3 2735/3 & 2842/16 2847/3 2847/4 2849/3 & 2612/22 2612/24 2615/21 2616/7 \\
\hline 2744/6 2754/21 2754/22 2790/8 & 2849/18 2856/5 2857/25 2864/3 & 2627/16 2628/3 2637/10 2637/13 \\
\hline 2790/12 2796/23 2797/1 2824/12 & tool [2] 2619/5 2671/5 & 2637/25 2638/14 2639/21 2640/5 \\
\hline 2828/7 2829/1 2829/6 2836/6 2836/18 & tools [2] 2593/22 2619/1 & 2641/8 2641/12 2641/23 2642/6 \\
\hline 2858/17 2874/16 & top [7] 2631/17 2641/25 2642/6 & 2643/9 2643/24 2644/16 2646/7 \\
\hline together [32] 2605/24 2606/1 2608/4 & 2645/16 2679/22 2722/5 2841/20 & 2652/17 2711/23 2711/24 2713/10 \\
\hline 2615/9 2615/10 2641/14 2653/15 & topic [1] 2756/22 & 713/18 2713/21 2714/22 2727/16 \\
\hline 2687/8 2687/20 2691/17 2691/18 & topics [2] 2773/22 2775/17 & 2785/13 2826/8 \\
\hline 2692/13 2695/12 2696/25 2700/22 & totally [1] 2618 & [4] 2603/15 2640/16 2643/12 \\
\hline 2728/5 2758/18 2805/20 2805/21 & totem [1] 2840/18 & trips [1] 2825/9 \\
\hline 2806/4 2813/1 2819/14 2819/16 & touching [1] 2832/5 tough [1] 2596/6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
trips [1] 2825/9 \\
troopers [1] 2798/2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2819/20 2825/11 2826/5 2828/7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
tough [1] 2596/6 \\
toward [1] 2779/4
\end{tabular} & trouble [7] 2737/7 2823/25 2833/8 \\
\hline 2843/23 2852/9 2852/19 2855/12 2871/7 & towards [5] 2797/22 2808/18 2832/23 & 2849/24 2851/4 2869/8 2869/9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline T & 2740/18 & 2770/4 2770/11 2771/4 2771/21 \\
\hline troubling [3] 2616/24 2841/22 & typed [2] 2732/20 2829/16 & \[
27
\] \\
\hline 2841/22 & \begin{tabular}{l}
types [3] 2661/4 2667/24 2707/1 \\
typo [1] 2783/4
\end{tabular} & university [65] 2592/9 2592/10 259 2596/24 2598/4 2598/24 2599/1 \\
\hline & & 2599/18 2599/18 2600/20 2601/21 \\
\hline 2713/22 2714/4 2714/25 2718/9 & U & 2602/15 2603/19 2608/23 2609/3 \\
\hline 2719/15 2753/11 2766/6 2766/6 & U of O [5] 2682/17 2716/14 2740/19 & 2610/16 2611/6 2612/5 2612/19 \\
\hline  & 2750/20 2830/10 & 2613/7 2613/19 2614/8 2618/6 \\
\hline 2878/8 2844/25 2839/4 & U of O's [1] 2680/9 & 2650/20 2655/10 2676/12 2678/ \\
\hline truly [4] 2592/14 2595/21 2640/19 & U.S [1] 265 & 2688/6 2689/16 2690/24 27 \\
\hline 2743/22 & ultimate [2] & 271 \\
\hline trunk [2] 2635 & ultimately [6] 2605/19 2614/5 2616/18 & 2737/17 2737/24 2738/9 2738/15 \\
\hline trust [17] 2594/24 2595/4 2595/8 & & 2738/18 2739/11 2739/17 2740/1 \\
\hline 2600/2 2601/12 2614/20 2615/5 & unacceptable [2] 2640/14 2827/12 & 2741/10 2744/18 2746/20 2747/12 \\
\hline 2622/17 2624/6 2624/12 2640/2 & unanimous [5] 2780/19 2781/1 & 2747/15 2747/25 2748/4 2748/21 \\
\hline 2646/23 2646/24 2807/25 2809/15 & 782/5 278 & 2748/23 2748/24 2771/12 277 \\
\hline 2830/22 2838/16 & unarmed [1] 2636/6 & 2776/22 2786/11 2804/13 2807/8 \\
\hline trust-based [1] 2615/5 & unaware [1] 2618/5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2815/20 2840/12 2854/21 2855/8 } \\
& \text { 2860/12 2860/22 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline trusted [1] 2742/5 & uncertain [2] 2873/1 2873 & university's [1] 2671/13 \\
\hline Trusting [1] 2786/8 truth [9] 2606/6 2797/ & unchartered [1] 2873/4 & university's [1] 2671/13 unknown [1] 2637/6 \\
\hline truth [9] 2606/6 2797/13 2806/1 2808/2 2808/5 2808/9 2815/11 & unclear [1] 2741/10 & unlawful [9] 2625/15 2626/24 26 \\
\hline 2838/12 2838/15 & uncomfortable [3] 2604/9 2842 & \[
\text { 2638/20 2640/6 2669/3 } 2
\] \\
\hline truthful [2] 2694/25 & \[
\begin{array}{|c|c}
2842 / 12 \\
\text { uncoope }
\end{array}
\] & 2716/13 2720/16 \\
\hline truthfulness [6] 2691/25 2742/8 & under [40] 2593/20 2598/11 2699/9 & 2667/20 2679/16 2684/5 2689/17 \\
\hline & 2699/15 2737/7 2740/4 2767/11 & 2758/15 2766/16 2823/2 2847/23 \\
\hline try [11] \(2602 / 82604 / 18\) 2606/10 & 2769/25 2770/2 2770/8 2770/1 & 2851/6 2861/2 2861/3 \\
\hline 61/11 2762/11 2789/24 2843/16 & 2770/13 2770/14 2770/16 2770/21 & unnecessary [2] 2778/22 2806/1 \\
\hline trying [32] 2596/5 2596/7 2606/1 & 2771/3 2771/20 2772/22 2772/24 & unprepared [1] 2797/24 \\
\hline 2609/12 2620/24 2623/9 2628/16 & 2773/1 2774/3 2774/8 2774/1 & unprofessional [2] 2660/7 2750/8 \\
\hline 2759/13 2762/4 2793/3 2793/18 & 2774/23 2775/4 2775/12 2776/7 & unrecorded [1] 28 \\
\hline 2814/10 2819/3 2820/18 2825/19 & 2776/9 2776/11 2776/16 2776/23 & unredacted [1] \\
\hline 2832/21 2838/14 2843/12 2843/13 & 2778/16 2778/19 2801/9 2821 & unsafe [15] 2715/23 2717/5 2717/15 \\
\hline 2844/7 2846/16 2849/23 2851/2 & 2831/15 2831/16 2854/3 2863/ & 2717/22 2718/8 2816/17 2819/7 \\
\hline 2851/4 2853/18 2855/4 2866/23 & 2864/19 & 2821/12 2840/24 2841/7 2841/12 \\
\hline 2866/24 2868/7 2869/15 2869/23 & underline & 2841/15 2841/16 2841/18 2857/20 \\
\hline /1 & understand [22] 2615/19 262 & unshaven [1] 2848/9 \\
\hline turf [1] 2 & 2629/24 2635/4 2648/8 2649/15 & unthinkable [1] 2636/1 \\
\hline turn [9] 2592/1 2759/16 2759/17 & 2685/13 2686/ & until [30] 2592/9 2596/23 2607/24 \\
\hline 2796/2 2796/10 2850/13 2854/5 & & 269 \\
\hline 2862/3 2862/18 & & 2791/13 2791/14 2792/1 2793/17 \\
\hline turned [7] 2636/21 2649/12 2746/24 & \begin{tabular}{l}
2861/1 2875/16 2875/23 \\
understandable [2] 2761/2 2869/3
\end{tabular} & 793/17 2803/21 2811/3 28 \\
\hline 2747/3 2748/14 2820/12 2861/25 & understanding [16] 2601/3 2601/5 & \\
\hline turning [2] 2720/20 2862/9 & 2601/6 2629/20 2673/16 2673/21 & 2850/13 2850/23 \\
\hline twice [2] 2834/8 2871/24 & 2692/3 2692/21 2693/16 2694/1 & 2859/24 2871/21 2874/1 \\
\hline twins [1] 2596/17 & 2694/13 2699/19 2701/12 2731/10 & untrustworthy [1] 283 \\
\hline two [77] 2596/16 2597/20 2598/20 & 2731/13 2823/10 & \\
\hline 2600/5 2600/5 2601/5 2602/6 2627/16 & understands [1] 2860/1 & \[
2750 / 92786 / 192818 / 14
\] \\
\hline 2648/6 2648/13 2649/19 2651/18 & undertake [1] 2738/23 & untruthfulness [2] 2700/14 2798 \\
\hline 2657/22 2671/10 2688/1 2704/18 & unethical [3] 2750/8 2851/23 2855/20 & unusual [1] 2819/1 \\
\hline 32/25 2733/1 2739/13 2749/12 & unfair [1] 2843/10 & UOPD [3] 2679/16 2699/5 2699/1 \\
\hline 2751/9 2758/4 2758/8 2760/6 2786/7 & unfavorable [1] 2698/19 & up [108] 2599/1 2600/9 2606/7 2606/8 \\
\hline 2786/21 2788/22 2789/10 2791/22 & unfortunate [1] 2641/10 & 2607/12 2607/21 2609/5 2609/11 \\
\hline 2792/7 2792/8 2792/18 2792/22 & unfortunately [2] 2608/7 2820/6 & 2614/17 2620/22 2623/9 2626/16 \\
\hline 2793/1 2794/8 2796/14 2796/18 & uniform [3] 2646/21 2692/14 2861/15 & 2632/2 2636/20 2655/20 2655/24 \\
\hline 2796/19 2796/20 2801/13 2801/16 & uniformed [1] 2641/5 & 2656/7 2659/23 2660/24 2661/10 \\
\hline 2801/20 2801/22 2802/13 2807/6 & uniforms [1] 2596/8 & 2685/14 2685/19 2685/25 2686/16 \\
\hline 2819/1 2820/25 2821/1 2821/24 & union [17] 2653/12 2656/22 2664/12 & 2689/7 2691/8 2692/4 2692/8 2703/8 \\
\hline 2822/4 2822/11 2826/20 2835/8 & 75/1 2688/6 2803/6 2817/12 & 2704/6 2710/22 2710/24 2712/11 \\
\hline 2837/8 2841/25 2843/25 2845/20 & 2823/13 2834/7 2835/3 2835/10 & 2715/7 2718/10 2721/9 2732/16 \\
\hline 2848/10 2848/11 2850/10 2854/11 & 2835/16 2844/6 2849/9 2854/19 & 2736/12 2745/8 2752/7 2753/ \\
\hline 2854/14 2854/15 2854/16 2854/25 & 2861/18 2872/15 & 2757/21 2757/22 2757/24 2759/17 \\
\hline 2856/10 2856/12 2858/13 2861/13 & unique [3] 2592/13 2592/15 2594/22 & 2782/15 2785/22 2787/23 2789/20 \\
\hline 2865/6 2870/13 2874/5 2876/20 & unit [2] 2597/25 2633/22 & 2789/22 2789/24 2790/5 2790/15 \\
\hline type [4] 2604/25 2687/7 2733/4 & UNITED [9] 2588/1 2588/16 2589/20 & 2791/3 2791/4 2796/8 2797/3 2797/5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
up... [50] 2797/11 2800/3 2804/19 2805/8 2805/11 2805/21 2805/25 2807/15 2808/2 2808/5 2808/21 2809/2 2809/18 2811/8 2811/12 2811/15 2811/23 2814/23 2815/8 2816/25 2818/11 2819/18 2820/2 2821/14 2823/22 2826/9 2829/17 2829/24 2831/17 2833/7 2833/20 2834/1 2834/5 2836/15 2837/12 2844/7 2844/13 2846/16 2846/17 2852/10 2853/13 2854/6 2863/16 2864/22 2866/9 2867/11 2869/20 2869/24 2872/16 2875/1
updated [5] 2627/16 2627/19 2630/9 2630/12 2632/11
updates [1] 2630/13
upheld [1] 2845/2
upon [10] 2671/15 2686/9 2686/18 2723/21 2740/8 2750/9 2750/23 2760/12 2777/15 2777/16
uppity [1] 2781/11
upset [4] 2683/15 2741/13 2798/15 2832/16
urgent [1] 2640/1
us [74] 2595/13 2596/25 2597/17 2598/23 2601/21 2605/10 2606/8 2606/16 2607/6 2607/14 2608/24 2610/5 2610/17 2611/20 2613/13 2614/10 2615/1 2623/20 2624/2 2624/11 2624/12 2624/12 2625/8 2629/24 2632/1 2632/4 2632/4 2633/5 2634/7 2648/15 2665/20 2666/21 2667/13 2667/14 2670/21 2671/5 2672/24 2685/20 2691/5 2693/11 2694/20 2698/9 2698/13 2705/18 2705/19 2735/8 2736/17 2739/2 2740/6 2762/20 2763/8 2763/9 2769/23 2784/17 2785/13 2786/24 2792/17 2798/3 2800/15 2803/19 2810/12 2810/19 2810/22 2819/2 2819/23 2821/8 2825/21 2843/19 2859/16 2867/6 2874/5 2874/6 2874/9 2875/3
us-against-them [2] 2810/19 2867/6 USC [1] 2770/1
use [21] 2667/23 2701/21 2708/4 2708/9 2717/16 2718/1 2758/24 2759/12 2777/22 2778/1 2778/22 2779/2 2783/19 2783/21 2784/21 2789/8 2802/15 2802/20 2818/23 2827/20 2865/15
used [10] 2601/4 2640/9 2758/12
2760/21 2760/23 2825/6 2825/6 2825/7 2825/8 2826/22
useful [3] 2619/5 2714/21 2714/22 using [4] 2600/14 2665/17 2665/24 2667/20
usual [1] 2707/4
usually [8] 2604/19 2611/5 2620/9
2620/22 2720/1 2760/23 2796/22
2796/23
utilized [1] 2742/2
utterly [1] 2825/12

\section*{V}
vacation [1] 2870/13
vaguely [1] 2628/15
valid [2] 2666/12 2842/8
valuable [1] 2815/12
value [12] 2680/8 2742/1 2753/17
2763/15 2764/7 2777/12 2779/22
2779/23 2779/24 2780/4 2780/7 2786/8
variety [3] 2597/22 2735/4 2813/17
various [2] 2739/22 2816/2
vast [1] 2815/22
vehicle [4] 2625/17 2625/22 2625/24 2625/25
vented [1] 2606/25
venting [4] 2725/20 2725/22 2798/15 2798/20
veracity [3] 2699/12 2743/13 2743/21
verbal [1] 2736/14
verbally [2] 2760/11 2760/22
verdict [24] 2756/23 2757/6 2757/19
2765/16 2766/23 2770/22 2770/25
2777/3 2780/19 2781/1 2781/5
2781/23 2782/1 2782/3 2782/6
2783/12 2790/25 2827/15 2828/5
2828/9 2858/2 2864/24 2873/15 2874/1
version [1] 2717/22
versus [7] 2643/25 2648/21 2717/22
2723/9 2790/2 2790/15 2808/2
very [57] 2592/13 2592/15 2593/3 2596/4 2598/18 2603/20 2606/21 2610/24 2616/20 2616/25 2621/14 2624/1 2624/10 2632/16 2636/16 2649/14 2650/1 2655/13 2658/4 2658/15 2660/7 2665/12 2667/14 2680/9 2691/8 2697/6 2711/4 2711/20 2731/8 2731/18 2731/22 2731/24 2731/25 2734/2 2735/8 2741/10 2741/17 2781/13 2781/15 2782/4 2785/4 2804/18 2804/18 2809/11 2820/5 2822/10 2825/15 2827/3 2859/5 2866/17 2870/16 2872/2 2873/4 2874/1 2874/5 2876/18 2877/3 vest [1] 2596/10
veteran [1] 2760/17
veterinarian [1] 2595/18
vetted [1] 2643/8
via [3] 2614/1 2616/2 2630/13
Vice [2] 2607/13 2682/15
video [33] 2623/10 2623/12 2623/13 2623/17 2623/21 2624/8 2625/1 2625/4 2625/16 2625/18 2625/20 2626/7 2626/8 2634/23 2638/21 2639/8 2703/7 2703/11 2796/3 2816/3 2816/6 2816/9 2816/12 2816/18 2818/8 2820/6 2820/7 2820/21 2850/12 2850/15 2850/21 2850/23 2850/24
videos [15] 2739/22 2739/24 2739/25 2740/2 2740/4 2784/21 2816/1 2816/4 2816/15 2818/19 2821/3 2841/1 2843/12 2868/22 2870/9
view [26] 2664/11 2712/18 2712/19 2750/22 2812/8 2812/25 2817/15 2817/17 2817/19 2817/20 2817/23 2818/1 2818/6 2818/7 2820/1 2820/3 2820/11 2834/12 2841/10 2849/15 2850/8 2850/18 2866/15 2866/16

2866/20 2869/7
views [1] 2780/22
vigilant [1] 2627/6
vindicative [2] 2837/18 2871/20 violate [4] 2667/21 2677/9 2778/18 2802/21
violated [6] 2771/19 2771/21 2772/10
2775/22 2842/23 2871/1
violates [1] 2778/21
violation [12] 2668/1 2774/3 2774/17
2774/25 2775/6 2775/14 2821/25
2856/19 2864/11 2864/15 2864/20
2864/21
violations [1] 2791/3
violator [1] 2636/19
visceral [1] 2809/9
visible [1] 2800/24
vision [4] 2614/10 2614/19 2614/24
2616/13
visitor [2] 2622/1 2709/17
visits [1] 2818/7
voiced [2] 2617/10 2857/23
voicing [1] 2832/17
volume [5] 2665/3 2704/18 2704/21 2704/22 2876/22
volumes [1] 2701/18
voluntarily [1] 2686/15
Volunteered [1] 2785/17
vote [1] 2781/24
VPFA [1] 2608/21
vulnerable [3] 2592/20 2616/25 2845/12

W
wages [6] 2761/23 2763/15 2764/7 2777/12 2862/24 2863/9
Waggoner [2] 2666/9 2666/11
wait [6] 2756/7 2799/1 2866/13 2869/20 2871/5 2876/25
waiting [6] 2621/13 2781/20 2874/7 2874/9 2875/2 2876/7
waive [3] 2685/4 2685/9 2711/5
waived [3] 2684/22 2685/12 2686/15
waiving [1] 2684/19
walk [6] 2639/17 2652/4 2745/10
2745/11 2746/10 2874/2
walked [1] 2840/14
walking [1] 2625/19
wall [1] 2734/14
want [104] 2592/3 2603/25 2605/9 2615/7 2615/7 2615/8 2615/16 2617/3 2619/17 2622/12 2626/22 2634/22 2637/2 2637/3 2638/11 2651/7 2652/3 2665/23 2679/10 2680/5 2680/7 2680/12 2685/2 2689/5 2690/13 2695/9 2703/8 2704/11 2704/12 2705/13 2711/2 2713/16 2713/16 2716/3 2721/1 2728/15 2728/17 2729/5 2730/14 2732/11 2732/20 2733/4 2733/16 2737/3 2743/23 2745/7 2753/5 2753/23 2754/4 2754/15 2754/16 2756/10 2758/1 2758/19 2760/15 2761/16 2762/25 2763/4 2763/14 2782/2 2782/16 2783/24 2784/16 2789/3 2790/15 2800/15 2802/9 2803/1 2804/11 2810/3 2813/18 2814/21 2818/17
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline W & 27 & \[
28
\] \\
\hline want... [31] 2819/6 2820/11 2821/12 & 2764/15 2767/7 2790/25 2800/14 & \[
283
\] \\
\hline 2823/16 2824/17 2828/17 2829/5 & 2805/20 2806/22 2818/11 2818/22 & \[
850
\] \\
\hline 2830/13 2833/14 2835/4 2838/20 & 28 & \\
\hline 2843/1 2852/16 2853/8 2853/15 & 2840/17 2841/6 2850/13 2853 & \\
\hline 2855/20 2856/24 2860/2 2861/2 & 28 & weren't [9] 2624/21 2636/5 2650/25 \\
\hline 2861/3 2861/5 2861/8 2864/10 2865/4 & & \\
\hline 2870/7 2870/11 2871/3 2871/14 & 2813/17 2864/18 2870/16 2870/18
we [327] & \[
2868
\]
what [430] \\
\hline 2873/20 2874/3 2874/17 & \begin{tabular}{l}
we [327] \\
we'd [1]
\end{tabular} & what [430] \\
\hline wanted [33] 2595/18 2601/24 2614/12
\(2616 / 232626 / 20 ~ 2628 / 4 ~ 2629 / 22 ~\) & we'll [19] 2632/2 2647/2 2685/25 & 2635/12 2650/17 \\
\hline 2616/23 2626/20 2628/4 2629/22 & 2703/16 2717/16 2731/25 2733/15 & 2702/6 2707/1 \\
\hline & 2752/13 2753/17 2753/25 2759/12 & 2785/7 2789/8 \\
\hline 2729/20 2732/8 2785/13 2804/21 & 2763/10 2764/12 2783/12 2783/1 & 2798/13 2798/16 2800/7 2800/20 \\
\hline 2810/2 2818/17 2818/21 2823/22 & 2828/11 2865/10 2865/15 2874/ & 2803/12 2804/2 \\
\hline 2833/25 2839/13 2844/12 & we're [24] 2591/2 2592/16 2615/1 & 2807/20 2817/2 \\
\hline 2856/16 2864/22 2866/10 2866/13 & 2628/10 2634/21 2707/20 2723/13 & 2824/23 2826/6 2827/1 2827/8 2 \\
\hline 2866/14 2876/22 & 2735/3 2753/1 2753/24 2760/11 & 2873/ \\
\hline wanting [2] 2635 & 2762/1 2783/1 & whatever [6] 2600/4 2604/1 2650 \\
\hline wants [11] & 2805/16 2814/22 2827/21 2828/15 & 2651/16 2721/1 2803/ \\
\hline 2814/20 2817/16 2821/10 2822/1 & /2 2865/18 & [1] 2857/11 \\
\hline 2842/9 2856/19 2861/18 2870/25 & & when [204] \\
\hline Wardlow [25] 2639/19 2641/13 & & \\
\hline 2641/24 2642/5 2642/8 2642/15 & 2722/11 2725/25 2753/23 2757/ & where [61] 2592/24 259 \\
\hline 2643/2 2643/9 2643/23 2644/2 2644/7 & 2788/20 2789/21 2790/1 & 2595 \\
\hline 2644/13 2653/9 2654/ & 2792/10 2792/23 2795/9 2796/13 & 2609/14 2610/5 2614/2 \\
\hline 2672/3 2722/24 2813/1 2813/2 & 2806/10 2815/15 2816/24 2818/1 & 2616/24 2618/22 262 \\
\hline 2823/14 2835/24 2844/8 2844/18 & 2824/22 2826/1 2859/9 2869/21 & 2630/1 2651/20 2655/19 2657/22 \\
\hline 2844/19 2860/3 & 287 & 2658/18 2660/8 2665 \\
\hline warning [2] 263 & & 2666/1 2666/7 2666/8 2685/2 \\
\hline & weapon [1] 2841/18 & 2691/16 2708/4 2712/1 2713/19 \\
\hline & weapons [5] 2636/7 263 & 2714/16 2724/11 2731/5 2735/13 \\
\hline warranted [4] & 2818/25 2820/9 & 2739/11 2747/9 2751/16 2758/2 \\
\hline & wearing [1] 2846/15 & 2792/10 2806/2 2815/4 2817/22 \\
\hline & week [5] 2656/20 2797 & 818/9 2818/20 2819 \\
\hline warriors [1] 2 & 2826/20 2829/9 & 2819/23 2820/19 2822/16 2825/1 \\
\hline & weekend [5] 2647/21 2647/24 2661/1 & 2834/22 2834/23 2839/13 2859/3 \\
\hline wasn't [52] & 2662/12 2719/22 & 2859/9 2867/6 2869/13 2869 \\
\hline 2612/8 2612/15 2616/21 2617/19 & weekly [4] 2622/6 2622/20 2622/23 & 2872/9 2872/12 \\
\hline 2626/10 2630/2 2630/20 2644/8 & & whether [26] 2619/2 2655/21 267 \\
\hline 2644/14 2658/10 2660/9 2660/10 & weeks [23] & 2674/24 2680/14 2682/9 2693/5 \\
\hline 2665/12 2676/18 2693/22 2695/8 & 879/22 2790/10 2792/19 2792/22 & 2699/16 2700/15 2708/13 2714/24 \\
\hline 2695/8 2700/23 2708/23 2717/21 & 2793/1 2794/8 2799/8 2810/9 2813/13 & 720 \\
\hline 2720/12 2723/7 2723/7 2724/11 & 2813/15 2817/3 2818/7 2822/11 & 2765/19 2769/10 2779/8 2780/10 \\
\hline 2726/14 2728/7 2740/2 278 & 2826/2 2827/11 2836/7 2838/25 & 2791/15 2802/12 2836/8 2852/9 \\
\hline 2787/23 2791/13 2804/8 2804/22 & 2864/24 2870/13 2873/1 & 2855 \\
\hline 2826/19 2832/24 2833/1 2833/6 & weeks' [1] & which [53] 2593/20 2597/19 \\
\hline 2833/25 2834/2 2835/15 2839/9 & weigh [1] 2682/19 & 2602/16 2606/17 2612/25 2621/14 \\
\hline 2842/7 2859/5 2859/6 2860/11 & w & 2621/25 2633/20 2640/8 2661 \\
\hline 2866/17 2867 & weighing [3] 2785/25 2789/5 2807 & 2666/23 2669/3 2699/17 2706/2 \\
\hline 2866/17 & weight [4] 2768/8 2768/9 2769/14 & 2749/6 2752/8 2755 \\
\hline & & 2757/25 2758/10 2763/15 276 \\
\hline wasted [5] 2774/11 2774/19 27 & weird [1] 2811/8 & 2766/7 2766/14 2766/20 2766/21 \\
\hline 2775/16 2803/24 & welcome [3] 2754/14 2755/1 2783/21 & 2769/1 2769/ \\
\hline & well [153] & 2773/16 2775/24 2777/2 2777/11 \\
\hline 2834/25 2835/13 2859/19 2864/6 & well-educated [1] 2785 & 777/12 2777/17 2778/2 2779/2 \\
\hline 2623/13 2625/4 2816/5 & well-known [1] 2785/4 & 2780/8 2781/19 2787/2 2787/19 \\
\hline 2863/23 2868/22 & well-lit [1] 2820/5 & 790/18 2805/17 2811/18 2820/ \\
\hline watched [3] 2623/21 2624/8 2626/8 & well-respected [1] & 828/7 2844/5 2853/25 2856/4 \\
\hline watching [6] 2609/8 2611/2 2827/16 & w & 2866/15 20712500710 \\
\hline 2827/17 2827/18 2827/18 & \[
612592
\] & 6] \\
\hline way [46] 2604/22 2606/14 2612/6 & \[
2597 / 5 \text { 2600/18 } 2606 /
\] & 2656/12 2658/6 2681/19 2699/13 \\
\hline 2614/17 2615/13 2616/22 2619/3 & 2600148 260 & \\
\hline 2621/14 2624/22 2627/8 2636/18 & 2676/16 2676/20 & \[
73
\] \\
\hline 2636/25 2639/19 2671/6 2695/5 & \[
2689 / 7 \text { 2701/12 2709/25 2723/11 }
\] & 2761/17 2769/8 2781/20 2788/15 \\
\hline \(2722 / 14\) 2722/19 2722/22 2726/9
2726/13 2726/13 2726/15 2726/17 & 2733/20 2784/20 2785/19 2785/25 & 2816/9 2829/20 2855/25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2869/21 2869/22 2870/7 2870/8
wife [4] 2839/7 2839/7 2863/2 2867/20 wilderness [1] 2595/16 will [55] 2600/2 2606/7 2610/23 2615/3 2624/5 2624/6 2632/14 2640/24 2643/10 2651/2 2663/13 2686/1 2699/14 2703/2 2703/3 2703/20 2708/6 2713/25 2714/4 2753/13 2760/12 2765/10 2765/12 2765/23 2767/4 2769/17 2769/18 2772/7 2772/14 2773/12 2777/7 2777/11 2778/13 2778/18 2779/25 2780/16 2780/17 2781/14 2782/7 2782/13 2782/14 2793/8 2799/25 2802/2 2805/13 2809/2 2821/15 2823/2 2824/25 2835/25 2852/10 2863/12 2873/16 2874/4 2876/3
Williams [4] 2602/21 2602/22 2603/1 2809/20
willing [14] 2594/21 2617/20 2624/11 2653/7 2728/21 2728/23 2728/24 2753/10 2760/12 2760/13 2761/11 2761/14 2762/19 2873/21
window [1] 2615/15
windows [1] 2660/9
wings [2] 2621/16 2709/16
wisdom [1] 2828/10
wise [1] 2828/3
wisely [1] 2827/20
wish [4] 2602/11 2754/25 2755/2 2756/8
withdraw [1] 2650/8
withdrawing [1] 2752/18
withdrawn [2] 2752/17 2752/20
withdrew [1] 2752/19
within [18] 2592/14 2674/9 2742/10 2742/13 2760/8 2790/11 2790/14 2792/4 2792/25 2805/3 2813/15 2817/9 2817/20 2866/8 2868/4 2870/6 2872/10 2873/14
without [11] 2594/14 2616/8 2620/19 2634/5 2672/25 2680/2 2736/23 2816/25 2835/23 2860/4 2878/11 witness [40] 2591/4 2591/10 2591/14 2632/5 2647/18 2694/25 2699/18 2701/15 2701/16 2729/18 2730/2 2731/2 2731/4 2731/9 2731/24 2732/15 2733/9 2733/10 2733/15 2734/2 2734/3 2734/10 2734/14 2746/15 2751/3 2766/20 2768/3 2768/4 2769/2 2769/5 2769/6 2785/23 2786/2 2796/8 2797/11 2814/16 2821/9 2838/11 2871/13 2871/15 witness's [5] 2769/8 2769/8 2769/9 2769/11 2769/12
witnessed [2] 2786/18 2832/7
witnesses [13] 2590/2 2590/9 2591/25 2767/3 2769/4 2769/15 2796/10 2810/17 2814/13 2815/16 2838/23 2849/1 2850/10
wolves [1] 2826/12
woman [10] 2624/9 2636/9 2680/3 2713/19 2714/13 2830/24 2831/1 2831/7 2831/8 2833/18
women [9] 2596/5 2596/9 2603/21 2617/11 2624/5 2807/22 2807/24 2807/24 2821/1
won [5] 2717/23 2725/7 2726/3 2791/20 2793/14
won't [7] 2665/8 2711/3 2781/12 2823/12 2823/15 2851/3 2874/23
wondered [1] 2624/19
wondering [2] 2624/23 2869/3
word [7] 2626/15 2759/13 2762/1
2763/8 2791/24 2791/25 2792/2
wording [1] 2761/23
words [14] 2625/11 2626/15 2655/24
2689/6 2721/2 2753/6 2753/15 2754/14 2760/15 2782/22 2790/20 2796/16 2842/17 2856/16
work [54] 2594/12 2595/4 2595/9 2596/7 2596/12 2597/23 2603/17 2603/22 2604/10 2605/16 2605/24 2606/14 2606/22 2615/9 2615/19 2621/25 2629/23 2635/11 2636/15 2640/25 2646/15 2655/22 2675/3 2686/5 2687/5 2687/24 2688/3 2691/17 2691/22 2692/13 2693/4 2700/21 2701/7 2711/10 2722/20 2735/8 2762/14 2762/16 2762/21 2763/9 2764/9 2764/10 2802/20 2806/22 2814/20 2824/20 2839/9 2848/8 2853/9 2853/13 2855/7 2856/17 2874/6 2875/1
worked [22] 2592/10 2596/23 2597/1 2597/3 2597/5 2603/13 2605/13 2605/15 2636/16 2641/13 2645/1 2656/6 2683/21 2690/23 2738/1 2744/2 2785/16 2785/16 2814/14 2815/12 2822/2 2831/21
worker [2] 2773/17 2787/20
workers [1] 2840/25
working [25] 2593/8 2593/14 2605/7 2606/1 2606/16 2606/18 2613/3 2656/5 2656/16 2680/8 2680/12 2690/2 2693/23 2727/16 2736/21 2742/4 2757/18 2805/20 2815/21 2823/4 2826/5 2832/24 2839/19 2848/8 2870/5
works [2] 2758/23 2837/21
world [3] 2592/18 2871/20 2873/6
worlds [1] 2807/7
worried [2] 2708/24 2843/17
worth [1] 2838/18
would [194]
wouldn't [18] 2636/5 2648/11 2660/6 2665/25 2693/14 2700/20 2701/7 2709/9 2725/7 2725/11 2729/12 2788/18 2796/23 2802/21 2810/9 2833/8 2844/13 2845/6
write [8] 2603/25 2681/8 2711/6 2747/22 2760/10 2761/25 2792/21 2805/20
writing [19] 2638/14 2698/18 2708/16 2721/16 2763/14 2781/10 2781/14 2781/17 2791/25 2792/2 2792/25 2823/9 2823/12 2823/15 2823/17 2824/1 2833/19 2835/16 2870/20 written [38] 2609/22 2621/1 2621/2 2639/20 2654/20 2662/15 2663/24 2664/4 2665/9 2675/13 2680/6 2786/13 2796/16 2799/21 2805/11 2811/15 2815/11 2820/2 2821/6 2821/7 2821/11 2844/14 2844/20
```


[^0]:    A. Yes.
    Q. Were they in support of Mark Boyd being trespassed?
    A. Yes. They asked if they could be provided with the letter to give to Mr. Boyd.
    Q. All right. Was Mark Boyd trespassed from the University of Oregon in any way to get back at Casey Boyd?
    A. No.
    Q. And an exception was made for him, wasn't it, so he could come on campus for his daughter?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And who made that decision?
    A. Well, they -- they -- the process to appeal an LOT, a
    letter of trespass, is to provide the consideration to me and let me make a determination, but it -- it was instead delivered to the office of the president, so I wasn't aware of the appeal.

    But when I was made aware of the appeal, they were asking for accommodation to transport their daughter to and from the University of Oregon. That seemed like a reasonable request, so I granted it.
    Q. All right. So when you -- when did you actually take over as managing the department day to day when Tripp was gone at the academy?
    A. When Chief Tripp left in February of 2012 to attend the police academy, which is 16 weeks, I became the acting chief.

