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## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(Jury present.)

## THE COURT: Good morning.

Counsel, if you would have a seat, along with the parties, please.

Okay. Verdict form.
And then, Christy, if you turn the page. And slide it down just a little bit. Christy, the other way. Perfect.

We, the jury, first being duly sworn, return our verdict as follows: Carolyn McDermed. Answer the following questions, one through two, as to Defendant Carolyn McDermed only.

One: Did Carolyn McDermed take an adverse employment action against the plaintiff for which the plaintiff's speech on a matter of public concern was a substantial or motivating factor? Yes or no.

Question two: If you answered yes to question one, which speech motivated Defendant Carolyn McDermed to take adverse employment action against the plaintiff? Check any and all that apply.

And then there are a series.
When he spoke about the Tasers in 2008.
Complaints that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights in violation of state law.

Complaints that he thought he was being retaliated against
by his supervisors because of the Taser speech.
Complaints about the list or bowl of dicks list and the
time it wasted.
Complaints about the disparagement of people in the Occupy
Movement.
Complaints concerning the legality of his order to only report felonies in September 2012.

Filing a lawsuit in October 2013 and its contents.
And I think it should be clear that if you answer no to question one, you wouldn't answer any of these questions in question two.

Agree, Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Agree.
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: If you answer yes, then we're asking
which of those you're finding.
And if you would turn the page. Thanks, Christy.
Brandon Lebrecht. Answer the following questions, three
and four, as to Defendant Brandon Lebrecht only.
Question three: Did Brandon Lebrecht take an adverse
employment action against the plaintiff for which the
plaintiff's speech on a matter of public concern was a substantial or motivating factor? Yes or no.

If you answered yes to question three, which speech
motivated defendant Brandon Lebrecht to take adverse employment
action against the plaintiff? Check any and all that apply. 1
When he spoke about Tasers in 2008.
Complaints that he thought he was not being afforded his rights under the Public Officers Bill of Rights -- under the Public Safety Officers Bill of Rights, in violation of state law.

Complaints about the list or bowl of dicks list and the time it wasted.

Complaints about the disparagement of people in the Occupy
Movement.
Complaints concerning the legality of his order to only report felonies in September 2012.

Filing a lawsuit in October of 2013 and its contents.
Once again, if you answer yes to question three, then we would like to know which of these apply. If you answer no, then you won't answer question four.

Scott Cameron. Answer the following question five as to Defendant Scott Cameron only.

Did Scott Cameron take an adverse action which was reasonably likely to deter protected speech against the plaintiff in retaliation for plaintiff's speech about Tasers? Yes or no.

If you answered no to questions one, three, and five, do not continue to questions six and seven. Please simply sign this form.

Now, Christy, the last page.
As to all defendants: If you answered yes to question one, three, and/or five -- so if you get to question six, you may have answered yes to one, three, and five, you may have answered yes to -- these are hypotheticals -- three and five and not one, whatever, but if you answer yes to question one, three, and/or five, what are plaintiff's damages? Economic damages. Noneconomic damages. Nominal damages.

If you found that any defendant was not liable, do not answer question seven as to that defendant.

Should plaintiff be awarded punitive damages against: A. Carolyn McDermed, yes or no. Brandon Lebrecht, yes or no. And, if so, in what amounts. For Scott Cameron, yes or no. Sign it and date it.

Now, the evidence should be back -- I'm going to send my verdict form back to you. I'm going to send my instructions. Return them when you're done. It's my only copy.

Christy, if you would be kind enough to raise your right hand.
(Bailiff sworn.)

THE COURT: Now, you're going to dine deluxe. We'll have lunch brought in for you today. Lobster, steak. I'm kidding you. But it will be decent.

Well, let your deliberations begin. Thank you.
(Jury deliberating.)

THE COURT: Where will you be? I won't hold you to
court. You can go get coffee or whatever. Are your offices close by?

MS. COIT: We're about three blocks up.
THE COURT: Two blocks away.
You're about four, five?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Five, yeah.
THE COURT: How long does it take you to get here?
MS. COIT: Ten minutes.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Five minutes. Ten.
THE COURT: Five minutes, ten. If you want to go back to your offices, fine. If you want to go to a restaurant, fine. Leave your cell phone with Christy. And if we have something, I mean, we'll just immediately be right back here. Okay? Well, there's nothing else to do except wait then.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor, how do you handle juror questions, then?

THE COURT: I get them, I read them, and then I give them to you for comment. In other words, I don't make unilateral decisions without your input. Some of those are easily resolved. Some of those are legal issues. We may have an issue where they don't believe that they were adequately instructed. Sometimes they're simple requests for readback. But you'll know. That's why I need you here immediately. And I want your input about those questions before I send back a
response.
I split whether I bring them in to court -- obviously, for readbacks, et cetera. Or whether sometimes we have a stipulation that we just send a note back on whatever they were asking. So I -- we just wait.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
MS. COIT: Can I ask you a question? And I'm just
curious. Since we only need six, what happens if they're hung seven/one? Do you let go of the one? No?

If we go in with eight, we need eight unanimous?
THE COURT: We need eight unanimous.
Unless you want to stipulate.
MS. COIT: No, I was just asking.
THE COURT: A lot of courts use alternates. I don't
want burdens on plaintiff as I go up in numbers because every
time you go up in a number, it's a potential detriment because
you have to convince more people and you have the burden. But it could also work the reverse way.

I don't like alternates because I'm used to much longer trials. And what happens is over the years is I believe the alternates just aren't paying the same kind of quality attention. Although, the record reflects that they are. The second reason for that is I don't select alternates versus -- a case we finished we had to have 10 alternates because it took six months. I don't want there to be an alternate of one, two,
three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten because alternate ten believes that he or she will never be called. And so you're losing a vast amount of your alternates. Or they treat it with novelty and think I'm number ten. "My uncle came to town. I don't have to serve. I'm going to raise a problem with the judge." So that's why I traditionally have used eight. Okay? I didn't think it increased that much of a burden on either of you, and I didn't have to deal with alternates. Any more questions?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No.
MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: Now, if you can stipulate to the seven to
one and you want me to remove that juror --
MS. COIT: I was just asking.
THE COURT: -- I'll gloriously kick he or she out of court. I'll set a bad example. Now, I'm just joking with you.

MS. COIT: Can we make the decision when we know
whose side the seven is on?
THE COURT: No. We start with eight. We end with eight. We'll thank you. We'll see you whenever.

```
                                    (Off the record.)
```

THE COURT: We're on the record, and counsel are present. The parties are present. We received two notes. The first note we received was that the jury needs Scott Cameron's
testimony when called by the plaintiff the first time he testified. And just after we called each of you, we received a second note. "We're missing the four-page -- we are missing Exhibit 208, the four-page letter for/by Royce Myers."

Now, we don't have that four-page letter as ever having been received, so I'll let you two sort that out during the reading. The court reporter is prepared to read, and we'll conduct that reading first.

And I'm going to instruct the jury that if at any time they wish to stop that reading, they may do so if they're searching for a particular portion, but, beyond that, we're going to read the entire testimony when called by the plaintiff. We take that literally.

So any questions?
MS. COIT: No.
THE COURT: Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: What are you going to tell them

## in relation to Exhibit 208?

THE COURT: Nothing. I'll let the two of you discuss
that. The last time I looked at the evidence code, the item wasn't received by the Court. I can't receive that item.

So I don't know if one of you wanted that received or not, but that's a private discussion between the two of you. The record stands as it is right now, and 208 was not received.

So let's get through the reading. That's about an hour or

## more. Maybe a couple hours. And let's stand for the jury.

I'll tell them we'll resolve the next note after the reading or --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Your Honor --
(Jury present.)
THE COURT: All right. The jury is present. All counsel are present. The parties are present. You may be seated. Thank you for your courtesy.

When we received the note, the first note, "Need Scott Cameron's testimony when called by the plaintiff the first time he testified." So the court reporter is prepared to read that to you. If you are looking for a particular portion, at any time if you tell us to stop, we will; but otherwise we take that note very literally, and we'll go through that entire reading for you.

We also take that note literally, and that is when called by the plaintiff, so we'll stop at the end of the testimony by the plaintiff.

So if you would like, at your convenience, for the court reporter.

THE COURT REPORTER: Can I clarify? Is that cross-examination as well or just --

THE COURT: No. When called by the plaintiff.
A JUROR: Your Honor, I just kind of had a question.
I don't know if we can clarify the note, but I think what we
meant by the plaintiff is -- I think we did want to hear cross because Cameron was called twice. Once by the plaintiff and once by the defense.

THE COURT: All right. I have to keep a very careful record. I'll give you back this note, and if you want all the testimony, the called by the plaintiff and the cross-examination, I literally need to know that at this point. I've got to be very careful with this record. Okay? I'll give that note back to you. Just go back over it for one second. If you want, just write down exactly what you want.

A JUROR: Okay.
THE COURT: So -- maybe you can do it there, if you
decide to. I don't want to be part of that -- go back. I have to be very careful what you're requesting.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Jury not present.) } \\
& \text { (Jury present.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

THE COURT: Thanks, Christy. The jury has returned. We're, of course, in section with parties present and counsel present.
"Could we have all the testimony given by Scott Cameron when he was called by the plaintiff to include direct, cross, redirect, and recross. Absolutely.

And the court reporter can begin reading at any time.
(The testimony of Scott Cameron, when called by the plaintiff,
was then read back by the court reporter to the jurors in open

THE COURT: We're going to ask you to -- we're going to have a discussion about the second note in just a moment.
(Jury not present.)

The jury is no longer present. We received a second note just after we received the first note that requested the rereading of Sergeant Cameron. Let me read the second note once again. We are missing Exhibit 208, four-page letter for/by Royce Myers.

The clerk and I have been checking our records. We show that as marked but never received into evidence. And I cannot supplement that record. That's why I've continually requested each of you along the way -- I think on four or five occasions -- to take a look at each item of evidence and to sign the back of the exhibit, which meant that counsel had personally looked at the exhibits.

Now, per chance, we're wrong. I don't think so, so I'll invite you to work with the court reporter for a moment to see if that was ever moved into evidence, but I have no recollection of that occurring.

MS. COIT: It was objected to and the court agreed with my objection. It was hearsay. He was allowed to be asked, I think, questions about the content.

THE COURT: Well, then the record has to stand, and that is it wasn't received into evidence, and I simply need to
tell the jury that.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I believe that's accurate. I -I am a little --

THE COURT: Why don't you work with the court reporter and just --

MS. COIT: If we can just -- is there a way to search the transcript for the number 208?

THE COURT: Yes, there is. So let me just take a recess and come on up and work with the court reporter.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Can I bring up one more issue?
It's very small, but there were two video clips played to the witness that weren't read just now that were impeachment clips. I'm having those clips brought over from his deposition right now, so I would like the opportunity for the jurors to hear what he actually said on impeachment.

THE COURT: Let me ask if they would like those clips
because I'm literally taking their note verbatim.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Okay.
THE COURT: And I'm not certain that it's appropriate for me just to include the clips, but I'm certainly willing to ask them if that completes the reading when they come back out. Take a look at 208 for just a moment and see if there's any disagreement that it was not received.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: We're back on the record. Counsel has
been able to consult with the court reporter. Counsel?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yes. After reviewing the record,
it was offered and you did not receive it, Your Honor.
THE COURT: The objection was hearsay?
MS. COIT: Yes.
THE COURT: I need to inform the jury that that item has not been received into evidence. Simple statement by the Court.

The second request, though, was that -- did you want me to inquire if that completed the reading and inform them that there were videos?

MR. JASON KAFOURY: So what happened was the court reporter did not type when we impeached playing video clips, so we -- there were two clips. They're probably 30 seconds' worth of testimony.

THE COURT: I need to inquire of the jury, though. If they want those, we'll, of course, make those available. Otherwise, their reading was literally a request of a reading. But let's make certain.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'm having that brought over, the deposition, right now.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's find out if they want that or not.

Also, we are the ones that should keep the record. I don't --

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I -- I'm realizing this. I'm going to have someone go back through the transcript and provide you a marked copy of every depo that had clips -- most of them we couldn't play. There's only a few that got played. I will have to do that.

THE COURT: I don't understand.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: So I will provide to the Court as court copies depositions with brackets on any sections that were played by witness as impeachment.

THE COURT: Okay. But the -- the jury has those videos already.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: No. They don't -- these were deposition transcript videos. Do you remember ? There was only a couple witnesses that you allowed us to do it for, where we would play their clip from the -- to impeach them, we would play the clip of their deposition.

THE COURT: During the deposition?
MR. JASON KAFOURY: No, during trial testimony we would play the clip from the deposition to impeach.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's find out if that completes the reading and if that's satisfactory. And if it doesn't and they want those clips, let's ask them.

Christy, would you bring back in the jury for just a moment, and we'll resolve the second issue.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: I have the deposition here.

THE COURT: Unless the jury requests them, Counsel.
So let's be seated now, Counsel. You cannot assume that that is going to occur. I'll ask the jury, and they'll send out a note if they do.
(Jury present.)

THE COURT: The jury is present. All counsel are present. The parties are present. Thank you for your courtesy. If you would be seated.

The second question you had is we are missing Exhibit 208, four-page letter for/by Royce Myers. That was not received into evidence.

Second, counsel had inquired on behalf of the parties whether you were satisfied with the reading, and if you're not, make that note to us right away. Also, there were some video clips played during that reading, and we took your note literally about the testimony, which we've read. If you need those video clips, we can reread that portion just before if you desire. Those clips were played -- play those clips in synchronization with the testimony, but that was not shown to you during this last reading. So you decide back there, folks, and tell us what -- we're at your disposal to serve you. Okay? So please continue your deliberations.

THE JURY: All right.
THE COURT: If you're not satisfied with anything,
get right back to us.

THE JURY: Okay.
(Off the record.)
THE COURT: All right. We're on the record. Counsel are present and the parties are present. The court received a note from the jury dated at -- or $5: 13$, and it states the following: Was the Public Service Officers Bill of Rights pertinent to state law entered into evidence. The answer is no.

If either one of you disagree, please show me the document and I'm happy to --

MS. COIT: I did not enter it into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't recall. It was spoken about numerous times, but the actual bill of rights I don't recall ever being entered into evidence.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah, we did not offer it. THE COURT: I think we should bring the jury in and instruct them. I want to be guided by your wisdom in just a moment.

Christy was approached by the young juror in the front row who has a broken tooth and asked if she could make a call to the dentist. Emergency situation for tomorrow. So she's trying to stay with the jury. I don't know if she was able to contact the dentist. I don't know what time the appointment is. But if a juror can return in a reasonable period of time, that doesn't allow the Court to simply excuse. So you could be
in a position of -- if the jury is not reaching a verdict this evening, you could be in a position of being out of session for tomorrow or a portion of tomorrow and returning on Monday, and that is pretty devastating for each of you, respectfully, I would imagine, because the one juror we -- apparently, we stipulated to excuse. So I just want you to be aware of that.

No decision has to be made, but that information came to me about an hour ago, and I waited until you both were assembled.

Only because Christy informed me a juror had approached her and of course we're going to make her -- let that call -or make that call to the dentist.

Now, how -- how should I be guided? My thought is that they could continue their deliberations, if they choose, this evening, or they could go home and return tomorrow and then let them bring attention to any problems they have, such as the young lady saying, "I've got a dental appointment at 10:00 or 2:00" or whatever.

I don't want to simply leave them, though, with the impression that they're going to be here until midnight unless they reach a verdict, because what you may get is a contrived verdict where they rush or you may get a hung jury where they say, "Judge, under those circumstances, without any further guidance" -- I think we should say to them you're free to continue your deliberation this evening until you tell us you

THE COURT: Thank you. We'll wait.
MR. JASON KAFOURY: I'll be downstairs on the first floor, so I'll be ready to come back up.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Off the record.) } \\
& \text { (Jury present.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

THE COURT: Okay. We're on the record. All counsel are present. The parties are present. The jury is present.

The jury sent a note: We've determined to recess for the day to return tomorrow at 8:00 a.m.

Thank you. We'll see you tomorrow at 8:00. Don't discuss this matter with anybody. Now, don't think about it. Don't go back and do your individual deliberations tonight. You're a deliberating body and you collectively, with your wisdom, decide these issues. So goodnight. Drive safely. We'll see you tomorrow at 8:00.

> (Recess taken.)
> (Trial Day 11 adjourned.)

5:13 and the note stated as follows: Was the Public Service Officer Bill of Rights, pertinent to state law, entered into evidence?

All counsel and the Court agree it was not. It was not. A JUROR: That's all we needed. THE COURT: Now, let me say to you that we're here absolutely at your disposal. We're here to serve you. If you would like to continue deliberating this evening, please do so. If you would like to go home, just inform Christy, and then we'll return at 8:00 tomorrow. But I think it's arrogant on a Court's part to inject a time frame and call it at 5:00 or 4:30 or 6:00. You tell us what your wishes are. But we're here. If would you like to continue this evening, please do so.

A JUROR: For the moment, we are.
THE COURT: For the moment, you are. Okay. Let Christy know. We'll let you continue your deliberations.

> (Jury not present.)

THE COURT: Now I'm going to order all the parties and counsel to remain in the courthouse.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: Yeah.
THE COURT: You can't get back in through the front doors after 5:30, apparently. So there's no way to summons you from the law offices.

MR. JASON KAFOURY: All right.

```
would like to go home or you're free to inform us, you know,
that you're returning tomorrow, and, if so, at 8:00. And then
hat juror will raise the problem.
    So why don't you two talk informally. Let me take your
We'll answer the one question and tell them that they're free
MR. JASON KAFOURY: For the record I have no
    Counsel objects.
    THE COURT: Well, that's fine, Counsel, but I can't
            MR. JASON KAFOURY: I understand.
            MS. COIT: That's what I told him.
                (Jury present.)
    THE COURT: If you would be seated, Counsel, and the
parties. The jury is present. We received a note from you at
```

|  | 9 | 2887/7 2892/2 2893/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A JUROR: [4] 2890/23 2891/10 2900/4 2900/14 <br> MR. JASON KAFOURY: [26] MS. COIT: [14] | $9 / 30 / 17$ [1] 2902/16 <br> 97204 [2] $2880 / 52880 / 21$ <br> 97401 [1] 2880/9 <br> $98-0346$ [1] 2902/16 <br> A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { asked [2] 2892/23 2897/20 } \\ & \text { asking [4] 2883/15 2887/5 2887/ } \\ & \text { 2888/15 } \end{aligned}$ |
| THE COURT REPORTER: [1] 2890/20 | A | assume [1] 28 |
| THE COURT: [51] <br> THE JURY: [2] 2896/22 2896/ | ```a.m [1] 2901/9 able [2] 2894/1 2897/22 about [18] above [1] 2902/10 above-entitled [1] 2902/10 absolutely [2] 2891/22 2900/7 accurate [1] 2893/2 action [5] 2882/13 2882/18 2883/21 2884/1 2884/19 actual [1] 2897/13 actually [1] 2893/15 ADAM [1] 2880/3 adequately [1] 2886/22 adjourned [1] 2901/17 adverse [5] 2882/12 2882/17 2883/20 2883/25 2884/19 afforded [2] 2882/22 2884/3 after [5] 2889/2 2890/2 2892/6 2894/2 2900/23 again [2] 2884/14 2892/8 against [7] 2882/13 2882/18 2882/25 2883/21 2884/1 2884/20 2885/11 ago [1] 2898/8 agree [3] 2883/12 2883/13 2900/4 agreed [1] 2892/21 agreement [1] 2899/10 al [1] 2902/3 all [16] allow [1] 2897/25 allowed [2] 2892/22 2895/14 along [2] 2882/4 2892/13 already [1] 2895/11 also [4] 2887/18 2890/16 2894/24 2896/14 alternate [2] 2887/25 2888/2 alternates [7] 2887/14 2887/19 2887/21 2887/23 2887/24 2888/3 2888/9 Although [1] 2887/22 am [1] 2893/3 amount [1] 2888/3 amounts [1] 2885/13 and/or [2] 2885/3 2885/7 ANDREA [1] 2880/7 answer [13] answered [6] 2882/16 2883/24 2884/23 2885/2 2885/4 2885/5 any [13] anybody [1] 2901/11 anything [1] 2896/24 apparently [2] 2898/5 2900/23 APPEARANCES [1] 2880/1 apply [3] 2882/19 2884/1 2884/15 appointment [2] 2897/23 2898/17 approached [2] 2897/19 2898/10 appropriate [1] 2893/19 are [26] aren't [1] 2887/21 arrogant [1] 2900/10 as [16]``` | available [1] 2894/17 <br> Avenue [3] 2880/4 2880/8 288 |
| I |  | awarded [1] |
| /s/Jill [1] 2902/14 |  | aware [1] 2898/6 <br> away [2] 2886/5 2896/14 |
| 0 |  | B |
| 0346 [1] 2902/16 |  |  |
| 1 |  | bad [1] 2888/17 |
| 10 [1] 2887/24 |  | Bailiff [1] 2885/20 |
| 1000 [1] 2880/21 |  | be [26] <br> because [12] 2883/1 2887/15 2887/16 |
| 10:00 or [1] 2898/17 10th [1] $2880 / 8$ |  | 2887/19 2887/24 2888/1 2891/2 |
| 11 [3] 2879/13 2901/17 2902/5 |  | 2893/17 2898/5 2898/10 2898/21 |
| 12/28/15 [1] 2902/15 |  |  |
| 15 [1] 2902/15 <br> 17 [1] 2902/16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { been [4] 2889/6 2892/10 2894/1 } \\ & \text { 2894/7 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 |  | begin [2] 2885/24 2891/23 |
| 200 [1] 2880/5 |  | behalf [1] 2896/12 |
| 2008 [2] 2882/21 2884/2 |  | being [6] 2882/9 2882/22 2882/25 2884/3 2897/14 2898/2 |
| 2012 [2] 2883/7 2884/12 |  | 2884/3 2897/14 2898/2 <br> believe [3] 2886/22 2887/20 2893/2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2013[2] 2883 / 82884 / 13 \\ & 2015 \text { [2] } 2879 / 72902 / 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | believes [1] 2888/2 |
| 208 [7] 2889/4 2889/18 2889/24 |  | below [1] 2902/8 |
| 2892/8 2893/7 2893/22 2896/9 |  | between [1] 2889/23 |
| 24 [2] 2879/7 2902/6 |  | beyond [1] 2889/11 |
| 2882 [1] 2881/3 |  | bill [7] 2882/23 2884/4 2884/5 2897/6 |
| 2891 [1] 2881/5 2:00 [1] 2898/18 |  | 2897/13 2899/17 2900/2 <br> bit [1] 2882/8 |
| 3 |  | body [1] 2901/13 |
| 30 [1] 2894/14 |  | both [1] 2898/8 |
| 300 [1] 2880/9 |  | bowl [2] 2883/2 2884/7 |
| 301 [1] 2880/21 |  | brackets [1] 2895/8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 326-8191[1] 2880 / 22 \\ & 360 \text { [1] } 2880 / 8 \end{aligned}$ |  | BRANDON [6] 2879/7 2883/18 2883/19 2883/20 2883/25 2885/12 |
| 4 |  | bring [5] 2887/2 2893/10 2895/23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 411 \text { [1] 2880/4 } \\ & 4: 30 \text { or [1] } 2900 / 12 \end{aligned}$ |  | broken [1] 2897/20 <br> brought [3] 2885/22 2893/13 2894/20 |
| 5 |  | burden [2] 2887/17 2888/8 <br> burdens [1] 2887/15 |
| 503 [1] 2880/22 5:00 or [1] 2900/11 |  | C |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5: 13[1] 2897 / 5 \\ & 5: 13 \text { and [1] } 2900 / 1 \\ & 5: 30 \text { [1] } 2900 / 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | call[4] 2897/20 2898/11 2898/12$2900 / 11$called [11] 2888/2 2889/1 2889/2$2889 / 12$ 2890/10 2890/16 2890/232891/2 2891/6 2891/21 2891/24came [2] 2888/4 2898/7CAMERON [9] 2879/8 2884/172884/18 2884/19 2885/13 2891/22891/20 2891/24 2892/7Cameron's [3] 2881/5 2888/25$2890 / 10$can [13]can't [3] 2889/21 2899/19 2900/22cannot [2] 2892/11 2896/2 |
| 6 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:00 [1] 2900/12 } \\ & \text { 6:13-cv-01908-DOC [2] 2879/5 2902/4 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8191 \text { [1] 2880/22 } \\ & \text { 8:00 [3] 2899/2 2901/10 2901/15 } \\ & \text { 8:00 a.m [1] 2901/9 } \\ & \text { 8:00 tomorrow [1] } 2900 / 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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factor [2] 2882/15 2883/23
felonies [2] 2883/7 2884/12
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Filing [2] 2883/8 2884/13
find [2] 2894/22 2895/20
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first [8] 2882/9 2888/25 2889/1 2889/8
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2886/10 2886/11 2888/1 2892/13




| U |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```unilateral [1] 2886/20 UNITED [3] 2879/1 2879/16 2880/20 unless [3] 2887/12 2896/1 2898/20 until [3] 2898/8 2898/20 2898/25 up [6] 2886/4 2887/15 2887/16 2893/9 2893/10 2901/3 us [8] 2890/13 2895/14 2896/14 2896/21 2896/25 2898/25 2899/1 2900/12 use [1] 2887/14 used [2] 2887/19 2888/6``` | ```without [3] 2886/20 2898/23 2902/11 witness [2] 2893/12 2895/9 witnesses [1] 2895/14 won't [2] 2884/16 2886/1 words [1] 2886/19 work [4] 2887/18 2892/18 2893/4 2893/9 worth [1] 2894/14 would [20] wouldn't [1] 2883/10``` |  |
| V |  |  |
| ```vast [1] 2888/3 verbatim [1] 2893/17 verdict [8] 2881/2 2881/3 2882/6 2882/9 2885/16 2898/1 2898/21 2898/22 versus [1] 2887/23 very [5] 2890/14 2891/4 2891/8 2891/14 2893/11 video [4] 2893/11 2894/13 2896/14 2896/17 videos [3] 2894/11 2895/11 2895/13 violation [2] 2882/24 2884/5``` | wrong [1] 2892/17 <br> $\mathbf{Y}$ <br> yeah [3] 2886/7 2897/15 2900/21 <br> years [1] 2887/20 <br> yes [18] <br> you [115] <br> you'II [1] 2886/24 <br> you're [12] 2883/16 2885/17 2885/21 <br> $2886 / 6$ 2888/3 2891/14 2896/13 <br> $2896 / 242898 / 242899 / 12899 / 2$ <br> $2901 / 12$ <br> young [2] 2897/19 2898/17 |  |
| W |  |  |
| ```wait [3] 2886/15 2887/5 2901/1 waited [1] 2898/8 want [19] wanted [1] 2889/22 was [34] wasn't [2] 2889/21 2892/25 wasted [2] 2883/3 2884/8 way [5] 2882/8 2887/18 2892/13 2893/6 2900/23 we [57] we'll [16] we're [18] we've [2] 2896/16 2901/8 well [7] 2885/24 2886/15 2890/22 2892/24 2894/22 2895/20 2899/19 were [12] 2886/22 2887/4 2893/11 2893/12 2894/11 2894/14 2895/9 2895/12 2896/13 2896/14 2896/18 2898/8 weren't [1] 2893/12 what [15] whatever [5] 2885/6 2886/2 2887/4 2898/18 2899/11 when [14] whenever [1] 2888/21 where [5] 2886/1 2886/22 2895/14 2898/22 2898/22 whether [3] 2887/2 2887/3 2896/13 which [9] 2882/13 2882/16 2883/16 2883/21 2883/24 2884/15 2884/19 2892/15 2896/16 who [1] 2897/20 whose [1] 2888/19 why [5] 2886/24 2888/6 2892/12 2893/4 2899/4 will [7] 2885/23 2886/1 2888/2 2890/13 2895/5 2895/7 2899/3 willing [1] 2893/20 wisdom [3] 2897/17 2899/5 2901/13``` |  |  |

